Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mohammad Hossein Karimi, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Iran

REVIEWED BY Cong-Yi Wang, Tongji Medical College, China Michael Adu Gyamfi, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Qifa Ye 💌 yqf_china@163.com Zibiao Zhong 💌 hizzb@whu.edu.cn

[†]These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 31 October 2024 ACCEPTED 10 February 2025 PUBLISHED 28 February 2025

CITATION

Leung J, Qu L, Ye Q and Zhong Z (2025) The immune duality of osteopontin and its therapeutic implications for kidney transplantation. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1520777. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1520777

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Leung, Qu, Ye and Zhong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The immune duality of osteopontin and its therapeutic implications for kidney transplantation

Junto Leung^{1†}, Lei Qu^{1†}, Qifa Ye^{1,2*} and Zibiao Zhong^{1*}

¹Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Institute of Hepatobiliary Diseases of Wuhan University, Transplant Center of Wuhan University, National Quality Control Center for Donated Organ Procurement, Hubei Key Laboratory of Medical Technology on Transplantation, Hubei Provincial Clinical Research Center for Natural Polymer Biological Liver, Wuhan, Hubei, China, ²The 3rd Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, NHC Key Laboratory of Translational Research on Transplantation Medicine, Changsha, China

Osteopontin (OPN) is a multifunctional glycoprotein with various structural domains that enable it to perform diverse functions in both physiological and pathological states. This review comprehensively examines OPN from multiple perspectives, including its protein structure, interactions with receptors, interactions with immune cells, and roles in kidney diseases and transplantation. This review explores the immunological duality of OPN and its significance and value as a biomarker and therapeutic target in kidney transplantation. In cancer, OPN typically promotes tumor evasion by suppressing the immune system. Conversely, in immune-related kidney diseases, particularly kidney transplantation, OPN activates the immune system by enhancing the migration and activation of immune cells, thereby exacerbating kidney damage. This immunological duality may stem from different OPN splice variants and the exposure, after cleavage, of different structural domains, which play distinct biological roles in cellular interactions. Additionally, OPN has a significant biological impact posttransplantation and on chronic kidney disease and, highlighting its importance as a biomarker and potential therapeutic target. Future research should further explore the specific mechanisms of OPN in kidney transplantation to improve treatment strategies and enhance patient quality of life.

osteopontin, immunology, kidney transplantation, SPP1, biomarker

KEYWORDS

Highlights

- OPN exhibits immune duality, contributing to the development of an immunosuppressive microenvironment in tumors and, conversely, promoting tissue inflammatory responses in immune-related injuries.
- The immune duality of OPN may be attributed to its splice variants, with OPN-4/5 showing significant structural differences from OPNa/b/c, and thrombin cleavage further accentuates these disparities.
- Visualization analysis revealed that the RGD domain of CL-OPNa/b/c can bind tightly to integrins, whereas that of FL-OPNa/b/c cannot.
- OPN mediates immunocyte activities and polarization that facilitate acute and chronic rejection responses, as well as long-term fibrosis
- OPN has the potential to serve as a noninvasive biomarker for kidney transplant rejection, as it can be released into the urine through exosome-mediated mechanisms.

1 Introduction

Kidney transplantation is the most effective treatment for endstage renal disease and significantly improves the quality of life and survival rate of patients (1). Despite continuous advancements in immunosuppressive agents and immunoinduction therapies, the incidence of both acute and chronic rejection remains high posttransplantation, with chronic rejection being the primary cause of graft failure (2–4). Several factors contribute to the occurrence and refractoriness of rejection, including ischemia –reperfusion injury (IRI), human leukocyte antigen (HLA) mismatches, and chronic activation of adaptive immune responses, all of which ultimately lead to graft dysfunction (5–7). Therefore, treating or preventing rejection remains one of the major challenges in the field of transplantation.

To treat rejection following kidney transplantation, a substantial amount of basic and clinical research has been conducted in the medical field. Increasingly, research has focused on proteins with immunoregulatory functions to address rejection (8–10). Osteopontin (OPN) has garnered increasing attention due to its diverse physiological functions in various tissues. Recent studies have indicated that OPN may serve as a marker for rejection after kidney transplantation and could be a therapeutic target for treating posttransplant rejection (11–13).

OPN is a member of the small integrin-binding ligand N-linked glycoprotein (SIBLING) family (14–17). Traditionally, the physiological function of OPN is to promote bone development during skeletal bone formation. However, it can also act as a chemokine, mediating the chemotaxis and activation of immune cells, thereby participating in immune regulatory responses (18). The role of OPN in the immune system exhibits significant duality: it can contribute to the formation an immunosuppressive microenvironment in the context of tumors while also exerting proinflammatory effects in other disease contexts (19–21).

The immunological duality of OPN complicates its specific mechanisms of action under different physiological and pathological conditions. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the physiological and pathological functions of OPN is crucial for the prevention and treatment of acute and chronic rejection following kidney transplantation. This paper reviews the structural characteristics and physiological functions of OPN, as well as the specific role of OPN in kidney transplantation. Additionally, we explore the role of OPN in the immune system, the mechanisms of action of OPN in posttransplant rejection, and the clinical significance of OPN.

2 Physiological basis of OPN

2.1 Gene mapping and subtypes of OPN

OPN, a multifunctional glycoprotein encoded by the secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) gene, is a member of the SIBLING family (14–17, 22). OPN can be synthesized by cells from various systems, including the urinary, immune, and skeletal systems. These cells include osteoclasts, osteoblasts, epithelial cells, endothelial cells, renal tubular epithelial cells, and various immune cells, such as T cells, NK cells, macrophages, and Kupffer cells (20, 23–26). Due to its diverse physiological functions in the immune system, such as the early activation of T lymphocytes, OPN was initially referred to as early T-lymphocyte activation 1 (ETA1) (16, 17).

Understanding the genetic background of OPN further elucidates its multifunctional roles. In 1989, M.C. Kiefer and colleagues identified the DNA sequence of human OPN, which includes a 67-base 5' untranslated region, a 415-base 3' untranslated region, and a 942-base coding region encoding 314 amino acids (27). Although the untranslated regions do not encode proteins, they play a crucial role in regulating gene expression. In 1990, M.F. Young and colleagues used Northern blot analysis to locate the OPN gene on the q22 region of human chromosome 4, and Southern blot analysis confirmed that this gene is a single-copy gene with a length ranging between 5.4 and 8.2 kb (28, 29). The determination of DNA localization provided important genetic background information for studying the function and regulatory mechanisms of OPN. In 1992, K. Kohri and colleagues identified seven exon fragments of the human OPN gene (30). According to databases such as NCBI and ENSEMBL, there is an additional DNA sequence within the intron fragments of OPN that can potentially be translated as an extra exon, although related research is limited.

In addition to the known exons, the regulation of the OPN gene involves various mechanisms, including regulatory elements in the promoter region. These elements respond to a variety of intracellular signals, thereby regulating the expression of OPN (31–33). The promoter region contains multiple transcription factor-binding sites that can be activated by various cellular signals, thus modulating the transcriptional activity of the OPN gene (31, 34, 35).

The complex regulatory network of the OPN gene ensures precise expression regulation across different cell types and physiological conditions. For example, the differential expression patterns of OPN in osteocytes, immune cells, and certain tumor cells indicate its complex role in maintaining bone health, regulating immune responses, and participating in tumor development (15, 31, 36).

There are three common subtypes of the OPN protein: OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc (27). Among these subtypes, OPNa is the most prevalent; although it is not the longest OPN transcript sequence, it can be translated into the longest OPN amino acid sequence (27, 37, 38).

Research on OPNb and OPNc is less extensive than that on OPNa. OPNb lacks exons 4 and 6 and can be translated into a protein consisting of 300 amino acids, including a signal peptide and a mature peptide segment. In contrast, OPNc lacks exon 4 and exon 5 and can be translated into a protein with 287 amino acids (39, 40). These splice variants may be expressed in specific cellular or pathological states. Compared to OPNa, OPNb and OPNc may have different roles in cell signaling and intercellular communication, thereby exhibiting distinct functions in specific disease contexts (39, 41). For example, OPNc, in contrast to OPNa and OPNb, is more effective at promoting macrophage migration (42).

In addition to these three common subtypes, there are two less studied subtypes of OPN: OPN-4 and OPN-5 (43, 44). OPN-4 lacks exons 4, 5, and 6 and can be translated into a protein consisting of 273 amino acids, including a signal peptide and a mature peptide segment. According to records in the NCBI database, OPN-5 mRNA is the longest splice variant of OPN, with 1750 base pairs. In addition to the seven common exon sequences, it includes an additional exon of 189 base pairs. However, the CDS of OPN-5 contains only 672 base pairs, translating into the shortest protein subtype of OPN, with only 224 amino acids.

Although current knowledge of OPN-4 and OPN-5 splice variants is relatively limited, lacking both depth and breadth of research, it can be inferred from the extensive biological activities exhibited by other OPN family members that OPN-4 and OPN-5 may also be involved in regulating biological processes such as cell adhesion, migration, immune response, and inflammation (44). Considering the high specificity and dynamic nature of OPN family member expression in specific cellular environments and pathological conditions, OPN-4 and OPN-5 may also be expressed only in certain cells or diseases, thereby affecting disease progression or immune cell infiltration (43, 45) For instance, Martins et al. (43) analyzed respiratory secretions from patients with severe influenza infection and found that the expression level of OPN-4 was significantly greater than that of other OPN splice variants. Unfortunately, research on the specific roles and expression patterns of OPN-4 and OPN-5 is limited.

To investigate the relationship between transcription and translation among OPN subtypes, for this review, we downloaded the full-length OPN sequence and the transcript sequences of OPNa-c, OPN-4, and OPN-5 from the NCBI database. Using a custom-written Python script and the Biopython library, the sequence files were read and analyzed. The DNA sequences of the transcripts were aligned back to the original sequence to identify the position and length of each exon in the full-length OPN DNA sequence, as well as the specific locations of their CDS, signal peptide, and mature peptide (Figure 1) (46, 47). Additionally, in these studies, the three key domains of the OPN protein—the RGD

domain, SVVYGLR domain, and thrombin cleavage site—were aligned and marked on the seventh exon of the OPN DNA sequence.

The various transcripts of OPN form the basis for the diverse biological functions of OPN proteins. These proteins provide flexibility and adaptability for OPN to regulate cellular behaviors and participate in complex pathological processes, allowing cells to precisely adjust OPN expression and function under different environmental conditions. Different OPN transcripts may perform distinct physiological functions. For example, the OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc subtypes are abnormally expressed in malignant tumors such as ovarian cancer, prostate cancer, breast cancer, and thyroid cancer (38, 40, 48, 49). Additionally, under certain inflammatory or stress conditions, specific splice variants may be preferentially expressed to adapt to environmental changes and exert particular biological effects (48, 50, 51). Although many studies have highlighted the functional differences among OPN transcripts, most current research on OPN has not delved deeply into the specific subtypes of OPN. Therefore, the precise expression and functional differences among OPN subtypes remain unclear.

2.2 Structure and domains of the OPN protein

The OPN protein is a phosphoglycoprotein with a molecular weight ranging from 44 kDa to 75 kDa (52, 53). In 1989, CW Prince predicted the secondary structure of the OPN protein through computational analysis, suggesting that it comprises eight α -helices and two β -sheets (54). The common form of OPN is monomeric, allowing it to freely circulate in the body, acting as a cytokine or binding to the extracellular matrix. However, under certain conditions, particularly pathological states, OPNa and OPNb can be cross-linked by transglutaminase to form multimers. This multimeric form may play a role in tissue repair, inflammatory responses, and tumor progression (55–57). Due to the absence of the necessary protein segment, OPNc cannot form polymeric complexes.

