### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Caterina Maria Gambino, University of Palermo, Italy

REVIEWED BY Roberta Vassallo, University of Palermo, Italy Anna Masucci, University of Palermo, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Wanhong Yin ⊠ yinwanhong@wchscu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 02 November 2023 ACCEPTED 08 January 2024 PUBLISHED 26 January 2024

### CITATION

Tie X, Zhao Y, Su J, Liu X, Zou T and Yin W (2024) Genetic associations between autoimmune diseases and the risks of severe sepsis and 28-day mortality: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Med.* 11:1331950. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2024.1331950

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tie, Zhao, Su, Liu, Zou and Yin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Genetic associations between autoimmune diseases and the risks of severe sepsis and 28-day mortality: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study

Xin Tie<sup>1†</sup>, Yanjie Zhao<sup>2†</sup>, Jing Su<sup>1</sup>, Xing Liu<sup>1</sup>, Tongjuan Zou<sup>1</sup> and Wanhong Yin<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

**Background:** Autoimmune diseases exhibit heterogenous dysregulation of pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory cytokine expression, akin to the pathophysiology of sepsis. It is speculated that individuals with autoimmune diseases may have an increased likelihood of developing sepsis and face elevated mortality risks following septic events. However, current observational studies have not yielded consistent conclusions. This study aims to explore the causal relationship between autoimmune diseases and the risks of sepsis and mortality using Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis.

**Methods:** We conducted a two-sample MR study involving a European population, with 30 autoimmune diseases as the exposure factors. To assess causal relationships, we employed the inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method and used Cochran's Q test for heterogeneity, as well as the MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) global test for potential horizontal pleiotropy.

**Results:** Genetically predicted Crohn's disease ( $\beta = 0.067$ , se = 0.034, p = 0.046, OR = 1.069, 95% CI = 1.001–1.141) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic ( $\beta = 0.069$ , se = 0.031, p = 0.023, OR = 1.071, 95% CI = 1.009–1.136) were positively associated with an increased risk of sepsis in critical care. Conversely, rheumatoid arthritis ( $\beta = -0.104$ , se = 0.047, p = 0.025, OR = 0.901, 95% CI = 0.823–0.987), ulcerative colitis ( $\beta = -0.208$ , se = 0.084, p = 0.013, OR = 0.812, 95% CI = 0.690–0.957), and narcolepsy ( $\beta = -0.202$ , se = 0.092, p = 0.028, OR = 0.818, 95% CI = 0.684–0.978) were associated with a reduced risk of sepsis in critical care. Moreover, Crohn's disease ( $\beta = 0.234$ , se = 0.067, p = 0.001, OR = 1.263, 95% CI = 1.108–1.440) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic ( $\beta = 0.158$ , se = 0.061, p = 0.009, OR = 1.171, 95% CI = 1.041–1.317) were also linked to an increased risk of 28-day mortality of sepsis in critical care. In contrast, multiple sclerosis ( $\beta = -0.261$ , se = 0.112, p = 0.020, OR = 0.771, 95% CI = 0.619–0.960) and narcolepsy ( $\beta = -0.536$ , se = 0.184, p = 0.003, OR = 0.585, 95% CI = 0.408–0.838) were linked to a decreased risk of 28-day mortality of sepsis in critical care.

**Conclusion:** This MR study identified causal associations between certain autoimmune diseases and risks of sepsis in critical care, and 28-day mortality in the European population. These findings suggest that exploring the mechanisms underlying autoimmune diseases may offer new diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for sepsis prevention and treatment.

KEYWORDS

sepsis, autoimmune diseases, Mendelian randomization, 28-day mortality, risk factor

# Introduction

Sepsis is characterized as a severe whole-body inflammation in response to infection, which has the potential to cause organ dysfunction and mortality (1). It is regarded as one of the "oldest and most elusive syndromes in medicine" (2). The annual incidence of sepsis is approximately 437 cases per 100,000 people, with over half of the patients experiencing severe sepsis, resulting in approximately 5.3 million deaths annually (3). Intensive care unit (ICU) admission is frequently caused by severe sepsis, resulting in an approximate mortality rate of 30% (4, 5).

The pathogenesis of sepsis is related to dysregulated immune responses triggered by pathogen invasion, leading to sustained and excessive inflammation and immunosuppression (6). This hyperactive inflammatory response is considered a key driving factor behind sepsis-related mortality, thus garnering significant attention in recent years regarding the dysregulation of pro-inflammatory and antiinflammatory pathways (7–9).

Autoimmune diseases consist of a wide range of disorders characterized by aberrant immune reactions of hyperactive immune cells against the body's healthy tissues. In certain autoimmune diseases, there exists dysregulation of cytokine expression pathways similar to those seen in sepsis pathophysiology (6, 10, 11). Alterations in cytokine levels can influence the likelihood and consequences of sepsis in autoimmune individuals, making it crucial to investigate the variation in cytokine levels at different stages of sepsis development in individuals with autoimmune diseases (12–14).

Based on previous research, individuals with autoimmune diseases may face a higher likelihood of sepsis occurrence and post-sepsis mortality due to the immune dysfunction they experience (15, 16). However, a recent observational study conducted this year has yielded contrary conclusions. It suggests a protective association between certain autoimmune diseases with sepsis occurrence and mortality (17). Given the high heterogeneity of both autoimmune diseases and sepsis, factors such as the use of immunosuppressants, corticosteroids, and other confounding variables have led to contradictory findings in different observational studies (17-19). Hence, it is essential to employ an accurate and persuasive method to analyze the relationship between these two conditions. In this context, MR using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as instrumental variables (IVs) provides an effective means to explore causal relationships between exposures and outcomes. The fundamental assumption of MR study is that traits determined by genes are less susceptible to measurement errors or confounding influences. Compared to traditional observational studies, MR study is less affected by measurement errors or confounding and are less prone to reverse causality; thus, it is recommended for research on sepsis (20–22).

