Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Erkan Alpsoy, Akdeniz University, Turkey

REVIEWED BY Marzia Caproni, University of Florence, Italy Angelo Valerio Marzano, University of Milan, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Robert G. Micheletti Robert.micheletti@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Dermatology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 01 October 2022 ACCEPTED 28 October 2022 PUBLISHED 18 November 2022

CITATION

Micheletti RG (2022) Treatment of cutaneous vasculitis. *Front. Med.* 9:1059612. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1059612

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Micheletti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Treatment of cutaneous vasculitis

Robert G. Micheletti^{1,2*}

¹Department of Dermatology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, ²Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States

Cutaneous vasculitis encompasses a spectrum of disease states, with varied morphology, severity, and potential for systemic involvement. Even vasculitis which is skin-limited can have a significant quality-of-life impact, necessitating treatment. This manuscript summarizes the available evidence for management of various types of skin-limited vasculitis and provides a proposed therapeutic ladder based on published studies and expert opinion.

KEYWORDS

vasculitis, cutaneous vasculitis, treatment, management, skin

Principles of therapy

Vasculitis of the skin (cutaneous vasculitis) encompasses a spectrum of disease states, ranging from cutaneous manifestations of systemic vasculitis (e.g., purpura in a patient with granulomatosis with polyangiitis), to skin-limited variants of systemic vasculitis (e.g., cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa), to various types of single-organ vasculitis found only in the skin (e.g., erythema elevatum diutinum) (1, 2). Whether systemic or skin-limited, the severity of symptoms also varies. That severity—and the presence or absence of internal organ involvement—guides therapy.

In cases of systemic disease where the skin is involved, appropriate systemic treatment will often, though not always, effectively manage the skin. In cases where the skin is primarily affected, specific therapies with activity in the skin and a favorable risk-benefit profile are preferred. Finally, in many cases of skin-limited vasculitis, no treatment at all may be necessary, provided the process is minimally symptomatic and self-limited.

Ultimately, the types of vasculitis affecting the skin are varied, and the data guiding their management are poor, based primarily on case series and expert opinion (Table 1). Yet, the importance of effective management of cutaneous vasculitis is clear: A survey of patients with cutaneous manifestations of vasculitis (all major types) demonstrated that cutaneous vasculitis is associated with diminished health-related quality-of-life across multiple domains, showing a significant impact on patient symptoms, wellbeing, and self-perception of health (3). For this reason, effective management of cutaneous vasculitis, in all its forms, is vital.

Cutaneous IgM/IgG immune complex vasculitis

Cutaneous IgM/IgG immune complex vasculitis, as defined in the dermatologic addendum to the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference nomenclature, is a type of immune complexmediated small vessel vasculitis which is limited to the skin (2). This entity is the most common subgroup of patients presenting with palpable purpura on the lower extremities, referred to elsewhere (and less precisely) in the literature as "cutaneous leukocytoclastic angiitis," "cutaneous small vessel vasculitis," "hypersensitivity vasculitis," or "leukocytoclastic vasculitis."

At the time of initial presentation, it generally is not yet clear whether small vessel vasculitis of the skin is associated with systemic vasculitis, secondary to some underlying trigger, or possibly consistent with cutaneous IgM/IgG immune complex vasculitis instead. A systematic approach to evaluation is needed to differentiate these possibilities (4). Biopsies for routine processing and direct immunofluorescence studies help confirm the diagnosis and rule out other conditions, like IgA vasculitis (which carries an increased risk of systemic involvement), while thorough examination, review of systems, and stepwise laboratory testing help identify those patients with internal organ involvement or important underlying disease states.

The need for treatment depends on the presence of underlying disease states, if any, as well as the chronicity and severity of the vasculitis. Because most initial episodes of small vessel vasculitis presenting in the skin are skin-limited and selflimited, resolving within 3–4 weeks (5), systemic therapy is not needed acutely in most cases. Identifiable triggers should be treated or removed. Simple measures, like rest, elevation, or compression, and topical steroids for itch relief, may be all that is required. More than half of patients require no systemic treatment at all (6).

However, those with severe, chronic (lasting longer than 4 weeks), or recurrent disease should receive treatment, even if the lesions are relatively asymptomatic, because of the quality-of-life impact (3). Unfortunately, no robust literature is available to guide management; treatment recommendations are based on case reports, case series, and expert opinion (Table 2). For those with painful, ulcerative, or otherwise highly symptomatic disease, oral glucocorticoids (e.g., 0.5-1 mg/kg/day prednisone equivalent) may be appropriate. In most cases, systemic glucocorticoids help speed resolution and can be tapered successfully over 3-6 weeks (6, 7). However, not all patients respond adequately to systemic glucocorticoids, or they experience flares with attempted taper. Given the many associated side effects, systemic glucocorticoids are not an appropriate long-term option for treatment of cutaneous vasculitis (8-11). Therefore, those with chronic/recurring vasculitis, and those with vasculitis which flares with attempted taper, should initiate an appropriate steroid-sparing agent.

