Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Srinivasa Reddy Bonam, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology (CSIR), India

REVIEWED BY Maria Rathmann Sørensen, Novo Nordisk, Denmark Patrícia Cc Neves, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Francesco Doro State fdoro@osivax.com

RECEIVED 20 December 2024 ACCEPTED 20 February 2025 PUBLISHED 14 March 2025

CITATION

Del Campo J, Valsesia S, Nikly E, Ruiu R, lacoviello A, Quaglino E, Cavallo F, Hannani D, Boucher E, Nicolas F, Le Vert A and Doro F (2025) OligoDOMTM: a T-cell response-enhancing platform applied to cancer immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1549112. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1549112

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Del Campo, Valsesia, Nikly, Ruiu, lacoviello, Quaglino, Cavallo, Hannani, Boucher, Nicolas, Le Vert and Doro. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

OligoDOMTM: a T-cell responseenhancing platform applied to cancer immunotherapy

Judith Del Campo¹, Séverine Valsesia¹, Elsa Nikly¹, Roberto Ruiu², Antonella Iacoviello², Elena Quaglino², Federica Cavallo², Dalil Hannani³, Emilie Boucher³, Florence Nicolas¹, Alexandre Le Vert¹ and Francesco Doro^{1*}

¹Osivax, Lyon, France, ²Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Health Sciences, Molecular Biotechnology Center "Guido Tarone", University of Turin, Turin, Italy, ³CNRS, UMR 5525, VetAgro Sup, Grenoble INP, TIMC, University Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

Background: Neoepitopes derived (0) from tumors are attractive cancer immunotherapy targets, especially when combined with immune checkpoint inhibitors (CPIs). Vaccines using lipid nanoparticle (LNP)-encapsulated mRNA to deliver neoepitopes have shown encouraging results in patients and animal models, due to T cell-dependent responses. However, a low mutational burden is often a predictor of poor CPI response: the immune response against the few available mutations can be insufficient. An enhanced response to these few mutations could increase CPI efficacy. Here, we investigate the potential of oligoDOMTM, a self-assembling sequence, to improve neoepitope immunogenicity and antitumor efficacy in murine cancer models.

Methods: LNP-formulated mRNA constructs encoding short epitope strings fused with oligoDOMTM were tested. Immune responses in mice were compared between constructs with oligoDOMTM and their controls. Specific T-cell responses against four tumor models (MC38, CT26, TC-1, B16-OVA) were measured using ELISpot in naïve mice. Two models (TC-1 and B16-OVA) were further selected for tumor growth efficacy testing.

Results: LNP-formulated neoepitope-oligoDOMTM mRNA constructs induced a significantly superior immune response as compared with the control groups in four neoantigens tested. This increased specific immunogenicity is linked to antitumor growth effects in murine syngeneic cancer models such as the B16-OVA and TC-1. The induced T-cell immune response significantly correlated with tumor growth rate reduction.

Discussion: Combining oligoDOMTM and LNP-mRNA technologies offers a versatile platform that allows for efficient short neoepitope strings delivery. This approach represents a feasible, potentially effective strategy for personalized cancer immunotherapy.

KEYWORDS

immunotherapy, cancer, t cells, mRNA, nanoparticles

Introduction

Cancer is a leading cause of death worldwide, identified by WHO as the first or second leading cause of death before the age of 70 in 112 of 183 countries between 2000 and 2019 (1). Despite advanced chemotherapy and radiotherapy, some cancers, such as lung or pancreatic cancers, still have low 5-year survival rates (2).

Novel immunotherapies, including immune checkpoint inhibitors (CPIs), prevent cancer cells from evading the immune system (3, 4). The use of monoclonal antibodies targeting the CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways has been approved for various cancer types (5). Combining neoepitope-based vaccines with CPIs is paving the way for personalized immunotherapies, enhancing tumor-specific T-cell generation and effector functions. Neoepitope vaccination may be tailored for each patient and has proven its ability to significantly increase the survival rates when combined with CPI treatments (6–8).

mRNA is a key platform for delivering neoepitopes, offering the versatility needed for personalized therapies. However, preclinical studies have shown that a strong immune response against specific mutations does not always translate into effective tumor growth inhibition. Long neoepitope strings are indeed required to broaden the immune response and observe efficacy (9, 10), which is challenging for tumors with a low mutational burden, where the scarcity of mutations can impair the overall efficacy of the combination treatments with CPIs and neoepitope vaccination (11).

