#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Ingrid Tennant, University of the West Indies, Jamaica

#### REVIEWED BY Hanna Sternby, Lund University, Sweden Cecily Warrene Thompson, Ministry of Health & Wellness (Jamaica) Kingston, Jamaica

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jan Larmann ⊠ jan.larmann@med.uni-heidelberg.de

<sup>†</sup>PRESENT ADDRESS

Thilo Hackert, Department of General, Visceral, and Thoracic Surgery, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany

RECEIVED 01 June 2024 ACCEPTED 13 August 2024 PUBLISHED 11 September 2024

#### CITATION

Dehne S, Kirschner L, Klotz R, Kilian S, Michalski CW, Hackert T, Büchler MW, Weigand MA and Larmann J (2024) Intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide levels are not associated with recurrence-free survival after elective pancreatic cancer surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *Front. Med.* 11:1442283. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1442283

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Dehne, Kirschner, Klotz, Kilian, Michalski, Hackert, Büchler, Weigand and Larmann. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide levels are not associated with recurrence-free survival after elective pancreatic cancer surgery: a retrospective cohort study

Sarah Dehne<sup>1</sup>, Lina Kirschner<sup>1</sup>, Rosa Klotz<sup>2</sup>, Samuel Kilian<sup>3</sup>, Christoph W. Michalski<sup>2</sup>, Thilo Hackert<sup>2†</sup>, Markus W. Büchler<sup>2</sup>, Markus A. Weigand<sup>1</sup> and Jan Larmann<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Heidelberg University, Medical Faculty Heidelberg, Department of Anesthesiology, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Heidelberg University, Medical Faculty Heidelberg, Department of General, Visceral, and Transplantation Surgery, Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Heidelberg University, Medical Faculty Heidelberg, Institute of Medical Biometry, Heidelberg, Germany

**Background:** Intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) values are associated with recurrence-free survival after colorectal cancer surgery. However, it is unknown if similar effects can be observed after other surgical procedures. There is now evidence available for target EtCO<sub>2</sub> and its relation to surgical outcomes following pancreatic cancer surgery.

**Methods:** In this single-center, retrospective cohort study, we analyzed 652 patients undergoing elective resection of pancreatic cancer at Heidelberg University Hospital between 2009 and 2016. The entire patient cohort was sorted in ascending order based on mean intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  values and then divided into two groups: the high- $EtCO_2$  group and the low- $EtCO_2$  group. The prespecified primary endpoint was the assessment of recurrence-free survival up to the last known follow-up. Cardiovascular events, surgical site infections, sepsis, and reoperations during the hospital stay, as well as overall survival were pre-specified secondary outcomes.

**Results:** Mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> was 33.8 mmHg ±1.1 in the low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group vs. 36.8 mmHg ±1.9 in the high-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group. Median follow-up was 2.6 (Q1:1.4; Q3:4.4) years. Recurrence-free survival did not differ among the high and low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups [HR = 1.043 (95% CI: 0.875–1.243), log rank test: p = 0.909]. Factors affecting the primary endpoint were studied via Cox analysis, which indicated no correlation between mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels and recurrence-free survival [Coefficient -0.004, HR = 0.996 (95% CI:0.95–1.04); p = 0.871]. We did not identify any differences in the secondary endpoints, either.

**Conclusions:** During elective pancreatic cancer surgery, anesthesiologists should set  $EtCO_2$  targets for reasons other than oncological outcome until conclusive evidence from prospective, multicenter randomized controlled trials is available.

#### KEYWORDS

carbon dioxide, pancreatic cancer surgery, oncological outcome, recurrence-free survival, perioperative complications

## 10.3389/fmed.2024.1442283

# **1** Introduction

During surgery, the measurement of end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration (EtCO<sub>2</sub>) serves as a non-invasive method to estimate arterial carbon dioxide pressure (PaCO<sub>2</sub>) (1), reflecting the amount of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) dissolved in arterial blood. CO<sub>2</sub> can impact cellular mechanism such as cell movement, apoptosis, and cell growth (2–5). *In vitro*, elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels may enhance the invasive capabilities of various cancer cells (6–9). In colon cancer cells, exposure to CO<sub>2</sub> exhibits in heightened proliferation, adhesion disorder and elevated levels of growth factor expression (7, 10). Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> exposure on tumor biology have also been demonstrated in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cells. Exposure to hypercapnia can result in increased cell colony formation, elevated cell division process, and higher radio- and chemotherapy resistance (11, 12).

During surgical procedures,  $EtCO_2$  is influenced by mechanical ventilation and typically ranges from 30 to 45 mmHg (13–15). Currently, there are no recommendations for precise intraoperative target  $EtCO_2$  levels derived from outcome studies (2). Intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  levels are influenced by several factors, such as the presence of existing pulmonary conditions, the kind of surgery, and the anesthesiologist's preferences (2).

