Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Haoran Liu, Stanford University, United States

REVIEWED BY Federica Di Spirito, University of Salerno, Italy Hong Weng, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Guan ⊠ deniskwan@gmail.com Lei Cui ⊠ cui1986lei@163.com

RECEIVED 26 February 2023 ACCEPTED 28 March 2023 PUBLISHED 26 April 2023

CITATION

Xu J-Z, Sun J-X, Miao L-T, Zhang S-H, Wang W-J, Liu C-Q, Xia Q-D, Lu J-L, Zhou P, Lv Y-M, Xun Y, Guan W and Cui L (2023) Interconnections between urolithiasis and oral health: a cross-sectional and bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Med.* 10:1174502. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1174502

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Xu, Sun, Miao, Zhang, Wang, Liu, Xia, Lu, Zhou, Lv, Xun, Guan and Cui. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Interconnections between urolithiasis and oral health: a cross-sectional and bidirectional Mendelian randomization study

Jin-Zhou Xu¹, Jian-Xuan Sun¹, Lin-Tao Miao¹, Si-Han Zhang¹, Wen-Jie Wang², Chen-Qian Liu¹, Qi-Dong Xia¹, Jun-Lin Lu¹, Peng Zhou¹, Yong-Man Lv², Yang Xun¹, Wei Guan^{1*} and Lei Cui^{1*}

¹Department of Urology, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Health Management Center, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Introduction: Urolithiasis is one of the most common diseases for urologists and it is a heavy burden for stone formers and society. The theory of the oral-genitourinary axis casts novel light on the pathological process of genitourinary system diseases. Hence, we performed this study to characterize the crosstalk between oral health conditions and urolithiasis to provide evidence for prevention measures and mechanisms of stone formation.

Materials and methods: This population-based cross-sectional study included 86,548 Chinese individuals who had undergone a comprehensive examination in 2017. Urolithiasis was diagnosed depending on the results of ultrasonographic imaging. Logistic models were utilized to characterize the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis. We further applied bidirectional Mendelian randomization to explore the causality between oral health conditions and urolithiasis.

Results: We observed that presenting caries indicated a negative correlation with the risk for urolithiasis while presenting gingivitis [OR (95% CI), 2.021 (1.866–2.187)] and impacted tooth [OR (95% CI), 1.312 (1.219–1.411)] shown to be positively associated with urolithiasis. Furthermore, we discovered that genetically predicted gingivitis was associated with a higher risk of urolithiasis [OR (95% CI), 1.174 (1.009–1.366)] and causality from urolithiasis to impacted teeth [OR(95% CI), 1.207 (1.027–1.418)] through bidirectional Mendelian randomization.

Conclusion: The results cast new light on the risk factor and pathogenesis of kidney stone formation and could provide novel evidence for the oral-genitourinary axis and the systematic inflammatory network. Our findings could also offer suggestions for tailored clinical prevention strategies against stone diseases.

KEYWORDS

oral-genitourinary axis, oral, urolithiasis, China, Mendelian randomization

Key messages

1. We provided novel evidence for the oral-genitourinary axis and the systematic inflammatory network.

2. We discovered that aged individuals with poor oral health were more vulnerable to urolithiasis.

3. Our results cast new light on the pathogenesis of kidney stone formation and offer suggestions for accurate clinical prevention and treatment strategies against stone diseases.

Introduction

Urolithiasis is one of the most common diseases for urologists that affects between 10 and 15% of the world's population (1). Stone formers suffer from symptoms, high recurrence rate, financial burden, and increased risk of hydronephrosis, renal dysfunction, and renal cell carcinoma (2). Hence, there is an imperious need to elaborate on the factors affecting the stone formation and accurate prevention strategies against urolithiasis.

Recently, an oral-genitourinary axis has been proposed. Periodontitis was identified as a potential risk of genitourinary cancers, especially prostate cancer and bladder cancer (3). A cohort study examined the risk of cancer in patients with chronic periodontitis and found that chronic periodontitis was significantly and positively associated with the risk of prostate cancer (4). In non-smokers, advanced periodontitis was reported to be associated with an elevated risk of bladder cancer (5). Other publications also characterized the correlation of oral health conditions with benign prostatic hyperplasia, chronic kidney disease, and lower urinary tract symptoms (6). Furthermore, Estemalik et al. reported the presence of similar bacterial DNA in both the genitourinary system and oral cavity from the same individual (7). Accordingly, a recent study from Fang et al. suggested that the oral microbiome could be the potential target for the management of the genitourinary disease (8). These publications broadened the horizon to unravel the pathophysiology of diseases of the genitourinary system. However, there is a little study to explore the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis, which are both closely related to inflammatory status and abnormal osteogenesis.

Mendelian randomization (MR) study is a method to assess the causal effect of an exposure on an outcome using an instrument, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), as a proxy for the exposure (9). This technique can to a considerable extent eliminate residual confounding and has been applied to explore the causality in multiple diseases, including urolithiasis. Yuan et al. provided genetic evidence in support of causal associations of coffee and caffeine consumption with urolithiasis via MR design (10).

