Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vijeta Sharma, Hackensack Meridian Health, United States

REVIEWED BY Virginia Sedeno Monge, Popular Autonomous University of the State of Puebla, Mexico Soumya Jaladi, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center El Paso, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Sujata Siwatch 🛙 siwatch1@yahoo.com

RECEIVED 15 May 2024 ACCEPTED 28 August 2024 PUBLISHED 18 October 2024

CITATION

Siwatch S, De A, Kaur B, Lamba DS, Kaur S, Singh V and Periyasamy AG (2024) Safety and efficacy of plasmapheresis in treatment of acute fatty liver of pregnancy—a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Med.* 11:1433324. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1433324

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Siwatch, De, Kaur, Lamba, Kaur, Singh and Periyasamy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Safety and efficacy of plasmapheresis in treatment of acute fatty liver of pregnancy—a systematic review and meta-analysis

Sujata Siwatch¹*, Arka De², Bandhanjot Kaur¹, Divjot Singh Lamba³, Simarpreet Kaur⁴, Virendra Singh⁵ and Aravind Gandhi Periyasamy⁶

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India, ²Department of Hepatology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India, ³Department of Transfusion Medicine, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India, ⁴Department of Medicine, Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, ⁵Department of Hepatology, PGIMER, Chandigarh, India, ⁶Department of Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Nagpur, India

Introduction: Acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP) is a fatal disease occurring in 3rd trimester. The safety and efficacy of plasmapheresis/plasma exchange (PP/PE) as an adjunctive treatment in patients of AFLP has been studied. We performed systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the clinical parameters that included mortality rates and improvement of the biochemical parameters including Liver and Renal function enzymes, coagulopathy factors of AFLP patients.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Ovid MEDLINE, Cochrane, CINAHL and Scopus, ClinicalTrials.gov. RevMan statistical software was used for meta-analysis.

Results: Pooled survival proportion for AFLP patients treated with PP/PE was 87.74% (95% CI: 82.84 to 91.65). Efficacy of PP/PE was studied by its effect on mortality. PE/PP was associated with the reduction in the mortality with pooled odds ratio of 0.51 (95% CI: 0.08 to 3.09) with $I^2 = 86\%$. Sensitivity analysis after excluding outlier study, yielded a pooled odds ratio of 0.19 (95% CI: 0.02 to 1.52) with reduced heterogeneity ($I^2 = 63\%$). Biochemical parameter analysis demonstrated significant improvement post-PP/PE treatment, including decreased bilirubin (MD: 8.30, 95% CI: 6.75 to 9.84), AST (MD: 107.25, 95% CI: 52.45 to 162.06), ALT (MD: 111.08, 95% CI: 27.18 to 194.97), creatinine (MD: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.39 to 1.93), and Prothrombin time (MD: 5.08, 95% CI: 2.93 to 7.22).

Discussion: Despite some heterogeneity, PP/PE shows promise in improving biochemical parameters in AFLP patients. PE can serve as a therapeutic approach for AFLP particularly in severe or refractory cases. PE provides the time for organ to recover and helps in creating a homeostatic environment for liver. Large RCTs and propensity matched studies are needed to better understand the safety and efficacy of the treatment.

Systematic review registration: https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display_record.php?ID=CRD42022315698.

KEYWORDS

acute fatty liver of pregnancy, plasmapheresis, plasma exchange, safety, efficacy, mortality, meta-analysis, biochemical improvement

1 Introduction

Acute fatty liver of Pregnancy (AFLP) is infrequent fatal hepatic dysfunction that is seen in early postpartum or 3rd trimester of pregnancy. Its reported prevalence is 1/7000 to 1/20,000. It is associated with maternal mortality of 10–15% and perinatal mortality of 7–85% (1, 2). It was first described by Sheehan in 1940, as 'acute yellow atrophy of the liver' for AFLP (3). The prevalence in Indian population is not known (1). Though the exact cause of the AFLP is not yet clear, it may be related to the deficiency of the fatty acid oxidation enzymes in the fetus which may include SCAD (short chain acyl CoA dehydrogenase), LCHAD enzyme (Long chain hydroxy acyl-CoA dehydrogenase), MCAD (Medium Chain Acyl Dehydrogenase) and MTP (Mitochondrial trifunctional protein) which causes mitochondrial dysfunction leading to oxidative stress, in women developing of the AFLP.

AFLP is associated with clinical features like first pregnancy, male fetus, multiple pregnancies, preeclampsia, fetal fatty acid oxidation defects. AFLP often progresses to liver and renal failure, coagulopathy and metabolic dysfunction. The Swansea criteria are most widely used to diagnose AFLP (4). Timely termination of pregnancy and intensive medical support are necessary to ensure good maternal and foetal outcome. Intensive standard medical support usually includes transfusions for anaemia and coagulation deficiencies, hypoglycaemia and electrolyte correction and broad-spectrum antibiotic treatment. Recovery is slow especially with developing complications. For early diagnosis of AFLP, Goel et al. (5) proposed a 'simple criterion' to diagnose AFLP, i.e., Women in late pregnancy (Second or third trimester) with no explained cause of acute liver failure (i.e., jaundice in addition to coagulopathy and/or encephalopathy and/or hypoglycaemia).

Considering the high mortality, morbidity, liver and renal dysfunction, various supportive techniques have been used to offer interim support to allow liver recovery, to reduce hospital stay and thus improve the prognosis. These include Artificial liver support therapy (ALST) or Blood Purification Techniques. These modalities have shown significant efficacy as a bridge therapy, either aiding in spontaneous recovery or preparing patients for a liver transplant. ALST includes therapeutic plasma exchange (PE), Plasma Perfusion (PP), hemoperfusion and continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT). Apheresis is the extracorporeal removal of blood constituents. Plasmapheresis or Plasma exchange is the apheresis technique in which plasma is removed from blood and remainder is returned to the body with the replacement fluid such as Albumin/Fresh Frozen Plasma conducted with clear therapeutic purpose by selectively eliminating or modifying the particular components present in the plasma. Replacement fluid is carefully chosen to address the underlying medical condition. Plasma perfusion is incorporating fresh frozen plasma in the body. CRRT is extracorporeal blood purification technique which aims to remove the excess fluid and blood solutes to treat Acute Kidney Injury.

Different modalities of CRRT are Slow continuous ultrafiltration. continuous veno-venous hemofiltration. continuous veno-venous haemodialysis, continuous veno-venous hemodiafiltration (6). In a systematic review by Tan et al. (7) use of PE in patients of acute liver failure improves survival and biochemical improvement. First performed by Russian physicians Vadim A Yurevich and Nikolay Konstantinovich Rosenberg (8) in 1913, Therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) is carried out using two types of systems: membrane-based TPE (mTPE) and centrifugal-based TPE (cTPE). Membrane based TPE is based on molecular size and involves separating blood plasma from cellular components with the use of filter which removes the blood plasma and retains the cellular components. Heparin, an anticoagulant, is typically added to the blood before it is pumped through the filter. On the other hand, cTPE is based on molecular density and utilizes centrifugation to separate incoming whole blood into plasma, red and white blood cell components. Prior to centrifugation, citrate, an anticoagulant, is usually added. In both procedures, the remaining blood rich in cells is mixed with a replacement fluid (such as albumin or fresh frozen plasma) and returned to the patient to prevent hypovolemia (9). Membrane based TPE systems require calibration and thus more setup and priming time in contrast to cTPE (10-13). mTPE require high blood flow rate which may cause hemodynamic fluxes that could worsen the perfusion in the weakened hepatic microcirculation. mTPE is associated with higher incidence of clotting and cellular components loss due to limited pore diameter in the filter.

In this systematic review we aim to determine the safety and efficacy of PP/PE as an adjunctive treatment in acute fatty liver of pregnancy. Our objectives include effect of PE/PP on

- 1 Clinical parameters, i.e., mortality rates and length of the hospital stay of AFLP patients,
- 2 Improvement of the biochemical parameters including Liver function enzymes, renal function enzymes and coagulopathy factors.

2 Methods

2.1 Protocol registration

The protocol for this systematic review is registered with PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews; no. CRD42022315698.

2.2 Eligibility criteria

All the articles till September 2023 were included. As per the PICO, we included studies that reported patients of acute fatty liver of pregnancy (Population) who received plasmapheresis/PE as the treatment. Safety and efficacy of plasmapheresis was assessed by noting clinical outcomes like maternal mortality and hospital stay and biochemical parameters, i.e., The pre and post treatment change in biochemical profiles of the AFLP patients.

Abbreviations: AFLP, Acute fatty liver of pregnancy; TPE, Therapeutic plasma exchange; PE, Plasma exchange; PP, Plasmapheresis; MELD, Model for end stage liver disease; RCT, Randomized controlled trial; HE, Hepatic encephalopathy; AST, Aspartate transferase; ALT, Alanine transferase; PLEX, Plasma exchange; ACLF, Acute on chronic Liver Failure; PRISMA, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis; NIH, National Institute of Health; JBI, Joanna Briggs Institute; CSOG MFM Committee, Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology of Chinese Medical Association, Maternal Feotal Medicine Committee.

2.3 Information sources and literature search strategy

A systematic literature search was done from different databases such as PubMed, Ovid MEDLINE, Cochrane, CINAHL and Scopus, ClinicalTrials.gov. The search strategy included the terms ("plasmapheresis OR therapeutic plasma exchange/TPE, PLEX and acute fatty liver of pregnancy/AFLP") and (Efficacy OR "effects"). Randomized control trials, observational studies, case series and case reports published in English language were included. Review articles and only abstracts were excluded during initial screening.

