Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qian Liu, Capital Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Vicente Estrada, San Carlos University Clinical Hospital, Spain Muayad Albadrani, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia

*CORRESPONDENCE Li He ⊠ 272530304@qq.com

RECEIVED 06 November 2024 ACCEPTED 24 December 2024 PUBLISHED 13 January 2025

CITATION

He L, Chen S, Zhu X and He F (2025) The change of inflammatory markers may predict long-term major adverse cardiovascular events in elderly patients with coronary heart disease: a retrospective cohort study. *Front. Med.* 11:1523581. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1523581

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 He, Chen, Zhu and He. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The change of inflammatory markers may predict long-term major adverse cardiovascular events in elderly patients with coronary heart disease: a retrospective cohort study

Li He*, Sisi Chen, Xuan Zhu and Fang He

Department of Emergency, Wuhan Fourth Hospital, Wuhan, Hubei, China

Background: At present, the relationship among inflammatory markers [monocytes/HDL-c (MHR), neutrophils/HDL-c (NHR) and lymphocytes/HDL-c (LHR)] and long-term prognosis of coronary heart disease (CHD) is still unclear. Therefore, this study explores the relationship between inflammatory indicators and the risk of long-term major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in elderly patients with CHD.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was conducted on 208 elderly patients who underwent coronary angiography at Wuhan Fourth Hospital from August 2022 to August 2023. They were divided into the CHD group (N = 116) and control group (N = 92). Patients in the CHD group were followed up for 1 year and divided into the MACE group (N = 36) and the non-MACE group (N = 80) according to whether MACE occurred.

Results: In elderly patients, logistic regression analysis shows that MHR is an independent risk factor for CHD (OR = 3.050, 95% CI 1.318–1.772). ROC curve analysis found that MHR (AUC = 0.865, 95% CI 0.811–0.919, p < 0.001) is higher than NHR and LHR. In patients with CHD, the spearman analysis show that MHR is positively correlated with Gensini score (R = 0.266, p = 0.004). The logistic regression analysis found that MHR is independent risk factors for MACE (OR = 6.048, 95% CI 1.224–1.941, p = 0.002). ROC analysis showed that the critical value of MHR to predict MACE was 0.651, the sensitivity of 58.3% and specificity of 90.0% could predict MACE, and the AUC was 0.793 (95% CI 0.702–0.884, p < 0.001) is higher than LHR.

Conclusion: In elderly patients, MHR is an independent predictor of CHD and long-term MACE and is positively correlated with the severity of coronary artery lesions.

KEYWORDS

monocytes, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, coronary heart disease, elderly patients, monocyte/HDL

1 Introduction

As the global population ages, the population aged 80 and over (i.e., the oldest population) is growing even faster than the total number of older people. Between 2008 and 2040, the population over 65 is expected to increase by 160%, while the number over 80 will increase by 233% (1, 2). By 2050, China's population aged 80 and over is expected to quadruple, accounting for the highest proportion of the oldest age group (3). At the same time, the prevalence and severity of coronary heart disease (CHD) increases significantly with age (4). Elderly patients with CHD are a special group of patients, its pathophysiological mechanism is rather complex, and they are more likely to have multiple other metabolic diseases, which makes the target organ damage of elderly CHD more extensive and leads to a higher incidence rate of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) (5). Moreover, the clinical manifestations of elderly CHD patients are often atypical, and the clinical missed diagnosis rate and misdiagnosis rate are as high as 65% (6). At present, in clinical practice, CHD can be accurately and effectively diagnosed through invasive examinations such as intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and coronary angiography (CAG). These examination techniques are invasive, harmful and costly, and are not easily accepted by elderly patients in clinical settings. If CHD and its prognosis can be predicted and evaluated through some biochemical indicators, it will be of great significance for the timely detection, prediction of CHD, and the anticipation of its severity, treatment effect and prognosis.

Inflammatory reaction and lipid dysregulation is an important part of the pathophysiological mechanism of CHD (7, 8). Neutrophils (NEU), monocytes (MONO) and lymphocytes (LYM) in white blood cells are typical inflammatory cells. Among lipoprotein components, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) is a protective factor for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (9). At present, combined markers based on routine peripheral blood cell detection and biochemical detection are attracting more and more attention. NEU to HDL-c (NHR), MONO to HDL-c (MHR), and LYM to HDL-c (LHR) have been recommended as potential markers of systemic inflammation and oxidative stress in many inflammatory diseases (10). Some studies have found that a high ratio of MHR is related to the severity of coronary atherosclerosis (AS) and cardiac events (11). The NHR and LHR are also easily accessible potential inflammatory indicators. They are related to the process of metabolic syndrome and AS formation and can be used as potential indicators of prothrombotic and pro-inflammatory states (12). At present, the relationship between NHR, MHR, LHR and the severity and long-term prognosis of CHD in patients over 80 years is still unclear. Therefore, this study explores the correlation between inflammatory indicators and CHD and the predictive value for the prognosis of elderly CHD patients. By detecting the levels of inflammatory markers, the severity of the disease and cardiovascular risk of elderly CHD patients can be evaluated, providing an important reference for clinical treatment.

