



Corrigendum: The Role of Heat Transfer Limitations in Polymer Pyrolysis at the Microscale

Franz Richter and Guillermo Rein*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

Keywords: chemistry, transport, kinetics, cellulose, TGA, thermal lag

A Corrigendum on

The Role of Heat Transfer Limitations in Polymer Pyrolysis at the Microscale

by Richter, F., and Rein, G. (2018). *Front. Mech. Eng.* 4:18. doi: 10.3389/fmech.2018.00018

In the original article, there was an error. Equation (20) was misprinted.

A correction has been made to Discussion and Derivation of Thresholds, subsection Minimize Both Internal and External Heat Transfer Limitation, Equation (20):

$$Da = \frac{\tau_E}{\tau_c} = \frac{2\rho c R^2 \eta}{3k_f \text{Nu}} \quad (20)$$

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

David B. Go,
University of Notre Dame,
United States

*Correspondence:

Guillermo Rein
g.rein@imperial.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Thermal and Mass Transport,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Mechanical Engineering

Received: 14 January 2020

Accepted: 31 January 2020

Published: 27 February 2020

Citation:

Richter F and Rein G (2020)
Corrigendum: The Role of Heat
Transfer Limitations in Polymer
Pyrolysis at the Microscale.
Front. Mech. Eng. 6:8.
doi: 10.3389/fmech.2020.00008

Further, Equation (21) was also misprinted. A correction has been made to Discussion and Derivation of Thresholds, subsection Minimize Both Internal and External Heat Transfer Limitation, Equation (21):

$$m_c = \frac{0.0775\pi}{\sqrt{\rho}} \left(\frac{k_f \text{Nu}}{c\eta} \right)^{3/2} \text{ with } \eta = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT_s}\right) \text{ with } \log T_s = 2.5 + 0.047 \log \beta \quad (21)$$

Additionally, there was a mistake in the legend for Figure 8. “log $T_s = 0.047 + 2.5 \log \beta$ ” should be “log $T_s = 2.5 + 0.047 \log \beta$ ”. The corrected Figure 8 legend appears below.

“Figure 8: Summary of derived and literature thresholds for transport limitations together with high-quality experiments. The threshold by Burnham et al. (2015) ($m_c = 10/\beta$) is for both intra- and interparticle heat transfer. The threshold $Da < 0.1$ (Equation 21) is for interparticle heat transfer with $\log T_s = 2.5 + 0.047 \log \beta$. The threshold by Lyon et al. (2012) ($m_c = (1.1/\beta)^{3/2}$) and the threshold of $\Delta T_I < 1$ K (Equation 18) are for intraparticle heat transfer. The experiments are by: Gronli et al. (diamonds) (Grønli et al., 1999), Gronli et al. (thermal lag study) (triangle right) (Grønli et al., 1999), Antal et al. (triangle up) (Antal et al., 1998), and Lin et al. (squares) (Lin et al., 2009). All boundaries and experiments, except Lyon, are for cellulose. The graph is inspired by Lyon et al. (2012) and Burnham (2017).”

Lastly, in the original article, there was an error. We neglected to include a description of the length of scales used.

A correction has been made, and the following clarification has been added to the end of the Discussion and Derivation of Thresholds section, subsection Minimize Both Internal and External Heat Transfer Limitation:

“The paper uses two definitions for the characteristic length in heat transfer. One, L_1 , represents the smallest distance along the maximum temperature difference. For conduction in a spherical particle that is $L_1 = R$. The other, L_2 , represents the average distance for heat conduction (volume divided by surface area). For a spherical particle $L_2 = \frac{R}{3}$. The paper uses L_1 but also L_2

with regards to the previous work of Hayhurst (2013). L_1 is used in Equations (9–18) as well as Figures 6, 9. L_2 is used in Equations (19–21) as well as in Figures 7, 8.”

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

- Antal, M. J., Varhegyi, G., and Jakab, E. (1998). Cellulose pyrolysis kinetics: revisited. *Indus. Eng. Chem. Res.* 37, 1267–1275. doi: 10.1021/ie970144v
- Burnham, A. K. (2017). *Global Chemical Kinetics of Fossil Fuels*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-49634-4
- Burnham, A. K., Zhou, X., and Broadbelt, L. J. (2015). Critical review of the global chemical kinetics of cellulose thermal decomposition. *Energy Fuels* 29, 2906–2918. doi: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.5b00350
- Gronli, M., Antal, M., and Várhegyi, G. (1999). A round-robin study of cellulose pyrolysis kinetics by thermogravimetry. *Indus. Eng. Chem. Res.* 38, 2238–2244. doi: 10.1021/ie980601n
- Hayhurst, A. N. (2013). The kinetics of the pyrolysis or devolatilisation of sewage sludge and other solid fuels. *Combust. Flame* 160, 138–144. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2012.09.003
- Lin, Y.-C., Cho, J., Tompsett, G. A., Westmoreland, P. R., and Huber, G. W. (2009). Kinetics and mechanism of cellulose pyrolysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 113, 20097–20107. doi: 10.1021/jp906702p
- Lyon, R. E., Safronava, N., Senese, J., and Stoliarov, S. I. (2012). Thermokinetic model of sample response in nonisothermal analysis. *Thermochim. Acta* 545, 82–89. doi: 10.1016/j.tca.2012.06.034

Copyright © 2020 Richter and Rein. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.