Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Veronica Aran, Instituto Estadual do Cérebro Paulo Niemeyer (IECPN), Brazil

REVIEWED BY Carlos Pilotto Heming, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Carlos Gil Ferreira, Instituto Oncoclínicas. Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Qitao Yu ☑ yqt178@163.com Haijie Gan ☑ 516318173@qq.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 27 April 2024 ACCEPTED 26 June 2024 PUBLISHED 08 July 2024

CITATION

Chen S, Ning R, Jiang W, Zhou S, Yu Q and Gan H (2024) Causal linkage between angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and risk of lung cancer: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Med.* 11:1419612. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1419612

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Ning, Jiang, Zhou, Yu and Gan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Causal linkage between angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 and risk of lung cancer: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study

Shubin Chen[†], Ruiling Ning[†], Wei Jiang, Shaozhang Zhou, Qitao Yu^{*} and Haijie Gan^{*}

Medical Oncology of Respiratory, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, Nanning, China

Background: Observational studies suggest a connection between ACE2 (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2) and lung cancer. However, it's not apparent if confounding variables are interfering with the link. Therefore, we aimed to define the relationships between ACE2 and the risk of lung cancer.

Methods: With the aim of developing genetic tools, we selected SNPs substantially associated with ACE2 using a statistically significant criterion. The relevant SNPs were then taken from the lung cancer GWAS dataset for additional research. After that, we used two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) to ascertain if ACE2 is causally linked to the risk of developing lung cancer. To investigate the causal links' directions, we also performed a reverse MR analysis.

Results: According to our findings, there is strong evidence that ACE2 is linked to a decreased chance of developing lung cancer (odds ratio: 0.94; 95% confidence interval: 0.90–0.98; P = 0.0016). The IVW method, the major MR analysis, was not impacted by heterogeneity in any of the analyses, according to Cochrane's Q test ($P_{Cochrane's Q} = 0.207$). The MR-Egger intercept ($P_{intercept} = 0.622$) showed no indication of horizontal pleiotropy in any of the investigations. Outlier SNPs were not detected by the MR-PRESSO global test ($P_{global test} = 0.191$). The leave-one-out analysis was performed, and the results showed a steady outcome. Nonsignificant causal estimates between lung cancer and ACE2 were produced by reverse MR analysis.

Conclusion: MR investigation revealed a significant causal link between ACE2 and the risk of getting lung cancer. These findings may have implications for public health measures aimed at reducing the incidence of lung cancer.

KEYWORDS

angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, lung cancer, causal risk factors, GWAS dataset, Mendelian randomization study

Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) is a lethal tumor that threatens human life and health. According to the World Health Organization's most current "Global Cancer Statistics 2020" statistics, lung cancer accounts for more than 1/10 of all malignant tumors worldwide, with 2.2 million new cases and 1.8 million fatalities in 2020 (1). Since symptons do not arise early in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) development, about 62% of patients receive the

initial diagnosis at stage IV (2). On top of that, 5year overall survival of advanced NSCLC patients was the modifiable only 5% (3).Finding protective or risk factors is critical to halting the development of lung cancer.

ACE2 is a critical regulator of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), which regulates vascular tone and fluid and electrolyte balance (4). The 40 kb long human ACE2 gene is located on chromosome Xp22. The gene has 18 exons, the bulk of which are comparable to those in ACE (5). Like ACE, ACE2 possesses a zinc metalloprotease domain at its N-terminus that is visible outside the cell. In terms of structure, ACE has two enzymatically active sites, whereas ACE2 has just 1. ACEIs, or angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, are potent antihypertensive medications (6). Despite evidence that these medications are short-term safe, worries have been raised that long-term usage may be linked to a higher risk of cancer (7-9). Many recent studies have found that the use of ACEIs increases the risk of lung cancer, indicating that ACE may be a preventive factor against lung cancer (10-12). The heart, respiratory system, intestines, kidneys, and pancreas are a few of the tissues known to have ACE2 (13). By operating on the ACE/Ang-II/AT1R axis, ACE2 modulates RAS and several pathological processes, including fibrosis, hypertension, cardiac dysfunction, and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (14, 15). The alveolar and bronchiolar epithelium, the endothelium, and the smooth muscle cells of the pulmonary arteries of rats all express ACE2, whereas bronchiolar smooth muscle cells do not (16). The protective effect of ACE2 against acute lung damage has been demonstrated in some animal studies (17–19), although it is still unclear if ACE2 acts as a preventative factor for lung cancer. *In vitro*, lung cancer cell growth has been shown to be inhibited by overexpressing ACE2 in two prior studies (20, 21), suggesting that this may have a protective effect. However, the results of these two studies may have been tainted by the introduction of potential confounding, and there is a lack of additional evidence to investigate the causal relationship between them.

