Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Aliyah Sohani, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States

REVIEWED BY Rory Crotty, Cork University Hospital, Ireland Nicholas Caldwell, Mass General Brigham, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Samuel Gakinya Izi samuel.mukono@aku.edu James Ogutu Izi ogutu.james@ku.ac.ke

RECEIVED 15 May 2024 ACCEPTED 02 September 2024 PUBLISHED 19 September 2024

CITATION

Gakinya S, Njau A, Abdallah A, Nzioka A and Ogutu J (2024) Frequency of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations in colorectal carcinoma and their association with clinical-pathological characteristics in a tertiary hospital in Kenya. *Front. Med.* 11:1433120. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1433120

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Gakinya, Njau, Abdallah, Nzioka and Ogutu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Frequency of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations in colorectal carcinoma and their association with clinical-pathological characteristics in a tertiary hospital in Kenya

Samuel Gakinya^{1*}, Allan Njau¹, Abdulkarim Abdallah², Ancent Nzioka³ and James Ogutu^{4*}

¹Department of Pathology, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya, ²Department of Surgery, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya, ³Department of Pathology, Kenyatta University Teaching, Referral & Research Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya, ⁴Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya

Introduction: Colorectal carcinoma is a leading cause of cancer morbidity and mortality globally. Its management includes the use of targeted therapy which require assessment for biomarkers to choose eligible patients. *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations are biomarkers predictive of response to anti-EGFR therapy. This study aimed at determining the frequency of *BRAF V600E* and *KRAS* exon 2,3,4 mutations in colorectal carcinoma patients at the Aga Khan University Hospital Nairobi, Kenya.

Methods: Study participants were patients who had colectomy for colorectal carcinoma. They were identified from the laboratory information system. The patients age, gender and tumor location were determined from the medical records. The histological diagnosis, pathological tumor and nodal stage were confirmed by examining hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides prepared from the colectomy specimen. DNA was extracted from the specimens using Qiagen QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit and PCR performed using EntroGen *KRAS/BRAF* mutation analysis kit following manufacturer's protocol.

Results: One hundred fourteen patients were enrolled. Colorectal carcinoma was significantly more common in males than females. The mean age at diagnosis was 58 years. Majority of the tumors were in the right colon, were of pathological tumor stage T3 and had nodal involvement. Forty six percent (46%) of the cases had *KRAS* mutations while 5.3% had *BRAF V600E* mutation. *KRAS* mutation was associated with a high pathological tumor stage and nodal involvement.

Conclusion: Colorectal carcinoma in our patients is more common in males and tend to occur at a younger age. The patients tend to have a high tumor pathological stage and nodal involvement at diagnosis. The high frequency of *KRAS* exon 2,3,4 mutation and low frequency of *BRAF V600E* mutations is similar to what has been reported in literature.

KEYWORDS

KRAS, BRAF V600E, colorectal carcinoma, Kenya, Africa

Introduction

Colorectal carcinoma is a leading cause of cancer morbidity and mortality worldwide. It is the third most common cancer diagnosis with an incidence of approximately 11% with the incidence higher in the developed than developing countries (1). In Kenya, the incidence is approximately 7.6% (2). The mainstay of management of colorectal carcinoma is surgical excision with or without chemotherapy, targeted therapy, and radiotherapy (3). The type and outcome of treatment is dependent on several pathological characteristics which include grade of tumor, stage of tumor and biomarker status of the tumor.

Cancer biomarkers are biological characteristics of the tumor which can be objectively assessed and are important in prognostication of the tumor and or predicting response to targeted treatment. According to the guideline by the American Society for Clinical Pathology, College of American Pathologists, Association for Molecular Pathology, and American Society of Clinical Oncology on biomarker evaluation in colorectal carcinoma, evaluation of mutation in BRAF and KRAS genes is recommended (4). BRAF is an oncogene that codes for BRAF protein a serine/threonine kinase which is part of the RAS/ MAPK signaling pathway which regulates cellular proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis (5, 6). A gain of function mutation in this gene results in malignant transformation. The most common BRAF mutation in colorectal carcinoma is the BRAF V600E. It is associated with an inferior overall survival and disease-free survival (7, 8). In addition, it is predictive of resistance to anti-EGFR targeted therapy in colorectal carcinomas with wild type RAS (9, 10).

