Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Romina Salpini, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy

REVIEWED BY Lorenzo Piermatteo, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy H. Syed Iqbal, YR Gaitonde Centre for AIDS Research and Education, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Shivali S. Joshi ⊠ shivalisioshi@amail.com

RECEIVED 15 December 2022 ACCEPTED 25 September 2023 PUBLISHED 09 October 2023

CITATION

Joshi SS, Sadler M, Patel NH, Osiowy C, Fonseca K and Coffin CS (2023) Systemic cytokine and viral antigen-specific responses in hepatitis D virus RNA positive versus HDV RNA negative patients. *Front. Med.* 10:1125139. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1125139

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Joshi, Sadler, Patel, Osiowy, Fonseca and Coffin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Systemic cytokine and viral antigen-specific responses in hepatitis D virus RNA positive versus HDV RNA negative patients

Shivali S. Joshi^{1*}, Matthew Sadler², Nishi H. Patel¹, Carla Osiowy³, Kevin Fonseca¹ and Carla S. Coffin^{1,2}

¹Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, ²Department of Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, ³National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Background: Hepatitis B virus (HBV)/Hepatitis D Virus (HDV) co-infection increases the risk of severe liver disease compared to HBV mono-infection. Adaptive immune responses to HDV are weakly detectable, and the involvement of innate immunity in the progression of HDV-related liver fibrosis is suggested. We hypothesize that an overall innate immune activation in HBV/HDV co-infection plays a role in liver disease progression and also impacts virus specific T cell response.

Methods: Sixteen HBV/HDV-co-infected-patients (median age 42y/7F/6 Asian/ 4 White/6 Black/15 HBeAg-) and 8 HBV monoinfected-patients (median age 39y/4F/4 Asian/3 Black/1 White/HBeAg-) with median follow-up of 5 years were enrolled. Liver fibrosis was assessed by liver stiffness measurement (LSM, FibroScan®). Proliferation of CD3 + CD4 + T cells in response to viral antigens using CFSE assays and cytokine secreting monocytes was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Results: Of 16 HBV/HDV, 11 were HDV-RNA+ (HBV-DNA 0–1,040 IU/mL), 5/11 Interferon (IFN) + Nucleos/tide Analog (NA), 3/11 NA monotherapy, median ALT 77 U/L at the time of sample collection, median LSM of 9.8. In 5 HDV RNA–, median HBV DNA 65 IU/mL, 4/5 prior IFN and/or NA, ALT 31 U/L, and median LSM 8.5 kPa. In 8 HBV controls, median HBV-DNA, ALT, LSM was 69 IU/mL, 33 U/L,5 kPa, respectively. PBMC stimulation with HBV core antigen (HBcAg) and HDV antigen (HDAg) showed weaker CD3 + CD4 + T-cell proliferation in HDV-RNA+ vs. HDV RNA– and HBV-mono-infected patients (p < 0.05). In HDV-RNA+ patients, a correlation between ALT and TNF- α (r = 0.76, p = 0.008), higher IL-10 levels and increased proportion of CD14 + TNF- α + cells were found.

Conclusion: In summary, during HBV/HDV coinfection, HDV RNA+ patients had weaker HBV and HDV specific responses, associated with increased TNF- α + monocytes irrespective of IFN treatment.

KEYWORDS

hepatitis D virus, cytokines, HBV/HDV co-infection, antigen-specific immune response, monocytes

Introduction

The Hepatitis D virus (HDV) causes the most severe form of viral hepatitis in humans. It is estimated that among 296 million hepatitis B virus (HBV) surface antigen chronic hepatitis B (CHB), up to 15-20 million people are co-infected with HDV worldwide (1). HBV/ HDV co-infection increases the risk of cirrhosis compared to HBV mono-infection with 70% of the co-infected cases progressing to end stage liver disease within 5–10 years (2). The underlying mechanisms of HDV induced liver disease pathogenesis are unclear. Similar to HBV, HDV is a non-cytopathic virus and the associated liver damage is thought to be an immune mediated injury (3). In chronic hepatitis D, HDV-specific adaptive response, particularly CD8+ T cell response is barely detectable and it had been recently discovered that HDV mutates to escape from the virus-specific CD8 T cell response (4, 5). One prior study found that HDV specific IFN-γ, IL-2 CD4+ T cell response is present in interferon alpha (IFN- α) induced and spontaneous viral clearance cases (5). Studies in mouse models of HDV infection and in 31 patients, have shown that innate immune responses mediated by monocytes and natural killer (NK) cells are implicated in accelerating liver damage in HBV/HDV co-infection (6-8). Further, in an immunodeficient humanized mouse model of HBV/HDV infection it was noted that co-infected mice showed increased inflammatory response which was correlated with increased liver damage compared to uninfected and HBV monoinfected mice (6). Recent studies using HBV/HDV cell culture models show that HDV but not HBV induces an innate immune response, yet the IFN induced response did not have a significant effect on HDV replication (9). Overall, these studies point out a central role of innate immune responses in HBV/HDV co-infection. We hypothesized that an overall innate immune activation in HBV/ HDV co-infection drives liver damage and provide data on HDV-specific and additionally HBV specific T cell responses in co-infection.

