Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Iwona Bojar, Institute of Rural Health in Lublin, Poland

REVIEWED BY Liwei Xing,

Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, China Benjamin Salvador Simon, Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon, Mexico

*CORRESPONDENCE Esuyawkal Mislu ⊠ esuyawkalmislu@gmail.com

RECEIVED 07 August 2024 ACCEPTED 24 January 2025 PUBLISHED 27 February 2025

CITATION

Tadesse S, Kumsa H, Kitil GW, Chereka AA, Gedefaw G, Chane F and Mislu E (2025) Prevalence and contributing factors of depression among women with infertility in low-resource settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Med.* 12:1477483. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1477483

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Tadesse, Kumsa, Kitil, Chereka, Gedefaw, Chane and Mislu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Prevalence and contributing factors of depression among women with infertility in low-resource settings: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Shimelis Tadesse¹, Henok Kumsa², Gemeda Wakgari Kitil¹, Alex Ayenew Chereka³, Getnet Gedefaw⁴, Fiker Chane² and Esuyawkal Mislu²*

¹Department of Midwifery, College of Health Sciences, Mattu University, Mettu, Ethiopia, ²School of Midwifery, College of Health Science, Woldia University, Woldia, Ethiopia, ³Department of Health Informatics, College of Health Sciences, Mattu University, Mettu, Ethiopia, ⁴Department of Midwifery, College of Medicine and Health Science, Injibara University, Injibara, Ethiopia

Background: Depressive symptoms are the most common manifestations of psychiatric disorders among women with infertility. In low-resource settings, the overall prevalence and contributing factors of depressive symptoms among women with infertility remain unknown.

Objectives: To estimate the prevalence and contributing factors of depression among women with infertility in low-resource settings.

Methods: A review was conducted using Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The PubMed, MEDLINE, Google Scholar, and Cochrane databases were used to identify eligible studies published up to 30 November 2024. Three authors independently extracted the data. Studies that reported depression among women with infertility were included in this review. The data were analyzed with STATA version 14, and a meta-analysis was conducted using a random-effects model. Publication bias and heterogeneity were assessed via Eager's tests and I^2 . Subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed to identify the potential source/s of heterogeneity. A *p*-value of 0.05 was declared as statistically significant. The findings were synthesized and presented using texts, tables, and forest plots with measures of effect and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results: Seventeen published cross-sectional studies that met the inclusion criteria with a total of 3,528 women with infertility were selected for this study. The pooled prevalence of depression among women with infertility was 48.77% (95% CI (35.86, 61.67). Good functioning family {OR 0.71 [95% CI (0.51, 0.97), I^2 : 0.00%]}, good husband support {OR 0.52 [95% CI (0.34, 0.79), I^2 : 0.00%]}, primary infertility {OR 2.55 [95% CI (1.36, 4.79), I^2 : 68.53%]}, history of divorce {OR 4.41 [95% CI (2.11, 9.24), I^2 : 0.00%]}, and duration of infertility lasting more than 10 years {OR 6.27 [95% CI (2.74, 14.34), I^2 : 15.26%]} were statistically significant.

Conclusion: Depression was high among women with infertility in low-resource settings such as Africa compared to those in high-income countries, men, and pregnant mothers. Good functioning family, good husband support, primary infertility, history of divorce, and duration of infertility lasting more than 10 years were statistically associated. Therefore, African countries and the stakeholders

in collaboration with mental health experts and gynecological care providers should address these problems in order to reduce or prevent depression among women with infertility.

Systematic Review Registration: PROSPERO (ID: CRD42024516458).

KEYWORDS

depression, depressive symptoms, infertility, women with infertility, systematic review and meta-analysis, Africa

Introduction

Infertility is defined as not being able to get pregnant (conceive) after 1 year (or longer) of unprotected sex for women of age less than 35 years or after 6 months for women of age 35 years old or older (1, 2). It is a significant global public health problem, affecting approximately 186 million (3), with an estimated prevalence of 8-12% among couples (4-7). However, the prevalence of infertility is higher in low-resource settings, such as in low- and middle-income countries, where it is estimated to be 31.1% (8). According to a World Health Organization (WHO) report, infertility is associated with various forms of disability, including physical, emotional, functional, or social, which may arise from its causes, treatments, or societal consequences (5, 9). These impacts may include chronic pelvic pain, reproductive organ loss, sexual dysfunction, psychiatric disorders, stigma or marginalization, and dependency (5, 9, 10). Infertility can be caused by multiple factors including male and female reproductive issues, lifestyle factors, socioeconomic status, and infections (7).