RAS genes are a family of oncogenes which include *KRAS*, *NRAS* and *HRAS* and encode for RAS proteins all of which are GTPases. Like BRAF protein, they form part of the RAS/MAPK signaling pathway which regulates cellular proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis (5, 6). A gain of function mutation in these genes results in malignant transformation. In colorectal carcinoma, most of the mutations are in *KRAS* codon 12 or 13 (11). *KRAS* mutations result in aberrant activation of the RAS/MAPK pathway and resistance to anti-EGFR targeted therapy (9). *RAS* mutations have also been associated with a poorer prognosis (11, 12).

While the guidelines on colorectal carcinoma management recommend *KRAS* and *BRAF V600E* mutation analysis, this is not routinely performed in our country. As a result, we do not have much data on the frequency of these mutations in our population. Some studies have suggested that there are racial and geographical differences in the frequency of these mutations (13). The objective of this study was therefore to determine the frequency of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutations in colorectal carcinomas in our institution. In addition, other clinicopathological characteristics including age, gender tumor location and stage were determined and their association with *KRAS* and *BRAF V600E* mutations described.

The study included tissue from resection specimens of patients who had undergone colorectal resection surgery. The diagnosis was confirmed by reviewing the hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides. *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation status were determined using RT-PCR.

Methods

The study participants were colorectal carcinoma patients treated at the Aga Khan University hospital, Nairobi from 2012 to 2022. The participants were identified sequentially from the laboratory information system. The study included patients who had their diagnosis of colorectal carcinoma confirmed on review of the hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides and had sufficient neoplastic tissue for DNA extraction. The review was done by the first author who is an anatomic pathologist. Patients whose tissue blocks were not retrievable or did not have enough neoplastic tissue for DNA extraction were excluded.

Demographic information (age, gender) and tumor location was extracted from the patient records

Hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides were reviewed for tumor histological classification, tumor staging and grading by the first author. Pathological tumor and nodal staging were done following the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 8th edition. The tumors were graded in two categories which were well/moderately differentiated tumors (low-grade) category and poorly/undifferentiated tumors (high grade) category as per previously published recommendations (14). Low grade tumors were defined as having equal to or greater than 50% gland formation while high grade had less than 50% gland formation.

DNA was extracted from 5 to 7 FFPE 5 μ m thick sections using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Invitrogen) following manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration (ng/ul) was determined using Thermo ScientificTM NanoDrop Lite Spectrophotometer. 15 ng of DNA in a total volume 30 μ L total volume was used.

KRAS and *BRAF V600E* mutation were determined by real time PCR on Applied Biosystems quant studioTM thermal cycler using EntroGen CE-IVD *KRAS/BRAF* Mutation Analysis Kit for Real-Time PCR (exons 2, 3 and 4 of *KRAS and V600E of BRAF*). The cycling parameters were 95°c for 10 min X1, 95°c for 15 s X40, and 60°c for 60 s X40. The interpretation was as manufacturer's guidelines.

Data analysis

The data was analyzed using SPSS software version 20. The demographic information and pathological characteristics were described as means, standard deviations and percentages. Association was assessed using χ^2 -test. Tests were statistically significant when p < 0.05.

Ethical consideration

Ethical clearance was given by the Aga Khan University Hospital ERC. NACOSTI research permit was obtained. All the patients were anonymized, and the files were password protected.

Results

Clinical and pathological characteristics

A total of 114 colorectal carcinomas were included. Majority 70 (61.4%) were males. Most 81 (71.1%) of the patients were between 41

and 70 years of age with a mean of 58 years. Adenocarcinoma not other specified (NOS) was the predominant histology subtype comprising 108 (94.7%), while mucinous adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) were 5 (4.4%) and 1 (0.9%) of cases, respectively. 105 (92.1%) of the tumors were well/moderately differentiated. The right colon was more commonly involved 61 (53.5%). A substantial proportion of participants were diagnosed with pT3 stage tumors 76 (66.7%), and 63 (55.3%) had nodal involvement (Table 1).

Colorectal carcinoma and gender

Significantly more males had colorectal carcinoma than females (p = 0.004). There was no statistically significant difference between males and females with regards to tumor location, pathological tumor stage and pathological nodal stage (Table 2).

BRAF V600E and KRAS (exon 2,3,4) mutations

There was a low prevalence of the *BRAF V600E* mutation (5.3%) while *KRAS* mutation was high at 52 (45.6%) (Table 3).

TABLE 1 Clinicopathological characteristics of study participants.