2.4 Study selection

Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts of the retrieved records for eligibility (BK and SK). Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion or consultation with a third reviewer (SS). The full texts of potentially eligible records were obtained and assessed data extracted by BK and SK. Reasons for exclusion were recorded and reported.

2.5 Data extraction

Data extraction was done in structured manner to derive the following information: study author, year of publication, country of origin, study participants, clinical presentation (of subjects, age, gravida parity, gestational age, mode of delivery, foetal outcome, no. of days spent in hospital and ICU, biochemical profiling (haemoglobin, platelets, creatinine, AST, ALT, total bilirubin, PT) and plasma exchange procedure, indications for the plasma exchange, complications during the procedure etc. For comparison, the data was converted into same units. The studies were assessed for the quality and heterogeneity.

2.6 Quality assessment

Eligible studies included non-randomized controlled trials, prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case series, case reports that evaluated the use of plasmapheresis in the treatment of acute fatty liver of pregnancy. Each study's quality was evaluated by quality assessment tools. ROBINS-1 tool ("Risk of bias in non-randomized controlled studies-of interventions") was used for non-randomized controlled studies, i.e., case control studies. The tool assesses the studies in seven domains-confounding, selection, intervention classification, intervention deviation, missing data, outcome measurement and selective reporting. On the basis of these domains, studies are labelled as having low, moderate, serious, or critical risk of bias depending on the outcome studied (14). NIH quality assessment tool was used for observational studies (prospective or retrospective studies) (15). Quality assessment of the case reports was done by JBI critical appraisal tool (16). Authors assessed the risk of bias independently for each of the studies included. Disagreements were resolved among authors by a consensus.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Review Manager Software (RevMan 5.4,Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and MedCalc statistical software was used for statistical analysis. Meta-analysis of the outcome variables was done to estimate the effect of PE/PP on maternal mortality. Odds Ratios and 95% CI were calculated. Random and fixed effects models were used to consider heterogeneity as applicable and the tests for heterogeneity of the studies was assessed using the I² test. Sensitivity Analysis was conducted by removing the study with a high influence on the pooled estimate.

To study the pre and post intervention effect, Mean difference (MD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated. Random and fixed effects models were used to consider heterogeneity as applicable and the tests for heterogeneity of the studies was assessed using the I² test (17). An I² value of 0–39% was considered as non-significant heterogeneity; 40–75% as moderate heterogeneity; and 76–100% as considerable heterogeneity. A *p*-value >0.05 was considered to reject the null hypothesis that the studies were heterogeneous. The studies with patient number (*n*) less than 2 were not included in the meta-analysis.

3 Results

3.1 Study selection

The literature search (detailed in Figure 1) resulted in identification of 285 published studies using the online database search of which 21 studies were included. Ten case reports, 2 case series, 8 observational studies and 1 non randomized control trial were assessed and finalized for eligibility (18–37). Sixteen were selected for the qualitative synthesis and 9 for quantitative synthesis (metanalysis; Figure 1). Searched articles were reported using the PRISMA checklist to ensure scientific precision. The PRISMA flow chart provides overview of the article selection process as shown in Figure 1. The meta-analysis contains 5 observational cohort studies and 1 case series. The studies included 10 studies from China (18, 19, 21–24, 33, 35–37), 2 studies from Japan (25, 26), 3 studies from USA (20, 34, 38), 1 study from North Africa (27), 2 studies from Iran (29, 32) and 1 study from India (31) and 2 from Morocco (28, 30) (Table 1).

3.2 Risk of Bias assessment

The primary outcome of our study was safety of Plasmapheresis/ Plasma exchange (PP/PE) with or without other liver support therapy in acute fatty liver of pregnancy patients. Quantitative data of mortality in the case control studies was collected and assessed using ROBINS-I tool (14). The risk of bias was variable among included studies. Three studies (18, 21, 37) were at moderate risk of bias and one study (24) was at serious risk of bias. Different biases of all the included non-randomized studies are depicted by the traffic light plot and weighted plot using the ROBINS (visualization tool) web application (39). They are shown in Figures 2, 3 respectively. The overall judgement on the risk of bias assessment for each domain in the included studies has been found to have moderate to serious risk. The secondary outcome was biochemical improvement with PE/PP. For the same, NIH quality assessment tool



was used. Five observational studies (19, 20, 22, 23, 36) were assessed and all studies were of fair quality (Table 2). Quality Assessment of the case reports were from low to moderate (Supplementary Table 2).

3.3 Study characteristics

The key characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Tables 1, 3.

3.3.1 Obstetrical information

In the 21 included studies, total cases of AFLP Patients were 575. The age range of the women was 19–41 years and the gestational age ranged from 26–40 weeks. Swansea score and simplified criteria were used to diagnose the AFLP patients.

3.3.2 Foetal outcomes

Mode of delivery in most of studies was caesarean with notable more than 480 cases. From available data, 30 vaginal deliveries were reported in 6 studies (19, 21, 23, 24, 28, 30) while 94 cases of intrauterine fetal demise or fetal death were reported in 7 studies (21, 24, 28, 30, 33, 34, 37).

4 Results of the individual studies

4.1 Plasmapheresis/plasma exchange

16 studies detailed the information about the plasmapheresis/ PE treatment. PE alone was given in 10 studies while PE with other Blood purification (BP) techniques like renal replacement

Sr. no.	Author & country	Study design	Total patients (n)	Age (years)	Mean gestational age (n) & gravida and parity	Hospital stay (days)	ICU stay (days)	Fetal outcome & mode of delivery	Maternal mortality (n) and cause
1	Li et al. (37), China	Retrospective Propensity Matched Cohort	298 Group A(PE)-79 Group B-(Non- PE)-211	27.21+/- 4.95	253.10+/-17.58 days; 1.69+/-0.81 Multiparae-57	NA	NA	Male-233 Multiplets-25; CS-267 Fetal death-78	50 In propensity matched 79 pairs PE group- 11 Control group- 22; Combined Encephalopathy and Postpartum Haemorrhage
2	Gao et al. (24), China	Retrospective Cohort	133 Group A (Non-PE+ CRRT)-92, Group B (PE and CRRT)- 41	27+/-5.1	33.1; Primipara-95 Multipara-38	NA	NA	Male-75 Female-47 Intrauterine Death-9; CS-113 VD-20	22 Control group- 11 PE group-11
3	Jin et al. (36), China	Retrospective Cohort	39	26.4(22-31)	35.1(28-37); Primigravida-31 Multigravida-8	10-15	NA	Male-31 Female-14 CS-39	2
4	Tang et al. (18), China	Non RCT	Total- 28 Normal Control- 12	35 (28-40)	38 (36-40)	NA	NA	VD=1	NA
			AFLP PE- 13	33(26-41)	37 (32-40)	17 (12-26)	4 (2-9)	NA	NA
			AFLP case Control- 15	36 (28-39)	37 (33-39)	24 (18-34)	7 (3-19)	NA	NA
5	Ding et al. (21), China	Retrospective Case -Control	22 (Controls- 16,Cases-6)	27 (20-29)	36 (27-41); Primiparous-16 Multigravida-6	14	NA	Twins-2 VD-5, CS-14 Undelivered-3 Fetal death-3	14 (Control-13) (Case-1); Control (81.2%) 3-DIC; 3-MODS; 2-sepsis; 1-cerebral hemorrhage; 4-discontinued treatment and died due to complications Case-(16.7%) 1- MODS, DIC, Hepatic coma
6	Tang et al. (19), China	Prospective Observational Study	17	36(median)	37(32–40) (median); Primigravida-9 Multigravida-8	16 (9–27)	NA	Twins-2 Male-15 CS-16 VD-1	1 Secondary pulmonary infection Respiratory failure

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the included studies [author, year of publication, country of origin, total patients (*n*), age (years), mean gestational age (weeks), hospital and icu stay (days), maternal and fetal outcomes, maternal mortality (percentage and reason).

