Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yujiao Deng, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, China

REVIEWED BY Xiaodi Huang, Peking Union Medical College Hospital (CAMS), China Shengnan Yu, First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, China Yingkun Xu, Chongqing Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jianwei Zhou ⊠ 2195045@zju.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Obstetrics and Gynecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 08 February 2023 ACCEPTED 27 February 2023 PUBLISHED 20 March 2023

CITATION

Li T, Feng R, Chen B and Zhou J (2023) EREG is a risk factor for the prognosis of patients with cervical cancer. *Front. Med.* 10:1161835. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1161835

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Feng, Chen and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

EREG is a risk factor for the prognosis of patients with cervical cancer

Tianye Li¹, Ruijing Feng², Bingxin Chen³ and Jianwei Zhou^{1*}

¹Department of Gynecology, The Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The Central Hospital of Wuhan, Wuhan, China, ³Department of Gynecologic Oncology, Women's Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

Background: Cervical cancer continues to threaten women's health worldwide. Identifying critical oncogenic molecules is important to drug development and prognosis prediction for patients with cervical cancer. Recent studies have demonstrated that epiregulin (EREG) is upregulated in various cancer types, which contributes to cancer progression by triggering the EGFR signaling pathway. However, the role of EREG is still unclear.

Methods: In this study, we first conducted a comprehensive biological analysis to investigate the expression of EREG in cervical cancer. Then, we investigated the correlations between EREG expression level and clinicopathological features. In addition, we validated the effects of EREG expression on the proliferation and apoptosis of cervical cancer cells.

Results: Based on the public database, we found that the expression of EREG was higher in advanced cervical cancer samples. Survival analysis showed that EREG was a risk factor for the prognosis of cervical cancer. *In vitro* experiments demonstrated that EREG knockdown undermined proliferation and promoted apoptosis in cancer cells.

Conclusion: EREG plays a vital role in the progression of cervical cancer, which contributes to hyperactive cell proliferation and decreased cell apoptosis. It might be a valuable target for prognosis prediction and drug development for cervical cancer in the future.

KEYWORDS

EREG, prognosis prediction, biomarker, proliferation, apoptosis, cervical cancer

1. Background

Cervical cancer remains a conundrum for gynecology clinicians and poses a serious threat to women's health worldwide (1). It is estimated that cervical cancer leads to 342,000 deaths, accounting for 7.7% of all deaths from malignancies in women (2). Due to human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination and the use of cervical cancer screening, the incidence of cervical cancer in developed countries has been decreasing year by year. However, in low-income and developing countries, the incidence and mortality rates of cervical cancer are still high. The number of cervical cancer deaths in these regions accounts for more than 90%

of global cervical cancer deaths (3). Although the overall survival of early stage cervical cancer is satisfactory after standardized treatment, the outcome of patients with locally advanced or metastatic cervical cancer is still poor (4). At the present stage, chemotherapy and radiotherapy cannot meet the unmet clinical needs (5, 6). Meanwhile, the development of novel targeted agents such as tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI), poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors (PARPi), and immune checkpoint inhibitors has altered the standard treatment paradigm for cancer (7–10). Identifying key genes or signaling pathways in cervical cancer is important for risk stratification and drug development.

Hyperactivated epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling has been reported in multiple cancer types, including but not limited to non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), breast cancer, bladder cancer, and colorectal cancer (11, 12). In addition, EGFR signaling is a key component driving the initiation and progression of cervical cancer. The coexistence of HPV infection and active EGFR signaling has been reported in multiple studies (13). The E5 protein of HPV could bind to the subunit of the protein pump ATPase, reduce EGFR degradation, and increase EGFR expression, eventually promoting the activation of the EGFR signaling pathway (14, 15). Moreover, the E6 protein of HPV also increases the expression of EGFR (16). Additionally, the alteration in the E6/E7 protein of HPV interferes with cervical cancer cell proliferation by decreasing EGFR stability at the posttranscriptional level (17). It has been identified that EGFR has seven ligands: EGF, EREG, amphiregulin (AREG), heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor (HB-EGF), epigen (EPGN), betacellulin (BTC), and transforming growth factor- α (TGF- α) (18). After binding with ligands, EGFR triggers the phosphorylation of downstream pathways, such as MAPK, PI3K-AKT, JAK-STAT, and PLCy1-PKC pathways, mainly supporting cancer cell survival and proliferation (19).

As the ligand of EGFR, EREG is commonly upregulated in cancer types, such as non-small cell lung cancer, breast cancer, gastric cancer, head and neck cancer, ovarian cancer, colorectal cancer, brain cancer, and bladder cancer (20). The EREG–EGFR axis participates in tumor progression by regulating several biological functions, including cell survival, proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis (21). In NSCLC, increased EREG is robustly associated with aggressive tumor phenotypes and poor outcomes (22, 23). Similarly, in gastric cancer and colorectal cancer, upregulated EREG also predicts the shorter survival of patients (24, 25). Generally, EREG is an unfavorable factor for the outcomes of patients with tumors. However, there are still rare studies investigating the role of EREG in cervical cancer. In this study, we calculated the correlations between EREG expression and clinical-pathological characteristics and prognosis of patients with cervical cancer. Moreover, we measured the effects of EREG knockdown on the proliferation and apoptosis of cervical cancer cells. Collectively, we showed that EREG might be a promising prediction biomarker and treatment target for cervical cancer in the future.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data available source

All expression profiles and clinicopathological parameters were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and TCGA TARGET GTEx, a combined cohort of TCGA, TARGET, and Genotype Tissue-Expression (GTEx) databases, and downloaded from the UCSC website (https://xenabrowser.net/). The web addresses of online websites and online analysis tools are presented in the context.

