Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jeremy M. Jacobs, Hadassah Medical Center, Israel

REVIEWED BY Hiroyuki Yamaguchi, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, United States Songyang Liu, Aerospace Center Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Guoyong Yin I guoyong_yin@sina.com Shujie Zhao I zhaoshujie@njmu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 02 February 2023 ACCEPTED 25 May 2023 PUBLISHED 08 June 2023

CITATION

Liu H, Zhao X, Li Y, Yi J, Zhang C, Zheng Z, Dai S, Yin G and Zhao S (2023) Bioinformatic analysis of the molecular mechanisms underlying the progression of bone defects. *Front. Med.* 10:1157099. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1157099

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Zhao, Li, Yi, Zhang, Zheng, Dai, Yin and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Bioinformatic analysis of the molecular mechanisms underlying the progression of bone defects

Hao Liu^{1,2,3†}, Xuan Zhao^{1,2,3†}, Yin Li^{1,2,3†}, Jiang Yi^{1,2,3†}, Chenxi Zhang^{1,2,3}, Ziyang Zheng^{1,2,3}, Siming Dai^{1,2,3}, Guoyong Yin^{1,2,3*} and Shujie Zhao^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, ²Jiangsu Institute of Functional Reconstruction and Rehabilitation, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China, ³Spinal Cord Disease Research Center, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

Background: The pathophysiology of bone defects (BDs) is complex, and the treatment for bone defects, in particular massive bone defects, remains a major clinical challenge. Our study was conducted to explore the molecular events related to the progression of bone defects a common clinical condition.

Methods: First, microarray data of GSE20980 were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, where 33 samples in total were used to analyze the molecular biological processes related to bone defects. Next, the original data were normalized and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified. Additionally, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses were conducted. Finally, a protein–protein interaction (PPI) network was constructed and the trends of the different genes were confirmed.

Results: Compared with the samples of non-critical size defects (NCSD), the samples of critical size defects (CSD) had 2057, 827, and 1,024 DEGs at 7, 14, and 21days post injury, respectively. At day 7, the DEGs were significantly enriched in metabolic pathways, at day 14 the DEGs were predominantly enriched in G-protein coupled signaling pathways and the Janus kinase (JAK)-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) signaling pathway, and at day 21 the DEGs were mainly enriched in circadian entrainment and synaptic-related functions. The PPI network showed similar results. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and western blot (WB) were performed to validate the partial results of sequencing.

Conclusion: This study provides some clues about the molecular mechanism behind bone defects, which should contribute to scientific research and clinical treatment of this condition.

KEYWORDS

bone defects, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG), protein-protein interaction (PPI), circadian rhythms, metabolic pathway

Introduction

Bone defects often occur as a result of trauma, the resection of tumors, infections, osteoporosis, and other factors (1, 2). Millions of people worldwide suffer from bone defects every year, which can even cause severe disability (3). In the United States alone, there are more than 6.5 million patients with bone defects each year (4). Although there are many clinical methods to treat bone defects, such as autologous bone transplantation, no breakthrough has been made (5, 6). For this reason, it is imperative to understand the possible molecular mechanisms underlying the progression of bone defects in detail.

Physiologically, once the bone is damaged by mechanical injury, an inflammatory reaction is activated, and the repair cascade is initiated (7). Although the immune response and inflammatoryassociated functions were found to play important roles (8), many of the key molecular changes that occur in a temporally specific manner remain unclear.

The transcriptome is known to reflect cellular pathophysiological information (9). In recent years, there have been few bioinformatic studies on bone defects. In this study, transcriptome data of GSE20980 were used to explore the molecular processes related to the progression of bone defects. We evaluated three different time points (7, 14, and 21 days post injury) for pathway and functional enrichment analyses. After that, a PPI network was constructed. We further validate the partial above results using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and western blot (WB). This study reveals the key molecular mechanisms behind the progression of bone defects and identifies potential therapeutic targets for the condition.

