Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Patricia R. M. Rocco, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

REVIEWED BY Alexandre Isola, Imed Group Brasil, Brazil Christoph Boesing, University Medical Center Mannheim, Germany

\*CORRESPONDENCE Haiyang Wu ⊠ wuhaiyang2021@tmu.edu.cn Kunming Cheng ⊠ chengkm2013@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 05 January 2024 ACCEPTED 25 June 2024 PUBLISHED 17 July 2024

#### CITATION

Lu Y, Li W, Qi S, Cheng K and Wu H (2024) Mapping knowledge structure and emerging trends of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a bibliometric and visualized study. *Front. Med.* 11:1365864. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1365864

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lu, Li, Qi, Cheng and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### Mapping knowledge structure and emerging trends of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a bibliometric and visualized study

Yanqiu Lu<sup>1†</sup>, Wanqing Li<sup>2†</sup>, Shaoyan Qi<sup>1</sup>, Kunming Cheng<sup>1\*</sup> and Haiyang Wu<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Intensive Care Unit, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Operating Room, Xiangyang Central Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Hubei University of Arts and Science, Xiangyang, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Orthopaedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

**Introduction:** With the discovery of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), it is considered as a valuable tool for supporting the treatment of severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). It has gained increasing attention, particularly during the COVID-19 epidemic. However, to date, no relevant bibliometric research on the association between ECMO and ARDS (ECMO-ARDS) has been reported. Our study aimed to summarize the knowledge structure and research focus of ECMO-ARDS through a bibliometric analysis.

**Method:** Publications related to ECMO-ARDS from 2000 to 2022 were obtained from the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC). Research data underwent bibliometric and visual analysis by using CiteSpace, VOSviewer, and one online analysis platform. By analyzing the countries, institutions, journals, authors, the geographic distribution of research contributions as well as the leading institutions and researchers in this field were identified. Additionally, prominent journals and highly cited publications were highlighted, indicating their influence and significance in the field. Moreover, the co-citation references and co-occurring keywords provided valuable information on the major research topics, trends, and potential emerging frontiers.

A total of 1,565 publications from 60 countries/regions were Results: retrieved. The annual publication number over time revealed exponential growth trends ( $R^2 = 0.9511$ ). The United States was dominant in ECMO-ARDS research, whereas the Univ Toronto was most productive institution. Prof Combes A published the most publications in this area. ASAIO Journal and Intensive Care Medicine were the most active and co-cited journals, respectively. Reference co-citation analysis showed that current research focus has shifted to COVID-related ARDS, multi-center studies, as well as prone positioning. Apart from the keywords "ECMO" and "ARDS", other keywords appearing at high frequency in the research field were "COVID-19", "mechanical ventilation", "extracorporeal life support", "respiratory failure", "veno-venous ECMO", "SARS-CoV-2", "outcome". Among them, keywords like "mortality", "veno-venous ECMO", "epidemiology", "obesity", "coagulopathy", "lung ultrasound", "inhalation injury", "noninvasive ventilation", "diagnosis", "heparin", "cytokine storm" has received growing interest in current research and also has the potential to continue to become research hotspots in the near future.

**Conclusion:** This bibliometric analysis offers a comprehensive understanding of the current state of ECMO-ARDS research and can serve as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders in exploring future research directions and fostering collaborations in this critical field.

KEYWORDS

acute respiratory distress syndrome, bibliometrics, visualization, hotspots, ECMO

### Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is an acute diffuse lung injury resulting from various pulmonary or extrapulmonary factors that lead to increased pulmonary vascular permeability, reduced pulmonary compliance and severe ventilation/blood flow ratio imbalance (1-5). Despite advances in clinical understanding and treatment of ARDS, with lung protective ventilation and prone positioning becoming fundamental strategies, ARDS remains a leading cause of mortality in critically ill patients (2). With the discovery of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), it is considered as a valuable tool for supporting the treatment of severe ARDS. It has gained increasing attention, particularly during the COVID-19 epidemic (6, 7). Veno-venous ECMO (VV-ECMO) has been widely employed in the treatment of severe ARDS in recent years, effectively maintaining oxygenation when lung-protective ventilation and conventional treatments prove insufficient (8, 9). V-V ECMO allows to reduce the intensity of ventilation to achieve lung rest while facilitating gas exchange and correcting hypoxemia. Several studies have investigated the benefits of ECMO for patients with respiratory failure. The CESAR multi-center randomized controlled trial demonstrated the potential advantages of ECMO for respiratory failure (10), while the 2018 EOLIA trial supported the beneficial effects of ECMO combined with lung protective ventilation for patients with severe ARDS (11). A meta-analysis comparing VV-ECMO support with conventional mechanical ventilation in ARDS patients revealed lower 60-day mortality rates in the ECMO group (RR = 0.73; p = 0.008) (12). With the COVID-19 pandemic, numerous studies have been published in recent years regarding ECMO support in ARDS patients (ECMO-ARDS) (6, 7, 13). A growing number of preclinical and clinical studies are devoted to the field of ECMO-ARDS. However, in the face of massive amounts of information, researchers require extensive time investment to read academic literature to comprehend the latest developments in this field.

Bibliometrics, initially defined by Pritchard (14), is a statistical and mathematical tool for qualitatively and quantitatively evaluating academic literature within a specific field. This analysis enables the identification of trends and hotspots and offers quantitative measurements of research contributions from different countries/regions, journals, institutions, authors, and other relevant details (15, 16). Currently, as a new method, it has been widely applied in critical care medicine (17–21). Take ARDS as an example, multiple researchers have employed bibliometric approaches to investigate the scientific outputs and publication trends of ARDS (17), NLRP3 inflammasome in ARDS (18), ARDS associated with viral pneumonia (19), and so on. However, to our knowledge, no previous bibliometric studies have been conducted to investigate the field of ECMO-ARDS. Therefore, this study employs several bibliometric analysis software, such as CiteSpace and VOSviewer, to analyze and assess literature related to ECMO-ARDS over the past decade. By generating visual graphs, this study aims to explore predominant contributors, current research hotspots, as well as future trends in this field. The main aim of the bibliometric study is to address the following questions:

Q1: How about the global development trend in the field of ECMO-ARDS?

Q2: Who are the main contributors, including authors, institutions, and countries in the field of ECMO-ARDS from 2000 to 2022?

Q3: Which journals are preferred for publishing studies related to ECMO-ARDS?

Q4: What are the main research topics and hotspots in this area? Q5: What are the most prominent research frontiers and hotspots anticipated in the future?

