Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Michele Lanza, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy

REVIEWED BY Ruxandra Angela Pirvulescu, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania Mehran Zarei-Ghanavati, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Siquan Zhu ⊠ siquanzhu@163.com

RECEIVED 16 March 2023 ACCEPTED 17 August 2023 PUBLISHED 30 August 2023

CITATION

Zheng N, He W and Zhu S (2023) Incidence of wound dehiscence after keratoplasty: a metaanalysis of observational studies. *Front. Med.* 10:1187555. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1187555

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zheng, He and Zhu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Incidence of wound dehiscence after keratoplasty: a meta-analysis of observational studies

Na Zheng¹, Wenjing He² and Siguan Zhu^{1,3*}

¹Eye School, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ²Big Data Research Center, University of Electronic Science and Technology, Chengdu, China, ³Department of Ophthalmology, Beijing Anzhen Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

Background: The comprehensive investigation of the association between keratoplasty and wound dehiscence remains limited, despite corneal disease being a leading cause of visual impairment.

Methods: A meticulous search strategy was executed across prominent databases such as Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase. Data relevant to our research objective were extracted from eligible studies. The methodological quality of each study was assessed using the ROBINS-I tool, while statistical analysis was conducted utilizing STATA 17.0. To evaluate potential publication bias, the Funnel plot and Egger's test were employed.

Results: A total of 11 articles were deemed suitable for inclusion in our analysis. Our findings indicate that the overall incidence of wound dehiscence following keratoplasty was estimated to be 1.9% (95% CI: 0.013, 0.026), although substantial heterogeneity was observed ($l^2 = 72.798\%$). Notably, developed countries exhibited a higher incidence of wound dehiscence compared to their developing counterparts. Furthermore, the occurrence of wound dehiscence was found to be lower in deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) procedures when compared to penetrating keratoplasty (PK). Analysis utilizing Egger's linear regression method yielded no evidence of publication bias (p = 0.91). Moreover, within the first year post-keratoplasty, approximately 31.4% of patients experienced wound dehiscence (95% CI: 0.149, 0.503), and 43.1% exhibited a decline in best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) (95% CI, 0.341, 0.522).

Conclusion: The results of our study unveiled the occurrence rate of wound dehiscence following keratoplasty, exhibiting variations based on economic level and the specific surgical procedure employed. Furthermore, onset time of wound dehiscence and visual acuity warrant consideration.

KEYWORDS

wound dehiscence, penetrating keratoplasty, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty, visual acuity, meta-analysis

Introduction

Corneal disease ranks as the second leading cause of blindness on a global scale. Patients diagnosed with severe corneal disease often necessitate keratoplasty, a surgical procedure involving the replacement of damaged corneal tissue with a healthy, functional cornea. This restorative intervention aims to enhance vision and manage corneal disease in the affected eye

(1, 2). Postoperative complications that may arise following keratoplasty encompass graft failure, rejection or dislocation, suture-related issues, infectious keratitis, glaucoma, cataract, refractive errors, and more. Among these, wound dehiscence (WD) has garnered considerable attention due to the vulnerability of postoperative wound tissue to external forces, which can potentially result in significant ocular structural damage or even extreme outcomes such as eyeball atrophy (3–6).

Keratoplasty is broadly categorized into two types: full-thickness (penetrating) and partial-thickness (lamellar). Penetrating keratoplasty (PK) involves the complete excision of the diseased cornea, which is then substituted with a donor cornea of the same size or slightly larger. The donor cornea is meticulously sutured to the recipient cornea using nylon thread. On the other hand, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty (DALK) exclusively removes the surface tissue of the diseased cornea, leaving the deep, intact cornea of the recipient as the transplant bed. The donor cornea is then skillfully sewn onto the recipient's cornea, ensuring size and thickness congruence. Since DALK does not penetrate the anterior chamber, it is generally regarded as a procedure with fewer complications, minimizing interference with intraocular tissue (7–14).

Although the incidence of WD following keratoplasty has been reported in various geographical regions worldwide, the findings have shown considerable variation. Consequently, the objective of this study is to estimate the overall incidence of wound dehiscence after both PK and DALK procedures. Additionally, we aim to examine the onset time of WD and the impact of keratoplasty on visual acuity. Furthermore, we endeavor to assess the incidence variations based on the type of surgical approach and the economic level of the populations under investigation.