The main domains of the OPN protein include the RGD sequence, the SVVYGLR sequence, the thrombin cleavage site, and the calcium- and heparin-binding domains (12, 47, 52) Among these domains, the RGD sequence is one of the most important domains of the OPN protein. Arginine (R), glycine (G), and aspartic acid (D) are crucial sites for integrin binding (58). The RGD sequence plays a very important role in immunology, serving as a recognition or anchoring site for various cells. Under the action of cell membrane receptors, the RGD sequence links the extracellular matrix to the cytoskeleton, providing signals for cell anchoring, migration, or differentiation (59, 60).

The RGD domain can also interact with various integrins on the cell surface, such as those in the $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, and $\alpha 9$ integrin families. These interactions can activate downstream signaling pathways, thereby playing a critical role in various biological processes, including cell adhesion, migration, invasion, and signal transduction (61, 62). An *in vitro* study revealed that



macrophage-produced MMP3 can cleave the OPN protein, exposing the RGD domain, which induces lung fibroblast activation and promotes the progression of pulmonary fibrosis (63). Dai et al. (64) constructed an RGD sequence-containing nanogel in vitro and used it to treat osteoarthritis (OA) in mice. The results showed that the RGD nanogel could alleviate the progression of OA by blocking the binding of OPN to integrin β3 (64). Davaanyam et al. (65) constructed peptides containing partial sequences of the OPN protein in vitro and found that, compared to other peptides, the OPN peptide containing the RGD sequence most effectively regulated the immune function of mice and promoted macrophage polarization to the M2 phenotype. They also mutated the RGD sequence in this peptide, replacing RGD with RAA or RAD, and found that the RGD sequence plays a very important role in this peptide. In another study related to nerves and integrins, an in vitro constructed peptide containing the RGD sequence was used in mice and found to exert physiological effects equivalent to those of the OPN protein (66). Therefore, the RGD sequence is likely a key domain for the interaction between OPN and integrin molecules.

However, the RGD domain is usually embedded in the central part of the OPN protein. Therefore, the OPN protein needs to be

cleaved by thrombin to expose the RGD domain and exert its physiological function of binding integrins (67). The thrombin cleavage site of the OPN protein is located between the R residue at the end of the RGDSVVYGLR sequence and the subsequent S residue (47). Peraramell et al. (67) used gene editing technology to knock in 153A into the mouse genome, constructing a gene-edited mouse resistant to thrombin cleavage. They found that these geneedited mice exhibited characteristics similar to those of OPN knockout mice (67). This indicates that thrombin cleavage is an important step for the activation and function of OPN.

Thrombin cleavage not only exposes the RGD domain but also results in the formation of another important domain: SVVYGLR (47, 55, 68–70). The SVVYGLR domain consists of seven amino acids and primarily functions by binding to integrins $\alpha 4\beta 1$, $\alpha 4\beta 7$, and $\alpha 9\beta 1$, thereby participating in various cellular behaviors, such as adhesion, migration, and invasion (71–73). The SVVYGLR domain is associated with several diseases, including skeletal diseases, inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, and cancer. Yamaguchi et al. (74) suggested that when the RGD sequence of the OPN protein is mutated, the SVVYGLR domain, which is exposed after thrombin cleavage, can substitute for the RGD domain in performing the physiological functions of a chemotactic factor. Additionally, SVVYGLR promotes angiogenesis and fibroblast differentiation (68). These physiological functions require thrombin cleavage.

Uchinaka et al. (70) used thrombin-cleaved OPN N-terminal fragments, C-terminal fragments, and N-terminal fragments lacking the SVVYGLR sequence in an *in vitro* study. They found that the OPN N-terminal fragment could significantly increase Smad signaling pathway activity and promote fibroblast migration (70). In contrast, the N-terminal fragment lacking the SVVYGLR sequence and the OPN C-terminal fragment did not have this function. In subsequent research, Ayako et al. (75) further demonstrated that the SVVYGLR sequence is a crucial domain for the functionality of the OPN N-terminal fragment.

In addition to these three most important domains, the OPN protein also contains several other protein domains, such as calcium-binding sites and heparin-binding sites, through which it exerts various physiological functions by binding to different molecules (76). For instance, when heparin binds to OPN, it can promote the unfolding of core elements in the OPN protein, which is also a crucial mechanism for the interaction between OPN and CD44 molecules (71, 77). *In vitro* studies have shown that heparin can increase the activity of the OPN protein (78). However, *in vivo*, this might also be related to the anticoagulant function of heparin (79). The calcium-binding site is an important site for OPN in regulating mineral deposition and bone remodeling, enabling OPN to play a bridging and regulatory role in bone tissue (80).

2.3 The main activation form of OPN: cleaved

OPN is a complex multifunctional protein that plays important roles in many key cellular processes, especially in various pathological conditions, such as inflammation, fibrosis, and tumors. The OPN protein can be cleaved by various enzymes, including thrombin and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which produce different OPN fragments under the action of different enzymes. Each fragment may have unique biological activities and functions (63, 65, 71, 81). Full-length OPN is an uncut form that contains all its bioactive domains. Through interactions with integrins on the cell surface, it participates in cell adhesion, migration, and signal transduction. However, the two important domains of OPN are located in the central region of the protein. Compared to those of full-length OPN, cleaved OPN fragments, especially the OPN N-terminal fragment, exhibit more potent physiological functions (82, 83).

These cleaved fragments possess unique molecular structures and play crucial roles in the formation of the extracellular matrix, interactions between cells and the extracellular matrix, and cell signal transduction (47). In-depth research on the different cleavage fragments of OPN has improved our understanding of the multifunctionality and complexity of OPN in biological processes. The main cleavage sites of OPN are near the SVVYGLR fragment, with the thrombin cleavage site situated between the R residue at the end of the SVVYGLR fragment and the subsequent S residue and the MMP cleavage site located between the G residue and the L residue (47, 84, 85). The R residue at the end of the SVVYGLR domain is a key site for integrin α 9 recognition of OPN. Therefore, when the OPN protein is cleaved by MMPs, it often cannot activate integrin α 9 (85).

The various cleaved forms of OPN and its postcleavage fragments may be one of the reasons that OPN plays different roles in different disease contexts. Thrombin cleavage can activate the biological functions of OPN, with the resulting smaller fragments exhibiting different affinities for integrins, playing critical regulatory roles, particularly in inflammation, cell migration, and the tumor microenvironment (70). For example, in the tumor environment, the OPN N-terminal fragment produced by thrombin cleavage can inhibit the host local tumor immune response, thereby promoting tumor progression (67, 86). However, in the context of inflammation, the thrombin-cleaved OPN Nterminal fragment can induce dendritic cell (DC) adhesion (47). In cases of acute infection, the thrombin-cleaved OPN N-terminal fragment also upregulates proinflammatory cytokine expression and promotes the immune response (87). A clinical study on hypertension revealed that the OPN N-terminal fragment is associated with carotid atherosclerotic inflammation but is not related to the full-length OPN or the OPN C-terminal fragment (88). In vitro studies have shown that both full-length OPN and the OPN N-terminal fragment can induce NF-κB expression in macrophages and promote inflammation, whereas the OPN Cterminal fragment does not have this effect (89).

In addition to thrombin, the MMP family can cleave OPN (63). MMPs are a class of enzymes capable of degrading extracellular matrix proteins and can cleave OPN at different sites, producing various biologically active fragments. MMP-3 and MMP-7 have been shown to cleave OPN, generating multiple bioactive fragments (82, 90). Due to the different cleavage methods and sites of thrombin and MMPs, the exposed OPN amino acid residues differ, thereby allowing for different physiological functions (85).

The MMP cleavage site is within the SVVYGLR sequence, resulting in a cleaved N-terminal fragment lacking the L/R residues, which almost completely eliminates the function of the SVVYGLR domain in the OPN N-terminal fragment but retains the function of the RGD domain. This is the main reason why the N-terminal fragment of OPN cleaved by MMPs can continue to interact with integrins $\alpha 5\beta 3$ and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ (85). This finding also explains why the cleavage of the N-terminal fragment of OPN by MMPs can induce the activation of lung fibroblasts (63). In addition to MMPs, plasmin and cathepsin D can cleave OPN, increasing the accessibility of its key domains, enhancing receptor binding efficiency, and potentially conferring additional biological functions to OPN (82).

To gain a deeper understanding of the physiological functions of cleaved OPN, for this review, we used Biopython, SWISS-MODEL, and AlphaFold to predict the protein structures of the mature peptide segments of different OPN transcripts, the Nterminal fragments cleaved by thrombin, integrin $\alpha 4$, and integrin $\alpha 9$, as shown in Figure 1 (46, 91, 92). Subsequently, GRAMM docking was used to analyze protein interactions between different OPN variants and integrins (93). Additionally, Chimera was used to visualize the results of the protein interaction analyses (Figure 2) (94). Visualization revealed that OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc have very similar protein structures, particularly the RGDSVVYGLR sequence. However, the protein structures of OPN4 and OPN5 show significant differences, especially in the N-terminal fragments cleaved by thrombin. These structural differences may be one of the reasons for the different physiological functions of different OPN subtypes.

Further visualization of the protein interaction analysis results showed that, compared to full-length OPN, thrombin-cleaved OPN exhibits close binding of its RGD and SVVYGLR domains with integrin α 4 and integrin α 9. This further confirmed that the RGD and SVVYGLR domains are critical for the physiological functions of OPN. However, for the OPN-5 subtype, whether in its full-length or cleaved form, its RGD sequence cannot bind to integrins. Subsequently, PDBe was used to further analyze the protein interaction results (Table 1) (95). The results showed that the binding capacity of OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc to integrin molecules generally increased after thrombin cleavage, whereas OPN-4 and OPN-5 did not exhibit this phenomenon. This indicates that compared to those of full-length OPN, the



FIGURE 2

Visualization of OPN protein isoforms and interactions with integrins. Figure 2 shows examples of OPN protein isoforms binding to two types of integrins. Visual analysis revealed that OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc exhibit similar protein structures, whereas OPN-4 and OPN-5 possess unique protein configurations. The distinctions among the isoforms become more pronounced upon thrombin cleavage. Following thrombin cleavage, the RGD domains of OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc can bind more tightly to integrins, whereas OPN4 and OPN5 demonstrate inadequate binding affinity to integrins, regardless of whether they have undergone thrombin cleavage. (A) The structures of the full-length OPN-a, OPN-b and OPN-c isoforms. OPN-a is displayed in blue, OPN-b is displayed in pink, and OPN-c is displayed in gray. (B) The structure of the full-length OPN-4 isoform. (C) The structure of the full-length OPN-5 isoform. (D) The structures of the thrombin-cleaved OPN-6 isoforms. OPN-a is displayed in gray. (E) The structure of the thrombin-cleaved OPN-6 isoform. (G) The structures of the FL-OPN-a isoform and integrin- α 9. (I) The binding mode of the FL-OPN-b isoform and integrin- α 4. (J) The binding mode of the FL-OPN-b isoform and integrin- α 4. (J) The binding mode of the CL-OPN-a isoform and integrin- α 4. FL-OPN = full-length OPN; CL-OPN = cleaved OPN.

