



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY

Roy Larsen,
Sciencons AS, Norway

REVIEWED BY

Johan Blakkisrud,
Oslo University Hospital, Norway
Peter Anderson,
Cleveland Clinic, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Michael Lassmann
✉ Lassmann_m@ukw.de

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Nuclear Medicine,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Medicine

RECEIVED 29 September 2022

ACCEPTED 05 December 2022

PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

CITATION

Lassmann M and Eberlein U (2023)
Comparing absorbed doses and
radiation risk of the α -emitting
bone-seekers ^{223}Ra and
 ^{224}Ra . *Front. Med.* 9:1057373.
doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.1057373

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lassmann and Eberlein. This is
an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#).
The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided
the original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Comparing absorbed doses and radiation risk of the α -emitting bone-seekers ^{223}Ra and ^{224}Ra

Michael Lassmann* and Uta Eberlein

Department of Nuclear Medicine, University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany

^{223}Ra and ^{224}Ra are bone seekers, emitting high LET, and short range ($<100\ \mu\text{m}$) alpha-particles. Both radionuclides show similar decay properties; the total alpha energies are comparable (^{223}Ra : $\approx 28\ \text{MeV}$, ^{224}Ra : $\approx 26\ \text{MeV}$). ^{224}Ra has been used from the mid-1940s until 1990 for treating different bone and joint diseases with activities of up to approximately 50 MBq ^{224}Ra . In 2013 ^{223}Ra obtained marketing authorization by the FDA and by the European Union for the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer with an activity to administer of 0.055 MBq per kg body weight for six cycles. For intravenous injections in humans a model calculation using the biokinetic model of ICRP67 shows a ratio of organ absorbed dose coefficients (^{224}Ra : ^{223}Ra) between 0.37 (liver) and 0.97 except for the kidneys (2.27) and blood (1.57). For the red marrow as primary organ-at-risk, the ratio is 0.57. The differences are mainly caused by the differing half-lives of the decay products of both radium isotopes. Both radionuclides show comparable DNA damage patterns in peripheral blood mononuclear cells after internal *ex vivo* irradiation. Data on the long-term radiation-associated side effects are only available for treatment with ^{224}Ra . Two epidemiological studies followed two patient groups treated with ^{224}Ra for more than 25 years. One of them was the "Spiess study", a cohort of 899 juvenile patients who received several injections of ^{224}Ra with a mean specific activity of 0.66 MBq/kg. Another patient group of ankylosing spondylitis patients was treated with 10 repeated intravenous injections of ^{224}Ra , 1 MBq each, 1 week apart. In total 1,471 of these patients were followed-up in the "Wick study". In both studies, an increased cancer mortality by leukemia and solid cancers was observed. Similar considerations on long-term effects likely apply to ^{223}Ra as well since the biokinetics are similar and the absorbed doses in the same range. However, this increased risk will most likely not be observed due to the much shorter life expectancy of prostate cancer patients treated with ^{223}Ra .

KEYWORDS

dosimetry, biodosimetry, ^{224}Ra , ^{223}Ra , epidemiology

Introduction

$[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ targets bone metastases with high LET and short range ($<100\ \mu\text{m}$) alpha-particles. In 2013, Parker et al. published the results of the phase III, double-blind, randomized, international ALSYMPCA study which compared $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ plus best standard of care (BSC) vs. placebo plus BSC in castration resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) patients with bone metastases (1). The authors concluded that the ALSYMPCA study demonstrated significantly improved overall survival and low toxicity, suggesting that $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ may provide a new standard of care for patients with CRPC and bone metastases. The results of the ALSYMPCA trial were used to obtain marketing authorization for $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ (“XOFIGO”[®]) in Europe and North America in 2013.

$[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ has been used from the mid-1940s until 1990 for treating different bone and joint diseases, mainly in Germany (2, 3). After World War II, $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ was primarily used for the treatment of children and juveniles suffering from bone tuberculosis, and even for the therapy of Ankylosing Spondylitis (AS) patients. The activities of $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ administered at that time were high (approximately 0.66 MBq/kg body weight, corresponding to an activity of 50 MBq), with treatment durations ranging from 1 month to 45 months (median: 4 months). In the “Spiess study” 899 patients who received multiple injections of $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ mainly between 1945 and 1955 for the treatment of tuberculosis, AS and some other diseases had been followed (3).