2 Method

2.1 Study design and subjects

A retrospective analysis was conducted on 208 elderly patients who underwent CAG at Wuhan Fourth Hospital from August 2022 to

August 2023 were selected, and all patients met any of the following criteria in inclusion and exclusion. Inclusion criteria: (1) CAG was performed during hospitalization; (2) Aged \geq 80 years; (3) Had complete relevant clinical and laboratory examination data. Exclusion criteria: (1) Taking drugs that affect blood cells recently; (2) Patients with severe liver and kidney insufficiency; (3) Patients with severe valvular heart disease, severe heart failure, congenital heart disease, acute pulmonary embolism, acute stroke, and respiratory failure; (4) Patients with hematological system diseases, autoimmune diseases, acute and chronic hemorrhagic diseases, and malignant tumors; (5) Data missing, including hematological parameters such as (NEU, MONO, LYM and HDL-c). According to the examination results, they were divided into the CHD group and the non-CHD group (control group). Patients in the CHD group were followed up for 1 year and divided into the MACE group and the non-MACE group according to whether MACE occurred. This study followed the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Wuhan Fourth Hospital (Ethics Approval No. KY2024-200-01). Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of this study. All personal information regarding patient identity was removed.

2.2 Data collection

Retrieve general clinical data from the electronic medical records of all patients, including age, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, T2DM, etc. All patients were fast than 8 h, and fasting venous blood was collected in the morning of the next day. Collect all patient-related laboratory tests, serum TC, TG, HDL-c, low-density lipoprotein (LDL-c), total cholesterol (TG), triglyceride (TC), uric acid (UA), uric acid (UA), glucose (GLu) and other indicators. They were measured using an automated analyzer (Abbott CI8200, Mindray, China). All the test indicators were completed in the laboratory of our hospital. The same instruments and equipment and appropriate reagents were used for testing. The test results were recorded in the same excel spread sheet by specialized researchers.

2.3 Definitions

- Patients with the coronary involvement was defined as lesion stenosis ≥50% in any epicardial coronary artery with a diameter ≥ 2 mm which were included in the CHD group, while the other patients were included in the control group (13).
- (2) Hypertension is defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg, or current is using antihypertensive drugs (14).
- (3) The diagnosis of T2DM is based on one or more of the followings: ① Self-reported history of diabetes, ② Taking hypoglycemic drugs, ③ Fasting blood glucose (FBG) ≥ 7.0 mmol/L, ④ Blood glucose≥11.1 mmol/L 2 h after oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was the standard diagnosis, ③ HbA1c ≥ 6.5% (15, 16).
- (4) CAG and Gensini (GS) score to evaluate the severity of coronary artery stenosis. GS score was used as a quantitative

index for the severity of coronary lesions. The severity of coronary artery stenosis was evaluated according to GS score and the score of the degree of stenosis in each coronary artery was the score of the lesion site (a total of 7 coronary artery lesion sites) × the score of the degree of stenosis (6 coronary artery stenosis degrees), and the total score was the sum of all the lesion points. Completed by professional coronary intervention physicians in the cardiac catheterization laboratory of Wuhan Fourth Hospital. All patients choose the arterial route and use multi-position projection to perform CAG to evaluate the severity of coronary artery stenosis (17, 18). According to the coronary artery segmentation evaluation standard of the American Heart Association, the GS scoring system is used to score the severity of coronary artery stenosis.

2.4 Clinical outcomes

MACE includes myocardial infarction, heart failure, cardiac arrest, stroke, etc. If rescue is not timely, it can still lead to the death of the patient. At least two attending physicians with clinical experience in cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases manually view the electronic medical records of hospitalization or outpatient visits during the follow-up period, and finally confirm whether there is an end event in combination with telephone follow-up (19).

2.5 Statistical analysis

SPSS27.0 software was used for statistical analysis. Measurement data conforming to a normal distribution are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation and independent sample t-test or ANOVA is used for comparison between groups. Measurement data that do not conform to a normal distribution are expressed as M (P25, P75) and the Mann–Whitney U test is used for comparison between groups. Count data are expressed as cases or cases (%) and the chi-square test is used for comparison between groups. Spearman correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between serological markers and GS score of coronary artery lesions; univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze risk factors and ROC curve was used to judge the accuracy of the model. A difference was considered statistically significant when p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 General data analysis

A total of 258 patients underwent CAG. According to the exclusion criteria, 208 patients were included in this study. There were 74 males and 134 females. According to the CHD diagnostic criteria, they were divided into the CHD group (N = 116) and the control group (N = 92). Compared with the control group, the proportion of hypertension, antilipidemic drug therapy, age, MONO, NEU, NHR, LHR, MHR was significantly increased in CHD group (p < 0.05). The levels of HDL-c was significantly decreased in CHD group (p < 0.05). However, there were no significant differences in smoking, alcohol

consumption, T2DM, sex, LDL-c, TG, TC, UA, GLU and LYM between the CHD group and the control group (Table 1).

3.2 Logistic regression analyses of independent predictors of CHD

The dependent variable is whether CHD is diagnosed. Univariate logistic regression analysis is used. The results show that age, hypertension, antilipidemic drug therapy, NEU, MONO, HDL-c, NHR, LHR and MHR are all statistically significant. To avoid multicollinearity, we did not include NEU, LYM, MONO and HDL-c in the regression model. Finally, age, hypertension, NHR, LHR, and MHR were included as independent variables in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. The results show that MHR (OR = 3.050, 95% CI 1.318–1.772, *p* < 0.001) and NHR (OR = 1.233, 95% CI 1.024–1.486, *p* < 0.001) are independent risk factors for the occurrence of CHD (Table 2).

3.3 ROC curve analysis of MHR, NHR, LHR for predicting CHD

The ROC curve was used to evaluate the predictive effect of NHR, LHR and MHR on the occurrence of CHD. The results show that MHR has certain predictive value for CHD. The area under the curve (AUC) of its index is 0.865 (95% CI 0.811–0.919, p < 0.001). The predictive ability of MHR is higher than that of NHR (AUC = 0.802, 95% CI 0.741–0.864, p < 0.001) and LHR (AUC = 0.687, 95% CI 0.614–0.760, p < 0.001; Figure 1).