2.2. Expression level analysis

The expression level of EREG in 44 different types of cancer was collected. The survival data were extracted from a previous follow-up study (26). Samples with a follow-up duration of <30 days were excluded. Cancer types with <10 cases were omitted. Log2 (x + 0.001) transformation was performed for each expression value. Coxph function of R package survival (version 3.2-7) was used to establish a Cox proportional hazards regression model, and a forest map was conducted. The correlation between expression and clinicopathological parameters was calculated and analyzed using the online tool Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/) and the GraphPad Prism software (version 8.0).

2.3. Functional enrichment analysis and correlation analysis

The RNA-seq data were derived from the TCGA.CESC.SampleMap HiSeqV2 dataset and downloaded from http://xena.ucsc.edu/. Genes with a correlation coefficient R > 0.3 were identified as EREG-related members. The online tool Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) was used for enrichment analysis. The Gene Ontology (GO) and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) were adopted in enrichment analysis.

2.4. Protein interaction analysis

A protein interaction network analysis was employed to investigate EREG-associated proteins. The online tool STRING was used in protein interaction network analysis (https://cn.string-db. org/).

Abbreviations: EREG, epiregulin; HPV, human papillomavirus; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor; PARPi, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; AREG, amphiregulin; HBEGF, heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor; EPGN, epigen; BTC, betacellulin; TGF- α , transforming growth factor- α ; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GTEx, Genotype Tissue-Expression; GO, The Gene Ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; DMEM, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; FBS, fetal bovine serum; CCK8, Counting Kit-8; OD value, the optical density value.

2.5. RNA methylation analysis

A uniformly normalized Pan-Cancer online dataset TCGA-TARGET-GTEx derived from the UCSC (https://xenabrowser. net/) database was downloaded. Subsequently, we extracted the EREG and 44 marker genes of three types of RNA modifications. The primary solid tumor, primary tumor, primary blood-derived cancer bone marrow, and primary blood-derived cancer peripheral blood samples were collected and analyzed, while normal samples were excluded from the analysis. Then, further log2 (x + 0.001) transformation was performed for the expression matrix. Finally, Pearson correlations for RNA methylation modification marker genes and EREG were calculated.

2.6. Immune-associated analysis

The correlation between EREG expression and immunoregulatory genes was investigated using the SangerBox online platform (http://sangerbox.com/tool.html). Ultimately, the Pearson correlations between EREG and five immune pathway marker genes were calculated. In addition, the TIMER online platform (http://timer.comp-genomics.org/) was adopted to explore the relationship between EREG and immune cells in cervical cancer.

2.7. Cell culture

SiHa (cervical squamous cancer cell line) and Caski (omentummetastasized cervical cancer cell line) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained at the Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University Laboratory (Hangzhou, China). SiHa cells were cultured with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM), and Caski were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing a concentration of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). All of the cells were cultured in the incubator with 5% CO₂ at a temperature of 37° C.

2.8. RNA interference

The small interference RNA (siRNA) was structured by Guangzhou RiboBio. The interference RNA sequences were as follows: siEREG#1 (CCACCAACCTTTAAGCAAA), siEREG#2 (GCATCTATCTGGTGGACAT), and siEREG#3 (GGCTCAAGTGTCAATAACA).

2.9. Quantitative analysis with RT-PCR

The sample was disrupted and solubilized using Trizol (Takara Bio.). Then trichloromethane was used to extract the RNA. The aqueous phase containing total RNA was further purified by isopropanol and ethanol. The resulting product was resolved by 0.1% DEPC, and residual DNA was wiped

off with a gDNA wiper (a component of HiScript III RT SuperMix). Sample mRNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA with HiScript III RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). Then, cDNA was quantitatively analyzed by RT-PCR using an iTaqTM Universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, #1725125) and a 7,500 real-time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems). The primer sequences used are as follows: GAPDH Forward Primer, 5'-TGTGGGCATCAATGGATTTGG-3'; Reverse Primer, 5'-ACACCATGTATTCCGGGTCAAT-3'; EREG Forward Primer, 5'-GTGATTCCATCATGTATCCCAGG-3'; and EREG Reverse Primer, 5'-GCCATTCATGTCAGAGCTACACT-3'.

2.10. Cell counting kit-8 and clone formation assay

The 96-well plate was seeded with 2,500 cervical cancer cells per well. Using the Cell Counting Kit-8, the optical density value (OD value) at 450 nm wavelength, reflecting the vitality of the cells, was discovered after being treated for 48 h (DOJINDO). Utilizing GraphPad Prism 8, data analysis for the cell viability experiments was carried out (San Diego, CA). Data were fitted using the four-parameter logistic equation to derive the log (concentration)response curves (for IC50 values). In a 12-well plate, 1,000 cells, after transfected with siRNA for 24 h, were put into each well. The clonal cell aggregation was given medication or a new medium after being grown for 48 h. siRNA was transfected into the cell aggregation at 7 days again for guaranteeing the effect of RNA interference. The cultured plate was then collected after 7 days, and the clones were dyed with crystal violet. The stained clonal cell aggregation was processed and analyzed using ImageJ software. Statistical differences were analyzed using Student's t-test, and a *P*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