Clinicopathological characteristic of		(<i>N</i> = 114)
participants		n (%)
	<40	11 (9.6%)
Age groups	41-70	81 (71.1%)
	>70	22 (19.3%)
Age, years (Mean/SD)		57.64 (±14.28)
Conden	Male	70 (61.4%)
Gender	Female	44 (38.6%)
Histology subtype	Adenocarcinoma NOS	108 (94.7%)
	Mucinous adenocarcinoma	5 (4.4%)
	Squamous cell carcinoma	1 (0.9%)
Histology grade	Well/Moderately differentiated (Low grade)	105 (92.1%)
	Poor/Undifferentiated (High grade)	9 (7.9%)
	Right Colon	61 (53.5%)
Tumor location	Left Colon	50 (43.9%)
	Rectum	3 (2.6%)
	pT1	2 (1.8%)
pT-Stage	pT2	9 (7.9%)
	pT3	76 (66.7%)
	pT4	27 (23.7%)
	pN0	51 (44.7%)
pN-Stage	pN1	37 (32.5%)
	pN2	26 (22.8%)

Among those with *KRAS* gene mutations, G12D and G13D were the most common, each observed in 16 (30.8%) of positive cases, followed by G12C and G12R at 5 (9.6%) and 4 (7.7%) respectively, while other mutations were either absent or rare (Table 4).

Association between *BRAF V600E* mutation and clinicopathological characteristics

There was no significant association between *BRAF V600E* mutation and age (p=0.596), with similar distribution across age groups. However, there was a significant association with gender (p=0.046), with the mutation being more frequent in males.

No significant associations were found with histology subtype, grade, tumor location, pT-stage, or pN-stage (Table 5).

Association between *KRAS* mutations and clinicopathological characteristics

There was no significant association between *KRAS* mutation status and age (p=0.596), gender (p=0.129), histology subtype

TABLE 2 Colorectal cancer characteristics in our study participants by gender.

Colorectal cancer characteristics by gender				
Age distribution by gender				
	Male	Female	<i>p</i> value	
<40	2 (2.9%)	9 (20.5%)	<i>p</i> = 0.004	
41-70	51 (72.9%)	30 (68.2%)		
>70	17 (24.3%)	5 (11.4%)		
Location distri	Location distribution by gender			
Right colon	38 (54.3%)	23 (52.3%)	<i>p</i> = 0.953	
Left colon	30 (42.9%)	20 (45.5%)		
Rectum	2 (2.9%)	1 (2.3%)		
pT stage distrib	pT stage distribution by gender			
pT1	1 (1.4%)	1 (2.3%)	<i>p</i> = 0.752	
pT2	7 (10.0%)	2 (4.5%)		
рТ3	46 (65.7%)	30 (68.2%)		
pT4	16 (22.9%)	11 (25.0%)		
pN stage distribution by gender				
pN0	30 (42.9%)	21 (47.7%)	p=0.642	
pN1	25 (35.7%)	12 (27.3%)		
pN2	15 (21.4%)	11 (25.0%)		

Bold values are *p*<0.05.

TABLE 3 BRAF V600E and KRAS mutation frequencies.

Mutation status		n (%)
BRAF V600E	Positive	6 (5.3%)
	Negative	108 (94.7%)
KRAS exon 2,3,4	Positive	52 (45.6%)
	Negative	62 (54.4%)

TABLE 4 KRAS gene mutations frequencies.

Gene mutation	Frequency n (%)
G12R	4 (7.7%)
G12C	5 (9.6%)
G128	0 -
G12V	4 (7.7%)
G12A	0 -
G12D	16 (30.8%)
G13D	16 (30.8%)
Q61R	3 (5.8%)
Q61H	2 (3.8%)
Q61L	1 (1.9%)
K117X	0 -
A146X	1 (1.9%)

(p=0.630), histology grade (p=0.941), tumor location (p=0.674), or pN stage (p=0.06). A significant association was observed with pT stage (p=0.01). *KRAS* mutation was associated with higher tumor pathological stage (Table 6).

Discussion

KRAS and *BRAF* mutations are important biomarkers in the management of colorectal cancer as they are not only predictive of response to anti-EGFR therapy but are also of prognostic value (7, 11, 12). There is a paucity of data on the frequency of these mutations in Africa. This study aimed at determining their frequency in colorectal carcinomas diagnosed at a tertiary hospital in Kenya. The mean age of our patients was 58 years with that of males and females being 54 and 60, respectively. This mean age is slightly lower than that reported in Europe and North America (1, 15) while similar to that reported in studies from Kenya, Tanzania,

TABLE 5 Association between BRAF mutation and clinicopathological characteristics.