In our retrospective cohort study involving 528 patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery, lower  $EtCO_2$  values were associated with enhanced oncological outcome (16). Since *in vitro* experiments suggest that  $CO_2$  may potentially affect the tumor biology of pancreatic cancer cells and because the impact of different intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  values on oncological outcome in patients undergoing pancreatic cancer surgery is unknown, we performed this retrospective cohort study to examine the association between intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  levels and recurrencefree survival.

# 2 Methods

## 2.1 Study design and cohort

A retrospective cohort study was conducted involving patients who received general anesthesia for elective pancreatic cancer surgery at the Department of General, Visceral, and Transplant Surgery, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany.

Our study protocol (S-723/2021) received approval by the local Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of Ruprecht-Karls-University, Heidelberg, Germany, on 29 March, 2022. The principles described in the Declaration of Helsinki and the STROBE guidelines for observational studies have been followed in preparing this report (17).

We evaluated the association between intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels and recurrence-free survival following pancreatic cancer surgery. Patients who underwent pancreatic cancer surgery between 2009 and 2016 were analyzed. Only patients  $\geq$  18 years of age with a minimum of 180 days of follow-up data were eligible for inclusion. Patients with distant metastases at the time of surgery were not included in this study. Furthermore, the histopathological examination following elective pancreatic tumor surgery had to show either an R1 result (microscopic residual tumor) or an R0 result (no residual tumor) for inclusion. Exclusion criteria included identification of peritoneal carcinomatosis during surgery or cases where histological analysis could not verify the presence of cancer tissue, such as those following neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

## 2.2 Data collection

Data were accessed from patients' medical records and the prospectively-maintained electronic databases of the Department of Surgery at Heidelberg University Hospital. Data incorporated demographic information, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification (ASA), body mass index (BMI), preexisting conditions, neoadjuvant and adjuvant therapy, duration of surgery, intraoperative opioid usage and transfusions, performance of intraoperative radiation therapy (IORT), duration of hospital and intensive care unit stay, and outcome parameters. Resection margin status, tumor grade, and TNM (tumor, node, metastasis) classification were obtained from pathology findings. The mean EtCO2 was determined using EtCO2 values documented in the anesthesia records every quarter hour from intubation to extubation throughout the entire surgery. The EtCO2 was measured in real-time using infrared spectroscopy in the "main stream" method. The documented EtCO<sub>2</sub> values correspond to the plateau values of the EtCO<sub>2</sub> curves displayed by the ventilator.

## 2.3 Outcome analysis

The primary outcome measure was recurrence-free survival in the period from index surgery until the last known follow-up, with a median follow-up duration of 2.6 years (Q1:1.4; Q3:4.4). Recurrence-free survival was defined as the time from index surgery to the first documented event of local cancer recurrence, newly diagnosed metastases, or death. During the follow-up examinations, abdominal ultrasounds, computer tomographies, physical examinations and blood samples were carried out at regular intervals or when new suspicious symptoms occurred. If there were no documented instances of cancer recurrence, new metastases, or death, we recorded the date of the last followup or doctor-patient contact with negative findings. Surgical site infections (SSI), sepsis, reoperation due to surgical complications, and cardiovascular events (transitory ischemic attack, and cerebralor myocardial infarction) during the hospital stay and overall survival were secondary outcomes.

Abbreviations: ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status classification; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon dioxide; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRC, colorectal cancer; EtCO<sub>2</sub>, end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration; FFP, fresh frozen plasma; HR, hazard ratios; IORT, intraoperative radiation therapy; PaCO<sub>2</sub>, arterial carbon dioxide pressure; PC, pancreatic surgery; PLT, Platelet concentrates; R0, no residual tumor; R1, microscopic residual tumor; RBC, Red blood cells; SD, standard deviation; SSI, surgical site infections; TNM, tumor, node, metastasis; TU, Transfusion units; UICC, tumor classification according to the Union for International Cancer Control.