Patients and methods

In total 16 HBV/HDV co-infected carriers were prospectively recruited (n=11/16 HDV-RNA positive) from the University of Calgary (U of C) Liver Unit. In addition, 8 HBV mono-infected cases (with similar HBV DNA levels, <2-3 log IU/mL) were enrolled. Clinical characteristics of the patients in this study are outlined in Table 1. All subjects provided informed written consent to participate according to the guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by the U of C conjoint health research ethics board, CHREB (Ethics ID 16636). Clinical data and laboratory assays such as serum HBV DNA (according to clinical PCR, Abbott Architect lower limit of detection 10 IU/mL or ~ 50 virus copies per mL) was collected. Additional HBV serology (i.e., HBsAg, HBeAg, anti-HBeAg) was determined clinically with commercial chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassays (Abbott Architect; quantitative anti-HBc II and anti-HBs). HBV genotyping was done by in-house nested PCR as previously published (10). HDV-RNA testing was performed at National Microbiology Laboratory (NML), Winnipeg. Quantitative HDV RNA was measured by real-time RT-PCR method (linear range of 3.1 log10 to 10.4 log10 copies/mL (11).

TABLE 1	Clinical	characteristics	of HBV/HDV	and HBV	patients	enrolled
in the st	udy.					

	HBV (n = 8)	HBV/HDV Gr.1 (HDV RNA+) (<i>n</i> = 11)	HBV/HDV Gr. II (HDV RNA–) (<i>n</i> = 5)
Median age in years (median IQR)	39 (18.5)	39 (14)	45 (29)
Sex	4 M/4F	5F/6M	1F/4M
Ethnicity	4 Asian 3 Black 1 White	3 Asian 4 Black 4 White	4 Asian 1 White
Median HBV- DNA (IU/mL)* [Range, median IQR]	69.5 [13–226, 164.2]	50 [0-104, 43]	65 [13-103, 58]
Median HDV- RNA (copies/mL) [Range, median IQR]	N/A	1.4×10 ⁶ [3.3×104-3.1×10 ⁸] 1.3×10 ⁷]	N/A
Median ALT (U/L) [Range, median IQR]	33 [8-61, 49.75]	77 [23–469, 60]	31 [12-88, 40]
Median LSM (kPa) [Range, median IQR]	5 [3.3-6.1, 3.1]	9.8 [5.3–42, 7.4]	8.5 [5.5–21.3, 9.6]
Treatment Status	Untreated	5 IFN + NA 3 NA monotherapy 3 untreated	3 IFN + NA 1 NA monotherapy 1 untreated

Gr. I: HDV-RNA+ patients, Gr. II: HDV-RNA–patients. *1 IU/mL= $\sim\!5.2$ virus genome copies/mL.

Isolation of peripheral blood mononuclear cells

PBMC were separated by density gradient centrifugation from \sim 40 mL of heparin anti-coagulated whole blood, and \sim 10⁷ cells/vial were cryopreserved. Serum isolated from 10 mL of whole blood was stored at-80oC.

Analysis of serum cytokines

Cytokines were assessed using Human Focused 13-Plex Discovery Bead Based Immunoassay (Luminex technology) by Eve technologies, Calgary, Canada. Samples were run in duplicates. Serum and culture supernatants were used as sample types for the assay.

