



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Michael J. Smanski
✉ smanski@umn.edu

RECEIVED 22 December 2023
ACCEPTED 27 December 2023
PUBLISHED 12 January 2024

CITATION

Sychla A, Feltman NR, Hutchison WD and Smanski MJ (2024) Corrigendum: Modeling-informed Engineered Genetic Incompatibility strategies to overcome resistance in the invasive *Drosophila suzukii*. *Front. Insect Sci.* 3:1360167. doi: 10.3389/finsc.2023.1360167

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Sychla, Feltman, Hutchison and Smanski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Modeling-informed Engineered Genetic Incompatibility strategies to overcome resistance in the invasive *Drosophila suzukii*

Adam Sychla^{1,2}, Nathan R. Feltman^{1,2}, William D. Hutchison³ and Michael J. Smanski^{1,2*}

¹Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Biophysics, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, United States, ²Biotechnology Institute, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, United States, ³Department of Entomology, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, United States

KEYWORDS

genetic biocontrol, agent-based modeling, spotted wing drosophila, resistance, incompatible insect technique (IIT)

A Corrigendum on

[Modeling-informed Engineered Genetic Incompatibility strategies to overcome resistance in the invasive *Drosophila suzukii*](#)

by Sychla A, Feltman NR, Hutchison WD and Smanski MJ (2022) *Front. Insect Sci.* 2:1063789. doi: 10.3389/finsc.2022.1063789

Error in Figure/Table

In the published article, there was an error in [Table 1](#) as published. The Value: meaning at Locus 2 (i.e., second column from left, third row below header) was erroneously listed as “r: wild-type”. Instead, it should have been listed as “p: wild-type”. The corrected [Table 1](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 1 Description of the possible alphabetical values and their meaning for each position in the 7-digit alphabetical code representing possible genotypes in the agent-based model.

Locus	Value: meaning	Value: meaning	Value: meaning
0	b: wild-type	B: engineered promoter mutant	c: natural resistant SNP
1	d: wild-type	D: PTA targeting locus 0	
2	p: wild-type	P: engineered promoter mutant	r: natural resistant SNP
3	t: wild - type	T: PTA targeting locus 2	
4	l: wild-type	L: female lethal linked to locus 1	
5	W: wild-type	F: female lethal linked to locus 3	
6	X: recessive female phenotype	Y: dominant male phenotype	