In low-resource settings, such as Africa, infertility in women commonly occurs due to pelvic inflammatory disease (39.38%), tubal factors (39.17%), and abortion (36.41%) (11). The burden of infertility is the highest (17.7%) in women in the age group of 35–44 years compared to those below this age group (12). Infertility affects women in low-resource settings in many ways, creating challenges not only for the couple but also for the entire family, leading to social and psychological issues (13). Infertility can be classified into two types: primary infertility with an estimate of 0.6–3.4% and secondary infertility with an estimate of 8.7–32.6% (14). Mental health disorders such as depressive symptoms are the most common psychiatric disorders among women with infertility problems (5, 6, 15–18).

Depression is a common and serious mental health condition characterized by persistent feelings of sadness, hopelessness, and a loss of interest or pleasure in activities once enjoyed. It can significantly interfere with a person's daily life, relationships, and ability to function (19). In order to prevent or reduce the prevalence of depressive symptoms in women with infertility problems, interventions such as counseling, support, and treatment are essential within fertility centers (15, 20). Moreover, raising awareness of the burden and risk factors associated with infertility is essential to facilitate the provision of psychological interventions (6). However, the extent of depression and its risk factors among women with infertility may vary across different populations due to differences in culture, beliefs, healthcare settings, and socioeconomic status of the population (21). The line of evidence shows that various contributing factors including duration of infertility, education status, employment status, income level, and social and family support, as well as spiritual wellbeing, have a remarkable association with this problem (17). Women with infertility and those without children often face societal discrimination and stigmatization, which can lead to psychological disorders such as anxiety and depression (22–25).

Depressive symptoms have major consequences on the mental wellbeing of women with infertility (26). This is because, in many low-resource settings, a woman's identity and value are closely tied to her ability to bear children, especially sons. As a result, infertility often leads to social stigma, discrimination, and marginalization (9, 26). Women may experience rejection from their families and communities, marital strain, or even abandonment and divorce (7, 9, 26), which can ultimately affect their overall health and quality of life (21).

Although primary study findings from different parts of Africa exhibited different results that range between 21.8 and 92.7% (27, 28), there is a lack of comprehensive report findings on this issue. This highlights the need for a comprehensive assessment of the prevalence and contributing factors of depressive symptoms in women with infertility. To address this gap, the current study aimed to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to provide an estimated prevalence of depressive symptoms and its contributing factors in women with infertility in Africa. This study highlights the burden of infertility in Africa, raises awareness of the associated problems, and can help formulate strategies to prevent infertility in women and improve their quality of life.

Methods

Search strategy

Various search engines, such as PubMed, MEDLINE, Google, Google Scholar, EMBASSE, and Hinari, were used in this study to acquire relevant data from studies published until 10 November 2024. The following Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were used to search published studies: (((((((depression) OR (depressive symptom)) AND (infertility)) OR (infertile)) AND (prevalence)) OR (magnitude)) AND (risk factor)) OR (determinant). Additionally, the references of the identified articles were also assessed.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All observational studies reporting the prevalence of depressive symptoms and/or associated factors among women with infertility problems in Africa and those reported in English were included in this study, whereas case reports, review articles, studies of mental illnesses,

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence Interval; OR, Odds ratio; PICOS, Population, intervention, comparison, outcomes, and study design; PRISMA, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

non-English articles, and studies whose full text were not found were excluded from this study.

Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes, and Study design (PICOS) criteria were applied to determine the eligibility criteria of the studies included in this review.

Participants/population

All women of reproductive age with infertility problems were included.

Intervention(s) and exposure(s)

Sociodemographic characteristics, personal habits and life experience, and medical and psychological health-related characteristics were the exposures of interest.

Comparator(s)/control

Women with better sociodemographic status, good personal habits and life experiences, and no medical and/or psychological health problems were treated as a comparator/control group.

Outcomes

The main outcomes of this study were the prevalence and associated factors of depression in women with infertility (both primary and secondary infertility), which were assessed using standard tools. These standard tools were Zung's questionnaire sample, the Patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9), the Copenhagen Multi-Centre Psychosocial Infertility-Fertility Problem Stress Scales, the Beck Depression Inventory questionnaire, the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, the 20-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies for Depression Scale, and the 30-item General Health Questionnaire.

Data extraction

The data were extracted into Excel independently by three trained researchers. Then, the required data such as author names, year of publication, study settings, sample size, types of depression measurement tools, and prevalence and factors associated with depression were extracted (Supplementary Table 1). For studies with missing summary statistics, we reached out to the authors, when possible, to obtain the necessary data. If additional data could not be obtained, we applied statistical methods to estimate missing values. The PRISMA flow diagram was used to identify included studies (Figure 1).



Data analysis

The quality of studies included in this review was assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) quality assessment tool (29, 30), and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist was strictly followed throughout the study (31). The data were analyzed with STATA version 17. Publication bias was assessed via Egger's test and with a funnel plot. Heterogeneity among included studies was assessed by computing the I² tests. Subgroup and sensitivity analyses were performed to identify the potential source/s of heterogeneity. A random-effects model was used for variables with moderate to high heterogeneity, and a fixed-effects model was used for those with low heterogeneity. A *p*-value of 0.05 was declared to be statistically significant.