Integrin	OPN-a	OPN-b	OPN-c	OPN-4	OPN-5		
∆G (kcal/mol)							
α4							
FL-OPN	-11.5	-9.3	-1.5	-9.4	-18.6		
CL-OPN	-20.7	-26.9	-18.4	-11.7	-7		
α9							
FL-OPN	-23.7	-1.8	-1.2	-1.8	-8.5		
CL-OPN	-18.0	-20.9	-11.6	-5.2	-5.5		
Interface area (A ²)							
α4							
FL-OPN	3413.2	2701.6	3087.3	2541.8	2696.0		
CL-OPN	2795.2	3360.9	3019.1	2215.3	2319.1		
α9							
FL-OPN	2527.9	2124.8	2196.5	2384.1	2300.0		
CL-OPN	2996.4	3182.2	1912.5	2072.2	2241.1		

TABLE 1 Interactions between OPN isoforms and integrins (α 4 and α 9): binding energies (Δ G) and interface areas.

 Δ G, binding energy; CL-OPN, thrombin-cleaved OPN; FL-OPN, full-length OPN.

This table presents the binding energies and interface areas for five OPN isoforms, detailing interactions with integrins both in their full-length form and after thrombin cleavage. A smaller binding energy indicates tighter or more facile bond formation between the OPN isoforms and the integrins.

thrombin-cleaved forms of OPNa, OPNb, and OPNc are more likely to interact with integrins. Additionally, these findings suggest that the physiological functions of OPN-4 and OPN-5 may differ from those of the three classical OPN subtypes.

These molecular mechanisms help to better understand the roles of OPN in physiological and pathological processes, providing a foundation for developing therapeutic strategies. These studies not only reveal the multifunctionality of OPN but also offer new perspectives and possibilities for developing treatments for related diseases.

2.4 OPN receptors

OPN receptors include various cell surface adhesion molecules and integrins, among which integrins have multiple subtypes, such as $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, and $\alpha 9$ integrins, all of which are target receptors for OPN. Integrins are widely expressed in various tissues and cells, including endothelial cells, macrophages, and certain tumor cells, such as melanoma and breast cancer cells. They are primarily responsible for interactions between cells and the extracellular matrix (96, 97). By binding to different integrins, OPN can exert multiple physiological functions, including (a) promoting the invasiveness of tumor cells and supporting tumor growth and metastasis; (b) facilitating fibroblast migration and the progression of tissue fibrosis; (c) enhancing immune cell infiltration and regulating the survival, proliferation, migration, and differentiation of immune cells; and (d) participating in tissue repair and inflammatory responses (98-102). These interactions and signaling networks highlight the crucial role of OPN in various biological and pathological contexts, making it an important target for the treatment of diseases related to abnormal cell migration and proliferation.

In the field of immunology, Simoes et al. (98) discovered that the SLAYGLR domain of murine OPN (corresponding to the SVVYGLR domain in human OPN) can bind to dendritic cells (DCs) via the $\alpha 4\beta 1$ integrin, inducing DCs to produce interferon- β (IFN-β) through the PI3K/mTOR/IRF3 signaling pathway. In terms of angiogenesis, Osuka et al. (100) reported that the OPN Nterminal fragment forms part of the extracellular matrix and can promote the formation of new blood vessels by endothelial cells through the α 9 integrin. In the context of tumors, OPN can activate the integrin α 5 pathway, stimulating the FAK/AKT and ERK signaling pathways in tumor cells and thereby promoting the proliferation and drug resistance of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells (102). The specific integrin receptor to which OPN binds is related to the cleavage method and domains of OPN; the RGD sequence can bind to a5 integrins, while the SVVYGLR sequence can bind to $\alpha 4$ and $\alpha 9$ integrins (85).

In addition to integrins, CD44 is also an important receptor for OPN, and OPN competes with α 9 integrins for binding to CD44 (31, 71). CD44 is a glycoprotein expressed on various cell surfaces, mainly on macrophages, T lymphocytes, and B lymphocytes, and is closely related to cell adhesion, migration, and signal transduction processes (18, 103, 104). The binding of OPN to CD44 can significantly upregulate the expression of intracellular p-CaMKII, p-RyR, and p-PLN (105); it also promotes the migration and activation of immune cells such as T cells and macrophages, thereby enhancing the inflammatory response (31, 106). This process is crucial for the rapid response and effective defense mechanisms of the immune system. CD44 has multiple isoforms, and its ability to bind to OPN varies, with the V9-V10 isoform

having a relatively stronger binding capacity to OPN (107). The absence of CD44v7 in macrophages can reduce OPN-mediated colonic inflammation (108). In summary, OPN regulates the migration and adhesion of various cell types through the CD44 receptor, which is particularly important in tumor progression and metastasis, tissue repair, the inflammatory response, and fibrosis.

3 Interaction between OPN and immune cells

3.1 Interaction between OPN and T/ B lymphocytes

T lymphocytes play a crucial role in tumors, infections, and organ transplant rejection through various mechanisms, such as differentiation, direct cytotoxicity, and immune regulation (109). In recent years, an increasing number of studies have revealed the complex and important relationships and mechanisms between OPN and T lymphocytes. However, these studies also highlight the significant duality of OPN in regulating immune functions. For example, OPN can induce an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in the context of tumors, while it can induce an inflammatory and fibrotic microenvironment in the context of inflammation. This may be related to the different subtypes or cleavage methods of OPN that can activate different receptors on the surface of T lymphocytes, or it may be related to the localization of the functional activity of OPN (85, 110, 111). For instance, a study by Masashi et al. (110) revealed that intracellular OPN can reduce the number of myeloid cells, whereas extracellular secreted OPN can increase the number of lymphocytes.

OPN can primarily mediate T cell activation, differentiation, and downstream signaling pathway expression through integrins and CD44 receptors on the surface of T cells. By regulating T cell function and the local immune microenvironment, OPN influences disease progression and the course of the disease (31, 112). In certain types of diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, local tissues can produce large amounts of OPN to inhibit T cell activity, reducing the production of cytokines such as IL-2, TNF, and IFN γ by T cells and thereby creating an immunosuppressive microenvironment (113). Similarly, in some tumors, tumor cells with high OPN expression can inhibit T cell function through CD44. Treatment with anti-OPN or anti-CD44 antibodies can reverse the inhibition of T cell activity by tumor cells, thus inhibiting tumor growth (114). Therefore, OPN may function as an immune checkpoint in the immune system, regulating T cell activation (115).

OPN not only affects the overall activity of T lymphocytes but can also specifically regulate different T cell subpopulations. For example, regulatory T cells (Tregs) can be regulated by OPN through the CD44 signaling axis, affecting the number of Tregs (116). Changes in the CD44-OPN signaling axis may be one of the reasons for the changes in T lymphocyte subpopulations (116, 117). Zhao et al. (118) reported that treatment with OPN *in vitro* can significantly inhibit Treg differentiation and promote Th1 cell differentiation, exacerbating autoimmune myasthenia gravis. However, OPN can also bidirectionally regulate the Th1/Th2 balance. A clinical study on rheumatoid arthritis (RA) revealed that active RA patients had elevated serum OPN levels and increased absolute Th2 cell counts, while the absolute Th1 and Treg cell counts decreased (119). In a study on allergic airway inflammation, OPN levels were also found to be positively correlated with Th2 cell levels (120). The activation of Th1 cells also depends on the function of DCs, and the adhesion of DCs is regulated by OPN (47, 121). In DCs, the absence of OPN leads to the downregulated expression of IL-12 and other costimulatory molecules (121). This may be one of the mechanisms by which OPN mediates T cell differentiation through DCs. In addition to regulating the differentiation of T lymphocyte subpopulations, OPN can also activate the CD44v7 receptor on T lymphocytes to inhibit T lymphocyte apoptosis. This might be another important mechanism by which OPN regulates the immune microenvironment through the CD44 molecule (108).

In addition to CD44, OPN can mediate proinflammatory or anti-inflammatory phenotypes of immune cells through other receptors on the surface of T cells. Wei et al. (122) conducted a receptor–ligand pairing analysis through a large-scale clinical single-cell transcriptomic analysis and found that the OPN-PTGER4 ligand–receptor pair is associated with CD8+ T lymphocyte dysfunction, immunosuppressive states, and late-stage tumor development. Prostaglandin E receptor 4 (PTGER4) is a receptor for prostaglandins and is widely expressed in T cells and macrophages (123–125). PTGER4 can downregulate the expression of the IL-2R γ c chain, inhibiting the response of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) to IL-2 in tumor tissues; this leads to a defect in the assembly of the IL-2R β -IL-2R γ c dimer on the cell membrane, thereby inhibiting the response of CD8+ T cells to IL-2 (126).

However, OPN does not inhibit T lymphocytes in all diseases. For example, in intestinal immune responses, the absence of OPN leads to a reduction in the number of CD4+ T lymphocytes and CD8+ T lymphocytes (127). This finding suggests that in the context of inflammation, OPN may promote the infiltration of T lymphocytes into tissues. In vitro experiments have also shown that supplementation with OPN can promote the survival of T lymphocyte subpopulations (127). In studies on Parkinson's disease, OPN was found to promote the migration of CD4+ T lymphocytes (128). In the development of metabolic-associated fatty liver disease, high expression of OPN also promotes T lymphocyte infiltration, while knocking out the OPN gene can inhibit the polarization of T lymphocytes to Th1 cells (129). In chronic tissue inflammation, an increase in OPN promotes T lymphocyte infiltration and enhances the expression of IL-17a and TNF- α by T lymphocytes (130). Shirakawa and Sano (131) suggested that in chronic inflammation caused by obesity, OPN secreted by T cells promotes a chronic inflammatory response. This may be because, in this inflammatory context, senescent T cells express large amounts of OPN, further promoting the inflammatory response (132). Immunization with OPN peptides or OPN monoclonal antibodies can inhibit this inflammatory response by blocking the interaction between T cells and cleaved OPN (133).

In organ transplantation, OPN can promote the activation, migration, and tissue infiltration of CD8+ T cells through synergistic action with the T cell receptor/CD3 signaling pathway (134). Conversely, a reduction in the number of CD8+ T lymphocytes can lead to the decreased expression of OPN in tissues (135). Studies on kidney transplantation have also shown that OPN can recruit and activate immune cells, particularly T cells and macrophages, through chemotactic effects, thereby exacerbating rejection or promoting immune infiltration (136– 138). These studies indicate that OPN can bidirectionally regulate the inhibition and activation of T lymphocytes in tumor diseases and other conditions. The specific reasons may be related to the cleavage of OPN, its subtypes, or the disease context, but relevant research is still lacking.

Studies on the relationship between OPN and B cells are relatively limited, but existing research suggests that the influence of OPN on B cells may be mediated through T cells. Research indicates that OPN can promote B cell proliferation and antibody production by enhancing T cell proliferation, interferon production, and CD40 ligand expression (139). OPN also plays a role in various B cell-mediated diseases, particularly in the immune response following infection; it regulates B cell activation and differentiation, affecting antibody production, suggesting that it may play a significant role in regulating B cell-mediated immune memory and protective immune responses. However, B cells can also produce OPN and participate in anti-malarial infection responses (140). In vitro experiments have shown that OPN can downregulate the expression of the costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 on the surface of B cells, and that B cells treated with OPN produce significantly less IL-6 (141). Intracellular OPN can act as a brake on the TLR9 signaling pathway, maintaining the normal physiological functions of B lymphocytes (142).