OSIVAX's oligoDOMTM (OVX313) is a platform based on the heptamerization domain of the chicken C4b-binding protein (C4BP), which can self-assemble to provide multiple antigen presentations for an enhanced immune response (12, 13). We previously demonstrated that oligoDOMTM can provide broad T-cell activation against influenza nucleoprotein (NP) in clinical trials with a strong safety profile in over a thousand subjects (14–16). Currently, a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SarsCov2) and a human papillomavirus (HPV) program incorporating oligoDOMTM are in phase I clinical trials (CT numbers: 2023-506396-94-00 and 2021-002584-22), following promising preclinical results (17, 18).

Previous evidence of an enhanced CD8 T-cell activation against viral antigens triggered by oligoDOMTM inspired the idea of combining this platform with tumor neoepitopes to extend its applicability to cancer treatment. The oligoDOMTM sequence was encoded into an mRNA construct and fused with one or two neoepitopes in series to test for superior efficacy against tumor growth compared with neoepitopes delivered alone. Here, we demonstrate how oligoDOMTM significantly improved the T-cell immune response against four different mouse cancer-associated epitope combinations and enhanced the efficacy of short neoepitope strings in two murine tumor models, both in therapeutic (in a B16-OVA model) and prophylactic (in an HPV-TC-1 model) settings.

Methods

mRNA constructs

Constructs containing epitopes from four tumor models were created (Table 1, Figure 1A). Each construct comprised one or two epitopes in series, with each epitope flanked by five more amino acids at both N- and C-termini, corresponding to the flanking regions present in the full-length protein the epitopes come from. The exception was the CT26 epitopes, which were 20-amino acids long as reported in the literature (10). A tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) secretion sequence (MDAMKRGLCCV LLLCGAVFVSPSQEIHARFRR) was fused to the N-terminus of all constructs. Mouse-optimized mRNAs with C-terminal oligoDOMTM (GSKKQGDADVCGEVAYIQSVVSDCHVPT AELRTLLEIRKLFLEIQKLKVEGRRRRRS) or an untranslated sequence (STOP-NS: -GSETG-C-RVRRSGIYPERRERLSRS DGRVAHAVGNP-AVLGDSKAQS-GSSSQTF, where each "dash" represents a stop codon) were synthesized by RiboPro B.V. (Oss, The Netherlands) and de-immunized via codon optimization and dsRNA reduction. Each mRNA was equipped with translationpromoting 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs), a 5' anti-reverse capping analogue (ARCA), and a 150A-poly-A-tail. RNA quality was assessed by spectrophotometry and gel electrophoresis. The mRNA was encapsulated in lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) using RiboPro's ionizable and core lipid in combination with 18:1 (Δ 9-Cis) PE (DOPE), 1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammonium propane (DOTAP), and 2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino(polyethylene glycol)] (DSPE-PEG), at a charge ratio of (non-polar/polar) of 5. Lipids were dissolved in ethanol and mRNA was dissolved in 100 mM citrate (pH 4.0), and particles were formed by microfluidics at a final concentration of 0.1 µg/µL mRNA. Particles were buffer-exchanged to PBS (pH 7.4) by dialysis. In the last dialysis step, penicillin (100 units/mL)-streptomycin (100 µg/mL) was added to the PBS buffer. All constructs passed RiboPro quality analysis.

Mice

Six-week-old female C57BL/6 mice (Charles River Laboratories) were utilized for all experiments, except for testing epitopes from the CT26 colon cancer, where 6-week-old female BALB/c mice (Charles River Laboratories) were used. Animals were kept at the animal houses of OSIVAX (naïve mice experiments), of Turin University (for the experiments with TC-1 cells), and of the University of Grenoble (for the experiments with OVA-expressing B16 tumor). After 7 days of acclimatation, mice were randomly placed in the cages and were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions with ad libitum access to food and water. Ethical approval for animal procedures was obtained from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Plateau de Biologie Expérimental de la Souris (CECCAPP_ENS_2022_002, Lyon, France) or DAP # 2018010411002729 of the animal facility at the Faculté de Médecine de Grenoble (France), and the Italian Ministry of Health under reference CC652.124, Aut n° 107/2020. Accreditation was obtained from government agencies.