### **Methods**

### Data collection

To minimize potential bias arising from fluctuations in the number of studies and citations, all retrieval operations and data downloads were carried out by two authors within a single day (July 11, 2023). The retrieval strategies and search terms were developed as follows: #1: TI = (ECMO OR "extracorporeal membrane oxygenation") OR AK = (ECMO OR "extracorporeal membrane oxygenation") OR AB = (ECMO OR "extracorporeal membrane oxygenation"); #2: TI = (ARDS OR "acute respiratory distress syndrome\*") OR AK = (ARDS OR "acute respiratory distress syndrome\*") OR AB = (ARDS OR "acute respiratory distress syndrome\*"); #3: #1 AND #2. A wildcard character (\*) was utilized to capture variations and variable endings of keywords, allowing for the inclusion of a broader range of data sources. The searched time span was from 2000 to 2022, with language limited to English, whereas the document type was restricted to articles or reviews. All data were downloaded with the record content of "Full Record and Cited References" and exported in tab-delimited format or plain text for further processing using the "Export Records to File" option. Bibliographic related information was extracted and saved in a Microsoft Excel file for further analysis. The study flowchart was shown in Figure 1.





TABLE 1 Top 15 productive countries in the field of ECMO-ARDS.
--

Ranking	Countries	Publications, n	% of 1565	H-index	TC	AC
1	USA	524	33.48	56	15,604	29.78
2	Germany	300	19.17	47	7,541	25.14
3	China	192	12.27	27	9,596	49.98
4	Italy	189	12.08	39	5,506	29.13
5	France	164	10.48	47	11,612	70.80
6	UK	102	6.52	26	3,819	37.44
7	Canada	84	5.37	34	6,699	79.75
8	Australia	77	4.92	27	5,239	68.04
9	South Korea	62	3.96	14	574	9.26
10	Netherlands	48	3.07	19	2,205	45.94
11	Japan	45	2.88	12	742	16.49
12	Belgium	42	2.68	17	1,318	31.38
13	Austria	37	2.36	13	914	24.70
14	Spain	31	1.98	16	1,002	32.32
15	Sweden	29	1.85	13	664	22.90

Ranking: TC, Total citations; AC, Average citations per document.

### Data analysis

Bibliometric and visualization analysis were conducted using various software tools including VOSviewer 1.6.16 (Leiden University, the Netherlands), CiteSpace V 6.2 R4 (Drexel University, the USA), and a public visualization platform. Meanwhile, several statistical tools such as SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics 21, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and Microsoft Excel 2019 were also used for descriptive statistical analysis and create graphs. Of them, Microsoft Excel 2019 was used specifically for curve fitting of the annual count of documents and citations. The data was analyzed to find the best-fitting model according to the highest determination coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>). Additionally, the annual average growth rate of papers was calculated using previously described methods (22). This calculation provides insights into the rate at which the number of papers in the field is increasing over the years. The correlation between annual number of publications and citations was assessed using Pearson's correlation coefficient test. A Pearson correlation coefficient value was calculated, and a pvalue was obtained to determine the significance of the correlation. In this case, correlations with a p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The first bibliometric software program developed by van Eck and Waltman (23) is called VOSviewer. It is widely used to visualize and construct bibliometric network maps, including co-authorship, co-citation, and co-occurrence. And offers three types of visualization maps: network, density, and overlay visualization maps, each serving different purposes and conveying different information (24). In these visualization maps, different nodes represent different items such as authors, countries, or institutions, among others. The size of the nodes is determined by the corresponding number of publications, citations, or occurrences

associated with the item. The links between nodes represent the relationships or connections between these elements. The relevance between nodes is determined by the total link strength (24). A higher total link strength, suggesting a stronger overall relationship. In this research, we use VOSviewer to conduct paper citation analysis, countries and institutions co-authorship analysis, journals co-citation analysis, as well as co-occurrence analysis of keywords.

CiteSpace software, developed by Chen Chaomei, is a free Javabased bibliometric tool widely used for visualizing and analyzing scientific literature (25). It offers various features for examining the research dynamics and trend in specific topics (26). In this study, CiteSpace was configured with the following settings: time slicing was set from 2000 to 2022, where every 1 or 2 years was regarded as a time slice. The default settings were utilized for text proceedings. In our study, CiteSpace was used to visualize cooperation relationships of institutions and authors, the dualmap overlay of journals, as well as reference and keyword bursts. CiteSpace offers a range of significance metrics that provide insights into different aspects of the analyzed literature. These metrics include both temporal metrics (citation burstness), and structural metrics (betweenness centrality, BC), modularity (the Q score), and silhouette score (the S score) (27-29). BC measures the number of times a node lies on the shortest path between other nodes, based on Freeman's betweenness centrality metric (28). Nodes with high betweenness centrality act as key hubs connecting different clusters within a network. Q score is a metric used to assess the extent to which a network could be divided into distinct modules or clusters. It measures the strength of the division between different groups within the network. The Q score ranges from 0 to +1, with a score closer to +1 indicating a higher level of modularity or clustering



The top 6 most active funding agencies

within the network (27). A higher Q score suggests a wellstructured network with clearly defined clusters. S score is a method for interpreting and validating the consistency within clusters of data. A S score above 0.3 is considered significant, while values above 0.5 or 0.7 indicate reasonable or highly credible clustering, respectively.

In addition, the online bibliometric analytical platform was utilized to analyze collaboration among countries (29). This platform provides specific tools and features to examine and evaluate the collaborative efforts between different countries in the field of interest. Furthermore, an annual publication trend analysis was conducted to assess the publication output and productivity of the countries involved. This analysis allows for the examination of how the number of publications from each country has changed over time, providing insights into their research productivity and contributions in the given field.

### Results

# Global publication outputs and citation trends

Based on the literature search and screening strategy outlined in Figure 1, a total of 1,565 documents were finally included. This comprised 1,306 original articles and 259 reviews in the period from 2000 to 2022. The total number of citations for all publications amounted to 46,688 times. On average, each document received approximately 29.83 citations. The H-index of all the selected documents related to ECMO-ARDS was calculated to be 86, indicating that at least 86 articles from the selected publications have been cited at least 86 times each. The publication output increased from 6 in 2000 to 270 in 2022. The average growth rate of publications was calculated to be 18.9%. The trend line analysis conducted on the annual publication number over time revealed exponential growth trends (Figure 2). The determination R<sup>2</sup> for the annual publication number was found to be 0.9511, indicating a strong fit of the exponential growth model to the data. In addition, we have roughly divided the whole research process of ECMO-ARDS into three periods: first stage (2000–2009), second stage (2010–2019), and third stage (2020–2022). Similarly, the annual number of citations also showed an increasing tendency, aligning with the growth in publication output. Furthermore, the correlation between publications and citations demonstrated a statistically significant correlation (r = 0.972, p < 0.001).