3.2 Interaction between OPN and macrophages

The regulation of macrophages by OPN usually leads to changes in the immune microenvironment (143, 144). In tumor diseases, OPN+ macrophages exhibit protumor characteristics, and their infiltration into tissues increases as the tumor progresses (145-147). However, the increased infiltration of OPN+ macrophages can inhibit T cell function, thereby promoting tumor cell progression and contributing to the development of fibrosis in the microenvironment (148, 149). This may be related to OPN+ macrophages promoting the differentiation of T cells into Tregs through CD44 (117). Therefore, some scholars believe that OPN+ macrophages have immunosuppressive properties (150). However, further analysis of OPN+ macrophages is needed. In further studies on OPN+ macrophages, Li et al. (146) reported that OPN+CD209macrophages can promote angiogenesis and that OPN+CD209+ macrophages exhibit phagocytic functions. This finding suggests that, in addition to OPN, other cytokines or surface receptors may play a role in tumor-associated macrophages.

Hong et al. (151) utilized single-cell sequencing technology and discovered that the number of OPN+ macrophages increases locally in tumors and is associated with poor prognosis in colon cancer patients. This may be because OPN+ macrophages highly express Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), which is an inducible gene that mediates macrophage activity and IFN response. Li et al. (152) suggested that the relationship between OPN+ macrophages and poor prognosis in tumor patients might also be mediated through the CD44 molecule, as the OPN-CD44 signaling axis significantly enhances cell communication between macrophages and epithelial cells (152). The disruption of OPN+ macrophages can improve the efficacy of tumor treatments (53, 153). Additionally, in tumors, OPN can exert antiapoptotic effects through the CD44 receptor (154).

OPN+ macrophages can also exhibit a profibrotic phenotype (155, 156). IL-6 and TGF- β increase the number of OPN+ macrophages and are also factors that induce the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment (114). This immunosuppressive microenvironment may be related to the local formation of fibrous structures by interleukins and TGF-B, which restricts the entry of T cells (129, 153). In addition to OPN produced by macrophages themselves, OPN produced by tumor endothelial cells locally promotes the conversion of macrophages to an immunosuppressive M2 phenotype (157). Interventions using drugs or genetic engineering can reverse macrophage-mediated immunosuppression and enhance T cell infiltration and activation (157). This may be related to the binding of tumor cell-expressed OPN to macrophage PTGER4, as OPN can mediate crosstalk between tumor cells and macrophages through PTGER4, leading to macrophage polarization to the M2 phenotype (124, 158). In some acute infectious diseases, OPN also exerts similar immunosuppressive effects on macrophages. Hansakon et al. (159) found in a mouse model of fungal lung infection that OPN can induce macrophage polarization to the M2 phenotype and that OPN knockout enhances the ability of mouse macrophages to clear fungi.

OPN+ macrophages also play a role in promoting tumor metastasis (160). The specific mechanism may be related to SLC2A1, which can inhibit T cell function by increasing the infiltration of OPN+ macrophages, thereby creating an immunosuppressive microenvironment in tumor tissue and promoting tumor immune evasion (161). A clinical study on skin tumors also revealed that local T cell exhaustion and OPN+ macrophage infiltration are characteristics of tumor recurrence (146).

These studies collectively indicate that in the tumor environment, OPN tends to mediate the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment, particularly involving OPN produced by tumor cells and macrophages with high OPN expression. However, this effect is not solely related to OPN; it may also involve the synergistic effect of CXCL9 and OPN (162). The mutually exclusive expression of CXCL9 and SPP1 in the TME not only determines TAM polarity but also shows strong correlations with immune cell characteristics, antitumor factors, and patient prognosis, significantly impacting outcomes. Therefore, it is generally believed that increased OPN expression in macrophages within tumor tissues indicates the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment, whereas reduced OPN expression in macrophages is a marker of a proinflammatory immune environment (163–166).

Studies suggesting that OPN+ macrophages have immunosuppressive functions are mostly tumor-related. However,

not all OPN+ macrophages mediate the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment. In many other diseases, OPN can also mediate macrophage polarization toward the proinflammatory M1 phenotype (167, 168). For example, in chronic cardiovascular diseases, circulating OPN or OPN+ macrophages can interact with CD44/integrin on adipose progenitor cells, promoting macrophage polarization to the M1 phenotype and stimulating the development of atherosclerosis (169-171). A meta-analysis of patients undergoing hemodialysis also revealed that serum OPN levels are positively correlated with cardiovascular event mortality (172); this may be related to the promotion of atherosclerosis by OPN, although the authors did not specify the exact causes of death in the included population. In the context of skin inflammation and osteoarthritis, both secreted OPN and intracellular OPN can promote the inflammatory phenotype of macrophages (168, 173).

In ischemia-related diseases, OPN+ macrophages upregulate the MAPK signaling pathway and shift to a proinflammatory phenotype (174). Unlike in tumor diseases, the proinflammatory phenotype of macrophages in ischemia-related diseases can exacerbate tissue damage by inducing inflammation (175). Zhang et al. (176) also reported that after IR injury, macrophage polarization to the M2 phenotype can regulate the immune microenvironment, restore IL-10 secretion, and alleviate tissue damage. In such ischemic injuries, OPN can also interact with ischemia-activated platelets to promote macrophage expression of various cytokines (177). OPN can also induce monocyte expression of MCP-1, mediating the migration and infiltration of inflammatory cells (178). These findings indicate that in diseases unrelated to tumors, OPN or OPN+ macrophages usually polarize toward the proinflammatory M1 phenotype, exacerbating tissue damage by promoting immune responses.

However, some studies have shown that M2 macrophages can also play a role in ischemia-related diseases. Shirakawa et al. (179) demonstrated, using luciferase reporter gene transgenic mice, that after myocardial infarction, M2 macrophage infiltration increases at the infarction site and that almost all the OPN in the tissue is produced by M2 macrophages. These M2 cells can promote myocardial fibrosis and clear apoptotic cells to facilitate tissue repair. In NASH, OPN secreted by hepatocytes can mediate a macrophage-mediated inflammatory response through paracrine signaling, accelerating the progression of NASH (180).

OPN+ macrophages can play different roles in various diseases, and secreted OPN can mediate macrophage polarization to either M1 or M2, thereby promoting or inhibiting the immune microenvironment. Most studies suggest that OPN+ macrophages polarize toward the M2 phenotype in tumor tissues, creating an immunosuppressive microenvironment that promotes tumor progression and escape. However, in other diseases, OPN+ macrophages polarize toward the M1 phenotype, inducing immune infiltration and promoting immune damage. The specific underlying mechanisms are not yet well understood. To better illustrate the interactions between OPN and immune cells, we used the FigDraw platform to create a mechanism diagram (Figure 3) depicting the various regulatory mechanisms of OPN on immune cells in different disease contexts. This illustration helps to better understand the dual role of OPN in immunology.

4 OPN and kidney diseases

4.1 OPN and kidney injuries

The relationship between the kidneys and the immune system is close, with immune dysfunction being an initial factor in many kidney diseases, such as IgA nephropathy and lupus nephritis. Even diabetic nephropathy ultimately leads to renal fibrosis due to immune infiltration. Therefore, understanding the functions and roles of OPN and immune cells in kidney diseases is crucial for understanding the mechanisms of OPN and immune cells after kidney transplantation. For this review, we used the search string "((kidney[Title/Abstract]) OR (renal[Title/Abstract])) AND ((SPP1 [Title/Abstract]) OR (Osteopontin[Title/Abstract]))" to retrieve studies on OPN and human kidney diseases from the PubMed database published between January 1, 2010, and June 1, 2024. From the retrieved articles, 30 studies involving human samples were selected based on their abstracts (Figure 4). These studies were classified into categories: 13 studies on kidney tumors, 8 studies related to immune kidney diseases, and 9 studies on other kidney diseases. The key content from these studies was extracted to further explore the role of OPN in kidney diseases (Table 2).

These clinical studies revealed that OPN generally promotes tumor progression or metastasis in kidney tumors. Specifically, OPN can enhance Treg infiltration and M2 macrophage polarization, significantly promoting an immunosuppressive microenvironment (181-184). However, in immune-related and other kidney diseases, OPN can exacerbate disease progression by activating and sustaining inflammatory responses (185-188). This immunological duality may be related to various factors. For example, Silver and Popovics (26) suggested that in prostate tumors, OPN expressed by prostate tumor cells is the main reason for promoting tumor progression and invasion and that in benign prostate diseases, OPN secreted by macrophages is the main reason for promoting inflammation and fibrosis. However, these clinical studies did not provide detailed distinctions regarding the source, subtype, or cleavage forms of OPN, which remains an important issue for further research.

In the kidneys, tubular epithelial cells themselves can produce OPN and participate in regulating kidney repair and regeneration processes (189). For example, in acute kidney injury (AKI), the expression of OPN is rapidly upregulated as a natural response to kidney damage (190, 191). This may be related to the regulation of OPN by ROS generated by AKI through the NF-KB/RUNX2 or other signaling pathways (192–194).

The progression of chronic kidney disease is also accompanied by mineral bone disease (195, 196). Mineral and bone metabolism disorder has not been completely resolved after kidney transplantation, and risk factors for cardiovascular events continue to exist (197). This may be related to the accumulation of local calcium phosphate salts in renal tissue caused by metabolic disorders



(198). This may also be related to metabolic disorders caused by taking immunosuppressants after kidney transplantation (199).

For patients with hepatorenal syndrome leading to AKI, a decrease in serum OPN levels after liver transplantation is positively correlated with kidney function recovery (200); this indicates that serum OPN levels can reflect the extent of kidney injury to some degree. A multitranscriptomic bioinformatics study suggested that OPN is a hub gene after kidney ischemia–reperfusion injury (IRI) (201); this may be related to OPN inhibiting the PI3K/AKT signaling pathway, promoting renal cell death, and exacerbating ischemia–reperfusion injury (202). However, this is not the primary function of OPN; more studies have focused on the role of OPN and immune cells in kidney diseases.

OPN can participate in acute tissue injury by promoting neutrophil chemotaxis (203). Cen et al. (204) reported that

treating AKI mice with anti-OPN antibodies not only reduced cell apoptosis and histopathological damage but also decreased NK cell and neutrophil infiltration and decreased IL-6/TNF- α expression. Similar findings were observed in studies on cardiac IRI, where silencing OPN alleviated myocardial I/R injury by inhibiting oxidative stress, myocardial fibrosis, inflammatory responses, and myocardial cell apoptosis (205). These studies indicate that OPN can promote AKI through multiple mechanisms. However, OPN can induce immune cell infiltration and tissue damage not only in AKI but also in chronic kidney disease (CKD), thereby promoting kidney damage.

CKD is typically characterized by proteinuria, loss of kidney function, renal fibrosis, and macrophage infiltration in the kidneys (206). The OPN protein, particularly the OPN-N-terminal protein, is significantly increased in damaged renal tubules and is secreted



into the urine via exosomal membranes (207, 208). Exosomeencapsulated OPN can be transferred from damaged renal tubular cells to interstitial fibroblasts through the CD44 receptor, playing a crucial role in renal fibrosis (208). Therefore, detecting OPN levels in urine may offer a noninvasive way to monitor CKD status. Al-Malki (209) reported that the urinary OPN content in DN patients was positively correlated with the presence of podocytes, confirming the feasibility of assessing kidney disease status by measuring urinary OPN levels. Steinbrenner et al. (210) also analyzed urine from CKD patients and reported that high levels of OPN in the urine were associated with worsening kidney function and poor prognosis. Consequently, Owens et al. (211) proposed a CKD progression prediction model based on creatinine, OPN, BUN, and other indicators and found that the model predicted CKD progression with an accuracy of 84.3%. These studies collectively confirm that urinary OPN levels can serve as an effective biomarker for CKD and indicate a correlation between OPN and kidney damage.