Hence, we performed this study to characterize the crosstalk and the causal association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis. The results were hopeful to cast new light on the risk factor and pathogenesis of kidney stone formation and could provide novel evidence for the oral–genitourinary axis. Our



findings could also offer suggestions for accurate clinical prevention strategies against stone diseases.

Methods

Study population

This research was part of the series of research Influencing Factors for Common Chronic Diseases among the Chinese Population (IFCCDCP). The participants (n = 99,859) have undergone comprehensive examinations in the physical examination center of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, in 2017. This study was approved by the institutional review board of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Approval ID: TJ-C20160115). The study conformed to the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Consent was waived by the ethics committee.

After excluding 13,311 participants who were lack of oral health information (n = 11,684), ultrasonography outcome (n = 1,267), were <18 years old (n = 351), or had kidney deformity (n = 14), kidney transplantation (n = 23), and solitary kidney (n = 205), a total of 86,548 participants were included (Figure 1).

The Mendelian randomization (MR) study was based on summary-level data from the FinnGen consortia (11). It is a public-private partnership combining genotype data from Finnish biobanks and digital health record data from Finnish health registries. In the dataset, we included the participant information on urolithiasis (with 5,347 cases and 213,445 non-cases), dental caries (with 4,170 cases and 195,395 non-cases), gingivitis and periodontal diseases (with 4,120 cases and 195,395 non-cases),

Abbreviations: MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; HBP, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; CHD, coronary heart disease; FL, fatty liver; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TP, total protein; Alb, albumin; Glo, globulin; SCr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; UA, uric acid; Glu, fasting glucose; UpH, Urine pH; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

and impacted teeth (with 884 cases and 217,908 non-cases) (Supplementary Table 1).

Measurement

Urolithiasis was diagnosed depending on the results of ultrasonographic imaging. Oral health conditions, including caries, residual root or crown, denture, dental calculi, dental plaque, gingivitis, and impacted tooth, were determined by experienced dentists through full-mouth clinical examination. In FinnGen, cases were defined by N20 in the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10), and 592 in ICD-8 and ICD-9.

Demographic characteristics (sex and age) and comorbidities, including hypertension (HBP), diabetes mellitus (DM), coronary heart disease (CHD), and fatty liver (FL), were collected based on medical history. Height, weight [based on which body mass index (BMI) was calculated], and blood pressure [systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP)] were measured during the examination. Laboratory outcomes were analyzed from blood and urine specimens, including total protein (TP), albumin (Alb), globulin (Glo), serum creatinine (SCr), total cholesterol (TC), highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), triglycerides (TG), uric acid (UA), fasting glucose (Glu), and urine pH (UpH). CKD-EPI China equation with an adjusted coefficient of 1.1 for the Chinese population was applied to calculate eGFR, where κ is 0.7 for women and 0.9 for men, α is -0.329 for women and -0.411 for men, min is the minimum of SCr/ κ or 1, and max indicates the maximum of SCr/ κ or 1 (12):

$$eGFR = 141 * \min\left(\frac{SCr}{\kappa}, 1\right)^{\alpha} * \max\left(\frac{SCr}{\kappa}, 1\right)^{-1.209} *$$
$$0.993^{Age} * 1.018 (if female) * 1.1$$

Statistical analyses

Multiple imputation method was applied to process the missing values to increase statistical test efficiency and reduce bias. Categorical and continuous data were presented as number (percentage) and mean \pm standard deviation. Chi-squared tests and Student's *t*-tests were applied for descriptive analyses.

Logistic regression models were used to evaluate the association between oral health conditions and the occurrence of urolithiasis. The outcomes were presented as odds ratio (OR) [95% confidence interval (CI)]. Models were adjusted for oral health conditions (Model 1), demographic characteristics and comorbidities (age, sex, BMI, HBP, DM, CHD, and FL) (Model 2), and laboratory outcomes (Alb, Glo, eGFR, HDL, LDL, TG, UA, Glu, and UpH) (Model 3). Model 3 was the primary model. In subgroup analyses, variables were grouped as follows: sex (male and female); age (18–29, 30– 44, 45–59, and ≥ 60 y); BMI (≤ 18.5 , 18.5–23.9, 24.0–27.9, and ≥ 28 kg/m²). Tests for interaction were performed using Wald's test.

In the MR study, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were determined as instrumental variables for urolithiasis, dental caries, gingivitis and periodontal diseases, and impacted teeth (p <

 5×10^{-6} , $r^2 < 0.001$ and clump distance >5,000 kb). The inversevariance weighted (IVW) method was the primary statistical model in the MR study. Random-effects method was applied to evaluate the causal association. The pleiotropic effects were tested by the pleiotropy test, and a *p*-value > 0.05 was regarded to manifest no pleiotropic effects. MR-Egger was applied when pleiotropy was detected (p < 0.05). Multivariable MR was applied to further explore causal links. Q-value was used to assess the heterogeneity. The leave-one-out strategy was applied for sensitivity analysis.