## 2.4 Statistical analysis

The entire patient cohort was sorted in ascending order based on mean intraoperative EtCO2 values and then divided into two groups: the high-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group and the low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group, each consisting of 326 patients. Descriptive analyses involved calculating the mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and first and third quartiles for continuous variables, and absolute and relative proportions for categorical variables. The chi-square test was employed to compare the distribution of categorical variables among the different groups, whereas differences in continuous variables were evaluated using the Mann-Whitney U test. Survival analysis for the predefined primary endpoint was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method (18), and group comparisons were made using the log-rank test (19). Bar charts were created for each year to compare the rates of a composite endpoint, including local cancer recurrence, newly diagnosed metastases, and death between the low and high EtCO2 groups throughout the respective follow-up periods. To compare major differences in mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> values, the entire patient cohort was sorted by ascending mean intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> values and then stratified into five groups for further survival analysis using the Kaplan-Meier method. Furthermore, a subgroup analysis was conducted to account for the duration of the respective EtCO2 values. Therefore, patients were categorized into three subgroups according to their duration of surgery. The high and low EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups within these subgroups were subsequently re-evaluated for recurrence-free survival using Kaplan-Meier method. Thereafter, the primary outcome was analyzed by the Cox proportional hazard model (20), in which the effect of mean EtCO2 on recurrence-free survival was estimated after adjusting for the following covariates: age, gender, BMI, diabetes mellitus, smoking, UICC (tumor classification according to the Union for International Cancer Control) stage, epidural anesthesia, intraoperative sufentanil consumption and transfusions, resection margin status, tumor grade, and neoadjuvant, intraoperative, and adjuvant therapies. Using the Wald-Test, p-values for regression coefficients were obtained. Hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using Cox analysis and presented with their respective 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Significance was defined as a twosided p-value < 0.05. Survival rates at 1-5 years were assessed using the Kaplan-Meier technique, and statistical significance was evaluated employing the log-rank test. Prism 9.0.0 (GraphPad Prism Software, Inc., San Diego, CA) and IBM SPSS Statistics 28.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) and were used for statistical analysis and graphical representation.

# **3** Results

After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the final analysis comprised 652 patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Figure 1).

# 3.1 Patient characteristics

Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1 contain the primary clinical and demographic baseline characteristics. At the time of surgery,

the mean age was  $63 \pm 10$  years. The average BMI was 25.2 kg m<sup>-2</sup> and 48.3% of patients were female.

There were no disparities between high and low EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels in baseline characteristics or transfusion requirement. Furthermore, we noted no disparities concerning UICC stage, neoadjuvant therapy, resection margin and tumor grading status, or adjuvant therapy between groups. IORT was less prevalent in the high-EtCO<sub>2</sub>-group [8 (2.5%) vs. 21 (6.4%), high- vs. low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>, p = 0.014].

General anesthesia was performed as balanced anesthesia. In two participants (0.31%), fentanyl was administered as the intraoperative opioid, requiring the calculation of an equivalent dose to sufentanil (21). Epidural anesthesia was less prevalent in the high-EtCO<sub>2</sub>-group [230 (70.8%) vs. 258 (79.1%); high- vs. low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>, p = 0.014]. Intraoperative consumption of sufentanil was lower in the low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>-group (71.6 ± 34.7 vs. 76.7 ± 33.9; high vs. low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>, p = 0.014).

Surgery was always performed as open surgery in this observed study cohort.

# 3.2 Intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub>

After sorting the entire patient cohort in ascending order based on their mean intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> values, they were divided into two groups: the high- and low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups, the determined cut-off value was 35.3 mmHg. The mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> in the high-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group was 36.8 mmHg  $\pm$ 1.9, compared to 33.8 mmHg  $\pm$  1.1 in the low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> group.

After dividing the cohort into five groups, sorted according to the mean intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> value, mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> values were 32.72  $\pm$  0.97 mmHg, 34.26  $\pm$  0.27 mmHg, 35.19  $\pm$  0.26 mmHg, 36.09  $\pm$  0.28 mmHg and 38.31  $\pm$  2.45 mmHg, in ascending order.

# 3.3 Survival analysis

During the observation period, cancer recurrence was diagnosed in 493 patients (75.6%). Of these patients, 154 (31.2 %) had a local cancer recurrence, 298 (60.4 %) had distant metastases, and 32 patients (6.5 %) experienced both. Information regarding the nature of recurrence was not accessible for nine patients (1.8%). Throughout the observation period, 386 patients (59.2%) died. 373 patients (57.2%) succumbed to their cancer disease. Two patients (0.3%) passed away following a cardiovascular event. The reason for death was not available for 11 patients (1.7%). There was no difference in recurrence-free survival between the high and low-EtCO2 groups [HR = 1.043 (95% CI: 0.875-1.243), log rank test: p = 0.909] (Figure 2), nor did it differ among the groups when the patient cohort was divided into five groups [HR 1.011 (95% CI 0.950-1.075), log rank test: p = 0.917] (Figure 3). Bar charts revealed no differences in the occurrence of the composite endpoint, including local cancer recurrence, newly diagnosed metastases, and death between the high and low EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups across the respective time periods (Supplementary Figure 1). Likewise, the subgroup analysis for different durations of surgery



did not reveal differences between the respective high and low EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups (Supplementary Figure 2).