3.4 Correlation between MHR and GS score

The results of spearman correlation analysis show that age (R = 0.206, p = 0.027), NEU (R = 0.373, p < 0.001), MHR (R = 0.266, p = 0.004), and NHR (R = 0.411, p < 0.001) are positively correlated with GS score. However, sex, smoking, alcohol consumption, hypertension, T2DM, MONO, LYM, HDL-c, LDL-c, TG, TG, UA, GLU and LHR are not correlated with GS score (Table 3; Figure 2).

3.5 Predictive value of inflammatory indicators for MACE occurrence in CHD patients after 1 year

One hundred and sixteen CHD patients were followed up and divided into the MACE group (N = 36) and the non-MACE group (N = 80) according to whether MACE occurred. Older age and the proportion of hypertensive were determined at significantly higher rates in MACE group than in non-MACE group (p = 0.004, p = 0.028). The MONO, LYM, LHR and MHR values were determined to be significantly higher (p < 0.001, p = 0.008, p < 0.001, p = 0.003, respectively) in MACE group than in non-MACE group. The median values of the MHR index for the two groups of patients were 0.45 (0.39,0.59) and 0.71 (0.54,0.88). There was no statistically significant difference in proportion of sex, T2DM, antilipidemic drug therapy,

TABLE 1 Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients.

| Parameters | Control group (N = 92) | CHD group (<i>N</i> = 116) | ρ |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Sex (<i>n</i> %) | 33 (35.8) | 41 (45.3) | 0.937 |
| Age (year) | 81 (80,82) | 83 (81,84) | <0.001 |
| Smoking [<i>n</i> (%)] | 9 (9.7) | 13 (11.2) | 0.740 |
| Alcohol consumption (<i>n</i> %) | 5 (5.4) | 4 (3.4) | 0.484 |
| Hypertension [<i>n</i> (%)] | 59 (64.1) | 92 (79.3) | 0.015 |
| T2DM [n (%)] | 20 (21.7) | 38 (32.7) | 0.078 |
| Antilipidemic drug therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 67 (72.8) | 109 (93.9) | <0.001 |
| MONO(×10 ⁹ /L) | 0.39 (0.32,0.52) | 0.47 (0.37,0.61) | 0.005 |
| NEU(×10 ⁹ /L) | 2.74 (1.90,4.24) | 4.13 (3.18,6.38) | <0.001 |
| LYM(×10 ⁹ /L) | 1.41 (1.10,1.69) | 1.36 (0.97,1.68) | 0.204 |
| HDL-c(mmol/L) | 1.17 (1.00,1.43) | 1.15 (0.95,1.28) | 0.021 |
| LDL-c(mmol/L) | 1.98 (1.58,2.72) | 2.15 (1.57,2.78) | 0.854 |
| TG(mmol/L) | 1.08 (0.77,1.60) | 1.09 (0.82,1.57) | 0.671 |
| TC(mmol/L) | 3.82 (3.32,4.51) | 3.82 (3.30,4.49) | 0.828 |
| UA (µmol/L) | 312 (272,399) | 350 (266,413) | 0.386 |
| GLU(mmol/L) | 6.21 (5.44,7.76) | 6.21 (5.22,8.41) | 0.862 |
| MHR | 2.51 (1.71,3.69) | 3.74 (2.80,5.65) | <0.001 |
| NHR | 2.68 (1.73,4.03) | 4.76 (3.74,6.49) | <0.001 |
| LHR | 1.13 (0.83,1.57) | 1.60 (1.15,2.14) | <0.001 |

T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotin cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLU, glucose; UA, uric acid; NEU, Neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocyte; MHR, monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; LHR, lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; NHR, Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; CHD, coronary heart disease CHD.

smoking, alcohol consumption and HDL-c, LDL-c, TG, TG, UA, GLU between the two groups (p > 0.05; Table 4).

Univariate logistic regression analysis shows that age, hypertension, MHR, MONO, NEU, NHR, and NHR are statistically significant. Including age, hypertension, MHR, NHR, and LHR in the multivariate logistic regression model found that MHR and age are independent risk factors for MACE occurrence in CHD patients after 1 year (OR = 6.048, 95% CI 1.224–1.941, p = 0.002; Table 5).

In order to further study and compare the prediction effect of MHR, NHR and LHR, we draw the ROC curve. Of the three indicators examined, MHR had the highest AUC of 0.793 (95% CI 0.702–0.884, p < 0.001). The optimal cut-off value of MHR is 0.651. The sensitivity for predicting MACE is 58.3% and the specificity is 90.0%. LHR was next with an AUC of 0.672 (95% CI 0.562–0.781, p = 0.003) with an optimal threshold of 1.795 and an optimal cut-off value 5.649 (sensitivity 61.1%, specificity 75.0%). However, the lowest AUC was found for NHR with an AUC of 0.581(95% CI: 0.464–0.698, p = 0.164) with no statistically significant (Figure 3). In short, this means that when MHR is higher than 0.651, we need to pay extra attention because they are high-risk groups for MACE. Clinicians need to urge them to improve their lifestyle, promote healthy diet and physical activities to help them prevent or delay MACE early.