### Analysis of the most prolific countries/regions and supported funds

A total of 60 countries/regions have contributed to papers in this field. Table 1 showed the top 15 contributing countries/regions according to the publication output. The result indicated that the United States is the highest contributor (33.48%) with 524 publications, followed by the Germany (300 articles, 19.17%) and China (192 articles, 12.27%) to the ECMO-ARDS field. Articles from the United States also had the highest H-index (56), and total citations, which was 15,604. Nevertheless, Canada had the greatest average citations per article with 79.75 times, closely followed by France (70.80) and Australia (68.04). Figure 3A listed the annual published studies of the top 10 contributing countries in this field. Figures 3B, C provided visualizations of multinational collaborations between different countries/regions. As can be seen, the most intensive collaborations of the United States were with Canada and Germany. Moreover, in Figure 3C generated by VOSviewer, it color-coded countries/regions according to their average appearing year (AAY). In addition, we also summarized the top 6 related funding agencies in this field (Figure 3D). Remarkably, 3 out of these agencies are headquartered in the United States. Among them, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) tied in first place with the United States Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), both providing support for a significant number of 66 studies.

### Analysis of the most productive institutions

According to our statistical data, over 1,800 institutions have contributed to research in this area. Of them, Figure 4C listed the top 10 institutions with the most publications. The top 3 institutions by publication volume were Univ Toronto, Columbia Univ, and Hop La Pitie Salpetriere. Figures 4A, B provided visualizations of collaborations between different institutions. As shown in Figure 4A, institutions with purple outer rings on the nodes signify high centrality, denoted by a centrality value >0.1. Figure 4D specifically highlighted the top 10 institutions with the highest BC values. Among them, Monash Univ (0.34), Univ Milano Bicocca (0.17), and Columbia Univ (0.14) occupied the top 3 positions. Additionally, the cluster visualization map generated by VOSviewer in Figure 4B is another way to identify clusters of cooperation. As can be seen, a total of 7 research clusters, denoted by the same color, have been identified.

#### Analysis of the most influential authors

The identification of influential authors in specific field is of great importance in scientific research. Influential authors are those who have made significant contributions to their fields and have a substantial impact on the advancement of knowledge and understanding. Figure 5A listed the top five productive authors who published the greatest number of studies and their H-index, average citations per paper. It can be seen that Combes A, Brodie D, and Schmidt M published the most relevant papers, with at least 42 publications. Nevertheless, among them, Fan E from Univ Toronto had the highest mean number of citations with 126.05. Figure 5B demonstrated the network map of author collaboration analysis generated by CiteSpace. Several authors such as Combes A, Pesenti A, Schmidt M, Mueller T, as well as Brodie D, et al., occupied the central positions in the collaboration network, with the BC values in these authors >0.1. Further author co-citation analysis was conducted by VOSviewer, and there were 108 nodes and 3 clusters in this network map (Figure 5C). Authors with more than 50 citations were analyzed and the top 3 authors with the largest Total Link Strength (TLS) were Schmidt M, Peek GJ, and Combes A (Figure 5D).

# Analysis of the higher-impact journals and active research areas

Table 2 provided a comprehensive summary of key information regarding the top 15 most active journals in this field. Notably, ASAIO Journal had published the highest number of studies (109), followed by Perfusion UK (83), Critical Care (54), and Critical Care Medicine (53). Of the top 15 scientific journals, Intensive Care Medicine (38.9) had the highest IF, followed by American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine (24.7), and Critical Care (15.1). Figure 6A provided network map of the 119 co-cited journals that received more than 50 citation times. Among these co-cited journals, the top three most frequently cited were Intensive Care Medicine, The New England Journal of Medicine, and American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. Figure 6B provided an illustration of the top 15 research areas of ECMO-ARDS based publication quantity. The research areas that acquired the most focus were General Internal Medicine, Cardiovascular System Cardiology, Respiratory System, Engineering, and Transplantation. Figure 6C illustrated a dual-map overlay depicting the journals involved with ECMO-ARDS. The map revealed 2 primary citation paths within the current network.

### Highly cited papers

Figure 7A illustrated the network visualization map for paper citation analysis. larger nodes typically represent papers with higher citation counts, which indeed signifies the impact and influence of those papers within the citation network. In addition, Figure 7B showed the details of the top 15 highly cited studies in the research scope of ECMO-ARDS. More than half of the articles were published after 2017. Of these, 5 papers were cited more than 1,000



times with all the top 15 were cited over 330 times. The publication authored by Wang et al. (30) stands as the most highly cited, receiving 5,801 citations. In the second position was the study by Helms et al. (31), which garnered 1,651 citations. Following closely behind, in the third position, was the paper authored by Shen et al. (32), with 1,526 citations.

## Co-cited references and references burst analysis

Reference co-citation analysis, a notable function of CiteSpace, is commonly used to identify research focuses in a given field. Figure 7C displayed a reference co-citation network map, comprising 11 major clusters. The mean S value was calculated to be 0.9317, and the mean Q value is 0.7743, indicating the rationality of the clustering strategy. Moreover, this map has provided a timeline view for these clusters. Based on the time axis or the average year of the clusters, this timeline view allows for a quick assessment of the evolution characteristics of each cluster. One can see that the current research focus has shifted to COVIDrelated ARDS, multi-center studies, as well as prone positioning. In addition, references with citation bursts indicate that certain studies have garnered significant attention from the academic community during specific periods. As presented in Figure 7D, we identified the top 30 references with the strongest citation bursts.

# Keywords co-occurrence analysis and burst keywords

For this study, author keywords were extracted from all the 1,565 documments. After excluding meaningless keywords and merging similar ones, a total of 115 meaningful keywords were identified. An overlay visualization map of these keywords was presented in Figure 8A. Additionally, Figure 8B illustrated the frequency distribution of the top 20 most studied keywords. As can be seen that, apart from "ECMO" and "ARDS", the other common keywords in the research field were "COVID-19", "mechanical ventilation", "extracorporeal life support", "respiratory failure", "veno-venous ECMO", "SARS-CoV-2", "outcome", and so on. In Figure 9, we presented the top 30 keywords with the strongest citation bursts spanning from 2000 to 2022. Remarkably, it is



interesting to note that the citation burst time for several keywords has continued up to 2020.