2.11. Cell apoptosis assay

The cells were collected after being treated for 24 h. The AnnexinV-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection Kit (BD556547) was subsequently used to dye the cells, and a flow cytometry device (Beckman) was used to measure the cell apoptosis rate. In each sample, three accessory wells were present. The apoptosis rate differences across groups were compared pairwise by an unpaired *t*-test, and a *P*-value of <0.05 was regarded as statistically significant. The flow cytometry data were analyzed using the FlowJo V software.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Data in this study were all statistically processed and analyzed using GraphPad 8.0 software, and all data were presented as "mean \pm standard deviation" (x \pm SD) with at least three independent repeated experiments. The independent sample *t*-test method was used to compare the control group and experimental group. The chi-square test was used to compare the ratio's statistical

significance. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Pearson correlation analysis was used to analyze the correlation between the two genes.

3. Results

3.1. The clinical significance of EREG in cervical cancer

The standardized datasets and prognostic outcomes (overall survival) were collected. An increased level of EREG was a risk prognostic factor in the following types of cancer: glioma, adrenocortical carcinoma, kidney renal clear cell carcinoma, cervical cancer, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, Pan-kidney cohort, lung adenocarcinoma, bladder urothelial carcinoma, glioblastoma multiforme, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and liver hepatocellular carcinoma (Figure 1A; Supplementary Table 1). Using the Kaplan-Meier Plotter curve [Kaplan-Meier Plotter (kmplot.com)], we investigated the relationship between survival data (overall survival and relapsefree survival) and the EREG expression condition of cervical cancer (Figure 1B). The findings revealed that EREG overexpression was associated with a poor prognosis in patients with cervical cancer. Furthermore, we investigated the relationship between the EREG expression condition of patients with cervical cancer and clinical significance, including stage status and T status. The clinical stage analysis showed that EREG expression was increased in Stages 3-4 and T3-4 tumors rather than in the early stage (Figures 2A, B). It also showed that increased EREG expression resulted in worse clinical outcomes in cervical cancer.

3.2. Functional analysis and correlation analysis of EREG in cervical cancer

Enrichment analyses of the KEGG and GO pathways were performed using the DAVID online platform. The findings suggested that EREG may play a role in a number of cancerrelated molecular pathways, including those involving the EGFR biological process, the extracellular matrix structure, the interaction between cytokines and their receptors, and the PI3K-AKT, JAK-STAT, MAPK, and NK-B intracellular biological processes (Figures 3, 4A-C). Besides, protein interaction network analysis showed that the EREG could interact with or combine with the RAS family (H-Ras and K-Ras) and the ERBB family (ERBB2, ERBB3, and ERBB4), as well as its receptor EGFR (Figure 4D). In addition, genetic alteration analysis was carried out to investigate the underlying mutation-derived biological process alternatives. The findings revealed that cervical cancer tissue with a higher EREG level had higher mutative frequencies of HECTD4, NBAS, THSD7A, BRCA2, CENPE, VWF, STK11, NBEAL2, STAB1, DMXL1, GOLGA4, GANAB, and KIAA1549. Meanwhile, the cervical cancer tissue with a lower level of EREG just harbored higher mutative frequencies of KNTC1 and RTL1 (Figure 5A). Furthermore, the RNA modification analysis revealed that EREG expression was significantly correlated with the RNA methylation modification reader genes, including m1A reader (YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, and YTHDC1), m5C reader (ALYREF), and m6A reader (YTHDF1, YTHDF2, YTHDF3, YTHDC1, YTHDC2, and HNRNPA2B1) (Figure 5B). The aforementioned results delineate a potential biological process that, through RNA methylation regulation, EREG triggered various signaling factors dysregulation. The aforementioned factors collectively caused adverse prognostic events in cervical cancer.

3.3. Immune-associated analysis of EREG in cervical cancer

Epiregulin was positively correlated with most chemokines, such as CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CXCL5, CXCL6, CXCL8, and CCL20, in most types of cancer, including cervical cancer. However, it was negatively correlated with some kinds of chemotactic cytokines, such as CXCL14, CCL14, CXCL17, and CX3CL1. The prognostic analysis showed that the overall survival of CXCL1, CXCL2, CXCL3, CLCL6, CXCL8, and CCL20 were all risk factors in cervical cancer, with the hazard ratios of 2.29 (p = 0.00072), 2.41 $(p = 0.00016), 2.41 \ (p = 0.00021), 2.29 \ (p = 0.00034), 1.61 \ (p = 0.00034), 1.61$ 0.045), 2.97 (p = 1.2e-5), and 2.02 (p = 0.0071), respectively. In contrast, CXCL14, CCL14, CXCL17, and CX3CL1 were favorable factors, whose hazard ratios were 0.41 (p = 0.00017), 0.67 (p =0.12), 0.61 (p = 0.0397), and 0.46 (p = 0.00095). A lot of studies have illustrated that the expression of chemokines in cervical cancer could result in different tumoral biological effects that strikingly affect the outcomes of patients (27-31). The results of the analysis showed that the expression of EREG was commonly positive relative to the adverse chemokine clusters. Besides, EREG was related to immunostimulator pathway genes rather than immunosuppressor genes (Figure 6A; Supplementary Figure 1). Furthermore, the TIMER analysis showed the relationship between EREG and immune cells. HPV-positive head and neck squamous cancer, which was considered to share the same etiology and pathology as cervical cancer, was also presented to explore the potential immune-associated mechanisms. The TIMER analysis suggested that the expression of EREG seemed negative relative to the infiltration level of most types of immune cells, including B lymphocytes, CD8+ T cells, CD4+ T cells, macrophages, neutrophil cells, and dendritic cells, both in cervical cancer and head and neck squamous cancer (Figures 6B, C). The results indicated that EREG might diminish the immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment. Additionally, head and neck squamous cancer also shared the same immune regulation characteristics with cervical cancer (Figure 6A; Supplementary Figure 1), which indicated that the HPV infection might interact with EREG and together lead to cancer immune regulation dysfunction. The contradiction between the immune regulation gene analysis and immune cell infiltration analysis of EREG in cervical cancer reflected the dual character of immune regulation. However, more investigation into how EREG plays a role in the tumor immune microenvironment is needed, both in vivo and in vitro.