Antigen specific proliferation assays

Bulk PBMC were used to perform the described assays. Fresh or cryopreserved PBMC (in 5 patients) were labeled with $1 \mu M$ carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE, BD Horizon,

San Diego, CA) in DPBS for 10min at 37°C. Cells were then centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min, washed with Rosewell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI), reaction was stopped with complete RPMI (with 10% fetal bovine serum, FBS) and then suspended in complete RPMI. Labeled PBMC were stimulated with 5 µg HBsAg (adw), 5 µg HBcAg, and HDAg (American Research Products, Waltham, MA) in (RPMI) 1,640 supplemented with 10% FCS, 2 mmol/L glutamine and Pen-Strep antibiotic solution (Sigma, Oakville, ON). Phytohemagglutinin-M (PHA-M, Carlsbad, CA) 5 µg/mL or anti-CD3 (1µg/mL)/anti-CD28 (5µg/mL) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) stimulated cells served as positive control. Unstimulated DMSO treated cells were negative controls since CFSE was dissolved in DMSO. Cells were cultured in triplicates and plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for ~7 days. Cell proliferation was assessed on day 7 or 8. Stimulation index (SI) was calculated as % CFSE low cells in stimulated cells / % CFSE low cells in the unstimulated (DMSO) control as per our previously established protocols (12). SI values >2 were considered as positive for antigen specific proliferation. Supernatants were collected at 72 h, stored in-80°C and analyzed in a Luminex assay to study cytokine levels.

Cytokine release by monocytes

Approximately 10⁶ fresh PBMC were stimulated with 5 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS, Sigma, Oakville, ON) for 18 h. Unstimulated DMSO treated cells were used as negative controls. After 2 h, 1 µg/µL per million cells Golgi-Plug was added. After 16 h, cells were washed and stained with FVS510 to exclude dead cells and with anti CD14-BV605 to identify monocytes. Cells were washed again, fixed, and permeabilized with the Cytofix/Cytoperm Kit, stained with PE conjugated antibodies against TNF, IL-1 β , IL-10 for 30 min at 4° C, washed, re-suspended in PBS, and immediately analyzed by flow cytometry. A positive response was defined as >2 fold the DMSO background response. All antibodies and reagents used for flow cytometry were purchased from BD Biosciences, Mississauga, ON unless mentioned otherwise.

Statistical analyses

Data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism 7 (La Jolla, CA). Demographic, clinical and laboratory parameters were compared using measures of central tendency, Mann–Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test with post-hoc Dunn's test for multiple comparisons was used to compare flow data. Non-parametric Spearman's rank correlation test was used for correlation analysis; *p* values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Summary of clinical data

In total, 16 HBV/HDV co-infected cases were enrolled in the study. Of these n = 11 were HDV-RNA positive at the time of sample collection with 5 on IFN/NA combination, 3 on NA

monotherapy and 3 untreated (HBV/HDV Group I, HDV-RNA+ median HDV-RNA 1.4×10^6 copies/mL). In comparison, 5/16 had undetectable HDV-RNA (HBV/HDV Group II, HDV-RNA-) with 3 previously treated with IFN+NA therapy, 1 on NA monotherapy and 1 untreated. It is known that co-existence of HBV and HDV, usually results in HBV suppression and HDV dominance (13). In our cohort of HBV/HDV co-infected individuals, median HBV levels were ~ 60 copies/mL, thus as a control, 8 HBV mono-infected patients (HBV) with similar HBV-DNA levels (69 copies/mL) were enrolled (see Table 1, Supplementary Table 1).

Estimation of serum cytokines and correlation to alanine transaminase levels

We tested 13 pro-inflammatory, Th1 and Th2 cytokines in co-infected and mono-infected patients. Of note, IL-10 was significantly increased in the HDV-RNA+ group compared to the other groups (Figure 1A). We observed an increase in IL-1 β in HBV/HDV co-infection vs. HBV mono-infection (p < 0.05) (Figure 1B). A similar trend was also noted for TNF- α (Figure 1C). Interestingly, all of the 13 cytokines studied were elevated although non-significant increase in the HDV-RNA+ patients compared to HDV-RNA– and HBV mono-infected groups (Table 2). Several studies have studied cytokines as biomarkers of liver disease. We therefore performed correlation analysis between ALT and each of the cytokines. Of all the soluble markers investigated, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-10 were significantly and positively correlated with ALT levels (Figures 1D–F).

Cytokine production by monocytes

A previous study highlighted the role of Inducible Protein (IP) -10 producing monocytes from peripheral blood and CD206+ macrophages in the liver in HBV/HDV co-infection and liver fibrosis and/or inflammation (5, 7). Further, monocyte activation and cytokine release is implicated in viral hepatitis and viral co-infections (14, 15). Thus, we explored activation induced (LPS) cytokine secretion by CD14+ monocytes. Although, no difference was noted for IL-10 and IL-1 β , a significant increase in the proportion of CD14+TNF+ PBMCs was noted in the HDV-RNA+ group vs. – mono-infected group and a similar trend was noted for HDV-RNA+ vs. HDV-RNA–group (Figures 2A–D).