Protocol

The protocol for this systematic review and meta-analysis was registered on PROSPERO (ID: CRD42024516458).

Results

Characteristics of included studies

A systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted on 17 published studies, with a total of 3,528 women with infertility, from four African countries (Figure 1). All the included studies were cross-sectional. Twelve studies were from Nigeria (32–43), two studies were from Ethiopia (28, 44), two studies were from Ghana (45, 46), and one study was from Uganda (47). Three studies were from East Africa (28, 44, 47), and fourteen studies were from West Africa (32–43, 45, 46).

Prevalence of depression among women with infertility in Africa

In this systematic review, publication bias and heterogeneity among studies were assessed. The graphical presentation via a funnel plot and Egger's test (p = 1.00) did not identify the presence of possible publication bias (Supplementary Figure 1). However, there



Subgroup analysis of the prevalence of depression based on the region of the country.

was a significant heterogeneity (I²: 98.7%) among the included studies (Figure 2).

The pooled prevalence of depression among women with infertility was identified as 48.77% (95% CI: 35.86, 61.67; $I^2 = 98.7\%$). In the subgroup analysis, based on the region of countries, the pooled prevalence of depression among women with infertility was 46.39% (95% CI: 31.34, 61.44; $I^2 = 98.7\%$) in West African countries and 59.70 (95% CI: 27.57, 91.83; $I^2 = 99.1\%$) in East African countries (Figure 3). Based on their sample size, studies with a sample size greater than the median value have a pooled prevalence of 48.90% (95% CI: 29.50, 68.29; $I^2 = 99.2\%$) and 48.64% (95% CI: 30.18, 67.11; $I^2 = 97.6\%$) (Figure 3). Additionally, a subgroup analysis based on the assessment tools was conducted (Figure 4).

Factors associated with depression among women with infertility in Africa

Sociodemographic characteristics

Age (32, 34, 36, 37, 44), type of marriage (32, 34, 36, 39, 43, 45), history of divorce (43, 44), monthly family income (34, 44),

educational level (34, 43), and religion (34) were identified from previous studies (Figure 5).

Sociocultural characteristics

Verbal assault by spouse and others (47), physical assault by spouse (47), sexual assault (47), stigmatizing behaviors (43), poor support from in-laws, difficulty in social function (37), willingness to adopt children (39, 40, 42), dysfunctional family support (32, 34), and dysfunctional husband support (32, 40, 43) were the sociocultural characteristics identified in the included studies (Figures 5, 6).

Infertility-related characteristics

Duration of infertility lasting more than 10 years (39, 44), primary infertility (36, 37, 39, 40, 42, 44, 45), surgical method of treatment (43), tubal factor as the cause of infertility (43), and a miscarriage history (47) were identified during data extraction. From the listed gynecological characteristics, the meta-analysis was performed only for the duration and type of infertility, as there was only a single study report for the other variables (Figures 5, 6).

After including the above-listed variables, this meta-analysis identified that depression among women with infertility was significantly associated with good functioning family (AOR: 0.71; 95%



Subgroup analysis of the prevalence of depression based on the median sample size of included studies.

			(35% CI) Weight
ung's questionnaire sample			
lex A Adelosoye et al 2013		-	42.50 (37.25, 47.75) 5.95
subgroup, DL ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, p < 0.000)		\diamond	42.50 (37.25, 47.75) 5.95
atient health questioner 9			
eyene, Zerihun et al 2020		<u>+</u> !	42.10 (36.92, 47.28) 5.95
ukenge et al 2018			44.30 (39.29, 49.31) 5.95
A. Oladeji et al 2018			52.70 (43.37, 62.03) 5.83
ubgroup, DL (I ² = 47.3%, p = 0.150)		\diamond	45.09 (40.21, 49.98) 17.73
Copenhagen Fertility Problem Stress	Scales		
eklemicheal, A.G et al 2021		- i -	92.71 (87.51, 97.91) 5.95
subgroup, DL ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, p < 0.000)			92.71 (87.51, 97.91) 5.95
eck Depression Inventory			
bass Alhassan et al 2014			62.00 (52.49, 71.51) 5.82
hmad Idris Rufai et al 2022		→	44.60 (39.82, 49.38) 5.96
6.O. Obajimi et al 2019			35.30 (22.18, 48.42) 5.66
ominic UPKONG et al 2005			42.90 (33.73, 52.07) 5.83
. C. Ikeako et al 2012			38.50 (28.77, 48.23) 5.81
Iohammad B. Isah et al 2016		-	21.80 (14.59, 29.01) 5.90
ubgroup, DL (I ² = 89.9%, p < 0.000)			40.83 (30.30, 51.37) 34.98
lospital Anxiety and Depression Scal	e		
ulyman D. et al 2019		+	25.60 (19.65, 31.55) 5.93
adiat lyabode Alliu et al 2023		• I • • •	90.80 (88.38, 93.22) 6.00
ubgroup, DL (I ⁺ = 99.7%, p < 0.000)			58.26 (-5.64, 122.15)11.93
0-item Center for Epidemiologic Stud	lies for Depression Scale		
laab et al 2012			53.20 (46.34, 60.06) 5.91
ubgroup, DL (I ⁻ = 0.0%, p < 0.000)			53.20 (46.34, 60.06) 5.91
0-item General Health Questionnaire			
oyce O. Omoaregba et al 2008			59.00 (49.36, 68.64) 5.81
Ojo et al 2017			32.00 (22.86, 41.14) 5.83
Subgroup, DL ($\Gamma = 93.7\%$, p < 0.000)			45.46 (19.00, 71.91) 11.65
eneral health questionnaire			
Sulyman D. et al 2022		7	47.73 (40.79, 54.67) 5.91
subgroup, DL (I ⁻ = 0.0%, p < 0.000)			47.73 (40.79, 54.67) 5.91
leterogeneity between groups: $p = 0$.	000		
overall, DL (I = 98.7%, p < 0.000)			48.77 (35.86, 61.67)100.00
	-100	0	100