MR is a technique for evaluating the causal relationship between risk variables and illness. As instrumental variables (IVs), genetic variations are used in MR to successfully avoid the effects of confounding factors, which are challenging to control in observational studies (22). When compared to natural randomized controlled trials, which can assess the causal relationship between exposure and outcome at the genetic level while excluding the possibility of reverse causality (23), MR analysis is similar because the alleles affecting genetic variants are randomly assigned to offspring at conception and are unaffected by environment and other unknown confounding factors.

To fully describe the relationships between ACE2 and the risk of lung cancer, we conducted a two-sample MR analysis in this investigation using summary data from genome-wide association



SNP	Effect allele	Other allele	Chr	EAF	Beta	SE	Р	R ²	F
rs115821117	Т	С	5	0.003	1.72661	0.332862	3.21E-07	0.017833	23.58625
rs12476354	А	G	2	0.165	0.253022	0.053345	2.95E-06	0.017641	23.32683
rs1413219	G	А	9	0.497	0.195949	0.040789	2.12E-06	0.019197	25.42541
rs144524676	А	G	5	0.011	0.885166	0.19788	9.90E-06	0.017048	22.52917
rs152657	С	Т	16	0.168	0.246745	0.053728	5.65E-06	0.01702	22.49178
rs17650322	С	Т	18	0.266	0.222245	0.045319	1.63E-06	0.019287	25.54695
rs184240697	Т	С	14	0.003	1.64051	0.363503	8.47E-06	0.016099	21.25504
rs248932	С	А	19	0.332	-0.19439	0.043064	8.03E-06	0.01676	22.1426
rs2528766	G	А	13	0.476	-0.18129	0.040163	8.60E-06	0.016395	21.65208
rs3213545	А	G	12	0.317	-0.21928	0.043708	9.24E-07	0.020821	27.62098
rs34986830	G	С	6	0.046	-0.44486	0.097933	7.09E-06	0.017369	22.96147
rs55954704	С	Т	1	0.001	3.28817	0.704338	3.96E-06	0.021602	28.68123
rs6800514	Т	С	3	0.421	0.200619	0.039897	8.59E-07	0.019622	25.99861
rs72727274	G	А	1	0.018	0.769642	0.15316	8.75E-07	0.020941	27.7838
rs75412100	Т	G	7	0.001	2.93387	0.575148	4.93E-07	0.017198	22.73109
rs8089921	Т	С	18	0.346	0.203724	0.042814	2.65E-06	0.018783	24.86637
rs9652468	А	G	15	0.267	0.204507	0.045579	9.86E-06	0.01637	21.61918

TABLE 1 Summary information for 17 SNPs that were used as genetic instruments for Mendelian randomization analyses of ACE2

studies (GWAS). This study added to the body of research supporting the significance of ACE2 as a modifiable risk factor for lung cancer.

Materials and methods

Study assumption

The STROBE-MR statement, which was just created and is used to report MR research, was followed in our study (24). Three key presumptions form the foundation of MR: The following three points apply to IVs: (1) ACE2 and IVs have an especially strong connection; (2) IVs shouldn't be associated with known or unknowable confounding variables; and (3) IVs only influence lung cancer through ACE2 (25). The two-sample MR approach was used to investigate the potential causal relationship between ACE2 and lung cancer. SNPs, or single nucleotide polymorphisms, are variations in a single nucleotide at the genomic level that result in DNA sequence polymorphisms. It is brought on by the conversion, subversion, insertion, or deletion of a single base. Known as a single nucleotide, it is frequently found in both human and animal genomes. It is also the most common of the heritable human variants. In MR studies, SNPs are used as IVs.

Genetic instruments for ACE2

The dataset ebi-a-GCST90010102 was chosen for our search of the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 levels reported in the IEU Open GWAS project (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/). This dataset, which encompassed 1,301 samples and a total of 18,166,693 SNPs with the primary population being Europeans, was taken from a study by the authors Gilly A (26) that was published in 2020. We identified SNPs strongly related with ACE2 to create genetic tools using a statistically significant criterion (P < 1×10^{-5} , linkage disequilibrium (LD) r² < 0.001, LD distance > 10,000 kb).

GWAS data on lung cancer

GWAS summary data of lung cancer was extracted from the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO) (27). This dataset covered data from 27,209 patients with a total of 8,945,893 SNPs and the main population is also European. SNPs highly correlated with ACE2 were extracted by the above method, and then the corresponding SNPs were extracted in the lung cancer GWAS dataset for further analysis.