### Discussion

Globally, the research papers on ECMO-ARDS have been increasing from 2 in 2000 to 270 in 2022, with particularly large increases during the last 3 years. These results reflect the continuous interest and recognition of ECMO therapy for ARDS management, making it a crucial and active area of investigation in the medical field. In addition, based on the annual number of documents, we roughly divided the whole period into three stages. The first stage was from 2000 to 2009. This initial stage represents the early years of research on ECMO-ARDS. During this period, the number of publications and citations might have been relatively low as the field was still developing and gaining attention. ECMO devices were developed in the 1960s and first performed by Gibbon (33) during cardiac surgery. In order to facilitate global application of ECMO technology, the International Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO), an international consortium of health care institutions dedicated to improve care and outcomes for patients receiving extracorporeal life support or ECMO, was established in 1989 spearheaded by Professor Robert H. Bartlett. However, even it reached the year 2000, the majority of studies on ECMO conducted earlier primarily from western developed countries. In April 2009, the Mexican Ministry of Health reported a surge in severe pneumonia cases among young adults. The cause was identified as a novel swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) virus, which rapidly escalated into a global pandemic (34). Subsequently, this virus spread to Australia and New Zealand during the southern hemisphere winter, and was also associated with a significant increase in the number of patients admitted to intensive care units (ICU) in both countries. After the successful use of ECMO in rescuing ARDS patients with H1N1 influenza in 2009, there has been a rapid increase in the global number of ECMO case around the world (35–37).

From 2010 to 2019, the utilization of ECMO in the treatment of ARDS experienced a consistent and notable increase, with the number of published articles rising from 37 in 2010 to 105 in 2019. This steady growth can be attributed to the progressive refinement and implementation of ECMO clinical trials and the widespread adoption of ECMO technology in intensive care units worldwide. For instance, a landmark international multicenter randomized

Ranking	Sources title	Output	% of 1565	IF 2022	JCR quartile 2022
1	ASAIO Journal	109	6.96	4.2	Q2/Q2
2	Perfusion UK	83	5.30	1.2	Q4/Q4
3	Critical Care	54	3.45	15.1	Q1
4	Critical Care Medicine	53	3.39	8.8	Q1
5	Intensive Care Medicine	43	2.75	38.9	Q1
6	Artificial Organs	42	2.68	2.4	Q3/Q3
7	Journal Of Cardiothoracic And Vascular Anesthesia	38	2.43	2.8	Q3/Q3/Q3/Q3
8	Current Opinion In Critical Care	28	1.79	3.3	Q2
9	Frontiers In Medicine	28	1.79	3.9	Q2
10	International Journal Of Artificial Organs	28	1.79	1.7	Q4/Q3
11	Journal Of Clinical Medicine	28	1.79	3.9	Q2
12	Journal Of Critical Care	26	1.66	3.7	Q2
13	Annals Of Intensive Care	25	1.60	8.1	Q1
14	American Journal Of Respiratory And Critical Care Medicine	24	1.53	24.7	Q1/Q1
15	Membranes	24	1.53	4.2	Q2/Q2/Q2/Q2

TABLE 2 Top 15 most active journals in ECMO-ARDS field.

controlled trial (RCT) conducted in 2018, known as the EOLIA trial (NCT01470703), included 249 patients (125 in the conventional treatment group and 124 in the ECMO group) (11). The trial results indicated that ECMO did not significantly improve the 60-day survival rate in adults with severe ARDS (46% vs. 35%, P = 0.09). Although the study did not meet its primary endpoint of a 20% reduction in hospital mortality for severe ARDS patients treated with ECMO, 28% of patients in the conventional treatment group received rescue ECMO therapy. The publication of these findings sparked considerable debate within the academic community (38, 39). However, subsequent efficacy and meta-analysis studies have demonstrated that ECMO can indeed save the lives of some patients with severe ARDS (12). Therefore, the prevailing view among scholars remains that traditional treatments for severe ARDS in adults are often inadequate, and ECMO continues to be regarded as a viable salvage and rescue therapy option.

The third stage was the rapid development period from 2020 to 2022. The third stage marks a period of significant growth and development in ECMO-ARDS research. The number of publications and citations likely increased substantially, indicating a growing interest and recognition of the field within the scientific community. Undoubtedly, the outbreak of the COVID-19 at the end of 2019 brought ECMO into the public eye as it was employed in the management of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Its crucial role in the treatment of ARDS patients during the pandemic highlighted the significance and potential of ECMO therapy in combating severe respiratory conditions (6, 7). Multinational clinical guidelines have recognized ECMO as a vital treatment technology for critically ill patients with COVID-19, leading to a significant increase in the establishment of ECMO centers in medical institutions worldwide (40, 41). Additionally, this recognition has also spurred the implementation of ECMO-related training and educational programs to enhance the expertise and proficiency of healthcare professionals in managing ECMO therapy effectively (42). Since then, the field of ECMO-ARDS has entered a rapid development period. The number of publications and citations likely experienced exponential growth.

Funding support is one of the main drivers of scientific research (43-46). Scientific research often requires funding to support various activities such as experiments, collecting data, and purchasing equipment and materials. Considering this, we have briefly summarized the top 6 related funding agencies in this field. Remarkably, 3 out of these agencies are headquartered in the United States. Therefore, this result may suggest that the leading position of the United States is inseparable from these funding support. In addition, previous studies showed that the discrepancy in the quantity of publications across different countries could be influenced by various factors, and one significant factor was the economy (47). When the publication productivity of worldwide countries/regions was compared, of the 15 most productive nations on ECMO-ARDS, apart from China, all other 14 were seen to be developed countries. Meanwhile, despite China being developing country, it was also country with large economy. This fact further suggests that the size of the economy has a significant impact on the global article productivity on the subject of ECMO-ARDS. Around the year 2000, ECMO technology in Europe and the United States had reached a level of maturity (48, 49). However, it resulted in the establishment of technical barriers and stringent restrictions on other countries concerning design, manufacturing, and core raw materials. One example of this is the dominant control by the US 3M company over the main material used in the core component membrane lung oxygenator. This longstanding monopoly of core materials, comprising more than 70% of the equipment production cost, has had a significant impact on the accessibility and affordability of ECMO equipment for other countries.



(A) Network visualization map of journal co-citation analysis generated by VOSviewer. (B) Top 15 active research areas in the field of ECMO-ARL (C) The dual-map overlay of journals regarding ECMO-ARDS. There are two main citation pathways in this map.