Factors affecting the primary endpoint were studied via Cox analysis, which indicated no correlation between mean EtCO<sub>2</sub> levels and recurrence-free survival [Coefficient -0.004, HR = 0.996 (95% CI: 0.95–1.04); p = 0.871] (Table 2). Variables that were associated with the primary endpoint included: BMI [Coefficient: -0.026, HR = 0.974 (95% CI: 0.950–0.999), p = 0.044], UICC stage IIb–III [Coefficient 0.402, HR = 0.669 (95% CI:0.523–0.855), p = 0.001], no grading due to adjuvant therapy [Coefficient: 0.421, HR = 0.656 (95% CI: 0.535–0.805), p < 0.001] and grading 3–4 [Coefficient 0.931; HR = 0.394 (95% CI: 0.201–0.774), p = 0.007].

## 3.4 Secondary endpoints

1-and 5-year survival rates did not differ between the high- and low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups (1-year survival: 87.9% vs. 86.4%, high- vs. low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>, p = 0.855; 5-year survival: 31.1% vs. 25.2 %, high- vs. low-EtCO<sub>2</sub>, p = 0.855) (Table 3).

There were also no differences between the groups in terms of cardiovascular events, sepsis rate, surgical site infection rate or the rate of reoperations (Table 4).

# 4 Discussion

In this retrospective cohort study, we did not identify a correlation between intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> and recurrence-free survival in patients undergoing pancreatic cancer surgery. This finding differs from our previous observation in other tumor entities (16), and it is in contrast our expectations that were based on *in vitro* studies (11, 12). BMI, UICC stage IIb-III, no grade due to adjuvant therapy, and grade G3-4 were independently associated with recurrence-free survival. In our secondary endpoint analysis, overall survival, incidence of cardiovascular events, sepsis, SSI, and need for reoperation did not differ between the high- and low-EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups.

The correlation between  $EtCO_2$  levels and oncological outcome in pancreatic cancer patients has not yet been investigated.  $CO_2$ exerts diverse effects on tumor biology (2, 8–10). Effects of  $CO_2$ on mitochondrial metabolism (22), the cellular microenvironment (23), the expression of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (7), E-cadherin (7), and various matrix metalloproteinases (24, 25), which are known to influence cancer cell invasion and metastasis (26, 27), have been reported. Hypercapnic conditions in cervical cancer cells stimulate tumor cell proliferation. At the same time, hypercapnia led to a reduction in invasion, migration and adhesion (8, 9). However, potential effects of hypocapnic conditions remain uncertain. Exposing colon cancer cells to

## TABLE 1 Clinical baseline characteristics of the study cohort.

Variable	Analysis set	Low-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	High-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	<i>p</i> -value		
	( <i>n</i> = 652)	(n = 326)	(n = 326)			
Age (years), mean $\pm$ SD	$63.3\pm9.9$	$63.1\pm10.2$	$63.5\pm9.6$	0.784		
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	337 (51.7)	172 (52.7)	165 (50.6)	0.583		
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), mean $\pm$ SD	$25.2\pm3.8$	$25.2\pm3.8$	$25.2\pm3.7$	0.974		
ASA status, n (%)						
1-2	383 (58.7)	185 (56.7)	198 (60.7)	0.301		
3-4	269 (41.2)	141 (43.3)	128 (39.3)			
Smokers, n (%)						
Active	135 (20.7)	67 (20.6)	68 (20.9)	0.902		
Previous	55 (8.4)	26 (8.0)	29 (8.9)			
Diabetes mellitus, $n$ (%)	150 (23.0)	75 (23.0)	75 (23.0)	>0.999		
COPD, <i>n</i> (%)	35 (5.4)	20 (6.1)	15 (4.6)	0.385		
Duration of surgery (min), median (Q1; Q3)	310 (245; 373)	310 (248; 375)	310 (245; 372)	0.949		
Intensive care unit stay, <i>n</i> (%)	387 (59.4)	218 (66.9)	199 (61.0)	0.121		
Duration of intensive care stay (d), mean $\pm$ SD	$3\pm7$	$6\pm9$	$3\pm4$	0.813		
Duration of hospitalization (d), mean $\pm$ SD	$17 \pm 12$	$17 \pm 12$	$17 \pm 11$	0.339		

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD or as absolute number (percentage). P-values refer to the comparison between low- EtCO<sub>2</sub> vs. high- EtCO<sub>2</sub> patients. Continuous data were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test.

EtCO<sub>2</sub>, end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration; SD, Standard deviation; BMI, Body mass index; ASA, risk classification according to the American Society of Anesthesiologists; COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.