Lacking high-quality data, there is considerable practice variation regarding the choice of steroid-sparing agents. Based on available studies and expert opinion, colchicine, dapsone, and azathioprine are reasonable initial options (12). These drugs are relatively safe and well-tolerated and are commonly used for cutaneous vasculitis. However, often more than one drug must be tried in order to find that which is most effective and best tolerated.

Colchicine (0.6 mg twice daily) has been reported effective for skin and joint symptoms in open-label series (13, 14). However, it showed no benefit compared to placebo in a small, 1 month long randomized controlled trial (15). Gastrointestinal side effects (abdominal discomfort, loose stools) are limiting in some patients.

Dapsone (typically 100–150 mg/day) is another option, supported by case reports and expert opinion (16). Testing for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency must be performed prior to initiation, and routine monitoring should be conducted to monitor for anemia. Methemoglobinemia is a rare side effect of dapsone which should be considered in patients reporting low pulse oximetry readings or dyspnea. Dapsone is sometimes combined with colchicine for added benefit in cutaneous vasculitis (12).

Azathioprine (usually 2 mg/kg/day divided twice daily) has been reported to be efficacious for cutaneous vasculitis and is frequently used for treatment of various systemic vasculitides (14, 17). Screening for reduced thiopurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT) activity is common practice for identifying and excluding patients with increased risk of leukopenia. Hepatic injury, hypersensitivity, and infectious complications are other risks.

Alternative options can be considered in those who fail to respond to the above therapies. These include methotrexate (15–25 mg/week) (18) and mycophenolate mofetil (2–3 g/day) (19) and, in rare instances, more aggressive therapies, such as cyclosporine (20), cyclophosphamide, rituximab (21), infliximab (22), or intravenous immune globulin (23). Absent high-quality data supporting these agents, disease severity and potential drug toxicities should be carefully weighed in determining appropriate next steps.

Skin-limited IgA vasculitis

IgA vasculitis (otherwise know as Henoch-Schonlein purpura) is an IgA-mediated systemic vasculitis. While the presentation of IgA vasculitis in the skin may be indistinguishable from that of cutaneous IgM/IgG immune complex vasculitis, patients with IgA vasculitis are much more likely to have gastrointestinal, joint, or renal manifestations. Fortunately, the overall prognosis is favorable, and the condition is frequently skin-limited. TABLE 1 Proposed therapeutic ladder for patients with various types of skin-limited vasculitis.

Disorder	First-line treatment	Second-line treatment	Third-line treatment
Cutaneous IgM/IgG	Eliminate/treat	Colchicine (0.6 mg BID) (13–15)	COL + DAP (12)
Immune Complex Vasculitis	potential triggers	Dapsone (50-200 mg/day) (16)	MTX (18)
(primary cutaneous small	Supportive care	AZA (2 mg/kg/day) (14, 17)	MYC (19)
vessel vasculitis/cutaneous	(rest, elevation)	CS (e.g., prednisone up to 40-60 mg/day	CSA (20)
leukocytoclastic	NSAIDs	tapered over 3-4 weeks) (6, 7)	CYC
angiitis/hypersensitivity	Topical steroids		Rituximab (21)
vasculitis)			Infliximab (22)
			IVIg (23)
Skin-limited IgA vasculitis	Supportive care	Colchicine	Rituximab (55)
(Henoch–Schönlein purpura)	NSAIDs	Dapsone (24)	MYC (56)
	Topical steroids	AZA (25)	CSA (57)
		CS	CYC (57)
			PEX (58)
Cutaneous polyarteritis	Supportive care (31)	AZA (2 mg/kg/day) (31)	TNF-αinhibitors (36, 37)
nodosa	NSAIDs (31)	MTX (15–25 mg/wk) (35)	МҮС
	Colchicine (31)		CYC (38)
	Dapsone (31)		HCQ (32)
	CS (0.5–1 mg/kg/day tapered		IVIg (39)
	over months) (28)		0,000
Urticarial vasculitis	Antihistamines (42)	Colchicine (0.6 mg BID–TID) (42)	Omalizumab (42)
	NSAIDs (42)	HCQ (42)	Anakinra/Canakinumab (42)
	Dapsone (100–200 mg/day)	MYC (42)	Rituximab (42)
	(42)	AZA (42)	CYC (42)
	CS (42)	MTX (42)	CSA (42)
		Dapsone + pentoxifylline (42)	IVIg (42)
			Tocilizumab (42)
Cryoglobulinemic vasculitis	Direct-acting antivirals (e.g.,	Colchicine (43, 44)	Rituximab (60)
(skin-predominate)	sofosbuvir-velpatasvir) if	Dapsone	CS (58)
	HCV+ (59)	1	IVIg (61)
	Supportive care		PEX (62)
Erythema elevatum	Dapsone (45)	Colchicine (45)	MYC (45)
diutinum	Intralesional CS (45)	Chloroquine (45)	MTX (45)
	NSAIDs (45)	Tetracyclines± niacinamide (45)	CS (45)
Acute hemorrhagic edema of	Supportive care (63)	CS (46)	
infancy	Antihistamines		
Nodular vasculitis	Eliminate/treat potential	Colchicine (49)	CS (49)
(erythema induratum)	triggers (anti-TB therapy,		MYC (49)
	if indicated)		- X · Z
	Supportive care		
	NSAIDs		
	Potassium iodide (300 mg		
	TID) (47, 48)		

