Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Domenico Albano, University of Brescia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Natale Quartuccio, Azienda Ospedaliera Ospedali Riuniti Villa Sofia Cervello, Italy Francesco Lanfranchi, University of Genoa, Italy Alberto Miceli, University of Genoa, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Edel Rafael Rodea-Montero ⊠ edel.rodea@hraeb.gob.mx

RECEIVED 09 January 2024 ACCEPTED 19 February 2024 PUBLISHED 12 March 2024

CITATION

Mendoza-Ávila M, Esparza-Pérez H, Castillo-L ópez JA and Rodea-Montero ER (2024) Agreement between PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA systems in classifying [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT lesions among prostate cancer patients: exploring the correlation between lesion size and uptake.

Front. Med. 11:1368093. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1368093

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Mendoza-Ávila, Esparza-Pérez, Castillo-López and Rodea-Montero. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Agreement between PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA systems in classifying [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT lesions among prostate cancer patients: exploring the correlation between lesion size and uptake

Miguel Mendoza-Ávila^{1,2}, Hiram Esparza-Pérez³, Juan Andrés Castillo-López¹ and Edel Rafael Rodea-Montero^{4,5*}

¹Department of Radiology, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad del Bajío, León, Mexico, ²Faculty of Medicine, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, Mexico, ³Department of Nuclear Medicine, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad del Bajío, León, Mexico, ⁴Department of Research, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad del Bajío, León, Mexico, ⁵UPIIG, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Silao de la Victoria, Mexico

Purpose: To determine the agreement between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA standardized reporting systems in the classification of [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007–uptaking lesions identified on PET/CT scan in patients with prostate cancer (PCa) and post-prostatectomy with suspected recurrent disease (local recurrence, regional nodal involvement and distant metastases), based on biochemical recurrence, while also exploring the correlation between lesion size and tracer uptake.

Materials and methods: A retrospective cross-sectional study of 32 postprostatectomy PCa patients who had suspected recurrent disease based on biochemical recurrence post-prostatectomy (prostate-specific antigen values that are 0.2 ng/mL or higher) underwent [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scan. The recurrent disease PCa lesions were characterized and subsequently classified using two standardized reporting systems (PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA). The lesions were grouped based on anatomical site, their size and SUVmax were compared using Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn-Bonferroni *post hoc* tests. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated between the size of the lesions and their SUVmax of the radiotracer [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 for all the lesions and when grouped by anatomical site. Additionally, the agreement between lesion classifications was assessed using Cohen's kappa index.

Results: Only 32 (69.98 ± 8.27, men) patients met the inclusion criteria, a total of 149 lesions with avid uptake of [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 were identified. Positive correlation (r = 0.516, p < 0.001) was observed between the size of the metastatic prostate cancer lymph node lesions and their [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake. Substantial agreement was noted between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification system scores among all lesions ($\kappa = 0.70$, p < 0.001), with notable discrepancies primarily among lymph node lesions.

Conclusion: Our findings revealed a positive correlation between the size of the metastatic prostate cancer lymph node lesions and [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake, and although there was substantial agreement between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification systems, there were discrepancies mainly among the lymph node lesions.

KEYWORDS [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007, lesion size, PET/CT, prostate cancer, SUVmax

1 Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common type of cancer in men, with an incidence of one in six in developed countries (1). Prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA) is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein that is used as a radiopharmaceutical in positronemission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) scans (2, 3). It can be expressed in various anatomical sites, such as the salivary glands, renal tubules, glial cells of the central nervous system, the small intestine and the prostate gland, where high levels of PSMA are expressed in the presence of PCa (4–6). The extracellular domain of PSMA has a high affinity for urea-based ligands (7), which has led to the development of various ligands labeled with radioisotopes for diagnostic purposes, such as gallium-68 [⁶⁸Ga] and fluorine-18 [¹⁸F], and for theranostic purposes, such as lutetium-177 [¹⁷⁷Lu] (8, 9).

Currently, PSMA PET/CT in combination with various agents constitute one of the best imaging diagnostic methods for patients with a high or very high risk of PCa with suspected metastasis (10). In particular, [¹⁸F] labeled PSMA has demonstrated safety, expected tissue biodistribution, and significant PCa-specific uptake (11). Evidence shows a detection rate for PCa with biochemical recurrence of up to 86%, with a sensitivity of 61.4% and a specificity of 88.3% for [¹⁸F]PSMA PET/CT (12, 13). Additionally, [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 generates images with higher spatial resolution than [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-PSMA-11 and [¹⁸F]PSMA-DCFPyL, improving the tumor-to-background ratio, increasing the radiopharmaceutical decay half-life (110 min), and achieving better lesion delineation at the pelvic level (14).