In a second group of patients who were treated with repeated intravenous injections of $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ (excluding radiation therapy with X-rays) between 1948 and 1975 an epidemiological study on 1,471 ankylosing spondylitis patients was performed (“Wick study”). The activity was administered as 10 intravenous (IV) injections, 1 MBq each, one a week apart (mean: 0.17 MBq/kg, 10 MBq total). These patients have been followed together with a control group of 1,324 AS patients treated neither with radioactive drugs nor with X-rays (2).

$[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ has again been made available in Germany between 2000 and 2005 for treating AS. During that period, the German “Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte (BfArM)” approved an intravenous injection of $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ with total activities of 10 MBq (10 injections per week, 1 MBq each) for AS therapy (4).

$[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ has only been used in a small patient cohort for the treatment of osteoblastic metastases (5). Groth et al. describe the successful compassionate use treatment of osteoblastic metastases in 10 patients using 12 MBq or 20/30 MBq $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$. Except these studies, no further publications on patient treatment with $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ are available.

The purpose of this work is to compare the dosimetry- and radiation-risk related aspects of treatments with $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ and $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$.

Radioactive decay and exposure

Decay chains

^{223}Ra

^{223}Ra is an alpha emitter (half-life = 11.43 d), which decays through a cascade of short-lived alpha- and beta-emitting progeny with the emission of about 20 MeV of energy per starting atom and the first two daughters and about 28 MeV through complete decay of the progeny to stable lead (Figure 1). A listing of the decay chain, branching ratios, half-lives, energies emitted by alpha-, beta, and gamma-transitions is provided e.g., by Schumann et al. (6). The data for the energy per transition in this publication was taken from the MIRD tables by Eckerman and Endo (7).

^{224}Ra

^{224}Ra is also an alpha emitter (half-life = 3.63 d) decaying through a cascade of short-lived alpha- and beta-emitting progeny with the emission of about 19 MeV of energy per starting atom and the first two daughters and about 26 MeV through complete decay of the progeny to stable lead (Figure 2). More details on the decay chain and the energies emitted are provided by Schumann et al. (6) and were also taken from the Eckerman and Endo tables (7).

Biokinetics and dosimetry

$[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$

In 2002, Lassmann et al. (8) analyzed the dosimetry after the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis with $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ by using model calculations based on ICRP 67 (9). Details on the model are provided in the publication by Lassmann et al. (8). The highest absorbed dose coefficients were found for bone endosteum (443 mGy/MBq), liver (14 mGy/MBq), and red bone marrow (44 mGy/MBq) (8).

$[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$

For $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$, Lassmann and Nosske (10) provided a first comprehensive model-based dosimetric calculation of organ doses after intravenous administration of $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$, in analogy to the previous publication by Lassmann et al. for $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ (8). The highest absorbed dose coefficients were also found for bone endosteum (760 mGy/MBq), liver (38 mGy/MBq), and red bone marrow (78 mGy/MBq) (10).

Several clinical studies measured the disappearance of $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ from the blood and the excretion pathways (11–14). All studies showed a rapid blood clearance; the major excretion pathway, however, is fecal excretion.

TABLE 1 Ratio of the absorbed organ dose coefficients (mGy/MBq) and the absorbed doses for typical administrations (10 MBq ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂ in the “Spiess study”, 25 MBq ^{223}Ra]RaCl₂ for six cycles in a patient of 75 kg).

Organ	Ratio of absorbed dose coefficients: ^{224}Ra]RaCl ₂ / ^{223}Ra]RaCl ₂	Ratio of Absorbed doses: 10 MBq ^{224}Ra]RaCl ₂ / 25 MBq ^{223}Ra]RaCl ₂
Adrenals	0.73	0.29
Bladder wall	0.70	0.28
Bone endosteum	0.58	0.23
Brain	0.71	0.28
Breast	0.70	0.28
GI-tract		
Esophagus	0.71	0.28
St wall	0.72	0.29
SI wall	0.78	0.31
ULI wall	0.46	0.18
LLI wall	0.57	0.23
Colon	0.54	0.22
Kidneys	2.27	0.91
Liver	0.37	0.15
Muscle	0.72	0.29
Ovaries	0.97	0.39
Pancreas	0.72	0.29
Red marrow	0.57	0.23
Respiratory tract		
ET airways	0.67	0.27
Lungs	0.67	0.27
Skin	0.71	0.28
Spleen	0.88	0.35
Testes	0.87	0.35
Thymus	0.71	0.28
Thyroid	0.71	0.28
Blood	1.57	0.66