CI: 0.51, 0.97; I²: 0.00%), poor husband support (AOR: 2.01; 95% CI: 1.32, 3.07; I²: 0.00%), primary infertility 2.55 (95% CI: 1.36, 4.79; I²: 68.53%), history of divorce 4.41 (95% CI: 2.11, 9.24; I²: 0.00%), and duration of infertility lasting more than 10 years 6.27 (95% CI: 2.74, 14.34; I²: 15.26%). However, age greater than 35 years (AOR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.73, 1.27; I²:0.00%), polygamous marriage (AOR: 1.02 95% CI: 0.77, 1.36; I²:58.55%), and women's intention to adopt children (AOR: 0.70 95% CI: 0.11, 4.64; I²: 78.26%) were not significantly associated with depression among women with infertility. The funnel plot and Egger's tests showed that there was no significant publication bias among the included studies (Supplementary Figures 2, 3).

The heterogeneity test (I^2) among the variables significantly associated with depression revealed that, except for primary infertility ($I^2 = 68.53\%$), there was no significant heterogeneity for good functioning family, good husband support, history of divorce, or age greater than 35.

Discussion

This study conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to investigate the prevalence of depressive symptoms and the underlying factors associated with them in women struggling with infertility across Africa. The study found an overall pooled prevalence of depressive symptoms among women with infertility problems to be 48.77% (95% CI: 35.86, 61.67). The prevalence of depressive symptoms found in this study was higher than those among men with infertility problems (18.30%) (48) and pregnant mothers (20.7%) (49).

Study		UR	N	Weigh
Brimary infertility		With 5570 C		(70)
Bevene. Zerihun et al		3.50 [1.55.	7.881	9.64
Abass Alhassan et al	_	3.52 [1.39.	8.871	9.16
Jovce O. Omoaregba et al	_	0.99 [0.40.	2.421	9.28
S.A. Oladeji et al		0.94 [0.44,	1.99]	9.89
L. C. Ikeako et al		9.35 [3.46, 2	5.24]	8.87
Mohammad B. Isah et al		1.90 [0.43,	8.39]	6.85
O Ojo et al		3.73 [1.49,	9.37]	9.18
Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.48$, $l^2 = 68.53\%$, $H^2 = 3.18$	-	2.55 [1.36,	4.79]	
Test of θ = θ;: Q(6) = 19.43, p = 0.00				
infertility for >10 years				
Beyene, Zerihun et al		10.20 [3.15, 3	3.05]	8.09
L. C. Ikeako et al		4.35 [1.61, 1	1.73]	8.87
Heterogeneity: $r^2 = 0.06$, $l^2 = 15.26\%$, $H^2 = 1.18$ Test of $R = R$: $O(1) = 1.18$, $p = 0.28$	•	6.27 [2.74,	14.34]	
L. C. Ikeako et al		3.32 [1.32.	8.371	9.17
Mohammad B. Isah et al		0.18 [0.04.	0.871	6.40
O Oio et al		0.41 [0.05.	3.631	4.60
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 2.12$, $I^2 = 78.26\%$, $H^2 = 4.60$		0.70 [0.11,	4.64]	
Test of θ = θ; Q(2) = 11.04, p = 0.00		•	1.	
Overall	•	2.38 [1.30,	4.35]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.81$, $I^2 = 75.14\%$, $H^2 = 4.02$			-	
Test of $\theta = \theta_j$: Q(11) = 39.71, p = 0.00				
Test of group differences: $Q_b(2) = 5.51$, p = 0.06				
Random-effects REML model	1/16 1/2 4 32			

The possible explanation is due to the fact that depressive symptoms are the most common disorder manifestations in women with infertility problems (16, 48), and this highlights the significant association between depressive symptoms and infertility problems (50). The prevalence of depressive symptoms found in the present study was also higher than that among high-income countries (28.03%) (21). A plausible explanation is due to the difference in sociodemographic characteristics, limited access to fertility and mental health treatment, cultural expectation and stigma, delay in seeking medical attention for their infertility due to lack of awareness, and under-resourced healthcare facilities to treat both infertility and depressive symptoms (21).