Materials and methods

Transcriptome data

The transcriptome data of GSE20980 based on the GPL1335 platform (Affymetrix Rat Genome 230 2.0 Array) were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus database.¹ According to the traditional definition, a critical size defect (CSD) is the minimum defect size that cannot be healed spontaneously, where 8 mm is commonly considered as the CSD of rat calvarial defects (10–12). In this experiment, circular defects of 8 mm (CSD) or 4 mm (none-CSD, NCSD) were created in the calvaria by a drill. At the indicated time points post injury, the region of regeneration was harvested, and the RNA was isolated from the tissue using TRIzol reagent. Next, labeling and hybridization to rat whole-genome microarrays (Agilent) were performed.

Data preprocessing

The raw data of the series were normalized using Robust Multichip Average (RMA) method and log2 transformed. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to visualize data variance. When different probes located the same gene symbol, we used the average to represent the gene expression level. In total, 31,042 gene chips were taken into consideration during the data processing.

Identification and analysis of differentially expressed genes

We divided the data into six groups: CSD post-bone defects group vs. NCSD post-bone defects group at different times (7, 14, and 21 days). The Student's *t*-test was used to identify DEGs with an average fold-change of >2.0, and p < 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Pathway and functional enrichment analyses

Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID 6.8; http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/) software was used to identify the enriched pathways and biological processes of the DEGs by Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway and Gene Ontology (GO) functional analyses (GO terms were identified under categories of biological processes), respectively (13–15). A value of p < 0.05 was set as the threshold. The scatter plot was plotted by http://www.bioinformatics.com.cn, an online tool for data analysis and visualization.

Protein-protein interaction network construction

The PPI network was constructed using the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING; http://www.string-db.org/). The biological processes of the genes and proteins were visualized through the Cytoscape (version 3.7.1) software platform, using 400 as the default confidence cutoff (16, 17).

Calvarial defect model

All animal experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University. 12 weeks old Sprague Dawley rats were used to performed calvarial defect models as previously described (12). In brief, under anesthetic conditions, defects (4 or 8 mm in diameter) were created on the right parietal bone of the skull using a round burr attached to drill. The defects were washed with saline.

RNA isolation and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the trizol reagent (Takara, Dalian, China), and the cDNA was amplified using the HiScript II QRT SuperMix for qPCR (R122-01, Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The qPCR was performed use a real-time 7500 PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Inc., United States) using AceQ qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Q111-02, Vazyme, China). All primer sequences are listed in

¹ http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo

Supplementary Table S1. The target genes were normalized to GAPDH expression, and the relative expression levels were performed using the $2^{-\triangle \triangle CT}$ method.

Western blotting

Each bone callus was ground to fine particles with pestle and mortar in liquid nitrogen. Subsequently, the tissue was transferred to the EP tube for protein isolation. Equal amounts of proteins were separated via sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. After blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin, the membrane was incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies. The primary antibodies used were as follows: anti-phospho-JAK2 (1:1,000), anti-JAK2 (1:1,000), anti-phospho-Stat3 (1:1,000), anti-Stat3 (1:1,000), and anti- β -actin (1:1,000). Next, immunodetections were performed using the appropriate secondary antibodies (1:10,000), and the immunoreactive bands were visualized via the Tanon 4600SF System (Tanon, China).

Statistical analysis

In all cases, data are presented as the means \pm SEM from at least three independent biological replicates. GraphPad Prism 9.0.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, United States) and SPSS software version 26.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) were used to conduct statistical analyses. Unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used for comparisons between two groups. Differences between groups were considered significant at a value of p < 0.05.

Results

Data preprocessing and differentially expressed gene screening

Box plots of the CSD and NCSD data at different time points (7, 14, and 21 days) before and after normalization are presented in Figure 1A. The results demonstrated that after normalization, the expression values of each sample were similar. Principal component analysis (PCA) captures the variance of the principal components, and our study shows that overall gene expression is different across the CSD and NCSD groups at the three time points (Figure 1B). The DEGs between the CSD groups and NCSD groups at the three time points were analyzed following data preprocessing and the PCA. As shown in Figure 1C, there were 303, 698, and 417 upregulated DEGs at days 7, 14, and 21, respectively. Additionally, 1,754, 129, and 607 downregulated DEGs were identified at the three time points, respectively. At day 14, the number of upregulated DEGs was more than the downregulated ones. In contrast, at days 7 and 21, there were much less upregulated DEGs. The volcano plots that were constructed to visualize these identified DEGs are shown in Figure 1C. The heat maps of color-coded gene expression values, indicating the variability in DEGs expression between the CSD and NCSD groups after the bone injury, are shown in Figures 2A-C.