Materials and methods

Selection criteria

This study adhered to the established guidelines presented in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Eligible studies were required to fulfill the following criteria: (1) report the total number of wound dehiscence cases following PK or DALK procedures; (2) provide comprehensive information on the patients' underlying diseases, cause of trauma, visual acuity, and other relevant characteristics; (3) demonstrate no significant differences in the baseline characteristics of the included patients; (4) have been completed by the end of 2022, with a complete dataset available; and (5) adhere to the standard time criteria. Studies that failed to meet these criteria were excluded from the analysis.

Search methods

Language restrictions were not applied to the included studies, and both published and unpublished studies were deemed eligible. A systematic search was conducted across electronic databases, including Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Embase, encompassing their inception until December 2022. The search strategy employed a combination of relevant keywords, such as "wound dehiscence," "graft dehiscence," "keratoplasty," "penetrating keratoplasty," and "deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty." Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts for eligibility, followed by a thorough examination of full-text articles for potentially eligible studies. Any disagreements between the reviewers were resolved through consensus.

Data extraction and risk of bias

Two independent reviewers employed standardized data collection forms to extract relevant data from eligible studies, encompassing study design, patient characteristics, surgical procedures, primary diseases, and duration of follow-up. The primary outcome of interest was the incidence of wound dehiscence after keratoplasty, while secondary outcomes included the occurrence of decreased best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measured in terms of Snellen or LogMAR units, as well as the occurrence of wound dehiscence within 1 year post-surgery. The risk of bias in observational studies was evaluated using the Risk of Bias in Non-randomized Studies of Interventions (ROBINS-I) tool (15). Both reviewers independently conducted the risk of bias assessments, resolving any discrepancies through consensus. In cases where a consensus could not be reached, a third author was consulted.

Data synthesis and analysis

The statistical analysis for this study was performed using STATA 17.0 (STATA Corporation LP). A significance level of p < 0.05 was considered for all analyses, unless otherwise specified. Hedges' effect size (ES) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated. If the data was dichotomized, the odds ratio for improvement was extracted or calculated and converted into an effect size. Random effects meta-analysis was utilized to combine study estimates, with weights determined using the inverse variance method. Heterogeneity was assessed using the I2 statistic, and the *p*-value was calculated using the Q test. Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plots, and Egger's test was employed to detect any potential asymmetry in the plots.

Results

Study characteristics

The initial search yielded a total of 370 articles. After screening the abstracts and titles, 59 articles underwent full-text review, resulting in the inclusion of 11 articles (16–26) that encompassed a collective sample size of 7,878 patients (Figure 1). These 11 articles provided comprehensive insights into the characteristics of patients experiencing wound dehiscence after keratoplasty. The majority of the included articles (90.9%) were retrospective observational studies, and the agreement between reviewers regarding eligibility was deemed satisfactory. The publication dates of the included studies ranged from 2002 to 2020, with three articles specifically addressing DALK. The specific characteristics of the included articles are outlined in Table 1.



Wound dehiscence

O Among the 11 reviewed articles, seven reported the total number of patients experiencing wound dehiscence. The overall incidence of wound dehiscence was estimated to be 1.9% (95% CI: 0.03, 0.26), as depicted in Figure 2. However, this estimate displayed a relatively high level of heterogeneity (I^2 = 72.8%).

Wound dehiscence in developing vs. developed countries

Subgroup analyses based on the economic level of countries were conducted, comprising five studies from developed countries (including the United States, Australia, and New Zealand) and six studies from developing countries (including China, Turkey, and Iran). The results indicated a higher incidence of wound dehiscence in developed countries (ES = 0.024, 95% CI: 0.018, 0.031) compared to developing countries (ES = 0.016, 95% CI: 0.009, 0.023) (Figure 3).

Wound dehiscence after PK vs. DALK

Of the included studies, six focused on PK involving 5,611 patients, while three trials examined DALK with a total of 2,101 patients. The analysis revealed that patients undergoing PK (ES = 0.019, 95% CI: 0.015, 0.024) were more than twice as likely to experience wound dehiscence compared to those undergoing DALK (ES = 0.009, 95% CI: 0.000, 0.032) (Figure 4).