The data are taken from Lassmann and Nosske (10) and from Lassmann et al. (8) as the unweighted sum over the alpha and beta radiation contributions for each organ. The data for blood were taken from Schumann et al. (14) and Stephan et al. (18).

the injected activity is taken up by tumors as only a fraction of the remaining activity will be available and taken up by other organs or tissues.

External exposure

To further elucidate potential differences between ^{224}Ra and ^{223}Ra and their respected progenies regarding exposure of staff

or persons staying close to patients, the dose rate constants for the ambient dose H^* were compared. For the comparison, the newest published values were used for ^{224}Ra and ^{223}Ra and the respective progenies (20).

The values for both radionuclides and their decay products are quite similar [45.17 $\mu\text{Sv m}^2/(\text{h GBq})$, ^{223}Ra and 49.44 $\mu\text{Sv m}^2/(\text{h GBq})$, ^{224}Ra]. The dose rate by a patient after administration of ^{223}Ra in 1 m distance immediately following administration is 0.05 $\mu\text{Sv}/(\text{h MBq})$. This value is in good agreement with the mean values measured by Dauer et al. of 0.02 $\mu\text{Sv}/(\text{h MBq})$ (21). Overall, the external exposure of both radionuclides is low compared to other treatments with radiopharmaceuticals.

Long-term radiation-related effects

Patient cohorts studying long-term radiation-related effects of ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂

There are two patient cohorts that were followed for long-term radiation-related effects after the use of ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂.

In several publications Nekolla et al. (3, 22, 23) followed the health of 899 persons that were included in the “Spiess study“. The mostly juvenile patients received, mainly between 1945 and 1955, multiple injections of ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂ (mean specific activity: 0.66 MBq/kg, corresponding to an injection of 46 MBq to a 70 kg patient) with the aim of treating tuberculosis (TB), AS and some other diseases.

A second patient cohort included 1,471 AS patients treated with repeated intravenous injections of 0.17 MBq/kg ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂ between 1948 and 1975 (2). These patients have been followed in the “Wick study” together with a control group of 1,324 AS patients treated neither with radioactive drugs nor with X-rays. The mean follow-up time was 26.3 years in the exposed and 24.6 years in the control group.

Radiation-induced side-effects of ^{223}Ra]RaCl₂ and ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂

In the study cohort of the “Spiess study”, Nekolla et al. (22) and Nekolla et al. (3) observed shortly after ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂ injections an increase in bone tumor risk significantly greater for younger ages at exposure. Most of the malignant bone tumors were osteosarcomas and fibrous-histiocytic sarcomas. During the two most recent decades of observation, a significant excess of non-skeletal malignant diseases has also become evident. Until the end of 2007, the total number of observed malignant non-skeletal diseases was 270 compared to 192 expected cases (3).

For ^{224}Ra]RaCl₂ the most striking observation of the “Wick study” (2) were the 21 cases of leukemia in the exposed group

(vs. 6.8 cases expected, $P < 0.001$) compared to 12 cases of leukemia in the control group (vs. 7.5 cases expected). This increase in total leukemias was significant in direct comparison between the exposed and control groups too ($P < 0.05$). Wick et al. found, besides an increased standardized incidence ratio (= ratio of the number of observed cases vs. the number of expected cases) of leukemias, a significant increase for kidney and thyroid cancer (2).

For $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ only mild side and mostly transient effects were observed (1). $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ was well tolerated by patients with skeletal metastases. Mild to moderate and transient hematological toxicity was observed at potentially therapeutic doses. Platelets were less affected than neutrophils and white blood cells; toxicity grade I was seen in 5 of the 31 patients (1). Furthermore, only two cases of leukemia have been reported until today (24).