Immunizations in naïve mice

The immunogenic response of the mRNA constructs was tested in naïve mice. Five mice per group (80 mice in total) received two immunizations and administered intramuscularly (IM) 3 weeks apart, and PBS was used as negative control. Two doses were used for the OVA constructs (3 and 0.7 μ g) as well as for the CT26 constructs (2 and 0.5 μ g). One dose at 2 μ g was used for the HPV-E7 and MC38 constructs. The immune response was evaluated in the spleens, 7 days after the last immunization, via ELISpot.

Tumor growth experiments

Two tumor models were selected. For the prophylactic approach, the mouse lung cancer TC-1 cell line (a well-established cancer cell line derived from lung epithelial cells transformed with oncogenic HPV E6 and E7 genes) was used. TC-1 cells (19) were kindly gifted by Prof. Aldo Venuti, Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome. Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS and 400 μ g/mL of geneticin (Sigma-Aldrich, Italy) (not included in the last passage before inoculation). Five groups of mice with six mice per group were used (30 mice in total). 2 μ g of construct #5 and #6 was

administered in groups 1 and 2, respectively, and 0.5 µg of the same constructs #5 and #6 was administered in groups 3 and 4, respectively. An unrelated mRNA construct, i.e., a CD8 epitope from influenza nucleoprotein (Flu NP) fused to oligoDOM¹ (construct #9), was used as a negative control and was administered in group 5. All groups were injected IM, 21 days apart. Seven days after the second immunization, 10⁵ TC-1 cells were grafted subcutaneously (SC), and tumor growth was monitored for 25 days. For the therapeutic approach, six groups with six mice per group were used (36 mice in total). 2×10^5 mouse OVA-expressing B16 melanoma cells (B16-OVA) (ATCC[®] CRL-6475TM) were implanted SC, as previously described (20) in all groups. Starting the day after the graft, five immunizations were performed IM, 2 or 3 days apart, with two doses of constructs #7 and #8; group 1 and 2 received 0.7 µg of constructs #7 and #8 respectively, and groups 3 and 4 received 0.2 µg of the same constructs #7 and #8, respectively. An unrelated mRNA construct (CD8 and CD4 Flu NP epitopes fused to oligoDOMTM, construct #10 in Table 1) was used as negative control and administered to group 6, and 500 µg recombinant OVA (Sigma-Aldrich) formulated with 50 µg of poly IC was used as positive control and administered to group 5. Tumor growth was monitored for 13 days after the graft. Tumor growth was measured as tumor volume, calculated with the following formula: Volume = $0.5 \times (Width \times Length^2)$. For both experiments, after sacrifice, the spleens were harvested and the immune response was evaluated via ELISpot.

For all experiments, to avoid sample confounders, vaccines were prepared just before the vaccination. To eliminate operator-related confounders, a single operator performed all treatments and measurements. To reduce location-related confounders, all cages were placed at the same level on the rack in a controlled environment (i.e., ventilated, SPF housing), with food, water, and bedding replaced on the same schedule for all cages. The order of treatments and measurements

TABLE 1 General structure of each construct and epitope sequences used in the study.

Construct ID	Epitope 1	Epitope 2	OligoDOM/ STOP-NS
#1-MC38	ELFRAAQLANDVVLQIMEL from Reps1 (CD8) (9)	VHLELASMTNMELMSSIVH from Adpgk (CD8) (9)	OligoDOM
#2-MC38			STOP-NS
#3-CT26	HCWKYLSVQSQLFRGSSLLF from Mitch1 (CD8) (10)	TSKYYMRDVIAIESAWLLEL from Dhx35 (CD4) (10)	OligoDOM
#4-CT26			
			STOP-NS
#5-HPV E7	QAEPDRAHYNIVTFCCKCD from E7 protein (20)	N.A.	OligoDOM
#6-HPV E7			
			STOP-NS
#7-OVA	LEQLESIINFEKLTEWTS from ovalbulmin	AESLKISQAVHAAHAEINEAGREVVGS from Ovalbulmin	OligoDOM
#8-OVA	(CD8) (22)	(CD4) (23)	
			STOP-NS
#9-unrelated for TC1	RGVQIASNENMETMESSTL from Flu NP (CD8)	N.A.	OligoDOM
#10-unrelated for OVA	RGVQIASNENMETMESSTL from Flu NP (CD8)	LLQNSQVYSLIRPNENPAHKSQLVW from Flu NP (CD4)	OligoDOM

NA, non applicable.

was determined by the initial cage numbering, and no randomization was applied. The tumor size is always measured by the same operator, using an electronic caliper, always measuring the longest side and then the perpendicular (smaller side) in the same way. Experiments were not performed in a blinded manner.

