Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Susana P. Gaudêncio, New University of Lisbon, Portugal

REVIEWED BY

Hui Cui, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, China Chang-Wei Li, Beijing Institute of Pharmacology & Toxicology, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Josefina Aleu josefina.aleu@uca.es Rosa Durán-Patrón rosa.duran@uca.es

RECEIVED 14 February 2024 ACCEPTED 22 April 2024 PUBLISHED 10 May 2024

CITATION

Virués-Segovia JR, Pinedo C, Zorrilla D, Sánchez-Márquez J, Sánchez P, Ramos MC, de la Cruz M, Aleu J and Durán-Patrón R (2024) Discovery of new eremophilanes from the marine-derived fungus *Emericellopsis maritima* BC17 by culture conditions changes: evaluation of cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 11:1386175. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2024.1386175

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Virués-Segovia, Pinedo, Zorrilla, Sánchez-Márquez, Sánchez, Ramos, de la Cruz, Aleu and Durán-Patrón. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Discovery of new eremophilanes from the marine-derived fungus *Emericellopsis maritima* BC17 by culture conditions changes: evaluation of cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities

Jorge R. Virués-Segovia^{1,2}, Cristina Pinedo^{1,2}, David Zorrilla³, Jesús Sánchez-Márquez³, Pilar Sánchez⁴, María C. Ramos⁴, Mercedes de la Cruz⁴, Josefina Aleu^{1,2*} and Rosa Durán-Patrón^{1,2*}

¹Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz, Spain, ²Instituto de Investigación en Biomoléculas (INBIO), Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz, Spain, ³Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, Cádiz, Spain, ⁴Centro de Excelencia en Investigación de Medicamentos Innovadores en Andalucía, Fundación MEDINA, Granada, Spain

In our previous studies, the marine-derived fungus *Emericellopsis maritima* BC17 was found to produce new eremophilane-type sesquiterpenoids on solid media. In order to explore its potential to produce more metabolites, *E. maritima* BC17 was subjected to a one strain-many compounds (OSMAC) analysis leading to the discovery of three new eremophilanes (1-3) and fourteen known derivatives (4-17) in the liquid media Czapek Dox and PDB. Their structures were established by extensive analyses of the 1D and 2D NMR, and HRESIMS data, as well as ECD data for the assignment of their absolute configurations. Antitumoral and antimicrobial activities of the isolated metabolites 1, 3, 11, and 15 were investigated. PR toxin 3-deacetyl (15) exhibited cytotoxic activity against HepG2, MCF-7, A549, A2058 and Mia PaCa-2 human cancer cell lines with IC₅₀ values ranging from 2.5 to 14.7 μ M. In addition, 15 exhibited selective activity against methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC29213 at the highest concentration tested of 128 μ g/mL.

KEYWORDS

eremophilane, *Emericellopsis maritima*, marine-derived fungus, OSMAC approach, antimicrobial, antitumoral

1 Introduction

Marine fungi strains can be found across diverse habitats holding a notable ecological importance due to their ability to produce a wide range of metabolites (Grossart et al., 2019; Hafez Ghoran et al., 2022), including peptides, terpenes, lactones, steroids, alkaloids and polyketides (Jin et al., 2016).

In recent decades, the interest in marine fungi as chemical producers of bioactive molecules has increased significantly due to the isolation of new compounds with potential pharmaceutical applications (Xu et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2016; Pang et al., 2016; Khalifa et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2022), playing a crucial role in discovering and developing new drugs (Agrawal et al., 2023).

Given the increasing need to find and develop new bioactive molecules to combat global health problems such as microbial resistance and the rising incidence of cancer (Christaki et al., 2020; Sung et al., 2021), marine-derived fungi have proven to be a promising source of novel bioactive metabolites. Additionally, cytotoxic and antibacterial activities are the most commonly reported bioactivities of natural products from these fungi (Jin et al., 2016). In fact, halimide, a potent cytotoxic diketopiperazine isolated from the marine-derived fungus *Aspergillus ustus*, has led to the synthesis of plinabulin (NPI-2358), which is currently undergoing phase II/III clinical trials for cancer treatment (Blayney et al., 2020, 2022).

Traditional methodologies for the chemical exploration of new bioactive natural products are currently considered inefficient due to recurrent rediscovery of known compounds or the limited yields obtained under laboratory conditions. In this sense, one of the most widely used strategies to exploit the chemical diversity produced by microorganisms involves the manipulation of culture conditions, such as variation of medium composition, temperature, and pH. This approach is known as the "one strain many compounds" (OSMAC) approach (Bode et al., 2002). OSMAC strategy, which attempts to activate silenced biosynthetic pathways, has already been successfully employed in marine-derived fungal strains leading to the isolation of a wide range of compounds with interesting antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities (Pinedo-Rivilla et al., 2022).

Emericellopsis, a genus of *Ascomycota* fungi within the order *Hypocreales*, has a wide environmental amplitude and universal distribution (Grum-Grzhimaylo et al., 2013). According to the different phylogeny and ecology, it has been classified into two distinct groups, specifically marine and terrestrial clades (Zuccaro et al., 2004).

Emericellopsis spp. are ubiquitous in marine environments and can survive extreme conditions, including temperature, pressure, salinity, and pH (Grum-Grzhimaylo et al., 2013). These fungi have also been isolated from different marine macroalgae and sponges (Wiese et al., 2011; Gonçalves et al., 2020) and have previously been studied for their ability to produce peptides with antifungal (Kuvarina et al., 2021), antitumoral (Rogozhin and Sadykova, 2019), and antibiotic activities (Inostroza et al., 2018; Agrawal and Saha, 2022).

Specifically, *E. maritima* has previously been isolated from Atlantic sponges and the deep-sea (Batista-García et al., 2017; Bovio et al., 2018). However, no secondary metabolites from this species had been published, making its study an important starting point as a potential source of bioactive compounds.

The strain *E. maritima* BC17 was isolated from sediments collected along an intertidal gradient of the inner Bay of Cádiz, Spain (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023). Four new eremophilane-type sesquiterpenes, together with thirteen known derivatives were found in the culture broth of this fungus on different solid media (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023).

Eremophilanes have been reported to exhibit a wide variety of bioactivities such as phytotoxins, antimicrobials, protein inhibitors, immunomodulators and cytotoxins. Indeed, these sesquiterpenes have previously been isolated from marine fungi such as *Penicillium copticola* (Zhang et al., 2022), *Xylaria* sp. BL321 (Song et al., 2012), *Penicillium* sp. PR19N-1 (Wu et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2014) or *Aspergillus* sp. SCSIOW2 (Wang et al., 2016), among others, showing an interesting diversity of bioactive compounds (Ebel, 2010).

With the aim of continuing the study of its chemical potential, in this work *E. maritima* BC17 was further investigated using liquid culture media following the OSMAC strategy. Consequently, three previously undescribed eremophilanes (1-3) and fourteen known derivatives (4-17) were isolated using the liquid media Czapek Dox and potato dextrose broth (PDB), both under surface culture and shaking conditions. Additionally, the antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of compounds 1, 3, 11, and 15 are reported.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 General experiment procedures

Melting points were measured with a Reichert-Jung Kofler block. ECD spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-1500 CD spectrometer. Optical rotations were determined with a JASCO P-2000 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Spectrum BX FT-IR spectrophotometer and reported as wavenumber (cm⁻¹). TLC was performed on Merck Kiesegel 60 Å F254, 0.25 mm thick. Silica gel 60 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PF}_{254}}$ (60-100 mesh, VWR) was used for column chromatography. HPLC was performed with a Hitachi/Merck L-6270 apparatus equipped with an UV-VIS detector (L 4250) and a differential refractometer detector (RI-7490). LiChroCART LiChrospher Si 60 (5 μ m, 250 mm \times 4 mm), LiChroCART LiChrospher Si 60 (10 μ m, 250 mm \times 10 mm), and ACE 5 SIL (5 μ m, 250 mm \times 4.6 mm id) columns were used for isolation experiments. ¹H and ¹³C NMR measurements were recorded on Bruker 400, 500, and 700 MHz spectrometers with SiMe₄ as the internal reference. Chemical shifts are expressed in ppm (δ), referenced to CDCl₃ (Eurisotop, Saint-Aubiu, France, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.25, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.0) and CD₃OD (Eurisotop, Saint-Aubiu, France, $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.30, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 49.0). COSY, HSQC, HMBC, and NOESY experiments were performed using standard Bruker pulse sequence. NMR assignments were made using a combination of 1D and 2D techniques. High-Resolution Mass Spectroscopy (HRMS) was performed either with a double-focusing magnetic sector mass spectrometer in a QTOF mass spectrometer in the positive-ion ESI mode.

2.2 Fungal material

The strain used in this work, *E. maritima* BC17, was isolated from sediment samples collected along an intertidal gradient of Bay of Cádiz, Spain (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023). This culture was deposited at the University of Cádiz, Mycological Herbarium Collection (UCA). Conidial stock suspensions were maintained viable in 80% glycerol at -40°C.

2.3 Culture and extraction conditions

E. maritima BC17 strain was grown in Petri dishes with potato dextrose agar (PDA, Condalab, Madrid, Spain) medium for one week at 25°C under white light (day light lamp).