CancerCode	pvalue		Hazard Ratio(95%CI)
ГСGA-GBMLGG(N=619)	8.4e-10	•	1.19(1.13,1.26)
TCGA-ACC(N=77)	2.7e-7	F	1.24(1.13,1.36)
TCGA-KIRC(N=515)	5.7e-7	-	1.17(1.10,1.24)
TCGA-CESC(N=273)	9.2e-6	-	1.18(1.09,1.27)
TCGA-PAAD(N=172)	1.2e-5		1.20(1.11,1.30)
TCGA-KIPAN(N=855)	6.2e-4	1-0-1	1.07(1.03,1.11)
TCGA-LUAD(N=490)	5.4e-3	11	1.06(1.02,1.10)
TCGA-BLCA(N=398)	8.9e-3	1	1.06(1.01.1.10)
TCGA-GBM(N=144)	0.01	 	1.10(1.02.1.19)
TARGET-ALL-R(N=99)	0.01	● 4	1.09(1.02,1.17)
TCGA-LUSC(N=468)	0.02	∳- ●-1	1.06(1.01.1.11)
TCGA-LIHC(N=341)	0.03	}-●-1	1.06(1.01.1.11)
TCGA-STES(N=547)	0.06	- - -1	1.04(1.00,1.09)
TCGA-LAML(N=209)	0.06	k-●- +	1.05(1.00,1.10)
TCGA-STAD(N=372)	0.07		1.06(1.00,1.12)
TCGA-THYM(N=117)	0.09		1.25(0.96.1.64)
TCGA-UVM(N=74)	0.09	 	1 33(0 94 1 87)
$TCGA_SKCM_P(N=07)$	0.10		1.09(0.94,1.07)
$TCGA_KICH(N=64)$	0.13		1 21(0.94,1.56)
TCGA-HNSC(N=500)	0.14	l-●-1	1.03(0.09.1.08)
TARGETJI AMI (N=142)	0.15	1	1.05(0.99,1.00)
TARGET-ALL (N-94)	0.15		1.10(0.97,1.25)
TCCA SVCM(N=444)	0.10		1.10(0.97,1.23)
TCGA - SKCM(N-444)	0.18		1.02(0.99,1.00)
TCGA TCCT(N=128)	0.25		1.09(0.93,1.20)
TCGA UCEC(N=166)	0.25	[]	1.20(0.02,2.02)
TCGA DI PC(N=44)	0.46		1.04(0.94,1.13)
TCGA-DLBC(N=44)	0.40	h	1.09(0.80,1.38)
TCGA-THCA(N=501)	0.47	h	1.06(0.91,1.22)
TARGET-WT(N=80)	0.58	have	1.04(0.91,1.19)
TCGA-UCS(N=55)	0.58	less and	1.03(0.92,1.16)
1CGA-LGG(N=4/4)	0.65	had a d	1.02(0.94,1.10)
1CGA-OV(N=407)	0.71		1.01(0.96,1.07)
TCGA-PRAD(N=492)	0.72	laster	1.04(0.82,1.33)
TCGA-SARC(N=254)	0.//		1.01(0.95,1.08)
TCGA-MESO(N=84)	0.86		1.01(0.93,1.09)
TCGA-ESCA(N=175)	0.87	1	1.01(0.93,1.09)
TCGA-SKCM-M(N=347)	0.97		1.00(0.96,1.04)
TARGET-NB(N=151)	1.00	1	1.00(0.93,1.07)
TCGA-COADREAD(N=368)	0.02		0.91(0.85,0.98)
TCGA-COAD(N=278)	0.06		0.92(0.85,1.00)
TCGA-READ(N=90)	0.09		0.84(0.68,1.03)
TCGA-BRCA(N=1044)	0.27	h	0.97(0.93,1.02)
TCGA-KIRP(N=276)	0.40	-	0.97(0.89,1.05)
TCGA-PCPG(N=170)	0.92	······	0.99(0.74,1.31)
	-0.4	0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8	1.0
	log2(H	Hazard Ratio(95%CI))	
В	EREG	EF	EG
0:-	HR = 3.26 (2.03 - 5.21)		HR = 2.04 (0.7 - 5.21)
t l	$p = 1.9 \times 10^{-7}$		p = 0.18
° ↓ ***** ***		0.8 al	Low Expression = 44
* ***	+		High Expression = 130
0.6		0.6	
sury sury	Low Expression = 103	, lie	
		apse	
		Rel	
2	Uigh Eugenegics = 201	22	
	righ Expression = 201	Ŭ	
0		0-	
	I		
0 50	100 150 200	0 50 10	0 150 200

FIGURE 1

Prognosis analysis of EREG in Pan-Cancer and patients with cervical cancer. (A) The forest map delineated the relationship between EREG expression and overall survival in 44 types of cancer. The cancer codes and corresponding full terms are listed in Supplementary Table 1. (B) The overall survival and relapse-free survival of EREG in patients with cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma were presented by Kaplan–Meier Plotter (http://kmplot.com/analysis/).