Discussion

A major drawback for image-based dosimetry of $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ is the inherent difficulty to quantify post-therapeutic gamma camera images, although photon emissions suitable for gamma camera imaging are available at ~ 82 keV, ~ 154 keV, and ~ 270 keV. Due to the low photon abundance, the low activities administered to the patients, and the high contribution of down-scatter of higher energy photons leading to severe septal penetration causes large image quantification uncertainties as reported by Hindorf et al. (25). Pacilio et al. (26) and Yoshida et al. (13) provided quantitative results by planar imaging, however, the accuracy of the respective quantification process for *in-vivo* imaging is even more limited due to activity overlay in this type of image. For ^{224}Ra , data on imaging, though theoretically possible with the 239–241 keV gamma rays for ^{224}Ra and ^{212}Pb , and the 73–87 keV gamma rays of ^{212}Pb and ^{208}Tl have not been published. A feasibility study on how to quantify the decay product ^{212}Pb by SPECT/CT imaging was published by Kvassheim et al. (27). However, the direct comparability of the results of this phantom study with activities up to 8 MBq to patient studies with $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ is limited. For example, the $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ activities administered in the patient study of Groth et al. (5) (maximum 30 MBq over several cycles) were at least one order of magnitude lower as compared to a recent clinical study with ^{212}Pb -DOTAMTATE (28) (188 MBq per cycle for a 75 kg patient), thus hampering reliable image quantification of $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$.

A major concern for the application of radium isotopes to patients could be diffusion of the first daughter products ^{219}Rn (half-life: 4 s) or ^{220}Rn (half-life: 56 s). This could lead either to an increased diffusion of radon away from the binding site leading to unwanted irradiation of other organs or tissues or to increased emanation of radon, therefore reducing the energy deposited in the tumor/lesion.

Lloyd et al. (29) studied the retention, distribution and dosimetry of injected $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ in six young adult beagles which were killed 0.04 to 7 days after $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ administration. Their results suggest that, for the beagles, a fraction of roughly 0.08 of ^{220}Rn or ^{216}Po is produced *in vivo* and escapes from the skeleton. Increased *in-vivo* emanation of ^{220}Rn was not observed in a study by Klemm et al. (30) who were looking for increased ^{220}Rn exhalation in two AS patients after therapy with $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$.

Why it might be more favorable to use $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ as compared to $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ to treat solid tumors is shown in two studies by Arazi et al. (31) and Arazi (32). Although a different set-up - diffusing alpha-emitters radiation therapy utilizing implantable sources carrying small activities of ^{224}Ra - the arguments are applicable also to the case of bone metastases taking up ^{224}Ra . The released atoms disperse inside the tumor by diffusive and convective processes, creating, through their alpha emissions, a high-dose region measuring several millimeter in diameter about each source. If the decay point of ^{220}Rn is effectively the starting point for the migration of ^{212}Pb which may further distribute away from the source, the assessment by Arazi et al. (31) and Arazi (32) demonstrates that the size of the region subject to alpha particle irradiation may be expected to be of the order of millimeters rather than a few dozen micrometers. This might lead to a more homogeneous dose distribution in the tumor as compared to ^{223}Ra . Similar findings have been reported by Napoli et al. in an experimental study with ^{224}Ra -labeled CaCO_3 microparticles (33). These considerations are not taken into account in any of the absorbed dose calculations until today (8, 10, 16).

Data on the biological effects by $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ or $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ are sparse. For $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$, only the publication by Stephan et al. (18) showed radiation dose-related effects on chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes after repeated treatments. The frequency of chromosomal aberrations observed during the course of therapy was related to the absorbed dose to the blood. They also observed, that the frequency of dicentric chromosomes induced *in vivo* agreed well with the corresponding value of dicentrics induced *in vitro* (18).

For $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ Sciuto et al. showed high dose dependent increase of the number of dicentrics and micronuclei during the course of $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ therapy. The authors found a linear correlation between the absorbed dose to the blood and the number of dicentrics after repeated treatments.

Our group could show in several publications in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), by using the γ -H2AX assay as a marker for DNA double strand breaks, that there is, after internal *ex vivo* irradiation, a linear correlation between the number of alpha tracks induced by $[^{223}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ and $[^{224}\text{Ra}]\text{RaCl}_2$ revealing no difference between the radionuclides at the same absorbed dose (6, 34). Furthermore the *ex vivo* repair kinetics of the DNA damage in PBMCs is similar to the repair rate when compared to beta irradiation (35). Schumann

et al. also observed *in vivo* in 9 patients after treatment with [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$ that the DNA damage is partly repaired (14).