In addition, OPN can influence crystal formation in the kidneys through the RGD domain, leading to morphological damage to renal tubular cells. The use of OPN antibodies can reduce the early formation of kidney stones (58). Therefore, OPN is also considered to be significantly associated with urolithiasis (212, 213). This effect may also be achieved through OPN promoting the M1 phenotype of macrophages (214). A large clinical study revealed that the levels of full-length OPN in the urine of patients with urolithiasis were significantly greater than those in healthy controls (215).

The close relationship between the kidneys and the immune system plays a key role in the development of various kidney diseases. As a central molecule, OPN exhibits complex dual mechanisms in different kidney disease contexts. While OPN promotes tumor progression and the formation of an immunosuppressive microenvironment in renal tumors, it may exacerbate inflammatory responses and disease progression in immune-related and other kidney diseases. The source, subtype, cleavage forms, and functional differences of OPN under specific pathological conditions could be focal points for future research.

4.2 OPN and kidney transplantation

Kidney transplantation is the best treatment option for endstage renal disease. Despite the continuous development of antirejection drugs, the incidence of acute rejection remains high, and chronic rejection is a leading cause of graft failure (216, 217). Acute rejection is usually initiated by T cell infiltration and involves inflammation of the interstitium, renal tubules, and microarteries (218, 219). OPN may play a key role in acute rejection because the essence of rejection is a strong immune response (220–223). As a chemokine, OPN can recruit and activate these cells, promoting their infiltration and activation at the site of inflammation (136– 138). OPN expressed by tubular epithelial cells can mediate the infiltration of interstitial monocytes, thereby promoting acute kidney transplant rejection (224).

During acute rejection, the expression of CD44 and its ligand OPN in the transplanted kidney is upregulated, indicating increased immune cell infiltration (225). Typically, OPN expression is increased within one week after transplantation, and the use of dexamethasone can inhibit its activation, thereby improving the immune prognosis of the graft (226). When OPN activation is

TABLE 2 Clinical studies on OPN and kidney diseases (2010-2024).

Study identifier	Disease type	Promote or inhibit immune environment	Sampling	Source of OPN	Changes in immune cells	Role and mechanisms of OPN
Xu et al. (181)	Tumor	Inhibit	Tissue	Tumor tissue	Reduction in CD8+ T-lymphocytes/ increase in Tregs	OPN promotes tumor immune evasion by inhibiting CD8+ T lymphocyte infiltration and enhancing Tregs cell infiltration.
Zhang et al. (182)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Tissue	Tumor cells	-	OPN binds to integrin receptors on the surface of target cells in renal cell carcinoma, activating signaling pathways such as JAK/STAT, which in turn facilitates disease progression.
Chen et al. (185)	ORG	Promote	Tissue	Increased expression of OPN in T- lymphocytes and B-lymphocytes	Decreased number of T lymphocytes and B lymphocytes	Although lymphocyte infiltration is reduced, the increased expression of OPN in lymphocytes suggests that OPN may promote inflammatory responses and disease progression in ORG.
Ye et al. (186)	FSGS	Promote	Tissue/urine	TECs, podocytes, and macrophages	Increased macrophage infiltration and M2 polarization	OPN is positively correlated with the accumulation and M2 polarization of macrophages.
Steinbrenner et al. (210)	CKD	-	Urine	-	_	Higher OPN levels are associated with a higher risk for adverse outcomes.
Kim et al. (266)	AKI	-	Blood	-	-	-
Armstrong et al. (183)	Tumor	Promote	Blood	_	Enhancement of T- cell differentiation toward Th17 cells	OPN promotes the differentiation of T cells into Th17 cells and induces IL-17 production.
Xu et al. (232)	AR	Promote*	Blood	-	_	OPN promotes endothelial cell proliferation.
Owens et al. (211)	CKD	-	Urine	-	_	OPN can serve as a predictive marker for the prognosis of CKD.
Almaani et al. (267)	LN	Promote*	Tissue	Kidney tissue	-	-
Wang et al. (35)	Tumor	-	Tissue	Tumor tissue	_	OPN enhances the migration and invasion of tumor cells by upregulating NF-κB expression.
Kaleta et al. (187)	IgAN	Promote*	Urine	Kidney tissue*	-	Due to genetic polymorphisms of OPN, urinary excretion of the rs1126616 and rs9138 subtypes of OPN is significantly elevated in patients with IgAN.
Spinelli et al. (188)	LN	Promote*	Blood/urine	Kidney tissue*	-	Plasma levels of OPN are significantly higher in patients with active LN (lupus nephritis) and in those with LN remission than in healthy normal controls.
Ibrahim et al. (191)	AKI	Promote*	Urine	-	_	Drug-induced kidney injury can increase the level of OPN in urine.
Choueiri et al. (184)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Blood	-	-	Lower levels of OPN in the blood can enhance the therapeutic efficacy for RCC patients.
Sobotke et al. (268)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Blood	-	-	The serum levels of OPN in RCC (renal cell carcinoma) patients are significantly higher than those in controls, and they correlate with the

(Continued)

TABLE 2 Continued

Study identifier	Disease type	Promote or inhibit immune environment	Sampling	Source of OPN	Changes in immune cells	Role and mechanisms of OPN
						size and stage of the tumor. High levels of OPN are associated with poorer overall survival (OS) rates and cancer-specific survival (CSS).
Berti et al. (269)	AAV	Promote*	Blood	-	_	High levels of OPN may be associated with disease activity and prognosis in MPA patients.
Zurita et al. (270)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Blood	-	_	High levels of OPN are correlated with poorer overall survival.
Trojanowicz et al. (271)	Hemodialysis	Promote*	Blood	-	_	-
Taguchi et al. (213)	Urolithiasis	Promote	Tissue	RPs, TECs, and interstitial cells	Increased infiltration of macrophages, plasma cells, and neutrophils	High expression of OPN can promote calcium salt deposition and crystallization, thereby facilitating the formation and progression of RP.
Brooks et al. (272)	Urolithiasis	Promote*	Urine	-	Increased MIP-1α in urine (secreted by macrophages)	OPN can protect the kidneys from oxalate crystal-induced injury.
Ma et al. (273)	LN	Promote	Tissue	Kidney tissue	Increased infiltration of T-lymphocytes and macrophages	OPN facilitates macrophage infiltration within the kidney and induces injury to podocytes, leading to proteinuria.
Rabjerg et al. (274)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Tissue	Kidney tissue	-	High expression of OPN is associated with poorer survival outcomes.
Al-Malki (209)	DN	Promote*	Urine	_	_	There is a positive correlation between the number of podocytes in urine and the level of OPN.
Okumura et al. (275)	Urolithiasis	-	Stone	-	Kidney stones contain a variety of proteins enriched with neutrophils	OPN protein is present in most kidney stones.
Righi et al. (276)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Tissue	Tumor tissue and TECs	Increased infiltration of macrophages in tumor tissue	OPN may indirectly contribute to the mechanisms driving RCC progression and impact patient survival by influencing aspects such as the invasiveness, proliferation, and treatment resistance of tumors.
Jin et al. (231)	AR	Promote*	Blood	-	-	During acute rejection episodes, the levels of OPN in the blood increase, and they decrease following anti- rejection therapy.
Sim et al. (277)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Blood	-	-	Higher concentrations of OPN in the blood are associated with poorer survival outcomes in patients with RCC.
Papworth et al. (278)	Tumor	Inhibit*	Blood	-	-	Blood OPN levels are an independent prognostic factor for RCC- specific survival.
Zurita et al. (279)	Tumor	-	Blood	-	-	Patients with higher concentrations of OPN in the blood tend to derive greater benefits from targeted treatments.

AAV, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis; AKI, acute kidney injury; AR, acute rejection; DN, diabetic nephropathy; FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; IgAN, IgA nephropathy; LN, lupus nephritis; OPN, osteopontin; ORG, obesity-related glomerulopathy; OS, overall survival; RP, Randall plaques; TEC, tubular epithelial cell. *Not explicitly stated in the original study but inferred from cytokines and medical changes.

inhibited, the immune prognosis of the graft improves. Additionally, transplanting kidneys from OPN knockout mice into normal mice can significantly reduce graft damage (227). Therefore, OPN may be an important biomarker for predicting rejection or other kidney diseases (13, 19, 228, 229).

OPN has significant diagnostic value for acute rejection (AR). Wang et al. reported that plasma and urine OPN levels were significantly elevated in patients with AR and were positively correlated with Banff grade, indicating that OPN is a reliable biomarker for AR (230). Jin et al. (231) also observed that early in renal acute rejection, blood levels of the kidney injury markers KIM-1 and OPN increased. Xu et al. (232) added HLA-G to blood KIM-1 and OPN markers and reported that the combination of these three markers resulted in a sensitivity of 68.8% and a specificity of 88.9% for predicting AR. These studies confirmed that AR upregulates OPN expression, validating the feasibility of using OPN as a biomarker for acute rejection.

OPN is a predictor of not only AR but also the recovery of renal function after kidney transplantation. The levels of OPN in donor serum and urine and even preservation fluid of the graft may be indicators of patient prognosis (13). A multicenter clinical study revealed that the levels of five proteins, including OPN, in kidney preservation fluid, combined with recipient BMI, could predict shortterm renal function after kidney transplantation in a simple, safe, and efficient manner (233). The accuracy of this method was better than that of current prediction algorithms based solely on clinical parameters. In a study on heart transplantation, it was also found that elevated OPN protein levels in organ preservation fluid were positively correlated with severe IRI and the inflammatory response posttransplantation (234). However, there are different conclusions. Mansour et al. (235) analyzed proteins in donor urine and found that a UMOD ratio \leq 3 had a protective effect on the graft, with a lower risk of DGF; that is, the greater the OPN expression is, the lower the risk of DGF. These two studies measured OPN in preservation fluid and donor urine. The different conclusions might be due to the significant differences in the study samples.

Despite these promising findings, several challenges remain in the clinical application of OPN as a biomarker for AR and other kidney diseases. One significant obstacle is the technical limitations in accurately detecting OPN levels in clinical settings. Current detection methods, such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), may struggle with issues such as low sensitivity and specificity, particularly when assessing OPN in complex biological fluids like plasma and urine. To address these challenges, highthroughput screening techniques, including multiplex assays and next-generation biosensors, hold the potential to overcome the limitations of traditional detection methods. However, further validation and standardization of these technologies are necessary before they can be widely implemented in clinical practice.

In addition to playing a role in AR, OPN also has important functions in chronic rejection and the long-term prognosis of kidney transplants. OPN levels in patients after kidney transplantation are positively correlated with serum creatinine levels and negatively correlated with the glomerular filtration rate (236). This finding indicates the potential of OPN as a predictor of long-term prognosis in kidney transplant patients. Chronic rejection, which is usually antibody-mediated, involves various mechanisms, such as APC infiltration and B cell activation (221–223). A single-cell transcriptomics study revealed that during ABMR in the kidney, there is significant infiltration of M1 macrophages in renal tissue while there are almost no M2 macrophages (237). M1 macrophages mediate the infiltration of cytotoxic T cells into the kidneys by secreting various proinflammatory cytokines, causing further damage. The presence of other immunosuppressive cells secreting anti-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-10, may inhibit cytotoxic T cell activity and infiltration (238).

In addition to macrophages, NK cells may also play an important role in chronic rejection. In studies on chronic rejection, OPN was shown to modulate the immune response and damage renal tubular epithelial cells through NK cells (227). This may be because NK cells activated by OPN upregulate the expression of CD44 and IFN- γ , thereby activating NK cells and exerting downstream effects (239). Additionally, NK cells can secrete various inflammatory mediators, including OPN, which chemotactically attract and activate neutrophils, leading to further kidney damage (240, 241).