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Sr. no.	Author & country	Study design	Total patients (n)	Age (years)	Mean gestational age (n) & gravida and parity	Hospital stay (days)	ICU stay (days)	Fetal outcome & mode of delivery	Maternal mortality (n) and cause
7	Chu et al. (22), China	Retrospective Observational Cohort	11	26 (20-33)	33 (28-39); Primigravida-11	17 (9-38)	10 (4-23)	All LB CS-11	1 Septic Shock
8	Martin et al. (20), Mississippi USA	Retrospective Observational Cohort	6	23 (17-32)	36 (33-39); Primigravidas-5	21 (14-69)	No data	All LB CS-5 Vacuum birth by extraction-1	0
9	Yu et al. (23), China	Retrospective Observational Cohort	5	29 (23-36)	35 (29-39); Primiparous-2 Multiparous-3	25 (11-42)	9.4 (5-18)	All LB Male- 2 Female-3 CS-4 VD-1	0
10	Majidi et al. (32), Iran	Case series	3	Pt1-22 Pt2-32 Pt3-23	Pt1-37; G1P1 Pt2-34; G2P1 Pt3-36; G1P1	Pt1-20 Pt2-12 Pt3-8	Pt1-19 Pt2-12 Pt3-8	Pt1- Male Pt2- Female Pt3- Male CS-3	0
11	Wang et al. (35), China	Retrospective Case series	3	Pt1-29 Pt2-28 Pt3-32	Pt1-Primigravida, 38+3; Pt2- Primigravida,36+4; Pt3- G2P1,37+1;	All-10	NA	Male-3 CS-3	0
12	Kobayashi et al. (25), Japan	Case Report	1	21	33+4;G1P1	NA	60	Female-1 CS	0
13	Yamamoto et al. (26), Japan	Case report	1	35	33;G3P1	NA	54	LB, CS	0
14	Vives et al. (27), Costa Central america	Case report	1	33	40;Primigravida	NA	15	Female-1 CS	0
15	Aabdi et al. (28), Morocco	Case report	1	27	33;Primiparous	12	12	IUFD-1 VD	0
16	Ashrafganjoei et al. (29), Iran	Case report	1	26	34;G2P1L1	30	28	Male-1 CS	0
17	Rebahi et al. (30), Morocco	Case report	1	31	40;Primigravida	15	15	IUD-1 VD	0
18	Kumar et al. (31), India	Case report	1	22	36;Primigravida	21	NA	Male CS	0
19	Ye et al. (33), China	Case report	1	29	34.7;Multipara	35	NA	IUFD CS	0
20	Hartwell et al. (34), USA	Case report	1	19	26;Primigravida	13	NA	IUFD CS	0
21	Yang et al. (38), USA	Case report	1	41	31;G1P0	34	NA	Female CS	0

PE, Plasma Exchange; CS, Caesarean Section; VD, Vaginal Delivery; Pt, Patient; IUFD, Intrauterine Foetal Demise; LB, Live Born; FD, Foetal Demise; G, Gravida; P, parity.





therapy, hemofiltration, plasma perfusion was given in 6 studies. Six studies mentioned the techniques they used for the plasma exchange. 2 studies reported using centrifugal based plasma exchange system while 4 studies reported membrane-based plasma exchange system.

In the included studies, on average, plasmapheresis/PE was initiated within 4–8 days of the hospital admission. Procedures per patient varied depending upon the severity of the disease. Mostly, fresh frozen plasma was used as replacement fluid in PE (details in Table 3).

4.2 Indications for plasmapheresis/plasma exchange in AFLP patients

The most frequent reasons for initiating plasma exchange reported were abnormalities such as changes in sensorium and coma, persistent coagulopathy, advanced renal dysfunction and hepatic failure, fluid management issues such as significant ascites, oedema, anuria/ oliguria, and/or fluid overload.

4.3 Meta-analysis results

4.3.1 Maternal mortality

The main outcome of our study was to assess the safety and efficacy of PP/PE in terms of reducing mortality in AFLP patients. Survival with PE/PP as Adjunctive treatment were studied in 11 studies (18–24, 32, 35–37) with 223 AFLP patients. The studies with n < 2 were excluded in survival proportion analysis as they had no control arm. Metanalysis of the case reports and case series cannot be done as there are no comparable groups. There were 10 case reports and 1 case series. Five observational studies (19, 20, 22, 23, 36) had no control arm.

TABLE 2	2 NIH quality asses	sment tool for obser	rvational c	ohort and o	cross sectio	onal studie	s.										
Sr. No.	Author	Year of publication	01 1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	60	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14	<u>ة م</u>
1	Chu et al. (22)	2012	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	CD	Υ	Υ	z	Υ	z	Υ	Z	z	CD	
2	Martin et al. (20)	2008	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	CD	Υ	Υ	z	Υ	z	Υ	Z	z	CD	
3	Yu et al. (23)	2014	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	CD	Υ	Υ	Z	Υ	Z	Y	Z	Z	CD	
4	Tang et al. (18)	2012	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	CD	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Z	Z	CD	
Ŋ	Jin et al. (36)	2012	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	CD	Υ	Υ	Υ	z	Υ	Υ	z	z	CD	

expect to see an association between exposure and outcome if it existed? Q8: For exposures that yes; N, no, NA, not applicable; CD, cannot determine; NI, no information. Q1 sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? Q6: For loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? Q14: Were key potential confounding variables measured and adjusted statistically for their impact on the relationship (independent variables) clearly defined, valid, valid, reliable, and implemented consistently across all study the subjects selected or recruited from the same or similar Were the exposure measures Were all measures (dependent variables) clearly defined, , 14 year of publication; Y, 50%? variable)? Q9: least ! persons at exposure measured as continuous Was a : that one could reasonably Was the participation rate of eligible not reported. YOP, populations (including the same time period)? Were inclusion and exclusion criteria for being in the study prespecified and applied uniformly to all participants? Q5: time? Q11: Were the outcome good (11-14 out of 14 questions); NA, not applicable; NR, being measured? Q7: Was the timeframe sufficient so categories of exposure, or specified and defined? Q3: than once over (e.g.) nore outcome population clearly participants? Q12: Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants? Q13: Was Was the exposure(s) assessed I to the c the analyses in this paper, were the exposure(s) of interest measured prior to the outcome(s) exposure as related Quality was rated as 0 for poor (0–4 out of 14 questions), fair (5–10 out of 14 questions), Was the study participants? Q10: of the 6 62: 1 stated? different levels paper clearly study examine implemented consistently across all Was the research question or objective in this level, did the study amount or and vary in reliable,

10.3389/fmed.2024.1433324

Pooled survival estimate was calculated. Pooled survival proportion for 223 patients with PE/PP as adjunctive treatment was 87.74% (95% CI: 82.84 to 91.65) under fixed effect model with $I^2 = 25.57\%$ (95%CI: 0.00 to 63.11).

A meta-analysis was conducted for four studies to assess the mortality on the use of Plasmapheresis/Plasma exchange (PP/PE) as adjunctive therapy on AFLP patients. Mortality data of the case control studies in the PE/PP group (Intervention) in comparison to control group is mentioned in Table 4. In the four included studies (18, 21, 24, 37), Pooled odds ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) found that there is association between mortality and PP/PE as the adjuvant treatment. (Figure 2) PE/PP was associated with the reduction in the mortality with pooled odds ratio of 0.51(95% CI: 0.08 to 3.09) under random effect model. There is high heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated as 2.03; Chi² test estimated to be 14.03 with degree of freedom of 2 (p = 0.0009); I² test estimated to be 86% as compared to no PP/PE as treatment (Figure 4).

4.3.2 Cause of mortality

Causes of mortality are described Table 1. Tang et al. and Chu et al. reported the causes of mortality as septic shock and pulmonary failure, respectively. In study by Li et al., combined encephalopathy and post-partum haemorrhage were associated with maternal mortality (37). While Ding et al. observed multiorgan dysfunction and disseminated intravascular coagulation, sepsis, cerebral hemorrhage, hepatic coma associated with maternal mortality (21).

4.3.3 Sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis was performed to view any changes by omitting the outlier study. This was conducted by removing the outlier, i.e., Gao et al. (24) in which patients taken in PE group and non PE/PP were not comparable. In the study by Gao et al., patients treated with PE/PP had numerous poor prognostic factors, thus leading to higher maternal mortality as compared to the control group.

After removing the outlier study by Gao et al. (24), pooled survival proportion for 182 patients with PE/PP as adjunctive treatment was 90.37% (95% CI: 85.29 to 94.14) under fixed effect model with $I^2 = 0.00\%$ (95%CI:0.00 to 42.09). Studies of Li et al., Ding et al. and Tang et al. (18, 21, 37) were analysed to assess the mortality in AFLP patients after the use of Plasmapheresis/Plasma exchange (PP/PE) as adjunctive therapy. The pooled odds ratio calculated came out to be 0.19 (95% CI: 0.02 to 1.52) under random effects model. There was still heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated to be 1.54; Chi² test estimated to be 2.74 with degree of freedom of 1 (p=0.10); I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 63% (Figure 4).

4.4 Changes in biochemical parameters after plasmapheresis/plasma exchange

A meta-analysis was conducted to assess the effect of Plasmapheresis/ Plasma exchange on acute fatty liver of pregnancy patients in terms of improvement in the biochemical profile. Table 5 mentions the quantitative values of the variables studied in the biochemical profile. Although included in the systematic review, the study by Tang et al. (19) was not included in the formal meta-analysis of change in biochemical parameters as data about standard deviation or error of relevant parameters were not

fair

Fair Fair Fair Fair

between exposure(s) and outcome(s)?

can

TABLE 3 Characteristics of the included studies [intervention group (*N*), blood purification treatment, type of technique & system used, indications for plex, procedures per patient, timing of pe, postpartum (days), complication during procedure, plasma volume exchanged; blood flow rate; plasma separation rate in each session and replacement fluid].