Clinicopathological characteristics	BRAF V600E (negative)	BRAF <i>V600E</i> (positive)	(p-value)
Age			
Mean (±SD)	60.67 (±16.57)	57.47 (±14.22)	
<40	11 (10.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0.529
41-70	77 (71.3%)	4 (66.7%)	
>70	20 (18.5%)	2 (33.3%)	
Gender			
Male	64 (59.3%)	6 (100%)	0.046
Female	44 (40.7%)	0 (0.0%)	
Histology subtype			
Adenocarcinoma	103 (95.4%)	5 (83.3%)	
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	4 (0.9%)	1 (16.7%)	0.313
Squamous cell carcinoma	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
Histology grade			
Well/moderate (low grade)	100 (92.6%)	5 (83.3%)	0.413
Poor/undifferentiated (high grade)	8 (7.4%)	1 (16.7%)	
Tumor location			
Right colon	56 (51.9%)	5 (83.3%)	
Left colon	49 (45.4%)	1 (16.7%)	0.319
Rectum	3 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	
pT-Stage			
pT1	2 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
pT2	8 (7.4%)	1 (16.7%)	0.743
pT3	73 (67.6%)	3 (50.0%)	
pT4	25 (23.1%)	2 (33.3%)	
pN-Stage			
pN0	50 (46.3%)	1 (16.7%)	
pN1	35 (32.4%)	2 (33.2%)	0.205
pN2	23 (21.3%)	3 (50.0%)	

Bold values are p < 0.05.

TABLE 6 Association between KRAS mutation and clinicopathological characteristics.

Clinicopathological characteristics	KRAS (negative)	KRAS (positive)	(p-value)
Age			
Mean (±SD)	58.73 (±15.40)	56.73 (±13.33)	
<40	6 (9.7%)	5 (9.6%)	0.639
41-70	46 (74.2%)	35 (67.3%)	
>70	10 (16.1%)	12 (23.1%)	
Gender			
Male	42 (67.7%)	28 (53.8%)	0.129
Female	20 (32.3%)	24 (46.2%)	
Histology subtype			
Adenocarcinoma	58 (93.5%)	50 (96.2%)	
Mucinous adenocarcinoma	3 (4.8%)	2 (40.0%)	0.630
Squamous cell carcinoma	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
Histology grade			
Well/moderately differentiated (low grade)	57 (91.9%)	48 (92.3%)	0.941
Poor/undifferentiated (high grade)	5 (8.1%)	4 (7.7%)	
Tumor location			
Right colon	35 (56.5%)	26 (50.0%)	
Left colon	25 (40.3%)	25 (48.1%)	0.674
Rectum	2 (3.2%)	1 (1.9%)	
pT-Stage			
pT1	2 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	
pT2	9 (14.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0.01
рТ3	40 (64.5%)	36 (69.2%)	
pT4	11 (17.7%)	16 (30.8%)	
pN-Stage			
pN0	34 (54.8%)	17 (32.7%)	
pN1	17 (27.4%)	20 (38.5%)	0.06
pN2	11 (17.7%)	15 (28.8%)	

Bold values are p < 0.05.

Uganda and Morocco (16–19). While this difference may be due to differences in life expectancy between the different regions, it may also be due to a racial difference (13). Additional studies are required to explore this finding as it is important in the formulation of screening guidelines. In Kenya, while there is no national screening program for colorectal carcinoma, there are guidelines which currently recommend screening from the age of 45 years for low-risk individuals and 40 years for high-risk individuals (20). The guidelines recommend use of stool occult blood and colonoscopy as the screening modalities. High risk individuals are defined as those with strong family history of colorectal carcinoma or history of colon polyps.

The gender distribution of colorectal carcinoma in our study showed a significant bias toward the male gender (p = 0.004). Other studies in different parts of the world show similar findings (1, 15, 21). This may be due to a difference in the risk factor profile in males compared to females. Further epidemiological studies on the risk

factors in the Kenyan population may be necessary to identify the cause of this disparity.

As expected, most of the carcinomas were adenocarcinomas and were well/moderately differentiated. The right colon was the most common location of malignancy in both genders. This was however not statistically significant. Right sided cancers have been associated with a worse prognosis (22).