HBV and HDV specific CD4 T-cell response in HBV/HDV co-infection using bulk PBMC

We hypothesized that presence of activated monocytes and systemic inflammation contributes to enhanced T-cell responses (16). We examined HBsAg, HBcAg, and HDAg specific responses *ex vivo* using PBMC from co-infected and mono-infected individuals. Surprisingly, we found reduced HBcAg and HDAg specific proliferation of CD4+ T cells in HDV-RNA+ patients compared to HDV-RNA–group (p<0.05) (Figures 3A–D).



FIGURE 1

Comparison of serum cytokines in HDV patients (A) IL-10, (B) IL-1 β , and (C) TNF- α in pg./mL in HBV/HDV Gr. I (HDV RNA+) vs. HBV/HDV Gr. I (HDV RNA-) patients. Correlation analysis between ALT and serum cytokines, (D) IL-10, (E) /L-1 β , and (F). TNF- α in HDV RNA+ patients. * p < 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test.

TABLE 2	Serum cytokines	and chemokines in	HBV/HDV	co-infection	and
HBV moi	no-infection.				

	HBV	HBV/HDV Gr. I (HDV RNA+)	HBV/HDV Gr. II (HDV RNA–)
IFN-ŗ	1.3 ± 0.2	5 ± 3.8	1.4 ± 0.2
IL-2	0.58 ± 0.12	1.9 ± 0.9	0.6 ± 0.04
IL-4	1.9 ± 0.49	3.8 ± 1.9	1.07 ± 0.3
IL-5	0.15 ± 0.06	0.8 ± 0.27	0.4 ± 0.03
IL-6	0.6 ± 0.16	0.8 ± 0.4	0.47 ± 0.19
IL-8	4 ± 0.9	32±13.63	9.5 ± 1.3
IL-12	0.4 ± 0.18	3.4 ± 2.4	0.4 ± 0.2
IL-13	0.63 ± 0.16	0.89 ± 0.44	0.47 ± 0.19
GM-CSF	3±0.33	13.65 ± 8.7	3.5 ± 0.3
МСР	2.1 ± 0.89	16.6 ± 10	0.8 ± 0.2

Th1 and Th2 cytokines in PBMC culture supernatants

Levels of IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, TNF- α , and IFN- γ in the PBMC culture supernatants from HDV-RNA+ cases were lower than in HDV-RNA- and HBV mono-infected cases, especially in response to HBcAg and HDAg. In 8/11 HDV-RNA+ patients, Th1 cytokines – IL-2, IFN- γ were undetectable in response to HBcAg (Table 3).

Summary of antigen specific response in the 16 HBV/HDV co-infected patients

Based on cytokine release in antigen stimulated culture supernatants and proliferation experiments all the HDV-RNA negative cases (n=5) showed HDV and HBV (especially HBcAg) specific T cell responses analyzed in bulk PBMC. 6/11 HDV-RNA positive cases did not show responses to either HBV or HDV antigens. These results show differences in viral antigen specific immune response in HDV-RNA positive vs. negative patients. Table 4 reports T cell responses for n=16 HBV/HDV co-infected patients.

Discussion

Chronic hepatitis D is the most severe form of viral hepatitis with very limited treatment options and poorly understood immunological aspects of disease progression (3). In this study, we show that an increased inflammatory response was observed in "active" HDV-RNA+ patients compared to HDV-RNA-cases in association with weak HBV and HDV specific T-cell response, irrespective of IFN treatment history.

The current data suggests that in cases with HDV viremia, an overall immune activation exists. Further, TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-10 levels correlated with ALT suggesting a role in liver damage in the setting of co-infection. Townsend et al. also reported increased systemic cytokines in the HDV patients with a high viral load (6.1–6.4 HDV-RNA, IU/mL) vs. mild HDV cases (1.5–6IU/mL) (17). A previous study in an immuno-deficient mouse model of HBV/HDV