Clinical feature analysis of EREG in Pan-Cancer and patients with cervical cancer. (A) The relationship between EREG expression and clinical features (clinical stage and T stage) in Pan-Cancer. (B) The relationship between EREG expression and clinical features in cervical cancer. "*" represented p < 0.05, indicated statistically significant.



3.4. Knockdown of EREG undermined the proliferation of cervical cancer

siRNA was used to downregulate the expression of EREG in SiHa and CaSki, and the most effective siEREG#1 was selected for further experiments (Figure 7A). EGFR, which is robustly associated with EREG, is a crucial biological

factor in cell proliferation. Therefore, it is apparent to detect the proliferation of cervical cancer with different EREG expression statuses. The proliferation assay using CCK8 showed that the knockdown of EREG could impede cervical cancer cell proliferation (Figure 7B). Additionally, the clone formation assay confirmed the phenomenon (Figures 7E, G, H).



3.5. Knockdown of EREG-induced apoptosis in cervical cancer

Using the pathway enrichment analysis and protein interaction of EREG (Figures 3, 4), multiple signaling factors were identified. Therein, EREG/Ras was a potential protein interaction (Figure 4D). It was reported that the downregulation of the EREG/Ras pathway could induce cell cycle arrest and finally trigger apoptosis in hepatoma cells (32). Therefore, the apoptosis analysis was undertaken to detect the apoptosis rate of cervical cancer cells with different EREG expression levels. The results verified the putative EREG pathway and indicated the cervical cancer cells would trend to apoptosis when the EREG declined (Figures 8A, B, E, F, I, J).



Genetic alteration analysis and RNA methylation analysis of EREG in cervical cancer. (A) The waterfall plot represents the genetic mutation discrepancies in a different expression of EREG in cervical cancer. The annotations in parentheses behind the gene symbol represent the p-value of the mutation discrepancy. (B) The map represents the relationship between EREG expression and the distribution of crucial RNA methylation modification genes. "*" represented p < 0.05, which indicated statistical significance.



3.6. Knockdown of EREG-sensitized cervical cancer to cisplatin

Since EREG downregulation could trigger cervical cancer apoptosis, it is logical that declining EREG could be a promising

therapy for cervical cancer. However, the single gene's interference usually makes no difference because of the compensation of other signaling pathways (33). As is well known, cisplatin is the canonical chemotherapy for cervical cancer. Therefore, to further explore the effect variation of cisplatin on cervical cancer cells



FIGURE 7

The alterations in biological behavior when EREG was knocked down in cervical cancer. (A) Real-time PCR was used to detect mRNA levels in SiHa and CaSki cells transfected with siEREG at 48 h. (B) The CCK8 cell viability curve was used to detect the proliferation of cervical cancer cells with different EREG expression levels. The CCK8 cell viability curve was used to detect the relative cell viability of SiHa (C) and CaSki cells (D) transfected with siEREG at different cisplatin concentration gradients after 48 h. (E) The clone formation of SiHa and CaSki transfected with siEREG with siE



FIGURE 8

The changes in apoptosis when EREG was knocked down in cervical cancer cells with or without cisplatin treatment. Flow cytometry showed the apoptosis rate of SiHa cells after siRNA transfection, followed by treatment with 10μ M cisplatin (**C**, **D**) or not (**A**, **B**) for 48 h. Flow cytometry exhibited the apoptosis rate of CaSki cells after siRNA transfection, followed by treatment with 5μ M cisplatin (**G**, **H**) or not (**E**, **F**) for 48 h. The histogram showed the apoptosis rate of SiHa (**I**) and CaSki (**J**) with different treatments. "*" represents p < 0.05, "**" represents p < 0.01, and "***" represents p < 0.001.

	Cisplatin concentration of IC50 (95% confidence interval) (µM)	
SiHa-NC	12.9 (10.57–17.10)	
SiHa-siEREG	6.395 (5.255-8.168)	
CaSki-NC	5.011 (4.406-5.754)	
CaSki-siEREG	3.123 (2.770–3.523)	

TABLE 1 The four-parameter logistic equation of GraphPad Prism was used to determine the IC50 of cisplatin for each type of cervical cancer cell, taking into account their divergent EREG expression.

as the expression of EREG is downregulated, the CCK8 cell viability assay was conducted (Figures 7C, D). Both SiHa and CaSki with downregulated EREG displayed more vulnerability to cisplatin than the negative control ones through IC50 value analysis (Table 1). Meanwhile, the number of clone formation particles in cervical cancer cells with EREG knocked down was robustly less than the negative control ones following the cisplatin treatment (Figures 7F–H). Furthermore, the apoptosis rate of cervical cancer cells with EREG downregulated was significantly higher than the negative control ones in the treatment with cisplatin (Figures 8C, D, G, H–J). The results suggested that the knockdown of EREG could induce the sensibilization of cervical cancer on cisplatin and indicated a promising synergistic therapeutic regimen of cisplatin and EREG inhibitors.