 $CO_2$  exhibits in heightened proliferation, adhesion disorder and elevated levels of growth factor expression (7, 10). The effect of  $CO_2$  on pancreatic cancer cells has rarely been studied. Nevler et al. exposed two pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell lines to normocapnic (5%  $CO_2$ ) and hypercapnic (10%



 $CO_2$ ) conditions (11). Hypercapnia led to increased tumor proliferation, radio resistance, and chemoresistance (11). In the first retrospective cohort study of 528 colorectal cancer patients investigating the association between intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> and

## TABLE 2 Independent effects of EtCO2 on recurrence-free survival.

	Coefficient	SE	<i>p</i> -value	HR	95% CI	for HR
					Lower	Upper
Sex female	0.052	0.101	0.611	1.053	0.863	1.284
Age at time of surgery (y)	-0.006	0.005	0.251	0.994	0.985	1.004
BMI (kg/m²)	-0.026	0.013	0.044	0.974	0.950	0.999
No smoking (reference)			0.486			
Former smoking	0.135	0.127	0.286	1.145	0.893	1.467
Current smoking	0.015	0.198	0.940	1.015	0.688	1.497
Diabetes mellitus	0.092	0.115	0.421	1.097	0.876	1.373
Dose of sufentanil ( $\mu g$ )	0.003	0.002	0.114	1.003	0.999	1.007
Epidural anesthesia	-0.074	0.142	0.605	0.929	0.703	1.227
No RBC (reference)			0.253			
RBC (1–5 TU)	-0.859	0.607	0.157	0.423	0.129	1.391
RBC (6-10 TU)	-0.739	0.598	0.216	0.477	0.148	1.542
RBC (11–15 TU)	-0.396	0.605	0.512	0.673	0.206	2.202
RBC (>15 TU)	-1.196	0.773	0.122	0.302	0.067	1.375
PLT (1–5 TU)	-0.089	0.194	0.647	0.915	0.625	1.339
No FFP (reference)			0.683			
FFP (1–5 TU)	-0.770	1.171	0.511	0.463	0.047	4.596
FFP (>5 TU)	-0.931	1.146	0.417	0.394	0.042	3.727
UICC stage IIb-III	0.402	0.125	0.001	0.669	0.523	0.855
Grading G1-2 (reference)			<0.001			
No grading due to neoadjuvant therapy	0.421	0.104	<0.001	0.656	0.535	0.805
Grading G3-4	0.931	0.344	0.007	0.394	0.201	0.774
Resection margin status R1	0.244	0.125	0.050	1.277	1	1.630
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	0.148	0.297	0.535	1.203	0.672	2.153
Neoadjuvant radiotherapy	0.084	0.356	0.813	1.088	0.541	2.188
IORT	0.623	0.341	0.068	1.864	0.955	3.638
Adjuvant therapy	-0.334	0.152	0.027	0.716	0.532	0.964
Mean EtCO <sub>2</sub> (mmHg)	-0.004	0.023	0.871	0.996	0.953	1.042

The p-values of the regression coefficients were calculated using the Wald-Test. Hazard ratios estimated from the Cox analysis were reported as relative risks with corresponding 95% CIs. Boldface indicates p < 0.05.

CI, Confidence interval; HR, Hazard ratio; SE, Standard error; BMI, Body mass index; UICC, Tumor classification according to the Union for International Cancer Control; IORT, intraoperative radiation therapy; EtCO<sub>2</sub>, End-tidal carbon dioxide concentration; RBC, Red blood cells; FFP, Fresh frozen plasma; PLT, Platelet concentrates; TU, Transfusion units.

oncological outcome, we demonstrated that lower  $EtCO_2$  levels were independently associated with enhanced recurrence-free survival (HR = 1.138, 95% CI:1.02-1.28, p = 0.027) at a median follow-up of 3.8 with an interquartile range of 2.5 to 5.1 years (16). The hazard of cancer recurrence decreased by 12.1% with each 1 mmHg reduce in mean  $EtCO_2$  (16). Surprisingly, in this study of patients who underwent pancreatic cancer surgery,  $EtCO_2$ level was not associated with recurrence-free survival. In addition to the various CO<sub>2</sub>-related effects on tumor biology in different tumor types (2, 8–10, 28), the biological effects of hypercapnia on tumor development are also discussed as a function of time and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (23). The EtCO<sub>2</sub> values only differed by approximately 10% (3 mmHG) between the high and low EtCO<sub>2</sub> groups. However, when patients were stratified into 5 groups, not even the extreme cases differed regarding recurrencefree survival.

## TABLE 3 Overall survival.

	Analysis set	Low-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	High-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	<i>p</i> -value	
	( <i>n</i> = 652)	(n = 326)	(n = 326)		
Number of patients alive after 1 year (1-year survival rate in %)	<i>n</i> = 639	<i>n</i> = 324	<i>n</i> = 315	0.833	
	557 (87.2)	280 (86.4)	277 (87.9)		
Number of patients alive after 5 years (5-year survival rate in %)	n = 496	n = 270	<i>n</i> = 226	0.855	
	125 (25.2)	84 (31.1)	41 (18.1)		

1-year and 5-year overall survival were estimated by the Kaplan Meier method. P-value refers to the log rank test. EtCO<sub>2</sub>, End-tidal carbon dioxide concentration.