co-infection showed high levels of basal pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic cytokine levels which may directly contribute to liver disease progression (6). Interestingly, in an adenovirus HBV/HDV mouse model, the use of a TNF- α agonist resulted in significant reduction in HDV related liver damage (18). We noted an increased proportion of TNF producing monocytes in response to LPS treatment in HDV-RNA+ patients. Another study reported IP-10 release from monocytes when stimulated with HDV peptides (5). In human liver tissue (n = 15), intrahepatic CD14+ cells were found to be associated with pathological inflammation (HBV, HBV/HDV, HCV). Intrahepatic leucocytes from these livers produced high levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6 compared to healthy livers (7). Ito et al. elegantly proved in HBsAg transgenic/TNF double knockout mice that TNF- α produced by intrahepatic non antigen specific inflammatory cells is critical in the development of lethal liver disease (19). Taken together, these findings highlight the role of pro-inflammatory monocytes in liver injury and also suggest that TNF+ monocytes may be a potential biomarker in predicting risk of liver disease in HBV/HDV co-infection. In chronic hepatitis C, a decrease in LPS stimulated TNF production by monocytes was linked to poor disease outcome (20). In contrast, an increase in PBMC CD11b+macrophage frequency and phagocytic activity has been reported in fulminant hepatitis E (21). Decreased T-cell response in association with LPS activated monocytes has been previously reported. The state of inflammation in HDV-RNA+ patients is similar to the systemic inflammatory milieu in obesity (i.e., enhanced TNF levels and also conversion of memory T cells to naïve T cell subsets) (22, 23). These reports and our data point out toward differential hepatitis D virus specific monocyte functions.

HBV/HDV is a dynamic disease with fluctuating patterns of HBV and HDV dominance over time. Thus, it is crucial to study HBV specific response as well as HDV specific immune response. We found weak HBsAg and HBcAg specific CD4+ T-cell proliferation in HDV-RNA+ cases vs. HDV-RNA-and HBV mono-infected patients. Similarly, reduced HDV specific response was noted in HDV-RNA positive vs. negative cases. Nisini et al. found that PBMC from 8 of 30 patients (27%) significantly proliferated in response to HDAg. Another study showed that 12/46 (28%) Studies have shown that ~28% (8/30 and 12/46) of HBV/HDV patients show proliferation in response to HDAg (24, 25). Prior immunologic studies in CHB showed an increase of HBcAg/HBeAg-specific T cell proliferation before and during ALT flares along with an increased production of Th1 cytokines IFN-y and IL-2 was noted (26, 27). In our study, we found that Th1 response was barely detectable in HDV-RNA+ cases despite ALT flares. These data suggest a differential regulation of T-cell response in HBV/HDV co-infection than mono-infection. Severe liver damage in HBV/HDV co-infection in chimpanzees correlated with a lack of Th1 response (28). Similar to Grabowski et al., we noted that HDV-specific IFN-y and IL-2 responses were detectable in all HDV-RNA-patients and 4 HDV-RNA+ patients after in vitro stimulation of PBMC with HDAg (5). It would be interesting to note the dynamics of T-cell response in serial samples collected from HDV-RNA positive patients to gain further insights on viral clearance and viral relapse in HDV-RNA negative cases. Sorting and



co-culture of monocytes and T cells to clearly delineate the role of monocytes in modulating T-cell mediated response in HBV/HDV co-infection.

The current single center study is limited by small sample size of 16 HBV/HDV co-infected patients (n = 11 HDV RNA+ and 5 HDV RNA–), and inability to assess intrahepatic immune response with liver biopsy. Hepatitis Delta is an orphan disease and there is limited clinical study on immunological aspects of HBV and HDV mediated liver fibrosis progression. A German study of 41 HDV/HBV patients showing chronic HDV infection engages the mucosal-associated invariant (MAIT) T cell compartment causing activation, functional impairment, and subsequent progressive loss as the potential cause of HDV-associated liver disease progresses, although the study could not link this data to long-term clinical outcomes (29). The authors

hypothesized that cytokine driven (IL-12 and IL-18) activation could lead to cell death and peripheral loss of MAIT cells, like other inflammatory conditions including autoimmune hepatitis. Landahl et al., analyzed HDV specific T cell responses at single peptide level in 32 HDV infected patients in Italy (vs. 6 monoinfected) following *in-vitro* stimulation with 21 overlapping peptides, and found >1 T-cell response in 50% tested but no difference in HDV RNA positive vs. negative patients (30). A study by Kefalakes et al., found that in 28 HBV/HDV co-infected patients activated but not terminally differentiated HDV-specific CD8 T-cell response correlated with liver disease progression. Furthermore, in half of the patients, HDV clearance by CD8 T cells did not occur and reduced T cell activation in association with escape variants of HDV was noted (31). Loss of cytokine production and proliferation has been attributed to T cell