4 Discussion

The occurrence and development of CHD are affected by multiple factors. Risk factors that have been identified, such as smoking,

T2DM, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, type A personality, obesity and genetic factors (20). Further understanding of its molecular pathogenesis and doing a good job in primary prevention are of crucial importance. It has been clearly established that AS is an independent risk factor for future adverse cardiovascular events. It is the deposition of lipid substances on the vessel wall. In recent years, more and more studies have shown that biomarkers from peripheral blood, such as MHR, LHR and NHR, can replace conventional markers of inflammatory status (IL-6, CRP, and adiponectin) (21, 22). They can be used as indicators to detect and predict the presence and severity of systemic inflammatory processes, including CVD (23). Therefore, in this study, we explored the relationship between inflammatory indicators (MHR, LHR, NHR) and CHD in elderly patients, and proposed that MHR can better predict the risk of longterm MACE in elderly CHD patients. The results found that MHR has value in predicting the occurrence of adverse cardiovascular events in elderly CHD patients. The highest AUC of MHR is 0.793 (95% CI 0.702–0.884, p < 0.001). Correlation analysis also shows that MHR is positively correlated with GS score, indicating that the higher the MHR, the more severe the CHD. The results of logistic regression analysis show that MHR is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of CHD (OR = 3.050, 95% CI 1.318-1.772, p < 0.001) and long-term MACE in CHD patients (OR = 6.048, 95% CI 1.224-1.941, p = 0.002). In conclusion, MHR is a new type of marker that can be used to predict the severity of CHD and MACE in elderly CHD patients.

CHD is age-dependent (incidence and prevalence), and for the disorders, aging independently increases risk for adverse outcomes.

TABLE 2 Logistic regression analyses of independent predictors of CHD.

| | Univariate | | Multivariate | |
|----------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Variables | p | OR (95% CI) | p | OR (95% CI) |
| Sex | 0.937 | 1.023 (0.578,1.812) | | |
| Age | <0.001 | 1.304 (1.125,1.512) | 0.085 | 1.165 (0.979–1.386) |
| Smoking | 0.740 | 1.164 (0.474,2.856) | | |
| Alcohol consumption | 0.488 | 0.621 (0.162,2.383) | | |
| Hypertension | 0.016 | 2.144 (1.154,3.982) | 0.112 | 1.939 (0.857-4.391) |
| T2DM | 0.080 | 1.754 (0.935,3.290) | | |
| Antilipidemic drug therapy | <0.001 | 5.810 (2.382,14.172) | | |
| NEU | <0.001 | 1.538 (1.292,1.832) | | |
| MON | <0.001 | 9.414 (1.389,1.729) | | |
| LYM | 0.369 | 1.256 (0.764,2.067) | | |
| HDL-C | <0.001 | 0.006 (0.001,0.032) | | |
| LDL-C | 0.799 | 1.045 (0.743,1.470) | | |
| TG | 0.934 | 1.012 (0.758,1.352) | | |
| ТСНО | 0.991 | 0.998 (0.745,1.339) | | |
| UA | 0.524 | 1.001 (0.998,1.004) | | |
| GLU | 0.905 | 0.995 (0.910,1.087) | | |
| MHR | <0.001 | 5.359 (1.655,1.998) | <0.001 | 3.050 (1.318-1.772) |
| NHR | <0.001 | 1.659 (1.386,1.986) | 0.027 | 1.233 (1.024–1.486) |
| LHR | <0.001 | 2.654 (1.637,4.305) | 0.636 | 1.157 (0.632–2.117) |

T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotin cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLU, glucose; UA, uric acid; NEU, Neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocyte; MHR, monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; LHR, lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; NHR, Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; CHD, coronary heart disease CHD.



The prevalence of patients with the combination of advanced age (including the very old, aged \geq 80 years) and CHD is increasing (24). Adults \geq 75 years of age constitute approximately 30–40% of all hospitalized acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients and the majority of ACS-related mortality is observed in this segment of the population (25–27). The progressive increase in the incidence of adverse outcomes with increasing age culminated in the very old patients having the highest incidence of each adverse outcome, with the exception of revascularization (24). Therefore, the participants in this study were exclusively individuals aged 80 years and older. Our study also revealed that spearman correlation analysis showed a positive correlation between age and the GS score (R = 0.206, p = 0.027) and

age is an independent predict the occurrence of MACE in patients with CHD (OR = 1.314, 95% CI 1.074-1.608, p = 0.008).

Previous studies have shown that inflammatory reactions play an important role in the progression and instability of AS and CVD (28). In patients with active inflammation, an increase in the concentration of inflammatory mediators or markers can be used to predict the occurrence of AS CVD. In the process of AS plaque formation, first, through the interaction of NEU, MONO and endothelial cells, it can affect the uptake and metabolism of lipids. LYM, NEU and MONO release pro-inflammatory cytokines and inflammatory mediators through direct and indirect actions. Inflammatory factors such as interleukin-1, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor- α

| TABLE 3 | The correlation | between | each | factor a | nd | Gensini | score. |
|---------|-----------------|---------|------|----------|----|---------|--------|
|---------|-----------------|---------|------|----------|----|---------|--------|

| | Gensini score | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|--|
| | R | p | |
| Sex (<i>n</i> %) | -0.118 | 0.205 | |
| Age (year) | 0.206 | 0.027 | |
| Smoking [<i>n</i> (%)] | 0.004 | 0.968 | |
| Alcohol consumption (<i>n</i> %) | -0.110 | 0.241 | |
| Hypertension $[n (\%)]$ | 0.127 | 0.174 | |
| T2DM [<i>n</i> (%)] | 0.038 | 0.688 | |
| MONO(×10 ⁹ /L) | 0.051 | 0.586 | |
| NEU(×10 ⁹ /L) | 0.373 | <0.001 | |
| LYM(×10 ⁹ /L) | -0.046 | 0.621 | |
| HDL-c(mmol/L) | -0.072 | 0.443 | |
| LDL(mmol/L) | 0.170 | 0.069 | |
| TG(mmol/L) | 0.015 | 0.877 | |
| TC(mmol/L) | 0.142 | 0.127 | |
| UA (µmol/L) | 0.065 | 0.490 | |
| GLU(mmol/L) | 0.141 | 0.130 | |
| MHR | 0.266 | 0.004 | |
| NHR | 0.411 | <0.001 | |
| LHR | 0.035 | 0.707 | |

TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotin cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLU, glucose; UA, uric acid; NEU, Neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocyte; MHR, monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; LHR, lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; NHR, Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio.



(TNF- α) can stimulate vascular endothelial cells to further express adhesion molecules, increasing the adhesion and recruitment of inflammatory cells (29, 30). Meanwhile, they can also activate vascular smooth muscle cells, prompting their proliferation and migration. And the inflammatory mediators promote the activation and aggregation of platelets, accelerating thrombosis (31). Once a thrombus forms and blocks the coronary artery, it will lead to myocardial ischemia and hypoxia, triggering serious CHD events like acute myocardial infarction (32). At the same time, they will cause apoptosis of vascular smooth muscle cells, reducing the supporting effect on plaques. When plaques are affected by hemodynamic factors (such as blood pressure fluctuations, vasoconstriction, etc.), they are more likely to rupture (33). LYM recognize autoantigens such as ox-LDL through autoimmune reactions to form immune complexes, which further activate the complement system and generate anaphylatoxins, attracting more inflammatory cells to gather on the blood vessel wall and accelerating the formation and development of atherosclerotic plaques (34). NEU contain a rich enzyme system and can produce a large amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS has a strong oxidizing effect and can oxidize low-density lipoprotein (LDL) to turn it into oxidized LDL (ox-LDL). Ox-LDL is taken up by macrophages to form foam cells, promoting the formation and development of plaques (35, 36). After the vascular endothelium is damaged, chemokines attract MONO to migrate to the subintima, differentiate into macrophages and take up ox-LDL to form foam cells, constituting the lipid core of the plaque. The inflammatory mediators secreted by them prompt the migration of smooth muscle cells, affect the stability of the fibrous cap, and also participate in immunoregulation and vascular remodeling (37, 38). After the plaque ruptures, it can trigger thrombosis that leads to coronary artery obstruction and induces serious consequences such as myocardial infarction (33, 39). However, HDL-c particles exhibit anti-AS properties by removing cholesterol from macrophages, showing antioxidant effects, preventing thrombosis, and maintaining endothelial function and low blood viscosity through the deformability of red blood cells (40). High-level inflammatory markers and low-level HDL-c jointly promote atherosclerosis and contribute to the occurrence of CHD.

The NHR is calculated from NEU and HDL-c. Studies have confirmed that NHR and LHR are not only related to the occurrence and severity of CHD, but also can predict the severity of CHD better than NEU, HDL-c or LDL-c/HDL-c (12, 41). The results of our study found that in elderly patients, LHR is not related to the severity of coronary stenosis, while NHR can effectively predict the occurrence of CHD and is related to the severity of coronary stenosis(R = 0.411, p < 0.001). MHR is a newly developed inflammatory marker calculated by the ratio of MONO to HDL-c levels. Some scholars have found that MHR may be better than MONO or HDL-c levels alone in predicting the occurrence and progression of AS, thereby predicting coronary events (11). Kanbay et al.'s research shows that a higher MHR is an independent predictor of major cardiovascular events during follow-up in patients with CKD (42). In addition, Kundi et al.'s research shows that MHR is independently and significantly associated with the SYNTAX score in patients with stable CHD (43). This is consistent with our research results. MHR is positively correlated with the severity of coronary arteries (R = 0.266, p = 0.004); multivariate logistic regression analysis found that MHR is an independent risk factor for the occurrence of CHD and long-term MACE in CHD patients. Additionally, the ROC analysis showed a significant improvement in the ability to identify MACE using the MHR (AUC = 0.793). Therefore, compared with a single cellular component or biochemical index, the predictive value of combined indexes such as MHR, NHR, and LHR may be higher. The effect of MHR is the most optimal.

In summary, in elderly patients, MHR is an independent predictor of CHD and its long-term MACE and is positively correlated with the severity of coronary artery lesions. This predictive ability helps to screen out high-risk groups for CHD in clinical practice so that interventions can be carried out in advance. TABLE 4 Comparison of clinical data of CHD patients between non-MACE group and MACE group.