This study employs two-sample MR analysis to assess the association between genetically predicted autoimmune diseases and the risk of sepsis in critical care and 28-day mortality. We identified 30 autoimmune diseases with available genetic instruments and evaluated the risks of two outcomes: sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care.

# **Methods**

## Study design

This study follows the reporting guidelines of STROBE-MR (23). MR analysis must satisfy three key assumptions (Figure 1): 1. Genetic variables are significantly associated with exposure; 2. Genetic variation serving as IVs for exposure is unrelated to other confounding factors; 3. Genetic variation affects the outcome solely through its impact on the exposure (without pleiotropic effects). Figure 1B illustrates a summary of the study design.

First, we searched through the open-access summary genetic data in the IEU OpenGWAS Project,<sup>1</sup> encompassing all available autoimmune diseases as exposure factors and sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care as outcomes. The Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were selected as the instrumental variables (IVs), where casual effects between exposures and outcomes were estimated with two-sample MR analysis. The diagnoses of diseases were further confirmed with the standard codes, the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision (ICD-10), which were provided in the GWAS dataset. The study did not establish a prospective plan or disclose an analysis plan.

## Sepsis GWAS data

The summary genetic data of sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care were extracted from the UK Biobank, a substantial cohort of adult volunteers in the UK with all participants providing written informed consent for research participation (24). A total number of 1380 cases were identified with sepsis in the ICU, compared with 429,985 control cases. Approximately 347 patients died within 28 days of critical care admission. Detailed information is provided in Supplementary Tables S1, S2.

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence interval; GWAS, Genome-wide association study; ICU, Intensive care unit; IVs, Instrumental variables; IVW, Inverse variance weighting; IL, Interleukin; INF- $\gamma$ , Interferon gamma; LD, Linkage disequilibrium; MR, Mendelian randomization; MIMIC, Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care; OR, Odds ratio; SNP, Single nucleotide polymorphism; TNF- $\alpha$ , Tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ .

<sup>1</sup> https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/



## Autoimmune disease GWAS data

The open-access summary genetic data of autoimmune diseases were downloaded from the in individuals of European descent in GWAS catalogs and PubMed. After reviewing recruitment procedures and diagnostic criteria, we excluded trials with potential significant overlap between GWAS populations and selected a total of 30 autoimmune diseases representing 7 types as exposures. Diagnosis of autoimmune diseases were confirmed with the provided ICD codes, where the original GWAS researches (25–31) and detailed information are summarized in Supplementary Tables S1, S2.

### Selection of genetic IVs

In the selection of genetic IVs, we employed two strategies to ensure the accuracy of the experiment. First, we extracted IVs for the exposure factors (autoimmune diseases) with a genome-wide significance threshold of  $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ . In this step, if the number of SNPs extracted for a particular autoimmune disease was less than 5, we adjusted the significance level to  $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$  to include more SNPs as IVs and reduce potential errors caused by limited IVs. The extracted SNPs are summarized (Supplementary Table S3). To ensure independence between SNPs, we pruned the SNPs in the exposure factors using a threshold of  $r^2 > 0.001$  and a data distance of 10,000 kb to eliminate the impact of linkage disequilibrium (LD). An allele frequency threshold (MAF) of 0.3, which allowed the presence of palindromic SNPs, was applied to the remaining SNPs. Finally, we harmonized the genetic data to ensure the causal effects of SNPs on the exposure factors matched the same alleles affecting each outcome.

## Statistical analysis

The reliable interpretation of causal estimation in MR analysis depends on meeting the three key assumptions mentioned earlier. Heterogeneity in causal estimates among IVs indicates potential violations of the MR analysis assumptions (32). The research utilized two-sample Mendelian randomization analysis. In order to confirm assumption 1, we computed the F value, which measures the strength of the association between SNPs and the exposure ( $F = bet^2/se^2$ ) (33). To avoid weak instrument bias, we kept SNPs with an F statistic exceeding 10. The retained SNPs were then used as IVs in subsequent analyses to address the causal analysis regarding whether the exposure factors influence the outcomes. In the study, we utilized the inverse variance-weighted (IVW) method (fixed/random effects) as the primary analysis. The IVW method precisely meta-analyzes the specific effects of exposure on each SNP (34). We performed Cochran's Q test for potential heterogeneity. The MR pleiotropy residual sum

and outlier (MR-PRESSO) global test and MR Egger test (MR Egger intercept) were conducted to identify any potential balanced or imbalanced pleiotropy (35). If a set of SNPs exhibited heterogeneity, subsequent analyses employed the random-effects IVW method, while SNPs without heterogeneity were analyzed using the fixedeffects IVW method. As the exchangeability and exclusion restriction assumptions cannot be formally tested, we conducted extensive sensitivity analyses using the MR Egger method (36), the weighted median method (37), the weighted mode method (38), and the MR-PRESSO outlier correction test (35), comparing their results with the IVW (fixed/random effects) to estimate the causal relationship of the core assumption.

All effect size and standard error calculations for all results and the calculation of the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals for binary outcomes were performed using the "TwoSampleMR," "mendelianrandomization," and "MR-PRESSO" packages in R (version 4.2.1).