HBsAg	IL-4 (pg/mL)	IL-5 (pg/mL)	IL-2 (pg/mL)	IFN- ۲ (pg/mL)	TNF-α (pg/mL)
HBV/HDV Gr. I	4.5 (3.4)	6 (0.8)	2.8 (1)	8.1 (2)	10.2 (0.9)
HBV/HDV Gr. II	5.4 (0.6)	7.2 (1)	2.5 (1.4)	5.6 (0.2)	11.5 (0.5)
HBV	4.6 (1)	8.4 (1.1)	3 (0.6)	9.6 (1.1)	10.9 (2.5)
					-
HBcAg	IL-4	IL-5	IL-2	יץ -IFN	TNF-α
HBV/HDV Gr. I	2.5 (0.2)	5.5 (0.4)	2.4 (2.3)	3.5 (4.4)	15 (3.9)
HBV/HDV Gr. II	4.7 (0.6)	8 (1.9)*	5.1 (2)*	7.8 (2.2)*	12.5 (2.5)
HBV	3.5 (0.5)	4.2 (1)	3.5 (0.7)	2.1 (0.9)	11.4 (3.5)
HDAg	IL-4	IL-5	IL-2	۲ -IFN	TNF-α
HBV/HDV Gr. I	3.5 (1.2)	2 (0.8)	2.8 (1)	1.9 (0.6)	9 (1.2)
HBV/HDV Gr. II	6.7 (1)*	5.7 (1.1)*	6.2 (0.4)*	5 (1)**	13 (2.2)

TABLE 3 Th1 and Th2 cytokines in HBV/HDV co-infection and mono-infection in response to HBV and HDV proteins in-vitro.

*p < 0.05, ** <0.01 by Kruskal–Wallis test.

Values in parentheses represent standard deviation. Values represent pg/mL of cytokines stimulated - unstimulated culture supernatants.

TABLE 4 Virus specific T-cell response in patients with HBV/HDV co-infection.

Sample ID #	Date of sample collection	HDV RNA status at the time of sample collection	Response to HDAg	Response to HBsAg	Response to HBcAg
103	January 2017	Negative	Yes	Yes	Yes
104	January 2017	Negative	Yes	Yes	Yes
177	May 2017	Positive	No	No	No
218	June 2018	Negative	Yes	No	Yes
323	July 2016	Positive	No	Yes	No
325	May 2017	Positive	No	No	No
332	May 2017	Positive	Yes	Yes	Yes
336	January 2017	Positive	No	No	No
342	August 2018	Negative	Yes	Yes	Yes
347	May 2017	Positive	Yes	Yes	Yes
353	July 2017	Positive	Yes	No	Yes
358	August 2017	Positive	Yes	No	Yes
360	October 2017	Positive	No	No	No
364	October 2017	Positive	No	No	No
370	December 2017	Positive	No	No	No
395	August 2018	Negative	Yes	Yes	Yes

exhaustion in HCV and HBV infections but is widely understudied in HBV/HDV co-infections and may be an area for future investigation in our HBV/HDV patient cohort (32).

Conclusion

There is limited data on immunological aspects of HBV and HDV mediated liver fibrosis progression. The current study provides further detail characterization of the functionality of HBV and HDV specific T cell responses and cytokine responses compared to HBV monoinfection, linked to long-term clinical and virological outcomes. Hepatitis Delta co-infected patients with viremia show higher activation induced TNF alpha release by monocytes in association with weak HBV and HDV specific T-cell responses compared to HDV-RNA negative patients irrespective of anti-viral treatment status. The study contributes to limited data on immunopathogenesis of hepatitis Delta virus Infection.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the University of Calgary Conjoint Health Research Ethics Board, CHREB (Ethics ID 16636). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SSJ: study design, execution, data analysis, and preparation of manuscript. CSC: study design, manuscript draft, and patient recruitment. MS: patient recruitment. NHP: data analysis, manuscript review, and feedback. CO and KF: HDV genotyping data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all patients and staff of the Calgary Liver Unit. The authors acknowledge Karen Poon from the Nicole Perkins Microbial Communities Core of the Snyder Institute, University of Calgary for flow cytometry.