Concerning long-term side effects, Priest et al. (36) compared, in a reanalysis of the AS patient data of the Wick study, the higher incidence of radiation-induced cancer with the fact that the patient treatment resulted decreased pain and increased mobility. Both of which are associated with decreased mortality by non-cancer diseases and from all causes of death. In their analysis they found no excess mortality in the group of AS patients. According to the authors, “the study demonstrates the need to consider all causes of death and longevity when assessing health impacts following irradiation” (36).

With respect to long-term effects of treatment with [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$, stochastic radiation-induced side-effects, although observed for [^{224}Ra]RaCl $_2$, are less relevant in the context of cancer treatment of prostate cancer as the median survival time of patients after treatment is 14 months (1). This is significantly less than 2 years considered to be the latent period for induced leukemia or the 8 year average latent period for induced bone cancer (23, 37, 38). Therefore, presently the benefit of the treatment of prostate cancer patients with [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$ outweighs the hypothetical risk associated with this treatment.

Conclusions

When comparing the dosimetry data obtained by model-based calculations on [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$ and [^{224}Ra]RaCl $_2$ or data obtained by bio-dosimetric methods no major differences are observed for most organs. For kidneys, liver and blood the differences, most likely, can be explained by the differing half-lives of the respective progenies. Due to the difficulties associated with quantitative imaging of radium isotopes, absorbed doses derived by imaging procedures are less reliable due to inherent difficulties of image quantification. Furthermore, *in vivo* diffusion by radium progeny particularly in tumors is not well characterized and might need further experimental verification.

References

1. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D, Helle SI, O'Sullivan JM, Fossa SD, et al. Alpha emitter radium-223, survival in metastatic prostate cancer. *N Engl J Med.* (2013) 369:213–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1213755
2. Wick RR, Atkinson MJ, Nekolla EA. Incidence of leukaemia and other malignant diseases following injections of the short-lived alpha-emitter ^{224}Ra into man. *Radiat Environ Biophys.* (2009) 48:287–94. doi: 10.1007/s00411-009-0227-y
3. Nekolla EA, Walsh L, Spiess H. Incidence of malignant diseases in humans injected with radium-224. *Radiat Res.* (2010) 174:377–86. doi: 10.1667/RR1955.1
4. Alberding A, Stierle H, Brandt J, Braun J. Effectiveness and safety of radium chloride in the treatment of ankylosing spondylitis. Results of an observational study. *Z Rheumatol.* (2006) 65:245–51. doi: 10.1007/s00393-005-0009-0
5. Groth P, Dunkelmann S, Schümichen C. Die Behandlung osteoblastischer Metastasen mit Radium-224-Chlorid. *Nuklearmedizin.* (2008) 47:A106.
6. Schumann S, Eberlein U, Müller J, Scherthan H, Lassmann M. Correlation of the absorbed dose to the blood and DNA damage in leukocytes after internal ex-vivo irradiation of blood samples with ^{224}Ra . *EJNMMI Res.* (2018) 8:77. doi: 10.1186/s13550-018-0422-4
7. Eckerman KF, Endo A. *MIRD: Radionuclide Data and Decay Schemes.* Reston, Virginia, USA: Society of Nuclear Medicine. (2008).
8. Lassmann M, Nosske D, Reiners C. Therapy of ankylosing spondylitis with ^{224}Ra -radium chloride: dosimetry and risk considerations. *Radiat Environ Biophys.* (2002) 41:173–8. doi: 10.1007/s00411-002-0164-5
9. ICRP. *Publication 67: Age-dependent doses to members of the public from intake of radionuclides: Part 2 Ingestion dose coefficients.* Ann ICRP 22, 405.

Data on long-term radiation-associated side effects are only available for treatment with [^{224}Ra]RaCl $_2$. In several studies, an increased cancer mortality by leukemia and solid cancers was observed. Similar considerations likely apply to [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$ as the biokinetics and the absorbed doses are in the same range, but this increased risk may not be observed due to the much shorter life expectancy of prostate cancer patients treated with [^{223}Ra]RaCl $_2$.