Sr. no.	Author & YOP	Intervention group (N)	Blood purification treatment	Type Of technique रु system Used	Conditions at/ Indications for blood purification treatment	Procedures per patient	Timing Of PE, post- partum (Days)	Complications during procedure	Plasma volume exchanged; blood flow rate; plasma separation rate in each session and replacement fluid
1	Martin et al. (20)	6	PE	Centrifugal IBM/Cobe Model 2997 Cell Separator or more recently a Cobe Spectra Cell Separator	Worsening Coagulopathy $(n = 6)$ Vomiting $(n = 1)$ Increased Creatinine $(n = 6)$ Hyperammonemia $(n = 3)$ Hypoglycemia $(n = 3)$ Blood pressure support with vasopressors $(n = 2)$ Mental status change $(n = 4)$ Increased blood product usage $(n = 4)$ Fever $(n = 2)$ Sepsis $(n = 2)$ Ascites $(n = 3)$ ARDS $(n = 1)$ Mechanical Ventilation $(n = 3)$	2 to 4(mean-3)	4 (mean) range (2-9), mode day 3.	N=1 single episode of mild pulmonary edema	3-4 L; 50ml/kg; FFP.
2	Rebahi et al. (30)	13	PE	Membrane Continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) system (Diapact Multifunctional CRRT Machine, B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany). P2S membrane plasma separator (Fresenius, Bad Homburg,Germany	NA	l to 3 Total-36 sessions	6 hours	Acute pulmonary edema (3/36) Hypocalcemia (10/36) Metabolic acidosis (6/36) Hypernatremia (4/36)	7200 ml (median) (range:3000- 8600ml); 20 ml/min;80-100 ml/min FFP
3	Kumar et al. (31)	1	PE	NA	Continuous deterioration, Abdominal Distension, Worsening encephalopathy, Loss of deep tendon reflexes Worsening thrombocytopenia Cerebral edema,	5	4	Grade three ascites with pleural effusion	2L; 6 FFP+ 1 L isotonic 4% albumin solution
4	Majidi et al. (32)	1	PE	Centrifugal type apheresis system (Spectra Optia, Terumo BCT)	Persistent coagulopathy Thrombocytopenia Worsening renal function, Persistent organ dysfunction	NA	4	No periprocedural complications	2.6 L; 45ml/minute; FFP

(Continued)

TABLE 3	(Continued)								
Sr. no.	Author & YOP	Intervention group (N)	Blood purification treatment	Type Of technique & system Used	Conditions at/ Indications for blood purification treatment	Procedures per patient	Timing Of PE, post- partum (Days)	Complications during procedure	Plasma volume exchanged; blood flow rate; plasma separation rate in each session and replacement fluid
5	Ashrafganjoei et al. (29)	3	PE	NA	Hepatic encephalopathy (<i>n</i> = 1) Severe respiratory distress (<i>n</i> = 1) Hepatic and renal failure(n=3) Hypoglycemia (<i>n</i> = 1) Diffuse edema (<i>n</i> = 1) Ascites (<i>n</i> = 2) Increased Bilirubin production (<i>n</i> = 1)	Pt1-22 Pt2-4 Pt3-3	Pt1-8 Pt2-6 Pt3-1	NA	Pt1 - 3 L in 4 hours; 8 units FFP Pt2 – 3 L in 4 hours; 8 FFP + 100ml of 20% albumin PT3 - 3 L, FFP
6	Hartwell et al. (34)	1	PE	NA	Coagulopathy Severe edema, seizure	20	5	NA	NA
7	Córdoba- Vives et al. (27)	1	PE	NA	Altered mental status, Elevated ammonia Coagulopathy,	3	6	NA	FFP
8	Aabdi et al. (28)	1	PE	NA	Encephalopathy, Coagulopathy Persistent hypoglycemia	3	5	NA	NA
9	Jin et al. (36)	1	PE	NA	Neurological deterioration, Thrombocytopenia	5	2	NA	2L
10	Tang et al. (18)	37	PE	NA	Encephalopathy (<i>n</i> = 14) AKI (<i>n</i> = 19) DIC (<i>n</i> = 20)	1 to 4	1-5	NA	NA
11	Ding et al. (21)	6	PE with Plasma Perfusion	Membrane Based Plasmauto2IQ blood purification device (Diapact multifunctional CRRT; B. Braun, Melsungen, Germany) An OP208 membrane type plasma separator (Fresenius, Bad Homburg, Germany) Hemoperfusion cartridge as membrane filter	Not reported	Total-30 procedures, range(1 to 8)	14	No Complications	2.5-3.5 L For plasma perfusion-flow rate:180 ml/min with hemoperfusion cartridges at 40 ml/min; 5000 IU Heparin

(Continued)

TABLE 3	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Sr. no.	Author & YOP	Intervention group (N)	Blood purification treatment	Type Of technique & system Used	Conditions at/ Indications for blood purification treatment	Procedures per patient	Timing Of PE, post- partum (Days)	Complications during procedure	Plasma volume exchanged; blood flow rate; plasma separation rate in each session and replacement fluid
12	Chu et al.	11	PE	Membrane	Persistent coagulopathy, Increased creatinine	Total-45 sessions,2 to	2 (Range-0-3)	PE+CHDF well tolerated. No	3-4 L
	(22)		Continuous	A multifiltrate machine	(<i>n</i> = 11)	8(range)		Adverse effects reported.	80ml/min for PE
			hemodiafiltration	(Fresenius Medical Care,	ARDS $(n = 4)$				150 mL/min for CHDF
				Bad Homburg, Germany)	Mental status change- $(n = 4)$				50ml plasma/kg for PE
				was used for PE and	Mechanical Ventilation required- $(n = 4)$				Dialyzer flow 20ml/kg/h and
				CHDF.	Fever $(n = 1)$				replacement fluid flow was set at 35
				Plasm Flux PSu2S	Sepsis $(n = 1)$				mL/kg/h for CHDF
				(Fresenius Medical Care)	Septic Shock $(n = 1)$				FFP for PE
				was used as the plasma-	Oliguria $(n = 2)$				Bicarbonate-buffered hemofiltration
				separating membrane.	Blood pressure support with vasopressors $(n = 2)$				fluid for CHDF
				The polysulfone membrane					
				hemofilter was used for					
				CHDF					
				(AV600S, 1.4 m2 surface					
				area,					
				Fresenius Medical Care).					
13	Yu et al. (23)	5	PE+ RRT	NA	Encephalopathy ($n = 5$)	Total-13 session,	2	No complication/ Adverse	2.5-4 L
					Haemorrhage $(n = 1)$	1 to 3 (range)		side effects reported, Therapy	80-120 ml/ min
					Severe pneumonia (n=1)			well tolerated.	20ml/ min
					Fever $(n = 2)$				FFP and 20-40 g albumin
					Elevated creatinine($n = 5$)				
					Coagulopathy $(n = 4)$				
					Oliguria (<i>n</i> = 2)				
					Hepatorenal syndrome $(n = 4)$				
					Sepsis($n = 1$)				
					ARDS $(n = 1)$				

TABLE 3	(Continued)								
Sr. no.	Author & YOP	Intervention group (N)	Blood purification treatment	Type Of technique & system Used	Conditions at/ Indications for blood purification treatment	Procedures per patient	Timing Of PE, post- partum (Days)	Complications during procedure	Plasma volume exchanged; blood flow rate; plasma separation rate in each session and replacement fluid
14	Tang et al.	17	PE+CVVH	Continuous renal	Acute kidney Injury (n=17),	2 to 3	6 hrs	For PE - Acute	5.5L to 8.6L (range)
	(19)			replacement therapy	DIC(n=7)			pulmonary Edema	For PE- 80 ml/min
				(CRRT) system	Encephalopathy (n=9)			(n=7), Bleeding(n=3),	For CVVH-200-250 ml/min
				(Diapact	Gastrointestinal hemorrhage (n=3)			Arrhythmia(n=1),	20ml/min
				multifunctional CRRT,	Acute pancreatitis (n=1)			Fever(n=2),	FFP
				B. Braun, Melsungen,				Hypocalcemia (n=7),	
				Germany				Metabolic Alkalosis	
				For PE- P2S membrane				(n=5),	
				plasma separator				Hyperkalaemia(n=2),	
				(Fresenius, Bad				Hypernatremia (n=4).	
				Homburg, Germany).				For CVVH-	
				For CVVH- AV 600S				Bleeding(n=5),	
				Polysulfone membrane				Hypotension(n=2),	
				(Fresenius)				Arrhythmia(n=3),	
								Hypocalcaemia (n=1),	
								Metabolic Alkalosis	
								(n=1)	
15	Ye et al.	1	PE+ renal	NA	Hypersomnia, decreased consciousness,	NA	7	No complications/	FFP
	(33)		replacement		increased serum creatinine and bilirubin			Adverse side effects	
			therapy		Elevated serum lipase, amylase, respiratory			observed	
					failure, acute renal failure,				

PE, Plasma Exchange; CRRT, Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy; DIC, Disseminated intravascular Coagulopathy; ARDS, Acute Renal Distress Syndrome; RRT, Renal Replacement Therapy, FP, Fresh Frozen Plasma; CHDF, Continuous hemodiafiltration; CVVH, Continuous venovenous Hemodiafiltration.

Authors	Year of	Interven	ition	Contro	ols	Risk of bias
	publication	Mortality (<i>n</i>)	Total (<i>n</i>)	Mortality (<i>n</i>)	Total (<i>n</i>)	
Li et al. (37)	2023	11	79	22	79	Moderate
Ding et al. (21)	2015	1	6	13	16	Moderate
Gao et al. (24)	2020	11	41	11	92	Serious
Tang et al. (19)	2012	0	13	0	15	Moderate

TABLE 4 Mortality data of the case control studies in the PE/PP group (Intervention) vs. Control group.

reported in the study. Pooled standardized mean difference for different biochemical outcomes are as follows.