# Results

This study included 30 autoimmune diseases and 2 outcomes, providing specific information and diagnostic codes in Supplementary Table S1. Supplementary Table S2 summarizes detailed information on the included genome-wide analysis studies, and additional information about each disease can be found on the website (IEU OpenGWAS project at mrcieu.ac.uk) using the GWAS ID. We applied strict selection criteria and ultimately included 20 autoimmune diseases, with a genome-wide significance threshold of  $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ , while the remaining 10 autoimmune diseases had an even stricter genome-wide significance threshold of  $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ . All IVs had an F statistic greater than 10, indicating no evidence of weak instrument bias (Supplementary Table S3).

# Risk of autoimmune diseases for sepsis in critical care

Among the 30 autoimmune diseases considered as exposure factors, 5 of them showed statistically significant associations with an increased or decreased risk of sepsis in critical care (Table 1; Figure 2A). There was no apparent causal relationship between the remaining 25 autoimmune diseases and sepsis in critical care. IVW analysis revealed that Crohn's disease ( $\beta = 0.067$ , se = 0.034, p = 0.046, OR = 1.069, 95% CI = 1.001-1.141) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic  $(\beta = 0.069, se = 0.031, p = 0.023, OR = 1.071, 95\% CI = 1.009 - 1.136)$ were associated with an increased risk of sepsis in critical care. On the other hand, rheumatoid arthritis ( $\beta = -0.104$ , se = 0.047, p = 0.025, OR=0.901, 95% CI=0.823-0.987), ulcerative colitis ( $\beta$ =-0.208, se = 0.084, p = 0.013, OR = 0.812, 95% CI = 0.690-0.957), and narcolepsy ( $\beta = -0.202$ , se = 0.092, p = 0.028, OR = 0.818, 95% CI=0.684-0.978) were associated with a reduced risk of sepsis in critical care. Scatter plots, forest plots, leave-one-out plots, and funnel plots were generated to illustrate the specific effects and influences of SNPs on exposure and outcomes (Supplementary Figures S1-S5).

In the sensitivity analysis, we performed random-effects IVW analysis for ankylosing spondylitis and polymyositis to assess heterogeneity, and the results showed that the robustness of the findings remained unchanged. Based on the results of MR-PRESSO global test, pleiotropic effects (p > 0.05) was not presented in the exposures. Among the autoimmune diseases that showed statistically significant associations in the IVW analysis, the results of MR-Egger analysis, weighted median method analysis, and weighted mode method analysis were consistent with the main analysis direction, demonstrating the robustness of the results (Supplementary Table S4-1).

# Risk of autoimmune diseases for sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care

Next, we conducted an analysis of the risk of sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care associated with autoimmune diseases. The results revealed that 4 autoimmune diseases showed statistically significant associations with an increased or decreased risk of sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care (Table 2; Figure 2B). IVW analysis indicated that Crohn's disease ( $\beta = 0.234$ , se = 0.067, p = 0.001, OR = 1.263, 95% CI = 1.108-1.440) and idiopathic thrombocytopenic  $(\beta = 0.158, se = 0.061, p = 0.009, OR = 1.171, 95\% CI = 1.041 - 1.317)$ were associated with an increased risk of sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. On the other hand, systemic sclerosis ( $\beta = -0.261$ , se = 0.112, p = 0.020, OR = 0.771, 95% CI = 0.619–0.960) and narcolepsy  $(\beta = -0.536, se = 0.184, p = 0.003, OR = 0.585, 95\% CI = 0.408 - 0.838)$ were associated with a reduced risk of sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. Scatter plots, forest plots, leave-one-out plots, and funnel plots were generated to illustrate the specific effects and influences of SNPs on exposure and outcomes (Supplementary Figures S6-S9).

In the sensitivity analysis, no evidence of heterogeneity or pleiotropy was found. Among the four autoimmune diseases that showed statistically significant associations in the IVW analysis, the results of MR–Egger analysis, weighted median method analysis, and weighted mode method analysis were consistent with the main analysis direction (Supplementary Table S4-2).

# Discussion

In this study, we conducted a two-sample MR research aimed at exploring the relationship between 30 autoimmune diseases and the occurrence of sepsis leading to ICU admission, as well as the 28-day mortality rate among those admitted to the ICU with sepsis. In comparison to previous observational studies, our MR findings presented both congruent and distinctive conclusions, which were meticulously elucidated through in-depth analysis.

We revealed causal associations between three autoimmune diseases and the two aforementioned outcomes, while another three autoimmune diseases exhibited causal links with individual outcomes. Specifically, Crohn's disease and idiopathic thrombocytopenia were established as risk factors for sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care, respectively. Conversely, narcolepsy demonstrated a protective association with both sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. Rheumatoid arthritis and ulcerative colitis were identified as protective factors against sepsis in critical care. Additionally, systemic sclerosis exhibited a protective effect on sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. These findings further enrich our comprehension of the interplay between autoimmune diseases and severe sepsis while also facilitating a deeper exploration TABLE 1 An overview of the genetic instruments used in the MR study and the causal relationship between autoimmune disease and sepsis in critical care estimated by the inverse-variance weighted method.