Onset time of wound dehiscence

The time interval between the initial surgery and the occurrence of wound dehiscence exhibited considerable variability, ranging from 1 week to 16 years. Regardless of whether wound dehiscence was spontaneous, caused by a fall, or a result of external impact, it was documented after keratoplasty. Within 1 year post-surgery, approximately 31% of patients experienced wound dehiscence (95% CI: 0.15, 0.50). However, this estimate

TABLE 1 Study characteristic.

| Study | Country | Type of study | Study population (wound dehiscence) | | | | Type of | Follow-up |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| | | | WD patients (total) | Age (year) | Gender (male/ female) | Original indications | keratoplasty | |
| Meyer and McGhee (16) | New Zealand | Retrospective | 30 (1,294) | PK: 46.0±20.2 DALK: 35.9±15.4 | NR | Keratoconus (54.3%), scarring (13.6%), bullous keratopathy (11.3%), corneal dystrophy (8.4%), ulceration/keratitis (7.8%) and others (3.0%) | Penetrating keratoplasty or deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty | PK: 7.5±4.1 years DALK: 5.8±4.0 years |
| Wang et al. (17) | China | Retrospective | 31 (3,017) | 44.6±18.3 | 26/4 | Keratoconus (50.0%), fungal keratitis (25.0%), interstitial keratitis (12.5%), and ocular chemical injury (12.5%) | Penetrating keratoplasty or lamellar keratoplasty | PK: 45.0 ± 36.4 months LK: 48.4 ± 66.2 months |
| Ma et al. (18) | USA | Retrospective | 30 | 56±22 | 20/10 | Keratoconus (35%), corneal scarring (16%) and corneal scarring (12%) | Penetrating keratoplasty | 0.8 years (0.02– 2.67 years) |
| Bamashmus et al. (19) | Yemen | Retrospective | 53 | 4~65 | 41/12 | Keratoconus (75.5%), aphakic bullous keratopathy (7.5%), corneal dystrophy (5.7%), traumatic corneal opacity (5.7%), perforated corneal ulcer (3.8%), and herpetic corneal opacity (1.8%) | Penetrating keratoplasty | NR |
| Das et al. (20) | Australia | Retrospective | 19 (624) | 65±15 | 13/6 | The most indication for the original graft was keratoconus | Penetrating keratoplasty | NR |
| Renucci et al. (21) | USA | Retrospective | 51 | 69.5 (10~98) | NR | Bullous keratopathy (51%), infectious keratitis (11%), Fuchs dystrophy (9%), and keratoconus (9%) | Penetrating keratoplasty | NR |
| Foroutan et al. (22) | Iran | Retrospective | 7 (490) | 20.6 (10~30) | 6/1 | Keratoconus (42.86%), macular dystrophy (28.57%), and corneal scarring (28.57%) | Penetrating keratoplasty | NR |

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| Study | Country | Type of study | Study population (wound dehiscence) | | | | Type of | Follow-up |
|----------------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | WD patients (total) | Age (year) | Gender (male/ female) | Original indications | keratoplasty | time |
| Kartal et al. (23) | Turkey | Retrospective | 26 (1,625) | 40.7±19.6 | 14/12 | The most frequent primary PK indication was corneal scar | Penetrating keratoplasty | 6–117 months |
| Sari et al. (24) | Turkey | Retrospective | 11 (338) | 30.6±5.4 | 7/4 | Keratoconus (54.5%), stromal dystrophies (27.3%), and herpetic keratitis (18.1%) | Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty | 6.09 ± 2.77 years |
| Abou-Jaoude et al. (25) | USA | Retrospective | 5 (324) | 68.4 (56~80) | 1/4 | Keratoconus (40%), pseudophakia bullous keratopathy (60%) | Penetrating keratoplasty | 24.5 ± 15 months |
| Huang et al. (26) | China | Retrospective | 32 | 37±16 | 31/1 | The most indication for the original graft was keratoconus and herpes simplex virus keratitis | Penetrating keratoplasty | 8.5±3.4 months |

WD, Wound dehiscence; PK, Penetrating keratoplasty; LK, Lamellar keratoplasty; DALK, Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty; NR, Not report.





displayed a high level of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 80.12\%$), as illustrated in Figure 5.