Importantly PSMA PET/CT yields a semiquantitative measurement of the standardized uptake value (SUV) of the radiotracer, which is useful in oncology for detecting primary or metastatic sites of PCa, differentiating between benign and malignant tumors, comparing lesions seen on CT scans, planning treatment, monitoring the response to treatment and/or detecting recurrent disease (15). Standardized reporting systems, particularly the PSMA-RADS (16) proposed by the American College of Radiology or the E-PSMA (17) supported by the European Association of Nuclear Medicine, were used to describe these results.

The aim of this study was to determine the agreement between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA standardized reporting systems in the classification of [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007–uptaking lesions identified on PET/CT scans in patients with PCa and post-prostatectomy with suspected recurrent disease (local recurrence, regional nodal involvement and distant metastases), based on biochemical recurrence, while also exploring the correlation between lesion size and tracer uptake.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients

This study included a total of 32 patients with a history of PCa and prostatectomy, who had suspected recurrent disease (local recurrence,

regional nodal involvement and distant metastases), based on biochemical recurrence (BCR) post prostatectomy that is defined as at least two prostate-specific antigen (PSA) values that are 0.2 ng/mL or higher (18, 19). Patient selection was conducted retrospectively, employing a consecutive sampling approach based on the availability of individuals who underwent [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scans at the Department of Nuclear Medicine within a tertiary care hospital between January 1, 2021, and December 31, 2022.The inclusion criteria were male sex \geq 18 years, post-prostatectomy PCa, and data from noncontrast [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scan performed from the vertex of the calvaria to the middle third of the femur at a 120 kV voltage for suspected recurrent disease based on BCR. The exclusion criteria were noncontrast PET/CT images with the presence of movement artifact, treatment with diuretics at the time of the PET/CT scan, and the presence of kidney and/or liver disease.

Data, including clinical (age, weight, height, body mass index), biochemical (PSA at diagnosis of PCa, PSA nadir, and PSA at the time of PET/CT scan), histopathological [pathological TNM grade, Gleason score, clinical risk stratification according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) system], surgical approach to prostatectomy, and additional treatment received (endocrinological, radiotherapeutic, chemotherapeutic) prior to PET/CT scan, were obtained from the medical records of the patients. At the time of the PET/CT scan, the patients were receiving care from the Urology Oncology Service.

2.2 Image acquisition

The PET/CT scans were performed with a 16-slice Biograph mCT scanner (Siemens Healthineers AG, Erlangen, Germany). All patients received a weight-based dose of [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 of 4 MBq (0.11 mCi) per kg. Images were acquired at 90 min without intravenous iodinated contrast medium from the vertex of the calvaria to the middle third of the femur after intravenous application of the PSMA radiotracer. The PET data were acquired using the time-of-flight function with two iterations and 21 subsets. The CT portion of the PET/CT scan was acquired with a pitch of 1.2 mm, automatic mA, 120 kV, a rotation time of 0.5 s, and a slice thickness of 5 mm. All PET/CT scans were taken with the same PET/CT system, which was assessed daily with a Germanium 68 (Ge-68) source for quality control during the study period.

2.3 Image analysis

The images acquired from the [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scans were retrospectively accessed through the picture archiving and communication system (PACS). In collaboration, a certified nuclear medicine physician and a certified radiologist, each possessing 3 years of experience in interpreting PSMA PET/CT scans and proficient in utilizing the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA reporting systems, identified and characterized every distinct well-marginated lesion in regard to its precise location and morphology. Notably, this process was conducted independent of any clinical patient details.

Additionally, measurements of size (diameter on the short axis in mm), SUVmax, and reference SUVmax values for each patient (in the blood pool, spleen, and parotid gland) were conducted to assess the molecular imaging PSMA (miPSMA) expression of each lesion. These measurements were performed by the radiologist under the supervision of the nuclear medicine physician. Figure 1 shows an example of the SUVmax evaluation of a lesion.

2.4 Lesion classification

The lesions were classified by the nuclear medicine physician using two standardized reporting systems: the PSMA-RADS (16) proposed by the ACR and its European equivalent, the E-PSMA system (17) based on the Prostate Cancer Molecular Imaging Standardized Evaluation (PROMISE V2) (20). Both systems classify lesions into five categories, the definitions of which are detailed in Table 1.

2.5 Statistical analysis

All the data were analyzed using the statistical software R version 3.6.0 (21). Missing values for the variables determined in the study were not imputed, and patients were not included in the analysis for those variables. Descriptive statistics were determined for the characteristics of the patients and their lesions. The statistical analysis of lesion size and SUVmax variables were performed using non-parametric methods due to the unmet assumptions for parametric statistics, as indicated by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test results (p < 0.001 in both cases). The lesions were grouped based on their anatomical site (surgical bed, lymph nodes, bone, locoregional spread, and other sites). The lesion sizes and SUVmax were subjected to comparison using the Kruskal-Wallis test, with subsequent utilization of the Dunn-Bonferroni post-hoc tests, where appropriate. Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated between the size of the lesions and the SUVmax of the radiotracer [18F]PSMA-1007 for all the lesions and when grouped by anatomical site. In addition, the agreement between lesion classifications (PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA) was assessed using Cohen's kappa index. To assess the strength of

TABLE 1 Definitions of the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA prostate cancer lesion classification systems.