Risk factor	Used SNPs <sup>a</sup> SNPs	Sample	(b/se)	IVW P	IVW het P	Intercept P	MPO P				
1. Connective tissue disease											
Ankylosing spondylitis <sup>&amp;</sup>	25/26	22,647	0.112/0.214	0.602	0.038#	0.552	0.059				
Hypersensitivity angiitis*	5/5	213,230	-0.012/0.021	0.588	0.819	0.570	0.776				
Polymyositis**	7/7	213,264	0.025/0.026	0.328	0.027#	0.086	0.069				
Rheumatoid arthritis	10/10	153,457	-0.104/0.047	0.025#	0.521	0.900	0.579				
Sjogrensyndrome*	12/13	214,435	-0.036/0.053	0.492	0.230	0.118	0.286				
Systemic lupus erythematosus	36/43	14,267	0.016/0.028	0.572	0.110	0.748	0.110				
Systemic sclerosis*	6/7	218,606	-0.002/0.013	0.929	0.464	0.830	0.630				
Wegener granulomatosis*	8/9	213,388	-0.026/0.02	0.177	0.510	0.725	0.595				
2. Endocrine system disease											
Adrenocortical insufficiency*	9/10	211,526	0.011/0.038	0.785	0.188	0.986	0.226				
Autoimmune hyperthyroidism	5/5	173,938	-0.046/0.069	0.501	0.062	0.926	0.168				
Autoimmune thyroiditis*	9/11	187,928	-0.02/0.02	0.319	0.333	0.598	0.417				
Hypothyroidism, strict autoimmune	41/56	198,472	-0.032/0.061	0.595	0.403	0.593	0.372				
Type 1 diabetes	7/8	185,115	0.015/0.028	0.599	0.052	0.552	0.148				
3. Neurological disease											
Guillain-Barre syndrome*	6/6	215,931	0.03/0.027	0.262	0.884	0.879	0.934				
Multiple sclerosis	25/26	27,098	-0.048/0.051	0.339	0.444	0.651	0.505				
Myasthenia gravis*	8/8	217,288	-0.03/0.027	0.273	0.954	0.928	0.966				
Narcolepsy*	5/5	12,307	-0.202/0.092	0.028#	0.970	0.627	0.971				
4. Digestive disease											
Biliary cirrhosis, primary*	12/12	176,861	0.006/0.021	0.783	0.378	0.194	0.374				
Coeliac disease	8/8	212,937	-0.01/0.027	0.711	0.558	0.408	0.626				
Crohn's disease*	104/115	51,874	0.067/0.034	0.046#	0.807	0.701	0.813				
Ulcerative colitis*	5/6	212,551	-0.208/0.084	0.013#	0.833	0.683	0.866				
5. Hematologic disease											
Allergic purpura*	12/12	216,569	-0.024/0.032	0.463	0.339	0.235	0.388				
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura*	11/11	216,493	0.069/0.031	0.023#	0.966	0.999	0.984				
6. Dermatology											
Alopecia areata*	8/12	211,428	-0.033/0.03	0.272	0.831	0.798	0.862				
Bullous pemphigoid*	12/14	218,285	0.013/0.016	0.422	0.515	0.187	0.511				
Dermatitis herpetiformis*	12/14	218,344	0.008/0.021	0.722	0.158	0.993	0.253				
Localized scleroderma*	4/4	207,662	-0.015/0.019	0.440	0.735	0.830	0.766				
Pemphigoid*	9/12	218,348	0.023/0.021	0.267	0.480	0.987	0.447				
Psoriasis	11/13	216,752	0.037/0.056	0.511	0.358	0.168	0.412				
7. Urologic disease											
IgA nephropathy*	4/4	5,957	-0.063/0.067	0.353	0.081	0.191	0.176				

MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; b, Beta; se, standard error; het, heterogeneity; MPO, MR-PRESSO. \*Genome-wide significance of the selected SNPs associated with the factors is less than  $5 \times 10^{-6}$ . \*SNPs used in the present MR analysis. \*p < 0.05. \*Uesd IVW random effect model.

of the intricate interrelationships between inflammation and septic conditions (39).

In previous studies, it has been observed that platelets are the primary effectors of inflammation and hemostasis and may exacerbate the dysregulated host response during sepsis, thereby increasing the risk of severe sepsis and mortality (40–42). Consistent with our findings, our study corroborates that idiopathic thrombocytopenia is a risk factor for sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. Immunothrombosis is a protective response that occurs when pathogens infiltrate the human body, triggering the activation



#### FIGURE 2

The MR analysis presents the causal estimations of 30 autoimmune diseases on the sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. (A) Exhibits the causal effects between the 30 autoimmune diseases and sepsis in critical care. (B) Illustrates the causal effects between the 30 autoimmune diseases and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. The odds ratios (ORs) were estimated using the IVW method, with the horizontal bars denoting the 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

06

TABLE 2 An overview of the genetic instruments used in the MR study and the causal relationship between autoimmune disease and 28 day death in critical care estimated by the inverse-variance weighted method.