Visual acuity

The final visual outcome was determined based on measurements obtained at the patients' last clinical visit. Among the eyes with available pre- and post-fissure data, the mean BCVA was 20/400 for patients with retained lenses and 20/800 for patients with aphakia. Following the occurrence of wound dehiscence, approximately 43% of patients exhibited a decrease in BCVA (95% CI: 0.34, 0.52; I^2 =52.49%), as depicted in Figure 6. Moreover, in the DALK group, 57.9% (11/19) of the patients exhibited a decline in BCVA, whereas in the PK group, this proportion was 39.9% (110/276).

Risk of bias and publication bias

For observational studies, the risk of bias was assessed utilizing the ROBINS-I tool. One study by Huang et al. (26) exhibited a critical risk of bias due to concerns related to confounding factors, intervention classifications, and potential deviations from the assigned intervention. Further details regarding the results of the risk of bias analysis are presented in Figure 7. There was no evidence of publication bias for the primary endpoint of adverse events, as indicated by Egger's linear regression method (p=0.91). Additionally, a funnel plot was constructed to evaluate the incidence of wound dehiscence (Figure 8).

Discussion

This study aimed to provide an estimation of the incidence of wound dehiscence following keratoplasty, with a specific focus on comparing the incidence between developed and developing countries, as well as between PK and DALK. Furthermore, the study examined additional outcomes associated with keratoplasty, such as the occurrence of wound dehiscence within 1 year post-surgery and the impact on patients' BCVA.

Keratoplasty, despite its potential therapeutic benefits, warrants heightened attention due to the presence of significant postoperative complications, such as WD. While Descemet's membrane in the eyes possesses remarkable elasticity and resistance to trauma, the adhesion between Descemet's membrane and the stroma becomes considerably delicate following ophthalmic surgeries, rendering it susceptible to WD when subjected to external forces (27). WD predominantly manifests at the graft-host junction, where tissue stability and



stretching capacity are considerably compromised, not only in comparison to the normal cornea but also when contrasted with the corneal scar formed during the healing process following corneal laceration (28, 29). WD represents a deleterious complication associated with weak graft-host connections, as well as various contributory factors including inappropriate wound anastomosis, insufficient interfacial blood supply, prolonged topical steroid therapy, and suture-related complications (30).

Indications for keratoplasty exhibit significant variation across different countries and regions. In Western developed countries, bullous keratopathy, keratoconus, and Fuchs endothelial dystrophy rank as the most prevalent indications (31–35). Within the United States, the primary indications encompass bullous keratopathy, secondary keratoplasty, keratoconus resulting from various factors, and keratoconus (36). In New Zealand, the leading indications comprise keratoconus, bullous keratopathy, secondary transplantation, viral keratitis, and trauma, whereas in Germany, the most common indications include keratopathy (37). Infectious keratopathy prevails in Southeast Asia and India, with Taiwan reporting leukoplakia, infectious corneal disease, and bullous keratopathy as the top three reported cases, consistent with the order of reported cases in India (38).

Presently, DALK stands as the recommended procedure, particularly for young and active patients afflicted with

keratoconus and corneal scar involvement within the stroma. In this approach, the host Descemet's membrane remains undisturbed, offering potential advantages in terms of postoperative healing time and reduced risk of wound dehiscence (39, 40). The presence of an intact Descemet's membrane serves as a protective physical barrier against intraocular tissue damage, thus either reinforcing the resistance to traumatic dehiscence or mitigating the severity of ocular injury in such events. However, it is important to acknowledge that relative weakness at the grafthost junction might still persist after DALK, and Descemet's membrane could be susceptible to wrinkling post-surgery, potentially influencing the incidence of postoperative complications, including wound dehiscence (41, 42). Notably, research by Sari et al. (24) highlights that only 3 out of 11 eyes exhibited an intact Descemet's membrane following DALK. Despite previous studies demonstrating the efficacy of keratoplasty in enhancing BCVA and achieving favorable refractive outcomes, there were no significant differences observed between DALK and PK in this regard (43, 44).