R software (version 4.0.3) was used to perform all the statistical analyses. All *P*-values were two-tailed. A *P*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

We compared the oral health conditions, presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and laboratory outcomes between those presented with and those without urolithiasis. Among the 86,548 adult participants (aged 18–96 years), 9,819 (11.41%) individuals were diagnosed to present urolithiasis according to ultrasonographic imaging outcomes. Approximately 56.2% of the study sample was male participants, and the overall mean age of the sample was 41.16 ± 12.83 (Table 1).

We then applied logistic regression to investigate the association between oral health conditions and the presence of urolithiasis. The ORs (95% CI) for urolithiasis of caries were 0.907 (0.861–0.955), 0.919 (0.872–0.969), and 0.908 (0.858–0.960) after adjusting for Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3, respectively. The ORs (95% CI) for urolithiasis of gingivitis were 2.247 (2.090–2.414), 1.937 (1.799–2.085), and 2.021 (1.866–2.187) after adjusting for Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3, respectively. The ORs (95% CI) for urolithiasis of impacted tooth were 1.305 (1.224–1.390), 1.311 (1.226–1.400), and 1.312 (1.219–1.411) after adjusting for Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3, respectively (Table 2). Additionally, we assess the interaction effect of oral health conditions. The ORs (95% CI) for the interaction effect of gingivitis with caries, residual root or crown, and dental calculi were 1.229 (1.010–1.489), 1.279 (1.029–1.584), and 1.663 (1.416–1.959), respectively (Figure 2A).

We conducted subgroup analyses to further investigate the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis. The ORs (95% CI) for gingivitis in those who were <29, 30-44, 45-59, and $\geq\!60$ years old were 1.827 (1.440–2.294), 1.648 (1.459– 1.856), 2.106 (1.877-2.361), and 2.154 (1.679-2.746), respectively, which showed an increasing trend as age increases (p for interaction <0.001). The ORs (95% CI) for the impacted tooth in those who were 18-29, 30-44, 45-59, and ≥ 60 years old were 1.234 (1.074-1.415), 1.283 (1.169-1.407), 1.397 (1.215-1.602), and 1.481 (0.933-2.282), which also indicated an increasing trend as age increases (p for interaction <0.001) (Table 3). Furthermore, we investigated the interaction effect of age with gingivitis and impacted tooth in a visualization method. It was observed that the coexistence of aging and gingivitis or impacted tooth had a synergistic effect on urolithiasis (Figures 2B, C). The ORs (95% CI) for gingivitis in those whose BMI were ≤ 18.5 , 18.5-23.9, 24.0–27.9, and \geq 28 kg/m² were 1.390 (0.803–2.270), 1.747 (1.554– 1.960), 2.038 (1.819-2.281), and 2.265 (1.865-2.744), presenting a higher positive relation between gingivitis and urolithiasis as BMI TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of the included participants with or without KS.

Variables	All participants $n = 86.548$	Participants without KS ^a n = 76.729	Participants with KS ^a n = 9.819	p-value		
Oral health conditions						
Caries, present (%)	21,660 (25.0)	19,488 (25.4)	2,172 (22.1)	< 0.001		
Residual root or crown, present (%)	8,116 (9.4)	7,056 (9.2)	1,060 (10.8)	< 0.001		
Denture, present (%)	7,130 (8.2)	6,305 (8.2)	825 (8.4)	0.543		
Dental calculus, present (%)	32,425 (37.5)	28,433 (37.1)	3,992 (40.7)	< 0.001		
Dental plaque, present (%)	8,271 (9.6)	7,111 (9.3)	1,160 (11.8)	< 0.001		
Gingivitis, present (%)	5,242 (6.1)	4,095 (5.3)	1,147 (11.7)	< 0.001		
Impacted tooth, present (%)	10,468 (12.1)	9,027 (11.8)	1,441 (14.7)	<0.001		
Presenting characteristics and comorbidities						
Sex, male (%)	48,678 (56.2)	41,599 (54.2)	7,079 (72.1)	< 0.001		
Age, y	41.16 ± 12.83	40.80 ± 12.83	43.93 ± 12.52	< 0.001		
BMI, kg/m ²	23.55 ± 3.39	23.46 ± 3.38	24.30 ± 3.31	< 0.001		
Hypertension, present (%)	7,284 (8.4)	6,003 (7.8)	1,281 (13.0)	< 0.001		
Diabetes, present (%)	2,097 (2.4)	1,751 (2.3)	346 (3.5)	< 0.001		
Coronary heart disease, present (%)	468 (0.5)	391 (0.5)	77 (0.8)	0.001		
Fatty liver, present (%)	23,203 (26.8)	19,637 (25.6)	3,566 (36.3)	< 0.001		
SBP, mmHg	123.67 ± 17.86	123.22 ± 17.70	127.18 ± 18.65	< 0.001		
DBP, mmHg	75.68 ± 11.98	75.35 ± 11.85	78.29 ± 12.60	< 0.001		
Laboratory parameters						
TP, g/L	76.01 ± 3.94	76.02 ± 3.93	75.87 ± 4.00	< 0.001		
Alb, g/L	46.13 ± 2.59	46.14 ± 2.58	46.10 ± 2.62	0.137		
Glo, g/L	29.87 ± 3.54	29.89 ± 3.54	29.77 ± 3.59	0.003		
Scr, µmol/L	73.74 ± 18.65	73.11 ± 18.41	78.68 ± 19.75	< 0.001		
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m ^{2b}	112.18 ± 17.17	112.76 ± 16.96	107.59 ± 18.02	< 0.001		
TC, mmol/L	4.52 ± 0.87	4.51 ± 0.86	4.61 ± 0.88	< 0.001		
HDL, mmol/L	1.28 ± 0.31	1.29 ± 0.31	1.23 ± 0.29	< 0.001		
LDL, mmol/L	2.72 ± 0.74	2.71 ± 0.74	2.81 ± 0.76	< 0.001		
TG, mmol/L	1.45 ± 1.26	1.43 ± 1.24	1.65 ± 1.41	< 0.001		
UA, mg/dL	341.53 ± 95.35	337.96 ± 93.81	369.40 ± 102.43	<0.001		
Glu, mmol/L	5.31 ± 1.09	5.29 ± 1.07	5.45 ± 1.23	<0.001		
UpH	6.12 ± 0.65	6.13 ± 0.65	6.10 ± 0.64	< 0.001		