IFNγ ELISpot

Specific IFN γ -producing splenocytes were enumerated using an IFN γ ELISpot assay (3321-4HPT-10, Mabtech, Sweden). Lymphocytes were isolated from the spleen of individual mice following previously established protocols (12). IFN γ -precoated plates were utilized according to the manufacturer's instructions (3321-4HPT-10, Mabtech, Sweden). 2.5 × 10⁵ splenocytes were restimulated with the MC38, CT26, E7, or OVA peptides (GenScript, Netherlands) at 2 µg/ mL final concentration for 20 h at 37°C/5% CO₂. Concanavalin A (Sigma-Aldrich, France) served as a positive control, whereas unstimulated splenocytes were used as negative controls. Spotforming cells (SFCs) were quantified using an ELISpot reader system (ASTOR, Mabtech, Sweden), after background removal.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses and graphical representations were performed using Prism 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Group comparisons were assessed using an unpaired one-way ANOVA, followed by a Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn's multiple comparisons test, excluding the negative control groups. Statistical analysis on the tumor sizes was performed on the final day of the experiment.

Spearman correlation analysis was used to evaluate the relationship between the number of IFN γ -secreting CD8+ T cells in the spleen (post-tumor inoculation and vaccination) and tumor size.

Differences were considered significant if the p value was <0.05. In the figures, the stars were as follows: p < 0.05 (*), p < 0.01 (**), and p < 0.001 (***).

Results

OligoDOM[™] improved the cell-mediated immune response against short strings of neoepitopes

To create the mRNA constructs where small epitope strings could be fused with oligoDOMTM, model epitopes from the literature were selected. Specifically, eight different constructs containing epitopes from four different tumor models were created (Table 1), formulated in LNPs, and quality assessed by the vendor (not shown). Two CD8 epitopes were selected from the murine colon carcinoma MC38 (9); two epitopes (CD4 and CD8)

from the colon carcinoma CT26 (10) and one CD8 epitope were selected from the E7 protein from HPV (21), a well-known tumorassociated virus (22). Finally, two epitopes were identified from ovalbumin (OVA) as a model antigen expressed by an engineered B16 melanoma cell line (23, 24). The tPA secretion sequence at the N-terminus of the resulting protein was added since preliminary tests in our laboratory showed that a better immune response is triggered by mRNA constructs containing such sequence, compared with similar constructs without any signal sequences (data not shown). Each epitope string was fused at the C-terminus to oligoDOMTM or to a non-translated sequence of the same length (STOP-NS) in the control constructs.

The oligoDOMTM-containing mRNA constructs (Table 1) were tested in independent experiments in naïve mice. To investigate the immune response, five naïve mice per group were immunized with either one (for MC38 constructs #1 and #2, and HPV-E7 constructs #5 and #6) or two doses of each construct (for the other constructs). The difference in immunization approaches reflects the iterative nature of our experimental design. The CT26 (constructs #3 and #4) and OVA (constructs #7 and #8) experiments were the initial studies performed, during which we aimed to evaluate dose response and determine the better immunization strategy for assessing the constructs' biological activity. Regarding the dose selection, the initial experiment was conducted with the OVA epitope-containing constructs using two doses (3 µg and 0.7 µg, in a 1:4 ratio). After observing a robust CD8 response and a too low CD4 response at the highest dose, we decided to adjust the doses for the subsequent CT26 study. To optimize the results while maintaining consistency, we reduced the doses but kept the same ratio (1:4). These experiments helped us take the decision to use a higher dose level as overall more relevant for studying the constructs' biological activity. Therefore, a single dose (2 µg) for the MC38 (#1 and #2) and HPV-E7 (#5 and #6) constructs was deemed sufficient to compare the constructs. This adjustment was made both to ensure that we focused on the most biologically relevant dose and to adhere to the 3Rs principle in animal experimentation, minimizing the number of animals used. The induced cellular immune response was measured via ELISpot and compared with the one induced by similar constructs where the oligoDOMTM sequence was replaced by the STOP-NS sequence (Figure 1) to exclude any contribution to the immune response of a simple increase in mRNA content in the oligoDOMTM-containing constructs.