Highly cited papers are essential and valuable assets for bibliometric analysis. These papers are considered key indicators of research influence and impact within a specific field. Figure 7B showed the details of the top 15 highly cited studies in the research scope of ECMO-ARDS. Among these studies, the study authored by Wang D and colleagues, published in JAMA, holds the highest number of citations (30). In this retrospective, single-center case series of 138 hospitalized patients with confirmed COVID infected pneumonia in Wuhan, 36 patients (26.1%) were transferred to the ICU due to severe complications. Of them, ARDS comprised the largest portion of complications at 61.1% (22 cases). And 4 patients (11.1%) received ECMO as rescue therapy. The secondmost cited study has evaluated the thrombotic risk in COVID-19 ARDS patients (31). Among 150 COVID-19 ARDS patients, 3 thrombotic occlusions of centrifugal pump occurred in 12 patients (8%) supported by ECMO. Additionally, the third and tenth ranked articles further investigated the role of ECMO support in COVID-19 patients (32, 50). An important conclusion drawn here is that in the subset of patients with COVID-19 receiving VV-ECMO and characterized as having ARDS, the estimated cumulative incidence of in-hospital mortality 90 days after the initiation of ECMO was 38.0%. In a meta-analysis, their findings showed that when compared with conventional mechanical ventilation, the utilization of VV-ECMO in adults with severe ARDS was linked to a reduction in 60-day mortality (12). All these results provide credible support for the existence of a survival benefit of ECMO in treating refractory ARDS.

In addition, there were also 3 studies reported the characteristics of patients with 2009 influenza A(H1N1)-associated ARDS treated with ECMO and their incidence, resource utilization, mortality and patient outcomes (51–53). These results further illustrated that the emergence of both H1N1 and COVID-19 has brought tremendous opportunities for the development ECMO, and we divided the whole research period into three stages was rational. The large-scale epidemic of H1N1 and COVID-19 has led to an increase in the demand for ECMO equipment and technology, which has promoted the continuous development and research institutions have increased their investment in ECMO and promoted scientific research and clinical practice in related fields.



Meanwhile, the outbreak of these diseases has also accelerated the formulation of norms and guidelines for ECMO treatment in the medical community, improving the quality and safety of ECMO treatment. In addition, the experiences of fighting both epidemics remind that, during the outbreak of emerging infectious diseases, providing complex therapies such as ECMO faces unique challenges. Thorough planning, judicious allocation of resources, and personnel training are essential components of an ECMO action plan to deliver sophisticated therapeutic interventions while adhering to strict infection control measures.

Reference co-citation analysis is a valuable method for assessing advancements and identifying focal areas within a particular field. The timeline view of co-cited references provides a visual representation of the evolutionary trajectory of each cluster. As can be seen from Figure 7C, before 2010, the topics appearing more often were "high survival" (#9), "artificial lung" (#0), and "nonventilatory" (#10). After 2018, the more common subjects were "COVD ARDS" (#3), "COVID-19 patients" (#5), "multi-centered prospective" (#7), and "prone positioning" (#8) implying that the issues of the above clusters were the current research focuses in this field. Of them, prone positioning is considered a beneficial therapeutic measure that could promote alveolar recruitment and improve patient outcomes during ECMO support (54, 55). ECMO technology provides the lungs with an opportunity to rest, allowing patients to recover from lifethreatening hypoxemia. The combined use of prone positioning with ECMO has been widely recognized and proven to be a safe and effective treatment modality, facilitating improved gas exchange and enhancing patient oxygenation and ventilation status. According to multiple meta-analysis, the application of prone positioning in ARDS patients during VV-ECMO was associated with better survival rates (56). Meanwhile, a longer duration of prone positioning with more than 12 h might improve the outcome of patients with ARDS who received VV-ECMO therapy (57). These finding further supported the positive role of prone positioning in ECMO therapy for ARDS.

Moreover, references with the strongest citation bursts first appeared in 2010, attributed to an article published in 2009. Also, this study published by Peek et al. (58) in Lancet had the highest burst value of 67.51. This study has compared the safety, clinical efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of ECMO with conventional ventilation support. The authors recommend transferring adult patients with severe but potentially reversible respiratory failure, whose Murray score exceeds 3.0 or who have a pH of <7.20 on optimum conventional management, to a center with an ECMO-based management protocol. This approach has shown to significantly improve survival outcomes without severe disability. In addition, when a burst is still ongoing, it suggests that the



### **Top 30 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts**

Keywords		trength Begin		
acute lung injury	2000	13.07 <b>2000</b>	2015	
inhaled nitric-oxide	2000			
ards	2000			
ventilation	2002			
failure	2003			
life support	2000	3.26 <b>2004</b>	2009 _	
h1n1 influenza	2011	6.97 <b>2011</b>	2013 _	
critically ill patients	2010	6.33 <b>2010</b>	2013 _	
randomized controlled-trial	2010	6.07 <b>2010</b>	2019	
infection	2010			
clinical-trial	2010	3.93 <b>2010</b>	2013 _	
frequency oscillatory ventilation	2010	3.86 2010	2011	
2009 influenza a(h1n1)	2012	11.31 <b>2012</b>	2019	
lung transplantation	2012			
end expiratory pressure	2012	4.86 <b>2012</b>	2017 _	
high-frequency oscillation	2012	4.27 <b>2012</b>	2017 _	
adults	2014			
mortality risk	2014			
berlin definition	2014	3.64 <b>2014</b>	2015 _	
cardiopulmonary-resuscitation	2014	3.2 <b>2014</b>	2017 _	
protective ventilation	2016			
septic shock	2006	3.33 <b>2016</b>	2019	
epidemiology	2018			
neuromuscular blocking-agents	2018	3.68 <b>2018</b>	2019	
noninvasive ventilation	2018	3.67 <b>2018</b>	2022 _	
coronavirus disease 2019	2020	10.99 <b>2020</b>	2022 _	
veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygena	tion 2020	6.89 <b>2020</b>	2022 _	
diagnosis	2020			
heparin	2020			
cytokine storm	2020	3.44 <b>2020</b>	2022 _	

research findings or ideas presented in those references continue to be influential and actively cited by other researchers. Therefore, although the burst in the majority of references has subsided, there are several references such as the studies by Combes et al. and Zhou et al., that continue to experience an ongoing burst, indicating that these research topics remain of continuous concern in recent years. Among them, the study conducted by Combes et al. (11) was an international clinical trial of ECMO for very severe ARDS, known as (EOLIA) trial. The results showed that the 60-day mortality rate was 35% in the ECMO group compared to 46% in the conventional management group, and this difference was statistically significant (relative risk, 0.76; p = 0.09). However, early application of ECMO was not associated with mortality at 60 days. Therefore, future studies are needed in the future to further investigate this subject.