Preprocessing of SNPs data

The SNPs screened by the above methods may also have the possibility of inconsistent and unmatched effect alleles, We used RStudio to harmonize to solve this problem. To ensure that SNPs were strong instrumental variables, we performed F statistics. The formula of F statistics is $F = R^2 \times (N-2)/(1 - R^2)$, where N represents the sample size and R^2 refers to the variance of ACE2 levels explained by IVs. Only the SNPs with F statistics >10 were considered to be included in the MR analysis. To satisfy the third critical hypothesis, the SNPs only affect lung cancer through ACE2,



SNPs cannot be strongly associated with lung cancer, we had to use Steiger Test to test whether SNPs correlate with lung cancer more than ACE2, and set the threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ to exclude these SNPs strongly associated with lung cancer. By our statistical analysis, the extracted corresponding SNPs were not strongly associated with lung cancer. We assessed the horizontal pleiotropy of each IV in the PhenoScanner database (http://www. phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/) and removed filtered IVs to reduce the impact of confounding variables. Further MR analysis was performed on the remaining IVs.

Reverse MR analysis

We also conducted a reverse MR analysis utilizing SNPs linked to lung cancer as IVs (lung cancer as the exposure and the ACE2 as the outcome) to investigate if lung cancer has any causal influence on the ACE2. Since the data for this study were made accessible to the public, informed consent and ethical review are not required. Figure 1 shows our study's layout.

Statistical analysis

The connections between exposure (ACE2) and result (lung cancer) were determined using two-sample MR analysis. In order to calculate the impact of ACE2 on lung cancer, the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) technique is primarily utilized (28). The most accurate causal estimation is provided by this technique, which is comparable to a meta-analysis of the effects of a single SNP on lung cancer and is somewhat pleiotropy sensitive. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were used to present the results. We evaluated causal relationship using MR Egger regression, weighted median (29), and weighted mode to account for the sensitivity of the data. Utilizing Cochran's Q statistics and the two-sample MR package across instruments, we tested for heterogeneity. Invalid instruments and heterogeneity can be demonstrated by a Q greater than the number of instruments minus one, or by Q statistics significant at a *p*-value of 0.05. The *p*-value of the MR-Egger regression intercept was utilized to evaluate the horizontal pleiotropy effect (29). There was a substantial pleiotropy bias when the p < 0.05. In order to track any potential horizontal pleiotropy impact, we additionally used MR-PRESSO testing. The



MR-PRESSO global test generated a p-value for overall horizontal pleiotropy, whereas the MR-PRESSO outlier test calculated a pvalue for each SNP's significance in terms of pleiotropy. p-value for the MR PRESSO global test is >0.05, indicating no horizontal pleiotropy. To further confirm the stability of the study, the leave-one-out analysis was carried out to determine whether the significant results were caused by a single SNP.

Results

Assessing ACE2 and the risk of lung cancer

The details of SNPs associated to ACE2 were displayed in Table 1. Seven SNPs (rs12476354, rs1413219, rs3213545, rs6800514, rs72727274, rs75412100, and rs9652468) were linked to confounding factors (such as the monocyte count, hemoglobin concentration, total cholesterol, cardiovascular disease risk factors, and others) when we evaluated the horizontal pleiotropy of each IV in the PhenoScanner database. The findings of our MR analysis, which we conducted after deleting these confounding factors SNPs, indicated a substantial causation estimate between higher ACE2 levels and a lower chance of developing lung cancer (odds ratio: 0.94, 95% confidence interval: 0.90–0.98, P = 0.0016). Figure 2 depicts the effect of each SNP in detail. Cochrane's Q test showed that the IVW method, as the primary MR analysis, was not affected by heterogeneity in all analyses ($P_{\text{Cochrane's Q}} = 0.207$) (Figure 3). Meanwhile, we found no evidence of horizontal pleiotropy in all analyses according to the MR-Egger intercept ($P_{\text{intercept}} = 0.622$). Finally, the MR-PRESSO global test did not recognize the existence of outlier SNPs ($P_{\text{global test}} = 0.191$) (Figure 4). To further confirm the stability of the study, the leave-one-out analysis was carried out to determine whether the significant results were caused by a single SNP. Removing one SNP in turn and assessing the total effect of the remaining SNPs on risk of lung cancer, the results remained unchanged, indicating a stable result (Figure 5). The specific results are displayed in Table 2.