Author contributions

Both authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

This publication was supported by the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Würzburg.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Lassmann M, Nosske D. Dosimetry of ^{223}Ra -chloride: dose to normal organs and tissues. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2013) 40:207–12. doi: 10.1007/s00259-012-2265-y
11. Carrasquillo JA, O'Donoghue JA, Pandit-Taskar N, Humm JL, Rathkopf DE, Slovin SF, et al. Phase I pharmacokinetic and biodistribution study with escalating doses of ^{223}Ra -dichloride in men with castration-resistant metastatic prostate cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2013) 40:1384–93. doi: 10.1007/s00259-013-2427-6
12. Chittenden SJ, Hindorf C, Parker CC, Lewington VJ, Pratt BE, Johnson B, et al. A Phase I, open-label study of the biodistribution, pharmacokinetics, and dosimetry of ^{223}Ra -Dichloride in patients with hormone-refractory prostate cancer and skeletal metastases. *J Nucl Med*. (2015) 56:1304–9. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.115.157123
13. Yoshida K, Kaneta T, Takano S, Sugiura M, Kawano T, Hino A, et al. Pharmacokinetics of single dose radium-223 dichloride (BAY 88-8223) in Japanese patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer and bone metastases. *Ann Nucl Med*. (2016) 30:453–60. doi: 10.1007/s12149-016-1093-8
14. Schumann S, Eberlein U, Lapa C, Muller J, Serfling S, Lassmann M, et al. alpha-Particle-induced DNA damage tracks in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of [^{223}Ra]RaCl₂-treated prostate cancer patients. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2021) 48:2761–70. doi: 10.1007/s00259-020-05170-6
15. Pacilio M, Ventroni G, De Vincentis G, Cassano B, Pellegrini R, Di Castro E, et al. Dosimetry of bone metastases in targeted radionuclide therapy with alpha-emitting ^{223}Ra -dichloride. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2016) 43:21–33. doi: 10.1007/s00259-015-3150-2
16. Höllriegel V, Petoussi-Hens N, Hurkamp K, Ocampo Ramos JC, Li WB. Radiopharmacokinetic modelling and radiation dose assessment of (^{223}Ra) used for treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *EJNMMI Phys*. (2021) 8:44. doi: 10.1186/s40658-021-00388-1
17. Paquet F, Bailey MR, Leggett RW, Lipsztein J, Marsh J, Fell TP, et al. ICRP Publication 137: Occupational Intakes of Radionuclides: Part 3. *Ann ICRP*. (2017) 46:1–486. doi: 10.1177/0146645317734963
18. Stephan G, Kampen WU, Nosske D, Roos H. Chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes of patients treated with radium-224 for ankylosing spondylitis. *Radiat Environ Biophys*. (2005) 44:23–8. doi: 10.1007/s00411-005-0275-x
19. Lloyd RD, Bruenger FW, Jones CW, Taylor GN, Mays CW. Radium retention in mature beagles injected at 5 years of age. *Radiat Res*. (1983) 94:210–6. doi: 10.2307/3575876
20. Stettner C, Hranitzky C, Poljanc K, Strebl F, Strelci C. Catalogue of dose rate constants for more than 400 radionuclides in terms of ambient dose H(*) and comparison of figures to ambient dose equivalent H(*) (10). *Appl Radiat Isot*. (2022) 184:110159. doi: 10.1016/j.apradiso.2022.110159
21. Dauer LT, Williamson MJ, Humm J, O'Donoghue J, Ghani R, Awadallah R, et al. Radiation safety considerations for the use of [^{223}Ra]RaCl₂ DE in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Health Phys*. (2014) 106:494–504. doi: 10.1097/HP.0b013e3182a82b37
22. Nekolla EA, Kellerer AM, Kuse-Isingschulte M, Eder E, Spiess H. Malignancies in patients treated with high doses of radium-224. *Radiat Res*. (1999) 152:S3–7. doi: 10.2307/3580102
23. Nekolla EA, Kreisheimer M, Kellerer AM, Kuse-Isingschulte M, Gossner W, Spiess H, et al. Induction of malignant bone tumors in radium-224 patients: risk estimates based on the improved dosimetry. *Radiat Res*. (2000) 153:93–103. doi: 10.1667/0033-7587(2000)153[0093:IOBMTI]2.0.CO;2