The long-term prognosis of a kidney transplant is related not only to chronic rejection but also to chronic fibrosis. The profibrotic effects mediated by OPN result in the formation of scar tissue rather than the effective regeneration of tissue cells (242, 243). Therefore, even long after kidney transplantation, OPN can damage kidney function through this mechanism. OPN expressed by the kidneys or macrophages can be chemotactically attracted by local CCL4 ligands, leading to the infiltration of profibrotic macrophages into the area and promoting their activation and differentiation (244-246). Kidneys with high OPN expression can promote the formation of new lymphatic vessels, providing a pathway for the infiltration of monocytes or other immune cells (247). This infiltration can also promote renal fibrosis. Thus, reducing OPN secretion can slow the progression of fibrosis after transplantation (248). This is likely one of the main reasons that OPN mediates the long-term prognosis of kidney transplants.

Additionally, OPN can serve as a biomarker for graft-versushost disease (GVHD) after kidney transplantation (249, 250). Graftderived lymphocytes can exert effects on intestinal epithelial cells by highly expressing OPN, leading to cell death via CD44 on intestinal epithelial cells (106). Studies using ATG to treat GVHD have also shown that OPN levels are significantly elevated during GVHD, suggesting its potential as a biomarker for GVHD (251). When OPN is knocked out, the expression levels of interferon-y, TNFa, and IL-17 in the small intestine change significantly (252). Therefore, in T cell-mediated acute GVHD, OPN may regulate intestinal cell apoptosis through the Fas-Fas ligand pathway (252). Research by Neo et al. revealed that low OPN expression during GVHD can have anti-inflammatory effects and improve patient prognosis (253). In GVHD, the specific mechanism by which OPN exerts its effects may involve the synergistic action of the T cell receptor/CD3 signaling pathway, which promotes the activation, migration, and infiltration of CD8+ T cells (134). Thus, OPN could be a potential therapeutic target for preventing GVHD.

OPN can also play a role in acute respiratory failure in patients after kidney transplantation. Khamissi et al. (190) found that kidney

injury could lead to secondary lung damage and identified kidneyreleased OPN as a mediator of AKI-ALI through cross-organ, kidneyligand, and lung-receptor pairing analysis. Increasing evidence shows that extracellular vesicles (exosomes) play a crucial role in cell communication and interorgan interactions (254). The authors suggested that OPN released from renal tubular cells induces lung endothelial cell leakage, inflammation, and respiratory failure. Inhibiting OPN through drugs or gene intervention can prevent AKI-ALI. Another study revealed that allogeneic kidney transplantation can cause distal lung injury in recipients through endoplasmic reticulum stress and necroptosis signaling pathways, with kidney-released OPN being a key factor (255).

However, contrary studies have suggested that OPN is active in MSCs and TSCs, providing support and nourishment to these cells and promoting the proliferation and migration of MSCs (256); this may also be related to the involvement of OPN in the lungs in functions and networks associated with angiogenesis, cell death, and proliferation, thereby promoting acute lung injury after kidney transplantation (257). In OPN-knockout mice, CD4+ T cells were significantly suppressed, and IL-17 expression was markedly downregulated; the authors suggested that OPN can mediate lung inflammation and injury by influencing CD4+ T cells and IL-17 (258). In addition to mediating lung injury, kidney-derived OPN has been shown to promote cardiovascular disease through NLRP3 inflammasome-mediated atherosclerosis (259, 260). Mace et al. (261) studied CKD rats and reported that during CKD, serum OPN levels significantly increase, promoting cardiovascular disease and bone metabolism disorders in rats. Although this study has not yet been extended to organ transplant patients, it may be a potential research direction in the future.

Some diseases caused by abnormal OPN levels, such as atherosclerosis, begin to resolve after kidney transplant function recovery as OPN levels decrease. For example, Renata et al. (262) reported that in chronic kidney disease patients receiving kidney transplants, the levels of OPN in biopsy bone tissue were lower than pretransplant OPN levels. As OPN expression is downregulated, bone-related diseases in kidney transplant patients also improve (263). Liu et al. (264) also reported that after kidney transplantation, the expression of OPN in the arterial wall significantly decreased. This reduction in OPN levels can lead to a decrease in atherosclerosis and cardiovascular events.

Although OPN levels are lower after kidney transplant than before kidney transplant, long-term kidney transplant patients often still suffer from cardiovascular sclerosis-related diseases. This may be related to the activation of the mTOR signaling pathway caused by glucose metabolism disorders induced by steroid medications (265). However, for kidney transplant patients undergoing antirejection treatment with sirolimus, sirolimus can significantly downregulate OPN expression and reverse the adverse cardiovascular effects of glucose metabolism products by inhibiting the mTOR signaling pathway (265).

OPN has significant clinical implications for kidney transplant rejection. By promoting the chemotaxis and activation of immune cells such as T lymphocytes, NK cells, and macrophages, OPN can promote both acute and chronic rejection, affecting graft function and survival. The expression levels of OPN are closely related to the severity of rejection, making OPN in blood and urine a potential biomarker for rejection. Additionally, OPN can be a potential therapeutic target for preventing or treating posttransplant rejection by regulating immune responses. However, there are some discrepancies in the conclusions of different studies regarding the role of OPN. To further apply OPN in clinical settings, it is necessary to elucidate the specific subtypes and cleavage forms of OPN that perform various physiological functions.

Although OPN is activated through enzymatic cleavage, it is also a highly glycosylated and phosphorylated protein. However, in kidney transplantation and AKI, the glycosylation and phosphorylation levels of OPN have not been well studied. The impact of OPN glycosylation and phosphorylation on disease prognosis could be a potential research direction in the future.

5 Summary

In the complex immunological environment of kidney transplantation, OPN has garnered widespread attention for its key roles in regulating immune cell activation and influencing inflammatory responses. OPN can be expressed by various cells, such as renal tubular epithelial cells, tumor cells, or macrophages, and exists in five splice variants. The primary domains of the OPN protein, the RGD and SVVYGLR sequences, are usually embedded in the central part of the protein. After cleavage by thrombin or MMPs or binding with heparin, these two important domains are exposed, allowing OPN to bind to receptors such as CD44 and integrins, thereby exerting various physiological functions.

After kidney transplantation, OPN in the kidneys can mediate the activation and infiltration of T lymphocytes, macrophages, and NK cells in renal tissue, thereby regulating acute and chronic rejection and affecting the long-term function of the transplanted kidney. Exosomes can encapsulate OPN, leading to OPN excretion it into the urine, thus allowing noninvasive urine testing. Therefore, OPN can be used as a biomarker for rejection after organ transplantation. Additionally, OPN is a very promising therapeutic target. Therapies targeting OPN, such as the use of specific antibodies and small molecule inhibitors to intervene in OPN-related signaling, offer new strategies to mitigate posttransplant immune responses and promote long-term immune tolerance.

OPN exhibits immunological duality, mediating either an immunosuppressive microenvironment or promoting inflammatory responses depending on the disease context. This may be related to the various splice variants and activation forms of the OPN protein. However, most recent research has not specified splice variants and cleavage forms of OPN. Therefore, future research on OPN should aim to specify the subtypes, cleavage forms, or functional domains of OPN to better elucidate the complex biological roles of OPN.

A deeper understanding of the duality and complexity of OPN in immunology may enable the development of new antirejection methods targeting OPN, thereby improving graft survival rates and patient quality of life. With advances in biotechnology and molecular biology, research on OPN and its related pathways will continue to be an important direction in transplant medicine and immunoregulation studies, leading to innovative progress in the field of kidney transplantation.

Author contributions

JL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. LQ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing – original draft. ZZ: Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. QY: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The authors would like to extend heartfelt gratitude to the Climbing Project for Medical Talent of Zhongnan Hospital, Wuhan University (PDJH202215) and General Program of National Natural Science Foundation of China (82370758), for its support.

References

1. Hariharan S, Israni AK, Danovitch G. Long-term survival after kidney transplantation. N Engl J Med. (2021) 385:729-43. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2014530

2. Lai X, Zheng X, Mathew JM, Gallon L, Leventhal JR, Zhang ZJ. Tackling chronic kidney transplant rejection: challenges and promises. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:661643. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.661643

3. Cabezas L, Jouve T, Malvezzi P, Janbon B, Giovannini D, Rostaing L, et al. Tocilizumab and active antibody-mediated rejection in kidney transplantation: a literature review. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:839380. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.839380

4. Callemeyn J, Lamarthee B, Koenig A, Koshy P, Thaunat O, Naesens M. Allorecognition and the spectrum of kidney transplant rejection. *Kidney Int.* (2022) 101:692–710. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2021.11.029

5. Nickerson PW. What have we learned about how to prevent and treat antibodymediated rejection in kidney transplantation? *Am J Transplant.* (2020) 20 Suppl 4:12– 22. doi: 10.1111/ajt.15859

6. Filippone EJ, Farber JL. The problem of subclinical antibody-mediated rejection in kidney transplantation. *Transplantation*. (2021) 105:1176-87. doi: 10.1097/ TP.000000000003543

7. Huang E, Maldonado AQ, Kjellman C, Jordan SC. Imlifidase for the treatment of anti-HLA antibody-mediated processes in kidney transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* (2022) 22:691–7. doi: 10.1111/ajt.16828

8. Lim JH, Chung BH, Lee SH, Lee JS, Kim YH, Han MH, et al. Efficacy of integrated risk score using omics-based biomarkers for the prediction of acute rejection in kidney transplantation: a randomized prospective pilot study. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2024) 25:5139. doi: 10.3390/ijms25105139

9. Park S, Sellares J, Tinel C, Anglicheau D, Bestard O, Friedewald JJ, et al. European society of organ transplantation consensus statement on testing for non-invasive diagnosis of kidney allograft rejection. *Transpl. Int.* (2023) 36:12115. doi: 10.3389/ti.2023.12115

 Van Loon E, Tinel C, de Loor H, Bossuyt X, Callemeyn J, Coemans M, et al. Automated urinary chemokine assays for noninvasive detection of kidney transplant rejection: a prospective cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2024) 83:467–76. doi: 10.1053/ j.ajkd.2023.07.022

11. Wu Z, Liu D, Ou Y, Xu Z, Heng G, Liu W, et al. Mechanism and endoscopictreatment-induced evolution of biliary non-anastomotic stricture after liver transplantation revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Clin Transl Med.* (2024) 14: e1622. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.v14.3

12. Rogulska K, Wojciechowska-Koszko I, Dolegowska B, Kwiatkowska E, Roszkowska P, Kapczuk P, et al. The most promising biomarkers of allogeneic kidney transplant rejection. *J Immunol Res.* (2022) 2022:6572338. doi: 10.1155/2022/6572338

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Kaleta B. Osteopontin and transplantation: where are we now? Arch Immunol Ther Exp (Warsz). (2021) 69:15. doi: 10.1007/s00005-021-00617-6

14. Jia Q, Huang Z, Wang G, Sun X, Wu Y, Yang B, et al. Osteopontin: an important protein in the formation of kidney stones. *Front Pharmacol.* (2022) 13:1036423. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1036423

15. Song Z, Chen W, Athavale D, Ge X, Desert R, Das S, et al. Osteopontin takes center stage in chronic liver disease. *Hepatology*. (2021) 73:1594–608. doi: 10.1002/hep.31582

16. Weber GF, Cantor H. The immunology of eta-1/osteopontin. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (1996) 7:241–8. doi: 10.1016/S1359-6101(96)00030-5

17. Singh RP, Patarca R, Schwartz J, Singh P, Cantor H. Definition of a specific interaction between the early T lymphocyte activation 1 (Eta-1) protein and murine macrophages *in vitro* and its effect upon macrophages *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (1990) 171:1931–42. doi: 10.1084/jem.171.6.1931