Reverse-direction MR analysis to assess lung cancer and the risk of ACE2

We conducted a reverse-direction MR study to learn more about the connection between lung cancer and ACE2. The analysis followed the same format as before. Table 3 showed the specific characteristics of SNPs related to lung cancer. We assessed the horizontal pleiotropy of each IV in the PhenoScanner database



and found that 12 SNPs (rs10866498, rs11710798, rs2075570, rs2647964, rs37004, rs446975, rs501942, rs66500423, rs75160488, rs76813064, rs79584940 and rs8040868) were associated with confounding factors (including whole body fat mass, mean corpuscular hemoglobin, body mass index and others). After removing these SNPs, we performed MR analysis and the results provided nonsignificant causal estimates between lung cancer and ACE2 (odds ratio: 0.94, 95% confidence interval: 0.68–1.30, P = 0.7209). The results of the P value of MR Egger regression, weighted median and weighted mode also did not show statistically significant. The specific results are displayed in Table 4.

Discussion

The relationship between ACE2 and the risk of developing lung cancer was described in this two-sample MR investigation. Using IEU Open GWAS data sets, we showed a clear causal relationship between ACE2 and a decreased risk of developing lung cancer in this study. In addition, a reverse-direction MR examination revealed no conclusive evidence of a causal relationship between lung cancer and ACE2. As far as we are aware, this is the first MR research to look into the connections between ACE2 and risk of lung cancer.

A link between ACE and the risk of lung cancer has previously been hypothesized by observational research. According to Romer (30), who first established the link between ACE and lung cancer risk in 1981, low ACE is linked to a poor prognosis for those with lung cancer. A clinical trial carried out by Bar and other researchers (31) revealed that lung cancer patients with high baseline ACE levels had much better outcomes than those with low ACE levels. ACE was a preventive factor against lung cancer, as shown by all of the aforementioned investigations. To be fair, ACE2 shares structural similarities with ACE and performs biologically in a manner that is not dissimilar from that of ACE, however, there was few research that discussed the connection between ACE2 and lung cancer. Li et al. reported on the association between ACE2 and the risk of major pulmonary resection in non-small cell lung cancer (32). Their findings revealed that patients with a serum ACE2 level<3.21 ng/mL had significantly higher rates of pneumonia, pleural effusion, and atrial fibrillation as well as higher in-hospital mortality following major pulmonary resection than those with a serum ACE2 level > 3.21 ng/mL. Inhibiting cell growth and VEGFa production in vitro, the work by Feng et al. (20) shown that overexpression of ACE2 may have a protective impact. According to the research stated above, ACE2 may be a lung cancer preventative. Yamaguchi (33) presented the findings of



remained unchanged, indicating a stable result.

TABLE 2	Two-sample MR analysis of ACE2 on the risk of lung cancer	r.

Exposure	Outcome	Genetic instruments	MR-Egger intercept <i>P</i> value	Analysis method	OR (95% CI)	P value
ACE2	Lung cancer	17 SNPs before removing confounding factors	0.566	Inverse variance weighted	0.94 (0.92–0.97)	0.000293
				MR Egger	0.94 (0.90-0.98)	0.006954
				Weighted median	0.95 (0.91-0.99)	0.013608
				Weighted mode	0.94 (0.90-0.99)	0.023395
		10 SNPs after removing confounding factors	0.622	Inverse variance weighted	0.94 (0.90-0.98)	0.001616
				MR Egger	0.95 (0.90-1.00)	0.09547
				Weighted median	0.97 (0.91–1.03)	0.341679
				Weighted mode	0.98 (0.88–1.09)	0.748397

research that demonstrated that the amount of ACE2 expression in cancer cells was much greater than that in healthy lung epithelial cells, in contradiction to several studies that claimed ACE2 is a risk factor for lung cancer. In the same way, Samad et al. (34) argued in a 2020 paper that increased expression of ACE2 was

linked to a bad prognosis for lung cancer. The association between ACE2 and the risk of lung cancer had been contentious since all types of retrospective and experimental investigations were susceptible to confounding variables that might distort results. Our research demonstrated the causal relationship between ACE2 and