Classification system						
	PSMA-R	E-PSMA				
Score		Definition	Score	Definition		
PSMA-RADS-1	PSMA-RADS-1A	Lesions without radiotracer uptake that are definitively benign		Benign lesion without abnormal PSMA		
	PSMA-RADS-1B	Lesions with radiotracer uptake that are definitively benign	E-PSMA-1	uptake		
PSMA-RADS-2		Likely benign: Low level radiotracer uptake in bone or soft tissue sites that would be atypical for metastatic prostate cancer	E-PSMA-2	Most likely, benign lesion: faint PSMA uptake (equal or lower than background) in a site atypical for prostate cancer		
PSMA-RADS-3*	PSMA-RADS-3A	Equivocal radiotracer uptake in soft tissue lesions such as lymph nodes in a distribution typical for prostate cancer	l radiotracer uptake in soft tissue 1ch as lymph nodes in a distribution 1r prostate cancer			
	PSMA-RADS-3B	Equivocal radiotracer uptake in bone lesions that are not clearly benign		Equivocal finding: faint uptake in a site		
	PSMA-RADS-3C	Lesions that would be atypical for prostate cancer but have high levels of uptake and many represent a nonprostate malignancy	E-PSMA-3	typical for prostate cancer or intense uptake in a site atypical for prostate cancer		
	PSMA-RADS-3D	Lesions that are concerning for the presence of prostate cancer or a nonprostate malignancy but lack radiotracer uptake				
PSMA-RADS-4		Likely prostate cancer: lesions with high radiotracer uptake that would be typical for prostate cancer but lack a definitive anatomic abnormality	E-PSMA-4	Most likely, prostate cancer: intense uptake in typical site of prostate cancer, but without definitive findings on CT **		
PSMA-RADS-5		Definitively prostate cancer: lesions with high levels of radiotracer uptake and corresponding anatomic findings that are indicative of the presence of prostate cancer	E-PSMA-5	Definitive evidence of prostate cancer: intense uptake in a typical site of prostate cancer, with definitive findings on CT		

*Further work-up can be considered. **A definitive finding on CT means the presence of a real anatomical substrate on the CT.



agreement, the Landis and Koch assessment was used (22) (< 0.00 poor, 0.00–0.20 slight, 0.21–0.40 fair, 0.41–0.60 moderate, 0.61–0.80 substantial, 0.81–1.00 almost perfect). A significance level of α =0.05 was used for all tests.

3 Results

In the retrospective analysis, a total of 32 postoperative PCa patients who underwent [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT were included. The mean (\pm SD) age of the patients was 69.98 \pm 8.27 years (range 53.27–86.32 years). The characteristics of the patients are detailed in Table 2. All patients received care from the Urology Oncology Service, and [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scans were performed because of BCR. No patient met the exclusion criteria, which included noncontrast PET/CT images showing movement artifacts, the presence of diuretic treatment during the PET/CT scan, and kidney or liver disease.

In addition, we considered a total of n = 149 lesions with avid uptake of [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007; the mean (± SD) number of lesions per patient was 4.66 (± 3.49, range 0–11). Figure 2 shows the [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake (SUVmax) of lesions per patient, with the size of the lesions depicted in mm, grouped by anatomical site. The distribution of the avid [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake sites and the characteristics (size and SUVmax) of the lesions are detailed in Table 3. Notably, of the 32 patients analyzed, 2/32 (6.25%) did not present with lesions with avid [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake. The largest metastatic PCa lesions, in terms of size, were found within the surgical bed, whereas lesions were found at other anatomical sites (p < 0.001); moreover we found no evidence of a difference in the SUVmax according to anatomical site (p = 0.219).

The Spearman correlation coefficients (*r*) between lesion size (mm) and SUVmax for [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 overall and grouped by lesion anatomical site are shown in Table 4. When considering all the lesions, a correlation was identified (r=0.358, p<0.001), but when grouping the lesions by anatomical site, a correlation was observed only for the lymph node lesions (r=0.516, p<0.001).