KS, kidney stone; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; TP, total protein; Alb, albumin; Glo, globulin; SCr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; UA, uric acid; Glu, fasting glucose; UpH, Urine pH.

^aKS was diagnosed depending on the results of ultrasonographic imaging.

^bCalculated using the CKD-EPI equation (details can be found in Methods section).

increasing (*p* for interaction = 0.005) (Supplementary Table 2). We also separately explored the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis in both genders and observed a closer link between an impacted tooth and urolithiasis in males [OR (95% CI) 1.159 (1.013–1.322) vs. OR (95% CI) 1.361 (1.261–1.468), *p* for interaction = 0.037] (Supplementary Table 3; Supplementary Figure 1). We applied logistic regression using the

data with missing information in sensitivity analyses after adjusting for Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3, which confirmed the study results (Supplementary Table 4).

Mendelian randomization (MR) study is a method to assess the causal effect of an exposure on an outcome using an instrument, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), as a proxy for the exposure (9). In the MR study, we determined the SNPs TABLE 2 Association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis using an extended model approach.

	Univariate	Model 1-adjusted	Model 2-adjusted	Model 3-adjusted	
Odds ratio (95% CI)					
Caries	$0.834~(0.793 \sim 0.877)^{***}$	$0.907 \ (0.861 \sim 0.955)^{***}$	$0.912 \ (0.866 \sim 0.961)^{***}$	$0.912\;(0.865\sim 0.961)^{***}$	
Residual root or crown	$1.195~(1.116 \sim 1.279)^{***}$	1.211 $(1.129 \sim 1.297)^{***}$	$0.999~(0.930 \sim 1.073)$	1.007 (0.937 \sim 1.081)	
Dental calculus	$1.164 (1.115 \sim 1.215)^{***}$	$1.134~(1.083 \sim 1.187)^{***}$	$0.985~(0.940\sim 1.032)$	0.991 (0.946 ~ 1.039)	
Dental plaque	$1.312 (1.227 \sim 1.400)^{***}$	$1.492~(1.393 \sim 1.597)^{***}$	$0.934~(0.869 \sim 1.004)$	0.939 (0.873 ~ 1.010)	
Gingivitis	2.346 (2.188 ~ 2.513)***	2.247 (2.090 \sim 2.414)***	$1.925~(1.788 \sim 2.070)^{***}$	1.932 (1.794 \sim 2.078)***	
Impacted tooth	1.290 (1.214 ~ 1.369)***	1.305 (1.224 ~ 1.390)***	$1.312 (1.229 \sim 1.401)^{***}$	1.302 (1.219 ~ 1.390)***	

Models:

Model 1: adjusted for oral health conditions (caries, residual root or crown, dental calculus, dental plaque, gingivitis, and impacted tooth)

Model 2: Model 1 plus demographic characteristics and comorbidities (age, sex, BMI, HBP, DM, CHD, and FL).

Model 3: Model 2 plus laboratory outcomes (Alb, Glo, eGFR, HDL, LDL, TG, UA, Glu, and UpH).