4. Discussion

As a well-established protumorigenic signaling pathway, EGFR is frequently mutated in various types of cancer. EGFR drives tumorigenesis by enhancing pro-survival, antiapoptotic responses, proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, and vascular mimicry (34-36). Moreover, hyperactivated EGFR signaling leads to the upregulation of stemness markers, including Oct4, Nanog, CD44, and CXCR4. Upon binding with its ligands, such as EREG, the conformation of the tyrosine kinase domain of EGFR is altered, triggering autophosphorylation and intracellular signaling cascades. Besides acting as a cell surface receptor, EGFR could locate in the nucleus and function as a co-transcriptional activator or nuclear kinase (nuclear EGFR, also termed nEGFR). It has been validated that nEGFR promotes the expression of multiple oncogenes, such as Cyclin D1, AurkA, c-Myc, and BCRP/ABCG2 (19). Additionally, nEGFR contributes to the resistance to chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and EGFR-targeting therapy (37-40). Nowadays, EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors, such as gefitinib and erlotinib, have been widely used in the clinic (41).

Epiregulin is the ligand of EGFR, eliciting a variety of biological functions mainly through EGFR-mediated tyrosine kinase activity. In the tumor microenvironment, autocrine and paracrine EREG activates the downstream pathways of EGFR to promote tumorigenesis (20). In a COX2-overexpressed bladder cancer model, EREG is identified as the most highly expressed EGF, supporting tumor cell proliferation (42). EREG promotes motility capability by activating MAPK and PI3K-AKT pathways in salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma cells (43). In head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, EREG enhances malignant transformation by activating

the MAPK pathway and inducing C-Myc expression (44). Notably, fibroblast-derived EREG could support the growth of the colitisassociated neoplasm by activating the MAPK pathway in intestinal epithelial cells (45). In parallel, EREG/RAS dual knockdown leads to cycle arrest and retards liver cancer growth by regulating MAPK, PI3K-AKT, and Rb pathways (32). Although EREG expression has no significant relationship to clinicopathological features in gastric cancer, a high EREG level is an independent predictor of poor clinical outcomes for patients receiving curative surgery (46). However, there are rare studies estimating the role of EREG in cervical cancer.

In the present study, we found that high EREG expression was associated with the poor survival of patients with cervical cancer. In addition, EREG expression was increased in Stages T3-4 and 3-4 tumors. Enrichment analysis demonstrated that EREG was highly associated with cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, PI3K-AKT signaling, TNF signaling, JAK-STAT signaling, MAPK signaling, and NK- κ B signaling. Notably, EREG was also related to HPV infection. As mentioned earlier, HPV infection could trigger the EGFR pathway by upregulating EGFR expression. EREG, as well, plays an important role in tumoral immune regulation. It was found to be increased in myeloid cells across the progression of cancer (47). In this study, EREG was also found to take part in the negative immune regulation, mainly via chemokine processes and probably impeding immune cell infiltration, through which EREG eventually resulted in the adverse clinical event. Besides, in vitro experiments indicated that EREG knockdown limited cell proliferation and promoted cell apoptosis. Moreover, EREG knockdown relieved the resistance to cisplatin in cervical cancer cells. In conclusion, our data showed that EREG functioned as a driving factor in cervical cancer progression and contributed to chemotherapy resistance. However, the mechanistic investigation of how EREG contributed to the phenotype was limited, while EREG was considered to act through nEGFR and downstream pathways. A further mechanistic investigation was needed. In conclusion, it is logical that targeting EREG could be a potential strategy for cervical cancer treatment.

5. Conclusion

Collectively, high EREG expression predicts poor prognostic outcomes for patients with cervical cancer. EREG knockdown impairs proliferation and promotes apoptosis of cervical cancer cells. EREG would be a promising target for risk classification and drug development for patients with cervical cancer.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

The study was conceived and designed by JZ. TL performed the most statistical analysis and experiments and wrote the

manuscript. RF and BC participated in collecting literature and helped in revising the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant Number: LY20H160028).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

References

1. Yi M, Li T, Niu M, Luo S, Chu Q, Wu K. Epidemiological trends of women's cancers from 1990 to 2019 at the global, regional, and national levels: a population-based study. *Biomark Res.* (2021) 9:55. doi: 10.1186/s40364-021-00310-y

2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

3. Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdel-Rahman O, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol.* (2019) 5:1749–68. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019. 2996

4. Cohen PA, Jhingran A, Oaknin A, Denny L. Cervical cancer. *Lancet.* (2019) 393:169-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32470-X

5. Sun Q, Wang L, Zhang C, Hong Z, Han Z. Cervical cancer heterogeneity: a constant battle against viruses and drugs. *Biomark Res.* (2022) 10:85. doi: 10.1186/s40364-022-00428-7

6. Small WJr, Bacon MA, Bajaj A, Chuang LT, Fisher BJ, Harkenrider MM, et al. Cervical cancer: a global health crisis. *Cancer.* (2017) 123:2404–12. doi: 10.1002/cncr.30667

7. Yi M, Dong B, Qin S, Chu Q, Wu K, Luo S. Advances and perspectives of PARP inhibitors. *Exp Hematol Oncol.* (2019) 8:29. doi: 10.1186/s40164-019-0154-9