AZA, azathioprine; BID, twice daily; CS, corticosteroids; COL, colchicine; CSA, cyclosporine; CYC, cyclophosphamide; DAP, dapsone; HCQ, hydroxychloroquine; HCV, hepatitis C; Ig, immunoglobulin; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; MTX, methotrexate; MYC, mycophenolate mofetil; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PEX, plasma exchange; TID, three times a day.

As with other forms of vasculitis, the treatment of IgA vasculitis is dictated by the extent and severity of the condition. Those with IgA vasculitis which is skin-limited

should be treated similarly to patients with cutaneous IgM/IgG immune complex vasculitis. Because IgA vasculitis is often self-limited, resolving over weeks to months, nothing more

TABLE 2 Expanded treatment ladder for skin-limited cutaneous small vessel vasculitis.

Clinical	Treatment		
scenario			
Initial episode*	Eliminate underlying cause, e.g., drug, infection		
~90% of patients	(if identified)		
	Rest, elevation, compression		
	NSAIDs for pain; topical steroids for itch		
	If severe, consider systemic steroids (e.g., prednisone		
	up to 40-60 mg daily tapered over 3-4 weeks) (6, 7)		
Chronic/recurrent†	First-line:		
${\sim}10\%$ of patients	Colchicine 0.6 mg twice daily (13-15)		
	Dapsone 100-150 mg daily (16)		
	Azathioprine 2 mg/kg daily (14, 17)		
	Systemic steroids (used sparingly during flares) (6, 7)		
Severe or refractory	Second-line:		
	Combination therapies, e.g., dapsone plus		
	colchicine (12)		
	Mycophenolate mofetil 2-3 g daily (19)		
	Methotrexate 15-25 mg weekly (18)		
	Hydroxychloroquine 200-400 mg daily‡ (42)		
	Pentoxifylline 400 mg three times daily‡ (42)		
	Severe disease only:		
	Rituximab 1 g intravenous on days 1 and 15 (21)		
	Infliximab 5-10 mg/kg every 4-8 weeks		
	(maintenance) (22)		
	Cyclosporine 2.5-5 mg/kg daily in divided doses (20)		
	Intravenous immunoglobulin 2 g/kg monthly, divided		
	over 2-4 days (23)		

*Most episodes resolve within 3-4 weeks; [†]Persisting or recurring over > 4 weeks; [‡]Usually in combination with other agents.

than supportive care may be needed for management of a minimally symptomatic initial episode. For symptomatic or chronic/recurring lesions, colchicine and dapsone are reasonable options, as is azathioprine (24, 25). Because of the association of IgA vasculitis with glomerulonephritis, systemic glucocorticoids have been evaluated for prevention of renal complications but have not been shown to be beneficial as prophylaxis (26).

Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa

Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa (cPAN) is a skinpredominate medium-sized vessel vasculitis representing perhaps 4% of polyarteritis nodosa cases (27). Patients typically present with fixed livedo racemosa (so-called "starburst" livedo) and tender subcutaneous nodules involving the lower legs. Less commonly, the arms and trunk are involved. Ulcerations are seen in up to half of patients (28). Mild systemic symptoms are common, including fever, myalgias, arthralgias, and peripheral neuropathy in the territory of current or prior skin lesions (29). However, the presence of more prominent constitutional, visceral, or neurological symptoms suggests a diagnosis of systemic PAN instead. A chronic, relapsing course is typical of cPAN, though available data suggest the risk of evolution to systemic PAN is extremely low (30).