| Parameters | Non-MACE group (<i>N</i> = 80) | MACE group (<i>N</i> = 36) | p |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Sex (<i>n</i> %) | 26 (32.5) | 15 (41.6) | 0.339 |
| Age (year) | 82 (80,84) | 83 (82,85) | 0.004 |
| Smoking [<i>n</i> (%)] | 6 (7.5) | 7 (19.4) | 0.059 |
| Alcohol consumption (<i>n</i> %) | 2 (2.5) | 2 (5.5) | 0.404 |
| Hypertension [<i>n</i> (%)] | 59 (73.7) | 33 (91.6) | 0.028 |
| T2DM [n (%)] | 26 (32.5) | 12 (33.2) | 0.930 |
| Antilipidemic drug therapy $[n (\%)]$ | 74 (92.5) | 35 (97.2) | 0.323 |
| MONO(×10°/L) | 0.41 (0.33,0.50) | 0.59 (0.49,0.74) | <0.001 |
| NEU(×10 ⁹ /L) | 4.08 (3.09,6.31) | 4.44 (3.55,7.11) | 0.193 |
| LYM(×10°/L) | 1.34 (1.04,1.60) | 1.62 (1.22,1.92) | 0.008 |
| HDL-c(mmol/L) | 0.90 (0.76,1.04) | 0.89 (0.73,0.98) | 0.400 |
| LDL-c(mmol/L) | 2.02 (1.45,2.77) | 2.24 (1.98,2.77) | 0.117 |
| TG(mmol/L) | 1.11 (0.83,1.49) | 1.08 (0.82,1.64) | 0.481 |
| TC(mmol/L) | 3.74 (3.28,4.49) | 3.92 (3.42,4.45) | 0.363 |
| UA (µmol/L) | 348 (270,413) | 352 (255,416) | 0.978 |
| GLU(mmol/L) | 6.22 (5.21,9.13) | 6.21 (5.49,7.37) | 0.962 |
| MHR | 0.45 (0.39,0.59) | 0.71 (0.54,0.88) | <0.001 |
| NHR | 4.71 (3.65,6.29) | 5.35 (3.78,8.96) | 0.164 |
| LHR | 1.47 (1.13,1.80) | 1.97 (1.29,2.45) | 0.003 |

T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotin cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLU, glucose; UA, uric acid; NEU, Neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocyte; MHR, monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; LHR, lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; NHR, Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; CHD, coronary heart disease CHD.

TABLE 5 Logistic regression analyses of predictors of long-term MACE in CHD patients.

| | Univariate | | Multivariate | |
|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Variables | p | OR (95% CI) | p | OR (95% CI) |
| Sex | 0.341 | 0.674 (0.300,1.517) | | |
| Age (year) | 0.004 | 1.293 (1.086,1.539) | 0.008 | 1.314 (1.074–1.608) |
| Smoking | 0.068 | 2.977 (0.922,9.610) | | |
| Alcohol consumption | 0.416 | 2.294 (0.310,16.967) | | |
| Hypertension | 0.037 | 3.915 (1.086,14.118) | 0.097 | 3.945 (0.779–19.97) |
| T2DM | 0.930 | 1.038 (0.450,2.396) | | |
| Antilipidemic drug therapy | 0.343 | 2.838 (0.329,24.481) | | |
| NEU | 0.146 | 1.137 (0.956,1.353) | | |
| MON | 0.001 | 64.257 (5.791,713.022) | | |
| LYM | 0.033 | 2.289 (1.071,4.892) | | |
| HDL-C | 0.250 | 0.263 (0.027,2.557) | | |
| LDL-C | 0.364 | 1.245 (0.776,1.999) | | |
| TG | 0.066 | 1.454 (0.976,2.166) | | |
| ТСНО | 0.484 | 1.154 (0.773,1.722) | | |
| UA | 0.572 | 1.001 (0.997,1.005) | | |
| GLU | 0.949 | 0.995 (0.865,1.146) | | |
| MHR | <0.001 | 1.245 (1.103,1.653) | 0.002 | 6.048 (1.224–1.941) |
| NHR | 0.042 | 1.131 (1.005,1.274) | 0.613 | 1.038 (0.898-1.201) |
| LHR | 0.005 | 2.451 (1.302,4.615) | 0.078 | 1.968 (0.926-4.184) |

T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; TG, triglycerides; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotin cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; GLU, glucose; UA, uric acid; NEU, Neutrophil; LYM, lymphocyte; MONO, monocyte; MHR, monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; LHR, lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; NHR, Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio; CHD, coronary heart disease CHD; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular event.



For patients who have already been diagnosed with CHD, the MHR can be used to monitor changes in their condition. A relatively high MHR is often associated with a poorer prognosis for patients with CHD. A continuously rising ratio may indicate that patients are more likely to experience adverse cardiovascular events, such as recurrent myocardial infarction and heart failure. In our study, during the long-term follow-up of patients with CHD, it has been found that the mortality rate of those patients with a consistently high ratio is significantly higher than that of patients with a normal ratio. This provides a useful reference indicator for clinicians to assess the prognosis of patients.

4.1 Strengths and limitations

This is the first study to confirm that MHR has predictive value in elderly CHD patients and is related to the long-term prognosis of CHD patients. These findings provide valuable significance for the screening of elderly CHD and provide an important reference for future research. However, our study has some limitations. First, this is a single-center retrospective study. Data may have missing values due to incomplete records. Retrospective studies are usually observational studies, and it is very difficult to strictly control confounding factors as in experimental studies. However, to increase the reliability of the results, we excluded the missing data in the main research results. Second, this study was conducted in patients who received coronary CAG and cannot represent the general population. There may be selection bias, leading to overestimation or underestimation of the correlation of observed outcomes. Finally, The sample size was relatively small. In the future, we hope that more large-sample, multi-center, prospective trials will prove the clinical role of this indicator and establish a standard range, we anticipate obtaining more favorable outcomes in future research.