Of the total number of lesions (n = 149) among the 30 patients, only those corresponding to 28 patients were classified with both the PSMA-RADS and the E-PSMA systems (n = 137); the E-PSMA was not used for two patients whose uptake levels in the spleen were higher than those in the parotid gland, making it impossible to determine the miPSMA expression of the associated lesions. Table 5 shows the frequency and percentage of each of the lesion classification categories based on the PSMA-RADS and the E-PSMA classification systems; 78/137 (56.93%) of the lesions were classified as PSMA-RADS-5, and 79/137 (57.66%) of the lesions were classified as E-PSMA-5. Additionally, substantial agreement was detected between the two systems (κ =0.70, p<0.001), but there was disagreement between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification systems in 24/137 (17.52%) of the lesions; of note, 18/137 (13.14%) were classified as PSMA-RADS grade 4 but E-PSMA grade 3.

We observed that in 5 of the 24 discordantly classified lesions, E-PSMA yielded a higher classification level than did PSMA-RADS. These cases included degenerative changes in the cervical vertebral body in one patient, a high-uptake bladder abscess in another patient, thickening of the rectum with high uptake in a third patient and a pair of nodes in the final patient with a short-axis diameter of 8 mm that were morphologically preserved yet demonstrated radiotracer uptake. Among the other 19 of the 24 discordant lesions between the systems, PSMA-RADS produced a higher classification than did the E-PSMA system. These lesions had the following characteristics: a lymph node lesion with a short-axis diameter of 7 mm that demonstrated morphological changes and a miPSMA score of 3, and 18 lesions with a PSMA-RADS grade of 4 but an E-PSMA grade classified as 3, all of which lacked morphological changes with miPSMA uptake of 1 (that is, uptake between that of the blood pool and the spleen). Of these 18 lesions, seven were in lymph nodes with a short axis diameter ranging from 3 to 6 mm, seven were in bone, three were in the surgical bed and one was in the bladder.

4 Discussion

PSMA PET/CT could substantially impact the clinical management of PCa patients based on its diagnostic accuracy (23). Recent studies have used [18F]PSMA-1007 to detect PCa, identify the presence of metastases before treatment (24) and to determine BCR after treatment (25). Ongoing initiatives are underway to evaluate the reliability and practicality of standardized reporting systems for PSMA PET/CT scans in PCa patients (26), providing external validation. The use of lesion classification systems like PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA, which rely on criteria such as location, morphology, size, and radioisotope uptake (SUVmax), aims to mitigate potential confirmation bias. Nevertheless, incorporating the patient's clinical history during the study may inadvertently lead to focusing on specific body systems or organs, potentially neglecting other areas. This approach could lead to the omission of small lesions, highlighting the critical importance of conducting a thorough and impartial evaluation of all potential abnormalities.

Our study expands the knowledge about the presence of metastases in patients with PCa post-prostatectomy, indicating that the largest metastatic PCa lesions, in terms of size, were found within the surgical bed when compared to lesions in other anatomical sites (p < 0.001). Regarding lymph node lesions, our study revealed a positive correlation (r=0.516, p < 0.001) between the size of these lesions and their [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 uptake. Furthermore, we identified substantial agreement between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification system scores among all lesions ($\kappa = 0.70$, p < 0.001), and the greatest disagreement between the two scores occurred mainly among lymph node lesions.

In cases where E-PSMA resulted in a higher classification level than PSMA-RADS for lesions, these differences can be attributed to the fact that the PSMA-RADS provides the flexibility to categorize lesions that are typically benign with and without uptake, apart from identifying likely malignant nonprostate lesions. Additionally, the PSMA-RADS system does not incorporate the criterion of an 8 mm size for lymph node lesions as proposed in the E-PSMA system. Regarding lesions with discordant classifications where PSMA-RADS assigned a higher classification than did E-PSMA, these disparities can be elucidated by considering that the E-PSMA system accounts for uptake levels based on reference values (miPSMA) and suggests a standardized size criterion of 8 mm for lymph node lesions.

Some points to consider regarding these discordances in lesion classification include the fact that the uptake of PSMA ligands has been reported in a variety of benign conditions associated with osteoblastic activity, including osteoarthritis, degenerative changes,

TABLE 2 Patient characteristics (n = 32).