BMI, body mass index; HBP, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus; CHD, coronary heart disease; FL, fatty liver; Alb, albumin; Glo, globulin; SCr, serum creatinine; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; UA, uric acid; Glu, fasting glucose; UpH, Urine pH; CI, confidence interval. **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001.

as instrumental variables to assess the causality between oral health conditions and urolithiasis (Supplementary Table 5). We discovered a causal association forms urolithiasis to gingivitis and periodontal diseases [OR (95% CI), 1.174 (1.009–1.366), p = 0.038 in univariate MR and OR (95% CI), 1.207 (1.027–1.418), p = 0.022 in multivariable MR]. A causal link from urolithiasis to impacted teeth [OR (95% CI), 1.801 (1.086–2.988), p = 0.031] in bidirectional MR (Figure 3A). The effect of independent SNPs was shown in Figures 3B, C and Supplementary Figures 2A, B. We applied sensitivity analysis with the leave-one-out method (Supplementary Figures 2C, D).

Discussion

This was the first study to investigate the association between urolithiasis and oral health conditions. We observed that presenting caries indicated a negative correlation with the risk for urolithiasis while presenting gingivitis and impacted tooth manifested to be positively associated with urolithiasis.

Furthermore, MR design is a new technique to explain causal inference and direction using SNPs as instrumental variables. Hence, we applied the MR method to the European population to confirm our discoveries from a cross-sectional study. We observed that genetically predicted gingivitis was associated with a higher risk of urolithiasis and causality from urolithiasis to impacted teeth.

Dental caries is a chronic and multifactorial disease. It is usually caused by the acid produced from the fermentation of oral microorganisms (13). However, the salivary calcium and phosphate ions can protect against such a process by remineralization on the tooth surface (14). Arvin et al. reported that the intake of calcium from drinking water could reduce the development of dental caries (15). In the meanwhile, Pratyusha et al. also demonstrated that deficiency of vitamin D and salivary calcium could increase the risk for dental caries (16). The most common type of kidney stone is calcium oxalate stone, followed by calcium phosphate, and calcium is the most common component in all stone types (17). Furthermore, calcium metabolism and vitamin D are indicated to be highly involved in urolithiasis. From the epidemiological perspective, intake and serum levels of vitamin D and calcium were evidenced to be positively associated with the risk of urolithiasis (18). From a pathophysiological point of view, high levels of vitamin D, especially the active metabolite calcitriol, could increase digestive calcium absorption and increase urine calcium excretion (19). Above all, deficiency of vitamin D and calcium might be a risk factor for caries and a protective factor for urolithiasis, which could explain the negative correlation between caries and urolithiasis. However, no causality was observed through MR analysis, and it might be attributed to insufficient statistical effect.

A periodontal disease which included gingivitis and periodontitis was reported to affect the supporting structures of the teeth and further contribute to systemic diseases. It was demonstrated that periodontal disease was linked to metabolic disorders, including diabetes mellitus, fatty liver, and obesity (20). Meanwhile, these factors were acknowledged to be the risk factor for the formation of kidney stones (21). Nevertheless, we adjusted for these factors, and oral inflammation was still positively correlated with the risk of urolithiasis, indicating that other crosstalk existed between periodontal disease and urolithiasis. The dissemination of microorganisms and virulence factors to distal sites through circulation and the interaction between the oral microbiome and inflammation activation were stated to induce various diseases (22). Other possible mechanisms of how periodontal disease affected other systems included cytokine communications, neuronal signals, and innate and adaptive immune responses (23). Therefore, oral inflammation could through similar pathophysiological processes contribute to urolithiasis. Estemalik et al. reported the detection of similar bacterial DNA in both the genitourinary system and oral cavity from the same individual (7). Our former publication also discovered the involvement of bacteria from the urinary tract in stone formation (24). These publications provided the possibility that oral microorganisms could migrate and colonize in the urogenital tract and contribute to stone formation. In addition, pre-inflammation cytokines, including IL-6, IL-17, IFN-γ, TNF-α, and other inflammatory molecules, were reported to elevate in the serum of periodontal disease patients (23). Kidney stone formation was highly linked to inflammation activation and immunology



FIGURE 2

Illustrations of the interaction. Adjusted as Model 3 (see Methods-Statistical analyses section for descriptions of Model 3). (A) Illustration showed interaction among oral health conditions. The color and figure presented the odds ratio (OR) of the interaction term. P for interaction was calculated by applying Wald's test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. (B) Illustration showed the interaction between age and the gingivitis condition. (C) Illustration showed the interaction between age and the impacted tooth condition.

TABLE 3 As	ssociation between	oral health	conditions and	urolithiasis g	grouped by ag	e.
------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------	----------------	---------------	----