For surface cultures, 26 Roux bottles containing 150 mL of PDB (Condalab, Madrid, Spain) or Czapek-Dox medium (50 g glucose, 1 g yeast extract, 5 g K_2 HPO₄, 2 g NaNO₃, 0.5 g MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.01 g FeSO₄·7H₂O, 1L of water and pH adjusted to 6.5-7.0) were inoculated with 5 mycelium plugs (9 mm) from a 7 days-old culture. The bottles were incubated at 25°C under white light for 14 and 28 days.

For shaken cultures, the strain *E. maritima* BC17 was fermented in 1.4 L of Czapek-Dox or 3.2 L of PDB liquid media (200 mL per flask) for 14 days at 25°C and 120 rpm under continuous white light. Each Erlenmeyer flask was inoculated with 5 PDA discs (9 mm) from a 7 days-old culture. Fermentation continued at 25°C under continuous white light for 14 or 28 days.

Once the fermentation was finished, the mycelium was filtered. The broth was extracted three times with ethyl acetate and the organic extract dried over dry Na_2SO_4 . The solvent was then evaporated and the residue chromatographed, first on a silica gel column and then by HPLC with an increasing gradient of ethyl acetate to *n*-hexane.

2.4 Isolation and characterization of metabolites

2.4.1 Surface culture fermentation

Chromatography of the extract fermented in Czapek Dox medium for 14 days (746 mg) gave, in addition to the known compounds 2-phenylethanol (22.0 mg), tyrosol (10.0 mg), 4 (3.5 mg), 5 (3.8 mg), 6 (2.0 mg), 7 (1.0 mg), and 8 (49.1 mg), the new metabolites 1 (1.7 mg), 2 (0.8 mg), and 3 (1.0 mg). Purification of the extract fermented for 28 days (316 mg) afforded the known compounds 2-phenylethanol (31.0 mg), tyrosol (32.0 mg), 4 (10.9 mg), 5 (10.6 mg), 6 (2.9 mg), 8 (1.8 mg), 9 (1.0 mg), and 10 (1.5 mg), and the new metabolites 2 (1.2 mg), and 3 (2.0 mg) (Figure 1).

3-Deacetyl guignarderemophilane A (1): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane: EtOAc : Acetone 55:45:5, flow 2.0 mL/min, $t_{\rm R} = 31$ min). White solid; mp 64.7°C; $[a]_{\rm D}^{21}$ -33.7° (*c* 0.17, MeOH); ECD (MeOH) λ ($\Delta \epsilon$) 214 (5.74), 256 (-2.71), 288 (-2.03) nm; IR $v_{\rm max}$ (cm⁻¹) 3447, 2932, 1637, 1448, 655; HRMS(ESI⁺) calcd. for C₁₂H₁₆O₄Na [M+Na]⁺ 247.0946, found 247.0933; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Table 1; gCOSY (selected correlations) H-1 $\alpha \rightarrow$ H-1 β , H-2 α ; $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\alpha, \mathrm{H}\text{-2}\alpha, \mathrm{H}\text{-9}; \mathrm{H}\text{-2}\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\beta, \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\alpha, \mathrm{H}\text{-3}\alpha; \mathrm{H}\text{-3}\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-2}\alpha, \mathrm{H}\text{-4}\alpha; \mathrm{H}\text{-4}\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-3}\alpha, \mathrm{Me}\text{-15}\beta; \mathrm{H}\text{-9} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\beta; \mathrm{Me}\text{-15}\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{H}\text{-4}\alpha \\ \text{(Supplementary Figure S3); gHMBC (selected correlations) } \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-3}, \mathrm{C}\text{-5}, \mathrm{C}\text{-9}, \mathrm{C}\text{-10}; \mathrm{H}\text{-1}\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-2}, \mathrm{C}\text{-9}, \mathrm{C}\text{-10}; \mathrm{H}\text{-4}\alpha \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-5}, \mathrm{C}\text{-14}, \mathrm{C}\text{-15}; \\ \mathrm{H}\text{-6} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-4}, \mathrm{C}\text{-7}, \mathrm{C}\text{-8}, \mathrm{C}\text{-10}, \mathrm{C}\text{-14}; \mathrm{H}\text{-9} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-1}, \mathrm{C}\text{-5}, \mathrm{C}\text{-7}; \mathrm{M}\text{e}\text{-14}\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-4}, \mathrm{C}\text{-5}, \mathrm{C}\text{-10}; \mathrm{M}\text{e}\text{-15}\beta \rightarrow \mathrm{C}\text{-3}, \mathrm{C}\text{-4}, \mathrm{C}\text{-5} (\mathrm{Supplementary Figure S5}). \end{array}$

(3*S*,4*R*,5*R*,7*R*)-3-Hydroxy-7(11)-epoxyeremophil-9-en-8-one (2): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane: EtOAc : Acetone 65:30:5, flow 2.5 mL/min, *t*_R = 51 min). Colourless oil. [*a*]_D²¹-25.4° (*c* 1.3, CHCl₃); ECD (MeOH) λ (Δ*c*) 205 (0.76), 220 (-3.12), 268 (-2.56), 331 (2.31) nm; IR *v*_{max} (cm⁻¹) 3496, 2850, 1674; HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd. for C₁₅H₂₂O₃Na [M+Na]⁺ 273.1467, found 273.1446; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Table 1; gCOSY (selected correlations) H-1*β* → H-1*α*, H-2*α*, H-2*β*, H-9; H-2*α* → H-1*β*, H-1*α*, H-2*β*, H-3*α*; H-3*α* → H-2*α*, H-4*α*; H-4*α* → Me-15*β*; H-9 → H-1*β*; Me-15*β* → H-4*α* (Supplementary Figure S10); gHMBC (selected correlations) H-1*β* → C-9, C-10; H₂-6 → C-4, C-5, C-7, C-10, C-11, C-14; H-9 → C-1, C-5, C-7; Me-12 → C-7, C-11, C-13; Me-13 → C-7, C-11, C-12; Me-14*β* → C-4, C-5, C-6, C-10; Me-15*β* → C-3, C-4, C-5 (Supplementary Figure S12).

4-*Epi*isopetasone (3): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 80:20, flow 2.5 mL/min, $t_{\rm R}$ = 83 min). Colourless oil. [*a*]²¹_D +83.3° (*c* 0.3, CHCl₃); ECD (MeOH) λ (Δ*ε*) 213 (2.74), 246 (6.13), 282 (-2.80) nm; IR $v_{\rm max}$ (cm⁻¹) 2924, 1717, 1660, 1458; HRMS (ESI⁺) calcd. for C₁₅H₂₁O₂ [M+H]⁺ 233.1542, found 233.1543; ¹H and ¹³C NMR data in Table 1; gCOSY (selected correlations) H-1β → H-1α, H-2, H-9; H-2 → H-1β, H-1α; H-4β → Me-15α; H-9 → H-1β; Me-15α → H-4β (Supplementary Figure S17); gHMBC (selected correlations) H-1β → C-2, C-9, C-10; H-4β → C-5, C-14, C-15; H-9 → C-1, C-5, C-7; Me-12 → C-7, C-11, C-13; Me-13 → C-7, C-11, C-12; Me-14β → C-4, C-5, C-6, C-10; Me-15α → C-3, C-4, C-5 (Supplementary Figure S19).

Isopetasone (4): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 85:15, flow 3.0 mL/min, $t_R = 62 \text{ min}$). $[a]_D^{26} + 31^{\circ}$ (c 0.06, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.92 (dd, 1H, J = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, H-9), 2.82 (d, 1H, J = 13.9, H-6 β), 2.79 – 2.68 (m, 2H, H-1), 2.60 – 2.48 (m, 2H, H-2), 2.57 (q, 1H, J = 6.6 Hz, H-4), 2.45 (br d, 1H, J = 13.9 Hz, H-6 α), 2.11 (d, 3H, J = 1.9 Hz, H-12)^a, 1.86 (d, 3H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-13)^a, 1.08 (d, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz, H-15), 0.95 (s, 3H, H-14). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.2 (C-3, C), 191.0 (C-8, C), 162.8 (C-10, C), 144.6 (C-11, C), 127.7 (C-9, CH), 126.7 (C-7, C), 52.6 (C-4, CH), 44.6 (C-5, C), 40.7 (C-6, CH₂), 39.8 (C-2, CH₂), 31.1 (C-1, CH₂), 22.7 (C-13, CH₃)^b, 22.3 (C-12, CH₃)^b, 18.5 (C-14, CH₃), 7.5 (C-15, CH₃). ^{a,b} Interchangeable assignments.