Many of our patients had a pT3 and pT4 tumor stage irrespective of gender. This is significant as high tumor stage is associated with poor disease-free survival, high rates of relapse, high nodal stage, distant metastasis, and overall poor survival (23). Slightly more than 50% of our patients had nodal involvement which is a poor prognostic feature and an indication for adjuvant therapy (3). It is therefore important to encourage screening programs and educate the population on the early symptoms of colorectal carcinoma in a bid to diagnose them at an early tumor and nodal stage to improve outcome as well as avoid use of chemotherapy. The frequency of *BRAF V600E* mutation in our study was 5.3%. The low frequency is similar to that in other studies in North Africa, Iran, and Saudia showed 0, 3.2 and 2.2%, respectively (19, 24, 25). All the *BRAF V600E* positive patients in our study were male. The significance of this finding is, however, not certain due to the low numbers. Studies with larger populations are advised to explore this finding. There was no statistically significant association of *BRAF V600E* mutation with age, stage, grade, or location. The absence of any association in our study may be due to the relatively low numbers.

The frequency of KRAS mutation was 46% with G12D and G13D being the most common mutations. This is similar to what has been reported in other studies. Studies performed in North Africa, Middle East and China showed frequencies of 39.5, 42.2 and 45.2%, respectively (19, 25, 26). KRAS mutation was significantly associated with a high pathological tumor stage in this study. Similarly, most of KRAS mutation positive patients had nodal involvement though this was not statistically significant. High tumor and nodal stage are associated with a poor survival (23). There was no significant association KRAS between and the other clinical pathological characteristics.

In conclusion, colorectal carcinoma in our study cohort is more common in males and tend to occur at a younger age. Most of the participants have a high tumor stage at diagnosis. The frequency of *KRAS* exon 2,3,4 is 46% while *BRAF V600E* is 5.3%. *KRAS* mutation was associated with a high tumor pathological stage. To the best of our knowledge this is the only study on the frequency of *KRAS* and *BRAF* mutation in Kenya.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Aga Khan University Scientific and Ethics Review Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived

References

1. Rawla P, Sunkara T, Barsouk A. Epidemiology of colorectal cancer: incidence, mortality, survival, and risk factors. *Prz Gastroenterol*. (2019) 14:89–103. doi: 10.5114/ pg.2018.81072

2. Korir A, Okerosi N, Ronoh V, Mutuma G, Parkin M. Incidence of cancer in Nairobi, Kenya (2004-2008). Int J Cancer. (2015) 137:2053-9. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29674

3. Czito BG, Hsu D, Willett PM. Clinical radiation oncology. 2nd edition. *Colon Cancer* (2016) 995–1010.

4. Sepulveda AR, Hamilton SR, Allegra CJ, Grody W, Cushman-Vokoun AM, Funkhouser WK, et al. Molecular biomarkers for the evaluation of colorectal cancer: guideline from the American Society for Clinical Pathology, College of American Pathologists, Association for Molecular Pathology, and American Society of Clinical Oncology, J Mol Diagn. (2017) 19:187–225. doi: 10.1016/j.jmoldx.2016.11.001

 Ahmad R, Singh JK, Wunnava A, Al-Obeed O, Abdulla M, Srivastava SK. Emerging trends in colorectal cancer: dysregulated signaling pathways (review). *Int J Mol Med.* (2021) 47:14. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2021.4847

6. Zebisch A, Czernilofsky A, Keri G, Smigelskaite J, Sill H, Troppmair J. Signaling through RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK: from basics to bedside. *Curr Med Chem.* (2007) 14:601–23. doi: 10.2174/092986707780059670

the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin because the study involved archived tissue blocks from up to 10 years earlier. All participants were anonymized.

Author contributions

SG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ANj: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. AA: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft. ANz: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – original draft. JO: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Aga Khan University's University Research Council (URC) and Aga Khan University Hospital, Department of Pathology.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. de Cuba EM, Snaebjornsson P, Heideman DA, van Grieken NC, Bosch LJ, Fijneman RJ, et al. Prognostic value of BRAF and KRAS mutation status in stage II and III microsatellite instable colon cancers. *Int J Cancer*. (2016) 138:1139–45. doi: 10.1002/ ijc.29855

8. Chen D, Huang JF, Liu K, Zhang LQ, Yang Z, Chuai ZR, et al. BRAFV600E mutation and its association with clinicopathological features of colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e90607. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0090607

9. Xu Q, Xu AT, Zhu MM, Tong JL, Xu XT, Ran ZH. Predictive and prognostic roles of BRAF mutation in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with antiepidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibodies: a meta-analysis. *J Dig Dis.* (2013) 14:409–16. doi: 10.1111/1751-2980.12063