Risk factor	Used SNPs <sup>a</sup> SNPs	Sample	(b/se)	IVW P	IVW het P	Intercept P	MPO P			
1. Connective tissue disease										
Ankylosing spondylitis	25/26	22,647	0.461/0.342	0.178	0.742	0.444	0.773			
Hypersensitivity angiitis*	5/5	213,230	-0.014/0.042	0.743	0.912	0.559	0.914			
Polymyositis*	7/7	213,264	0.042/0.033	0.207	0.478	0.498	0.437			
Rheumatoid arthritis	10/10	153,457	-0.169/0.101	0.092	0.311	0.375	0.370			
Sjogrensyndrome*	12/13	214,435	0.113/0.114	0.321	0.129	0.069	0.163			
Systemic lupus erythematosus	36/43	14,267	0.032/0.055	0.561	0.117	0.466	0.132			
Systemic sclerosis*	6/7	218,606	-0.003/0.025	0.909	0.675	0.369	0.671			
Wegener granulomatosis*	8/9	213,388	-0.033/0.047	0.488	0.169	0.052	0.217			
2. Endocrine system disease										
Adrenocortical insufficiency*	9/10	211,526	-0.106/0.084	0.205	0.090	0.249	0.114			
Autoimmune hyperthyroidism	5/5	173,938	0.045/0.118	0.706	0.157	0.807	0.338			
Autoimmune thyroiditis*	9/11	187,928	-0.046/0.037	0.221	0.863	0.681	0.868			
Hypothyroidism, strict autoimmune	41/56	198,472	0.026/0.119	0.827	0.785	0.294	0.775			
Type 1 diabetes	7/8	185,115	-0.012/0.039	0.767	0.568	0.348	0.541			
3. Neurological disease										
Guillain-Barre syndrome*	6/6	215,931	-0.051/0.054	0.339	0.409	0.329	0.398			
Multiple sclerosis	25/26	27,098	-0.261/0.112	0.020#	0.180	0.563	0.228			
Myasthenia gravis*	8/8	217,288	-0.026/0.053	0.633	0.700	0.960	0.791			
Narcolepsy*	5/5	12,307	-0.536/0.184	0.003#	0.757	0.455	0.781			
4. Digestive disease										
Biliary cirrhosis, primary*	12/12	176,861	0.029/0.04	0.472	0.627	0.203	0.601			
Coeliac disease	8/8	212,937	0.015/0.053	0.787	0.904	0.631	0.904			
Crohn's disease	104/115	51,874	0.234/0.067	0.001#	0.809	0.436	0.821			
Ulcerative colitis*	5/6	212,551	-0.057/0.172	0.741	0.377	0.996	0.433			
5. Hematologic disease										
Allergic purpura*	12/12	216,569	-0.008/0.061	0.907	0.814	0.740	0.812			
Idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura*	11/11	216,493	0.158/0.061	0.009#	0.825	0.712	0.854			
6. Dermatology										
Alopecia areata*	8/12	211,428	0.052/0.059	0.377	0.525	0.329	0.535			
Bullous pemphigoid*	12/14	218,285	-0.011/0.034	0.744	0.298	0.665	0.316			
Dermatitis herpetiformis*	12/14	218,344	0.031/0.04	0.430	0.211	0.131	0.283			
Localized scleroderma*	4/4	207,662	-0.007/0.037	0.856	0.917	0.614	0.794			
Pemphigoid*	9/12	218,348	-0.011/0.055	0.844	0.074	0.359	0.094			
Psoriasis	11/13	216,752	-0.088/0.121	0.466	0.221	0.902	0.338			
7. Urologic disease										
IgA nephropathy*	4/4	5957	-0.052/0.128	0.683	0.111	0.158	0.204			

MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; b, Beta; se, standard error; het, heterogeneity; MPO, MR-PRESSO. \*Genome-wide significance of the selected SNPs associated with the factors is less than  $5 \times 10^{-6}$ . \*SNPs used in the present MR analysis. \*p < 0.05.

of the coagulation system and causing microvascular thrombosis in the vicinity. This defense mechanism confines the infection to the specific region. However, when idiopathic thrombocytopenia is present, this defensive mechanism is weakened, thereby elevating the risk of severe sepsis occurrence (43). Surprisingly, our study revealed opposing effects of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis on sepsis. Crohn's disease emerged as a risk factor for sepsis in critical care and 28-day mortality, while ulcerative colitis exhibited a protective association with sepsis in critical care. This conclusion aligns with a previous

sepsis and autoimmune disease cohort study using the MIMIC III database but differs from that study in terms of the statistically insignificant reduction in 30-day mortality risk associated with ulcerative colitis (OR=0.87, 95% CI = 0.52-1.43, P = 0.594) (17). A similar study using the US national inpatient data arrived at opposing conclusions, showing a statistically significant decrease in the risk of death associated with Crohn's disease (OR = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.63-0.97) and a statistically significant increase in mortality risk associated with ulcerative colitis (OR = 1.61, 95% CI = 1.35 - 1.93) (19). However, these observational studies did not account for the potential impact of treatment differences between the two diseases. In fact, Crohn's disease patients are 5–10 times more likely to receive anti-tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$ (TNF- $\alpha$ ) treatment than ulcerative colitis patients, which could be a key influencing factor leading to differing results between previous studies and our findings (44, 45). The opposing effects of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis on outcomes can be explained by the primary sites of inflammation in the two diseases: inflammation in ulcerative colitis is predominantly limited to the intestinal mucosa, while transmural inflammation occurs primarily in Crohn's disease (46, 47). Moreover, these two diseases exhibit significant differences in other aspects as well. Further research into the mechanisms of inflammation between Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis may provide new insights into the inflammatory response to sepsis (48, 49).

Regarding the impact of rheumatoid arthritis on sepsis, previous research has shown some controversy. in Germany, A retrospective study conducted suggested an independent correlation between rheumatoid arthritis and increased sepsis mortality (50). Another retrospective study found that rheumatoid arthritis was a significant independent risk factor for increased long-term mortality in sepsis patients (OR: 1.63, 95% CI: 1.03-1.63, p = 0.04), but it did not have an independent effect on short-term mortality risk after admission (51). Conversely, two other studies considered rheumatoid arthritis as a protective factor against short-term mortality in sepsis patients (17, 52). In our MR study, we concluded that rheumatoid arthritis is a protective factor for sepsis in critical care, but it is not associated with changes in short-term mortality risk. The underlying reason for this phenomenon might be the overexpression of cytokines IL-12 and IFN- $\gamma$  in rheumatoid arthritis patients, with relative deficiencies of IL-4 and IL-10 (53). Studies have suggested that therapies increasing the expression of IL-12 and IFN-y can improve sepsis survival rates (54). We hypothesize that the overexpression of certain cytokines in rheumatoid arthritis patients may reduce the likelihood of immune dysfunction, thereby decreasing the risk of severe sepsis occurrence (17, 55).