(+)-3-*Epi*isopetasol (5): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane: EtOAc : Acetone 80:20, flow 2.5 mL/min, $t_R = 86 \text{ min}$). $[a]_D^{21}$ +110° (c 0.11, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.77 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-9), 3.93 (q, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 1H, H-3α), 2.87 (d, *J* = 13.0 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 2.76 (tdd, *J* = 14.4, 5.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-1β), 2.12 (ddd, *J* = 14.4, 4.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-1α), 2.09 (s, 3H, H-12)^c, 2.08 (br d, 1H, *J* = 13.0 Hz, H-6α), 2.00 (ddt, *J* = 14.0, 5.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H, H-2β), 1.83 (d, *J* = 1.0 Hz, 3H, H-13)^c, 1.69 (tdd, *J* = 14.0, 4.4, 2.8 Hz 1H, H-2α), 1.55 (qd, *J* = 7.3, 2.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 1.15 (s, 3H, H-14),1.12 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.2 (C-8, C), 168.3 (C-10, C), 143.1 (C-11, C), 127.9 (C-7, C), 126.1 (C-9, CH), 71.3 (C-3, CH), 45.4 (C-4, CH), 41.9 (C-6, CH₂),

	1		2		3	
Position	$\delta_{ m H}$, Mult (J in Hz) $^{ m a}$	δ_{C} , Type ^b	$\delta_{ m H}$, Mult (J in Hz) ^c	δ_{C} , Type ^d	$\delta_{ m H}$, Mult (J in Hz) $^{ m e}$	δ_{C} , Type ^d
1α	2.45, dd (12.0, 4.5)		2.16, m	28.0, CH ₂	2.55, m	29.0, CH ₂
1β	2.90, td (12.0, 1.5)	37.5, CH ₂	2.90, m		2.87, dddd (14.7, 11.3, 7.6, 1.8)	
2α	3.53, ddd (12.0, 4.5, 3.2)	54 C OH	1.67, m		2.19, ddt (14.2, 4.7, 2.3)	37.4, CH ₂
2β	-	74.6, CH	2.16, m		2.50, m	
3α	3.70, td (3.2, 3.0)	541 01	3.97, brs	70.8, CH	-	212.1, C
3β	-	74.1, CH	_		_	
4	1.41, qd (7.0, 3.0)	43.4, CH	1.75, qd (7.1, 3.3)	43.7, CH	2.60, qd (7.0, 3.3)	53.6, CH
5	-	45.0, C	_	42.2, C	-	42.2, C
6α	6.30, s	105.4 (01)	2.08, d (15.0)	38.1, CH ₂	2.34, d (15.0)	37.5, CH ₂
6β	-	127.4, CH	1.99, d (15.0)		2.49, d (15.0)	
7	-	147.9, C	-	65.6, C	-	127.0, C
8	_	183.9, C	-	195.2, C	-	190.5, C
9	6.17, d (1.5)	124.4, CH	5.92, d (1.3)	123.6, CH	5.90, d (1.8)	128.2, CH
10	-	171.1, C	-	172.4, C	-	162.6, C
11	-	-	_	64.7, C	-	146.1, C
12	-	-	1.41, s	21.3, CH ₃	1.85, d (1.4)	23.1, CH ₃
13	-	-	1.30, s	19.1, CH ₃	2.15, d (2.0)	22.8, CH ₃
14	1.35, s	22.0, CH ₃	1.36, s	24.5, CH ₃	1.20, s	25.1, CH ₃
15	1.28, d (7.0)	13.2, CH ₃	1.15, d (7.1)	12.6, CH ₃	1.10, d (7.0)	11.0, CH ₃

TABLE 1 ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data for compounds 1-3.

^a500 MHz, CD₃OD; ^b125 MHz, CD₃OD; ^c400 MHz, CDCl₃; ^d125 MHz, CDCl₃; ^c500 MHz, CDCl₃.

41.4 (C-5, C), 33.7 (C-2, CH₂), 26.7 (C-1, CH₂), 22.6 (C-12, CH₃)^d, 22.1 (C-13, CH₃)^d, 18.9 (C-14, CH₃), 12.1 (C-15, CH₃). ^{c,d} Interchangeable assignments.

1 α-Hydroxydehydrofukinone (**6**): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 72:28, flow 3.0 mL/min, $t_R = 39$ min). $[a]_D^{22}$ +47° (c 0.05, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.84 (s, 1H, H-9), 4.33 (t, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-1 β), 2.90 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 2.12 (br d, J = 14.2 Hz, H-6 α), 2.11 (s, 3H, H-12)^e, 1.99 (dq, J = 13.9, 3.0 Hz, 1H, H-2 α), 1.85 (s, 3H, H-13)^e, 1.83 (qd, J = 13.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-3 α), 1.67 (tt, J = 13.9, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2 β), 1.48 (dqd, J = 13.4, 6.9, 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-4), 1.39 (dq, J = 13.4, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-3 β), 1.14 (s, 3H, H-14), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.4 (C-8, C), 165.6 (C-10, C), 144.3 (C-11, C), 129.1 (C-9, CH), 128.1 (C-7, C), 72.7 (C-1, CH), 42.3 (C-6, CH₂), 42.3 (C-4, CH), 41.0 (C-5, C), 32.8 (C-2, CH₂), 24.8 (C-3, CH₂), 22.8 (C-12, CH₃)^f, 22.4 (C-13, CH₃)^f, 18.1 (C-14, CH₃), 15.5 (C-15, CH₃). ^{e,f} Interchangeable assignments.

Eremofortin A alcohol (7): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 60:40, flow 3.0 mL/min, $t_R = 31$ min). $[a]_D^{23}$ +71° (c 0.06, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.39 (s, 1H, H-9), 4.11 (dt, *J* = 9.9, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.87 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.76 (d, *J* = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 2.09 (dd, *J* = 14.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-6α), 1.92 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 1.54 (m, 1H, H-4), 1.52 (s, 3H, H-13), 1.38

(s, 3H, H-12), 1.28 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.11 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 194.0 (C-8, C), 160.5 (C-10, C), 131.9 (C-9, CH), 67.7 (C-3, CH), 64.6 (C-11, C), 62.3 (C-7, C), 58.0 (C-1, CH), 58.0 (C-2, CH), 44.0 (C-4, CH), 42.1 (C-6, CH₂), 37.1 (C-5, C), 23.4 (C-14, CH₃), 21.5 (C-12, CH₃), 19.4 (C-13, CH₃), 10.6 (C-15, CH₃).

(+)-Aristolochene (8): purified through silica gel column (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 100:0). $[a]_{D}^{24}$ +47° (c 0.23, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.31 (dt, J = 5.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-9), 4.71 (m, 2H, H-13), 2.21 (m, 1H, H-7 β), 2.13 (m, 1H, H-2 α)^g, 2.05 – 1.97 (m, 2H, H-2 β , H-8 α)^{g,i,} 1.87 (dddd, J = 16.9, 11.6, 3.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-8 β)ⁱ, 1.77 (dt, J = 12.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-6 α)^h, 1.74 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H, H-12), 1.73 (m, 1H, H-3 β)^j, 1.42 (m, 1H, H-1 α)^k, 1.35 (td, J = 12.2, 11.7, 2.8 Hz, 1H, H-1 β)^k, 1.31 – 1.22 (m, 2H, H-3 α , H-4)^j, 1.16 (td, J = 12.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H, H-6 β)^h, 0.97 (s, 3H, H-14), 0.84 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.6 (C-11, C), 144.4 (C-10, C), 118.8 (C-9, CH), 108.3 (C-13, CH₂), 44.2 (C-4, CH), 43.3 (C-6, CH₂), 38.7 (C-5, C), 37.8 (C-7, CH), 32.6 (C-2, CH₂), 31.3 (C-8, CH₂), 31.1 (C-1, CH₂), 27.8 (C-3, CH₂), 20.8 (C-12, CH₃), 18.1 (C-14, CH₃), 15.7 (C-15, CH₃).

7-Hydroxy-4a,5-dimethyl-3-prop-1-en-2-yl-3,4,5,6,7,8hexahydronaphthalen-2-one (**9**): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 60:40, flow 1.0 mL/min, $t_R = 32 \text{ min}$). $[a]_D^{24}$ +90° (c 0.12, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.81 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-9), 4.97 (p, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-13), 4.81 (dq, J = 1.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-13'), 4.20 (br s, 1H, H-2 β), 3.13 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.59 (ddd, J = 15.2, 3.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-1 β), 2.37 (m, 1H, H-1 α), 2.05 – 1.89 (m, 3H, H-4, H-6), 1.73 (dd, J = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 3H, H-12), 1.69 (ddd, J = 7.9, 3.0, 1.7, 2H, H-3), 1.16 (s, 3H, H-14). 0.92 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.2 (C-8, C), 166.2 (C-10, C), 143.6 (C-11, C), 127.0 (C-9, CH), 114.2 (C-13, CH₂), 66.8 (C-2, CH), 51.0 (C-7, CH), 41.2 (C-6, CH₂), 40.0 (C-1, CH₂), 39.3 (C-5, C), 37.1 (C-3, CH₂), 36.3 (C-4, CH), 20.0 (C-12, CH₃), 15.4 (C-14, CH₃), 14.7 (C-15, CH₃).