SNP	Effect allele	Other allele	Chr	EAF	Beta	SE	Р	R ²	F
rs10866498	Т	С	5	0.475	-0.10316	0.01892	1.49E-06	0.005307	145.1606
rs11710798	С	А	3	0.118	-0.14173	0.025005	1.79E-06	0.004173	114.0148
rs13330749	А	Т	16	0.229	-0.10716	0.019807	2.02E-06	0.004058	110.8486
rs147525635	А	G	15	0.549	0.092655	0.01798	4.17E-06	0.004251	116.1396
rs151606	A	Т	6	0.660	-0.10939	0.02594	4.36E-06	0.005368	146.8415
rs2075570	Т	С	1	0.499	0.090772	0.01644	7.45E-07	0.00412	112.55
rs2647964	G	А	12	0.455	0.088036	0.01971	1.69E-06	0.003843	104.9725
rs2816076	A	G	6	0.454	-0.08489	0.016551	3.75E-06	0.003573	97.56444
rs37004	Т	С	5	0.226	-0.17209	0.019417	3.19E-13	0.010367	285.0042
rs446975	Т	G	3	0.115	-0.26153	0.022649	6.42E-18	0.013937	384.5445
rs501942	Т	С	6	0.109	0.186841	0.034137	1.47E-10	0.006773	185.5313
rs55791720	С	Т	12	0.520	0.096108	0.016329	1.54E-07	0.004611	126.0304
rs66500423	С	Т	19	0.318	0.096318	0.021238	9.82E-07	0.004022	109.862
rs73351721	А	G	12	0.058	0.204328	0.049704	1.32E-06	0.004597	125.6561
rs75160488	С	Т	5	0.008	0.542572	0.178907	3.12E-06	0.00463	126.5496
rs76813064	С	Т	3	0.062	0.197929	0.051011	5.91E-06	0.004531	123.8464
rs79097304	Т	С	2	0.017	-0.32811	0.047593	3.60E-06	0.003657	99.85395
rs79584940	A	G	2	0.036	-0.23441	0.038747	5.40E-06	0.003855	105.2843
rs8040868	С	Т	15	0.424	0.301974	0.024535	4.97E-60	0.044551	1268.617

TABLE 3 Summary information for 19 SNPs that were used as genetic instruments for Mendelian randomization analyses of lung cancer.

TABLE 4 Two-sample MR analysis of lung cancer on the risk of ACE2.

Exposure	Outcome	Genetic instruments	MR-Egger intercept <i>P</i> value	Analysis method	OR (95% CI)	P value
Lung caner	ACE2	19 SNPs before removing confounding factors	0.419	Inverse variance weighted	1.05 (0.90–1.22)	0.553853
				MR Egger	1.18 (0.85–1.65)	0.327347
				Weighted median	1.07 (0.85–1.35)	0.568655
				Weighted mode	1.07 (0.84–1.35)	0.599249
		7 SNPs after removing confounding factors	0.815	Inverse variance weighted	0.94 (0.68–1.30)	0.720864
				MR Egger	0.86 (0.38–1.96)	0.729059
				Weighted median	0.85 (0.56-1.29)	0.458728
				Weighted mode	0.80 (0.45–1.39)	0.453421

a decreased risk of developing lung cancer in an effort to validate MR assumptions.

There are several logical possibilities, even if the exact mechanism by which higher ACE2 levels were linked to a decreased chance of developing lung cancer is yet unknown. It indicated that the equilibrium of circulating Ang II/Ang 1-7 levels was controlled by pulmonary ACE2. In patients with lung damage, Ang II causes pulmonary vasoconstriction in response to hypoxia, which is crucial in avoiding shunting. It indirectly implies that the high expression of ACE2 might result in a lower risk of developing lung cancer since lung damage is frequently one of the prerequisites for the development of lung cancer (35). According to the research by Feng et al. (20), overexpressing ACE2 caused lung cancer cells to proliferate at a significantly higher rate in the G0/G1 phase than they did in the G2/M phase. Meanwhile, lung cancer cells can produce less VEGFa protein and accumulate less VEGFa mRNA when ACE2 is overexpressed. When compared to the vector, gene expression investigation by (qRT-PCR) revealed that the VEGFa mRNA level was lower in lung cancer cells infected with MSCV-ACE2. It has also been extensively shown in a different study

(36) that ACE2 overexpression suppresses tumor angiogenesis in NSCLC. Cell proliferation and angiogenesis are linked to tumor formation, and ACE2 further inhibits these processes, allowing killer immune cells more time to identify and eradicate tumor cells. The mechanism known as epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is increasingly recognized as being crucial to the development and spread of tumors. The research by Qian et al. (21) revealed a correlation between ACE2 overexpression and lower mRNA levels of genes that are causally connected to the EMT process, including Snail2, ZEB1, and Twist. A traditional EMT model was created utilizing lung cancer cells treated with TGF-1 in order to further examine whether ACE2 reduces the EMT procedure. Lung cancer cells that contained ACE2 slowed the decline in E-cadherin levels brought on by TGF-1 therapy. The researches mentioned above have shown that ACE2 has a preventative impact on the growth of lung cancer, both theoretically and in vitro.