- 1 Bilirubin-Six studies reported the change in the bilirubin values after treatment. There was significant decrease in pooled bilirubin values after plasmapheresis treatment with mean difference (MD) of 8.30 (95% Cl: 6.75 to 9.84) using random effects model. There was no observed heterogeneity between studies with Tau² estimated to be 0.00; Chi² test estimated to be 1.95 with degree of freedom of 5 (p=0.86) and I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 0% (Figure 5).
- 2 Alanine Transferase (ALT)- Six studies reported the change in the ALT levels after the treatment A decrease in pooled ALT values with MD of 111.08 (95% CI:27.18 to 194.97) under random effects model. There was heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated to be 6326.64; Chi² test estimated to be 21.72 with degree of freedom of 5 (p=0.0006 and I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 77% (Figure 6).
- 3 Aspartate Transferase (AST)- Analysis of six studies reporting the change in the AST levels after the treatment demonstrated a substantial decrease in pooled AST values after plasmapheresis treatment with MD of 107.25 (95% CI:52.45 to 162.06) under fixed effects model. There was heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated to be 2047.66; Chi² test estimated to be 10.65 with degree of freedom of 5 (p=0.06 and I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 53% (Figure 6).
- 4 Creatinine-Pooled Creatinine values also showed improvement with the plasmapheresis with MD of 1.66 (95% CI: 1.39 to 1.93) under random effect model. There was heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated to be 0.00; Chi² test estimated to be 3.99 with degree of freedom of 5 (p=0.55) and I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 0% (Figure 7).
- 5 Prothrombin Time (PT)- Five studies reported the change in the prothrombin time levels after the treatment. Pooled Prothrombin Time showed some improvement after the plasmapheresis with MD of 5.08 (95% CI: 2.93 to 7.22) under random effects model. There was heterogeneity among studies with Tau² estimated to be 2.69; Chi² test estimated to be 7.87 with degree of freedom of 4 (p = 0.10) and I² test estimated the heterogeneity to be 49% (Figure 8).

5 Complications during the plasma exchange/plasmapheresis

PE/PP appears to be generally well tolerated when used to treat with ALF and ACLF patients. The side effects of PE/PP may include sepsis, port-related infection, vein inflammation, bleeding, accidental arterial puncture, hypotensive and hypothermia and side effects by citrate anti-coagulation include hypocalecemia, muscle pain, arrythmia etc. Transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI) and acute pulmonary odema may arise due to FFP administered as a replacement during PP/PE. But the incidence of these pulmonary complications has decreased overtime due to female plasma being discarded as a risk reduction strategy or diverted for plasma fractionation (40, 41).

In this review, complications were studied/reported in four studies (18–20, 30). These included mild pulmonary oedema and grade 3 ascites with pleural effusion, hypocalcaemia, metabolic acidosis and hypernatremia which can be due to FFP.10 studies did not report complications or adverse side effects during procedures (21–23, 27–29, 31–34).

Tang et al. (19) compared various complications between PE and CVVH, respectively, (continuous venovenous hemofiltration) and reported a incidence of acute pulmonary oedema (7/17 vs. 0/17, p value of 0.007 and hypocalcaemia (7/17 vs. 1/17, p value-0.039) respectively. Other complications like bleeding, arrhythmia, metabolic acidosis, fever, hyperkalaemia, hypernatremia, hypotension was also reported but not statistically significant.

6 Discussion

The present systematic review demonstrates the potential role of plasma exchange in the treatment of acute fatty liver of pregnancy after delivery.

The main outcome of our study was safety and efficacy of the plasma exchange in reducing mortality of the patients. With advancement in the diagnosis and management of AFLP, the maternal mortality rate is now estimated to be 12.5 to 18% (42, 43).

Pooled survival proportion of patients (n = 223) from included studies was 87.741% and after removing the outlier study of Gao et al. (24), we observed an impressive pooled survival rate of >90% for AFLP patients (n = 182), despite the poor liver function status. Our metanalysis of pooled odds ratio suggests that there is reduction in the mortality with PP/PE treatment. But wide confidence interval and high heterogeneity among studies suggests variability in effect sizes across the studies. Even after removing the outlier study, there is still some degree of heterogeneity. So the findings should be interpreted cautiously. PE/PP has been widely used in the management of liver failure of other causes and can also improve the outcome of the AFLP patients.

Another outcome was change in the biochemical parameters with PP/PE as adjunctive treatment. In our meta-analysis, six studies were incorporated. Pooled mean difference (MD) between biochemical outcomes (Bilirubin and creatinine) showed significant



decrease with no heterogeneity among studies. Pooled MD of other biochemical outcomes (AST, ALT, Prothrombin Time) also showed improvement but with some heterogeneity among studies. Plasma exchange (PE) can be beneficial for patients with severe illnesses as it promotes the faster normalization of liver and renal enzymes. However, for patients with less severe conditions, supportive therapy alone may be sufficient.

Prompt induction of labor and termination of the pregnancy is the definitive and vital in the obstetrical management. Though normal vaginal delivery is generally considered safer, Caesarean section usually reduces the time to deliver as compared to induction of labour and vaginal delivery. In their systematic review, Wang et al. studied the association between caesarean section and vaginal delivery and reported that maternal mortality was 44% lower (RR,0.56 [CI-0.41-0.76] in caesarean section although not statistically significant (44). AFLP is often complicated by hepatic encephalopathy, coagulopathy, multiorgan dysfunction syndrome, renal dysfunction, DIC, hypoglycaemia, septic shock, haemorrhage.

Guidelines by CSOG MFM Committee of China for clinical management of acute fatty liver of pregnancy includes the use of

artificial liver treatment for patients with severe AFLP (45). Recently published guidelines by the European Association for the Study of Liver (EASL) and Japan Society of Blood Purification in Critical Care (JSBPCC), Indian National Association For Study Of The Liver (INASL) recommend using extracorporeal blood purification devices, including PE, for acute fulminant liver failure. However, the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) has been more cautious and has not found enough solid evidence to routinely recommend the use of external artificial (sorbent-based) or bioartificial liver support systems (cell-based) in the management of acute liver failure (ALF) (46).

Tang's study elaborates the effect of the PE on the molecular and cellular level and how PE can help lessen the hepatic injury in AFLP. Increased fatty acids in AFLP leads to excessive intake by hepatocytes, that stimulates the expression of reactive oxygen species, mitochondrial DNA mutations, and apoptosis. Dysfunction of the synthesis and detoxification function of liver leads to more accumulation of the toxic metabolites. PE significantly enhanced mitochondrial functionality by regulating mitochondrial membrane TABLE 5 Comparison of clinical parameters pre-plasma exchange vs. post-plasma exchange data with/without another blood purification technique as intervention in acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP) patients during hospital stay.

Sr. no.	Author	Total Patients (n)	Blood Purification Technique	To Bilir (mg	otal ubin g/dl)	A: (IU	ST I/L)	AI (IU	_T /L)	Crea (m <u>c</u>	tinine g/dl)	PT (sec)	Plate (10 ³ /1	elets mm³)	(<u>ç</u>	Hb g/dl)
				Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
1	Majidi et al.	3	PE	3.6	1	370	32	596	53	1.9	1.3	18	13	60	120	9.2	9.9
				16.9	7.3	136	30	126	20	2.2	0.9	16.7	13	63	190	9.5	9.5
				8.5	1.3	71	30	42	29	3.1	0.7	22.9	13.3	39	220	6	12
2	Kumar et al.	1	PE	11.8	8.5	77	52	60	32	1.6	0.7	-	-	45	52	6.7	8.8
3	Martin et al.	6	PE	15	6.3	308	67	284	47.3	2.9	0.8	20.2	12.5	46.3	195	-	-
4	Rebahi et al.	1	PE	20.6	16.75	325	98	190	33	34	7	20	9.5	75	256	12.5	7.8
5	Aabdi et al.	1	PE	69	-	300	70	325	69	41	13	-	-	57	72	10	9.0
6	Jin et al.	39	PE	19.03	10.29	396.3	243.4	420.8	274.7	3.92	2.25	27.3	25.6	61.6	63.2		
7	Chu et al.	11	PE + CHDF	19.94	9.6	218	116.6	254	121.3	2.52	1.05	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Ding et al.	6	PE+ PP	10.49	4.01	217.6	166.6	135.5	117.6	3.37	2.49	-	-	-	-	-	-
9	Yu et al.	5	PE + RRT	19.03	10.31	176.6	72.4	170.8	76.8	3.04	1.50	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	Tang et al.	17	PE+CVVH	14.03	2.69	261	80	146	66	2.59	2.05	21.1	11.6	88	160	-	-
				(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)	(median)		

AST, aspartate transferase; ALT, alanine transferase; PT, prothrombin time; Hb, hemoglobin; PE, plasma exchange; CHDF, continuous hemodiafiltration; RRT, renal replacement therapy; PP, plasma perfusion.