18. Weng X, Maxwell-Warburton S, Hasib A, Ma L, Kang L. The membrane receptor CD44: novel insights into metabolism. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* (2022) 33:318–32. doi: 10.1016/j.tem.2022.02.002

19. Asrani SK, Shankar N, da Graca B, Nadim MK, Cardenas A. Role of novel kidney biomarkers in patients with cirrhosis and after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* (2022) 28:466–82. doi: 10.1002/lt.26344

20. Scutera S, Salvi V, Lorenzi L, Piersigilli G, Lonardi S, Alotto D, et al. Adaptive regulation of osteopontin production by dendritic cells through the bidirectional interaction with mesenchymal stromal cells. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1207. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01207

21. Liu Y, Xun Z, Ma K, Liang S, Li X, Zhou S, et al. Identification of a tumour immune barrier in the HCC microenvironment that determines the efficacy of immunotherapy. *J Hepatol.* (2023) 78:770–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2023.01.011

22. Matsubara E, Yano H, Pan C, Komohara Y, Fujiwara Y, Zhao S, et al. The significance of SPP1 in lung cancers and its impact as a marker for protumor tumor-associated macrophages. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2023) 15:2250. doi: 10.3390/cancers15082250

23. Yim A, Smith C, Brown AM. Osteopontin/secreted phosphoprotein-1 harnesses glial-, immune-, and neuronal cell ligand-receptor interactions to sense and regulate acute and chronic neuroinflammation. *Immunol Rev.* (2022) 311:224–33. doi: 10.1111/ imr.v311.1

24. Fu S, Wu D, Jiang W, Li J, Long J, Jia C, et al. Molecular biomarkers in druginduced liver injury: challenges and future perspectives. *Front Pharmacol.* (2019) 10:1667. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01667

25. Liu X, Du Y, Zhao Z, Zou J, Zhang X, Zhang L. The multiple regulatory effects of white adipose tissue on bone homeostasis. *J Cell Physiol.* (2023) 238:1193–206. doi: 10.1002/jcp.v238.6

26. Silver SV, Popovics P. The multifaceted role of osteopontin in prostate pathologies. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:2895. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11112895

27. Kiefer MC, Bauer DM, Barr PJ. The cDNA and derived amino acid sequence for human osteopontin. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (1989) 17:3306. doi: 10.1093/nar/17.8.3306

28. Young MF, Kerr JM, Termine JD, Wewer UM, Wang MG, McBride OW, et al. cDNA cloning, mRNA distribution and heterogeneity, chromosomal location, and RFLP analysis of human osteopontin (OPN). *Genomics*. (1990) 7:491–502. doi: 10.1016/0888-7543(90)90191-V

29. Fisher LW, McBride OW, Termine JD, Young MF. Human bone sialoprotein. Deduced protein sequence and chromosomal localization. *J Biol Chem.* (1990) 265:2347–51. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)39982-X

30. Kohri K, Suzuki Y, Yoshida K, Yamamoto K, Amasaki N, Yamate T, et al. Molecular cloning and sequencing of cDNA encoding urinary stone protein, which is identical to osteopontin. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (1992) 184:859–64. doi: 10.1016/0006-291X(92)90669-C

31. Klement JD, Paschall AV, Redd PS, Ibrahim ML, Lu C, Yang D, et al. An osteopontin/CD44 immune checkpoint controls CD8+ T cell activation and tumor immune evasion. *J Clin Invest.* (2018) 128:5549–60. doi: 10.1172/JCI123360

32. Xing H, Zhang F, Han R, Li H. DNA methylation pattern and mRNA expression of OPN promoter in sika deer antler tip tissues. *Gene.* (2023) 868:147382. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2023.147382

33. Denhardt DT, Mistretta D, Chambers AF, Krishna S, Porter JF, Raghuram S, et al. Transcriptional regulation of osteopontin and the metastatic phenotype: evidence for a Ras-activated enhancer in the human OPN promoter. *Clin Exp Metastasis*. (2003) 20:77–84. doi: 10.1023/A:1022550721404

34. Liu QF, Yu HW, Liu GN. Egr-1 upregulates OPN through direct binding to its promoter and OPN upregulates Egr-1 via the ERK pathway. *Mol Cell Biochem*. (2009) 332:77–84. doi: 10.1007/s11010-009-0176-4

35. Wang Y, Su J, Wang Y, Fu D, Ideozu JE, Geng H, et al. The interaction of YBX1 with G3BP1 promotes renal cell carcinoma cell metastasis via YBX1/G3BP1-SPP1- NF-kappaB signaling axis. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 38:386. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1347-0

36. Kungvarnchaikul I, Subbalekha K, Sindhavajiva PR, Suwanwela J. Deproteinized bovine bone and freeze-dried bone allograft in sinus floor augmentation: a randomized controlled trial. *Clin Implant. Dent. Relat Res.* (2023) 25:343–51. doi: 10.1111/cid.13179

37. Goparaju CM, Pass HI, Blasberg JD, Hirsch N, Donington JS. Functional heterogeneity of osteopontin isoforms in non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. (2010) 5:1516–23. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e3181eba6bd

38. Ferreira LB, Lima RT, Bastos A, Silva AM, Tavares C, Pestana A, et al. OPNa overexpression is associated with matrix calcification in thyroid cancer cell lines. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:2990. doi: 10.3390/ijms19102990

39. Ho NT, Lin SW, Lee YR, Tzeng CR, Kao SH. Osteopontin splicing isoforms contribute to endometriotic proliferation, migration, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition in endometrial epithelial cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:15328. doi: 10.3390/ ijms232315328

40. Tilli TM, Franco VF, Robbs BK, Wanderley JL, da Silva FR, de Mello KD, et al. Osteopontin-c splicing isoform contributes to ovarian cancer progression. *Mol Cancer Res.* (2011) 9:280–93. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-10-0463

41. Mirzaei A, Mohammadi S, Ghaffari SH, Nikbakht M, Bashash D, Alimoghaddam K, et al. Osteopontin b and c isoforms: molecular candidates associated with leukemic stem cell chemoresistance in acute myeloid leukemia. *Asian Pac. J Cancer Prev.* (2017) 18:1707–15. doi: 10.22034/APJCP.2017.18.6.1707

42. Lee GS, Salazar HF, Joseph G, Lok ZSY, Caroti CM, Weiss D, et al. Osteopontin isoforms differentially promote arteriogenesis in response to ischemia via macrophage accumulation and survival. *Lab Invest.* (2019) 99:331–45. doi: 10.1038/s41374-018-0094-8

43. Martins J, Sousa TDC, Oliveira MLA, Gimba ERP, Siqueira MM, Matos ADR. Total osteopontin and its isoform OPN4 are differently expressed in respiratory samples during influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 infection and progression. *Microorganisms*. (2023) 11:1349. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms11051349

44. Silva GR, Mattos DS, Bastos ACF, Viana B, Brum MCM, Ferreira LB, et al. Osteopontin-4 and Osteopontin-5 splice variants are expressed in several tumor cell lines. *Mol Biol Rep.* (2020) 47:8339–45. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-05867-9

45. Koroknai V, Szasz I, Jambor K, Balazs M. Expression pattern of osteopontin isoforms in Malignant melanoma cell lines. *Clin Transl Sci.* (2024) 17:e13694. doi: 10.1111/cts.13694

46. Cock PJ, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A, et al. Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics*. (2009) 25:1422–3. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163

47. Hattori T, Iwasaki-Hozumi H, Bai G, Chagan-Yasutan H, Shete A, Telan EF, et al. Both full-length and protease-cleaved products of osteopontin are elevated in infectious diseases. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9:1006. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9081006

48. Gimba ER, Tilli TM. Human osteopontin splicing isoforms: known roles, potential clinical applications and activated signaling pathways. *Cancer Lett.* (2013) 331:11–7. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2012.12.003

49. Blasberg JD, Goparaju CM, Pass HI, Donington JS. Lung cancer osteopontin isoforms exhibit angiogenic functional heterogeneity. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2010) 139:1587–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2009.08.016

50. Sarosiek K, Jones E, Chipitsyna G, Al-Zoubi M, Kang C, Saxena S, et al. Osteopontin (OPN) isoforms, diabetes, obesity, and cancer; what is one got to do with the other? A new role for OPN. *J Gastrointest. Surg.* (2015) 19:639–50. doi: 10.1007/s11605-014-2735-6

51. Lok ZSY, Lyle AN. Osteopontin in vascular disease. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. (2019) 39:613–22. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311577

52. Bastos A, Gomes AVP, Silva GR, Emerenciano M, Ferreira LB, Gimba ERP. The intracellular and secreted sides of osteopontin and their putative physiopathological roles. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:2942. doi: 10.3390/ijms24032942

53. Tan Y, Zhao L, Yang YG, Liu W. The role of osteopontin in tumor progression through tumor-associated macrophages. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:953283. doi: 10.3389/ fonc.2022.953283

54. Prince CW. Secondary structure predictions for rat osteopontin. *Connect. Tissue Res.* (1989) 21:15–20. doi: 10.3109/03008208909049991

55. Nishimichi N, Higashikawa F, Kinoh HH, Tateishi Y, Matsuda H, Yokosaki Y. Polymeric osteopontin employs integrin alpha9beta1 as a receptor and attracts neutrophils by presenting a *de novo* binding site. *J Biol Chem.* (2009) 284:14769–76. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M901515200

56. Hoac B, Nelea V, Jiang W, Kaartinen MT, McKee MD. Mineralization-inhibiting effects of transglutaminase-crosslinked polymeric osteopontin. *Bone*. (2017) 101:37–48. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2017.04.007

57. Higashikawa F, Eboshida A, Yokosaki Y. Enhanced biological activity of polymeric osteopontin. *FEBS Lett.* (2007) 581:2697–701. doi: 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.05.018

58. Hamamoto S, Yasui T, Okada A, Hirose M, Matsui Y, Kon S, et al. Crucial role of the cryptic epitope SLAYGLR within osteopontin in renal crystal formation of mice. *J Bone Miner. Res.* (2011) 26:2967–77. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.495

59. Zhou N, Ma X, Hu W, Ren P, Zhao Y, Zhang T. Effect of RGD content in poly (ethylene glycol)-crosslinked poly(methyl vinyl ether-alt-maleic acid) hydrogels on the expansion of ovarian cancer stem-like cells. *Mater Sci Eng. C Mater Biol Appl.* (2021) 118:111477. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2020.111477

60. Ruoslahti E, Pierschbacher MD. New perspectives in cell adhesion: RGD and integrins. *Science*. (1987) 238:491-7. doi: 10.1126/science.2821619

61. Klinthoopthamrong N, Chaikiawkeaw D, Phoolcharoen W, Rattanapisit K, Kaewpungsup P, Pavasant P, et al. Bacterial cellulose membrane conjugated with plant-derived osteopontin: preparation and its potential for bone tissue regeneration. *Int J Biol Macromol.* (2020) 149:51–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.01.158

62. Lee H, Jin YC, Kim SW, Kim ID, Lee HK, Lee JK. Proangiogenic functions of an RGD-SLAY-containing osteopontin icosamer peptide in HUVECs and in the postischemic brain. *Exp Mol Med.* (2018) 50:e430. doi: 10.1038/emm.2017.241

63. Zhang Y, Mo Y, Zhang Y, Yuan J, Zhang Q. MMP-3-mediated cleavage of OPN is involved in copper oxide nanoparticle-induced activation of fibroblasts. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* (2023) 20:22. doi: 10.1186/s12989-023-00532-y