The present study identified the factors associated with depressive symptoms among women with infertility problems. These factors demonstrated that women who had a good functioning family were 29% less likely to have depressive symptoms than women who had a poor functioning family. This result was in line with a study conducted in Iran (51). A possible explanation for this is that women with a good functioning family will have proper behavioral control, roles, emotional responsiveness, and emotional involvement. These result in improving depressive symptoms among women with infertility problems (52).

In this study, women who had good husband support were 48% less likely to have depressive symptoms compared to their counterparts. This finding was supported by a study conducted in Japan that revealed that the lack of husband support was associated with depressive symptoms among women with infertility problems (53). A possible explanation is that women with infertility who get good husband support may have better decision-making practices about their health compared to their counterparts (53).

It was also revealed that women with primary infertility were 2.55 times more likely to have depressive symptoms than women with secondary infertility. This finding is supported by different studies conducted in Pakistan (54), Turkey (55), and Iraq (56). A possible reason may be that religious denial coping strategy was expected to be high in women with primary infertility, which resulted in the highest rate of depressive symptoms (57).

In this study, women who had a history of divorce were 4.41 times more likely to have depressive symptoms than women who had no history of divorce. This finding was supported by a study conducted in Iran. A possible reason could be because marital status is directly associated with happiness, and happiness is directly associated with mental health (58).

In this study, women with a duration of infertility lasting more than 10 years were 6.27 times more likely to have depressive symptoms than their counterparts. This finding is supported by different studies conducted in Iran (59), Iraq (56), and Turkey (60). This could be due to the fact that infertility for a prolonged period could reduce the possibility of treatment, resulting in higher depressive symptoms (60). This highlights the importance of early diagnosis and treatment of infertility to prevent or reduce depressive symptoms.

As a limitation, this review included only quantitative studies and studies that were published in the English language. Additionally, the cross-sectional nature of the studies does not indicate the true cause of the problem.

Conclusion and recommendations

The results of this study indicated a higher prevalence of depressive symptoms in women with infertility problems in Africa than in high-income countries, men, and pregnant mothers. To prevent or reduce the problem, responsible organizations in Africa, in collaboration with mental health experts and gynecological care

Studies		with 95% CI		(%)
Age>35				(/*/
Alex A Adelosoye et al		0.90 [0.56,	1.46]	10.02
Ahmad Idris Rufai et al		0.87 [0.57,	1.32]	13.28
Joyce O. Omoaregba et al		1.73 [0.77,	3.89]	3.59
S.A. Oladeji et al		0.95 [0.45,	2.01]	4.16
Heterogeneity: $I^{2} = 0.00\%$, $H^{2} = 1.00$	•	0.96[0.73,	1.27]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$: Q(3) = 2.32, p = 0.51				
Polygamy				
Alex A Adelosoye et al		0.43 [0.20,	0.92]	4.03
Abass Alhassan et al		0.88 [0.39,	1.95]	3.66
Sulyman D. et al		2.05 [0.99,	4.27]	4.38
Ahmad Idris Rufai et al		0.93 [0.59,	1.45]	11.57
Joyce O. Omoaregba et al		1.08 [0.43,	2.71]	2.78
L. C. Ikeako et al		2.75 [0.94,	8.04]	2.04
Heterogeneity: $1^2 = 58.55\%$, $H^2 = 2.41$	•	1.02 [0.77,	1.36]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$: Q(5) = 12.06, p = 0.03				
Family support				
Alex A Adelosoye et al		0.64 [0.40,	1.02]	10.95
Ahmad Idris Rufai et al		0.78 [0.50,	1.21]	11.97
Heterogeneity: $I^{2} = 0.00\%$, $H^{2} = 1.00$	•	0.71[0.51,	0.97]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$: Q(1) = 0.34, p = 0.56				
Lack of husband support				
Alex A Adelosoye et al		1.79 [1.09,	2.92]	9.70
Sulyman D. et al		2.90 [1.22,	6.88]	3.15
Mohammad B. Isah et al		— 2.11 [0.21,	21.45]	0.44
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.00\%$, $H^2 = 1.00$	-	2.01 [1.32,	3.07]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$: Q(2) = 0.91, p = 0.63				
History of divorce				
Beyene, Zerihun et al		4.30 [1.41,	13.10]	1.89
Sulyman D. et al		4.50 [1.68,	12.09]	2.41
Heterogeneity: $I^{-} = 0.00\%$, $H^{-} = 1.00$		4.41 [2.11,	9.24]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$: Q(1) = 0.00, p = 0.95				
Overall	•	1.07 [0.92,	1.25]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 64.84\%$, $H^2 = 2.84$				
Test of θ _i = θ _j : Q(16) = 45.51, p = 0.00				
Test of group differences: $Q_b(4) = 29.87$, p = 0.00		-		
	1/4 1 4	16		