	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)					
Age (years)	69.98 (8.27)	68.72 (65.79–75.28)					
Weight (kg)	70.13 (14.56) 73.25 (58.50–81.25)						
Height (m)	1.63 (0.06) 1.64 (1.60–1.69)						
BMI (kg/m2)	26.2 (4.57)	27.34 (22.25-30.27)					
Malnourished (BMI < 18.5), <i>n</i> (%)	1 (:						
Normal weight (18.5 \leq BMI < 25), <i>n</i> (%)	11 (:						
Overweight (25 ≤ BMI < 30), <i>n</i> (%)	11 (
Obese (BMI \geq 30), n (%)	9 (2						
PSA							
At the time of diagnosis (ng/ml)	618.08 (1612.47)	60.00 (23.29–168.00)	n = 29				
Nadir (ng/ml)	1.74 (3.55)	0.18 (0.06–1.93)	n = 27				
At the time of [18F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT scan (ng/ml)	27.15 (57.65)	3.31 (0.69–14.03)					
Pathological TNM stage							
T2, n (%)	19 (63.3%)					
T3, n (%)	7 (:	23.3%)	<i>n</i> = 30				
T4, n (%)	4 (13.3%)					
N0, <i>n</i> (%)	16 (20					
N1, n (%)	12 (<i>n</i> = 28					
M0, <i>n</i> (%)	11 (<i>n</i> = 30					
M1, n (%)	19 (
Gleason score							
6, <i>n</i> (%)	3	(10%)					
7, <i>n</i> (%)	6	n = 30					
8, <i>n</i> (%)	9						
9, <i>n</i> (%)	12						
Risk stratification for localized prostate cancer (NCCN)							
Low, n (%)	2 (6.3%)					
Intermediate, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (18.8%)					
High, <i>n</i> (%)	6 (18.8%)					
Very High, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (18 (56.3%)					
Surgical approach							
TURP, <i>n</i> (%)	15 (46.9%)					
TURP and SBO, n (%)	7 (:						
RP, <i>n</i> (%)	9 (:						
RP and SBO, n (%) 1 (3.1%)							
Additional treatment							
Endocrinological, <i>n</i> (%)	31 (
Radiotherapy, <i>n</i> (%)	17 (53.1%)						
Chemotherapy, <i>n</i> (%)	7 (2	21.9%)					
Number of lesions with avid uptake of [18F]PSMA-							
1007	4.66 (3.49)	3.50 (1.00-7.50)					

Unless otherwise indicated, all values are given by the mean (standard deviation) and median (interquartile range). BMI: body mass index. PSA: prostatic-specific antigen. NCCN: National Comprehensive Cancer Network. TURP: transurethral resection of the prostate. SBO: simple bilateral orchiectomy. RP: open radical prostatectomy.



FIGURE 2

[18F]PSMA-1007 uptake (SUVmax) in lesions per patient, with the size in mm and grouping by anatomical site. Loco-regional spread: bladder, seminal vesicle, and rectum. Other sites: thyroid, kidney, and bone marrow.

TABLE 3 Distribution of avid [18F]PSMA-1007 uptake sites and characteristics (size and SUVmax) of associated lesions in n = 30 patients.

Anatomical site	Lesions (<i>n</i> = 149)	Size (mm)			SUVmax		
		Mean (SD)	Range	Intergroup comparison	Mean (SD)	Range	Intergroup comparison
Surgical bed, <i>n</i> (%)	18 (12.08%)	29.56 (15.69)	0-49	o <0.001 ^{cd}	13.39 (14.07)	3.27-63.90	_
Lymph nodes, n (%)	62 (41.61%)	9.37 (6.78)	0-41		12.28 (12.46)	1.17-63.77	
Bone, <i>n</i> (%)	49 (32.89%)	10.29 (11.84)	0-50		12.71 (10.87)	1.97-61.16	$n < 0.219^{\circ}$
Loco-regional spread ^a , <i>n</i> (%)	14 (9.40%)	10.64 (13.75)	0-53	r <0.001	17.06 (16.25)	4.32-66.88	p < 0.217
Other sites ^b , n (%)	6 (4.03%)	3.00 (7.35)	0-18		8.66 (10.56)	1.79-30.00	

*Metastasis: avid uptake of [18F]PSMA in bladder (n = 9, 6.04%), seminal vesicle (n = 3, 2.01%) and rectum (n = 2, 1.34%).

^bMetastasis: avid uptake for [¹⁸F]PSMA in thyroid (n = 4, 2.69%), kidney (n = 1, 0.67%) and bone marrow (n = 1, 0.67%).

^cKruskal-Wallis test.

^dDunn-Bonferroni post hoc test between Surgical bed group and each of the other four groups. SD, Standard deviation.

TABLE 4 Spearman's correlation coefficients between size in mm and SUVmax for all lesions and grouped by anatomical site.

Size (mm)	SUVmax				
	r	IC 95%	Significance		
Overall $(n = 149)$	0.358	(0.204, 0.494)	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
Surgical bed $(n=18)$	0.432	(-0.058, 0.755)	<i>p</i> = 0.073		
Lymph nodes ($n = 62$)	0.516	(0.298, 0.682)	<i>p</i> < 0.001		
Bone (<i>n</i> = 49)	0.242	(-0.051, 0.496)	<i>p</i> = 0.094		
Loco-regional spread ^a (n=14)	0.232	(-0.356, 0.688)	<i>p</i> = 0.424		
Other sites ^b $(n=6)$	0.655	(-0.364, 0.960)	<i>p</i> = 0.158		

^aBladder (n=9), seminal vesicle (n=3) and rectum (n=2).