KEGG pathway and GO enrichment analyses

In this study, we concentrated on the DEGs at 7, 14, and 21 days post injury. The most enriched KEGG pathways of the up- and downregulated DEGs at 7, 14, and 21 days are shown in Figures 3A-C, respectively. At day 7, the upregulated DEGs were primarily associated with synaptic-related functions, including the GABAergic synapse $(p = 4.64 \times 10^{-03})$, neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction $(p = 2.52 \times 10^{-02})$, and synaptic vesicle cycle $(p = 3.92 \times 10^{-02})$; Figure 3A). At day 14, the upregulated DEGs were highly associated with the Hippo signaling pathway ($p = 3.44 \times 10^{-03}$), neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction ($p = 1.33 \times 10^{-02}$), fat digestion, and absorption ($p = 2.26 \times 10^{-02}$; Figure 3B). At day 21 post injury, the upregulated DEGs were enriched in focal adhesion ($p = 7.44 \times 10^{-03}$), ECM-receptor interaction ($p = 1.30 \times 10^{-02}$), the TGF- β signaling pathway ($p = 1.43 \times 10^{-02}$), the RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway $(p = 2.18 \times 10^{-02})$, and the PI3K-Akt signaling pathway $(p = 2.46 \times 10^{-02};$ Figure 3C).

We are more concerned about the downregulated DEGs. Additionally, at day 7, the downregulated DEGs were involved in metabolic pathways, including protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum ($p = 3.20 \times 10^{-04}$), amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism ($p = 1.96 \times 10^{-03}$), metabolic pathways ($p = 4.35 \times 10^{-03}$), protein export ($p = 9.31 \times 10^{-03}$), and purine metabolism $(p = 1.20 \times 10^{-02};$ Figure 3A). At day 14, the downregulated DEGs were primarily enriched in pathways related to olfactory transduction ($p = 2.03 \times 10^{-04}$), intestinal immune network for IgA (p = 2.53×10^{-02}), long-term production depression $(p=3.90\times 10^{-02}),$ the Janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK–STAT) signaling pathway ($p = 4.03 \times 10^{-02}$), and the oxytocin signaling pathway ($p = 4.66 \times 10^{-02}$; Figure 3B). Finally, at day 21 post injury, the downregulated DEGs were enriched in pathways related to synaptic-related functions and circadian entrainment, including the glutamatergic synapse $(p = 9.93 \times 10^{-05})$, circadian entrainment $(p = 1.35 \times 10^{-04})$, synaptic vesicle cycle ($p = 2.27 \times 10^{-04}$), GABAergic synapse ($p = 4.30 \times 10^{-04}$), and neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction ($p = 3.32 \times 10^{-02}$; Figure 3C).

The top 5 GO terms (biological processes) of the up- and downregulated DEGs are all summarized in Table 1. The results showed that at 7 days post injury, the upregulated DEGs were mostly enriched in the single-multicellular organism process ($p = 3.41 \times 10^{-13}$), system process ($p = 4.07 \times 10^{-13}$), and multicellular organismal process ($p = 4.97 \times 10^{-13}$). At other two time points, the upregulated DEGs were enriched in some development and cellular processes, which contained the multicellular organismal process ($p = 1.10 \times 10^{-11}$), single-multicellular organism process ($p = 1.28 \times 10^{-11}$), anatomical structure development ($p = 1.10 \times 10^{-09}$), and system development ($p = 2.20 \times 10^{-09}$) terms at 14 days, and multicellular organismal process ($p = 2.78 \times 10^{-15}$), response to chemical ($p = 2.45 \times 10^{-12}$), reproduction



 $(p = 6.58 \times 10^{-08})$, and system process $(p = 9.46 \times 10^{-08})$ terms at 21 days.