In bibliometrics, another prevalent method for identifying hot research topics is through keyword co-occurrence analysis. In this type of analysis, the relatedness of keywords is determined based on the number of documents in which they appear together. In this study, we conducted a comprehensive keyword co-occurrence analysis to reveal prominent research directions and key areas in the field of ECMO-ARDS. As shown in Figure 8A, the size of each node in the map corresponds to the occurrence frequency of the respective keyword, while the relative distance between nodes indicates the strength of their relationship. According to the summary of the top 20 most common keywords from Figure 8B, As can be seen that, apart from the keywords "ECMO" and "ARDS" the other common keywords in the research field were "COVID-19", "mechanical ventilation", "extracorporeal life support", "respiratory failure", "veno-venous ECMO", "SARS-CoV-2", "outcome", and so on. Generally, high-frequency keywords often indicate a hot topic in a particular field. Once again, this result demonstrated the important implications COVID-19 for the field of ECMO-ARDS. On May 5, 2023, the WHO has announced the COVID-19 epidemic would no longer be listed

FIG Top as a public health emergency of international concern, which considered as a symbol of the end of the global COVID-19 pandemic (59). However, reports on the new strain of the COVID-19 virus have never stopped (60). Concerns have been raised by several medical scholars regarding another outbreak. Therefore, we believe that ICUs department should strategically plan resource allocation to ensure that critical treatments like ECMO could be maximally utilized to face future challenges. Moreover, there are two main types of ECMO: Venoarterial (V-A) ECMO, which provides support for both the heart and lungs, and VV-ECMO, which is specifically used for lung support. Compared to V-A ECMO, VV-ECMO offers several distinct advantages, including reducing cardiac burden, providing pure respiratory support, and minimizing the risk of complications. Generally, VV-ECMO is more widely used, especially in cases of respiratory failure or ARDS. Compared to VV-ECMO, V-A ECMO has a narrower application range because its primary goal is to provide support for cardiac function, rather than pure respiratory support (7, 8). As this study primarily focuses on the analysis of ECMO treatment for ARDS, it is reasonable to find VV-ECMO as a high-frequency studied keyword.

Moreover, as can be seen in Figure 8A, all those keywords were also colored differently according to their AAY. A blue color indicates keywords appearing relatively earlier, while a red color indicates keywords appearing recently. During the early stage of research, keywords such as "H1N1", "acute lung injury", "highfrequency ventilation", and "influenza", et al., were prominent topics. On the other hand, keywords like "COVID-19", "SARS-CoV-2", "mortality", "veno-venous ECMO", "epidemiology", "obesity", "coagulopathy", "lung ultrasound", "inhalation injury" were marked with a relatively recent AAY. This indicates that these topics have gained increasing attention in more recent years, suggesting they have the potential to become research hotspots in the near future. Burst keywords is considered another important indicator of research frontiers and can provide insights into emerging tendencies in a certain field. According to the top 30 keywords with the strongest citation bursts in Figure 9. it is interesting to note that the citation burst time for keywords such as "epidemiology", "noninvasive ventilation", "coronavirus disease 2019", "veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation", "diagnosis", "heparin", "cytokine storm" has continued up to 2020, and the bursts are still ongoing, suggesting that these topics are currently active and attracting significant attention from researchers. Taken together, keywords like "mortality", "veno-venous ECMO", "epidemiology", "obesity", "coagulopathy", "lung ultrasound", "inhalation injury", "noninvasive ventilation", "diagnosis", "heparin", "cytokine storm" has received growing interest in current research and also has the potential to continue to become research hotspots in the near future. Meanwhile, over the past two decades, ECMO has undergone significant advancements, particularly in ECMO devices, such as centrifugal pump techniques, complete heparin-coated circuits, more efficient oxygenators, and device miniaturization. Despite the increasing utilization and evolving technology of ECMO, there remains a limited number of epidemiologic reports that comprehensively describe its uses and outcomes. More research and data collection are needed to gain a better understanding of the impact and effectiveness of ECMO in various clinical settings including ARDS.

### Limitations

This bibliometric analysis has provided valuable and objective insights into the evolving research hotspots and trends related to ECOM-ARDS. Similar to other bibliometric studies, our research also has some limitations. Firstly, we only searched the WoSCC database and selected articles written in English, which could lead to the exclusion of high-quality articles in other languages. Secondly, due to limitations in CiteSpace and VOSviewer software, the abbreviation of author names cannot be distinguished, which might introduce some bias in the analysis. Thirdly, the bibliometric method based on natural language processing is susceptible to biases stemming from subject categorization and citation behavior, which could influence the results to some extent. Nonetheless, the use of a sufficient sample size could help mitigate these biases and enhance the accuracy of the research findings to a certain degree. In addition, identifying remotely related papers, especially the COVID-19 pandemic with remotely related papers, may confound the findings and conclusions of the study, and even dilute the interesting research question of the paper, and a more robust approach in the future to identify relevant articles may improve validity.

### Conclusion

ECMO provides adequate oxygenation and carbon dioxide removal, offering a crucial life support option for critically ill ARDS patients. Over the past few decades, ECMO treatment for ARDS has seen remarkable advancements and continuous progress. Particularly during the COVID-19 pandemic, ECMO has played a pivotal role in the management of severe ARDS cases. Overall, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the global research trends and hotspots of ECMO-ARDS through a bibliometric analysis. Our results showed that the annual publication number in this field revealed exponential growth trends. In addition, we also summarized the main contributors, including authors, institutions, and countries in the field of ECMO-ARDS, the active journals that were preferred for publishing related documents, as well as the main research directions and hotspots currently and in the future. All in all, the research on ECMO therapy for ARDS has made remarkable progress to date with promising future trends. this bibliometric analysis offers a comprehensive understanding of the current state of ECMO-ARDS research and can serve as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders in exploring future research directions and fostering collaborations in this critical field.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

### Author contributions

KC: Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. YL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. WL: Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft. SQ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. HW: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Professor Suki from the Duke University and home-for-researchers (www.home-for-researchers.com) Company for their help in polishing English writing. The authors would also

### References

1. Ware LB, Matthay MA. The acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med. (2000) 342:1334–49. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200005043421806