References

1. Buti M. Hepatitis D virus: more attention needed. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2022) 19:556. doi: 10.1038/s41575-022-00664-0

2. Fattovich G, Giustina G, Christensen E, Pantalena M, Zagni I, Realdi G, et al. Influence of hepatitis delta virus infection on morbidity and mortality in compensated cirrhosis type B. the European concerted action on viral hepatitis (Eurohep). *Gut.* (2000) 46:420–6. doi: 10.1136/gut.46.3.420

3. Sureau C, Negro F. The hepatitis delta virus: replication and pathogenesis. J Hepatol. (2016) 64:S102–16. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2016.02.013

4. Karimzadeh H, Kiraithe MM, Oberhardt V, Salimi Alizei E, Bockmann J, Schulze zur Wiesch J, et al. Mutations in hepatitis D virus allow it to escape detection by CD8 + T cells and evolve at the population level. *Gastroenterology*. (2019) 156:1820–33. doi: 10.1053/J.GASTRO.2019.02.003

5. Grabowski J, Yurdaydin C, Zachou K, Buggisch P, Hofmann WP, Jaroszewicz J, et al. Hepatitis D virus-specific cytokine responses in patients with chronic hepatitis delta before and during interferon alfa-treatment. *Liver Int.* (2011) 31:1395–405. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2011.02593.x

6. Giersch K, Allweiss L, Volz T, Helbig M, Bierwolf J, Lohse AW, et al. Hepatitis Delta co-infection in humanized mice leads to pronounced induction of innate immune responses in comparison to HBV mono-infection. *J Hepatol.* (2015) 63:346–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.03.011

7. Tan-Garcia A, Wai L-E, Zheng D, Ceccarello E, Jo J, Banu N, et al. Intrahepatic CD206+ macrophages contribute to inflammation in advanced viral-related liver disease. *J Hepatol.* (2017) 67:490–500. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.04.023

8. Lunemann S, Malone DFG, Grabowski J, Port K, Béziat V, Bremer B, et al. Effects of HDV infection and pegylated interferon α treatment on the natural killer cell compartment in chronically infected individuals. *Gut.* (2015) 64:469–82. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2014-306767

9. Zhang Z, Filzmayer C, Ni Y, Sültmann H, Mutz P, Hiet MS, et al. Hepatitis D virus replication is sensed by MDA5 and induces IFN- β/λ responses in hepatocytes. *J Hepatol.* (2018) 69:25–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.02.021

10. Lau KC, Osiowy C, Coffin CS. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) genome detection and genotyping in virally suppressed patients using nested polymerase chain reaction-based sanger sequencing. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2018) 93:318–24. doi: 10.1016/j. diagmicrobio.2018.10.015

11. Osiowy C, Andonov A, Fonseca K, Swidinsky K, Giles E, Mason A, et al. Transmission of hepatitis D virus between spouses: a longitudinal study of the first reported Canadian case. *IDCases*. (2017) 8:37–41. doi: 10.1016/J.IDCR.2017.03.001

12. Joshi SS, Davis RP, Ma MM, Tam E, Cooper CL, Ramji A, et al. Reduced immune responses to hepatitis B primary vaccination in obese individuals with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). *npj Vaccines*. (2021) 6:9. doi: 10.1038/S41541-020-00266-4

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2023.1125139/ full#supplementary-material

13. Alfaiate D, Lucifora J, Abeywickrama-Samarakoon N, Michelet M, Testoni B, Cortay JC, et al. HDV RNA replication is associated with HBV repression and interferon-stimulated genes induction in super-infected hepatocytes. *Antivir Res.* (2016) 136:19–31. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.10.006

14. Saha B, Kodys K, Szabo G. Hepatitis C virus-induced monocyte differentiation into polarized M2 macrophages promotes stellate cell activation via TGF-β. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2016) 2:302–316.e8. doi: 10.1016/J.JCMGH.2015.12.005

15. Chattergoon MA, Latanich R, Quinn J, Winter ME, Buckheit RW, Blankson JN, et al. HIV and HCV activate the Inflammasome in monocytes and macrophages via endosomal toll-like receptors without induction of type 1 interferon. *PLoS Pathog.* (2014) 10:e1004082. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004082

16. Schrier SB, Hill AS, Plana D, Lauffenburger DA. Synergistic communication between CD4+ T cells and monocytes impacts the cytokine environment. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:34942. doi: 10.1038/srep34942

17. Townsend EC, Zhang GY, Ali R, Firke M, Moon MS, Han MAT, et al. The balance of type 1 and type 2 immune responses in the contexts of hepatitis B infection and hepatitis D infection. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 34:764–75. doi: 10.1111/jgh.14617

