

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY David M. P. Jacoby, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE
Chi Hin Lam

Itagtuna@gmail.com

RECEIVED 18 August 2023 ACCEPTED 29 August 2023 PUBLISHED 11 September 2023

CITATION

Lam CH, Tam C and Lutcavage ME (2023) Corrigendum: Connectivity of striped marlin from the Central North Pacific Ocean

Front. Mar. Sci. 10:1279659. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1279659

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Lam, Tam and Lutcavage. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Connectivity of striped marlin from the Central North Pacific Ocean

Chi Hin Lam^{1,2*}, Clayward Tam² and Molly E. Lutcavage^{1,2}

¹Large Pelagics Research Center, Gloucester, MA, United States, ²Pacific Islands Fisheries Group, Kailua. HI. United States

KEYWORDS

PSAT, tagging, dispersal, stock mixing, Main Hawaiian Islands, Central North Pacific

A Corrigendum on

Connectivity of striped marlin from the Central North Pacific Ocean

by Lam CH, Tam C and Lutcavage ME (2022) Front. Mar. Sci. 9:879463. doi: 10.3389/fmars 2022 879463

Text Correction

In the published article, there was an error in Discussion under "Pacific-Wide Movement Scenarios". Reference to personal communication with J. L. Martinez was used without permission.

This sentence previously stated:

"The putative return leg had been carried out by 36% of fish in Evans et al. (2021), 19% (4 out of 21) in Mamoozadeh et al. (2020) and 66% (56 out of 85) of fish caught by Hawaii-based longliners in 2019 Q4 (J. L. Martinez *pers. comm.*)."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"The putative return leg had been carried out by 36% of fish in Evans et al. (2021) and 19% (4 out of 21) in Mamoozadeh et al. (2020)."

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.