Warburgiadione (10): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane:acetone 95:5, flow 1.0 mL/min, tR = 32 min. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.99 (dt, *J* = 9.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-1), 6.21 (dd, *J* = 9.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 6.07 (t, *J* = 0.7 Hz, 1H, H-9), 2.99 (d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 2.61 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 2.38 (br d, *J* = 13.9 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 2.18 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 3H, H-12)¹, 1.92 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 3H, H-13)¹, 1.17 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H, H-15), 0.98 (s, 3H, H-14). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.0 (C-3, C), 190.6 (C-8, C), 156.6 (C-10, C), 148.4 (C-11, C), 142.0 (C-1, CH), 131.8 (C-2, CH), 131.6 (C-9, CH), 126.3 (C-7, C), 51.4 (C-4, CH), 41.4 (C-5, C), 40.0 (C-6, CH₂), 23.4 (C-12, CH₃)^m, 23.0 (C-13, CH₃)^m, 18.1 (C-14, CH₃), 7.1 (C-15, CH₃). ^{1,m} Interchangeable assignments.

Purification of the extracts fermented in PDB medium for 14 days (331 mg) and 28 days (261 mg) only afforded tyrosol (8 mg and 18.0 mg for 14 and 28 days, respectively) and 2-phenylethanol (88 mg for 14 days and 80.0 mg for 28 days).

2.4.2 Shaken culture fermentation

The resulting extract (388 mg) of the Czapek Dox fermentation was chromatographed and subsequently purified employing HPLC to yield the known compounds **4** (2.8 mg), **5** (4.0 mg), **6** (4.0 mg), **7** (0.6 mg), **9** (10.0 mg), **10** (2.0 mg), **11** (1.2 mg), **12** (1.6 mg), **13** (6.0 mg), **14** (0.5 mg), and **15** (9.2 mg) (Figure 1).

Chromatography and purification of the extract fermented in PDB medium (168 mg) yielded the known compounds **4** (8.0 mg), **9** (0.2 mg), **16** (0.5 mg), and **17** (1.0 mg) (Figure 1).

(1 R, 7 S, 8 a R) - 1, 8 a - D i m et hyl - 7 - (prop - 1 - en - 2 - yl)-1,7,8,8a-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,6-dione (11): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane:acetone 95:5, flow 1.0 mL/min, t_R = 39 min). $[a]_{D}^{25}$ +117° (c 0.38, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.03 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 6.26 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-2), 6.09 (s, 1H, H-9), 5.07 (p, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-13), 4.91 (dt, J = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-13'), 3.24 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.63 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 2.16 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H, H-6), 2.09 (dd, J = 13.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 1.78 (d, J = 1.5, 3H, H-12), 1.25 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.18 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 199.7 (C-3, C), 198.3 (C-8, C), 158.7 (C-10, C), 142.6 (C-11, C), 142.0 (C-1, CH), 132.2 (C-2, CH), 129.0 (C-9, CH), 115.3 (C-13, CH₂), 52.2 (C-4, CH), 50.9 (C-7, CH), 40.4 (C-5, C), 40.3 (C-6, CH₂), 19.9 (C-12, CH₃), 18.6 (C-14, CH₃), 7.0 (C-15, CH₃).

Eremofortine B (12): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane: EtOAc 55:45, flow 1.0 mL/min, $t_R = 22$ min). $[a]_D^{25} +115^{\circ}$ (c 0.09, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.20 (s, 1H, H-9), 5.00 (br s, 1H, H-13), 4.84 (br s, 1H, H-13'), 4.12 (dt, J = 9.7, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.85 (dd, J = 5.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.69 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1), 3.26 (dd, J = 14.1, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-7), 1.90 (dd, J = 12.9, 4.6 Hz,

1H, H-6), 1.83 – 1.74 (m, 1H, H-6'), 1.72 (s, 3H, H-12), 1.51 (m, 1H, H-4), 1.35 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.09 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-15).

3β-hydroxy-7β-eremophil-1(2),9(10),11(12)-trien-8-one (13): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 70:30, flow 1.0 mL/min, t_R = 22 min). $[a]_D^{22}$ +377° (c 0.25, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.31 – 6.23 (m, 2H, H-1, H-2), 5.79 (s, 1H, H-9), 4.98 (p, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-13), 4.86 (dt, *J* = 1.8, 0.8 Hz, 1H, H-13'), 4.18 (q, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.24 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.01 (dd, *J* = 12.9, 4.9 Hz, 1H, H-6)ⁿ, 1.85 (dd, *J* = 13.9, 12.9 Hz, 1H, H-6')ⁿ, 1.76 (td, *J* = 7.2, 4.6 Hz, 1H, H-4), 1.72 (dd, *J* = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 3H, H-12), 1.32 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.15 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 199.0 (C-8, C), 161.6 (C-10, CH), 143.7 (C-11, C), 136.1 (C-2, CH), 129.3 (C-1, CH), 125.5 (C-9, CH), 114.7 (C-13, CH₃), 68.2 (C-3, CH), 51.4 (C-7, CH), 42.0 (C-4, CH), 40.4 (C-6, CH₂), 36.2 (C-5, C), 19.8 (C-12, CH₃), 18.9 (C-14, CH₃), 10.4 (C-15, CH₃). ⁿ Interchangeable assignments.

PR toxin (14): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane: EtOAc 60:40, flow 1.0 mL/min, $t_R = 29$ min). $[a]_D^{24} + 239^\circ$ (c 0.10, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.70 (s, 1H, H-12), 6.41 (s, 1H, H-9), 5.13 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.95 (dd, *J* = 5.1, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.63 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 2.15 (s, 3H, H-17), 2.14 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H, H-6 α), 1.82 (d, *J* = 14.2 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 1.77 (qd, *J* = 7.1, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-4), 1.47 (s, 3H, H-13), 1.43 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.01 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.6 (C-12, C), 191.6 (C-8, C), 170.6 (C-16, C), 164.5 (C-10, C), 129.9 (C-9, CH), 69.8 (C-3, CH), 67.4 (C-11, C), 67.3 (C-7, C), 55.9 (C-1, CH), 55.5 (C-2, CH), 42.8 (C-4, CH), 41.6 (C-6, CH₂), 38.1 (C-5, C), 21.9 (C-14, CH₃), 20.7 (C-17, CH₃), 13.7 (C-13, CH₃), 10.1 (C-15, CH₃).

PR toxin 3-deacetyl (15): purified through analytical HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 60:40, flow 1.0 mL/min, $t_R = 9$ min). $[a]_D^{25}$ -142.7° (c 0.70, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.70 (s, 1H, H-12), 6.42 (s, 1H, H-9), 4.13 (dt, J = 9.8, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.91 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.76 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 2.10 (dd, J = 14.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H-6 α), 1.85 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H, H-6 β), 1.58 – 1.50 (m, 1H, H-4), 1.47 (s, 3H, H-13), 1.42 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H, H-14), 1.12 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-15).

(3*S*)-Acetoxy-7(11)-epoxyeremophil-9-en-8-one (**16**): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 60:40, flow 3.0 mL/min, $t_R = 42$ min). $[a]_D^{23} +72^\circ$ (c 0.51, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.94 (s, 1H, H-9), 5.12 (q, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 2.73 (td, *J* = 13.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-1 β), 2.20 (m, 1H, H-1 α), 2.19 (ddt, *J* = 14.2, 4.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-2 β), 2.12 (s, 3H, H-17), 2.10 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H, H-6)^P, 2.04 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H, H-2 α), 1.41 (s, 3H, H-12), 1.32 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.31 (s, 3H, H-13), 1.03 (d, *J* = 7.0, 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.0 (C-8, C), 170.7 (C-10, C), 170.3 (C-16, C), 124.1 (C-9, CH), 72.5 (C-3, CH), 65.4 (C-7, C), 64.8 (C-11, C), 42.7 (C-4, CH), 41.9 (C-5, C), 38.0 (C-6, CH₂), 33.9 (C-2, CH₂), 28.3 (C-1, CH₂), 23.8 (C-14, CH₃), 21.3 (C-12, CH₃), 12.1 (C-17, CH₃), 19.2 (C-13, CH₃), 12.2 (C-15, CH₃). ^P Interchangeable assignments.

Eremofortine A (17): purified through semipreparative HPLC (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 60:40, flow 1.0 mL/min, $t_R = 54$ min). $[a]_D^{23} + 137^\circ$ (c 0.07, CHCl₃). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.38 (s, 1H, H-9), 5.13 (t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.89 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.63 (d, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 2.14 (s, 3H, H-17), 2.12 (d, *J* = 14.7 Hz, 1H,



H-6α), 1.92 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H, H-6β), 1.77 (m, 1H, H-4), 1.52 (s, 3H, H-13), 1.39 (s, 3H, H-12), 1.30 (s, 3H, H-14), 1.00 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H, H-15). ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.9 (C-8, C), 170.7 (C-16, C), 160.7 (C-10, C), 131.4 (C-9, CH), 69.9 (C-3, CH), 64.6 (C-11, C), 62.4 (C-7, C), 55.9 (C-1, CH), 55.1 (C-2, CH), 42.0 (C-4, CH), 41.8 (C-6, CH₂), 37.2 (C-5, C), 22.9 (C-14, CH₃), 21.6 (C-12, CH₃), 20.7 (C-17, CH₃), 19.5 (C-13, CH₃), 10.4 (C-15, CH₃).