Limited data are available to guide the treatment of cPAN, but like other forms of skin-limited vasculitis, disease severity should guide management. NSAIDs, rest, and elevation may improve mild symptoms (31), but a trial of colchicine (0.6mg twice daily) or dapsone (50–150mg daily) is appropriate for most patients presenting with subcutaneous nodules (31). These agents are commonly used for treatment of skin-limited vasculitis and have a favorable risk profile. Other non-immunosuppressive agents (e.g., sulfapyridine, hydroxychloroquine, pentoxifylline) are supported by case reports and series and are reasonable options unless symptoms are severe (32–34).

Systemic corticosteroids may be indicated during acute flares, especially for management of pain, ulceration, or systemic symptoms, such as arthralgias, paresthesias, and malaise. Prednisone 30mg per day or equivalent is generally sufficient, but higher doses (e.g., 1 mg/kg prednisone daily) should be considered in the setting of more severe symptoms (e.g., digital ischemia), or if lower doses produce an insufficient response (28). Once remission has been achieved, systemic steroids should be tapered slowly. Coadministration of a steroid-sparing agent may facilitate successful taper.

For those with severe or treatment-refractory cPAN, various immunosuppressive agents should be considered. Azathioprine (2mg/kg daily) (31) or methotrexate (15–25mg weekly) (35) are commonly used. Patients with severe, painful, ulcerative disease who fail to respond to these agents may respond to therapy with a TNFa inhibitor (36, 37), cyclophosphamide (38), or intravenous immunoglobulin (39). In cPAN, ulcerative disease correlates with a relapsing course and the need for more aggressive therapy (40).

Alternative regimens and special situations

Therapeutic options for less common types of skin-limited vasculitis are even less well defined, drawing mostly upon the experience with other types of cutaneous or systemic vasculitis. Nevertheless, based on limited data, certain therapies may be more effective in specific disorders, as noted below and in Table 1.

Urticarial vasculitis

Urticarial Vasculitis is a condition consisting of hivelike skin lesions with histologic features of leukocytoclastic vasculitis. Urticarial vasculitis with normal complement levels is best thought of as a variant of skin-limited cutaneous small vessel vasculitis where the lesions just happen to look hive-like. By contrast, those with low complement levels (hypocomplementemic urticarial vasculitis) are much more likely to have systemic manifestations of disease (such as musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal, or pulmonary symptoms) and to meet criteria for systemic lupus (41).

No trials have been performed to evaluate treatment options for urticarial vasculitis. Because diagnosis and classification of this condition can be difficult, existing case series may include a range of patients, including some with chronic idiopathic urticaria and related disorders.

Antihistamines may reduce swelling and pain associated with skin lesions. Oral steroids are often beneficial but are not appropriate long-term therapy. Dapsone with or without pentoxifylline, hydroxychloroquine, colchicine, and mycophenolate mofetil have been reported to be efficacious in some cases. Omalizumab, anakinra, canakinumab, and rituximab are options for management of recalcitrant hypocomplementemic urticarial vasculitis. A systemic review of treatments identifies a range of potential therapies with variable supporting evidence (42) (Table 1).

Skin-predominant cryoglobulinemic vasculitis

Cryoglobulinemic vasculitis, resulting from the presence of type II or III cryoglobulins, typically affects the skin, joints, peripheral nervous system, and kidneys. Clinical findings reflect a mixed small and medium-sized vessel vasculitis. Characteristic cutaneous manifestations include palpable purpura on the lower extremities, livedo reticularis, retiform purpura, and necrosis/ulceration.

Some patients with cryoglobulinemic vasculitis have only mild manifestations; in fact, a skin-limited subtype is recognized in the dermatologic addendum to the Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature (2). Patients with cutaneous manifestations (e.g., palpable purpura) but lacking significant systemic findings may respond well to agents like colchicine or dapsone (43, 44). Such patients should be monitored over time for disease response and progression. Those with underlying hepatitis C should receive appropriate antiviral therapy.

Erythema elevatum diutinum

Erythema elevatum diutinum (EED) is a rare, chronic type of cutaneous vasculitis characterized by red-brown papules and plaques which favor acral and extensor surfaces, with histologic features showing leukocytoclastic features and fibrosis. Though it is usually skin-limited, underlying joints and the eyes may be involved.

Dapsone is the therapy of choice for EED. Other options include intralesional steroids, NSAIDs, tetracyclines +/- niacinamide, chloroquine, and colchicine. Treatment of any underlying autoimmune, inflammatory, or hematologic disorder is also advised (45).

