Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Rachel Collin, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute (SI), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Margarita Brandt mbrandt@usfg.edu.ec

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Marine Biology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

RECEIVED 26 July 2022 ACCEPTED 15 August 2022 PUBLISHED 09 September 2022

CITATION

Brandt M, Silva-Romero I, Fernández-Garnica D, Agudo-Adriani E, Bove CB and Bruno JF (2022) Corrigendum: Top-down and bottom-up control in the Galápagos Upwelling System. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9:1004011. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.1004011

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Brandt, Silva-Romero, Fernández-Garnica, Agudo-Adriani, Bove and Bruno. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Top-down and bottom-up control in the Galápagos Upwelling System

Margarita Brandt^{1,2*}, Isabel Silva-Romero^{2,3}, David Fernández-Garnica², Esteban Agudo-Adriani^{2,3}, Colleen B. Bove^{3,4} and John F. Bruno^{2,3}

¹Colegio de Ciencias Biológicas y Ambientales, Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ, Quito, Ecuador, ²Galapagos Science Center GSC, Universidad San Francisco de Quito USFQ & University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill UNC, Puerto Baquerizo Moreno, Galápagos, Ecuador, ³The Department of Biology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, ⁴The Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston MA, United States

KEYWORDS

macroalgae, subtidal, upwelling, nutrients, temperature, bottom-up/top-down control, Galápagos

A corrigendum on

Top-down and bottom-up control in the Galápagos Upwelling System

by Brandt M, Silva-Romero I, Fernández-Garnica D, Agudo-Adriani E, Bove CB and Bruno JF (2022) *Front. Mar. Sci.* 9:845635. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.845635

In the original article, there was an error in Figure 4 as published. We mistakenly based the relevant analysis on the wrong column data, i.e., on the post-burn sample mass instead of the Ash Free Dry Weight (AFDW) column data. The corrected Figure 4 and its caption appear below.

As a result of the mistake stated above, the text also contained a few unprecise statements:

1) A correction has been made to the **Abstract**. The incorrect sentence previously stated:

"Furthermore, biomass reached 2X in herbivore exclusions with nutrient additions, which hints nutrient limitation only during warm, low-upwelling conditions."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"Furthermore, biomass reached 11X in herbivore exclusions with nutrient additions, which hints nutrient limitation only during warm, low-upwelling conditions."

2) A correction has been made to the **Results**, paragraph 2. This paragraph previously stated:

"In both the cool and warm season experiments, final algal biomass was very low (~1 g, on average) when all herbivores were allowed access to experimental substrates (gray bars in Figure 4). One exception to this was during the cool season experiment, when final algal biomass in the open pizzas with nutrient additions showed a non-

significant increase (~2 g), compared to open pizzas with ambient nutrients (Figure 4A). On the other hand, excluding all macro-herbivores (blue bars in Figure 4) during the cool season significantly increased final macroalgal biomass relative to the open pizzas, only with ambient nutrients (Figure 4A). This contrasted with the results of the warm season experiment: final macroalgal biomass significantly increased in herbivore exclusions compared to open pizzas with and without nutrient additions, and it reached, 2X biomass when nutrients were added (Figure 4B)."

The corrected paragraph appears below:

"In both the cool and warm season experiments and when all herbivores were allowed access to experimental substrates (open pizzas or gray bars in Figure 4), final algal biomass was relatively low, with mean values ranging from 0.93 to 7.62 g. On the other hand, excluding all macro-herbivores in general produced higher accumulation of final macroalgal biomass with mean values that ranged from 2.85 to 29.56 g (blue bars in Figure 4). The combined effects of nutrients and herbivore exclusions varied however in the cool and the warm season experiments. Excluding macro-herbivores (both fish and all herbivores) during the cool season significantly increased final macroalgal biomass relative to the open pizzas, only with ambient nutrients; there were not significant differences among open pizzas and herbivore exclusions when nutrients were added (Figure 4A). This contrasted with the results of the warm season experiment: final macroalgal biomass significantly increased in herbivore exclusions compared to open pizzas only with nutrient additions, and it reached, ~11X more biomass (Figure 4B). In other words, adding nutrients had contrasting effects in the two

experiments: during the cool season experiment the significant differences among herbivore treatments disappeared when nutrients were added (due to a higher macroalgal biomass in open pizzas), while the addition of nutrients during the warm season experiment promoted the significant differences among herbivore treatments (Figure 4)."

3) A correction has been made to the **Discussion**, paragraph3. The incorrect sentences previously stated:

"Compared to open pizzas, excluding macro-herbivores had a significant effect on algal biomass both in the cool and in the warm season experiments with ambient nutrients. However, these differences were maximized (2X more biomass) with nutrient additions in the warm season experiment (Figure 4)."

The corrected sentences appear below:

"Compared to open pizzas, excluding all macro-herbivores had a significant effect on algal biomass both in the cool and in the warm season experiments, but with different nutrient treatments: in the cool season experiment significant differences of final macroalgal biomass among herbivore treatments occurred with ambient nutrients (Figure 4A), while it did with nutrient additions in the warm season (Figure 4B). These differences were maximized in the warm season experiment (~20X versus ~10X more biomass comparing full exclusions and open pizzas among herbivore treatments with significant differences, Figure 4)."

4) A correction has been made to the **Discussion**, paragraph4. The incorrect sentence previously stated:

"However, grazing intensity in open pizzas was very similar across seasons (Figure 4)."

The corrected sentence appears below:



Herbivore treatment effects on final macroalgal biomass (AFDW of all algal taxa, which was largely Ulva) in the 2019 cool season (A) and the 2020 warm season (B) experiments. Bars represent bootstrap estimated means (darker, thick horizontal lines) and modelled 95% confidence intervals (entire shaded bar). Circles are the individual data raw points (n = 8). Note different scales in y-axis.

"However, grazing intensity in open pizzas was not significantly different across seasons (Figure 4)."

In the published article, there was an error in **Supplementary Figure 2**. We mistakenly based the analysis on the wrong column data, i.e., on the post-burn sample mass instead of the Ash Free Dry Weight (AFDW) column data. The corrected **Supplementary Figure 2** has been updated in the **Supplementary Material File**

In the published article, there was an error in **Supplementary Figure 5**. We mistakenly based the analysis on the wrong column data, i.e., on the post-burn sample mass instead of the Ash Free Dry Weight (AFDW) column data. The corrected **Supplementary Figure 5** has been updated in the **Supplementary Material File**. The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

03