24. Jacene H, Gomella L, Yu EY, Rohren EM. Hematologic Toxicity From Radium-223 Therapy for Bone Metastases in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Risk Factors and Practical Considerations. *Clin Genitourin Cancer*. (2018) 16:e919–26. doi: 10.1016/j.clgc.2018.03.007
25. Hindorf C, Chittenden S, Aksnes AK, Parker C, Flux GD. Quantitative imaging of ^{223}Ra -chloride (Alpharadin) for targeted alpha-emitting radionuclide therapy of bone metastases. *Nucl Med Commun*. (2012) 33:726–32. doi: 10.1097/MNM.0b013e328353bb6e
26. Pacilio M, Cassano B, Chiesa C, Giancola S, Ferrari M, Pettinato C, et al. The Italian multicentre dosimetric study for lesion dosimetry in ^{223}Ra therapy of bone metastases: Calibration protocol of gamma cameras and patient eligibility criteria. *Phys Med*. (2016) 32:1731–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmp.2016.09.013
27. Kvasseheim M, Revheim MR, Stokke C. Quantitative SPECT/CT imaging of lead-212: a phantom study. *EJNMMI Phys*. (2022) 9:52. doi: 10.1186/s40658-022-00481-z
28. Delpassand ES, Tworowska I, Esfandiari R, Torgue J, Hurt J, Shafie A, et al. Targeted alpha-emitter therapy with ^{212}Pb -DOTAMTATE for the treatment of metastatic SSTR-expressing neuroendocrine tumors: first-in-humans dose-escalation clinical trial. *J Nucl Med*. (2022) 63:1326–33. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.121.263230
29. Lloyd RD, Mays CW, Taylor GN, Atherton DR, Bruenger FW, Jones CW, et al. Radium-224 retention, distribution, and dosimetry in beagles. *Radiat Res*. (1982) 92:280–95. doi: 10.2307/3576005
30. Klemm C, Michel K, Rose E, Meringdal J. Storage and excretion of Radium 224 (-thorium X) during treatment of ankylosing spondylitis. *Z Rheumaforsch*. (1970) 29:234–40.
31. Arazi L, Cooks T, Schmidt M, Keisari Y, Kelson I. Treatment of solid tumors by interstitial release of recoiling short-lived alpha emitters. *Phys Med Biol*. (2007) 52:5025–42. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/52/16/021
32. Arazi L. Diffusing alpha-emitters radiation therapy: approximate modeling of the macroscopic alpha particle dose of a point source. *Phys Med Biol*. (2020) 65:015015. doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/ab5b73
33. Napoli E, Bonsdorff TB, Jorstad IS, Bruland OS, Larsen RH, Westrom S, et al. Radon-220 diffusion from ^{224}Ra -labeled calcium carbonate microparticles: Some implications for radiotherapeutic use. *PLoS ONE*. (2021) 16:e0248133. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248133
34. Schumann S, Eberlein U, Muhtadi R, Lassmann M, Scherthan H. DNA damage in leukocytes after internal ex-vivo irradiation of blood with the alpha-emitter ^{223}Ra . *Sci Rep*. (2018) 8:2286. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20364-7
35. Göring L, Schumann S, Müller J, Buck AK, Port M, Lassmann M, et al. Repair of alpha-particle-induced DNA damage in peripheral blood mononuclear cells after internal ex vivo irradiation with ^{223}Ra . *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*. (2022) 49:3981–8. doi: 10.1007/s00259-022-05860-3
36. Priest ND, Dauer LT, Hoel DG. Administration of lower doses of radium-224 to ankylosing spondylitis patients results in no evidence of significant overall detriment. *PLoS ONE*. (2020) 15:e0232597. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0232597
37. Rowland RE, Stehney AF, Lucas HF. Dose-response relationships for radium-induced bone sarcomas. *Health Phys*. (1983) 44:15–31. doi: 10.1097/00004032-198306001-00001
38. Gossner W. Target cells in internal dosimetry. *Radiat Prot Dosimetry*. (2003) 105:39–42. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.rpd.a006265