^bThyroid (n = 4), kidney (n = 1) and bone marrow (n = 1).

PSMA	-RADS		Total				
		1	2	3	4	5	
1A	1	28	1	1	0	0	4 (2.02%)
1B	1	1 2ª	I	1	0	U	4 (2.92%)
2	2	0	5ª	0	0	0	5 (3.65%)
3A	3			1ª	1	0	2 (1.46%)
3B							
3C		U	0				
3D							
4	4	0	0	18	28 ^a	2	48 (35.04%)
5	5	0	0	0	1	77ª	78 (56.93%)
Total		2 (1.46%)	6 (4.38%)	20 (14.60%)	30 (21.90%)	79 (57.66%)	137 (100%)

TABLE 5 Contingency table for the classification of lesions (n = 137) among 28 patients according to the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA systems*.

^aConcordant lesions between PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA systems.

*Kappa index (95% CI) = 0.70 (0.61–0.80), *p* value < 0.001.

fibrous dysplasia, consolidation fractures and post radiotherapy (27). In addition, bone changes on CT scans are frequently absent. The uptake of PSMA ligands may be associated with endothelial cell neovascularity as well as the high permeability of inflammatory cells (28). Moreover, Luo et al. (29) reported excellent performance in the detection of PCa using [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT, which was validated by histopathology. Additionally, they determined that an optimal

SUVmax threshold of 8.3 could be applied to identify PCa lesions through [¹⁸F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT.

Regarding discordant lesions in the lymph nodes, recent studies, such as the study by Gottlieb et al. (30), revealed that histopathologically, the tumor burden in lymph node lesions is associated with BCR-free survival time in PCa patients. Furthermore, Schwartz et al. (31) described that the stratification of lymph node

lesions based on size and the anatomical region can hinder the serial follow-up of the lesions and potentially provide discordant findings in their classification. However, there is controversy regarding whether the size of the short axis or the long axis should be measured. On the one hand, the Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group (32) proposed a long axis ≥ 20 mm as a strict criterion for a lesion in a metastatic lymph node in PCa; on the other hand, Hövels et al. (33) suggested that lesions in lymph nodes with a short axis > 8 mm in the pelvis and >10 mm outside the pelvis can be considered malignant in PCa. Finally, Schwartz et al. (31) reported that when only the short axis of the lymph node lesion is considered, there is a better and more significant correlation with the tumor burden, and they recommend adopting the measurement of the short-axis diameter for lymph node lesions in radiological practice.

This study has certain limitations. First, this was a crosssectional study, so causality cannot be inferred. Second, the results are based on data from a single center with a small sample size, and from both adult patients (n=32) and lesions (n=149) among a population with post-prostatectomy PCa and suspected recurrent disease; therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution. Third, histopathological confirmation of the lesions was lacking but we define recurrent disease (local recurrence, regional nodal involvement and distant metastases) based on BCR post prostatectomy (at least two prostate-specific antigen (PSA) values that are 0.2 ng/mL or higher).

In future perspectives, radiomics emerges as a promising tool for the detection and categorization of PCa lesions (34). Radiomic signatures, generated through the amalgamation of information from PET/CT and PSMA, hold the potential to provide complementary insights into the detection and localization of PCa lesions, as well as predicting PSMA-RADS or E-PSMA outcomes. To advance our understanding, it is necessary to carry out longitudinal multicenter studies that allow lesion follow-up and assessment of their response to treatment, especially in cases where lesions are discordant between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification systems, particularly with an emphasis on histopathological confirmation.

5 Conclusion

Our study expands the knowledge about the presence of recurrent disease (local recurrence, regional nodal involvement and distant metastases) based on BCR in patients with PCa and postprostatectomy, revealing that the largest lesions were located in the surgical bed, while the lesions with the highest uptake of [18F]PSMA-1007 were located in the lymph nodes. Regarding lymph node lesions, our study generates evidence of a positive correlation between the size of these lesions and [18F]PSMA-1007 uptake. Furthermore, we identified that although there was substantial agreement between the two lesion classification systems (PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA), there were discrepancies, mainly among the lymph node lesions. From clinical practice, our study suggests that lymph node lesions require special attention in their classification to ensure a correct diagnosis and for better decision-making regarding their respective management. Finally, it is necessary to carry out longitudinal multicenter studies that allow lesion follow-up and assessment of their response to treatment, including their histopathological confirmation, especially those lesions that were discordant between the PSMA-RADS and E-PSMA classification systems.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Research and Research-Ethics committees of the HRAEB (registration numbers: CI-HRAEB 019-2023 and CEI-021-2023). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirements of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin given the retrospective nature of the study. The confidentiality of the data was meticulously maintained, and all procedures adhered to the pertinent guidelines and regulations governing this research.