The primary mechanism underlying the unfavorable prognosis following wound dehiscence is the subsequent structural alterations it induces in the anterior and posterior segments of the eyeball, including elevated intraocular pressure, vitreous hemorrhage, and complications such as choroid and retinal detachment. Consequently, the prevention of wound dehiscence following transplantation



assumes paramount importance over its treatment. It is crucial to protect the surgical eye from injury throughout one's lifetime after keratoplasty. Although achieving satisfactory visual outcomes is uncommon, there is still hope for successful outcomes as long as the integrity of the posterior segment structure is preserved and no further complications arise. Clinical ophthalmologists should maintain a constant awareness of the potential causes of unusual wound dehiscence and the associated complications following keratoplasty. The significance of wound dehiscence after corneal transplantation should be emphasized during preoperative consultations, particularly among young and active male patients. As part of the treatment approach, patients should be fully informed about the risks associated with wound dehiscence and its potential serious consequences. Comprehensive patient education and awareness programs should be implemented, underscoring the importance of wearing protective glasses. Appropriate activity restrictions should be advised after surgery, especially for high-risk groups such as athletes, manual workers, and children, while the use of wound healing-inhibiting medications like corticosteroids should be minimized in patients with corneal bed tissue edema (45, 46).

This systematic review is subject to several limitations that should be acknowledged. Firstly, the majority of the included studies were retrospective in nature, and some exhibited lower methodological quality. This may introduce potential biases and limit the strength of the conclusions drawn. Additionally, the exclusion of data from patients lost to follow-up may introduce a selection bias, as only data from patients who completed the follow-up period were included, potentially impacting the comparison of the two surgical methods. Secondly, the presence of small sample sizes in the excluded studies is another limitation of this analysis. Smaller studies inherently carry a higher risk of random sampling error, which can influence the overall results and introduce uncertainty. Thirdly, it is important to note that in one of the included studies, the distribution of the number of patients and follow-up time between the PK and DALK groups was not even. This uneven distribution may introduce a potential confounding factor that could influence the interpretation of the findings.

Conclusion

In the existing body of research exploring various aspects of keratoplasty surgery, including complications, types of trauma, age and sex distribution, and visual prognosis, a comprehensive investigation into the incidence and outcome of traumatic and spontaneous wound dehiscence following keratoplasty remains incomplete. Our study aimed to address this knowledge gap by





examining the occurrence rate of wound dehiscence after keratoplasty, taking into account factors such as economic level and the specific surgical procedure employed. Moreover, we assessed the onset time of wound dehiscence and the impact of keratoplasty on visual acuity. Considering that corneal transplantation disrupts the natural structural integrity of the cornea, it is crucial to educate



patients on the measures necessary to safeguard the graft from potential trauma.

References

1. Fiorentzis M, Seitz B, Viestenz A. Traumatic keratoplasty rupture resulting from continuous positive airway pressure mask. *Cornea*. (2015) 34:717–9. doi: 10.1097/ ICO.000000000000442

2. Santodomingo-Rubido J, Carracedo G, Suzaki A, Villa-Collar C, Vincent SJ, Wolffsohn JS. Keratoconus: an updated review. *Cont Lens Anterior Eye.* (2022) 45:101559. doi: 10.1016/j.clae.2021.101559

3. Kawashima M, Kawakita T, Shimmura S, Tsubota K, Shimazaki J. Characteristics of traumatic globe rupture after keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology*. (2009) 116:2072–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2009.04.047

4. Steinberg J, Eddy MT, Katz T, Fricke OH, Richard G, Linke SJ. Traumatic wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty: case series and literature review. *Eur J Ophthalmol.* (2012) 22:335–41. doi: 10.5301/ejo.5000057

5. Nagra PK, Hammersmith KM, Rapuano CJ, Laibson PR, Cohen EJ. Wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (2006) 25:132–5. doi: 10.1097/01. ico.0000179926.74780.b2

6. Singh R, Gupta N, Vanathi M, Tandon R. Corneal transplantation in the modern era. *Indian J Med Res.* (2019) 150:7–22. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_141_19

7. Karimian F, Feizi S. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty: indications, surgical techniques and complications. *Middle East Afr J Ophthalmol.* (2010) 17:28–37. doi: 10.4103/0974-9233.61214

8. Elder MJ, Stack RR. Globe rupture following penetrating keratoplasty: how often, why, and what can we do to prevent it. *Cornea*. (2004) 23:776–80. doi: 10.1097/01. ico.0000133996.99520.c4

9. Binder PS, Abel R Jr, Polack FM, Kaufman HE. Keratoplasty wound separations. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (1975) 80:109–15. doi: 10.1016/0002-9394(75)90880-6