Cardiovascular disorders are commonly treated with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs). The majority of research currently demonstrates that long-term ACEI usage raises the risk of lung cancer (10-12). However, there is still a lot of disagreement regarding the connection between ACEI/ARB administration and ACE2 expression. Consistent findings from studies utilizing spontaneously hypertensive rats showed that ACEI or ARB therapy elevated ACE2 expression (37-40). However, the cardiac and renal systems received most of these research' attention, and the impact on ACE2 expression in the lung was not further explored. The findings of a research by Han et al. (41) examining Losartan's effects on ACE2 expression in the lung were in agreement with the studies mentioned above in that ARBs raised ACE2 expression in the lung. It may be said that evidence for the elevation of ACE2 in response to ACEI or ARB therapy was presented in two-thirds of the animal investigations. The following information may be used to explain how ACE2 is upregulated in response to ACEI/ARB: When Ang II acts on AT1 receptors, it causes ACE2 to internalize, Ang II decreases ACE2 expression by activating the ERK1/2 or p38 MAPK pathways, and RAS inhibition by ACEI/ARB leads in higher tissue levels of ACE2 (42, 43). We should do extensive clinical and fundamental research to show how ACEI/ARB affect ACE2 expression in the lung in light of the aforementioned findings. This implicitly implies that the role of the RAS in the formation of lung cancer is complicated and that ACEI/ARB medication may have an impact on lung cancer development via additional pathways, such as the buildup of substance P in the lungs due to ACEI usage. Substance P could encourage angiogenesis by causing endothelial and tumor cell proliferation (44). One mechanism connecting mitogenesis with the growth and progression of cancer is the activation of neurokinin-1 receptors by substance P, according to another study (45). If the aforementioned validation is accurate, future researchers might focus on ACEI/ARBs for more modification to significantly enhance the quantity of ACE2 produced while it functions, further mitigating the harm caused by substance P.

This study's main advantage is using data from genetic studies and large consortiums to assess the relationship between ACE2 and lung cancer, thus largely avoiding the common bias of observational studies. Secondly, to investigate the relationship between AEC2 and lung cancer, we rigorously implemented the three key hypotheses of MR and designed a statistically rigorous analysis. Public health initiatives focused on lowering the risk of lung cancer may be affected by these findings and these findings could guide drug scientists in the direction of further ACEI/ARB drug research in the future.

It is important to think about some potential study limitations. The GWAS employed in this work was based on the European population, therefore additional research is needed to see whether our findings can be generalized to other groups. Second, the association between ACE2 and lung cancer was only briefly examined in our study; it did not examine the relationship between ACE2 and certain forms of lung cancer, such as lung adenocarcinoma, squamous lung cancer, or small cell lung cancer. Last but not least, despite the fact that we employ a variety of techniques to mitigate the effects of pleiotropy, we are unable to totally rule out the bias that unknown pleiotropy may have on the outcomes. The link between ACE2 and lung cancer must thus be clarified by more large-scale prospective clinical trials, fundamental science research, and GWAS data from ethnically varied populations.

Conclusion

In conclusion, an important causal relationship between ACE2 and the likelihood of developing lung cancer was found by our MR study. Public health initiatives focused at lowering the risk of lung cancer may be affected by these findings.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

This study was based on publicly available datasets. Ethical review and approval was not required for the study, in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements because since the data for this study were made accessible to the public.

Author contributions

SC: Writing – original draft. RN: Writing – review & editing. WJ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. QY: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. HG: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

author(s) The declare that financial support received for the research, authorship, and/or was publication of this article. This study was supported by a Guangxi Medical and Health Appropriate Technology Development and Promotion Application Project (grant number: S2023091).

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their sincere thanks to IEU Open GWAS project for ACE2 and the lung cancer dataset for conducting Mendelian randomization study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal J, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209-49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Jones GS, Baldwin DR. Recent advances in the management of lung cancer. *Clin Med (Lond).* (2018) 18:s41–6. doi: 10.7861/clinmedicine.18-2-s41

3. Arbour KC, Riely GJ. Systemic therapy for locally advanced and metastatic Non-Small cell lung cancer: A review. *JAMA*. (2019) 322:764–74. doi: 10.1001/jama.2019.11058

4. Donoghue M, Hsieh F, Baronas E, Godbout K, Gosselin M, Stagliano N, et al. A novel angiotensin-converting enzyme-related carboxypeptidase (ACE2) converts angiotensin I to angiotensin 1-9. *Circ Res.* (2000) 87:E1–9. doi: 10.1161/01.res.87.5.e1

5. Tipnis SR, Hooper NM, Hyde R, Karran E, Christie G, Turner AJ, et al. human homolog of angiotensin-converting enzyme. Cloning and functional expression as a captopril-insensitive carboxypeptidase. *J Biol Chem.* (2000) 275:33238–43. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M002615200