	Age \leq 29	29 < age < 44	44 < age ≤ 59	59 < age	<i>p</i> -for interaction	
Odds ratio (95% CI)						
Caries	$0.901~(0.767 \sim 1.053)$	$0.909~(0.834{\sim}0.990)^*$	$0.911~(0.835{\sim}0.992)^*$	0.908 (0.788 ~ 1.044)	0.050	
Residual root or crown	$0.742~(0.502 \sim 1.056)$	$0.994~(0.860 \sim 1.143)$	1.102 (0.991 ~ 1.223)	1.005 (0.866 \sim 1.164)	0.039*	
Dental calculus	0.845 (0.731 ~ 0.975)*	1.008 (0.935 ~ 1.087)	0.951 (0.879 ~ 1.028)	0.960 (0.837 ~ 1.101)	0.779	
Dental plaque	$0.915~(0.581 \sim 1.377)$	$0.867~(0.760\sim 0.987)^*$	$0.914~(0.824 \sim 1.014)$	$0.878\;(0.704 \sim 1.089)$	0.092	
Gingivitis	1.827 (1.440 ~ 2.294)***	1.648 (1.459 ~ 1.856)***	2.106 (1.877 ~ 2.361)***	2.154 (1.679 ~ 2.746)***	<0.001***	
Impacted tooth	1.234 (1.074 ~ 1.415)**	1.283 (1.169 ~ 1.407)***	1.397 (1.215 ~ 1.602)***	1.481 (0.933 ~ 2.282)	<0.001***	

CI, confidence interval.

Model 3 was applied (see Methods-Statistical analyses section for descriptions of Model 3).

 $p^* < 0.05; p^{**} < 0.01; p^{***} < 0.001.$



Causal association between oral conditions and urolithiasis through MR study. (A) Forest plot showed OR (95% CI) through univariate MR, multivariable MR, and bidirectional MR. The inverse-variance weighted was the major method. The OR for urolithiasis on impacted teeth applied MR-Egger since pleiotropy was detected. (B) Forest plot showed the effect of instrumental SNPs of gingivitis and periodontal diseases on urolithiasis. (C) Forest plot showed the effect of instrumental SNPs of urolithiasis on impacted teeth. MR, Mendelian randomization; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphisms; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

involvement according to Randall's plaque theory. Activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, pro-inflammatory macrophages, and reactive oxygen species was highly involved in the process of stone formation (25). It can be speculated that the increased inflammatory factors in the peripheral blood caused by oral microbiota may affect the occurrence of stones. In addition, we unearthed interaction effects among the oral conditions on the stone formation. The aforementioned evidence gave an insight into the crosstalk between periodontal disease and urolithiasis. Nevertheless, explorations on concrete mechanisms of how periodontal disease interacted with urolithiasis were warranted.

Urolithiasis was detected to be associated with abnormal mineralization and osteogenic trans-differentiation of epithelial cells, indicating that a disorder of bone-related metabolism could elevate the risk of urolithiasis (26). Furthermore, during odontogenesis, dental follicle cells can differentiate into osteoblasts and can recruit and activate osteoclasts, which plays a significant role in tooth eruption (27). Hence, pathologic bone remodeling might induce an impacted tooth. In addition, enamel renal syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder characterized by nephrocalcinosis and impacted posterior teeth with hyperplastic pericoronal follicles, which could provide evidence for the genetic association between urolithiasis and impacted teeth (28). Therefore, bone metabolism could build a bridge between urolithiasis and impacted tooth status.

According to our statistical analyses, we observed a synergistic effect of age and oral pathological conditions on stone formation risk. We discovered that aged individuals with poor oral health were more vulnerable to urolithiasis and the OR for urolithiasis of gingivitis increased by nearly 30% (from 1.648 to 2.106) as age increased from 29-44 to 44-59. An epidemiological study indicated that the incidence, prevalence, and severity of periodontitis were positively associated with age (29). A previous study also manifested that age could interact with oral health conditions through cellular senescence and the immune system (30). Moreover, in unerupted teeth, squamous metaplasia was observed to be associated with age, while inflammation in the dental follicle was described to be related to squamous epithelial metaplasia (31). On the other hand, according to epidemiological research on urolithiasis, age was demonstrated to be associated with the stone types and urinary biochemical parameters, and age-related risk comorbidities for urolithiasis (32). Given that aging showed a high correlation to both oral conditions and kidney formation, it would be more appropriate to pour more attention into the risk for urolithiasis in aged individuals with poor oral conditions.

This study still harbored several limitations. First, it was arduous to eliminate the bias since our analyses depended on single-center physical examination information. Second, the diagnosis of urolithiasis was based on the results of ultrasonography rather than computed tomography. Nevertheless, ultrasonography was recommended for screening in a large population (33). Third, no information on the stone composition was obtained. Accordingly, we adjusted UpH to eliminate the bias from stone types and urine parameters (34). Fourth, we lacked dietary information. Therefore, multicenter prospective studies and mechanism research were warranted in prospect. Fifth, no causality was observed between caries and urolithiasis, there could be unadjusted confounding, or the statistical effect was inadequate.