8. Li A, Yi M, Qin S, Chu Q, Luo S, Wu K. Prospects for combining immune checkpoint blockade with PARP inhibition. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2019) 12:98. doi: 10.1186/s13045-019-0784-8

9. Yi M, Zhang J, Li A, Niu M, Yan Y, Jiao Y, et al. The construction, expression, and enhanced anti-tumor activity of YM101: a bispecific antibody simultaneously targeting TGF-β and PD-L1. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2021) 14:27. doi: 10.1186/s13045-021-01045-x

10. Yi M, Wu Y, Niu M, Zhu S, Zhang J, Yan Y, et al. Anti-TGF- β /PD-L1 bispecific antibody promotes T cell infiltration and exhibits enhanced antitumor activity in triple-negative breast cancer. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2022) 10:e005543. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-005543

11. Sigismund S, Avanzato D, Lanzetti L. Emerging functions of the EGFR in cancer. *Mol Oncol.* (2018) 12:3–20. doi: 10.1002/1878-0261.12155

12. Shi K, Wang G, Pei J, Zhang J, Wang J, Ouyang L, et al. Emerging strategies to overcome resistance to third-generation EGFR inhibitors. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2022) 15:94. doi: 10.1186/s13045-022-01311-6

13. Ilahi NE, Bhatti A. Impact of HPV E5 on viral life cycle via EGFR signaling. Microb Pathog. (2020) 139:103923. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103923 their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023. 1161835/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The map shows the relationship between EREG and immune regulatory genes. The clearer map presented the relationship between EREG expression and the expression of immune regulatory genes. The types of genes were divided into chemokine, receptor, immunoinhibitory, and immunostimulator. In addition, the official gene symbols of each type were listed right on the map.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1

Cancer codes and corresponding full terms.

14. Zhang B, Srirangam A, Potter DA, Roman A. HPV16 E5 protein disrupts the c-Cbl-EGFR interaction and EGFR ubiquitination in human foreskin keratinocytes. *Oncogene*. (2005) 24:2585-8. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1208453

15. Straight SW, Hinkle PM, Jewers RJ, McCance DJ. The E5 oncoprotein of human papillomavirus type 16 transforms fibroblasts and effects the downregulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor in keratinocytes. *J Virol.* (1993) 67:4521–32. doi: 10.1128/jvi.67.8.4521-4532.1993

16. Morgan EL, Scarth JA, Patterson MR, Wasson CW, Hemingway GC, Barba-Moreno D, et al. E6-mediated activation of JNK drives EGFR signalling to promote proliferation and viral oncoprotein expression in cervical cancer. *Cell Death Differ*. (2021) 28:1669–87. doi: 10.1038/s41418-020-00693-9

17. Hu G, Liu W, Mendelsohn J, Ellis LM, Radinsky R, Andreeff M, et al. Expression of epidermal growth factor receptor and human papillomavirus E6/E7 proteins in cervical carcinoma cells. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (1997) 89:1271-6. doi: 10.1093/jnci/89.17.1271

18. Harris RC, Chung E, Coffey RJ. EGF receptor ligands. *Exp Cell Res.* (2003) 284:2-13. doi: 10.1016/S0014-4827(02)00105-2

19. Levantini E, Maroni G, Del Re M, Tenen DG, EGFR. signaling pathway as therapeutic target in human cancers. *Semin Cancer Biol.* (2022) 85:253–75. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.04.002

20. Cheng WL, Feng PH, Lee KY, Chen KY, Sun WL, Van Hiep N, et al. The role of EREG/EGFR pathway in tumor progression. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:12828. doi: 10.3390/ijms222312828

21. Riese DJ2nd, Cullum RL. Epiregulin: roles in normal physiology and cancer. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2014) 28:49–56. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2014.03.005

22. Zhang J, Iwanaga K, Choi KC, Wislez M, Raso MG, Wei W, et al. Intratumoral epiregulin is a marker of advanced disease in non-small cell lung cancer patients and confers invasive properties on EGFR-mutant cells. *Cancer Prev Res.* (2008) 1:201–7. doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-08-0014

23. Sunaga N, Kaira K, Imai H, Shimizu K, Nakano T, Shames DS, et al. Oncogenic KRAS-induced epiregulin overexpression contributes to aggressive phenotype and is a promising therapeutic target in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Oncogene*. (2013) 32:4034–42. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.402

24. Xia Q, Zhou Y, Yong H, Wang X, Zhao W, Ding G, et al. Elevated epiregulin expression predicts poor prognosis in gastric cancer. *Pathol Res Pract.* (2019) 215:873–9. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2019.01.030

25. Stahler A, Heinemann V, Giessen-Jung C, Crispin A, Schalhorn A, Stintzing S, et al. Influence of mRNA expression of epiregulin and amphiregulin on outcome of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with 5-FU/LV plus irinotecan or irinotecan plus oxaliplatin as first-line treatment (FIRE 1-trial). *Int J Cancer.* (2016) 138:739–46. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29807

26. Liu J, Lichtenberg T, Hoadley KA, Poisson LM, Lazar AJ, Cherniack AD, et al. An integrated TCGA pan-cancer clinical data resource to drive high-quality survival outcome analytics. *Cell.* (2018) 173:400–16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.052