### TABLE 4 Secondary outcomes.

Secondary outcome	Analysis set	Low-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	High-EtCO <sub>2</sub>	p-value
	( <i>n</i> = 652)	( <i>n</i> = 326)	( <i>n</i> = 326)	
Cardiovascular event, n (%)	4 (0.6)	3 (0.9)	1 (0.3)	0.316
Reoperation during, <i>n</i> (%)	43 (6.6)	19 (5.8)	24 (7.4)	0.430
Sepsis, <i>n</i> (%)	3 (0.4)	2 (0.6)	1 (0.3)	0.563
SSI, n (%)	63 (9.7)	29 (8.9)	34 (10.4)	0.593
Superficial incisional	22 (3.4)	10 (3.1)	12 (3.7)	
Deep incisional	4 (0.6)	3 (0.9)	1 (0.3)	
Organ/space	37 (5.7)	16 (4.9)	21 (6.4)	

Categorial variables were compared using the chi-square test. Occurrence of myocardial infarction, cerebral infarction, or transitory ischemic attack during hospitalization were subsumed as cardiovascular events.

EtCO2, end-tidal carbon dioxide concentration; SSI, surgical site infection.

Our study also found no differences in 1- and 5-year survival rates between the high and low  $EtCO_2$  groups. In contrast, in patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery, both 1- and 5-year survival rates were higher in patients with lower  $EtCO_2$  values (16). The type of cancer itself significantly influences the average survival time of a patient (29–31). Individuals with pancreatic cancer typically experience a shorter average survival time compared to those with colorectal cancer (29–31). Factors such as tumor grading, UICC stage, or resection margin status appear to have a stronger influence on recurrence-free survival than  $EtCO_2$  in pancreatic cancer patients.

 $CO_2$  has been linked to multiple effects on the cardiovascular system. Hypocapnia can reduce the cerebral blood flow, impact the airway resistance, provoke cardiac arrythmias and trigger vasoconstrictions (15, 32, 33). Dony et al. demonstrated that hypocapnia, was linked to elevated 30-day postoperative mortality and extended hospitalization duration in patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery (32). In contrast, negative effects on the cardiovascular system due to hypercapnia have also been described. Increased blood  $CO_2$  levels can elevate the heart's oxygen consumption, potentially resulting in tachycardia and hypertension (34, 35). In this patient cohort, as well as in patients undergoing colorectal cancer surgery (16), intraoperative EtCO<sub>2</sub> did not affect the incidence of cardiovascular events or the length of hospital stay.

Moreover, some authors suggest that hypercapnia has antiinflammatory properties and improves tissue perfusion and oxygenation, potentially lowering the rate of SSI (34, 36-38). In our study, no association was observed between  $EtCO_2$  levels and SSI or the occurrence of sepsis. However, the incidence of sepsis and wound infections was low in this observed patient cohort.

Our study has several limitations that warrant consideration. The presence of digital anesthesia records constrained the duration of observation period, thereby limiting the sample size. Due to the study design, the representativeness, validity, and reliability of the results are limited. Moreover, the study design lacks the ability to exercise complete control over unmeasured biases.

The selection process for  $EtCO_2$  values lacked standardization, and the entire cohort was split into two equal groups based on the calculated mean  $EtCO_2$  values. The mean for the low  $EtCO_2$  group was in the mildly hypocapnic range, whereas the mean for the high  $EtCO_2$  group was in the normocapnic range. Despite comparing the intraoperative  $EtCO_2$  values by calculating individual mean values, we did not consider the individual dosages during surgery. The duration of  $EtCO_2$  levels was considered only in a subgroup analysis with different operation durations and not continuously. Additionally, inspiratory  $CO_2$  levels could not be considered. However, it is reasonable to assume that higher inspiratory  $CO_2$ levels would also result in elevated  $EtCO_2$  levels.

Because  $PaO_2$  values are determined irregularly in contrast to the continuous non-invasive measurement of  $EtCO_2$ , we refrained from exploring the correlation between  $PaO_2$  and the measured  $EtCO_2$  values.

In conclusion, we demonstrated, that intraoperative  ${\rm EtCO}_2$  during pancreatic cancer surgery is not associated with increased or

decreased recurrence-free survival.  $EtCO_2$  was not associated with the secondary endpoints, namely overall survival, cardiovascular events, SSI, incidence of sepsis, or reoperations. During elective pancreatic cancer surgery, anesthesiologists should set  $EtCO_2$ targets for reasons other than oncological outcome until conclusive evidence from prospective, multicenter randomized controlled trials is available.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the Local Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of Ruprecht-Karls-University, Heidelberg, Germany (S-723/2021). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

SD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. LK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. RK: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. SK: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CM: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. TH: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. MB: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. MB: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. MW: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. JL: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Supervision.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funding for this study was supported by institutional resources from the Departments of General, Visceral, and Transplant Surgery, and Anesthesiology at Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany.