Four out of seven oligoDOMTM-containing constructs outperformed their counterpart with the STOP-NS sequence (Figures 1B–E), achieving up to a 50-fold increase in the immune response for the E7 epitope (Figure 1D). Interestingly, oligoDOMTM increased the immune response both for already immunogenic epitopes (Figures 1B, C, E) and for those that barely showed immunogenicity in the oligoDOMTM-free mRNA construct (Figure 1D).

Surprisingly, the CD4 OVA epitope in construct #7 (Table 1) showed no dose-dependent effect and no immune response at the highest dose (Figure 1E). A similar dose-independent effect was observed for the CD4 epitope from CT26 experiment, with no IFN γ increased response observed at the highest dose (Figure 1C).



OligoDOM[™] enhanced the antitumor effect of short strings of neoepitopes in both prophylactic and therapeutic setups

Encouraged by oligoDOMTM, s performance in naïve mice, two mouse tumor models were selected to investigate its impact on tumor growth reduction. The TC-1 cancer cell line, derived from lung epithelial cells transformed with oncogenic HPV E6 and E7 genes, and the B16 melanoma cell line expressing OVA were selected for prophylactic and therapeutic treatments, respectively.

The mRNA constructs used for these experiments were HPV-E7 #5 and OVA #7 (Table 1), their STOP-NS counterparts (constructs #6 and #8), and the unrelated Flu NP epitope string fused with oligoDOMTM (negative control, #9 and #10). The HPV-E7-CD8epitope mRNA constructs (#5 and #6) were administered with the same immunization schedule as in the previous experiment with naïve mice (Figure 2A). This time, two doses (2 and 0.5 μ g) were used to test a potential dose-dependent effect. TC-1 cells were grafted SC 7 days after the immunization cycle, and tumor growth was monitored for 25 days. Complete tumor growth prevention was observed in mice immunized with the oligoDOMTM-containing construct at both doses, whereas only partial growth reduction was observed in mice immunized with the HPV-E7 construct #6 (without oligoDOMTM) at both doses when compared with the negative control (sequence #9) (Figure 2B). The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference between the groups immunized with both doses of the HPV-E7oligoDOMTM construct (construct #5) and the group receiving the construct without oligoDOMTM (construct #6) at 0.5 µg (p < 0.05, *) (Figure 2B). The tumor rejection observed (at both doses tested) was paralleled by the induction of a T-cell immune response against the E7 peptide approximately 10 times stronger with the oligoDOMTMcontaining constructs than with the mRNA sequence without oligoDOMTM (Figure 2C), measured via ELISpot assay in the spleens of these mice at the end of the experiment.

For the therapeutic setup experiment, the mouse melanoma B16-OVA model was used to compare the antitumor efficacy of the OVA constructs #7 and #8 (Table 1). B16-OVA SC cells were implanted into mice, and starting the day after engraftment, the animals received five immunizations (Figure 2D). In this experiment, the most pronounced reduction in tumor growth rate was observed in mice immunized with the OVA-oligoDOMTM construct. Notably, four out of six mice in the highest-dose group



Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01. (**D**) Schematic representation of the therapeutic approach. (**E**) B16-OVA tumor growth rate in mice immunized against OVA peptides. Each line refers to the mean tumor volume \pm SD of each experimental group (n = 5). (**F**) Immune response against OVA epitopes at the end of the experiment. CD8- or CD4- peptide specific IFN γ secreting T-cells (spot-forming cells (SFCs)/1 × 10⁶ cells) in the spleen. Individual data, mean (line), and SD were represented. Differences were assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

(OVA construct #7) remained tumor-free, whereas only two out of six mice in the positive control group, which received recombinant OVA with a Poly-IC adjuvant, exhibited a tumor-free status (Figure 2E). In contrast, no significant reduction in tumor growth rate was detected in the negative control group administered construct #10 (Figure 2E). Statistical analysis demonstrated a significant difference in tumor growth rates between the highest dose of the OVA-oligoDOMTM construct (#7) at 0.7 µg and both doses of the OVA construct lacking oligoDOM. Restimulated splenocytes from mice immunized with the oligoDOMTM-containing mRNA constructs showed a higher number of IFN γ releasing specific T cells. Interestingly, in the presence of the OVA-

expressing tumor, also the CD4 signal was detected and superior in the oligoDOMTM-treated group, unlike what was observed with the naïve mice (Figures 1E, 2F).