5 Conclusion

In summary, in elderly patients, MHR is an independent predictor of CHD and its long-term MACE and is positively

correlated with the severity of coronary artery lesions. Therefore, MHR has a high predictive value for MACE and severe coronary stenosis in CHD patients, and can provide clinicians with more accurate prognostic information, facilitating timely adoption of appropriate intervention measures to prevent the occurrence of MACE and severe coronary stenosis and improve the overall prognosis of CHD patients.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of Wuhan Fourth Hospital (Ethics approval no. KY2024-200-01). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

LH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SC: Investigation, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Data curation, Project administration, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FH: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was recived for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate all staff who participates in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Liu Z, Xu G, Xu L, Zhang Y, Huang Y. Perioperative cardiac complications in patients over 80 years of age with coronary artery disease undergoing noncardiac surgery: the incidence and risk factors. *Clin Interv Aging*. (2020) 15:1181–91. doi: 10.2147/CIA.S252160

2. United Nations. *Department of Economic and Social Affairs. Population division.* World population ageing 2015 (ST/ESA/SER.A/390). (2015). Available at: http://www. un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/ageing/WPA2015_ Report.pdf.

3. Grubey JS, Raji Y, Duke W, Terris D. Outpatient thyroidectomy is safe in the elderly and super-elderly. *Laryngoscope*. (2018) 128:290-4. doi: 10.1002/lary.26707

4. World Health Organization. *China country assessment report on ageing and health.* WHO library cataloguing-in-publication data 2015 (ISBN 978 92 4 150931 2). (2015). Available at: https://www.who.int/ageing/publications/china-country-assessment/en/.

5. Xiao Z, Lin Z, Xu L, Xu W, Huang H, Wang Y, et al. Cumulative remnant cholesterol predicts cardiovascular outcomes in elderly patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2023) 30:1924–34. doi: 10.1093/eurjpc/zwad297

6. Wang H, Fang F, Chai K, Luo Y, Liu B, Liu D, et al. Pathologicalcharacteristics of coronary artery disease in elderlypatients aged 80 years and over. *Chin J Cardiol.* (2015) 43:948–53. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2015

7. Luo J, Thomassen J, Nordestgaard B, Tybjærg-Hansen A, Frikke-Schmidt R. Neutrophil counts and cardiovascular disease. *Eur Heart J.* (2023) 44:4953–64. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehad649

8. Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C, et al. 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J.* (2020) 41:407–77. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz425

9. Feng M, Darabi M, Tubeuf E, Canicio A, Lhomme M, Frisdal E, et al. Free cholesterol transfer to high-density lipoprotein (HDL) upon triglyceride lipolysis underlies the U-shape relationship between HDL-cholesterol and cardiovascular disease. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* (2020) 27:1606–16. doi: 10.1177/2047487319894114

10. Wei Y, Gao H, Luo Y, Feng J, Li G, Wang T, et al. Systemic inflammation and oxidative stress markers in patients with unipolar and bipolar depression: a large-scale study. *J Affect Disord.* (2024) 346:154–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.10.156

11. Çağdaş M, Karakoyun S, Yesin M, Rencüzoğulları İ, Karabağ Y, Uluganyan M, et al. The association between monocyte HDL-C ratio and SYNTAX score and SYNTAX score II in STEMI patients treated with primary PCI. *Acta Cardiol Sin*. (2018) 34:23–30. doi: 10.6515/ACS.201801_34(1).20170823A

12. Cândido FG, da A, Zanirate G, Oliveira N, Hermsdorff H. Lymphocyte to highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol ratio is positively associated with pre-diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and non-traditional Cardiometabolic risk markers: a cross-sectional study at secondary health care. *Inflammation*. (2024) 2024:2063. doi: 10.1007/s10753-024-02063-w

13. Yang T, Li G, Wang C, Xu G, Li Q, Yang Y, et al. Insulin resistance and coronary inflammation in patients with coronary artery disease: a cross-sectional study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2024) 23:79. doi: 10.1186/s12933-024-02159-5

14. al-Makki A, DiPette D, Whelton P, Murad M, Mustafa R, Acharya S, et al. Hypertension pharmacological treatment in adults: a World Health Organization guideline executive summary. *Hypertension*. (2022) 79:293–301. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.121.18192

15. Xiao H, Ma Y, Zhou Z, Li X, Ding K, Wu Y, et al. Disease patterns of coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes harbored distinct and shared genetic architecture. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2022) 21:276. doi: 10.1186/s12933-022-01715-1

16. Jia W, Weng J, Zhu D, Ji L, Lu J, Zhou Z, et al. Standards of medical care for type 2 diabetes in China 2019. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* (2019) 35:e3158. doi: 10.1002/dmrr.3158

17. Gensini GG. A more meaningful scoring system for determining the severity of coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol. (1983) 51:606. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9149(83)80105-2

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1523581/ full#supplementary-material

18. Rampidis GP, Benetos G, Benz D, Giannopoulos A, Buechel R. A guide for Gensini Score calculation. *Atherosclerosis.* (2019) 287:181–3. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2019.05.012

19. Tao S, Yu L, Li J, Huang L, Huang X, Zhang W, et al. Association between the triglyceride-glucose index and 1-year major adverse cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease and hypertension. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* (2023) 22:305. doi: 10.1186/s12933-023-02018-9

20. Alpert JS. New coronary heart disease risk factors. Am J Med. (2023) 136:331–2. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2022.08.002

21. Çetinarslan T, Türel A, Özyurt B, Gündüz K. Evaluation of the laboratory parameters in hidradenitis suppurativa: can we use new inflammatory biomarkers? *Dermatol Ther.* (2021) 34:e14835. doi: 10.1111/dth.14835

22. Jialal I, Jialal G, Adams-Huet B, Ramakrishnan N. Neutrophil and monocyte ratios to high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol and adiponectin as biomarkers of nascent metabolic syndrome. Horm Mol biol. *Clin Investig.* (2020) 41:70. doi: 10.1515/ hmbci-2019-0070