At 7 days post injury, the downregulated DEGs were mostly enriched in the metabolic process, such as cellular metabolic process $(p = 8.87 \times 10^{-20})$, organic substance metabolic process $(p = 2.42 \times 10^{-18})$, metabolic process $(p = 1.20 \times 10^{-17})$, and mRNA metabolic process $(p = 3.02 \times 10^{-17})$ terms. At 14 days post injury, they were remarkably associated with the system process $(p = 3.52 \times 10^{-10})$, G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway $(p = 1.95 \times 10^{-09})$, and neurological system process $(p = 4.97 \times 10^{-09})$ terms. Finally, downregulated DEGs were enriched in neurological and synapticrelated functions at 21 days post injury, which were anterograde transsynaptic signaling $(p = 1.02 \times 10^{-09})$, trans-synaptic signaling $(p = 1.06 \times 10^{-09})$, synaptic signaling $(p = 1.49 \times 10^{-09})$, cell-cell signaling $(p = 1.51 \times 10^{-08})$, and chemical synaptic transmission $(p = 2.60 \times 10^{-08})$.

PPI network construction and functional module analysis

The PPI networks of DEGs were constructed via STRING and visualized by Cytoscape software. The results revealed that the downregulated DEGs were enriched in the metabolic pathways and cellular metabolic processes at 7 days post injury (Figure 4A); in the JAK–STAT signaling pathway and G-protein coupled receptor



signaling pathway at 14 days post injury (Figure 4B); and in the glutamatergic synapse, GABA receptor binding, circadian entrainment, and trans-synaptic signaling pathways at 21 days post injury (Figure 4C).

qRT-PCR and WB analysis

We established rat models of NCSD and CSD to verify the top genes at the transcriptome level and most enriched pathways at the protein level. The quantification of the mRNA expression levels of genes (Hoxa2, Ccr8, and Abca13) were reduced at 7 days post injury (Figure 5A), genes (II2, Kif5c, and Cib3) were reduced at 14 days post injury (Figure 5B), and genes (Atp2b2, Mef2a, and Nap115) were reduced at 21 days post injury (Figure 5C). To verify the most enriched pathways, we detected the protein expression levels of JAK2, phospho-JAK2, STAT3, and phospho-STAT3 in callus of two groups at 14 days post injury (Figure 5D). As expected, compared with the NCSD groups, phosphorylation levels of JAK2 and STAT3 were significantly decreased in the CSD groups (Figures 5E–H).

Discussion

Currently, it remains a challenge to repair a variety of bone defects caused by various reasons. Therefore, it is essential to understand the molecular process of bone defect progression (18). Because experimental calvarial defects in rats allow for the consistent evaluation of bone regeneration, this model has been widely accepted for the study of bone defect repair (19). It is worth mentioning that the calvarial bone formation proceeds via intramembranous ossification without intermediate cartilage formation (20, 21). GSE20980 consists

of abundant transcriptome data from the regeneration region of CSD and NCSD group at 7, 14, and 21 days post injury. DEGs were identified by analyzing gene expression at these different time points, and KEGG pathway and GO enrichment analyses were subsequently conducted. PPI network was constructed to further analyze the molecular mechanism behind the progression of bone defects. In addition, qRT-PCR and WB were used to validated partial key results. This study may provide a basis for our further understanding of this clinical condition.

In this present study, there were 2,057, 827, and 1,024 DEGs at 7, 14, and 21 days post injury, respectively, at the site of new bone formation. These results indicated that we could focus on different changes in molecular events at these three time points. The majority of alterations in molecular events occurred at 7 days post injury. There were 1,754 downregulated genes at this time point. The KEGG analysis, GO enrichment analysis, and PPI analyses consistently showed that the downregulated DEGs were primarily associated with metabolic pathways and cellular metabolic process at 7 days after the bone injury. Previous research has found that inflammation plays an important role in fracture healing, and that interfering with any inflammation-related pathways or proteins will either promote or inhibit fracture healing (22, 23). A previous study has found that at 7 days after injury, macrophages undergoing metabolic reprogramming toward aerobic glycolysis inhibits fracture healing by influencing inflammation (24). Mesenchymal stem cell metabolism and osteoblast metabolism also have important roles in the fracture repair process (25). Our results are therefore a reminder to prioritize consider the metabolic pathways and cellular metabolic process in the first week post injury.