10. Pietrantonio F, Petrelli F, Coinu A, Di Bartolomeo M, Borgonovo K, Maggi C, et al. Predictive role of BRAF mutations in patients with advanced colorectal cancer receiving cetuximab and panitumumab: a meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer*. (2015) 51:587–94. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.01.054

11. Arrington AK, Heinrich EL, Lee W, Duldulao M, Patel S, Sanchez J, et al. Prognostic and predictive roles of KRAS mutation in colorectal cancer. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2012) 13:12153–68. doi: 10.3390/ijms131012153

12. Phipps AI, Buchanan DD, Makar KW, Win AK, Baron JA, Lindor NM, et al. KRAS-mutation status in relation to colorectal cancer survival: the joint impact of correlated tumour markers. *Br J Cancer*. (2013) 108:1757–64. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.118

13. Lawler T, Parlato L, Warren AS. Racial disparities in colorectal cancer clinicopathological and molecular tumor characteristics: a systematic review. *Cancer Causes Control.* (2024) 35:223–39. doi: 10.1007/s10552-023-01783-y

14. Compton CC, FieldingPeter L, Burgart LJ, Conley B, Cooper HS, Hamilton SR, et al. Prognostic factors in colorectal cancer. College of American Pathologists Consensus Statement 1999. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* (2000) 124:979–94. doi: 10.5858/2000-124-0979-PFICC

15. Schmuck R, Gerken M, Teegen EM, Krebs I, Klinkhammer-Schalke M, Aigner F, et al. Gender comparison of clinical, histopathological, therapeutic and outcome factors in 185,967 colon cancer patients. *Langenbeck's Arch Surg.* (2020) 405:71–80. doi: 10.1007/s00423-019-01850-6

16. Abdulkarim A, Barasa M. Clinicopathological profile of colorectal cancer at a tertiary hospital in Kenya. *Br J Surg.* (2021) 108:e137. doi: 10.1093/bjs/znaa173

17. Katalambula LK, Ntwenya JE, Ngoma T, Buza J, Mpolya E, Mtumwa AH, et al. Pattern and distribution of colorectal cancer in Tanzania: a retrospective chart audit at two National Hospitals. *J Cancer Epidemiol*. (2016) 2016:1–13. doi: 10.1155/2016/3769829

18. Wismayer R, Kiwanuka J, Wabinga H, Odida M. Prognostic factors for survival of colorectal adenocarcinoma patients in Uganda. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2022) 14:875–93. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S354360

19. El Agy F, El Bardai S, El Otmani I, Benbrahim Z, Karim MH, Mazaz K, et al. Mutation status and prognostic value of KRAS and NRAS mutations in Moroccan colon cancer patients: A first report. *PLoS One*. (2021) 16:e0248522. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0248522

 $20.\ Guidelines,\ Standards\ \&\ Policies\ Portal.\ Available\ at:\ http://guidelines.health.\ go.ke/#/category/7/406/meta$

21. Kim SE, Paik HY, Yoon H, Lee JE, Kim N, Sung MK. Sex-and gender-specific disparities in colorectal cancer risk sex-related biological differences in colorectal cancer risk. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2015) 21:5167–75. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i17.5167

22. Ro Lim D, Kul Kuk J, Kim T, Jin SE. Comparison of oncological outcomes of rightsided colon cancer versus left-sided colon cancer after curative resection which side is better outcome? *Medicine*. (2017) 96:e8241. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000008241

23. Chen K, Collins G, Wang H, Toh JWT. Pathological features and prognostication in colorectal cancer. *Curr Oncol.* (2021) 28:5356–83. doi: 10.3390/curroncol28060447

24. Yari A, Afzali A, Aalipour M, Nakheai M, Zahedi MJ. KRAS and BRAF mutations in Iranian colorectal cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Caspian J Intern Med.* (2020) 11:355–69. doi: 10.22088/CJIM.11.4.355

25. Saharti S. KRAS/NRAS/BRAF mutation rate in Saudi academic hospital patients with colorectal cancer. *Cureus*. (2022) 14:e24392. doi: 10.7759/cureus.24392

26. Guo F, Gong H, Zhao H, Chen J, Zhang Y, Zhang L, et al. Mutation status and prognostic values of KRAS, NRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA in 353 Chinese colorectal cancer patients. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:6076. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24306-1