A Spearman correlation test was performed to determine the relationship between the T-cell response triggered by vaccination and tumor size at the end of the experiments for both the prophylactic and the therapeutic setups. In both cases, we observed a correlation between the specific immune response measured with ELISpot and the size of the tumors at sacrifice, with a correlation coefficient of -0.7173 for the prophylactic experiment and -0.7890 for the therapeutic approach. The higher the immune response, the smaller the tumor size at the end of the experiment (Figure 3).

Overall, the antitumor effect of the oligoDOMTM-containing constructs was higher for both tumor models, in both prophylactic and therapeutic setups.

Discussion

In this work, we aimed to demonstrate the added value of the self-assembling oligoDOMTM sequence in triggering a specific and effective immune response when fused to tumor neoantigen strings in an mRNA construct formulated in LNPs.

Using short epitope strings of one or two epitopes as model sequences, either alone or fused to oligoDOMTM, we successfully showed the benefits of oligoDOMTM in both naïve mice, focusing on the specific cell-mediated immune response triggered, and in tumor-bearing mice, focusing on the reduction of tumor growth. Indeed, in both experimental setups, an increased immune response and an antitumor efficacy were observed in the mice treated with the oligoDOMTM-containing constructs, compared with those immunized with constructs without oligoDOMTM.

The correlation between the intensity of the specific immune response (measured as IFN γ -secreting splenocytes) and the effect on tumor growth, in both therapeutic and prophylactic setups, confirmed a direct effect of the elicited specific T cells against the neoepitopes used in the constructs. Interestingly, not only did oligoDOMTM increase the immune response against already immunogenic neoepitopes but also it

converted one poorly immunogenic epitope into highly immunogenic one (e.g., the HPV-E7 epitope used in this study, 20). This led to the generation of specific T cells capable of slowing down or even abolishing the growth of tumors bearing that specific neoepitope.

The effect of oligoDOMTM fused to the neoepitopes was more pronounced for the tested CD8 epitopes and less so for CD4 epitopes in naïve mice. This is a known phenomenon described in the literature, where higher antigen doses can suppress CD4 activation while still showing dose-dependent CD8 activation in an antigendependent manner (25). However, in the B16-OVA tumor model, we demonstrated that when the B16-OVA tumor was grafted, the immune response against the OVA CD4 epitope was also increased when mice were immunized with constructs fused with oligoDOMTM, contributing to the overall reduction in tumor growth rate.

To test the performance of the oligoDOMTM platform to control tumor growth, a prophylactic approach and a therapeutic approach were put in place. For the prophylactic approach, we used the virus-induced TC-1 tumor model expressing the HPV-E7 protein. This model allowed us to evaluate the platform's ability to elicit a strong preventive immune response against a defined, exogenous antigen in a well-characterized system. In contrast, the therapeutic approach was tested using the B16 melanoma model, widely recognized for its relevance to immunotherapy research due to its aggressive nature and immunosuppressive environment. Despite the limitation on directly comparing the two strategies, using two different tumor models enabled us to address different aspects of the platform's efficacy (prophylactic and therapeutic). OligoDOMTM conferred effective tumor growth reduction in both tumor models investigated when mice were treated with short epitope strings. This is notable, as such short epitope strings often result in poor effectiveness in the presence of tumors in preclinical models when administered as nucleic acids (9).