providers, should focus on improving proper family functioning and husband support, while giving special attention to women with primary infertility, a history of divorce, and infertility lasting more than 10 years. Therefore, African countries and the stakeholders can use this information to develop evidence-based strategies, policies, and health service delivery systems, as well as propose solutions to reduce or prevent depressive symptoms among women with infertility. It is also important for healthcare providers to consider depression when providing care for women with infertility and for future researchers to design interventional studies to address this problem.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

ST: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GK: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AC: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. GG: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FC: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. EM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software,

References

1. Yusuf L. Depression, anxiety and stress among female patients of infertility; a case control study. *Pakist J Med Sci.* (2016) 32:1340–3. doi: 10.12669/pjms.326.10828

2. Gulzar U, Randhawa RK, Chaudhary P. Infertility as a burden-women as victim. *Infertility.* (2021) 7. doi: 10.13140/RG.2.2.17781.65768

3. Wasilewski T, Łukaszewicz-Zając M, Wasilewska J, Mroczko B. Biochemistry of infertility. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2020) 508:185–90. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2020.05.039

4. Gdańska P, Drozdowicz-Jastrzębska E, Grzechocińska B, Radziwon-Zaleska M, Węgrzyn P, Wielgoś M. Anxiety and depression in women undergoing infertility treatment. *Ginekol Pol.* (2017) 88:109–12. doi: 10.5603/GP.a2017.0019

5. Shahid S. Depression in infertile couples. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. (2009) 19:395-6.

6. Zhang L, Shao H, Huo M, Chen J, Tao M, Liu Z. Prevalence and associated risk factors for anxiety and depression in infertile couples of ART treatment: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2022) 22:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12888-022-04256-9

 Magdum M, Chowdhury MAT, Begum N, Riya S. Types of infertility and its risk factors among infertile women: a prospective study in Dhaka City. J Biosci Med. (2022) 10:158–68. doi: 10.4236/jbm.2022.104014

 Polis CB, Cox CM, Tunçalp Ö, McLain AC, Thoma ME. Estimating infertility prevalence in low-to-middle-income countries: an application of a current duration approach to demographic and health survey data. *Hum Reprod.* (2017) 32:1064–74. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dex025

9. Vander Borght M, Wyns C. Fertility and infertility: definition and epidemiology. Clin Biochem. (2018) 62:2-10. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2018.03.012

10. Sezgin H, Hocaoglu C, Guvendag-Guven ES. Disability, psychiatric symptoms, and quality of life in infertile women: a cross-sectional study in Turkey. *Shanghai Arch Psychiatry*. (2016) 28:86–94. doi: 10.11919/j.issn.1002-0829.216014

Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HK: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1477483/ full#supplementary-material

11. Abebe MS, Afework M, Abaynew Y. Primary and secondary infertility in Africa: systematic review with meta-analysis. *Fert Res Pract.* (2020) 6:20. doi: 10.1186/ s40738-020-00090-3

12. Datta J, Palmer MJ, Tanton C, Gibson LJ, Jones KG, Macdowall W, et al. Prevalence of infertility and help seeking among 15 000 women and men. *Hum Reprod.* (2016) 31:2108–18. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dew123

13. Bahamondes L, Makuch MY. Infertility care and the introduction of new reproductive technologies in poor resource settings. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* (2014) 12:87. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-12-87

14. Mascarenhas MN, Cheung H, Mathers CD, Stevens GA. Measuring infertility in populations: constructing a standard definition for use with demographic and reproductive health surveys. *Popul Health Metrics*. (2012) 10:17. doi: 10.1186/1478-7954-10-17

15. Elsous A, El-Kass SA, Salama A, Radwan M, Abo-Eid S, Baloushah S. Depression among infertile women in Gaza strip: symptom severity and predictors. *Depress Res Treat.* (2021) 2021:1–7. doi: 10.1155/2021/6616489

16. Lakatos E, Szigeti JF, Ujma PP, Sexty R, Balog P. Anxiety and depression among infertile women: a cross-sectional survey from Hungary. *BMC Womens Health*. (2017) 17:1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12905-017-0410-2

17. Bagade T, Mersha AG, Majeed T. The social determinants of mental health disorders among women with infertility: a systematic review. *BMC Womens Health.* (2023) 23:668. doi: 10.1186/s12905-023-02828-9

18. Omani-Samani R, Maroufizadeh S, Almasi-Hashiani A, Amini P. Prevalence of depression and its determinant factors among infertile patients in Iran based on the PHQ-9. *Middle East Fert Soc J.* (2018) 23:460–3. doi: 10.1016/j.mefs.2018.03.002