2.5 Acetylation of compound 15

Compound 15 (0.97 mg, 0.0035 mmol) was treated with a small crystal of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in 2 mL of acetic anhydride. The reaction was stirred for 24 h at room temperature, neutralized with H_2O and Na_2CO_3 and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over dry Na_2SO_4 and the solvent eliminated by distillation under reduced pressure. Finally, the product was purified using an analytical normal-phase HPLC column (LiChrospher Si 60, 250 x 4 mm, 5 μ m) applying an isocratic method (*n*-hexane:EtOAc 70:30, 1 mL/min) to yield 14 (0.25 mg, 0.0008 mmol, 22% yield, t_R 31 min).

2.6 Computational details of EDC calculations

The molecular structure analysis of compounds 1-3 employed the semiempirical PM6 method (Stewart, 2007). Quantum mechanical computations were carried out using the Gaussian 16 package (Frisch et al., 2016). A comprehensive geometric optimization was conducted with Density Functional Theory (DFT) employing B3LYP functionals (Lee et al., 1988; Becke, 1993) and the 6-311+G(2d,p) basis set. Following this, calculations were executed to determine energies, oscillator strengths, and rotational strengths for the initial 20 electronic excitations, employing the TD-DFT methodology (Bauernschmitt and Ahlrichs, 1996; Casida et al., 1998). The solvent effect (methanol) was considered in the calculations using the Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM) with the Implicit Solvation Energy (IEF) approach (Cancès et al., 1997; Mennucci et al., 1997; Tomasi et al., 2005). To replicate the ECD spectrum of the conformer, a Gaussian function was utilized with a half-bandwidth of 0.33 eV.

2.7 In vitro antimicrobial assays

The antimicrobial activities of compounds 1, 3, 11, and 15 were evaluated against six bacterial and two fungal human pathogens. Antibacterial susceptibility of the compounds was tested against Acinetobacter baumannii ATCC19606, Escherichia coli ATCC25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO-1, Klebsiella pneumoniae ATCC700603, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus MB5393, and sensitive S. aureus ATCC29213, while antifungal activity was tested against Aspergillus fumigatus ATCC46645 and Candida albicans

MIC (µg/mL)								
Compound	A. fumigatus ATCC46645	C. albicans ATCC64124	K. pneumonia ATCC700603	E. coli ATCC25922	MSSA ATCC29213	MRSA MB5393	<i>A.</i> baumannii ATCC19606	P. aeruginosa PAO-1
1	>32	>32	>32	>32	>32	>32	>32	>32
3	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128
11	>24	>24	>24	>24	>24	>24	>24	>24
15	>128	>128	>128	>128	128	>128	>128	>128
Rifampicin							2-4	
Aztreonam				0.25-0.50				1.25-2.50
Vancomycin					0.5-1.0	1-2		
Gentamicin			4-8					
Amphotericin	2	1-2						

TABLE 2 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of compounds 1, 3, 11, and 15, and the corresponding positive controls, against eight human pathogens.

ATCC64124 following previously described methodologies (Zhang et al., 2013). Briefly, each compound was serially diluted in 100% DMSO with a dilution factor of 2 to provide 10 final assay concentrations in all antimicrobial assays. Rifampicin, aztreonam, vancomycin, gentamicin and amphotericin were used as positive controls, as shown in Table 2. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the compound that inhibited \geq 90% of the growth of a microorganism after overnight incubation. Genedata Screener software, version 18.0.4-Standard (Genedata, Inc., Basel, Switzerland) was used to process and analyze the data and to calculate the RZ' factor, which predicts the robustness of an assay (Zhang et al., 2013). In all experiments performed in this work, the RZ' factor obtained was between 0.89 and 0.98.

2.8 In vitro antitumoral assays

The tumor human cell lines used were liver HepG2 (ATCC HB-8065), breast MCF-7 (ATCC HTB-22), lung A549 (ATCC CCL-185), skin A2058 (ATCC CRL-3601) and pancreas Mia PaCa-2 (ATCC CRL-1420). Purified compounds were dissolved in DMSO 100% at 9 mM (compound 1), 34.4 mM (compound 3), 6.4 mM (compound 11), and at 28.8 mM (compound 15). The compounds were assayed for cytotoxicity using MTT test. The MTT test is a colorimetric assay for measuring the activity of enzymes that reduce 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide, a yellow tetrazole, to formazan dyes, giving a purple color. Briefly, after 24 h, seeded cells were treated with compounds at a maximum dilution of 1/200 from the stocks in 10 points of 2-fold dilution per triplicate, for 72 h. MMS (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, United States), at 4 mM, was used as a positive control of cell death, DMSO 0.5% as a negative control and doxorubicin (Sigma-Aldrich) was included as a known chemotherapeutic agent. After the incubation period, the plates containing treated cells were washed with 200 µL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) 1X (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mM KH₂PO₄). Then, MTT dye (Thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide, ACROS Organics BV, Geel, Belgium) was added at 0.5 mg/mL and plates were incubated for 2 h. The supernatant was then removed and 100 μL of DMSO 100% were added to each well in order to dissolve the resulting formazan precipitates. Finally, absorbance was measured at 570 nm with an EnVision Multilabel Plate Reader (PerkinElmer, Waltham, USA). Data obtained was analyzed using Genedata Screener Software inhibitory curves fit to a Hill Equation model to calculate $\rm IC_{50}$ and the confidence intervals at 95%.

3 Results and discussion

The fungus *E. maritima* BC17 was cultivated in the liquid culture media Czapek-Dox and PDB, and incubated for 14 or 28 days in surface and shaken conditions, as described in Materials and Methods. After extraction and purification by HPLC, in addition to fourteen known compounds (4-17), three new eremophilanes (1-3) were isolated and characterized. In particular, the undescribed eremophilanes 1-3 were only isolated from Czapek-Dox fermentation broth, which showed the highest chemical diversity.

The molecular formula for compound **1** was established as $C_{12}H_{16}O_4$, deduced from the sodiated molecular ion $[M+Na]^+$ (*m/z* 247.0933 $[M+Na]^+$, calculated for $C_{12}H_{16}O_4Na$ 247.0946) observed in its HRESIMS (Supplementary Figure S7). Its IR spectrum showed absorption bands characteristic for hydroxy (3447 cm⁻¹) and enone carbonyl groups (1637 cm⁻¹). The ¹H NMR data (Table 1) indicated the presence of two methyl signals at δ_H 1.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz) and 1.35 (s), and two olefinic signals at δ_H 6.17 (d, J = 1.5 Hz) and 6.30 (s). The ¹³C NMR data (Table 1) displayed twelve signals including one carbonyl (δ_C 183.9), four olefinic carbons (δ_C 124.4, 127.4, 147.9, 171.1), two methyls (δ_C 13.2, 22.0), one methylene (δ_C 37.5), other three methines, two of which were oxygenated (δ_C 43.4, 74.1, 74.6), and another quaternary carbon (δ_C 45.0).

Two double bonds and one carbonyl group accounted for three of five degrees of unsaturation, indicating the presence of two rings in the structure. The two-ring system was then established based on



¹H–¹H COSY (Supplementary Figure S3), HSQC (Supplementary Figure S4), and HMBC (Supplementary Figure S5) correlations (Figure 2). Inspection of the ¹H–¹H COSY and HSQC data led to the assignment of a C(1)H₂–C(2)H–C(3)H–C(4)H–C(15)H₃ unit. The assigned spin system, together with HMBC correlations from H₂-1 to C-3/C-5/C-9/C-10, from H-4 to C-14, and from H-15 to C-3/C-4/C-5, permitted the complete elucidation of ring A. HMBC correlations from H-6 to C-7/C-8/C-10/C-4/C-14 and from H-9 to C-1/C-5/C-7 allowed the elucidation of ring B. Thus, compound 1 was established as a *nor*-eremophilane sesquiterpene. Its ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Supplementary Figures S1, S2) were very similar to those of compound guignarderemophilane A (18), previously reported as a metabolite from the endophytic fungus *Guignardia mangiferae* (Liu et al., 2015), except for the absence of the acetyl group signals at C-3.

The relative configuration of 1 was determined by analysis of 1D NOESY (Supplementary Figures S6a–g) correlations and the splitting patterns of related protons (Figure 3). The NOESY correlations between H-2, H-3 and H-4 revealed that they showed

the same orientation. The NOE effect between the equatorial Me-15 and H-6 revealed that C5–C6 was equatorial and that Me-14 was axial. The correlations between Me-14 and Me-15 suggested they were in the *syn* conformation. These correlations permitted us to propose the relative configuration of **1** as shown in Figure 3.

Absolute stereochemistry of compound 1 was established by comparison of the experimental electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectrum with the one obtained from quantum mechanical time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations for the (2S,3R,4R,5S) stereoisomer in the 200-400 nm region (Figure 4) (Li et al., 2010). Moreover, compound 1 showed an ECD spectrum similar to that of the previously reported acetylated compound guignarderemophilane A (18) (Liu et al., 2015). Based on these data, the absolute configuration at C-2, -3, -4 and -5 was determined to be *S*, *R*, *R*, and *S*, respectively. Therefore, the structure of 1 was characterized and named as 3-deacetyl guignarderemophilane A.