27. Sun J, Yuan J. Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1/chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 2 autocrine loop contributes to cellular proliferation, migration and apoptosis in cervical cancer. *Bioengineered.* (2022) 13:7579–91. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2022.2036896

28. Kadomoto S, Izumi K, Mizokami A. The CCL20-CCR6 axis in cancer progression. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2020) 21:5186. doi: 10.3390/ijms21155186

29. Zhang JJ, Liu W, Xing GZ, Xiang L, Zheng WM, Ma ZL. Role of CC-chemokine ligand 2 in gynecological cancer. *Cancer Cell Int.* (2022) 22:361. doi: 10.1186/s12935-022-02763-z

30. Xu L, Li C, Hua F, Liu X. The CXCL12/CXCR7 signalling axis promotes proliferation and metastasis in cervical cancer. *Med Oncol.* (2021) 38:58. doi: 10.1007/s12032-021-01481-2

31. Kodama J. Hasengaowa, Kusumoto T, Seki N, Matsuo T, Ojima Y, et al. Association of CXCR4 and CCR7 chemokine receptor expression and lymph node metastasis in human cervical cancer. *Ann Oncol.* (2007) 18:70–6. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdl342

32. Zhao M, He HW, Sun HX, Ren KH, Shao RG. Dual knockdown of N-ras and epiregulin synergistically suppressed the growth of human hepatoma cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2009) 387:239–44. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.06.128

33. Uda S, Saito TH, Kudo T, Kokaji T, Tsuchiya T, Kubota H, et al. Robustness and compensation of information transmission of signaling pathways. *Science*. (2013) 341:558–61. doi: 10.1126/science.1234511

34. Weihua Z, Tsan R, Huang WC, Wu Q, Chiu CH, Fidler IJ, et al. Survival of cancer cells is maintained by EGFR independent of its kinase activity. *Cancer Cell.* (2008) 13:385–93. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2008.03.015

35. Sato M, Shames DS, Gazdar AF, Minna JD. A translational view of the molecular pathogenesis of lung cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* (2007) 2:327–43. doi: 10.1097/01.JTO.0000263718.69 320.4c

36. Peter Y, Comellas A, Levantini E, Ingenito EP, Shapiro SD. Epidermal growth factor receptor and claudin-2 participate in A549 permeability and remodeling: implications for non-small cell lung cancer tumor colonization. *Mol Carcinog.* (2009) 48:488–97. doi: 10.1002/mc.20485

37. Traynor AM, Weigel TL, Oettel KR, Yang DT, Zhang C, Kim K, et al. Nuclear EGFR protein expression predicts poor survival in early stage non-small cell lung cancer. *Lung Cancer*. (2013) 81:138–41. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2013.03.020

38. Kwak EL, Sordella R, Bell DW, Godin-Heymann N, Okimoto RA, Brannigan BW, et al. Irreversible inhibitors of the EGF receptor may circumvent acquired resistance to gefitinib. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2005) 102:7665–70. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0502860102

39. Nishimura Y, Yoshioka K, Bereczky B, Itoh K. Evidence for efficient phosphorylation of EGFR and rapid endocytosis of phosphorylated EGFR via the early/late endocytic pathway in a gefitinib-sensitive non-small cell lung cancer cell line. *Mol Cancer.* (2008) 7:42. doi: 10.1186/1476-4598-7-42

40. Li C, Iida M, Dunn EF, Ghia AJ, Wheeler DL. Nuclear EGFR contributes to acquired resistance to cetuximab. *Oncogene.* (2009) 28:3801–13. doi: 10.1038/onc.2009.234

41. Li S, Zhu S, Wei H, Zhu P, Jiao Y, Yi M, et al. The prospect of combination therapies with the third-generation EGFR-TKIs to overcome the resistance in NSCLC. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2022) 156:113959. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113959

42. Wang X, Colby JK, Rengel RC, Fischer SM, Clinton SK, Klein RD. Overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) in the mouse urinary bladder induces the expression of immune- and cell proliferation-related genes. *Mol Carcinog.* (2009) 48:1–13. doi: 10.1002/mc.20449

43. Hu K, Li SL, Gan YH, Wang CY, Yu GY. Epiregulin promotes migration and invasion of salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma cell line SACC-83 through activation of ERK and Akt. *Oral Oncol.* (2009) 45:156–63. doi: 10.1016/j.oraloncology.2008.04.009

44. Liu S, Wang Y, Han Y, Xia W, Zhang L, Xu S, et al. EREG-driven oncogenesis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma exhibits higher sensitivity to Erlotinib therapy. *Theranostics.* (2020) 10:10589–605. doi: 10.7150/thno.47176

45. Neufert C, Becker C, Türeci Ö, Waldner MJ, Backert I, Floh K, et al. Tumor fibroblast-derived epiregulin promotes growth of colitis-associated neoplasms through ERK. *J Clin Invest.* (2013) 123:1428–43. doi: 10.1172/JCI63748

46. Suematsu H, Hashimoto I, Hiroshima Y, Watanabe H, Kano K, Takahashi K, et al. Clinical significance of EREG gene expression in gastric cancer tissue after curative surgery. *Anticancer Res.* (2022) 42:3873–8. doi: 10.21873/anticanres.15880

47. Zhao X, Li H, Lyu S, Zhai J, Ji Z, Zhang Z, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals heterogeneous progression and EGFR activation in pancreatic adenosquamous carcinoma. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2021) 17:2590–605. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.58886