2. ARDS Definition Task Force, Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, Ferguson ND, Caldwell E, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA. 2012;307(23):2526-2533. doi: 10.1001/jama.2012.5669

3. Bellani G, Laffey JG, Pham T, Fan E, Brochard L, Esteban A, et al. Epidemiology, patterns of care, and mortality for patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome in intensive care units in 50 countries. *JAMA*. (2016) 315:788–800. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0291

4. Juschten J, Tuinman PR, Guo T, Juffermans NP, Schultz MJ, Loer SA, et al. Between-trial heterogeneity in ARDS research. *Intensive Care Med.* (2021) 47:422– 34. doi: 10.1007/s00134-021-06370-w

5. Bernauer E, Alebrand F, Heurich M. Same but different? comparing the epidemiology, treatments and outcomes of COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 ARDS cases in germany using a sample of claims data from 2021 and 2019. *Viruses.* (2023) 15:1324. doi: 10.3390/v15061324

6. Osho AA, Moonsamy P, Hibbert KA, Shelton KT, Trahanas JM, Attia RQ, et al. Veno-venous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Respiratory Failure in COVID-19 Patients: Early Experience From a Major Academic Medical Center in North America. *Ann Surg.* (2020) 272:e75–8. doi: 10.1097/SLA.00000000000484

7. Garcia B, Cousin N, Bourel C, Jourdain M, Poissy J, Duburcq T, et al. Prone positioning under VV-ECMO in SARS-CoV-2-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care.* (2020) 24:428. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03162-4

8. Teijeiro-Paradis R, Del Sorbo L, VV-ECMO. in severe COVID-19: multidimensional perspectives on the use of a complex treatment. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2023) 11:113–5. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(22)00487-8

9. Graw JA, Hildebrandt P, Krannich A, Balzer F, Spies C, Francis RC, et al. The role of cell-free hemoglobin and haptoglobin in acute kidney injury in critically ill adults with ARDS and therapy with VV ECMO. *Crit Care.* (2022) 26:50. doi: 10.1186/s13054-022-03894-5

10. Mao J, Paul S, Sedrakyan A. The evolving use of ECMO: the impact of the CESAR trial. *Int J Surg.* (2016) 35:95–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2016.09.081

11. Combes A, Hajage D, Capellier G, Demoule A, Lavoué S, Guervilly C, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:1965–75. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800385

12. Munshi L, Walkey A, Goligher E, Pham T, Uleryk EM, Fan E. Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2019) 7:163–72. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30452-1

13. Watanabe A, Malik A, Aikawa T, Briasoulis A, Kuno T. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: a nationwide analysis. *J Med Virol.* (2023) 95:e28961. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28961

like to thank Professor Xiaojun Liu for providing methodological support.

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Pritchard A. Statistical bibliography or bibliometrics? J Doc. (1969) 25:348-9.

15. Cheng K, Guo Q, Shen Z, Yang W, Zhou Y, Sun Z, et al. Frontiers of ferroptosis research: An analysis from the top 100 most influential articles in the field. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:948389. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.948389

16. Liu K, Zhao S, Li J, Zheng Y, Wu H, Kong J, et al. Knowledge mapping and research hotspots of immunotherapy in renal cell carcinoma: a text-mining study from 2002 to 2021. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:969217. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.969217

17. Yildirim F, Gulhan PY, Karaman I, Kurutkan MN. Bibliometric analysis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) studies published between 1980 and 2020. *Adv Clin Exp Med.* (2022) 31:807–13. doi: 10.17219/acem/150555

18. Xiao Z, Hu S, Xu W, Wang S, Mo W, Deng H, et al. A bibliometric analysis of NLRP3 inflammasome in acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome from 2010 to 2021. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1053658. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1053658

19. Zhang L, Mei S, Zhu B, Zhao Z. Trends in research on acute lung injury/acute respiratory distress syndrome associated with viral pneumonia from 1992 to 2022: a 31-year bibliometric analysis. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2023) 10:1158519

20. Wu H, Wang Y, Tong L, Yan H, Sun Z. Global research trends of ferroptosis: a rapidly evolving field with enormous potential. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* (2021) 9:646311. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.646311

21. Cheng K, Zhang H, Guo Q, Zhai P, Zhou Y, Yang W, et al. Emerging trends and research foci of oncolytic virotherapy for central nervous system tumors: a bibliometric study. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:975695. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2022.975695

22. Wu H, Sun Z, Tong L, Wang Y, Yan H, Sun Z. Bibliometric analysis of global research trends on male osteoporosis: a neglected field deserves more attention. *Arch Osteoporos.* (2021) 16:154. doi: 10.1007/s11657-021-01016-2

23. van Eck NJ, Waltman L. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics*. (2010) 84:523–38. doi: 10.1007/s11192-009-0146-3

24. Li C, Wu H, Sun Z, Chen Z, Trampuz A. Global publication trends and research hotspots of revision hip and knee arthroplasty: a 21-year bibliometric approach. *J Arthroplasty.* (2022) 37:974–84. doi: 10.1016/j.arth.2022.01.022

25. Synnestvedt MB, Chen C, Holmes JH. CiteSpace II: visualization and knowledge discovery in bibliographic databases. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. (2005) 2005:724–8.

26. Sabe M, Pillinger T, Kaiser S, Chen C, Taipale H, Tanskanen A, et al. Half a century of research on antipsychotics and schizophrenia: A scientometric study of hotspots, nodes, bursts, and trends. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* (2022) 136:104608. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104608

27. Cheng K, Guo Q, Shen Z, Yang W, Wang Y, Sun Z, et al. Bibliometric analysis of global research on cancer photodynamic therapy: focus on nano-related research. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:927219. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.927219

28. Freeman LC. A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. *Sociometry*. (1977) 40:35-41. doi: 10.2307/3033543

29. Wu Z, Cheng K, Shen Z, Lu Y, Wang H, Wang G, et al. Mapping knowledge landscapes and emerging trends of sonodynamic therapy: a bibliometric and visualized study. *Front Pharmacol.* (2023) 13:1048211. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1048211

30. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1061–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.1585

31. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, Leonard-Lorant I, Ohana M, Delabranche X, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* (2020) 46:1089–98. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06062-x

32. Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, Yang Y, Li J, Yuan J, et al. Treatment of 5 critically ill patients with COVID-19 with convalescent plasma. *JAMA*. (2020) 323:1582–9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.4783