Acute hemorrhagic edema of infancy

Acute hemorrhagic edema of infancy (AHEI) is a rare form of small vessel vasculitis affecting children under 24 months of age and characterized by annular or targetoid purpuric plaques favoring the face, ears, and extremities. While the course is benign, with spontaneous resolution typically occurring within 1–3 weeks, fever, arthralgias, abdominal pain, and glomerulonephritis may occur.

Treatment of AHEI is supportive. Antihistamines and systemic steroids may improve acute symptoms (46). Rarely are therapies indicated on a chronic basis.

Nodular vasculitis (erythema induratum)

Nodular vasculitis is a lobular panniculitis with vasculitis of small and/or medium-sized vessels in the panniculus. When occurring in the setting of tuberculosis, it may be referred to as erythema induratum (of Bazin). The process is skinlimited/skin-predominate but may be associated with peripheral neuropathy and symptoms related to an underlying/associated disorder, if any.

Initial therapy of nodular vasculitis/erythema induratum should include withdrawal or treatment of any underlying trigger. If associated with tuberculosis, it should be treated with standard anti-tuberculous therapy. Additional options for therapy include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and supportive care. Case series describe rapid improvement with potassium iodide (300mg three times daily) (47, 48). Other options (e.g., colchicine, systemic steroids, mycophenolate mofetil) may also be beneficial (49).

Conclusion and future directions in vasculitis therapy

Presently, the data guiding management of cutaneous vasculitis are extremely limited. Because many vasculitis subtypes are quite rare, multi-institutional collaborations will be necessary to pool combined experiences and conduct high-quality studies.

A multicenter, randomized trial is currently underway comparing colchicine, dapsone, and azathioprine for

management of patients with one of the more common subtypes of isolated cutaneous vasculitis (primary cutaneous small vessel vasculitis, skin-limited IgA vasculitis, and cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02939573) (50).

Vasculitis type, severity, and patient comorbidities determine treatment selection, with the goal of inducing and maintaining disease remission while minimizing drug toxicity. Recent trends in the management of systemic vasculitis demonstrate a shift away from broadly immunosuppressive regimens toward more targeted therapies, based on an improved understanding of disease pathogenesis. Terminal complement inhibition for management of ANCA-associated vasculitis with avacopan (51) and blockade of interleukin-5 for treatment of eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis with mepolizumab illustrate this trend (52).

The Cutaneous Transcriptomics in Systemic Vasculitis (CUTIS) study is another multicenter collaborative effort to evaluate the histopathologic and transcriptomic features of systemic, and skin-limited, vasculitides through analysis of blood and lesional skin biopsies (NCT03004326). Observations of cutaneous vasculitis in the setting of COVID-19 (53) and in the recently described VEXAS (vacuoles, E1 enzyme, X-linked, autoinflammatory, somatic) syndrome (54), illustrate the evolving nature of the field. Information gained from these

References

1. Jennette JC, Falk RJ, Bacon PA, Basu N, Cid MC, Ferrario F, et al. 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides. *Arthritis Rheum*. (2013) 65:1–11. doi: 10.1002/art.37715

2. Sunderkötter CH, Zelger B, Chen KR, Requena L, Piette W, Carlson JA, et al. Nomenclature of cutaneous vasculitis: dermatologic addendum to the 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2018) 70:171–84. doi: 10.1002/art.40375

3. Mann, S, Hussain A, Dua A, Patrone A, Larson K, Merkel PA, et al. Quality-oflife impact of cutaneous vasculitis: findings from the VascSkin study and vasculitis patient-powered research network [abstract]. In: 20th International Vasculitis and ANCA Workshop; Dublin, Ireland; April 3 (2022).

4. Micheletti RG, Werth VP. Small vessel vasculitis of the skin. Rheum Dis Clin North Am. (2015) 41:21–32, vii. doi: 10.1016/j.rdc.2014.09.006

5. Loricera J, Blanco R, Ortiz-Sanjuán F, Hernández JL, Pina T, González-Vela MC, et al. Single-organ cutaneous small-vessel vasculitis according to the 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides: a study of 60 patients from a series of 766 cutaneous vasculitis case. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* (2015) 54:77–82. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ keu295

6. Martinez-Taboada VM, Blanco R, Garcia-Fuentes M. Rodriguez-Valverde V. Clinical features and outcome of 95 patients with hypersensitivity vasculitis. *Am J Med.* (1997) 102:186–91. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9343(96)00405-6

7. Russel JP, Gibson LE. Primary cutaneous small vessel vasculitis: approach to diagnosis and treatment. *Int J Dermatol.* (2006) 45:3–13. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4632.2005.02898.x

8. Caplan A, Fett N, Rosenbach M, Werth VP, Micheletti RG. Prevention and management of glucocorticoid-induced side effects: a comprehensive review: a review of glucocorticoid pharmacology and bone health. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2017) 76:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016. 01.062

and other studies which enhances understanding of disease pathophysiology may lead to new, targeted approaches to disease management.