Among the remaining autoimmune diseases with causal relationships to sepsis, only a few retrospective studies are available for reference. As mentioned earlier, a cohort study on sepsis and autoimmune diseases using the MIMIC III database found that multiple sclerosis is a protective factor for sepsis mortality (HR: 0.45, 95% CI: 0.22–0.89, p = 0.023), which aligns with our conclusion (17). This study proposed that multiple sclerosis patients with specific cytokine overexpression or deficiencies before sepsis may be more likely to survive when immune function is compromised (56–58). Narcolepsy, a chronic sleep disorder, is caused by the depletion of a

small number of hypothalamic neurons responsible for generating neuropeptides that promote wakefulness (59). We found that narcolepsy is a protective factor for severe sepsis and 28-day mortality in ICU sepsis patients, but this result has not been confirmed by existing observational studies. According to previous research, narcolepsy patients tend to secrete higher levels of cytokines, including IL-2, tumor necrosis factor, IL-4, and IL-13, which could be the reason for narcolepsy being a protective factor against severe sepsis and 28-day mortality in sepsis patients (60, 61).

In this study, we conducted a two-sample MR study to comprehensively assess the causal relationships between 30 autoimmune diseases and the risk of sepsis in critical care and sepsis 28-day mortality in critical care. Unlike previous observational studies, both sepsis and autoimmune diseases are highly heterogeneous, making it challenging to avoid confounding factors (20). Due to the uniqueness of autoimmune diseases and sepsis, conducting randomized controlled trials would be prohibitively time consuming and costly. Therefore, our MR design is less susceptible to measurement errors, confounding, and reverse causation compared to traditional observational studies, enabling us to better reveal causal relationships. These findings are crucial for a deeper understanding of the association between autoimmune diseases and severe sepsis, as well as for further exploring the interplay between inflammation and sepsis (62).

There are certain limitations in our research. First, the focus of this research was on European populations, and the restriction to a specific ethnicity may impact the generalizability of the results to other ethnic groups. Second, due to the limited availability of openaccess genetic data on autoimmune diseases, we slightly relaxed the genome-wide significance threshold ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$ ) in some exposure factors, which might influence our interpretation of causal relationships between certain genes and autoimmune diseases. Additionally, the accuracy of interpreting causal relationships using genetic instruments is limited and cannot completely eliminate the influence of all confounding factors. Hence, cautious interpretation of the results is necessary to avoid overinterpretation. Last, our study results provide genetic evidence for the causal impact of autoimmune diseases on the risk of severe sepsis and 28-day mortality, but it does not delve into detailed mechanistic explanations. Therefore, in future research, further exploration of the specific biological mechanisms between autoimmune diseases and sepsis is needed. This includes studying the differences in inflammation and immune pathways among autoimmune disease patients and how these differences influence the onset and prognosis of sepsis. Additionally, factors such as age, gender and the specific impact of immunosuppressants require more real-world studies. Through such research, we may discover new therapeutic strategies and novel insights into the prevention and treatment of sepsis.

In conclusion, this MR study identified causal associations between certain autoimmune diseases and risks of sepsis in critical care and 28-day mortality in the European population. The findings contribute robust evidence, advancing our comprehension of the intricate relationship between autoimmune diseases and severe sepsis. The identification of these causal associations suggests that delving into autoimmune disease-related mechanisms could potentially unveil novel therapeutic strategies for the prevention and treatment of sepsis. Nonetheless, further research is required to validate the findings and to reveal the underlying clinical mechanism.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## **Author contributions**

XT: Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JS: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. XL: Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. TZ: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing. WY: Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program "Early Rapid Standardized Treatment Study for Infectious Shock" project (No. 2022YFC2504504).

## References

1. Angus DC, van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med. (2013) 369:840–51. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1208623

2. Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3). *JAMA*. (2016) 315:801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287

3. Fleischmann C, Scherag A, Adhikari NK, Hartog CS, Tsaganos T, Schlattmann P, et al. Assessment of global incidence and mortality of hospital-treated sepsis. Current estimates and limitations. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2016) 193:259–72. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201504-07810C

4. Levy MM, Evans LE, Rhodes A. The surviving sepsis campaign bundle: 2018 update. Crit Care Med. (2018) 46:997–1000. doi: 10.1097/CCM.000000000003119

5. Fleischmann-Struzek C, Mellhammar L, Rose N, Cassini A, Rudd KE, Schlattmann P, et al. Incidence and mortality of hospital- and ICU-treated sepsis: results from an updated and expanded systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med.* (2020) 46:1552–62. doi: 10.1007/s00134-020-06151-x

6. van der Poll T, van de Veerdonk FL, Scicluna BP, Netea MG. The immunopathology of sepsis and potential therapeutic targets. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2017) 17:407–20. doi: 10.1038/nri.2017.36

7. Hotchkiss RS, Moldawer LL, Opal SM, Reinhart K, Turnbull IR, Vincent JL. Sepsis and septic shock. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16045. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.45

8. Tang D, Wang H, Billiar TR, Kroemer G, Kang R. Emerging mechanisms of immunocoagulation in sepsis and septic shock. *Trends Immunol.* (2021) 42:508–22. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2021.04.001

9. Hotchkiss RS, Monneret G, Payen D. Sepsis-induced immunosuppression: from cellular dysfunctions to immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2013) 13:862–74. doi: 10.1038/nri3552

10. Pisetsky DS. Pathogenesis of autoimmune disease. Nat Rev Nephrol. (2023) 19:509-24. doi: 10.1038/s41581-023-00720-1

11. Ramanathan S, Brilot F, Irani SR, Dale RC. Origins and immunopathogenesis of autoimmune central nervous system disorders. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2023) 19:172–90. doi: 10.1038/s41582-023-00776-4