33. Gibbon JH. Application of a mechanical heart and lung apparatus to cardiac surgery. *Minn Med.* (1954) 37:171–85.

34. Perez-Padilla R, de la Rosa-Zamboni D, Ponce de Leon S, Hernandez M, Quiñones-Falconi F, Bautista E, et al. Pneumonia and respiratory failure from swine-origin influenza A (H1N1) in Mexico .N Engl J Med. (2009) 361:680–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0904252

35. Patroniti N, Zangrillo A, Pappalardo F, Peris A, Cianchi G, Braschi A, et al. The Italian ECMO network experience during the 2009 influenza A(H1N1) pandemic: preparation for severe respiratory emergency outbreaks. *Intensive Care Med.* (2011) 37:1447–57. doi: 10.1007/s00134-011-2301-6

36. Pappalardo F, Pieri M, Greco T, Patroniti N, Pesenti A, Arcadipane A, et al. Predicting mortality risk in patients undergoing venovenous ECMO for ARDS due to influenza A (H1N1) pneumonia: the ECMOnet score. *Intensive Care Med.* (2013) 39:275–81. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2747-1

37. Grasso S, Terragni P, Birocco A, Urbino R, Del Sorbo L, Filippini C, et al. ECMO criteria for influenza A (H1N1)-associated ARDS: role of transpulmonary pressure. *Intensive Care Med.* (2012) 38:395–403. doi: 10.1007/s00134-012-2490-7

38. Gattinoni L, Vasques F, Quintel M. Use of ECMO in ARDS: does the EOLIA trial really help? *Crit Care.* (2018) 22:171. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2098-6

39. Bartlett RH. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: EOLIA and beyond. *Crit Care Med.* (2019) 47:114–7. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000003444

40. Badulak J, Antonini MV, Stead CM, Shekerdemian L, Raman L, Paden ML, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for COVID-19: updated 2021 guidelines from the extracorporeal life support organization. *ASAIO J.* (2021) 67:485–95. doi: 10.1097/MAT.00000000001422

41. Papazian L, Aubron C, Brochard L, Chiche JD, Combes A, Dreyfuss D, et al. Formal guidelines: management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Intensive Care.* (2019) 9:69. doi: 10.1186/s13613-019-0540-9

42. Emmarco AK, Toy BK, Pavone JM, Keller RK, Smith DE. Experience of nurses caring for COVID-19 patients supported by venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) after ECMO educational crash course. *ASAIO J.* (2023) 69:267–71. doi: 10.1097/MAT.00000000001807

43. Schmidt M, Bailey M, Sheldrake J, Hodgson C, Aubron C, Rycus PT, et al. Predicting survival after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory failure. The Respiratory Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Survival Prediction (RESP) score. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2014) 189:1374–82. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201311-2023OC

44. Schmidt M, Hajage D, Lebreton G, Monsel A, Voiriot G, Levy D, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome associated with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2020) 8:1121–31. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30328-3

45. Brodie D, Bacchetta M. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for ARDS in adults. *N Engl J Med.* (2011) 365:1905–14. doi: 10.1056/NEJMct1103720

46. Kaló Z, van den Akker LHM, Vokó Z, Csanádi M, Pitter JG. Is there a fair allocation of healthcare research funds by the European Union? *PLoS ONE.* (2019) 14:e0207046. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207046

47. Wu H, Cheng K, Tong L, Wang Y, Yang W, Sun Z. Knowledge structure and emerging trends on osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a bibliometric and visualized study. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2022) 17:194. doi: 10.1186/s13018-022-03068-7

48. Rossaint R, Pappert D, Gerlach H, Lewandowski K, Keh D, Falke K. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for transport of hypoxaemic patients with severe ARDS. *Br J Anaesth.* (1997) 78:241–6. doi: 10.1093/bja/78.3.241

49. Stoll C, Haller M, Briegel J, Jäckel M, Zotzmann V, Lang CN, et al. Health-related quality of life. Long-term survival in patients with ARDS following extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). *Anaesthesist.* (1998) 47:24–9.

50. Barbaro RP, MacLaren G, Boonstra PS, Iwashyna TJ, Slutsky AS, Fan E, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. *Lancet.* (2020) 396:1071–8. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32 008-0

51. Australia and New Zealand Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ANZ ECMO) Influenza Investigators, Davies A, Jones D, Bailey M, Beca J, Bellomo R, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for 2009 influenza A(H1N1) acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA*. (2009) 302:1888–95. doi: 10.1001/jama.2009. 1535

52. Noah MA, Peek GJ, Finney SJ, Griffiths MJ, Harrison DA, Grieve R, et al. Referral to an extracorporeal membrane oxygenation center and mortality among patients with severe 2009 influenza A(H1N1). *JAMA*. (2011) 306:1659–68. doi: 10.1001/jama.2011.1471

53. Pham T, Combes A, Rozé H, Chevret S, Mercat A, Roch A, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for pandemic influenza A(H1N1)-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome: a cohort study and propensity-matched analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2013) 187:276–85. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201205-0815OC

54. Binda F, Marelli F, Galazzi A, Gambazza S, Vinci E, Roselli P, et al. Pressure ulcers after prone positioning in patients undergoing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a cross-sectional study. *Nurs Crit Care.* (2023) 29:65–72. doi: 10.1111/nicc.12889

55. Zaaqoq AM, Fan E. Prone positioning during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome-better together? *Crit Care Med.* (2023) 51:143–5. doi: 10.1097/CCM.00000000005727

56. Papazian L, Schmidt M, Hajage D, Combes A, Petit M, Lebreton G, et al. Effect of prone positioning on survival in adult patients receiving venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med.* (2022) 48:270–80. doi:10.1007/s00134-021-06604-x

57. Huai J, Ye X. Impact of prone positioning duration on the outcome of patients receiving venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: A meta-analysis. *Heliyon.* (2022) 8:e12320. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12320

58. Peek GJ, Mugford M, Tiruvoipati R, Wilson A, Allen E, Thalanany MM, et al. Efficacy and economic assessment of conventional ventilatory support versus extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe adult respiratory failure (CESAR): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* (2009) 374:1351–63. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)61069-2

59. Cheng K, Wu C, Gu S, Lu Y, Wu H, Li C. WHO declares end of COVID-19 global health emergency: lessons and recommendations from the perspective of ChatGPT/GPT-4. *Int J Surg.* (2023) 109:2859–62. doi: 10.1097/JS9.000000000000521

60. Cai C, Li Y, Hu T, Liang R, Wang K, Guo C, et al. The associated factors of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection by omicron variant - Guangdong Province, China, December 2022 to January 2023. *China CDC Wkly.* (2023) 5:391–6. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2023.075