10. Rehany U, Rumelt S. Ocular trauma following penetrating keratoplasty. Incidence, outcome and postoperative recommendations. *Arch Ophthalmol.* (1998) 116:1282–6. doi: 10.1001/archopht.116.10.1282

11. Lee WB, Mathys KC. Traumatic wound dehiscence after deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. J Cataract Refract Surg. (2009) 35:1129–31. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrs.2009.01.037

12. Chaurasia S, Ramappa M. Traumatic wound dehiscence after deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. J AAPOS. (2011) 15:484–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jaapos.2011.06.006

13. Prasher P, Muftuoglu O, Mootha VV. Traumatic graft dehiscence after anterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (2009) 28:240–2. doi: 10.1097/ICO.0b013e318187547b

14. Anwar M, Teichmann KD. Deep lamellar keratoplasty: surgical techniques for anterior lamellar keratoplasty with and without baring of Descemet's membrane. *Cornea*. (2002) 21:374–83. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200205000-00009

15. Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. *BMJ*. (2016) 355:i4919. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i4919

16. Meyer JJ, McGhee CN. Incidence, severity and outcomes of traumatic wound dehiscence following penetrating and deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2016) 100:1412–5. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2015-307604

Author contributions

SZ designed the study. NZ and WH collected and analyzed the data. NZ drafted the manuscript. SZ and WH revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Wang X, Liu T, Zhang S, Qi X, Li S, Shi W, et al. Outcomes of wound dehiscence after penetrating Keratoplasty and lamellar Keratoplasty. *J Ophthalmol.* (2018) 2018:1–5. doi: 10.1155/2018/1435389

18. Ma JF, Rapuano CJ, Hammersmith KM, Nagra PK, Dai Y, Azari AA. Outcomes of wound dehiscence post-penetrating Keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (2016) 35:778–83. doi: 10.1097/ICO.00000000000817

19. Bamashmus MA, Al-Shekeil MA, Mukred FA, Al-Akhlee HA. Traumatic wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty: clinical features and outcome in 53 cases in Yemen. *Taiwan J Ophthalmol.* (2020) 10:32–6. doi: 10.4103/tjo.tjo_107_18

20. Das S, Whiting M, Taylor HR. Corneal wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (2007) 26:526–9. doi: 10.1097/ICO.0b013e318038d2e8

21. Renucci AM, Marangon FB, Culbertson WW. Wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty: clinical characteristics of 51 cases treated at Bascom palmer eye institute. *Cornea.* (2006) 25:524–9. doi: 10.1097/01.ico.0000214232.66979.c4

22. Foroutan AR, Gheibi GH, Joshaghani M, Ahadian A, Foroutan P. Traumatic wound dehiscence and lens extrusion after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (2009) 28:1097–9. doi: 10.1097/ICO.0b013e3181a1645e

23. Kartal B, Kandemir B, Set T, Kugu S, Keles S, Ceylan E, et al. Traumatic wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty. *Ulus Travma Acil Cerrahi Derg.* (2014) 20:181–8. doi: 10.5505/tjtes.2014.36589

24. Sari ES, Koytak A, Kubaloglu A, Culfa S, Erol MK, Ermis SS, et al. Traumatic wound dehiscence after deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2013) 156:767–772.e1. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2013.05.014

25. Abou-Jaoude ES, Brooks M, Katz DG, Van Meter WS. Spontaneous wound dehiscence after removal of single continuous penetrating keratoplasty suture. *Ophthalmology*. (2002) 109:1291–6. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(02)01078-3

26. Ting H, Jiaqi C, Longshan C, Liu Z-g. Clinical observation of wound dehysis after penetrating keratoplasty. *Chin J Ophthalmol.* (2006) 01:12–6.

27. Yang X, Chen Y, Yu G, Dang G. Spontaneous resolution of recurrent Descemet's membrane detachment after trabeculectomy: a case report. *Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep.* (2022) 25:101276. doi: 10.1016/j.ajoc.2022.101276

28. Maheshwari S, Saswade M, Thool A. Traumatic wound dehiscence 14 years following penetrating keratoplasty. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* (2003) 51:259–60.