12. Gharamti AA, Samara O, Monzon A, Montalbano G, Scherger S, DeSanto K, et al. Proinflammatory cytokines levels in sepsis and healthy volunteers, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha associated sepsis mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cytokine*. (2022) 158:156006. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2022.156006

# Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the following contributors: UK Biobank consortia, GWAS Catalog, YuLing Li for Data processing.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2024.1331950/ full#supplementary-material

13. Migliorini P, Italiani P, Pratesi F, Puxeddu I, Boraschi D. The IL-1 family cytokines and receptors in autoimmune diseases. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2020) 19:102617. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102617

14. Ren GM, Li J, Zhang XC, Wang Y, Xiao Y, Zhang XY, et al. Pharmacological targeting of NLRP3 deubiquitination for treatment of NLRP3-associated inflammatory diseases. *Sci Immunol.* (2021) 6. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol. abe2933

15. Chen HH, Chen HM, Chen YM, Chen YH, Lin CH, Chao WC. Impact of systemic lupus erythematosus on the 5-year survival of critically ill septic patients. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2021) 23:264. doi: 10.1186/s13075-021-02649-x

16. Krasselt M, Baerwald C, Petros S, Seifert O. Sepsis mortality is high in patients with connective tissue diseases admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU). *J Intensive Care Med.* (2022) 37:401–7. doi: 10.1177/0885066621996257

17. Sheth M, Benedum CM, Celi LA, Mark RG, Markuzon N. The association between autoimmune disease and 30-day mortality among sepsis ICU patients: a cohort study. *Crit Care.* (2019) 23:93. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2357-1

18. Oud L. Epidemiology and outcomes of sepsis among hospitalizations with systemic lupus erythematosus admitted to the ICU: a population-based cohort study. *J Intensive Care*. (2020) 8:3. doi: 10.1186/s40560-019-0424-y

19. Colbert JF, Schmidt EP, Faubel S, Ginde AA. Severe sepsis outcomes among hospitalizations with inflammatory bowel disease. *Shock.* (2017) 47:128–31. doi: 10.1097/SHK.00000000000742

20. Russell JA, Meyer NJ, Walley KR. Use of Mendelian randomization to better understand and treat sepsis. *Intensive Care Med.* (2022) 48:1638–41. doi: 10.1007/s00134-022-06778-y

21. Sekula P, Del Greco MF, Pattaro C, Köttgen A. Mendelian randomization as an approach to assess causality using observational data. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2016) 27:3253–65. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2016010098

22. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey SG. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ*. (2018) 362:k601. doi: 10.1136/bmj. k601

23. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, Woolf BAR, Davies NM, Swanson SA, VanderWeele TJ, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomisation (STROBE-MR): explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. (2021) 375:n2233. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n2233

24. Freeman C, Petkova D, Band G, Elliott LT, Sharp K, Motyer A, et al. The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. *Nature*. (2018) 562:203–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0579-z

25. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner K, et al. FinnGen: unique genetic insights from combining isolated popula-tion and national health register data. *medRxiv*. (2022)

26. International Genetics of Ankylosing Spondylitis Consortium (IGAS)Cortes A, Hadler J, Pointon JP, Robinson PC, Karaderi T, et al. Identification of multiple risk variants for ankylosing spondylitis through high-density genotyping of immune-related loci. *Nat Genet.* (2013) 45:730–8. doi: 10.1038/ng.2667,

27. Bentham J, Morris DL, Graham DSC, Pinder CL, Tombleson P, Behrens TW, et al. Genetic association analyses implicate aberrant regulation of innate and adaptive immunity genes in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Nat Genet*. (2015) 47:1457–64. doi: 10.1038/ng.3434

28. International Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Consortium; Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium 28awcer S, Hellenthal G, Pirinen M, Spencer CC, Patsopoulos NA, et al. Genetic risk and a primary role for cell-mediated immune mechanisms in multiple sclerosis. *Nature*. (2011) 476:214–9. doi: 10.1038/nature10251,

29. Faraco J, Lin L, Kornum BR, Kenny EE, Trynka G, Einen M, et al. ImmunoChip study implicates antigen presentation to T cells in narcolepsy. *PLoS Genet.* (2013) 9:e1003270. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003270

30. Liu JZ, van Sommeren S, Huang H, Ng SC, Alberts R, Takahashi A, et al. Association analyses identify 38 susceptibility loci for inflammatory bowel disease and highlight shared genetic risk across populations. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:979–86. doi: 10.1038/ng.3359

31. Feehally J, Farrall M, Boland A, Gale DP, Gut I, Heath S, et al. HLA has strongest association with IgA nephropathy in genome-wide analysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2010) 21:1791–7. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2010010076

32. Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Windmeijer F, Bowden J. An examination of multivariable Mendelian randomization in the single-sample and two-sample summary data settings. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2019) 48:713–27. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy262

33. Stephen Burgess SGT. Mendelian randomization CRC Press (2015).

34. Sanderson E, Glymour MM, Holmes MV, Kang H, Morrison J, Munafò MR, et al. Mendelian randomization. *Nat Rev Methods Primers*. (2022) 2:6. doi: 10.1038/ s43586-021-00092-5

35. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet*. (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

36. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

37. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.21965

38. Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:1985–98. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx102

39. Bosmann M, Ward PA. The inflammatory response in sepsis. *Trends Immunol.* (2013) 34:129–36. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2012.09.004

40. Claushuis TA, van Vught LA, Scicluna BP, Wiewel MA, Klein Klouwenberg PM, Hoogendijk AJ, et al. Molecular Diagnosis and Risk Stratification of Sepsis Consortium. Thrombocytopenia is associated with a dysregulated host response in critically ill sepsis patients. *Blood.* (2016) 127:3062–72. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-11-680744