Author contributions

RM drafted the manuscript and takes responsibility for its content.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Caplan A, Fett N, Rosenbach M, Werth VP, Micheletti RG. Prevention and management of glucocorticoid-induced side effects: a comprehensive review: gastrointestinal and endocrinologic side effects. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2017) 76:11–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016. 02.1239

10. Caplan A, Fett N, Rosenbach M, Werth VP, Micheletti RG. Prevention and management of glucocorticoid-induced side effects: A comprehensive review: infectious complications and vaccination recommendations. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2017) 76:191–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016. 02.1240

11. Caplan A, Fett N, Rosenbach M, Werth VP, Micheletti RG. Prevention and management of glucocorticoid-induced side effects: A comprehensive review: Ocular, cardiovascular, muscular, and psychiatric side effects and issues unique to pediatric patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2017) 76:201–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2016.02.1241

12. Micheletti RG, Pagnoux C. Management of cutaneous vasculitis. *Presse Med.* (2020) 49:104033. doi: 10.1016/j.lpm.2020.104033

13. Callen JP. Colchicine is effective in controlling chronic cutaneous leukocytoclastic vasculitis. J Am Acad Dermatol. (1985) 13:193–200. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(85)70158-2

14. Callen JP. af Ekenstam E. Cutaneous leukocytoclastic vasculitis: clinical experience in 44 patients. *South Med J.* (1987) 80:848–51. doi: 10.1097/00007611-198707000-00012

15. Sais G, Vidaller A, Jucgla A, Gallardo F, Peyri J. Colchicine in the treatment of cutaneous leukocytoclastic vasculitis. Results of a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Arch Dermatol.* (1995) 131:1399–402. doi: 10.1001/archderm.131.12.1399

16. Fredenberg MF, Malkinson FD. Sulfone therapy in the treatment of leukocytoclastic vasculitis. Report of three cases. J Am Acad Dermatol. (1987) 16:772–8. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(87)70100-5

17. Callen JP, Spencer LV, Burruss JB, Holtman J. Azathioprine. An effective, corticosteroid-sparing therapy for patients with recalcitrant cutaneous lupus erythematosus or with recalcitrant cutaneous leukocytoclastic vasculitis. *Arch Dermatol.* (1991) 127:515–22. doi: 10.1001/archderm.1991.04510010 083008

18. Espinoza LR, Espinoza CG, Vasey FB, Germain BF. Oral methotrexate therapy for chronic rheumatoid arthritis ulcerations. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (1986) 15:508. doi: 10.1016/S0190-9622(86)70202-8

19. Haeberle MT, Adams WB, Callen JP. Treatment of severe cutaneous smallvessel vasculitis with mycophenolate mofetil. *Arch Dermatol.* (2012) 148:887– 8. doi: 10.1001/archdermatol.2011.3037

20. Tosca A, Ioannidou DJ, Katsantonis JC, Kyriakis KP. Cyclosporin A in the treatment of cutaneous vasculitis. Clinical and cellular effects. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (1996) 6:135–41. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.1996.tb00156.x

21. Chung L, Funke AA, Chakravarty EF, Callen JP, Fiorentino DF. Successful use of rituximab for cutaneous vasculitis. *Arch Dermatol.* (2006) 142:1407–10. doi: 10.1001/archderm.142.11.1407

22. Mang R, Ruzicka T, Stege H. Therapy for severe necrotizing vasculitis with infliximab. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2004) 51:321–2. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2004.01.003

23. Ong CS, Benson EM. Successful treatment of chronic leucocytoclastic vasculitis and persistent ulceration with intravenous immunoglobulin. Br J Dermatol. (2000) 143:447. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2133.2000.03681.x

24. Iqbal H, Evans A. Dapsone therapy for Henoch-Schönlein purpura: a case series. Arch Dis Child. (2005) 90:985–6. doi: 10.1136/adc.2004.061598

25. Schinzel V, Fernandez JD, Clemente G, Fraga MM, Andrade MC, Len CA, et al. The profile and clinical outcomes of patients with renal involvement due to IgA vasculitis: is azathioprine a good option for treatment? *Adv Rheumatol.* (2019) 59:21. doi: 10.1186/s42358-019-0064-x