Compound **2** was obtained as a colourless oil with the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{22}O_3$, as determined by the HRESIMS peak at m/z





273.1446 [M+Na]⁺ (Supplementary Figure S14), indicating five degrees of unsaturation. Its IR spectrum showed absorptions corresponding to a hydroxyl group (3496 cm⁻¹) and an α,β unsaturated keto group (1674 cm⁻¹). The ¹³C NMR data (Table 1) displayed fifteen carbon resonances, some of which could be assigned to one keto group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 195.2), a C=C double bond ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.6, 172.4), one 1,2-epoxy group ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.7, 65.6), one oxygenated sp³ carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.8), and four methyl groups in the high-field region ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 12.6, 19.1, 21.3, 24.5). These functionalities account for three degrees of unsaturation, thus hinting the bicyclic nature of 2. Analysis of the ¹H NMR data (Table 1) revealed the presence of four methyl groups, including three singlets ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.30, 1.36, 1.41) and one doublet ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.15, J=7.1 Hz) in the high-field region. These data were characteristic of an eremophilane-type sesquiterpene, i.e., a cis-decaline ring with an isopropyl group (C-11/Me-12/Me-13) and two methyl groups, one connected to a methine group (CH-4) and the other one connected to a quaternary carbon (C-5), resulting in one doublet (Me-15) and one singlet (Me-14) signals (Supplementary Figure S11). In addition, the signals for one olefinic proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.92) and one oxymethine proton ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.97) could be seen in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Supplementary Figure S8), which in combination with the signals observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Supplementary Figure S9) suggested the occurrence of one trisubstituted double bond, and one oxygenated methine group. The positions of these functional groups in the eremophilane skeleton were clarified by analysis of the HMBC spectrum (Supplementary Figure S12). The presence of an epoxide moiety with 13 C peaks at C-7 (δ_{C} 65.6) and C-11 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.7) was confirmed by HMBC correlations from Me-13 $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.30) to C-7, C-11 and C-12 $(\delta_{\rm C}$ 21.3), from H₂-6 $(\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.08 and 1.99) to C-7, C-10 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 172.4), C-11, and C-14 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 24.5), and from Me-12 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.41) to C-7, C-11, and C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 19.1) (Figure 2).

Correlation between Me-15 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.15, d) and the oxygenated methine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.8) placed the hydroxyl substituent at C-3 (Figure 2). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 2 (Supplementary Figures S8, S9) were very similar to those of compound 3-acetoxy-7 (11)-epoxyeremophil-9-en-8-one (16), previously reported by our research's group as an *E. maritima* BC17 metabolite from solid culture media (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023), except for the absence of the acetyl group signals at C-3.

The relative configuration of **2** was determined by analysis of 1D NOESY correlations (Supplementary Figures S13a–f). The H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.90) and Me-14 protons showed strong NOE correlations with each other, indicating that H-1 β and Me-14 were in axial orientations on the same face of ring A. The NOE correlations between H-3 and H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.16) and H-4 confirmed that these protons were on the opposite side from H-1 β /Me-14. NOE correlations between Me-14 and Me-15 indicated both methyls were on the same face. The β -orientation of the epoxide at C-7 and C-11 in **2** was evidenced by the NOE effect from Me-12 to H-6 α ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.08) (Figure 3).

The ECD spectrum of **2** was very similar to that obtained for the previously reported compound **16** (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023). In addition, the ECD curve for the (3S,4R,5R,7R) stereoisomer, calculated with the TD-DFT theoretical method, matched well with the experimental ECD spectrum of **2** (Figure 4). As a result, its absolute stereochemistry was assigned as (3S,4R,5R,7R)-3-hydroxy-7(11)-epoxyeremophil-9-en-8-one (**2**).

Compound **3** had a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{20}O_2$, as deduced from HRESIMS (Supplementary Figure S21), which was consistent with six degrees of unsaturation. The ¹³C NMR data (Table 1) displayed fifteen signals, including two carbonyl groups (δ_C 190.5, 212.1), four olefinic carbons (δ_C 127.0, 128.2, 146.1, 162.6), four methyls (δ_C 11.0, 22.8, 23.1, 25.1), three methylenes (δ_C 29.0, 37.4, 37.5), another methine ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 53.6), and an additional quaternary carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 42.2). The ¹H NMR data (Table 1) showed four methyl groups, including one singlet ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.20) and three doublets ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10, *J*=7.0 Hz; 1.85, *J*=1.4 Hz; 2.15, *J*=2.0 Hz) in the high-field region.

NMR data (Table 1) of 3 revealed a great similarity with those of isopetasone (4) (Brooks and Draffan, 1969; Yamakawa et al., 1974). However, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra (Supplementary Figures \$15, \$16) of both compounds differed significantly in the shift of the signals corresponding to H-1 β ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.87 in 3 and 2.72 in 4), H-6 α 0.96 in 4), and Me-15 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 11.0 in 3 and 7.4 in 4). This suggested a possible difference in the stereochemistry of these methyls. The NOESY correlation between H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.87) and Me-14 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.20) (Supplementary Figures S20a, d) revealed that this methyl group was axial, while the correlation from Me-14 to H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.60) (Supplementary Figure S20d) positioned the latter to the equatorial site, indicating that Me-14 and H-4 shared the same β configuration (Figure 3), in contrast to all eremophilanes described in this work. Hence, compound 3 was elucidated to be 4-epiisopetasone.

This stereochemistry was confirmed by comparison of the predicted ECD spectrum from TD-DFT calculations with the experimental one of compound **3**. The calculated spectrum reproduced well the experimental data (Figure 4), confirming the 4S and 5R absolute configuration of **3**.

In view of these results, it is noteworthy that the new metabolites could only be isolated under surface culture conditions and with Czapek-Dox medium. In particular, compound **3** belongs to a different stereochemical series than all eremophilanes previously isolated from the *E. maritima* BC17 strain. This indicates that the conditional changes are useful for accessing cryptic metabolites that would not be obtained under standard laboratory conditions.

The other isolated compounds 4-17 were identified by comparing their spectroscopic data with the literature: isopetasone (4) (Brooks and Draffan, 1969; Yamakawa et al., 1974) (Supplementary Figures S22, S23), (+)-3-epiisopetasol (5) (Sumarah et al., 2010) (Supplementary Figures S24, S25), 1α hydroxydehydrofukinone (6) (Bohlmann and Knoll, 1979) (Supplementary Figures S26, S27), eremofortin A alcohol (7) (Moreau et al., 1977) (Supplementary Figures S28, S29), (+)-aristolochene (8) (Demyttenaere et al., 2002) (Supplementary Figures S30, S31), 7-hydroxy-4a,5-dimethyl-3-prop-1-en-2-yl-3,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydronaphthalen-2-one (9) (Daengrot et al., 2015) (Supplementary Figures S32, S33), warburgiadione (10) (Brooks and Draffan, 1969) (Supplementary Figures S34, S35), (1R,7S,8aR)-1,8a-dimethyl-7-(prop-1-en-2-yl)-1,7,8,8a-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,6-dione (11) (Fan, 2016) (Supplementary Figures S36, S37), eremofortine B (12) (Moreau et al., 1980) (Supplementary Figure \$38), 3β-hydroxy-7β-eremophil-1(2),9(10),11(12)-trien-8-one (13) (Lin et al., 2014) (Supplementary Figure S39, S40), PR toxin (14) (Moreau et al., 1980) (Supplementary Figures S41, S42), PR toxin 3deacetyl (15) (Wei et al., 1975; Capasso et al., 1986) (Supplementary Figure S43), (3S)-acetoxy-7(11)-epoxyeremophil-9-en-8-one (16) (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023) (Supplementary Figures S44, S45), and eremofortine A (17) (Moreau et al., 1980) (Supplementary Figures S46, S47). Compounds 11, 12, and 15 are reported for the first time as fungal metabolites from *E. maritima* BC17 in this work, indicating the usefulness of the OSMAC strategy to increase the chemical diversity of the strain under study.

PR toxin 3-deacetyl (15) was previously chemically synthesised from PR toxin (14) (Wei et al., 1975). In order to confirm the configuration at C-3 of 15, this compound was acetylated with acetic anhydride and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid to give a product whose spectroscopic data coincided with those found in literature for PR toxin (14) (Moreau et al., 1980). This has allowed us to confirm the absolute configuration of compound 15 and report it for the first time as a fungal metabolite.

The undescribed isolated metabolites 1 and 3 and the known compounds 11 and 15 were evaluated for antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities. They were tested in triplicates against a panel of 8 human pathogens, including both Gram-negative and Grampositive bacteria (*E. coli* ATCC25922, *P. aeruginosa* PAO-1, *A. baumannii* ATCC19606, *K. pneumoniae* ATCC700603, methicillinresistant *S. aureus* MB5393, and sensitive *S. aureus* ATCC29213), yeast (*C. albicans* ATCC64124) and fungal (*A. fumigatus* ATCC46645) strains. No antimicrobial activity was detected for these metabolites against the human pathogenic strains assayed, except for compound 15 that exhibited selective but moderate activity against methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* ATCC29213 at the highest concentration tested of 128 µg/mL (Table 2).