In this study, we found that the G protein-coupled signaling pathway and JAK–STAT signaling pathway played key roles at 14 days after the bone injury. The largest family of cell surface molecules



DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

involved in signaling consists of G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), which play key physiological roles, and their dysfunction leads to several diseases, such as osteoporosis, osteoarthritis, and ischemic stroke seizures (26, 27). GPCRs are membrane receptors that

can trigger intracellular signals (28, 29). Many GPCRs [e.g., parathyroid hormone 1 receptor (PTH1R) and calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR)] can promote osteoblast differentiation, and osteoclasts also express many GPCRs [e.g., calcitonin receptor (CTR)

A, CSD vs. NCSD (day 7)				
Category	GO ID	Biological process	p value	Rank
Upregulated	GO:0044707	Single-multicellular organism process	3.41e-13	1
	GO:0003008	System process	4.07e-13	2
	GO:0032501	Multicellular organismal process	4.97e-13	3
	GO:0050877	Neurological system process	2.83e-12	4
	GO:0098916	Anterograde trans-synaptic signaling	2.14e-11	5
Downregulated	GO:0044237	Cellular metabolic process	8.87e-20	1
	GO:0006396	RNA processing	3.00e-19	2
	GO:0071704	Organic substance metabolic process	2.42e-18	3
	GO:0008152	Metabolic process	1.20e-17	4
	GO:0016071	mRNA metabolic process	3.02e-17	5
B, CSD vs. NCSD (day 14)				
Category	GO ID	Biological process	p value	Rank
Upregulated	GO:0032501	Multicellular organismal process	5.18E-12	1
	GO:0044707	Single-multicellular organism process	1.10E-11	2
	GO:0044763	Single-organism cellular process	1.28E-11	3
	GO:0048856	Anatomical structure development	1.10E-09	4
	GO:0048731	System development	2.20E-09	5
Downregulated	GO:0003008	System process	3.52E-10	1
	GO:0007186	G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway	1.95E-09	2
	GO:0050877	Neurological system process	4.97E-09	3
	GO:0050906	Detection of stimulus involved in sensory perception	1.47E-08	4
	GO:0007600	Sensory perception	4.15E-08	5
C, CSD vs. NCSD (day 21)				
Category	GO ID	Biological process	<i>p</i> value	Rank
Upregulated	GO:0032501	Multicellular organismal process	1.79E-16	1
	GO:0044707	Single-multicellular organism process	2.78E-15	2
	GO:0042221	Response to chemical	2.45E-12	3
	GO:0000003	Reproduction	6.58E-08	4
	GO:0003008	System process	9.46E-08	5
Downregulated	GO:0098916	Anterograde trans-synaptic signaling	1.02E-09	1
	GO:0099537	Trans-synaptic signaling	1.06E-09	2
	GO:0099536	Synaptic signaling	1.49E-09	3
	GO:0007267	Cell–cell signaling	1.51E-08	4
	GO:0007268	Chemical synaptic transmission	2.60E-08	5

TABLE 1 GO terms enriched by differentially expressed genes at three time-points following bone defect.

GO, gene ontology.

was able to inhibit bone formation in normal bone metabolism, and ovarian cancer G-protein coupled receptor 1 (ORG1) has also been found to be promoted on osteoclast differentiation] (30–33). Together, they affect bone formation and development. In mammals, the JAK– STAT signaling pathway is critical for many cytokines and growth factors. This signaling pathway plays an important role in bone development and homeostasis, especially the STAT3, and osteoblasts and osteoclasts also express multiple JAKs and STATs (34, 35). Genetic variations in STAT3 can decrease bone mass and raise the possibility of trauma fractures in humans (34). Previous research has shown that interleukin-6 (IL-6) can promote osteoblast differentiation by activating the JAK–STAT signaling pathway (36). Thus, research focus on the processes of GPCRs and the JAK–STAT signaling pathway at 14 days post injury may contribute to the development of new bone defect treatment methods. In our study, the protein expression of phospho-JAK2 and phospho-STAT3 were found to be downregulated in CSD group at 14 days post injury. These findings may provide a potentially effective treatment strategy for orthopedic related diseases.

Notably, numerous downregulated DEGs were enriched in neurological and synaptic-related functions at 21 days post injury.