26. Hahn D, Hodson EM, Willis NS, Craig JC. Interventions for preventing and treating kidney disease in Henoch-Schönlein Purpura (HSP). *Cochr Database Syst Rev.* (2015) CD005128. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005128. pub3

27. Pagnoux C, Seror R, Henegar C, Mahr A, Cohen P, Le Guern V, et al. Clinical features and outcomes in 348 patients with polyarteritis nodosa: a systematic retrospective study of patients diagnosed between 1963 and 2005 and entered into the French Vasculitis Study Group Database. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2010) 62:616–26. doi: 10.1002/art.27240

28. Daoud MS, Hutton KP, Gibson LE. Cutaneous periarteritis nodosa: a clinicopathologic study of 79 cases. Br J Dermatol. (1997) 136:706-13. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.1997.tb03656.x

29. Nakamura T, Kanazawa N, Ikeda T, Yamamoto Y, Nakabayashi K, Ozaki S, et al. Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa: revisiting its definition and diagnostic criteria. *Arch Dermatol Res.* (2009) 301:117–21. doi: 10.1007/s00403-008-0898-2

30. Munera-Campos M, Bielsa I, Martínez-Morillo M, Aparicio G, Olivé A, Ferrándiz C, et al. Manifestations, clinical course and prognostic markers in cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges.* (2020) 18:1250–9. doi: 10.1111/ddg.14271

31. Bettuzzi T, Jachiet M, Sbidian E, Frumholtz L, Cordoliani F, Mouthon L, et al. Efficacy and safety of treatments in cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa: A French observational retrospective study. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (2022) 86:1035–41. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2021.06.872

32. Morgan AJ, Schwartz RA. Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa: a comprehensive review. *Int J Dermatol.* (2010) 49:750–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-4632.2010. 04522.x

33. Maillard H, Szczesniak S, Martin L, Garot D, Machet MC, Machet L, et al. Périartérite noueuse cutanée. Particularités diagnostiques et thérapeutiques de 9 cas [Cutaneous periarteritis nodosa: diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of 9 cases]. *Ann Dermatol Venereol.* (1999) 126:125–9.

34. Fathalla BM, Miller L, Brady S, Schaller JG. Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa in children. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2005) 53:724–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2005.03.065

35. Schartz NE, Alaoui S, Vignon-Pennamen MD, Cordoliani F, Fermand JP, Morel P, et al. Successful treatment in two cases of steroid-dependent cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa with low-dose methotrexate. *Dermatology*. (2001) 203:336–8. doi: 10.1159/000051785

36. Vega Gutierrez J, Rodriguez Prieto MA, Garcia Ruiz JM. Successful treatment of childhood cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa with infliximab. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2007) 21:570–1.

37. Valor L, Monteagudo I. de la Torre I, Fernández CG, Montoro M, Longo JL, Carreño L. Young male patient diagnosed with cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa successfully treated with etanercept. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2014) 24:688–9. doi: 10.3109/14397595.2013.874737

38. Kawakami T, Okano T, Takeuchi S, Kimura S, Soma Y. Complete resolution of refractory cutaneous arteritis by intravenous cyclophosphamide pulse therapy. *Int J Dermatol.* (2015) 54:e323–5. doi: 10.1111/ijd.12863

39. Lobo I, Ferreira M, Silva E, Alves R, Selores M. Cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa treated with intravenous immunoglobulins. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2008) 22:880–2. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3083.2007.02478.x

40. Kato A, Hamada T, Miyake T, Morizane S, Hirai Y, Yamasaki O, et al. Clinical and laboratory markers associated with relapse in cutaneous polyarteritis nodosa. *JAMA Dermatol.* (2018) 154:922–6. doi: 10.1001/jamadermatol.2018.1601

41. Jachiet M, Flageul B, Deroux A, Le Quellec A, Maurier F, Cordoliani F, et al. The clinical spectrum and therapeutic management of hypocomplementemic urticarial vasculitis: data from a French nationwide study of fifty-seven patients. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2015) 67:527–34. doi: 10.1002/art.38956

42. Kolkhir P, Grakhova M, Bonnekoh H, Krause K, Maurer M. Treatment of urticarial vasculitis: a systematic review. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2019) 143:458–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.09.007

43. Monti G, Saccardo F, Rinaldi G, Petrozzino MR, Gomitoni A, Invernizzi F. Colchicine in the treatment of mixed cryoglobulinemia. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (1995) 13:S197-9.