# **Acknowledgments**

We extend our gratitude to Ulf Hinz, Department of General, Visceral and Transplant Surgery, Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany, for his support and cooperating throughout the data collection process.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024. 1442283/full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Razi E, Moosavi GA, Omidi K, Khakpour Saebi A, Razi A. Correlation of end-tidal carbon dioxide with arterial carbon dioxide in mechanically ventilated patients. *Arch Trauma Res.* (2012) 1:58–62. doi: 10.5812/atr.6444

2. Gutt CN, Kim ZG, Hollander D, Bruttel T, Lorenz M. CO2 environment influences the growth of cultured human cancer cells dependent on insufflation pressure. *Surg Endosc.* (2001) 15:314–8. doi: 10.1007/s004640000321

3. Guais A, Brand G, Jacquot L, Karrer M, Dukan S, Grévillot G, et al. Toxicity of carbon dioxide: a review. *Chem Res Toxicol.* (2011) 24:2061–70. doi: 10.1021/tx200220r

4. Strowitzki MJ, Nelson R, Garcia MP, Tuffs C, Bleul MB, Fitzsimons S, et al. Carbon dioxide sensing by immune cells occurs through carbonic anhydrase 2-dependent changes in intracellular pH. *J Immunol.* (2022) 208:2363–75. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100665

5. Cummins EP, Strowitzki MJ, Taylor CT. Mechanisms and consequences of oxygen and carbon dioxide sensing in mammals. *Physiol Rev.* (2020) 100:463-88. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00003.2019

6. Obata S, Goi T, Nakazawa T, Kimura Y, Katayama K, Yamaguchi A. Changes in CO2 concentration increase the invasive ability of colon cancer cells. *Anticancer Res.* (2013) 33:1881–5.

7. Cai KL, Wang GB, Xiong LJ. Effects of carbon dioxide and nitrogen on adhesive growth and expressions of E-cadherin and VEGF of human colon cancer cell CCL-228. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2003) 9:1594–7. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v9.i7.1594

8. Lin F, Pan L, Li L, Li D, Mo L. Effects of a simulated CO2 pneumoperitoneum environment on the proliferation, apoptosis, and metastasis of cervical cancer cells in vitro. *Med Sci Monit.* (2014) 20:2497–503. doi: 10.12659/MSM.891179

9. Lv H, Zhou T, Rong F. Proteomic analysis of the influence of CO(2) pneumoperitoneum in cervical cancer cells. *J Cancer Res Ther.* (2021) 17:1253-60. doi: 10.4103/jcrt.jcrt\_638\_21

10. Kim ZG, Mehl C, Lorenz M, Gutt CN. Impact of laparoscopic CO2-insufflation on tumor-associated molecules in cultured colorectal cancer cells. *Surg Endosc.* (2002) 16:1182–6. doi: 10.1007/s00464-001-9194-3

11. Nevler A, Brown SZ, Nauheim D, Portocarrero C, Rodeck U, Bassig J, et al. Effect of hypercapnia, an element of obstructive respiratory disorder, on pancreatic cancer chemoresistance and progression. *J Am Coll Surg.* (2020) 230:659–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2019.12.033

12. Nevler A, Khalilieh S, Lavu H, Bowne W, Yeo CJ. Hypercapnic tissue gene expression and survival in early-stage pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Am College Surg.* (2023) 236:4. doi: 10.1097/XCS.00000000000552

13. Akkermans A, van Waes JAR, Thompson A, Shanks A, Peelen LM, Aziz MF, et al. An observational study of end-tidal carbon dioxide trends in general anesthesia. *Can J Anaesth.* (2019) 66:149–60. doi: 10.1007/s12630-018-1249-1

14. Wax DB, Lin HM, Hossain S, Porter SB. Intraoperative carbon dioxide management and outcomes. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* (2010) 27:819–23. doi: 10.1097/EJA.0b013e32833cca07

15. Way M, Hill GE. Intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide concentrations: what is the target? *Anesthesiol Res Pract.* (2011) 2011:271539. doi: 10.1155/2011/271539

16. Dehne S, Kirschner L, Strowitzki MJ, Kilian S, Kummer LC, Schneider MA, et al. Low intraoperative end-tidal carbon dioxide levels are associated with improved recurrence-free survival after elective colorectal cancer surgery. *J Clin Anesth.* (2024) 96:111495. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2024.111495

17. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2008) 61:344–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2007.11.008

18. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. J Am Stat Assoc. (1958) 53:457–81. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1958.10501452

19. Mantel N. Evaluation of survival data and two new rank order statistics arising in its consideration. *Cancer Chemother Repor.* (1966) 50:163–70.