Previous studies have shown that neurological damage (e.g., traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury) can accelerate fracture healing (37, 38), and the nervous system can regulate bone homeostasis (23, 39). However, few studies have focused on synaptic alteration in bone repair regulation and the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Such synaptic alterations warrant further investigation.

In recent years, a growing number of studies have focused on the effects of circadian rhythms on bone homeostasis (40-43). Coincidentally, in our study, we found that the regulation of the circadian rhythm may be related to bone healing at 21 days post injury. Previous studies have shown that an impaired circadian rhythm will lead to skeletal health disorders (40). For example, knocking out clock genes in bone cells can influence bone homeostasis (44, 45). Therefore,

circadian entrainment should be further investigated as a potential research direction for bone healing purposes.

A key limitation of our study was that we had no raw data at 4 weeks, 5 weeks, or more subsequent time points post injury, limiting the information obtained regarding the molecular progress. Furthermore, there is still controversy over the definition of CSD, as the classical theory holds that this defect cannot be completely healed throughout the animal's natural life. Another definition is that this time period is the entire experimental process. This involves the choice of the diameter of the defect. Additionally, we had only performed a vertical comparison by time-point grouping, and we did not find some transcriptomes that have an impact throughout each time point.



Notwithstanding, the present study revealed that three sets of molecular processes [i.e., the metabolic pathways (at 7 days post injury); the G-protein coupled signaling pathway and JAK–STAT signaling pathway (at 14 days post injury); and circadian entrainment and synaptic-related functions (at 21 days post injury)] served important roles in the progression of bone defects. These related genes may provide new insights into the treatment of orthopedic diseases, like bone defects, non-union, and fractures, or even to address systemic conditions, such as skeletal disorders and osteoporosis. However, the roles of these molecular processes in the progression of bone defects still need to be confirmed by further studies.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GSE20980.

Author contributions

SZ and GY designed the present study. HL, YL, XZ, and JY performed the experiments, data analysis, statistical analysis, and wrote the manuscript. HL, YL, XZ, CZ, ZZ, and SD were involved in

the revision of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82030069, 81772351, 8151001184, and 82102570).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Zhai M, Zhu Y, Yang M, Mao C. Human mesenchymal stem cell derived exosomes enhance cell-free bone regeneration by altering their miRNA profiles. Advanced science. *Adv Sci.* (2020) 7:2001334. doi: 10.1002/advs.202001334

2. Guastaferro M, Reverchon E, Baldino L. Polysaccharide-based aerogel production for biomedical applications: a comparative review. *Dent Mater.* (2021) 14:1631. doi: 10.3390/ma14071631

3. Qi C, Deng Y, Xu L, Yang C, Zhu Y, Wang G, et al. A sericin/ graphene oxide composite scaffold as a biomimetic extracellular matrix for structural and functional repair of calvarial bone. *Theranostics*. (2020) 10:741–56. doi: 10.7150/thno.39502

4. Kashte S, Jaiswal AK, Kadam S. Artificial bone via bone tissue engineering: current scenario and challenges. *Tissue Eng Regen Med.* (2017) 14:1–14. doi: 10.1007/s13770-016-0001-6

5. Dimitriou R, Jones E, McGonagle D, Giannoudis PV. Bone regeneration: current concepts and future directions. *BMC Med.* (2011) 9:66. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-9-66

6. Han SH, Lee JU, Lee KM, Jin YZ, Yun HS, Kim GH, et al. Enhanced healing of rat calvarial defects with 3D printed calcium-deficient hydroxyapatite/collagen/bone morphogenetic protein 2 scaffolds. *J Mech Behav Biomed Mater*. (2020) 108:103782. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2020.103782

7. Claes L, Recknagel S, Ignatius A. Fracture healing under healthy and inflammatory conditions. *Nat Rev Rheumatol.* (2012) 8:133–43. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2012.1

8. Förster Y, Schmidt JR, Wissenbach DK, Pfeiffer SEM, Baumann S, Hofbauer LC, et al. Microdialysis sampling from wound fluids enables quantitative assessment of cytokines, proteins, and metabolites reveals bone defect-specific molecular profiles. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0159580. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0159580

9. Viñas R, Azevedo T, Gamazon ER, Liò P. Deep learning enables fast and accurate imputation of gene expression. *Front Genet.* (2021) 12:624128. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.624128