6. Heran BS, Wong MM, Heran IK, Wright JM. Blood pressure lowering efficacy of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors for primary hypertension. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2008) 2008:D3823. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD003823.pub2

7. Rosenthal T, Gavras I. Angiotensin inhibition and malignancies: a review. J Hum Hypertens. (2009) 23:623–35. doi: 10.1038/jhh.2009.21

8. Azoulay L, Assimes TL, Yin H, Bartels DB, Schiffrin EL, Suissa S. Long-term use of angiotensin receptor blockers and the risk of cancer. *PLoS ONE.* (2012) 7:e50893. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050893

9. Hallas J, Christensen R, Andersen M, Friis S, Bjerrum L. Long term use of drugs affecting the renin-angiotensin system and the risk of cancer: a population-based case-control study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* (2012) 74:180–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2125.2012.04170.x

10. Lin SY, Lin CL, Lin CC, Hsu WH, Lin CD, Wang IK, et al. Association between angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and lung cancer-a nationwide, population-based, propensity score-matched cohort study. *Cancers.* (2020) 12:747. doi: 10.3390/cancers12030747

11. Wu Z, Yao T, Wang Z, Liu B, Wu N, Lu M, et al. Association between angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and the risk of lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer.* (2023) 128:168–76. doi: 10.1038/s41416-022-02029-5

12. Hicks BM, Filion KB, Yin H, Sakr L, Udell JA, Azoulay L. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and risk of lung cancer: population based cohort study. *BMJ*. (2018) 363:k4209. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k4209

13. Bernardi S, Michelli A, Zuolo G, Candido R, Fabris B. Update on RAAS modulation for the treatment of diabetic cardiovascular disease. *J Diabetes Res.* (2016) 2016:8917578. doi: 10.1155/2016/8917578

14. Gembardt F, Sterner-Kock A, Imboden H, Spalteholz M, Reibitz F, Schultheiss HP, et al. Organ-specific distribution of ACE2 mRNA and correlating peptidase activity in rodents. *Peptides.* (2005) 26:1270–7. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2005. 01.009

15. Paizis G, Tikellis C, Cooper ME, Schembri JM, Lew RA, Smith AI, et al. Chronic liver injury in rats and humans upregulates the novel enzyme angiotensin converting enzyme 2. *Gut.* (2005) 54:1790–6. doi: 10.1136/gut.2004.062398

16. Xie X, Chen J, Wang X, Zhang F, Liu Y. Age- and gender-related difference of ACE2 expression in rat lung. *Life Sci.* (2006) 78:2166–71. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2005.09.038

17. Ye R, Liu Z. ACE2 exhibits protective effects against LPS-induced acute lung injury in mice by inhibiting the LPS-TLR4 pathway. *Exp Mol Pathol.* (2020) 113:104350. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2019.104350

18. Liu J, Chen Q, Liu S, Yang X, Zhang Y, Huang F. Sini decoction alleviates E. Coli induced acute lung injury in mice via equilibrating ACE-AngII-AT1R and ACE2-Ang-(1-7)-Mas axis. *Life Sci.* (2018) 208:139–48. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2018.07.013

19. Yu X, Lin Q, Qin X, Ruan Z, Zhou J, Lin Z, et al. ACE2 antagonizes VEGFa to reduce vascular permeability during acute lung injury. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* (2016) 38:1055–62. doi: 10.1159/000443056

20. Feng Y, Wan H, Liu J, Zhang R, Ma Q, Han B, et al. The angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in tumor growth and tumor-associated angiogenesis in non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncol Rep.* (2010) 23:941–8. doi: 10.3892/or_00000718

21. Qian YR, Guo Y, Wan HY, Fan L, Feng Y, Ni L, et al. Angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 attenuates the metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer through inhibition of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Oncol Rep.* (2013) 29:2408– 14. doi: 10.3892/or.2013.2370

22. Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sterne JA, Timpson N, Davey SG. Mendelian randomization: Using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology. *Stat Med.* (2008) 27:1133–63. doi: 10.1002/sim.3034

23. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': Can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol.* (2003) 32:1–22. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyg070

24. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf B, Yarmolinsky J, Davies NM, Swanson SA, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: The STROBE-MR statement. *JAMA*. (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236

25. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. JAMA. (2017) 318:1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

26. Gilly A, Park YC, Png G, Barysenka A, Fischer I, Bjørnland T, et al. Wholegenome sequencing analysis of the cardiometabolic proteome. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:6336. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20079-2

27. Wang Y, McKay JD, Rafnar T, Wang Z, Timofeeva MN, Broderick P, et al. Rare variants of large effect in BRCA2 and CHEK2 affect risk of lung cancer. *Nat Genet.* (2014) 46:736–41. doi: 10.1038/ng.3002

28. Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2013) 37:658–65. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21758

29. Bowden J, Davey SG, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

30. Rømer FK. Angiotensin-converting enzyme and its association with outcome in lung cancer. *Br J Cancer.* (1981) 43:135–42. doi: 10.1038/bjc.1981.21

31. Bar J, Ding K, Zhao H, Han L, Laurie SA, Seymour L, et al. Angiotensin-Converting enzyme and aldosterone serum levels as prognostic and predictive biomarkers for cediranib in NCIC clinical trials group study BR24. *Clin Lung Cancer*. (2015) 16:e189–201. doi: 10.1016/j.cllc.2015.05.002 32. Li X, Zhou C, Hu W. Association between serum angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 level with postoperative morbidity and mortality after major pulmonary resection in non-small cell lung cancer patients. *Heart Lung Circ.* (2014) 23:661–6. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2013.12.013

33. Yamaguchi M, Hirai S, Sumi T, Tanaka Y, Tada M, Nishii Y, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a potential therapeutic target for EGFRmutant lung adenocarcinoma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2017) 487:613– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.04.102

34. Samad A, Jafar T, Rafi JH. Identification of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) protein as the potential biomarker in SARS-CoV-2 infection-related lung cancer using computational analyses. *Genomics.* (2020) 112:4912–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ygeno.2020.09.002

35. Kiely DG, Cargill RI, Wheeldon NM, Coutie WJ, Lipworth BJ. Haemodynamic and endocrine effects of type 1 angiotensin II receptor blockade in patients with hypoxaemic cor pulmonale. *Cardiovasc Res.* (1997) 33:201–8. doi: 10.1016/s0008-6363(96)00180-0

36. Cheng Q, Zhou L, Zhou J, Wan H, Li Q, Feng Y. ACE2 overexpression inhibits acquired platinum resistance-induced tumor angiogenesis in NSCLC. *Oncol Rep.* (2016) 36:1403–10. doi: 10.3892/or.2016.4967

37. Takeda Y, Zhu A, Yoneda T, Usukura M, Takata H, Yamagishi M. Effects of aldosterone and angiotensin II receptor blockade on cardiac angiotensinogen and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 expression in Dahl salt-sensitive hypertensive rats. *Am J Hypertens.* (2007) 20:1119–24. doi: 10.1016/j.amjhyper.2007.05.008

38. Zhong JC, Ye JY, Jin HY Yu X, Yu HM, Zhu DL, et al. Telmisartan attenuates aortic hypertrophy in hypertensive rats by the modulation of ACE2 and profilin-1 expression. *Regul Pept.* (2011) 166:90–7. doi: 10.1016/j.regpep.2010. 09.005

39. Jessup JA, Gallagher PE, Averill DB, Brosnihan KB, Tallant EA, Chappell MC, et al. Effect of angiotensin II blockade on a new congenic model of hypertension derived from transgenic Ren-2 rats. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2006) 291:H2166–72. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00061.2006

40. Whaley-Connell AT, Chowdhury NA, Hayden MR, Stump CS, Habibi J, Wiedmeyer CE, et al. Oxidative stress and glomerular filtration barrier injury: Role of the renin-angiotensin system in the Ren2 transgenic rat. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* (2006) 291:F1308–14. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00167.2006

41. Han SX, He GM, Wang T, Chen L, Ning YY, Luo F, et al. Losartan attenuates chronic cigarette smoke exposure-induced pulmonary arterial hypertension in rats: Possible involvement of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* (2010) 245:100–7. doi: 10.1016/j.taap.2010.02.009

42. Kuba K, Imai Y, Ohto-Nakanishi T, Penninger JM. Trilogy of ACE2: A peptidase in the renin-angiotensin system, a SARS receptor, and a partner for amino acid transporters. *Pharmacol Ther.* (2010) 128:119-28. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2010.06.003

43. Deshotels MR, Xia H, Sriramula S, Lazartigues E, Filipeanu CM. Angiotensin II mediates angiotensin converting enzyme type 2 internalization and degradation through an angiotensin II type I receptor-dependent mechanism. *Hypertension*. (2014) 64:1368–75. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.03743

44. Muñoz M, Coveñas R. Involvement of substance P and the NK-1 receptor in pancreatic cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:2321–34. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i9.2321

45. Esteban F, Muñoz M, González-Moles MA, Rosso M, A. role for substance P in cancer promotion and progression: a mechanism to counteract intracellular death signals following oncogene activation or DNA damage. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* (2006) 25:137–45. doi: 10.1007/s10555-006-8161-9