Antitumoral activity of these compounds (1, 3, 11, and 15) was also tested against liver (HepG2, ATCC HB-8065), breast (MCF-7, ATCC HTB-22), lung (A549, ATCC CCL-185), skin (A2058, ATCC CRL-3601), and pancreas (Mia PaCa-2, ATCC CRL-1420) human cancer cells using MTT test. Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) 4 mM was used as positive control of cell death and doxorubicin was included as known chemotherapeutic agent.

In previous studies, *in vitro* tests of compound **11**, isolated from dry root of *Valeriana jatamansi*, indicated that it played a restraining effect to human brain malignant glioblastoma U251 cell by inhibiting cell proliferation and inducing cell apoptosis (Fan, 2016). However, in this work we did not detect antiproliferative activity of this metabolite, nor of compounds **1** and **3**, against the human cancer cells assayed. Among the eremophilanes tested, only compound **15** was active against all of the tested cell lines with IC₅₀ values ranging from 2.5 to 14.7 μ M (Table 3). In a previous study, we reported that the PR toxin (**14**) inhibited the same human cancer cells tested with IC₅₀ values in the range of 3.75-33.44 μ g/mL (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023). These results confirm that the aldehyde group at C-12 present in both compounds, **14** and **15**, is directly related to their biological activity.

4 Conclusions

In this research, three previously undescribed eremophilanes (1-3) and fourteen known eremophilane derivatives (4-17) were isolated and identified from the fungal strain *E. maritima* BC17, grown in both Czapek Dox and PDB liquid culture media, in order to increase its chemical diversity. Notably, the new eremophilanes 1-3 were only isolated from the Czapek-Dox medium, which

IC ₅₀ (µM)							
Compound	HepG2	MCF7	A549	A2058	Mia PaCa-2		
1	>45	>45	>45	>45	>45		
3	>172	>172	>172	>172	>172		
11	>33	>33	>33	>33	>33		
15	7.6 (6.8-7.9)	4.7 (4.0-5.4)	14.7 (12.6-17.3)	12.2 (10.8-14.0)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)		
Doxorubicin	0.21 (0.19-0.23)	0.21 (0.16-0.28)	0.90 (0.70-1.00)	0.10 (0.08-0.13)	0.43 (0.36-0.50)		

TABLE 3 Half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of compounds 1, 3, 11, and 15 of cell viability in five tumor cell lines.

Confidence intervals at 95% are shown in brackets as calculated with the Genedata[®] Screener software, version 18.0.4-Standard.

showed the highest chemical diversity. Compound 3 belongs to a different stereochemical series than all eremophilanes previously isolated from the strain under study (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023). These results confirm that the OSMAC approach is a simple, rapid and effective strategy to increase the chemical diversity of marine natural products by activating silent gene clusters.

In addition, compounds 1, 3, 11 and 15 were tested for antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity. Compound 15 exhibited cytotoxic activity against HepG2, MCF-7, A549, A2058 and Mia PaCa-2 human cancer cell lines with IC₅₀ values ranging from 2.5 to 14.7 μ M. The aldehyde group at C-12 was identified as responsible for its biological activity, as observed in compound 14 (Virués-Segovia et al., 2023).

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used.

Author contributions

JRV-S: Writing – review & editing, Investigation. CP: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Investigation. DZ: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. JS-M: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. PS: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. MCR: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. MdlC: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. JA: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. RD-P: Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was co-financed by the 2014-2020 ERDF Operational Programme and by the Department of Economy, Knowledge, Business and University of the Regional Government of Andalusia. Project reference: FEDER-UCA18-105749.

Acknowledgments

J.R. V-S thanks the Spanish Ministry of Universities for a grant of the National Programme FPU 2022.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fmars.2024.1386175/full#supplementary-material

References

Agrawal, S., Dufossé, L., and Deshmukh, S. K. (2023). Antibacterial metabolites from an unexplored strain of marine fungi *Emericellopsis minima* and determination of the probable mode of action against *Staphylococcus aureus* and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 70, 120–129. doi: 10.1002/bab.2334

Agrawal, S., and Saha, S. (2022). The genus Simplicillium and Emericellopsis: A review of phytochemistry and pharmacology. *Biotechnol. Appl. Biochem.* 69, 2229-2239. doi: 10.1002/bab.2281

Batista-García, R. A., Kumar, V. V., Ariste, A., Tovar-Herrera, O. E., Savary, O., Peidro-Guzmán, H., et al. (2017). Simple screening protocol for identification of potential mycoremediation tools for the elimination of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and phenols from hyperalkalophile industrial effluents. *J. Environ. Manage.* 198, 1–11. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.05.010

Bauernschmitt, R., and Ahlrichs, R. (1996). Treatment of electronic excitations within the adiabatic approximation of time dependent density functional theory. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 256, 454–464. doi: 10.1016/0009-2614(96)00440-X

Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648–5652. doi: 10.1063/1.464913

Blayney, D. W., Mohanlal, R., Adamchuk, H., Kirtbaya, D. V., Chen, M., Du, L., et al. (2022). Efficacy of Plinabulin vs Pegfilgrastim for prevention of Docetaxel-induced neutropenia in patients with solid tumors: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw. Open* 5, 1–12. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.45446

Blayney, D. W., Zhang, Q., Feng, J., Zhao, Y., Bondarenko, I., Vynnychenko, I., et al. (2020). Efficacy of Plinabulin vs Pegfilgrastim for prevention of chemotherapy-induced neutropenia in adults with non-small cell lung cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 6, e204429. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.4429

Bode, H. B., Bethe, B., Höfs, R., and Zeeck, A. (2002). Big effects from small changes: Possible ways to explore nature's chemical diversity. *ChemBioChem* 3, 619. doi: 10.1002/1439-7633(20020703)3:7<619::AID-CBIC619>3.0.CO;2-9

Bohlmann, F., and Knoll, K. (1979). Natürlich vorkommende terpen-derivate, 200 zwei neue eremophilan-derivate aus *Senecio suaveolens*. *Liebigs Ann. der Chemie* 1979, 470–472. doi: 10.1002/jlac.197919790407

Bovio, E., Garzoli, L., Poli, A., Prigione, V., Firsova, D., McCormack, G. P., et al. (2018). The culturable mycobiota associated with three Atlantic sponges, including two new species: *Thelebolus balaustiformis* and *T. spongiae*. *Fungal Syst. Evol.* 1, 141–167. doi: 10.3114/fuse.2018.01.07

Brooks, C. J. W., and Draffan, G. H. (1969). Sesquiterpenoids of *Warburgia* species— I: Warburgin and warburgiadione. *Tetrahedron* 25, 2865–2885. doi: 10.1016/0040-4020 (69)80030-X

Cancès, E., Mennucci, B., and Tomasi, J. (1997). A new integral equation formalism for the polarizable continuum model: Theoretical background and applications to isotropic and anisotropic dielectrics. *J. Chem. Phys.* 107, 3032–3041. doi: 10.1063/1.474659

Capasso, R., Borrelli, V., Iacobellis, N. S., and Basile, G. (1986). Preparation of derivatives of phomenone and PR toxin to study the correlation between structure and biological activity of eremophilanic sesquiterpenes Vol. 20 (Naples, Italy: Ann. della Fac. di Sci. Agrar. della Univ. degli Stud. di Napoli, Portici, IV), 20–28. Available at: https://eurekamag.com/research/001/660/001660838.php.

Casida, M. E., Jamorski, C., Casida, K. C., and Salahub, D. R. (1998). Molecular excitation energies to high-lying bound states from time-dependent density-functional response theory: Characterization and correction of the time-dependent local density approximation ionization threshold. *J. Chem. Phys.* 108, 4439–4449. doi: 10.1063/1.475855

Christaki, E., Marcou, M., and Tofarides, A. (2020). Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria: Mechanisms, evolution, and persistence. J. Mol. Evol. 88, 26–40. doi: 10.1007/ s00239-019-09914-3

Daengrot, C., Rukachaisirikul, V., Tansakul, C., Thongpanchang, T., Phongpaichit, S., Bowornwiriyapan, K., et al. (2015). Eremophilane sesquiterpenes and diphenyl thioethers from the soil fungus *Penicillium copticola* PSU-RSPG138. *J. Nat. Prod.* 78, 615–622. doi: 10.1021/np5005328

Demyttenaere, J. C. R., Adams, A., Van Belleghem, K., De Kimpe, N., König, W. A., and Tkachev, A. V. (2002). *De novo* production of (+)-aristolochene by sporulated surface cultures of *Penicillium roqueforti*. *Phytochemistry* 59, 597–602. doi: 10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00002-X

Ebel, R. (2010). Terpenes from marine-derived fungi. Mar. Drugs 8, 2340-2368. doi: 10.3390/md8082340

Fan, S. (2016). Eremophilane type sesquiterpenoids and medical application for treating glioma (China). Pat. n° CN105503556 A 2016-04-20.

Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., et al. (2016). *Gaussian 16, Revision.01*; Wallingford, CT, USA: Gaussian Inc.