10. Schmitz JP, Hollinger JO. The critical size defect as an experimental model for craniomandibulofacial nonunions. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1986) 205:299. doi: 10.1097/00003086-198604000-00036

11. Kim KS, Lee JY, Kang YM, Kim ES, Kim GH, Rhee SD, et al. Small intestine submucosa sponge for in vivo support of tissue-engineered bone formation in the presence of rat bone marrow stem cells. *Biomaterials*. (2010) 31:1104–13. doi: 10.1016/j. biomaterials.2009.10.020

12. Kang D, Lee YB, Yang GH, Choi E, Nam Y, Lee JS, et al. FeS2-incorporated 3D PCL scaffold improves new bone formation and neovascularization in a rat calvarial defect model. *Int J Bioprint.* (2023) 9:636. doi: 10.18063/ijb.v9i1.636

13. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M. KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2016) 44:D457–62. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv1070

14. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2000) 28:27–30. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27

15. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc.* (2009) 4:44–57. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.211

16. Zhao SJ, Kong FQ, Fan J, Chen Y, Zhou S, Xue MX, et al. Bioinformatics analysis of the molecular mechanism of aging on fracture healing. *Biomed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:1–9. doi: 10.1155/2018/7530653

17. Zhao SJ, Zhou W, Chen J, Luo YJ, Yin GY. Bioinformatics analysis of the molecular mechanisms underlying traumatic spinal cord injury. *Mol Med Rep.* (2018) 17:8484–92. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2018.8918

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023.1157099/ full#supplementary-material

18. Wang J, Yin Q, Gu S, Wu Y, Rui Y. Induced membrane technique in the treatment of infectious bone defect: a clinical analysis. *Orthopaed Traumatol Surg Res.* (2019) 105:535–9. doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2001.007

19. Agrali OB, Yildirim S, Ozener HO, Köse KN, Ozbeyli D, Soluk-Tekkesin M, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of esterified hyaluronic acid fibers on bone regeneration in rat Calvarial defects. *Biomed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:1–8. doi: 10.1155/2018/3874131

20. Lin C-Y, Chang YH, Kao CY, Lu CH, Sung LY, Yen TC, et al. Augmented healing of critical-size calvarial defects by baculovirus-engineered MSCs that persistently express growth factors. *Biomaterials.* (2012) 33:3682–92. doi: 10.1016/j. biomaterials.2012.02.007

21. Doro DH, Grigoriadis AE, Liu KJ. Calvarial suture-derived stem cells and their contribution to cranial bone repair. *Front Physiol.* (2017) 8:956. doi: 10.3389/ fphys.2017.00956

22. Pape HC, Marcucio R, Humphrey C, Colnot C, Knobe M, Harvey EJ. Traumainduced inflammation and fracture healing. *J Orthop Trauma*. (2010) 24:522–5. doi: 10.1097/BOT.0b013e3181ed1361

23. Salhotra A, Shah HN, Levi B, Longaker MT. Mechanisms of bone development and repair. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2020) 21:696–711. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-00279-w

24. Zhao S-J, Liu H, Chen J, Qian DF, Kong FQ, Jie J, et al. Macrophage GIT1 contributes to bone regeneration by regulating inflammatory responses in an ERK/ NRF2-dependent way. J Bone Mineral Res. (2020) 35:2015–31. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4099

25. Zhou Y, Lian H, Liu K, Wang D, Xiu X, Sun Z. Puerarin improves graft bone defect through microRNA-155-3p-mediated p53/TNF- α /STAT1 signaling pathway. *Int J Mol Med.* (2020) 46:239–51. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2020.4595

26. Marinissen MJ, Gutkind JS. G-protein-coupled receptors and signaling networks: emerging paradigms. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* (2001) 22:368–76. doi: 10.1016/ S0165-6147(00)01678-3

27. Luo J, Sun P, Siwko S, Liu M, Xiao J. The role of GPCRs in bone diseases and dysfunctions. *Bone Res.* (2019) 7:19. doi: 10.1038/s41413-019-0059-6

28. Kimple AJ, Bosch DE, Giguère PM, Siderovski DP. Regulators of G-protein signaling and their G¦Á substrates: promises and challenges in their use as drug discovery targets. *Pharmacol Rev.* (2011) 63:728–49. doi: 10.1124/pr.110.003038