Our goal here was not to set specific dose thresholds or a specific combination or number of neoantigens, but to demonstrate the superiority, by direct comparison, of mRNA-based vaccines bearing the oligoDOMTM sequence over the same constructs lacking this sequence. The TC-1 and OVA-B16 tumor models were chosen as a pilot approach to study the underlying mechanisms of the oligoDOMTM Platform and to establish a proof of concept for its



FIGURE 3

A strong link between the increased immune response triggered by oligoDOMTM and the efficacy against tumor growth is evident. The Spearman correlation graphs illustrate the relationship between specific T cells secreting IFN γ in the spleen and the tumor size across all groups in the HPV prophylactic experiment (A) and OVA therapeutic experiment (B).

ability to enhance T-cell responses against small epitope strings and correlate it with tumor growth reduction. Specifically, we aimed to demonstrate that constructs containing one or two small neoepitopes could significantly slow tumor growth, assuming their presence in the tumor. Considering the very high immune response generated and the efficacy data recorded in the mouse models and experimental setups investigated in this work, our findings support the idea that oligoDOMTM could be of great utility in cases in which short epitope strings may need to be used for personalized therapy, and where tumor-associated mutations may show poor immunogenicity. Our conclusions are based on proof-of-concept findings in artificial models: further studies are required to validate the platform's effectiveness in more relevant systems, particularly with true tumor-specific epitopes of low mutational burden tumors.

The genetic analysis of a tumor can lead to the identification of specific tumor-associated mutations, and the sequences bearing such mutations can be included in an mRNA formulation as part of an immune therapy (6–8). Fusing the oligoDOMTM sequence to a string of epitopes in an mRNA construct is relatively fast from a technical point of view. Our findings, once confirmed, could pave the way to a clinical scenario in which the identification of tumor-associated mutations for therapeutic purposes could be coupled to the oligoDOMTM technology to obtain tumor targeted therapeutic programs, where an enhanced targeted immune response could be key for the success of the treatment.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Plateau de Biologie Expérimental de la Souris (CECCAPP_ENS_2022_002, Lyon, France) or DAP # 2018010411002729 of the animal facility at the Faculté de Médecine de Grenoble (France), and the Italian Ministry of Health under reference CC652.124, Aut n° 107/2020. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

JD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. EN: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. RR: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. AI: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. EQ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. FC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. DH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. EB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. FN: Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - review & editing. AL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. FD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Prof. Aldo Venuti, Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, for kindly providing the TC-1 cells used in this work.

Conflict of interest

AL, EN, FD, FN, JD and SV are employees of Osivax.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025. 1549112/full#supplementary-material

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). *Global Health Estimates 2020: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000-2019* (2020). WHO. Available online at: http://who.int/data/gho/data/themes/mortality-and-global-health-estimates/ghe-leading-causes-of-death (Accessed December 11, 2020).

2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Wagle NS, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2023. CA Cancer J Clin. (2023) 73:17-48. doi: 10.3322/caac.21763

3. Chen DS, Mellman I. Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. *Nature*. (2017) 541:321-30. doi: 10.1038/nature21349

4. Topalian SL, Taube JM, Anders RA, Pardoll DM. Mechanism-driven biomarkers to guide immune checkpoint blockade in cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2016) 16:275–87. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2016.36

5. Shiravand Y, Khodadadi F, Kashani SMA, Hosseini-Fard SR, Hosseini S, Sadeghirad H, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Curr Oncol.* (2022) 29:3044–60. doi: 10.3390/curroncol29050247

6. Liu L, Wang Y, Miao L, Liu Q, Musetti S, Li J, et al. Combination immunotherapy of MUC1 mRNA nano-vaccine and CTLA-4 blockade effectively inhibits growth of triple negative breast cancer. *Mol Ther.* (2018) 26:45–55. doi: 10.1016/ j.ymthe.2017.10.020

7. Blass E, Ott PA. Advances in the development of personalized neoantigen-based therapeutic cancer vaccines. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2021) 18:215–29. doi: 10.1038/ s41571-020-00460-2

8. Liao JY, Zhang S. Safety and efficacy of personalized cancer vaccines in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer treatment. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:663264. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.663264

9. Aurisicchio L, Salvatori E, Lione L, Bandini S, Pallocca M, Maggio R, et al. Polyspecific neoantigen-targeted cancer vaccines delay patient derived tumor growth. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 38:78. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1084-4

10. Salvatori E, Lione L, Compagnone M, Pinto E, Conforti A, Ciliberto G, et al. Neoantigen cancer vaccine augments anti-CTLA-4 efficacy. *NPJ Vaccines*. (2022) 7:15. doi: 10.1038/s41541-022-00433-9