Gonçalves, M. F. M., Vicente, T. F. L., Esteves, A. C., and Alves, A. (2020). Novel halotolerant species of *Emericellopsis* and *Parasarocladium* associated with macroalgae in an estuarine environment. *Mycologia* 112, 154–171. doi: 10.1080/00275514.2019.1677448

Grossart, H., Van den Wyngaert, S., Kagami, M., Wurzbacher, C., Cunliffe, M., and Rojas-Jiménez, K. (2019). Fungi in aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 339–354. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0175-8

Grum-Grzhimaylo, A. A., Georgieva, M. L., Debets, A. J. M., and Bilanenko, E. N. (2013). Are alkalitolerant fungi of the *Emericellopsis lineage (Bionectriaceae)* of marine origin? *IMA Fungus* 4, 213–228. doi: 10.5598/imafungus.2013.04.02.07

Hafez Ghoran, S., Taktaz, F., Ayatollahi, S. A., and Kijjoa, A. (2022). Anthraquinones and their analogues from marine-derived fungi: Chemistry and biological activities. *Mar. Drugs* 20, 474. doi: 10.3390/md20080474

Inostroza, A., Lara, L., Paz, C., Perez, A., Galleguillos, F., Hernandez, V., et al. (2018). Antibiotic activity of emerimicin IV isolated from *Emericellopsis minima* from Talcahuano Bay, Chile. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 32, 1361–1364. doi: 10.1080/ 14786419.2017.1344655

Jin, L., Quan, C., Hou, X., and Fan, S. (2016). Potential pharmacological resources: Natural bioactive compounds from marine-derived fungi. *Mar. Drugs* 14, 76. doi: 10.3390/md14040076

Khalifa, S. A. M., Elias, N., Farag, M. A., Chen, L., Saeed, A., Hegazy, M.-E. F., et al. (2019). Marine natural products: A source of novel anticancer drugs. *Mar. Drugs* 17, 491. doi: 10.3390/md17090491

Kuvarina, A. E., Gavryushina, I. A., Kulko, A. B., Ivanov, I. A., Rogozhin, E. A., Georgieva, M. L., et al. (2021). The emericellipsins A-E from an alkalophilic fungus *Emericellopsis alkalina* show potent activity against multidrug-resistant pathogenic fungi. *J. Fungi* 7, 153. doi: 10.3390/jof7020153

Lee, C., Yang, W., and Parr, R. G. (1988). Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 785–789. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785

Li, X.-C., Ferreira, D., and Ding, Y. (2010). Determination of absolute configuration of natural products: Theoretical calculation of electronic circular dichroism as a tool. *Curr. Org. Chem.* 14, 1678–1697. doi: 10.2174/138527210792927717

Lin, A., Wu, G., Gu, Q., Zhu, T., and Li, D. (2014). New eremophilane-type sesquiterpenes from an Antarctic deep-sea derived fungus, *Penicillium* sp. PR19 N-1. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 37, 839–844. doi: 10.1007/s12272-013-0246-8

Liu, Y., Li, Y., Qu, J., Ma, S., Zang, C., Zhang, Y., et al. (2015). Eremophilane sesquiterpenes and polyketones produced by an endophytic *Guignardia* fungus from the toxic plant *Gelsemium elegans. J. Nat. Prod.* 78, 2149–2154. doi: 10.1021/np5009027

Mennucci, B., Cancès, E., and Tomasi, J. (1997). Evaluation of solvent effects in isotropic and anisotropic dielectrics and in ionic solutions with a unified integral equation method: Theoretical bases, computational implementation, and numerical applications. J. Phys. Chem. B 101, 10506–10517. doi: 10.1021/jp971959k

Moreau, S., Biguet, J., Lablache-Combier, A., Baert, F., Foulon, M., and Delfosse, C. (1980). Structures et stereochimie des sesquiterpenes de *Penicillium roqueforti* PR toxine et eremofortines A, B, C, D, E. *Tetrahedron* 36, 2989–2997. doi: 10.1016/0040-4020(80)88024-0

Moreau, S., Cacan, M., and Lablache-Combier, A. (1977). Eremofortin C, a new metabolite obtained from *Penicillium roqueforti* cultures and from biotransformation of PR toxin. J. Org. Chem. 42, 2632–2634. doi: 10.1021/jo00435a023

Pang, K.-L., Overy, D. P., Jones, E. B. G., Calado, M., da, L., Burgaud, G., et al. (2016). 'Marine fungi' and 'marine-derived fungi' in natural product chemistry research: Toward a new consensual definition. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 30, 163–175. doi: 10.1016/j.fbr.2016.08.001

Pinedo-Rivilla, C., Aleu, J., and Durán-Patrón, R. (2022). Cryptic metabolites from marine-derived microorganisms using OSMAC and epigenetic approaches. *Mar. Drugs* 20, 84. doi: 10.3390/md20020084

Rogozhin, E., and Sadykova, V. (2019). A lipoaminopeptaibol secreted by alkalophilic fungus *Emericellopsis alkalina* demonstrates a strong cytotoxic effect against tumor cell lines. *Proceedings* 22, 4. doi: 10.3390/proceedings2019022004

Song, Y., Wang, J., Huang, H., Ma, L., Wang, J., Gu, Y., et al. (2012). Four eremophilane sesquiterpenes from the mangrove endophytic fungus *Xylaria* sp. BL321. *Mar. Drugs* 10, 340-348. doi: 10.3390/md10020340

Stewart, J. J. P. (2007). Optimization of parameters for semiempirical methods V: Modification of NDDO approximations and application to 70 elements. *J. Mol. Model.* 13, 1173–1213. doi: 10.1007/s00894-007-0233-4

Sumarah, M. W., Puniani, E., Sørensen, D., Blackwell, B. A., and Miller, J. D. (2010). Secondary metabolites from anti-insect extracts of endophytic fungi isolated from *Picea rubens. Phytochemistry* 71, 760–765. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2010.01.015

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A., et al. (2021). Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA. Cancer J. Clin.* 71, 209–249. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

Tomasi, J., Mennucci, B., and Cammi, R. (2005). Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 2999–3093. doi: 10.1021/cr9904009

Virués-Segovia, J. R., Millán, C., Pinedo, C., González-Rodríguez, V. E., Papaspyrou, S., Zorrilla, D., et al. (2023). New eremophilane-type sesquiterpenes from the marine sediment-derived fungus *Emericellopsis maritima* BC17 and their cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities. *Mar. Drugs* 21, 634. doi: 10.3390/md21120634

Wang, L., Li, M., Tang, J., and Li, X. (2016). Eremophilane sesquiterpenes from a deep marine-derived fungus, *Aspergillus* sp. SCSIOW2, cultivated in the presence of epigenetic modifying agents. *Molecules* 21, 473. doi: 10.3390/molecules21040473

Wang, H.-N., Sun, S.-S., Liu, M.-Z., Yan, M.-C., Liu, Y.-F., Zhu, Z., et al. (2022). Natural bioactive compounds from marine fungi, (2017–2020). *J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res.* 24, 203–230. doi: 10.1080/10286020.2021.1947254

Wei, R., Schnoes, H. K., Hart, P. A., and Strong, F. M. (1975). The structure of PR toxin, a mycotoxin from *Penicillium roqueforti*. *Tetrahedron* 31, 109–114. doi: 10.1016/0040-4020(75)85003-4

Wiese, J., Ohlendorf, B., Blümel, M., Schmaljohann, R., and Imhoff, J. F. (2011). Phylogenetic identification of fungi isolated from the marine sponge *Tethya aurantium* and identification of their secondary metabolites. *Mar. Drugs* 9, 561–585. doi: 10.3390/md9040561

Wu, G., Lin, A., Gu, Q., Zhu, T., and Li, D. (2013). Four new chloro-eremophilane sesquiterpenes from an Antarctic deep-sea derived fungus, *Penicillium* sp. PR19N-1. *Mar. Drugs* 11, 1399–1408. doi: 10.3390/md11041399

Xu, L., Meng, W., Cao, C., Wang, J., Shan, W., and Wang, Q. (2015). Antibacterial and antifungal compounds from marine fungi. *Mar. Drugs* 13, 3479-3513. doi: 10.3390/md13063479

Yamakawa, K., Izuta, I., Oka, H., and Sakaguchi, R. (1974). Total synthesis of (\pm) -isopetasol, (\pm) -3-epiisopetasol, and (\pm) -warburgiadion. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 15, 2187–2190. doi: 10.1016/S0040-4039(01)93172-7

Zhang, J., Liu, D., Fan, A., Huang, J., and Lin, W. (2022). Eremophilane-type sesquiterpenes from a marine-derived fungus *Penicillium copticola* with antitumor and neuroprotective activities. *Mar. Drugs* 20, 712. doi: 10.3390/md20110712

Zhang, L., Ravipati, A. S., Koyyalamudi, S. R., Jeong, S. C., Reddy, N., Bartlett, J., et al. (2013). Anti-fungal and anti-bacterial activities of ethanol extracts of selected traditional Chinese medicinal herbs. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Med.* 6, 673–681. doi: 10.1016/S1995-7645(13)60117-0

Zuccaro, A., Summerbell, R. C., Gams, W., Schroers, H.-J., and Mitchell, J. I. (2004). A new *Acremonium* species associated with *Fucus* spp., and its affinity with a phylogenetically distinct marine *Emericellopsis* clade. *Stud. Mycol.* 50, 283–297. doi: api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:54956803