29. Keinan D, Yang S, Cohen RE, Yuan X, Liu T, Li YP. Role of regulator of G protein signaling proteins in bone. *Front Biosci.* (2014) 19:634–48. doi: 10.2741/4232

30. Jules J, Yang S, Chen W, Li YP. Role of regulators of G protein signaling proteins in bone physiology and pathophysiology. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci.* (2015) 133:47–75. doi: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2015.02.002

31. Ikegame M, Rakopoulos M, Zhou H, Houssami S, Martin JT, Moseley JM, et al. Calcitonin receptor isoforms in mouse and rat osteoclasts. *J Bone Mineral Res.* (1995) 10:59–65. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.5650100110

32. Appelt J, Tsitsilonis S, Otto E, Jahn D, Köhli P, Baranowsky A, et al. Mice lacking the calcitonin receptor do not display improved bone healing. *Cells*. (2021) 10:2304. doi: 10.3390/cells10092304

33. Yang M, Mailhot G, Birnbaum MJ, MacKay CA, Mason-Savas A, Odgren PR. Expression of and role for ovarian cancer G-protein-coupled receptor 1 (OGR1) during osteoclastogenesis. *J Biol Chem.* (2006) 281:23598–605. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M602191200

34. Li J. JAK-STAT and bone metabolism. JAK-STAT. (2013) 2:e23930. doi: 10.4161/ jkst.23930

35. Hu L, Liu R, Zhang L. Advance in bone destruction participated by JAK/STAT in rheumatoid arthritis and therapeutic effect of JAK/STAT inhibitors. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2022) 111:109095. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109095

36. Bellido T, Borba VZC, Roberson P, Manolagas SC. Activation of the Janus kinase/ STAT (signal transducer and activator of transcription) signal transduction pathway by interleukin-6-type cytokines promotes osteoblast differentiation. *Endocrinology*. (1997) 138:3666–76. doi: 10.1210/endo.138.9.5364

37. Xia W, Xie J, Cai Z, Liu X, Wen J, Cui ZK, et al. Damaged brain accelerates bone healing by releasing small extracellular vesicles that target osteoprogenitors. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:6043. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26302-y

38. Wang L, Yao X, Xiao L, Tang X, Ding H, Zhang H, et al. The effects of spinal cord injury on bone healing in patients with femoral fractures. *J Spinal Cord Med.* (2014) 37:414–9. doi: 10.1179/2045772313Y.0000000155

39. Wan Q-Q, Qin WP, Ma YX, Shen MJ, Li J, Zhang ZB, et al. Crosstalk between bone and nerves within bone. *Adv Sci.* (2021) 8:2003390. doi: 10.1002/advs.202003390

40. Aoyama S, Shibata S. The role of circadian rhythms in muscular and osseous physiology and their regulation by nutrition and exercise. *Front Neurosci.* (2017) 11:63. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00063

41. Gonçalves CF, Meng Q-J. Timing metabolism in cartilage and bone: links between circadian clocks and tissue homeostasis. *J Endocrinol.* (2019) 243:R29–R46. doi: 10.1530/JOE-19-0256

42. Li T, Jiang S, Lu C, Yang W, Yang Z, Hu W, et al. Melatonin: another avenue for treating osteoporosis? *J Pineal Res.* (2019) 66:e12548. doi: 10.1111/jpi.12548

43. Song C, Wang J, Kim B, Lu C, Zhang Z, Liu H, et al. Insights into the role of circadian rhythms in bone metabolism: a promising intervention target? *Biomed Res Int.* (2018) 2018:1–11. doi: 10.1155/2018/9156478

44. Maronde E, Schilling AF, Seitz S, Schinke T, Schmutz I, van der Horst G, et al. The clock genes period 2 and Cryptochrome 2 differentially balance bone formation. *PLoS One.* (2010) 5:e11527. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011527

45. Li T, Zhang S, Yang Y, Zhang L, Yuan Y, Zou J. Co-regulation of circadian clock genes and microRNAs in bone metabolism. *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*. (2022) 23:529–46. doi: 10.1631/jzus.B2100958