29. Agrawal V, Wagh M, Krishnamachary M, Rao GN, Gupta S. Traumatic wound dehiscence after penetrating keratoplasty. *Cornea*. (1995) 14:601–3. doi: 10.1097/00003226-199511000-00013

30. Cheng YY, Visser N, Schouten JS, Wijdh RJ, Pels E, van Cleynenbreugel H, et al. Endothelial cell loss and visual outcome of deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty versus penetrating keratoplasty: a randomized multicenter clinical trial. *Ophthalmology*. (2011) 118:302–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.06.005

31. Dobins KR, Price FW Jr, Whitson WE. Trends in the indications for penetratingkeratoplasty in the midwestern United States. *Cornea*. (2000) 19:813–6. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200011000-00010

32. Cosar CB, Sridhar MS, Cohen EJ, Held EL, Alvim PTS, Rapuano CJ, et al. Indications for penetrating Keratoplasty and associated procedures, 1996–2000. *Cornea*. (2002) 21:148–51. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200203000-00003

33. Liu E, Slomovic AR. Indications for penetrating Keratoplasty in Canada, 1986-1995. Cornea. (1997) 16:414–9. doi: 10.1097/00003226-199707000-00007

34. Legeais JM, Parc C, d'Hermies F, Pouliquen Y, Renard G. Nineteen years of penetrating keratoplastyin the hotel-Dieu Hospital in Paris. *Cornea*. (2001) 20:603–6. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200108000-00009

35. Edwards M, Clover GM, Brookes N, Pendergrast D, Chaulk J, McGhee CNJ. Indications for corneal transplantationin New Zealand: 1991-1999. *Cornea*. (2002) 21:152–5. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200203000-00004

36. Flowers CW, Chanq KY, McLeod S, Irvine JA, McDonnell P, Rao N, et al. Changing indications for penetratingkeratoplasty, 1989-1993. *Cornea*. (1995) 14:583–8. doi: 10.1097/00003226-199511000-00008

37. Edwards M, Clover GM, Brookes N, Pendergrast D, Chaulk J, McGhee CNJ. Indications for corneal transplantation in New Zealand: 1991–1999. *Cornea*. (2002) 21:152–5. doi: 10.1097/00003226-200203000-00004

38. Sony P, Sharma N, Sen S, Vajpayee RB. Indications of penetrating keratoplasty in northern India. *Cornea*. (2005) 24:989–91. doi: 10.1097/01.ico.0000157406.34662.0f

39. Borderie VM, Sandali O, Bullet J, Gaujoux T, Touzeau O, Laroche L. Long-term results of deep anterior lamellar versus penetrating keratoplasty. *Ophthalmology*. (2012) 119:249–55. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.07.057

40. Zarei-Ghanavati S, Zarei-Ghanavati M, Sheibani S. Traumatic wound dehiscence after deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty: protective role of intact descemet membrane after big-bubble technique. *Cornea.* (2010) 29:220–1. doi: 10.1097/ ICO.0b013e3181a2a7cf

41. Chaniyara MH, Bafna R, Urkude J, Sharma N. Rescuing the host Descemet's membrane in full-thickness traumatic wound dehiscence in deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty: intraoperative optical coherence tomography (iOCT)-guided technique. *BMJ Case Rep.* (2017) 2017:bcr2017221495. doi: 10.1136/bcr-2017-221495

42. Javadi MA, Feizi S, Yazdani S, Mirbabaee F. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty versus penetrating keratoplasty for keratoconus: a clinical trial. *Cornea*. (2010) 29:365–71. doi: 10.1097/ICO.0b013e3181b81b71

43. Keane M, Coster D, Ziaei M, Williams K. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty versus penetrating keratoplasty for treating keratoconus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2014) 7:CD009700. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009700.pub2

44. Reinhart WJ, Musch DC, Jacobs DS, Lee WB, Kaufman SC, Shtein RM. Deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty as an alternative to penetrating keratoplasty a report by the american academy of ophthalmology. *Ophthalmology*. (2011) 118:209–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2010.11.002

45. Tan DT, Dart JK, Holland EJ, Kinoshita S. Corneal transplantation. *Lancet.* (2012) 379:1749–61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60437-1

46. Van Meter W, Katz DG. Keratoplasty suturing techniques. *Cornea: Kereshmer.* (2005) 123:1481–90.