19. Paykel ES. Basic concepts of depression. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. (2008) 10:279–89. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2008.10.3/espaykel

20. Kamışlı S, Terzioğlu C, Bozdag G. The psychological health of women with infertility: hopelessness, anxiety and depression levels. *J Psychiatr Nurs.* (2021) 12:43–9. doi: 10.14744/phd.2020.48030

21. Kiani Z, Simbar M, Hajian S, Zayeri F. The prevalence of depression symptoms among infertile women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fert Res Pract.* (2021) 7:1–10. doi: 10.1186/s40738-021-00098-3

22. Xie Y, Ren Y, Niu C, Zheng Y, Yu P, Li L. The impact of stigma on mental health and quality of life of infertile women: a systematic review. *Front Psychol.* (2023) 13:13.10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1093459. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1093459

23. Zorlu S, Erbaş N. Psychosocial problems experienced by infertile women and stigmatization: a qualitative study. *Acıbadem Üniv Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi*. (2023) 14:82–9. doi: 10.31067/acusaglik.1087450

24. Yokota R, Okuhara T, Okada H, Goto E, Sakakibara K, Kiuchi T. Association between stigma and anxiety, depression, and psychological distress among Japanese women undergoing infertility treatment. *Healthcare*. (2022) 10:1300. doi: 10.3390/ healthcare10071300

25. Ullah A, Ashraf H, Tariq M, Aziz SZ, Zubair S, KUR S, et al. Battling the invisible infertility agony: a case study of infertile women in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa-Pakistan. *J Ethnic Cult Stud.* (2021) 8:89–105. doi: 10.29333/ejecs/679

26. Tavousi SA, Behjati M, Milajerdi A, Mohammadi AH. Psychological assessment in infertility: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychol.* (2022) 13:961722. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.961722

27. Isah MB, Oche OM, Yunusa EU, Yunusa MA, Oladigbolu RA, Arisegi SA. (2016). Perception, prevalence and correlates of depression among females attending the Gynaecological Clinic of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto, Nigeria. Sokoto, Nigeria. doi: 10.33515/iamms/2019.009/9

28. Teklemicheal AG, Kassa EM, Weldetensaye EK. Prevalence and correlates of infertility related psychological stress in women with infertility: a cross-sectional hospital based survey. *BMC Psychol.* (2022) 10:91. doi: 10.1186/s40359-022-00804-w

29. Robertson-Malt S. JBI's systematic reviews: presenting and interpreting findings. AJN Am J Nurs. (2014) 114:49–54. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000453044.01124.59

30. Zeng X, Zhang Y, Kwong JS, Zhang C, Li S, Sun F, et al. The methodological quality assessment tools for preclinical and clinical studies, systematic review and meta-analysis, and clinical practice guideline: a systematic review. *J Evid Based Med.* (2015) 8:2–10. doi: 10.1111/jebm.12141

31. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. Statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. (2020) 2021:372. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

32. Adelosoye AA, Fasipe OJ, Medunoye EI, Adelosoye OC, Sunday EO. Assessment of family function impact on depression severity among infertile women attending a teaching hospital in south-South Nigeria. *Future Sci OA*. (2020) 6:33. doi: 10.2144/fsoa-2020-0033

33. Alliu SI, Orji L. Help-seeking preferences for depression and anxiety among women undergoing infertility treatments in south-western nigeria. (2023).

34. Rufai AI, Grema BA, Bello MM, Michael GC. Association between family functionality, sociodemographic factors, and severity of depression in women with infertility attending a gynecology clinic in Northwest Nigeria. *J Neurosci Rural Pract.* (2022) 13:246–53. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1743456

35. Obajimi G, Esan O, Ogunkinle B. Depression and anxiety disorders amongst a cohort of infertile women attending an in-vitro fertilization clinic in South-Western Nigeria. *Med J Zambia*. (2019) 46:192–6. doi: 10.55320/mjz.46.3.557

36. Omoaregba JO, James BO, Lawani AO, Morakinyo O. Psychosocial characteristics of female infertility in a tertiary health institution in Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med.* (2011) 10:19–24. doi: 10.4103/1596-3519.76567

37. Oladeji S, OlaOlorun A. Depression among infertile women in Ogbomosoland. S Afr Fam Pract. (2018) 60:41–5. doi: 10.4102/safp.v60i2.4865

38. Upkong D, Orji E. Mental health of infertile women in Nigeria. *Turk Psikiyatri* Dergisi. (2006) 17:259–65.

39. Ikeako L, Iteke O, Ezegwui H, Okeke T. Clinico-demographic indicators of depression among infertile women in a tertiary health institution in Awka, south East Nigeria. *Br J Med Med Res.* (2015) 7:921–31. doi: 10.9734/BJMMR/2015/16302

40. Isah MB, Oche OM, Yunusa EU, Yunusa MA, Oladigbolu RA, Arisegi SA. Perception, prevalence and correlates of depression among females attending the

Gynaecological Clinic of Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto, Nigeria. Sokoto, Nigeria. (2019).