Conclusion

This study was designed to elaborate on the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis. The results cast new light on the risk factor and pathogenesis of kidney stone formation and could provide novel evidence for the oral-genitourinary axis and the systematic inflammatory network. Our findings could also offer suggestions for accurate clinical prevention strategies against stone diseases.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Approval ID: TJ-C20160115). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

J-ZX, WG, and LC raised the conception and were responsible for the design of the study. J-XS, C-QL, Q-DX, J-LL, PZ, Y-ML, and YX took responsibility for the acquisition and integrity of the data and the data analysis. J-ZX, L-TM, S-HZ, W-JW, WG, and LC have interpreted the results. J-ZX and W-JW have drafted the manuscript. WG and YX provided intellectual content of critical importance to the study described, and all authors were involved in revising the manuscript critically. All authors read and approved the final manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the study in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the study are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Acknowledgments

We thank all R programming package developers.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023. 1174502/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Illustrations of the interaction of BMI. Adjusted as Model 3 (see Methods–Statistical Analyses section for descriptions of Model 3). **(A)** Illustration showed the interaction between BMI and the gingivitis condition. **(B)** Illustration showed the interaction between BMI and the impacted tooth condition.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

(A) Scatter plots showed the effect of instrumental SNPs of gingivitis and periodontal diseases on urolithiasis. (B) Scatter plots showed the effect of

References

1. Desai M, Sun Y, Buchholz N, Fuller A, Matsuda T, Matlaga B, et al. Treatment selection for urolithiasis: percutaneous nephrolithomy, ureteroscopy, shock wave lithotripsy, and active monitoring. *World J Urol.* (2017) 35:1395–9. doi: 10.1007/s00345-017-2030-8

2. Li S, Huang X, Liu J, Yue S, Hou X, Hu L, et al. Trends in the incidence and DALYs of urolithiasis from 1990 to 2019: results from the global burden of disease study 2019. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:825541. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.825541

3. Yuan S, Fang C, Leng W-D, Wu L, Li B-H, Wang X-H, et al. Oral microbiota in the oral-genitourinary axis: identifying periodontitis as a potential risk of genitourinary cancers. *Mil Med Res.* (2021) 8:54. doi: 10.1186/s40779-021-00344-1

4. Kim DH, Jeong SN, Lee JH. Chronic periodontal disease increases risk for prostate cancer in elderly individuals in South Korea: a retrospective nationwide population-based cohort study. *J Cancer*. (2020) 11:4716–23. doi: 10.7150/jca.45369

 Michaud DS, Kelsey KT, Papathanasiou E, Genco CA, Giovannucci E. Periodontal disease and risk of all cancers among male never smokers: an updated analysis of the Health Professionals Follow-up Study. Ann Oncol. (2016) 27:941– 7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw028

6. Hyun H, Park YW, Kwon YC, Cho BK, Lee JH. Relationship between chronic periodontitis and lower urinary tract symptoms/benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Int Neurourol J.* (2021) 25:77–83. doi: 10.5213/inj.2040072.036

7. Estemalik J, Demko C, Bissada NF, Joshi N, Bodner D, Shankar E, et al. Simultaneous detection of oral pathogens in subgingival plaque and prostatic fluid of men with periodontal and prostatic diseases. *J Periodontol.* (2017) 88:823– 9. doi: 10.1902/jop.2017.160477

8. Fang C, Wu L, Zhu C, Xie WZ, Hu H, Zeng XT. A potential therapeutic strategy for prostatic disease by targeting the oral microbiome. *Med Res Rev.* (2021) 41:1812–34. doi: 10.1002/med.21778

9. Boehm FJ, Zhou X. Statistical methods for Mendelian randomization in genomewide association studies: a review. *Comput Struct Biotechnol J.* (2022) 20:2338– 51. doi: 10.1016/j.csbj.2022.05.015

10. Yuan S, Larsson SC. Coffee and caffeine consumption and risk of kidney stones: a Mendelian randomization study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2022) 79:9-14.e1. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2021.04.018

11. Kurki MI, Karjalainen J, Palta P, Sipilä TP, Kristiansson K, Donner K, et al. FinnGen: unique genetic insights from combining isolated population and national health register data. *medRxiv*. (2022).

12. Wang X, Luo Y, Wang Y, Wang C, Zhao X, Wang D, et al. Comparison of associations of outcomes after stroke with estimated GFR using Chinese modifications of the MDRD study and CKD-EPI creatinine equations: results from the China National Stroke Registry. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2014) 63:59–67. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2013.08.008

13. Abbass MMS, Mahmoud SA, Moshy SE, Rady D, AbuBakr N, Radwan IA, et al. The prevalence of dental caries among Egyptian children and adolescences and its association with age, socioeconomic status, dietary habits and other risk factors. a cross-sectional study. *F1000Res.* (2019) 8:8. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.17047.1

14. Mathur VP, Dhillon JK. Dental caries: a disease which needs attention. Indian J Pediatr. (2018) 85:202-6. doi: 10.1007/s12098-017-2381-6

15. Arvin E, Bardow A, Spliid H. Caries affected by calcium and fluoride in drinking water and family income. *J Water Health.* (2018) 16:49–56. doi: 10.2166/wh.20 17.139

16. Pratyusha N, Vinay C, Uloopi KS, RojaRamya KS, Ahalya P, Devi C. Association of serum Vitamin D and salivary calcium and phosphorus levels in 3-11-year-old schoolchildren with dental caries. *J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.* (2021) 39:240–5. doi: 10.4103/jisppd.jisppd_457_20

instrumental SNPs of urolithiasis on impacted teeth. **(C)** Sensitivity analysis for the effect of gingivitis and periodontal diseases on urolithiasis applying the leave-one-out method. **(D)** Sensitivity analysis for the effect of urolithiasis on impacted teeth applying the leave-one-out method.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2

Association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis grouped by BMI.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 3

Association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis grouped by sex.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 4

Sensitivity analysis to characterize the association between oral health conditions and urolithiasis with missing values.