18. Usai C, Maestro S, Camps G, Olague C, Suárez-Amaran L, Vales A, et al. TNFalpha inhibition ameliorates HDV-induced liver damage in a mouse model of acute severe infection. *JHEP reports*. (2020) 2:100098. doi: 10.1016/J.JHEPR.2020.100098

19. Ito H, Ando K, Ishikawa T, Saito K, Takemura M, Imawari M, et al. Role of TNFalpha produced by nonantigen-specific cells in a fulminant hepatitis mouse model. *J Immunol.* (2009) 182:391–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.182.1.391

20. Gadd VL, Patel PJ, Jose S, Horsfall L, Powell EE, Irvine KM. Altered peripheral blood monocyte phenotype and function in chronic liver disease: implications for hepatic recruitment and systemic inflammation. *PLoS One.* (2016) 11:e0157771. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157771

21. Sehgal R, Patra S, David P, Vyas A, Khanam A, Hissar S, et al. Impaired monocytemacrophage functions and defective toll-like receptor signaling in hepatitis E virusinfected pregnant women with acute liver failure. *Hepatology*. (2015) 62:1683–96. doi: 10.1002/hep.28143

22. Gregor MF, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammatory mechanisms in obesity. Annu Rev Immunol. (2011) 29:415–45. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101322

23. Mauro C, Smith J, Cucchi D, Coe D, Fu H, Bonacina F, et al. Obesity-induced metabolic stress leads to biased effector memory CD4+ T cell differentiation via PI3K p1108-Akt-mediated signals. *Cell Metab.* (2017) 25:593–09. doi: 10.1016/J. CMET.2017.01.008

24. Nisini R, Paroli M, Accapezzato D, Bonino F, Rosina F, Santantonio T, et al. Human CD4+ T-cell response to hepatitis delta virus: identification of multiple epitopes and

characterization of T-helper cytokine profiles. J Virol. (1997) 71:2241–51. doi: 10.1128/ jvi.71.3.2241-2251.1997

25. Tsai SL, Chen PJ, Lai MY, Yang PM, Sung JL, Huang JH, et al. Acute exacerbations of chronic type B hepatitis are accompanied by increased T cell responses to hepatitis B core and e antigens. Implications for hepatitis B e antigen seroconversion. *J Clin Invest.* (1992) 89:87–96. doi: 10.1172/JCI115590

26. Schirdewahn T, Grabowski J, Owusu Sekyere S, Bremer B, Wranke A, Lunemann S, et al. The third signal cytokine interleukin 12 rather than immune checkpoint inhibitors contributes to the functional restoration of hepatitis D virus-specific T cells. *J Infect Dis.* (2017) 215:139–49. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw514

27. Hyodo N, Nakamura I, Imawari M. Hepatitis B core antigen stimulates interleukin-10 secretion by both T cells and monocytes from peripheral blood of patients with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2004) 135:462–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2003.02376.x

28. Engle RE, de Battista D, Danoff EJ, Nguyen H, Chen Z, Lusso P, et al. Distinct cytokine profiles correlate with disease severity and outcome in longitudinal studies of

acute hepatitis B virus and hepatitis D virus infection in chimpanzees. *MBio.* (2020) 11:20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02580-20

29. Dias J, Hengst J, Parrot T, Leeansyah E, Lunemann S, Malone DFG, et al. Chronic hepatitis delta virus infection leads to functional impairment and severe loss of MAIT cells. *J Hepatol.* (2019) 71:301–12. doi: 10.1016/J.JHEP.2019.04.009

30. Landahl J, Bockmann JH, Scheurich C, Ackermann C, Matzat V, Heide J, et al. Detection of a broad range of low-level major histocompatibility complex class II-restricted, Hepatitis Delta virus (HDV)-specific T-cell responses regardless of clinical status. *J Infect Dis.* (2019) 219:568–77. doi: 10.1093/INFDIS/JIY549

31. Kefalakes H, Koh C, Sidney J, Amanakis G, Sette A, Heller T, et al. Hepatitis D virus-specific CD8 + T cells have a memory-like phenotype associated with viral immune escape in patients with chronic hepatitis D virus infection. *Gastroenterology.* (2019) 156:1805–1819.e9. doi: 10.1053/J.GASTRO.2019.01.035

32. Oberhardt V, Hofmann M, Thimme R, Neumann-Haefelin C. Adaptive immune responses, immune escape and immune-mediated pathogenesis during HDV infection. *Viruses.* (2022) 14:198. doi: 10.3390/v14020198