20. Cox DR. Regression models and life-tables. J Royal Stat Soc Series B (Methodological). (1972) 34:187–220. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1972.tb00899.x

21. Nielsen S, Degenhardt L, Hoban B, Gisev N. A synthesis of oral morphine equivalents (OME) for opioid utilisation studies. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* (2016) 25:733–7. doi: 10.1002/pds.3945

22. Kikuchi R, Tsuji T, Iwai Y, Nakamura H, Aoshiba K. High CO2 tumor microenvironment confers chemoresistance in lung cancer cells. *Eur Respirat J.* (2017) 50:OA4865. doi: 10.1183/1393003.congress-2017.OA4865

23. Zhang S, Yang Y, Liu S, Dong R, Qian Z. Influence of the hypercapnic tumor microenvironment on the viability of hela cells screened by a CO(2)-gradient-generating device. ACS Omega. (2021) 6:26773–81. doi: 10.1021/acsomega. 1c04422

24. Gialeli C, Theocharis AD, Karamanos NK. Roles of matrix metalloproteinases in cancer progression and their pharmacological targeting. *FEBS J.* (2011) 278:16–27. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07919.x

25. Rydlova M, Holubec L, Jr., Ludvikova M, Jr., Kalfert D, Franekova J, Povysil C, et al. Biological activity and clinical implications of the matrix metalloproteinases. *Anticancer Res.* (2008) 28:1389–97.

26. Zucker S, Vacirca J. Role of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* (2004) 23:101–17. doi: 10.1023/A:1025867130437

27. Shiomi T, Okada Y. MT1-MMP and MMP-7 in invasion and metastasis of human cancers. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* (2003) 22:145–52. doi: 10.1023/A:1023039230052

28. Kikuchi R, Iwai Y, Tsuji T, Watanabe Y, Koyama N, Yamaguchi K, et al. Hypercapnic tumor microenvironment confers chemoresistance to lung cancer cells by reprogramming mitochondrial metabolism in vitro. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2019) 134:200–14. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.01.014

29. Klaiber U, Schnaidt ES, Hinz U, Gaida MM, Heger U, Hank T, et al. Prognostic factors of survival after neoadjuvant treatment and resection for initially unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg.* (2021) 273:154–62. doi: 10.1097/SLA.000000000003270

30. Strobel O, Hank T, Hinz U, Bergmann F, Schneider L, Springfeld C, et al. Pancreatic cancer surgery: the new r-status counts. *Ann Surg.* (2017) 265:565-73. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000001731

31. Ulrich CM, Gigic B, Böhm J, Ose J, Viskochil R, Schneider M, et al. The ColoCare Study: a paradigm of transdisciplinary science in colorectal cancer outcomes. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev.* (2019) 28:591–601. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-18-0773

32. Dony P, Dramaix M, Boogaerts JG. Hypocapnia measured by end-tidal carbon dioxide tension during anesthesia is associated with increased 30-day mortality rate. *J Clin Anesth.* (2017) 36:123–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.10.028

33. Laffey JG, Kavanagh BP. Hypocapnia. N Engl J Med. (2002) 347:43–53. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra012457

34. Saghaei M, Matin G, Golparvar M. Effects of intra-operative end-tidal carbon dioxide levels on the rates of post-operative complications in adults undergoing general anesthesia for percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a clinical trial. *Adv Biomed Res.* (2014) 3:84. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.127997

35. Mas A, Saura P, Joseph D, Blanch L, Baigorri F, Artigas A, et al. Effect of acute moderate changes in PaCO2 on global hemodynamics and gastric perfusion. *Crit Care Med.* (2000) 28:360–5. doi: 10.1097/00003246-200002000-00012

 Fleischmann E, Herbst F, Kugener A, Kabon B, Niedermayr M, Sessler DI, et al. Mild hypercapnia increases subcutaneous and colonic oxygen tension in patients given 80% inspired oxygen during abdominal surgery. *Anesthesiology*. (2006) 104:944– 9. doi: 10.1097/00000542-200605000-00009

37. Akça O, Kurz A, Fleischmann E, Buggy D, Herbst F, Stocchi L, et al. Hypercapnia and surgical site infection: a randomized trial. *Br J Anaesth.* (2013) 111:759–67. doi: 10.1093/bja/aet233

38. Morita S, Tsuruta M, Okabayashi K, Ishida T, Shigeta K, Seishima R, et al. Impact of intraoperative hypocapnia on postoperative complications in laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer. *Surg Today*. (2022) 52:278–86. doi: 10.1007/s00595-021-02315-4