

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Marc Jean Struelens,
Université libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE
Daniel O'Toole

☑ daniel.otoole@nuigalway.ie
Shahd Horie
☑ shahd.horie@nuigalway.ie
Emma Murphy
☑ emma.murphy@tus.ie

RECEIVED 15 September 2024 ACCEPTED 17 October 2024 PUBLISHED 05 November 2024

CITATION

O'Toole D, Horie S and Murphy E (2024) Editorial: Novel targets and state of the art therapies in ARDS and sepsis. *Front. Med.* 11:1496821. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1496821

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 O'Toole, Horie and Murphy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Novel targets and state of the art therapies in ARDS and sepsis

Daniel O'Toole^{1*}, Shahd Horie^{2*} and Emma Murphy^{3*}

¹Discipline of Physiology, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland, ²Discipline of Anaesthesia, University of Galway, Galway, Ireland, ³PRISM Research Institute, Technological University of the Shannon, Athlone, Ireland

KEYWORDS

ARDS, sepsis, diagnostics, therapeutics, infection

Editorial on the Research Topic

Novel targets and state of the art therapies in ARDS and sepsis

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and sepsis remain leading causes of patient morbidity and mortality and the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the continuing lack of effective therapeutic options for these and other related acute inflammatory conditions. Among the problems facing ARDS researchers is that there is currently no specific biomarker for rapid diagnosis, and adhering to the Berlin consensus criteria (1) and therefore necessitates methods that are time consuming and expensive, particularly in the context of overloaded health care services. Recent hot topics are subphenotyping of patients, with clearly delineated hyper- and hypo-inflammatory versions of ARDS being more widely recognized (2) and emerging biomarkers of patient outcome giving clinicians the opportunity to treat these quite distinct disease variants with distinct therapeutic approaches. There are also still no licensed medicine specifically targeting ARDS or sepsis (3), a critical gap in the clinician's arsenal and individual organ and symptom support remains the mainstay (4).

Recently, a host of novel medicinal approaches have been investigated to address these problems, such as advances in the development of pharmacological agents, recombinant protein drugs, and cell and gene therapies. Bioinformatics based approaches and clinical profiling of patients are also paving the way for stratification, targeted therapies, and precision medicines. Here, we summarize breaking contributions to the field in a collection of articles published as part of the Research Topic entitled "Novel targets and state of the art therapies in ARDS and sepsis."

Our first review paper explores the utility of measuring mitochondrial markers of ARDS related disease (McClintock et al.). The summarized studies include assessments of mitochondrial DNA in blood, peroxidation markers and a range of metabolites such as glucose, lactate and xanthine and point to a future where simple point of care devices could instantly diagnose ARDS and ARDS severity based on minimal essential parameters. In a patient sample analysis study, Peng et al. have identified dysfunctional iron metabolism mediated via hepcidin as a predictor of patient outcome in COVID-19 ARDS, a finding which could ultimately be applicable to ARDS of any etiology. Finally in this group of manuscripts we have a study of immune cell subpopulations in ARDS patients where it was discovered that the ratio of CD4/CD8 markers was an effective predictor of disease severity and could assist in directing resources and appropriate care to specific sufferers (Pascual-Dapena et al.).

O'Toole et al. 10.3389/fmed.2024.1496821

Our second thematic grouping of papers is a deep dive into the pathology and pathobiology of ARDS. Indeed, it could be argued that this Research Topic overlaps with and informs diagnostics and therapeutics and is fundamental to an intelligent approach to ARDS patient care. As well as the alveolar cells of the lung, acute lung injury is also associated with endothelial dysfunction and vascular thrombosis and we are provided with a comprehensive overview of how the Kallikrein-Kinin axis contributes to this disease process (Bailey et al.). Large datasets demand increasingly complex computational approaches to maximize the meaningful information extracted, and so we are happy to welcome from Parkinson et al. a machine learning assisted mRNA profiling of one of the more vulnerable patient populations, that of neonatal sepsis. We also see a single-cell analysis approach to assessment of risk factors for progression of shock to ARDS that has identified the importance of chromatin accessibility near a specific gene locus, CALCRL (Armstead et al.). To round off this section, we have two studies focusing on specific disease mechanisms and their involvement in ARDS and sepsis. Firstly, Liu et al. elucidates the contribution of the ferroptosis pathway in ischemia/reperfusion driven inflammation in a rat model and secondly we have from Hu et al. an intriguing paper detailing the involvement of the Ctype lectin pancreatic stone protein in multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS).

In our final subsection we explore approaches to ARDS and sepsis patient management and therapeutics, from refining traditional support protocols to cutting edge advanced therapeutic medicinal products (ATMPs). This theme includes a retrospective sepsis patient analysis comparing saline and Ringers solutions for resuscitation (Isha et al.), followed with a preclinical study from

González et al. of a nebulizer delivered stem cell therapy for ARDS, this with the novelty of utilizing the secretome as opposed to the cell itself.

We, the editors of this special edition of Frontiers in Medicine, hope that you, the reader, find this Research Topic to be as informative and interesting as we did when assembling and curating it, and expect that it will spark future research into diagnosing and treating this devastating family of diseases.

Author contributions

DO'T: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SH: Writing – review & editing. EM: Writing – review & editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- 1. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson B, Ferguson N, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA*. (2012) 307:2526–33. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.5669
- 2. Gordon AC, Alipanah-Lechner N, Bos LD, Dianti J, Diaz JV, Finfer S, et al. From ICU syndromes to ICU subphenotypes: consensus report and recommendations for developing precision medicine in the ICU. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2024) 210:155–166. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202311-2086SO
- 3. Battaglini D, Iavarone IG, Rocco PR. An update on the pharmacological management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. (2024) 25:1229–1247. doi: 10.1080/14656566.2024.2374461
- 4. Battaglini D, Fazzini B, Silva PL, Cruz FF, Ball L, Robba C, et al. Challenges in ARDS definition, management, and identification of effective personalized therapies. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12:1381. doi: 10.3390/jcm 12041381