41. Sulyman D, Kuranga A. Depression among women with infertility versus pregnant women at general hospital Ilorin: a comparative analytical study. *Res J Health Sci.* (2022) 10:245–54. doi: 10.4314/rejhs.v10i3.9

42. Ojo O, Oluwole L, Obadeji A. A comparative study of depression among fertile and infertile women in a South-Western Nigerian city. *Med J Zambia*. (2017) 44:93–9. doi: 10.55320/mjz.44.2.270

43. Sulyman D, Ayanda K, Aminu B, Dattijo L. Anxiety and depressive disorders among infertile women attending clinic in a Nigeria teaching hospital. *Afr J Biomed Res.* (2019) 22:157–65.

44. Beyene Z. (2020). Prevalence of depression and associated factors among women seeking infertility care at St. Paul's hospital Millennium medical college, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

45. Alhassan A, Ziblim AR, Muntaka S. A survey on depression among infertile women in Ghana. *BMC Womens Health*. (2014) 14:1–6. doi: 10.1186/1472-6874-14-42

46. Naab F, Brown R, Heidrich S. Psychosocial health of infertile Ghanaian women and their infertility beliefs. *J Nurs Scholarsh.* (2013) 45:132–40. doi: 10.1111/jnu.12013

47. Lukenge E. (2019). Prevalence of depression, associated factors and coping styles among women attending infertility clinic at Mulago hospital Kampala, Uganda.

48. Kiani Z, Fakari FR, Hakimzadeh A, Hajian S, Fakari FR, Nasiri M. Prevalence of depression in infertile men: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. (2023) 23:1972. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-16865-4

49. Yin X, Sun N, Jiang N, Xu X, Gan Y, Zhang J, et al. Prevalence and associated factors of antenatal depression: systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Clin Psychol Rev.* (2021) 83:101932. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101932

50. Karimpour M, Miladpour B. Investigating the relationship between infertility and depression in women. *Neurosci Res Notes*. (2022) 5:158. doi: 10.31117/neuroscirn.v5i4.158

51. Hasanpour S, Bani S, Mirghafourvand M, Kochaksarayie FY. Mental health and its personal and social predictors in infertile women. *J Caring Sci.* (2014) 3:37–45. doi: 10.5681/jcs.2014.005

52. Zanganeh B, Kaboudi M, Ashtarian H, Kaboudi B. The comparison of family function based on the McMaster model in fertile and infertile women. *J Med Life*. (2015) 8:196.

53. Matsubayashi H, Hosaka T, Izumi S-i, Suzuki T, Kondo A, Makino T. Increased depression and anxiety in infertile Japanese women resulting from lack of husband's support and feelings of stress. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. (2004) 26:398–404. doi: 10.1016/j. genhosppsych.2004.05.002

54. Khan J, Iftikhar MS, Noor U, Sulaiman R, Qadeer T, Iftikhar MA. Comparison of depression in women with primary and secondary infertility in patients at OB/GYN OPD at Sharif Medical City, Lahore, Pakistan. *Pakist J Med Health Sci.* (2022) 16:454–6. doi: 10.53350/pjmhs22165454

55. Keskin U, Coksuer H, Gungor S, Ercan CM, Karasahin KE, Baser I. Differences in prevalence of sexual dysfunction between primary and secondary infertile women. *Fertil Steril.* (2011) 96:1213–7. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.08.007

56. Al-Asadi JN, Hussein ZB. Depression among infertile women in Basrah, Iraq: prevalence and risk factors. *J Chin Med Assoc.* (2015) 78:673–7. doi: 10.1016/j. jcma.2015.07.009

57. Saif J, Rohail I, Aqeel M. Quality of life, coping strategies, and psychological distress in women with primary and secondary infertility; a mediating model. *Nat Nurt J Psychol.* (2021) 1:8–17. doi: 10.47391/NNJP.02

58. Forooshany SHA, Yazdkhasti F, Hajataghaie SS, Esfahani MHN. Infertile individuals' marital relationship status, happiness, and mental health: a causal model. *Int J Fert Sterility*. (2014) 8:315.

59. Ramezanzadeh F, Aghssa MM, Abedinia N, Zayeri F, Khanafshar N, Shariat M, et al. A survey of relationship between anxiety, depression and duration of infertility. BMC Womens Health. (2004) 4:1–7. doi: 10.1186/1472-6874-4-9

60. Yilmaz T, Yazici S, Benli T. Factors associated with infertility distress of infertile women: a cross-sectional study. *J Psychosom Obstet Gynecol.* (2020) 41:275-81. doi: 10.1080/0167482X.2019.1708318