44. Invernizzi F, Monti G. Colchicine and mixed cryoglobulinemia. Arthritis Rheum. (1993) 36:722–3. doi: 10.1002/art.1780360522

45. Momen SE, Jorizzo J, Al-Niaimi F. Erythema elevatum diutinum: a review of presentation and treatment. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* (2014) 28:1594–602. doi: 10.1111/jdv.12566

46. Risikesan J, Koppelhus U, Steiniche T, Deleuran M, Herlin T. Methylprednisolone therapy in acute hemorrhagic edema of infancy. *Case Rep Dermatol Med.* (2014) 2014:853038. doi: 10.1155/2014/853038

47. Schulz EJ, Whiting DA. Treatment of erythema nodosum and nodular vasculitis with potassium iodide. *Br J Dermatol.* (1976) 94:75–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2133.1976.tb04345.x

48. Horio T, Imamura S, Danno K, Ofuji S. Potassium iodide in the treatment of erythema nodosum and nodular vasculitis. *Arch Dermatol.* (1981) 117:29–31. doi: 10.1001/archderm.117.1.29

49. Wee E, Kelly RI. Treatment of nodular vasculitis with colchicine. Australas J Dermatol. (2017) 58:e79-82. doi: 10.1111/ajd.12494

50. Micheletti RG, Pagnoux C, Tamura RN, Grayson PC, McAlear CA, Borchin R, et al. Vasculitis Clinical Research Consortium. Protocol for a randomized multicenter study for isolated skin vasculitis (ARAMIS) comparing the efficacy of three drugs: azathioprine, colchicine, and dapsone. *Trials.* (2020) 21:362. doi: 10.1186/s13063-020-04285-3

51. Jayne DRW, Merkel PA, Schall TJ, Bekker P, ADVOCATE Study Group. Avacopan for the treatment of ANCA-associated vasculitis. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:599–609. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2023386

52. Wechsler ME, Akuthota P, Jayne D, Khoury P, Klion A, Langford CA, et al. EGPA Mepolizumab Study Team. Mepolizumab or placebo for eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 376:1921–32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1702079

53. Maronese CA, Zelin E, Avallone G, Moltrasio C, Romagnuolo M, Ribero S, et al. Cutaneous vasculitis and vasculopathy in the era of COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2022) 9:996288. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.996288

54. Beck DB, Ferrada MA, Sikora KA, Ombrello AK, Collins JC, Pei W, et al. Somatic Mutations in *UBA1* and severe adult-onset autoinflammatory disease. *N Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:2628–38. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2026834

55. Donnithorne KJ, Atkinson TP, Hinze CH, Nogueira JB, Saeed SA, Askenazi DJ, et al. Rituximab therapy for severe refractory chronic Henoch-Schönlein purpura. *J Pediatr.* (2009) 155:136–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2008.12.049

56. Xiong JC, Tian ML, He ZX, Wu HM, Hu ZX. Efficacy and safety of mycophenolate mofetil for Henoch-Schönlein purpura nephritis: a systematic review. *J Evid Based Med.* (2014) 14:184–90.

57. Wyatt RJ, Hogg RJ. Evidence-based assessment of treatment options for children with IgA nephropathies. *Pediatr Nephrol.* (2001) 16:156–67. doi: 10.1007/s004670000517

58. Donghi D, Schanz U, Sahrbacher U, Recher M, Trüeb RM, Müllhaupt B, et al. Life-threatening or organ-impairing Henoch-Schönlein purpura: plasmapheresis may save lives and limit organ damage. *Dermatology.* (2009) 219:167–70. doi: 10.1159/0002 23237

59. Cacoub P, Si Ahmed SN, Ferfar Y, Pol S, Thabut D, Hezode C, et al. Long-term efficacy of interferon-free antiviral treatment regimens in patients with hepatitis C virus-associated cryoglobulinemia vasculitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 17:518–26. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.05.021

60. De Vita S, Quartuccio L, Isola M, Mazzaro C, Scaini P, Lenzi M, et al. A randomized controlled trial of rituximab for the treatment of severe cryoglobulinemic vasculitis. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2012) 64:843–53. doi: 10.1002/art.34331

61. Kuhl V, Vogt T, Anghelescu I. Intravenous immunoglobulin and prednisolone treatment of cryoglobulinemic polyneuropathy. *Nervenarzt.* (2001) 72:445–8. doi: 10.1007/s001150050777

62. Ferri C, Giuggioli D, Cazzato M, Sebastiani M, Mascia MT, Zignego AL, et al. HCV-related cryoglobulinemic vasculitis: an update on its etiopathogenesis and therapeutic strategies. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2003) 21:S78-84.

63. Legrain V, Lejean S, Taïeb A, Guillard JM, Battin J, Maleville J, et al. Infantile acute hemorrhagic edema of the skin: study of ten cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* (1991) 24:17–22. doi: 10.1016/0190-9622(91)70002-J