41. Shannon O. The role of platelets in sepsis. *Res Pract Thromb Haemost*. (2020) 5:27–37. doi: 10.1002/rth2.12465

42. Venkata C, Kashyap R, Farmer JC, Afessa B. Thrombocytopenia in adult patients with sepsis: incidence, risk factors, and its association with clinical outcome. *J Intensive Care.* (2013) 1:9. doi: 10.1186/2052-0492-1-9

43. Wang Y, Ouyang Y, Liu B, Ma X, Ding R. Platelet activation and antiplatelet therapy in sepsis: a narrative review. *Thromb Res.* (2018) 166:28–36. doi: 10.1016/j. thromres.2018.04.007

44. Lee SJ, Chang H, Yazici Y, Greenberg JD, Kremer JM, Kavanaugh A. Utilization trends of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors among patients with rheumatoid arthritis in a United States observational cohort study. *J Rheumatol.* (2009) 36:1611–7. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.080889

45. van Deen WK, van Oijen MG, Myers KD, Centeno A, Howard W, Choi JM, et al. A nationwide 2010-2012 analysis of U.S. health care utilization in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2014) 20:1747–53. doi: 10.1097/MIB.000000000000139

46. Saverymuttu SH, Hodgson HJ, Chadwick VS, Pepys MB. Differing acute phase responses in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Gut.* (1986) 27:809–13. doi: 10.1136/gut.27.7.809

47. Hosomi S, Yamagami H, Itani S, Yukawa T, Otani K, Nagami Y, et al. Sepsis markers soluble IL-2 receptor and soluble CD14 subtype as potential biomarkers for complete mucosal healing in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. (2018) 12:87–95. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjx124

48. Noguchi T, Ishihara S, Uchino M, Ikeuchi H, Okabayashi K, Futami K, et al. Study group for inflammatory bowel disease associated intestinal cancers by the Japanese Society for Cancer of the Colon, Rectum. Clinical features and oncological outcomes of intestinal cancers associated with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. *J Gastroenterol.* (2023) 58:14–24. doi: 10.1007/s00535-022-01927-y

49. Parisinos CA, Serghiou S, Katsoulis M, George MJ, Patel RS, Hemingway H, et al. Variation in interleukin 6 receptor gene associates with risk of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology*. (2018) 155:303–306.e2. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.05.022

50. Krasselt M, Baerwald C, Petros S, Seifert O. Mortality of sepsis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a single-center retrospective analysis and comparison with a control group. *J Intensive Care Med.* (2021) 36:766–74. doi: 10.1177/0885066620917588

51. Barrett O, Abramovich E, Dreiher J, Novack V, Abu-Shakra M. Short- and long-term mortality due to sepsis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol Int.* (2017) 37:1021–6. doi: 10.1007/s00296-017-3694-5

52. Oud L, Garza J. The prognostic impact of rheumatoid arthritis in sepsis: a populationbased analysis. *Acute Crit Care*. (2022) 37:533–42. doi: 10.4266/acc.2022.00787

53. Kim W, Min S, Cho M, Youn J, Min J, Lee S, et al. The role of IL-12 in inflammatory activity of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2000) 119:175–81. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2249.2000.01095.x

54. Ono S, Ueno C, Aosasa S, Tsujimoto H, Seki S, Mochizuki H. Severe sepsis induces deficient interferon-gamma and interleukin-12 production, but interleukin-12 therapy improves survival in peritonitis. *Am J Surg.* (2001) 182:491–7. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9610(01)00754-1

55. Schulte W, Bernhagen J, Bucala R. Cytokines in sepsis: potent immunoregulators and potential therapeutic targets--an updated view. *Mediators Inflamm.* (2013) 2013;165974. doi: 10.1155/2013/165974

56. Reddy RC, Chen GH, Tekchandani PK, Standiford TJ. Sepsis-induced immunosuppression: from bad to worse. *Immunol Res.* (2001) 24:273–88. doi: 10.1385/IR:24:3:273

57. Netea MG, van der JW, van Deuren M, Kullberg BJ. Proinflammatory cytokines and sepsis syndrome: not enough, or too much of a good thing? *Trends Immunol.* (2003) 24:254–8. doi: 10.1016/s1471-4906(03)00079-6

58. Stanilova SA, Karakolev ZT, Dimov GS, Dobreva ZG, Miteva LD, Slavov ES, et al. High interleukin 12 and low interleukin 10 production after in vitro stimulation detected in sepsis survivors. *Intensive Care Med.* (2005) 31:401–7. doi: 10.1007/s00134-005-2575-7

59. Liblau RS, Latorre D, Kornum BR, Dauvilliers Y, Mignot EJ. The immunopathogenesis of narcolepsy type 1. Nat Rev Immunol. (2023) 24:33–48. doi: 10.1038/s41577-023-00902-9

60. Ollila HM, Sharon E, Lin L, Sinnott-Armstrong N, Ambati A, Yogeshwar SM, et al. Narcolepsy risk loci outline role of T cell autoimmunity and infectious triggers in narcolepsy. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:2709. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36120-z

61. Hartmann FJ, Bernard-Valnet R, Quériault C, Mrdjen D, Weber LM, Galli E, et al. High-dimensional single-cell analysis reveals the immune signature of narcolepsy. *J Exp Med.* (2016) 213:2621–33. doi: 10.1084/jem.20160897

62. Goldenberg NM, Leligdowicz A, Slutsky AS, Friedrich JO, Lee WL. Is nosocomial infection really the major cause of death in sepsis? *Crit Care*. (2014) 18:540. doi: 10.1186/s13054-014-0540-y