				Bilir	ubin					
	Pre li	nterventi	on	Post	Intervent	ion		Mean difference	Mea	an difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Ra	indom, 95% Cl
Majidi et al, 2013	9.6	6.72	3	3.2	3.55	3	3.2%	6.40 [-2.20 , 15.00]		-
Ding et al, 2015	10.49	2.37	6	4.01	3.5	6	20.9%	6.48 [3.10, 9.86]		
Martin et al, 2008	15	9	6	6.3	4	6	3.9%	8.70 [0.82 , 16.58]		
Yu et al, 2014	19.03	5.06	5	10.31	7.85	5	3.6%	8.72 [0.53 , 16.91]		
Jin et al, 2012	19.03	5.06	37	10.29	3.42	37	61.8%	8.74 [6.77 , 10.71]		-
Chu et al, 2012	19.94	6.66	11	9.6	7.68	11	6.6%	10.34 [4.33 , 16.35]		
Total (95% Cl)			68			68	100.0%	8.30 [6.75 , 9.84]		•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi2 =	= 1.95, df	= 5 (P =	0.86); I ² =	0%					•
Test for overall effect:	Z = 10.51 (P < 0.000	001)						-20 -10	0 10 3
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	t applicat	ole						Worsene	d Improved

FIGURE 5

Bilirubin—forest plot showing mean difference (MD) and their 95% confidence interval (CI) and weights for individual studies from Pre and Post Intervention (plasmapheresis/PE with or without other blood purification techniques) obtained from six studies. MD values are depicted by green squares for each study with positive value indicating positive effect of the Intervention and diamond depicts pooled MD.

	Pre li	nterventi	on	Post	nterventi	on		Mean difference	Mean difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% C	1
Ding et al, 2015	135.5	12.8	6	117.6	14.7	6	28.7%	17.90 [2.30 , 33.50]		
Yu et al, 2014	170.8	151.4	5	76.8	28.9	5	16.5%	94.00 [-41.10 , 229.10]		
Chu et al, 2012	254	228.5	11	121.3	89.4	11	15.5%	132.70 [-12.30 , 277.70]		
Jin et al, 2012	420.8	153.2	37	274.7	132.8	37	24.6%	146.10 [80.77 , 211.43]	-	
Majidi et al, 2013	254.66	298.57	3	34	17.05	3	5.1%	220.66 [-117.75 , 559.07]		
Martin et al, 2008	284	277.6	6	47.3	8.4	6	9.6%	236.70 [14.48 , 458.92]		
Total (95% CI)			68			68	100.0%	111.08 [27.18 , 194.97]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	6326.64; C	hi ² = 21.7	2, df = 5 (P = 0.0000	5); l ² = 77	%			•	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.59 (P	= 0.009)							500 250 0 250	500
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No	= 0.009) t applicat	le						-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv	500 /ed
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No	t applicat	le Asp	artate Tra	ansferas	e (AST)			-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv	500 ved
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I	= 0.009) t applicat	le Aspa	artate Tra Post	ansferas	e (AST) tion		Mean difference	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference	500 ved
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean	e = 0.009) t applicat	ion Total	artate Tra Post Mean	ansferas Intervent SD	e (AST) tion Total	Weight	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% Cl	Mean difference	ed 500
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6	e = 0.009) t applicat intervent SD 21.7	ion Total	artate Tra Post Mean 166.6	ansferas Intervent SD 32.7	e (AST) tion Total	Weight 33.9%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% Cl 51.00 [19.60 , 82.40]	Mean difference	500 red
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218	e = 0.009) t applicat intervent SD 21.7 204.9	ion Total 6 11	Post Mean 166.6 116.6	ansferas Intervent SD 32.7 72.4	e (AST) tion Total 6 11	Weight 33.9% 12.3%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% CI 51.00 [19.60 , 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02 , 229.82]	Mean difference	red 500
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6	e = 0.009) t applicat intervent SD 21.7 204.9 106.8	ole ion Total 6 11 5	artate Tra Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4	ansferas Intervent SD 32.7 72.4 24.9	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% CI 51.00 [19.60 , 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02 , 229.82] 104.20 [8.08 , 200.32]	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference IV, Random, 95% 0	500 /ed
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014 Jin et al, 2012	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6 396.3	e = 0.009) t applicat intervent SD 21.7 204.9 106.8 154.3	Aspa ion Total 6 11 5 37	Artate Tra Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4 243.4	32.7 72.4 24.9 126.8	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5 37	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6% 25.0%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% CI 51.00 [19.60 , 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02 , 229.82] 104.20 [8.08 , 200.32] 152.90 [88.55 , 217.25]	Mean difference	500 ved
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014 Jin et al, 2012 Majidi et al, 2013	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6 396.3 192.3	e 0.009) t applicat intervent SD 21.7 204.9 106.8 154.3 157.25	Aspa ion Total 6 11 5 37 3	Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4 243.4 30	ansferas Intervent SD 32.7 72.4 24.9 126.8 1.154	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5 37 3	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6% 25.0% 7.6%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% CI 51.00 [19.60, 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02, 229.82] 104.20 [8.08, 200.32] 152.90 [88.55, 217.25] 162.30 [-15.65, 340.25]	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference IV, Random, 95% 0	500 red
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014 Jin et al, 2012 Majidi et al, 2013 Martin et al, 2008	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6 396.3 192.3 308	e 0.009) t applicat sp 21.7 204.9 106.8 154.3 157.25 345.9	Aspr ion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6	artate Tra Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4 243.4 30 67	ansferas Intervent SD 32.7 72.4 24.9 126.8 1.154 16.7	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6% 25.0% 7.6% 3.5%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% CI 51.00 [19.60 , 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02 , 229.82] 104.20 [8.08 , 200.32] 152.90 [88.55 , 217.25] 162.30 [-15.65 , 340.25] 241.00 [-36.09 , 518.09]	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference IV, Random, 95% 0	500 red
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014 Jin et al, 2012 Majidi et al, 2013 Martin et al, 2008 Total (95% CI)	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6 396.3 192.3 308	e = 0.009) t applicat sD 21.7 204.9 106.8 154.3 157.25 345.9	Aspr ion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6 68	artate Tra Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4 243.4 30 67	32.7 72.4 24.9 126.8 1.154	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6 8	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6% 25.0% 7.6% 3.5%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% Cl 51.00 [19.60, 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02, 229.82] 104.20 [8.08, 200.32] 152.90 [88.55, 217.25] 162.30 [-15.65, 340.25] 241.00 [-36.09, 518.09] 107.25 [52.45, 162.06]	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference IV, Random, 95% 0	
Test for overall effect: Test for subgroup diffe Study or Subgroup Ding et al, 2015 Chu et al, 2012 Yu et al, 2014 Jin et al, 2012 Majidi et al, 2013 Martin et al, 2008 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	Z = 2.59 (P rences: No Pre I Mean 217.6 218 176.6 396.3 192.3 308 2047.66; C	e = 0.009) t applicat sp 21.7 204.9 106.8 154.3 157.25 345.9 Chi ² = 10.	Aspr ion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6 6 8 65, df = 5	Post Mean 166.6 116.6 72.4 243.4 30 67 (P = 0.06)	ansferas SD 32.7 72.4 24.9 126.8 1.154 16.7 ; 1 ² = 53%	e (AST) tion Total 6 11 5 37 3 6 68	Weight 33.9% 12.3% 17.6% 25.0% 3.5% 100.0%	Mean difference IV, Random, 95% Cl 51.00 [19.60, 82.40] 101.40 [-27.02, 229.82] 104.20 [8.08, 200.32] 152.90 [88.55, 217.25] 152.30 [-15.65, 340.25] 241.00 [-36.09, 518.09] 107.25 [52.45, 162.06]	-500 -250 0 250 Worsened Improv Mean difference IV, Random, 95% 0	

FIGURE 6

ALT (alanine transferase) and AST (aspartate transferase)—Forest plot showing pooled mean difference (MD) and their 95% confidence interval (CI) and weights for individual studies)from Pre and Post Intervention (plasmapheresis/PE with or without other blood purification techniques) obtained from six studies. MD values are depicted by green squares for each study with positive value indicating positive effect of the Intervention and diamond depicts pooled MD.

potential (MMP) and inhibiting oxidative stress responses and caspase-9 activation, resulting in a reduction in apoptosis in AFLP patients, the effect increasing, following several sessions (18).

It should be noted that most of the included cohort studies are retrospective in nature. Jin et al. treated 39 AFLP patients with PE and it was noted that the patient's general condition improved after the first PE session (36). Additionally, Kumar et al. observed that PE led to significant improvements in renal and liver biomarkers (31).

Safety of PE also needs to be studied. PE is associated with complications during treatment like hypocalcaemia and metabolic acidosis, ascites, hypernatremia, bleeding, arrhythmia, fever, hyperkalaemia and complications like acute pulmonary oedema/

Study or Subgroup	Pre li	nterventi	on	Post Intervention				Mean difference	Mean difference
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ding et al, 2015	3.37	1.08	6	2.49	1.21	6	4.4%	0.88 [-0.42 , 2.18]	
Majidi et al, 2013	2.4	0.62	3	0.96	0.3	3	12.1%	1.44 [0.66 , 2.22]	
Chu et al, 2012	2.52	1.22	11	1.05	0.24	11	13.6%	1.47 [0.74 , 2.20]	
/u et al, 2014	3.04	1.1	5	1.5	0.98	5	4.4%	1.54 [0.25 , 2.83]	
lin et al, 2012	3.92	0.99	37	2.25	0.75	37	45.8%	1.67 [1.27 , 2.07]	-
Martin et al, 2008	2.9	0.7	6	0.8	0.3	6	19.8%	2.10 [1.49 , 2.71]	
Total (95% CI)			68			68	100.0%	1.66 [1.39 , 1.93]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Chi ² =	= 3.99, df	= 5 (P = 1	0.55); I ² =	0%				•
lest for overall effect	Z = 12.01 (P < 0.000	01)						

FIGURE 7

Creatinine-Forest plot showing pooled mean difference (MD) and their 95% confidence interval (CI) and weights for individual studies) from Pre and Post Intervention (plasmapheresis/PE with or without other blood purification techniques) obtained from six studies. MD values are depicted by green squares for each study with positive value indicating positive effect of the Intervention and diamond depicts pooled MD.