11. Chida K, Kawazoe A, Kawazu M, Suzuki T, Nakamura Y, Nakatsura T, et al. A low tumor mutational burden and PTEN mutations are predictors of a negative response to PD-1 blockade in MSI-H/dMMR gastrointestinal tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27:3714–24. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-0401

12. Del Campo J, Pizzorno A, Djebali S, Bouley J, Haller M, Pérez-Vargas J, et al. OVX836 a recombinant nucleoprotein vaccine inducing cellular responses and protective efficacy against multiple influenza A subtypes. *NPJ Vaccines*. (2019) 4:4. doi: 10.1038/s41541-019-0098-4

13. Del Campo J, Bouley J, Chevandier M, Rousset C, Haller M, Indalecio A, et al. OVX836 heptameric nucleoprotein vaccine generates lung tissue-resident memory CD8+ T-cells for cross-protection against influenza. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:678483. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.678483

14. Withanage K, De Coster I, Cools N, Viviani S, Tourneur J, Chevandier M, et al. Phase 1 randomized, placebo-controlled, dose-escalating study to evaluate OVX836, a nucleoprotein-based influenza vaccine: intramuscular results. *J Infect Dis.* (2022) 226:119–27. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiab532

15. Leroux-Roels I, Waerlop G, Tourneur J, De Boever F, Maes C, Bruhwyler J, et al. Randomized, double-blind, reference-controlled, phase 2a study evaluating the immunogenicity and safety of OVX836, A nucleoprotein-based influenza vaccine. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:852904. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.852904

16. Leroux-Roels I, Willems P, Waerlop G, Janssens Y, Tourneur J, De Boever F, et al. Immunogenicity, safety, and preliminary efficacy evaluation of OVX836, a nucleoprotein-based universal influenza A vaccine candidate: a randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled, phase 2a trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2023) 23:1360–9. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00351-1

17. Zhao X, Zhang Y, Trejo-Cerro O, Kaplan E, Li Z, Albertsboer F, et al. A safe and potentiated multi-type HPV L2-E7 nanoparticle vaccine with combined prophylactic and therapeutic activity. *NPJ Vaccines*. (2024) 9:119. doi: 10.1038/s41541-024-00914-z

18. Primard C, Monchâtre-Leroy E, Del Campo J, Valsesia S, Nikly E, Chevandier M, et al. OVX033, a nucleocapsid-based vaccine candidate, provides broad-spectrum protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants in a hamster challenge model. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1188605. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1188605

19. Lin KY, Guarnieri FG, Staveley-O'Carroll KF, Levitsky HI, August JT, Pardoll DM, et al. Treatment of established tumors with a novel vaccine that enhances major histocompatibility class II presentation of tumor antigen. *Cancer Res.* (1996) 56:21–6.

20. Boucher E, Plazy C, Richard ML, Suau A, Mangin I, Cornet M, et al. Inulin prebiotic reinforces host cancer immunosurveillance via $\gamma\delta$ T cell activation. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1104224. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1104224

21. Feltkamp MC, Smits HL, Vierboom MP, Minnaar RP, de Jongh BM, Drijfhout JW, et al. Vaccination with cytotoxic T lymphocyte epitope-containing peptide protects against a tumor induced by human papillomavirus type 16-transformed cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (1993) 23:2242–9. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830230929

22. Marur S, D'Souza G, Westra WH, Forastiere AA. HPV-associated head and neck cancer: a virus-related cancer epidemic. *Lancet Oncol.* (2010) 11:781–9. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70017-6

23. Lipford GB, Hoffman M, Wagner H, Heeg K. Primary *in vivo* responses to ovalbumin. Probing the predictive value of the Kb binding motif. *J Immunol.* (1993) 150:1212–22. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.150.4.1212

24. McFarland BJ, Sant AJ, Lybrand TP, Beeson C. Ovalbumin(323-339) peptide binds to the major histocompatibility complex class II I-A(d) protein using two functionally distinct registers. *Biochemistry*. (1999) 38:16663–70. doi: 10.1021/bi9913931

25. Billeskov R, Beikzadeh B, Berzofsky JA. The effect of antigen dose on T celltargeting vaccine outcome. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2019) 15:407–11. doi: 10.1080/ 21645515.2018.1527496