Author contributions

MM-Á: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HE-P: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JC-L: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ER-M: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Fitzmaurice C, Allen C, Barber RM, Barregard L, Bhutta ZA, Brenner H, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 32 cancer groups, 1990 to 2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol.* (2017) 3:524–48. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.5688

2. Horoszewicz JS, Kawinski E, Murphy GP. Monoclonal antibodies to a new antigenic marker in epithelial prostatic cells and serum of prostatic cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* (1987) 7:927–35.

3. Israeli RS, Powell CT, Fair WR, Heston WDW. Molecular cloning of a complementary DNA encoding a prostate-specific membrane antigen. *Cancer Res.* (1993) 53:227–30.

4. Miyahira AK, Soule HR. The history of prostate-specific membrane antigen as a Theranostic target in prostate Cancer: the cornerstone role of the Prostate Cancer Foundation. *J Nucl Med.* (2022) 63:331–8. doi: 10.2967/JNUMED.121.262997

5. Lawhn-Heath C, Salavati A, Behr SC, Rowe SP, Calais J, Fendler WP, et al. Prostatespecific membrane antigen PET in prostate cancer. *Radiology*. (2021) 299:248–60. doi: 10.1148/RADIOL.2021202771

6. Ristau BT, O'Keefe DS, Bacich DJ. The prostate-specific membrane antigen: lessons and current clinical implications from 20 years of research. *Urol Oncol Semin Orig Investig.* (2014) 32:272–9. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2013.09.003

7. Foss CA, Mease RC, Fan H, Wang Y, Ravert HT, Dannals RF, et al. Radiolabeled small-molecule ligands for prostate-specific membrane antigen: in vivo imaging in experimental models of prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2005) 11:4022–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-2690

8. Zippel C, Ronski SC, Bohnet-Joschko S, Kopka K, Giesel FL. Current status of PSMA-radiotracers for prostate cancer: data analysis of prospective trials listed on clinicaltrials.gov. *Pharmaceuticals.* (2020) 13:1–13. doi: 10.3390/ph13010012

9. Ferrari M, Treglia G. 18F-PSMA-1007 PET in biochemical recurrent prostate Cancer: an updated Meta-analysis. *Contrast Media Mol Imaging*. (2021) 2021:1–12. doi: 10.1155/2021/3502389

10. Heidenreich A, Ohlmann CH, Polyakov S. Anatomical extent of pelvic lymphadenectomy in patients undergoing radical prostatectomy{a figure is presented}. *Eur Urol.* (2007) 52:29–37. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2007.04.020

11. Szabo Z, Mena E, Rowe SP, Plyku D, Nidal R, Eisenberger MA, et al. Initial evaluation of [18F]DCFPyL for prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-targeted PET imaging of prostate Cancer. *Mol Imaging Biol.* (2015) 17:565–74. doi: 10.1007/s11307-015-0850-8

12. Treglia G, Annunziata S, Pizzuto DA, Giovanella L, Prior JO, Ceriani L. Detection rate of18 F-labeled PSMA PET/CT in biochemical recurrent prostate cancer: a systematic review and a meta-analysis. *Cancers (Basel).* (2019) 11:1–14. doi: 10.3390/ cancers11050710

13. Bodar YJL, Jansen BHE, van der Voorn JP, Zwezerijnen GJC, Meijer D, Nieuwenhuijzen JA, et al. Detection of prostate cancer with 18F-DCFPyL PET/CT compared to final histopathology of radical prostatectomy specimens: is PSMA-targeted biopsy feasible? *The DeTeCT trial World J Urol.* (2021) 39:2439–46. doi: 10.1007/s00345-020-03490-8

14. Giesel FL, Hadaschik B, Cardinale J, Radtke J, Vinsensia M, Lehnert W, et al. F-18 labelled PSMA-1007: biodistribution, radiation dosimetry and histopathological validation of tumor lesions in prostate cancer patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2017) 44:678–88. doi: 10.1007/s00259-016-3573-4

15. Wagner HN. A brief history of positron emission tomography (PET). *Semin Nucl Med.* (1998) 28:213–20. doi: 10.1016/S0001-2998(98)80027-5

16. Rowe SP, Pienta KJ, Pomper MG, Gorin MA. Proposal for a structured reporting system for prostate-specific membrane antigen-targeted PET imaging: PSMA-RADS version 1.0. *J Nucl Med.* (2018) 59:479–85. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.117.195255

17. Ceci F, Oprea-Lager DE, Emmett L, Adam JA, Bomanji J, Czernin J, et al. E-PSMA: the EANM standardized reporting guidelines v1.0 for PSMA-PET. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2021) 48:1626–38. doi: 10.1007/s00259-021-05245-y