17. Liu C-J, Cheng C-W, Tsai Y-S, Huang H-S. Crosstalk between renal and vascular calcium signaling: the link between nephrolithiasis and vascular calcification. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:3590. doi: 10.3390/ijms22073590

18. Bargagli M, Ferraro PM, Vittori M, Lombardi G, Gambaro G, Somani B. Calcium and vitamin D supplementation and their association with kidney stone disease: a narrative review. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:12. doi: 10.3390/nu13124363

19. Letavernier E, Daudon M. Vitamin D, hypercalciuria and kidney stones. *Nutrients*. (2018) 10:366. doi: 10.3390/nu10030366

20. Gheorghe DN, Camen A, Popescu DM, Sincar C, Pitru A, Ionele CM, et al. Periodontitis, metabolic and gastrointestinal tract diseases: current perspectives on possible pathogenic connections. *J Pers Med.* (2022) 12:341. doi: 10.3390/jpm12030341

21. Khan SR, Pearle MS, Robertson WG, Gambaro G, Canales BK, Doizi S, et al. Kidney stones. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. (2016) 2:16008. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.8

22. Bui FQ, Almeida-da-Silva CLC, Huynh B, Trinh A, Liu J, Woodward J, et al. Association between periodontal pathogens and systemic disease. *Biomed J.* (2019) 42:27–35. doi: 10.1016/j.bj.2018.12.001

23. Konkel JE, O'Boyle C, Krishnan S. Distal consequences of oral inflammation. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1403. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01403

24. Yang Y, Hong S, Xu J, Li C, Wang S, Xun Y. Enterobacter cloacae: a villain in CaOx stone disease? *Urolithiasis*. (2022) 50:177–88. doi: 10.1007/s00240-022-01311-8

25. Khan SR, Canales BK, Dominguez-Gutierrez PR. Randall's plaque and calcium oxalate stone formation: role for immunity and inflammation. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2021) 17:417–33. doi: 10.1038/s41581-020-00392-1

26. Menon VB, Moysés RMA, Gomes SA, de Carvalho AB, Jorgetti V, Heilberg IP. Expression of fibroblast growth factor 23, vitamin D receptor, and sclerostin in bone tissue from hypercalciuric stone formers. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2014) 9:1263–70. doi: 10.2215/CJN.10030913

27. Zhou T, Pan J, Wu P, Huang R, Du W, Zhou Y, et al. Dental follicle cells: roles in development and beyond. *Stem Cells Int.* (2019) 2019:9159605. doi: 10.1155/2019/9159605

28. Dourado MR, Dos Santos CRR, Dumitriu S, Iancu D, Albanyan S, Kleta R, et al. Enamel renal syndrome: a novel homozygous FAM20A founder mutation in 5 new Brazilian families. *Eur J Med Genet.* (2019) 62:103561. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2018.10.013

29. Jiao J, Jing W, Si Y, Feng X, Tai B, Hu D, et al. The prevalence and severity of periodontal disease in Mainland China: Data from the Fourth National Oral Health Survey (2015-2016). *J Clin Periodontol.* (2021) 48:168–79. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13396

30. Luo L-S, Luan H-H, Wu L, Shi Y-J, Wang Y-B, Huang Q, et al. Secular trends in severe periodontitis incidence, prevalence and disability-adjusted life years in five Asian countries: a comparative study from 1990 to 2017. *J Clin Periodontol.* (2021) 48:627–37. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.13447

31. Bastos VC, Gomez RS, Gomes CC. Revisiting the human dental follicle: from tooth development to its association with unerupted or impacted teeth and pathological changes. *Dev Dyn.* (2022) 251:408–23. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.406

32. Tran TVM Li X, Adams-Huet B, Maalouf NM. Impact of age and renal function on urine chemistry in patients with calcium oxalate kidney stones. *Urolithiasis*. (2021) 49:495–504. doi: 10.1007/s00240-021-01254-6

33. Türk C, Petrík A, Sarica K, Seitz C, Skolarikos A, Straub M, et al. EAU guidelines on diagnosis and conservative management of urolithiasis. *Eur Urol.* (2016) 69:468–74. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2015.07.040

34. Manissorn J, Fong-Ngern K, Peerapen P, Thongboonkerd V. Systematic evaluation for effects of urine pH on calcium oxalate crystallization, crystalcell adhesion and internalization into renal tubular cells. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:1798. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01953-4