23. Marra A, Bondesan A, Caroli D, Sartorio A. Complete blood count-derived inflammation indexes are useful in predicting metabolic syndrome in children and adolescents with severe obesity. *J Clin Med.* (2024) 13:120. doi: 10.3390/jcm13072120

24. Denardo SJ, Gong Y, Nichols WW, Messerli FH, Bavry AA, Cooper-Dehoff RM, et al. Blood pressure and outcomes in very old hypertensive coronary artery disease patients: an INVEST substudy. *Am J Med.* (2010) 123:719–26. doi: 10.1016/j. amjmed.2010.02.014

25. De Luca L, Marini M, Gonzini L, Boccanelli A, Casella G, Chiarella F, et al. Contemporary trends and age-specific sex differences in management and outcome for patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2016) 5:202. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.116.004202

26. De Luca L, Olivari Z, Bolognese L, Lucci D, Gonzini L, Di Chiara A, et al. A decade of changes in clinical characteristics and management of elderly patients with non-ST elevation myocardial infarction admitted in Italian cardiac care units. *Open Heart.* (2014) 1:e000148. doi: 10.1136/openhrt-2014-000148

27. Zaman MJ, Stirling S, Shepstone L, Ryding A, Flather M, Bachmann M, et al. The association between older age and receipt of care and outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndromes: a cohort study of the myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP). *Eur Heart J.* (2014) 35:1551–8. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehu039

28. Goldman M, Bondue A, Cogan E. Inflammation, infection, and cardiovascular risk. Lancet. (2024) 403:1023. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)02877-5

29. Vieceli F, Fortini F, Aquila G, Campo G, Vaccarezza M, Rizzo P. Notch signaling regulates immune responses in atherosclerosis. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1130. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01130

30. Henein MY, Vancheri S, Longo G, Vancheri F. The role of inflammation in cardiovascular disease. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:906. doi: 10.3390/ijms232112906

31. Vieira-Potter VJ. Inflammation and macrophage modulation in adipose tissues. *Cell Microbiol.* (2014) 16:1484–92. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12336

32. Silvestre-Roig C, Braster Q, Wichapong K, Lee E, Teulon J, Berrebeh N, et al. Externalized histone H4 orchestrates chronic inflammation by inducing lytic cell death. *Nature*. (2019) 569:236–40. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1167-6

33. Ghattas A, Griffiths H, Devitt A, Lip G, Shantsila E. Monocytes in coronary artery disease and atherosclerosis: where are we now? *J Am Coll Cardiol*. (2013) 62:1541–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.07.043

34. Saigusa R, Winkels H, Ley K. T cell subsets and functions in atherosclerosis. Nat Rev Cardiol. (2020) 17:387-401. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-0352-5

35. Silvestre-Roig C, Braster Q, Ortega-Gomez A, Soehnlein O. Neutrophils as regulators of cardiovascular inflammation. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* (2020) 17:327-40. doi: 10.1038/s41569-019-0326-7

36. Sreejit G, Johnson J, Jaggers RM, Dahdah A, Murphy AJ, NMJ H, et al. Neutrophils in cardiovascular disease: warmongers, peacemakers, or both? *Cardiovasc Res.* (2022) 118:2596–609. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvab302

37. Zingg JM, Vlad A, Ricciarelli R. Oxidized LDLs as signaling molecules. *Antioxidants (Basel)*. (2021) 10:1184. doi: 10.3390/antiox10081184

38. Lee J, Choi JH. Deciphering macrophage phenotypes upon lipid uptake and atherosclerosis. *Immune Netw.* (2020) 20:e22. doi: 10.4110/in.2020.20.e22

39. Moore KJ, Tabas I. Macrophages in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. *Cell.* (2011) 145:341–55. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.005

40. Ruiz-Ramie JJ, Barber JL, Sarzynski MA. Effects of exercise on HDL functionality. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* (2019) 30:16–23. doi: 10.1097/MOL.000000000000568 41. Gao J, Lu J, Sha W, Xu B, Zhang C, Wang H, et al. Relationship between the neutrophil to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and severity of coronary artery disease in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Front Cardiovasc Med.* (2022) 9:1015398. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2022.1015398

42. Kanbay M, Solak Y, Unal HU, Kurt YG, Gok M, Cetinkaya H, et al. Monocyte count/HDL cholesterol ratio and cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Int Urol Nephrol.* (2014) 46:1619–25. doi: 10.1007/s11255-014-0730-1

43. Kundi H, Kiziltunc E, Cetin M, Cicekcioglu H, Cetin ZG, Cicek G, et al. Association of monocyte/HDL-C ratio with SYNTAX scores in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *Herz.* (2016) 41:523–9. doi: 10.1007/s00059-015-4393-1

Glossary

| CHD - Coronary heart disease | TC - Total cholesterol |
|--|---|
| CAG - Conventional coronary angiography | LDL-c - Low-density lipoprotin cholesterol |
| ROC - Receiver-operating characteristic | HDL-c - High-density lipoprotein cholesterol |
| GS - Gensini score | GLU - Glucose |
| CIs - Confidence intervals | UA - Uric acid |
| AS - Arteriosclerosis | NEU - Neutrophil |
| MACE - Major adverse cardiovascular events | LYM - Lymphocyte |
| CVD - Cardiovascular diseases | MONO - Monocyte |
| SBP - Systolic blood pressure | MHR - Monocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio |
| DBP - Diastolic blood pressure | LHR - Lymphocyte high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio |
| GS - Gensini | NHR - Neutrophil high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio |

TG - Triglycerides