Study or Subgroup	Pre li	nterventi	on	Post Intervention				Mean difference	Mean difference
	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Jin et al, 2012	27.3	5.4	37	25.6	6.7	37	25.5%	1.70 [-1.07 , 4.47]	
Chu et al, 2012	19	2.6	11	13.3	1.4	11	34.3%	5.70 [3.95 , 7.45]	+
Yu et al, 2014	22.9	6.8	5	17	6.8	5	5.6%	5.90 [-2.53 , 14.33]	
Majidi et al, 2013	19.2	3.26	3	13.1	0.173	3	19.2%	6.10 [2.41 , 9.79]	
Martin et al, 2008	20.2	5.5	6	12.5	0.5	6	15.4%	7.70 [3.28 , 12.12]	
Total (95% CI)			62			62	100.0%	5.08 [2.93 , 7.22]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.69; Chi2 =	= 7.87, df	= 4 (P = (0.10); l ² = 4	49%				•
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.64 (P	< 0.0000)1)						-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: No	t applicat	ole						Worsened Improved

Prothrombin time-forest plot showing Pooled mean difference (MD) and their 95% confidence interval (CI) and weights for individual studies) from Pre and Post Intervention (plasmapheresis/PE with or without other blood purification techniques) obtained from six studies. MD values are depicted by green squares for each study with positive value indicating positive effect of the Intervention and diamond depicts pooled MD.

TRALI due to FFP transfusion during PE/PP. But the incidence of these pulmonary complications has decreased overtime due to female plasma being discarded as a risk reduction strategy or diverted for plasma fractionation (40, 41). Tang et al. (19) study observes that the use of PE alone can induce pulmonary oedema, secondary to the substantial requirement of the fresh frozen plasma. A major concern associated with PE is the administration of large doses of citrate anticoagulants, which can lead to hypernatremia, hypocalcaemia, and metabolic alkalosis. Hypocalcaemia can be prevented during PE by prophylactic calcium administration and calcium monitoring (47). When treated with low-volume PE, citrate toxicity is generally seen less due to the low volumes of plasma exchanged (thus using less citrate) and the low rates of flow of the processed blood (using PE/ PP centrifugal technique) in liver failure patients (48). In several reports, hemodynamic instability was seen as a contraindication to using PE/PP (49, 50). PE/PP in hemodynamically unstable patients raises concerns that it could exacerbate and have negative impact on patient outcomes. Active sepsis is regarded as a PE contraindication. It's probable that PE's suppression of the immune system's overreaction will make sepsis worse (48). Optimal situation for starting PE may be in the golden window of sterile inflammation (51). PLEX use to treat liver failure is contraindicated if there has recently been a gastrointestinal bleeding (50). In the RCT by Larsen et al. for ALF patients (n=92) there was no statistical difference between the complications who received high volume plasma exchange vs. standard medical treatment. PE/PP can be considered safe and tolerable for acute liver failure patients. Choice of the treatment depends on the severity of the condition (52). There is not much evidence to opine on whether normal volume or high volume exchange benefits more in AFLP patients. Plasmapheresis combined with other blood purification techniques have also been attempted in studies. Ding et al. observed that kidney and liver biochemical functions significantly enhanced when PE and Plasma perfusion were given for 2 weeks. The combination of plasma exchange (PE) and plasma perfusion (PP) in patients with liver disease enables the efficient removal of a significant quantity of toxic substances, as well as the improvement

of clotting factors and albumin levels (21). Yu et al. used PE with renal replacement therapy such as continuous venovenous hemofiltration, continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration or continuous venovenous haemodialysis once on every other day. For severe AFLP patients with potentially fatal illness, plasma exchange and renal replacement therapy can be used for treatment of patients that do not respond to that conventional therapy (23). In the study by Tang et al. combining PE and continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH), PE clears the bilirubin significantly than CVVH (p = 0.000). Plasmapheresis (PE) effectively eliminates circulating endotoxins and facilitates the replacement of coagulation factors and proteins, thereby correcting hepatic encephalopathy (HE). CVVH improves the renal functions by clearance of creatinine, inflammatory mediators, nitrogenous metabolic waste etc. (19). Yamamoto et al. and Ye et al. also endorse the same (26, 33).

Furthermore, it is worth noting that initiating plasmapheresis earlier appears to enhance its effectiveness and reduce the number of required sessions. Overall, these studies collectively suggest that plasma exchange, particularly when initiated promptly, can be effective in improving the clinical outcomes of severely ill AFLP patients, leading to favourable changes in various biochemical markers.

7 Limitations

Our study is limited by the quality of studies and heterogeneity in reporting. Published data on the patient outcomes with AFLP are mostly case reports, with no RCTs. Larger prospective studies may elucidate the impact of plasma exchange on the maternal survival. Clinical heterogeneity was a notable challenge. There were limited available studies on the topic and variations in study designs. MELD score for degree of liver failure is also not mentioned. The lack of standardized PE protocols, data collection methods and the absence of consistent reporting of treatment outcomes, use of different statistical measures made it challenging to ascertain the precise differences in treatment effects. While some case studies did provide pre-and post-plasma exchange data, it is crucial to consider that combining data from case reports may introduce biases and limitations, compromising the overall validity of the systematic review. The majority of studies lacked control groups. In order to address these limitations, the systematic review had to carefully consider the available evidence, focusing on the similarities and differences in study design, patient characteristics, and reported outcomes, while acknowledging the inherent limitations and potential biases associated with the included studies.

8 Conclusion

To conclude, emerging evidence suggests that PE can serve as a therapeutic approach for acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP), particularly in severe or refractory cases. PE provides the organ with an opportunity to recover by ameliorating liver injury and creating a homeostatic environment conducive to hepatocyte regeneration. Better designed and larger randomized controlled trials or at the very least propensity matched retrospective or prospective cohort studies are the need of the hour, to gain granular understanding about the efficacy and patient selection for plasmapheresis/plasma exchange in acute fatty liver of pregnancy patients.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

SS: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Data curation, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization. AD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft. BK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Project administration. DL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. VS: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision. AP: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Methodology.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. We would like to acknowledge the Indian Council of Medical Education and Research, New Delhi, for providing the funds for the project and publication charges (Project ID:9733).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1433324/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Ko H, Yoshida EM. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy. Can J Gastroenterol. (2006) 20:25–30. doi: 10.1155/2006/638131

2. Fesenmeier MF, Coppage KH, Lambers DS, Barton JR, Sibai BM. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy in 3 tertiary care centers. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* (2005) 192:1416–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2004.12.035

3. Sheehan H. The pathology of acute yellow atrophy and delayed chloroform poisoning. *BJOG Int J Obstet Gynaecol.* (1940) 47:49–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0528.1940. tb14731.x

4. Morton A, Laurie J. Physiological changes of pregnancy and the Swansea criteria in diagnosing acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *Obstet Med.* (2018) 11:126–31. doi: 10.1177/1753495X18759353

5. Goel A, Jamwal KD, Ramachandran A, Balasubramanian KA, Eapen CE. Pregnancy-related liver disorders. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* (2014) 4:151–62. doi: 10.1016/j. jceh.2013.03.220

6. Tandukar S, Palevsky PM. Continuous renal replacement therapy: who, when, why, and how. Chest. (2019) 155:626–38. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2018.09.004

7. Tan EX-X, Wang M-X, Pang J, Lee G-H. Plasma exchange in patients with acute and acute-on-chronic liver failure: a systematic review. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2020) 26:219–45. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i2.219

8. Sokolov AA, Solovyev AG. Russian pioneers of therapeutic hemapheresis and extracorporeal hemocorrection: 100-year anniversary of the world's first successful plasmapheresis. *Ther Apher Dial*. (2014) 18:117–21. doi: 10.1111/1744-9987.12067

9. Kielstein JT, Hafer C, Zimbudzi E, Hawes S. A change for better exchange–from membrane therapeutic plasma exchange to centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange. *EMJ Nephrol.* (2020) 8:2–10. doi: 10.33590/emjnephrol/20-0001

10. Puppe B, Kingdon EJ. Membrane and centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange: practical difficulties in anticoagulating the extracorporeal circuit. *Clin Kidney J.* (2014) 7:201–5. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sft163

11. Hafer C, Golla P, Gericke M, Eden G, Beutel G, Schmidt JJ, et al. Membrane versus centrifuge-based therapeutic plasma exchange: a randomized prospective crossover study. *Int Urol Nephrol.* (2016) 48:133–8. doi: 10.1007/s11255-015-1137-3

12. Kes P, Janssens ME, Bašić-Jukić N, Kljak M. A randomized crossover study comparing membrane and centrifugal therapeutic plasma exchange procedures. *Transfusion (Paris).* (2016) 56:3065–72. doi: 10.1111/trf.13850

13. Lambert C, Gericke M, Smith R, Hermans C. Plasma extraction rate and collection efficiency during therapeutic plasma exchange with spectra Optia in comparison with Haemonetics MCS+. *J Clin Apher*. (2011) 26:17–22. doi: 10.1002/jca.20271

14. Sterne JAC, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ*. (2016) 355:i4919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4919

15. NIH (2024). Study Quality Assessment Tools. NHLBI. Available at: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools (Accessed July 3, 2024).