18. Cookson MS, Aus G, Burnett AL, Canby-Hagino ED, D'Amico AV, Dmochowski RR, et al. Variation in the definition of biochemical recurrence in patients treated for

localized prostate Cancer: the American urological association prostate guidelines for localized prostate Cancer update panel report and recommendations for a standard in the re. *J Urol.* (2007) 177:540–5. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2006.10.097

19. Simon NI, Parker C, Hope TA, Paller CJ. Best approaches and updates for prostate Cancer biochemical recurrence. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ B*. (2022) 42:352–9. doi: 10.1200/edbk_351033

20. Seifert R, Emmett L, Rowe SP, Herrmann K, Hadaschik B, Calais J, et al. Second version of the prostate Cancer molecular imaging standardized evaluation framework including response evaluation for clinical trials (PROMISE V2). *Eur Urol.* (2023) 83:405–12. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2023.02.002

21. R Core team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2019). Available at: https://www.r-project.org

22. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement of categorical data. *Biometrics.* (1977) 33:159–74. doi: 10.2307/2529310

23. Jeet V, Parkinson B, Song R, Sharma R, Hoyle M. Histopathologically validated diagnostic accuracy of PSMA-PET/CT in the primary and secondary staging of prostate Cancer and the impact of PSMA-PET/CT on clinical management: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Semin Nucl Med.* (2023) 53:706–18. doi: 10.1053/j.semnuclmed. 2023.02.006

24. Zhou X, Li YC, Jiang X, Wang XX, Chen SR, Shen TP, et al. Intra-individual comparison of 18F-PSMA-1007 and 18F-FDG PET/CT in the evaluation of patients with prostate Cancer. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 10:1–8. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.585213

25. Witkowska-Patena E, Giżewska A, Dziuk M, Miśko J, Budzyńska A, Walęcka-Mazur A. Diagnostic performance of 18F-PSMA-1007 PET/CT in biochemically relapsed patients with prostate cancer with PSA levels ≤ 2.0 ng/ml. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* (2020) 23:343–8. doi: 10.1038/s41391-019-0194-6

26. Grawe F, Blom F, Winkelmann M, Burgard C, Schmid-Tannwald C, Unterrainer LM, et al. Reliability and practicability of PSMA-RADS 1.0 for structured reporting of PSMA-PET/CT scans in prostate cancer patients. *Eur Radiol.* (2023) 34:1157–66. doi: 10.1007/s00330-023-10083-7

27. Orevi M, Ben-Haim S, Abourbeh G, Chicheportiche A, Mishani E, Yutkin V, et al. False positive findings of [18F]PSMA-1007 PET/CT in patients after radical prostatectomy with undetectable serum PSA levels. *Front Surg.* (2022) 9:1–7. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.943760

28. Sheikhbahaei S, Afshar-Oromieh A, Eiber M, Solnes LB, Javadi MS, Ross AE, et al. Pearls and pitfalls in clinical interpretation of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA)-targeted PET imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2017) 44:2117–36. doi: 10.1007/s00259-017-3780-7

29. Luo L, Zheng A, Chang R, Li Y, Gao J, Wang Z, et al. Evaluating the value of 18 F - PSMA - 1007 PET / CT in the detection and identification of prostate cancer using histopathology as the standard. *Cancer Imaging*. (2023) 23:1–8. doi: 10.1186/ s40644-023-00627-x

30. Gottlieb J, Chang S, Choe J, Grunkemeier GL, Hanes DA, Krasne D, et al. Characterization of lymph node tumor burden in node-positive prostate cancer patients after robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy with extended pelvic lymph node dissection (2023) 15:707. doi: 10.3390/cancers15143707

31. Schwartz LH, Bogaerts J, Ford R, Shankar L, Therasse P, Gwyther S, et al. Evaluation of lymph nodes with RECIST 1.1. *Eur J Cancer*. (2009) 45:261–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2008.10.028

32. Scher HI, Halabi S, Tannock I, Morris M, Sternberg CN, Carducci MA, et al. Design and end points of clinical trials for patients with progressive prostate cancer and castrate levels of testosterone: recommendations of the prostate Cancer clinical trials working group. *J Clin Oncol.* (2008) 26:1148–59. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.12.4487

33. Hövels AM, Heesakkers RAM, Adang EM, Jager GJ, Strum S, Hoogeveen YL, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of CT and MRI in the staging of pelvic lymph nodes in patients with prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *Clin Radiol.* (2008) 63:387–95. doi: 10.1016/j. crad.2007.05.022

34. Cutaia G, la Tona G, Comelli A, Vernuccio F, Agnello F, Gagliardo C, et al. Radiomics and prostate MRI: current role and future applications. *J Imaging*. (2021) 7, 1–13. doi: 10.3390/jimaging7020034