16. JBI (2024). JBI Critical Appraisal Tools. Available at: https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools (Accessed July 3, 2024).

17. Gandhi AP, Shamim MA, Padhi BK. Steps in undertaking meta-analysis and addressing heterogeneity in meta-analysis. *Evidence*. (2023) 1:78–92. doi: 10.61505/ evidence.2023.1.1.7

18. Tang W, Huang Z, Wang Y, Bo H, Fu P. Effect of plasma exchange on hepatocyte oxidative stress, mitochondria function, and apoptosis in patients with acute fatty liver of pregnancy: effect of pe on hepatocyte in patients with AFLP. *Artif Organs*. (2012) 36:E39–47. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1594.2011.01417.x

19. Tang WX, Huang ZY, Chen ZJ, Cui TL, Zhang L, Fu P. Combined blood purification for treating acute fatty liver of pregnancy complicated by acute kidney injury: a case series. *J Artif Organs*. (2012) 15:176–84. doi: 10.1007/s10047-011-0621-5

20. Martin JN, Briery CM, Rose CH, Owens MT, Bofill JA, Files JC. Postpartum plasma exchange as adjunctive therapy for severe acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *J Clin Apher.* (2008) 23:138–43. doi: 10.1002/jca.20168

21. Ding J, Han L-P, Lou X-P, Geng L-N, Liu D, Yang Q, et al. Effectiveness of combining plasma exchange with plasma perfusion in acute fatty liver of pregnancy: a retrospective analysis. *Gynecol Obstet Investig.* (2015) 79:97–100. doi: 10.1159/000368752

22. Chu Y-F, Meng M, Zeng J, Zhou H-Y, Jiang J-J, Ren H-S, et al. Effectiveness of combining plasma exchange with continuous Hemodiafiltration on acute fatty liver of pregnancy complicated by multiple organ dysfunction. *Artif Organs.* (2012) 36:530–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1525-1594.2011.01424.x

23. Yu C-B, Chen J-J, Du W-B, Chen P, Huang J-R, Chen Y-M, et al. Effects of plasma exchange combined with continuous renal replacement therapy on acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int.* (2014) 13:179–83. doi: 10.1016/S1499-3872(14)60028-X

24. Gao Q, Ma Y, Zhang J, Chen X, Liu F, Tian S, et al. Risk factors assessment in patients with acute fatty liver of pregnancy treated without plasma exchange or renal replacement therapy. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2022) 35:2036–40. doi: 10.1080/14767058.2020.1777267

25. Kobayashi T, Minami S, Mitani A, Tanizaki Y, Booka M, Okutani T, et al. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy associated with fetal mitochondrial trifunctional protein deficiency. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. (2015) 41:799–802. doi: 10.1111/jog.12609

26. Yamamoto K, Suzuki A, Shimaoka M, Yo Y, Mandai M, Matsumura N. Severe fatty liver of pregnancy requiring an extremely large amount of blood transfusion, surgery and transarterial embolization: a case report. *Case Rep Womens Health.* (2019) 23:e00130. doi: 10.1016/j.crwh.2019.e00130

27. Córdoba-Vives S, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Marín-Delgado A, Matus-Vargas M, Arias-González A. AO Awonuga, editor. A rare disease presenting postpartum: acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol.* (2021); 2021:1–4. doi: 10.1155/2021/1143470

28. Aabdi M, Mellagui Y, Ouachaou J, Ounci E, Bkiyar H, Housni B. Plasma exchange as treatment for acute fatty liver disease of pregnancy. *Clin Case Reports.* (2021) 9:1594–7. doi: 10.1002/ccr3.3845

29. Ashrafganjoei T, Mirreza S, Eftekhariyazdi M, Mortazavi F. Plasmapheresis in the treatment of fatty liver of pregnancy: a case report. *Nurs Pract Today*. (2019) 6:49–54. doi: 10.18502/npt.v6i2.908

30. Rebahi H, Still ME, El Adib AR. A successful use of therapeutic plasma exchange in a fulminant form of acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *J Gynecol Obstet Hum Reprod.* (2019) 48:133–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jogoh.2018.10.001

31. Kumar A, Sharma A, Mohan Y, Patnaik I, Kumar A, Khoiwal K, et al. Therapeutic plasma exchange in acute fatty liver of pregnancy: a case report and literature review. *Pan Afr Med J*. (2021) 40:220. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2021.40.220.31324

32. Seyyed Majidi MR, Vafaeimanesh J. Plasmapheresis in acute fatty liver of pregnancy: an effective treatment. *Case Rep Obstet Gynecol.* (2013) 2013:1–5. doi: 10.1155/2013/615975

33. Ye R, Mai Z, Pan X, Cai S, Deng L. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy causes severe acute pancreatitis and stillborn fetus: a case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2021) 100:e25524. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000025524

34. Hartwell L, Ma T. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy treated with plasma exchange. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2014) 59:2076–80. doi: 10.1007/s10620-014-3328-7

35. Wang L, Gan Q, Du S, Zhao Y, Sun G, Lin Y, et al. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy cases in a maternal and child health hospital of China: three case reports. *Medicine* (*Baltimore*). (2020) 99:e21110. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000021110

36. Jin F, Cao M, Bai Y, Zhang Y, Yang Y, Zhang B. Therapeutic effects of plasma exchange for the treatment of 39 patients with acute fatty liver of pregnancy. *Discov Med.* (2012) 13:369–73. doi: 10.2337/dc12-0723

37. Li L, Huang D, Xu J, Li M, Zhao J, Shi Q, et al. The assessment in patients with acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP) treated with plasma exchange: a cohort study of 298 patients. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* (2023) 23:171. doi: 10.1186/s12884-023-05503-x

38. Yang P, Sikachi R, Gerasimov M, Aronsohn J, Palleschi G. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy leading to a delayed hepatic failure necessitating liver transplantation: a case report. J Obstet Anaesth Crit Care. (2021) 11:124–6. doi: 10.4103/JOACC.JOACC_16_21

39. McGuinness LA, Higgins JPT. Risk-of-bias VISualization (robvis): An R package and shiny web app for visualizing risk-of-bias assessments. *Res Synth Methods*. (2020) 12:55–61. doi: 10.1002/jrsm.1411

40. Kent J, Farrell A-M. Risky bodies in the plasma bioeconomy: a feminist analysis. *Body Soc.* (2015) 21:29–57. doi: 10.1177/1357034X13520331

41. Sivakaanthan A, Swain F, Pahn G, Goodison K, Gutta N, Holdsworth R, et al. Transfusion-related acute lung injury (TRALI): a retrospective review of reported cases in Queensland, Australia over 20 years. *Blood Transfus*. (2022) 20:454–64. doi: 10.2450/2022.0020-22

42. Hepburn IS. Pregnancy-associated liver disorders. Dig Dis Sci. (2008) 53:2334–58. doi: 10.1007/s10620-007-0167-9

43. Rajasri A, Srestha R, Mitchell J. Acute fatty liver of pregnancy (AFLP)-an overview. J Obstet Gynaecol. (2007) 27:237-40. doi: 10.1080/01443610701194705

44. Wang H-Y, Jiang Q, Shi H, Xu Y-Q, Shi A-C, Sun Y-L, et al. Effect of caesarean section on maternal and foetal outcomes in acute fatty liver of pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:28826. doi: 10.1038/srep28826

45. Maternal-Fetal Medicine Committee CS of O and GLi P, Chen Y, Zhang W, Yang H. CSOG MFM committee guideline: clinical management guidelines for acute fatty liver of pregnancy in China (2021). Matern-fetal med. *Chin Med J*. (2021) 3:238–45. doi: 10.1097/FM9.00000000000121

46. Hassanein TI, Schade RR, Hepburn IS. Acute-on-chronic liver failure: extracorporeal liver assist devices. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. (2011) 17:195–203. doi: 10.1097/MCC.0b013e328344b3aa

47. Krishnan RG, Coulthard MG. Minimising changes in plasma calcium and magnesium concentrations during plasmapheresis. *Pediatr Nephrol.* (2007) 22:1763–6. doi: 10.1007/s00467-007-0549-4

48. Goel A, Zachariah U, Daniel D, Eapen CE. Growing evidence for survival benefit with plasma exchange to treat liver failure. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* (2023) 13:1061–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2023.06.002

49. Thomas L, Chandran J, Goel A, Jacob E, Chacko B, Subramani K, et al. Improving transplant-free survival with low-volume plasma exchange to treat children with rodenticide induced hepatotoxicity. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* (2023) 13:252–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2022.10.013

50. Kumar SE, Goel A, Zachariah U, Nair SC, David VG, Varughese S, et al. Low volume plasma exchange and low dose steroid improve survival in patients with alcoholrelated acute on chronic liver failure and severe alcoholic hepatitis-preliminary experience. *J Clin Exp Hepatol.* (2022) 12:372–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jceh.2021.07.010 51. Choudhury A, Kumar M, Sharma BC, Maiwall R, Pamecha V, Moreau R, et al. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome in acute-on-chronic liver failure: relevance of "golden window": a prospective study. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2017) 32:1989–97. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13799

52. Larsen FS, Schmidt LE, Bernsmeier C, Rasmussen A, Isoniemi H, Patel VC, et al. High-volume plasma exchange in patients with acute liver failure: an open randomised controlled trial. *J Hepatol.* (2016) 64:69–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.08.018