64. Dai B, Zhu Y, Li X, Liang Z, Xu S, Zhang S, et al. Blockage of osteopontinintegrin beta3 signaling in infrapatellar fat pad attenuates osteoarthritis in mice. *Adv Sci* (*Weinh*). (2023) 10:e2300897. doi: 10.1002/advs.202300897

65. Davaanyam D, Kim ID, Lee JK. Intranasal delivery of RGD-containing osteopontin heptamer peptide confers neuroprotection in the ischemic brain and augments microglia M2 polarization. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:9999. doi: 10.3390/jims22189999

66. Broom L, Jenner P, Rose S. Increased neurotrophic factor levels in ventral mesencephalic cultures do not explain the protective effect of osteopontin and the synthetic 15-mer RGD domain against MPP+ toxicity. *Exp Neurol.* (2015) 263:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.09.005

67. Peraramelli S, Zhou Q, Zhou Q, Wanko B, Zhao L, Nishimura T, et al. Thrombin cleavage of osteopontin initiates osteopontin's tumor-promoting activity. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2022) 20:1256–70. doi: 10.1111/jth.15663

68. Tanaka S, Hamada Y, Yokoyama Y, Yamamoto H, Kogo M. Osteopontinderived synthetic peptide SVVYGLR upregulates functional regeneration of oral and maxillofacial soft-tissue injury. *Jpn Dent. Sci Rev.* (2021) 57:174–81. doi: 10.1016/ j.jdsr.2021.09.002

69. Lai CF, Seshadri V, Huang K, Shao JS, Cai J, Vattikuti R, et al. An osteopontin-NADPH oxidase signaling cascade promotes pro-matrix metalloproteinase 9 activation in aortic mesenchymal cells. *Circ Res.* (2006) 98:1479–89. doi: 10.1161/ 01.RES.0000227550.00426.60

70. UChinaka A, Hamada Y, Mori S, Miyagawa S, Saito A, Sawa Y, et al. SVVYGLR motif of the thrombin-cleaved N-terminal osteopontin fragment enhances the synthesis of collagen type III in myocardial fibrosis. *Mol Cell Biochem.* (2015) 408:191–203. doi: 10.1007/s11010-015-2495-y

71. Fnu G, Agrawal P, Kundu GC, Weber GF. Structural constraint of osteopontin facilitates efficient binding to CD44. *Biomolecules*. (2021) 11:813. doi: 10.3390/biom11060813

72. Yokasaki Y, Sheppard D. Mapping of the cryptic integrin-binding site in osteopontin suggests a new mechanism by which thrombin can regulate inflammation and tissue repair. *Trends Cardiovasc Med.* (2000) 10:155–9. doi: 10.1016/S1050-1738(00)00055-4

73. Barry ST, Ludbrook SB, Murrison E, Horgan CM. Analysis of the alpha4beta1 integrin-osteopontin interaction. *Exp Cell Res.* (2000) 258:342–51. doi: 10.1006/excr.2000.4941

74. Yamaguchi Y, Shao Z, Sharif S, Du XY, Myles T, Merchant M, et al. Thrombincleaved fragments of osteopontin are overexpressed in Malignant glial tumors and provide a molecular niche with survival advantage. *J Biol Chem.* (2013) 288:3097–111. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.362954

75. UChinaka A, Yoshida M, Tanaka K, Hamada Y, Mori S, Maeno Y, et al. Overexpression of collagen type III in injured myocardium prevents cardiac systolic dysfunction by changing the balance of collagen distribution. *Journal*. (2018) 156:217–226.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2018.01.097

76. Bustamante-Filho IC, Menegassi SR, Pereira GR, Salton GD, Munari FM, Schneider MR, et al. Bovine seminal plasma osteopontin: structural modelling, recombinant expression and its relationship with semen quality. *Andrologia*. (2021) 53:e13905. doi: 10.1111/and.13905

77. Kurzbach D. Network representation of protein interactions: theory of graph description and analysis. *Protein Sci.* (2016) 25:1617–27. doi: 10.1002/pro.v25.9

78. Boccia L, Di Francesco S, Neglia G, De Blasi M, Longobardi V, Campanile G, et al. Osteopontin improves sperm capacitation and *in vitro* fertilization efficiency in buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). *Theriogenology*. (2013) 80:212–7. doi: 10.1016/ j.theriogenology.2013.04.017

79. Herum KM, Romaine A, Wang A, Melleby AO, Strand ME, Pacheco J, et al. Syndecan-4 protects the heart from the profibrotic effects of thrombin-cleaved osteopontin. *J Am Heart Assoc.* (2020) 9:e013518. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.119.013518

80. McKee MD, Pedraza CE, Kaartinen MT. Osteopontin and wound healing in bone. Cells Tissues Organs. (2011) 194:313-9. doi: 10.1159/000324244

81. Dianzani C, Bellavista E, Liepe J, Verderio C, Martucci M, Santoro A, et al. Extracellular proteasome-osteopontin circuit regulates cell migration with implications in multiple sclerosis. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:43718. doi: 10.1038/srep43718

82. Sinha SK, Mellody M, Carpio MB, Damoiseaux R, Nicholas SB. Osteopontin as a biomarker in chronic kidney disease. *Biomedicines.* (2023) 11:1356. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11051356

83. Martin-Marquez BT, Sandoval-Garcia F, Corona-Meraz FI, Petri MH, Gutierrez-Mercado YK, Vazquez-Del Mercado M. Osteopontin: another piece in the systemic lupus erythematosus immunopathology puzzle. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2022) 40:173–82. doi: 10.55563/clinexprheumatol/x2g7rp

84. Briones-Orta MA, Avendano-Vazquez SE, Aparicio-Bautista DI, Coombes JD, Weber GF, Syn WK. Osteopontin splice variants and polymorphisms in cancer progression and prognosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. (2017) 1868:93–108A. doi: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2017.02.005

85. Ito K, Kon S, Nakayama Y, Kurotaki D, Saito Y, Kanayama M, et al. The differential amino acid requirement within osteopontin in alpha4 and alpha9 integrinmediated cell binding and migration. *Matrix Biol.* (2009) 28:11–9. doi: 10.1016/ j.matbio.2008.10.002

86. Leung LL, Myles T, Morser J. Thrombin cleavage of osteopontin and the host antitumor immune response. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2023) 15:3480. doi: 10.3390/cancers15133480

87. Chagan-Yasutan H, Lacuesta TL, Ndhlovu LC, Oguma S, Leano PS, Telan EF, et al. Elevated levels of full-length and thrombin-cleaved osteopontin during acute dengue virus infection are associated with coagulation abnormalities. *Thromb Res.* (2014) 134:449–54. doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2014.05.003

88. Wolak T, Sion-Vardi N, Novack V, Greenberg G, Szendro G, Tarnovscki T, et al. N-terminal rather than full-length osteopontin or its C-terminal fragment is associated with carotid-plaque inflammation in hypertensive patients. *Am J Hypertens.* (2013) 26:326–33. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hps043

89. Hamias R, Rudich A, Greenberg G, Szendro G, Wolak T. Angiotensin 1-7, but not the thrombin-cleaved osteopontin C-terminal fragment, attenuates osteopontinmediated macrophage-induced endothelial-cell inflammation. *Inflamm Res.* (2018) 67:265–75. doi: 10.1007/s00011-017-1120-9

90. Slovacek H, Khanna R, Poredos P, Jezovnik M, Hoppensteadt D, Fareed J, et al. Interrelationship of osteopontin, MMP-9 and ADAMTS4 in patients with osteoarthritis undergoing total joint arthroplasty. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost.* (2020) 26:1076029620964864. doi: 10.1177/1076029620964864

91. Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2018) 46:W296–303. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky427

92. Varadi M, Anyango S, Deshpande M, Nair S, Natassia C, Yordanova G, et al. AlphaFold protein structure database: massively expanding the structural coverage of protein-sequence space with high-accuracy models. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2022) 50:D439– 44. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab1061

93. Singh A, Copeland MM, Kundrotas PJ, Vakser IA. GRAMM web server for protein docking. *Methods Mol Biol.* (2024) 2714:101–12. doi: 10.1007/978-1-0716-3441-7_5

94. Chen JE, Huang CC, Ferrin TE. RRDistMaps: a UCSF Chimera tool for viewing and comparing protein distance maps. *Bioinformatics*. (2015) 31:1484–6. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu841

95. Armstrong DR, Berrisford JM, Conroy MJ, Gutmanas A, Anyango S, Choudhary P, et al. PDBe: improved findability of macromolecular structure data in the PDB. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2020) 48:D335–43. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz990

96. Christensen B, Karlsen NJ, Jorgensen SDS, Jacobsen LN, Ostenfeld MS, Petersen SV, et al. Milk osteopontin retains integrin-binding activity after *in vitro* gastrointestinal transit. *J Dairy Sci.* (2020) 103:42–51. doi: 10.3168/jds.2019-17212

97. Shi L, Sun Z, Su W, Xu F, Xie D, Zhang Q, et al. Treg cell-derived osteopontin promotes microglia-mediated white matter repair after ischemic stroke. *Journal.* (2021) 54:1527–1542.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.04.022

98. Simoes DCM, Paschalidis N, Kourepini E, Panoutsakopoulou V. An integrin axis induces IFN-beta production in plasmacytoid dendritic cells. *J Cell Biol.* (2022) 221: e202102055. doi: 10.1083/jcb.202102055

99. Hao C, Lane J, Jiang WG. Osteopontin and cancer: insights into its role in drug resistance. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:197. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11010197

100. Osuka K, Ohmichi Y, Ohmichi M, Honma S, Suzuki C, Aoyama M, et al. Angiogenesis in the outer membrane of chronic subdural hematomas through thrombin-cleaved osteopontin and the integrin α 9 and integrin β 1 signaling pathways. *Biomedicines.* (2023) 11:1440. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11051440

101. Chen L, Shi K, Ditzel N, Qiu W, Figeac F, Nielsen LHD, et al. KIAA1199 deficiency enhances skeletal stem cell differentiation to osteoblasts and promotes bone regeneration. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:2016. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-37651-1

102. Fu Y, Zhang Y, Lei Z, Liu T, Cai T, Wang A, et al. Abnormally activated OPN/ integrin alphaVbeta3/FAK signalling is responsible for EGFR-TKI resistance in EGFR mutant non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2020) 13:169. doi: 10.1186/ s13045-020-01009-7

103. Nallasamy P, Nimmakayala RK, Karmakar S, Leon F, Seshacharyulu P, Lakshmanan I, et al. Pancreatic tumor microenvironment factor promotes cancer stemness via SPP1-CD44 axis. *Journal.* (2021) 161:1998–2013.e7. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.08.023

104. Hassn Mesrati M, Syafruddin SE, Mohtar MA, Syahir A. CD44: a multifunctional mediator of cancer progression. *Biomolecules*. (2021) 11:1850. doi: 10.3390/biom11121850

105. Chan YH, Tsai FC, Chang GJ, Lai YJ, Chang SH, Chen WJ, et al. CD44 regulates Epac1-mediated beta-adrenergic-receptor-induced Ca(2+)-handling abnormalities: implication in cardiac arrhythmias. *J Biomed Sci.* (2023) 30:55. doi: 10.1186/s12929-023-00944-0

106. Aggarwal N, Deerhake ME, DiPalma D, Shahi SK, Gaggioli MR, Mangalam AK, et al. Secreted osteopontin from CD4(+) T cells limits acute graft-versus-host disease. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 37:110170. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110170

107. Tredicine M, Camponeschi C, Pirolli D, Lucchini M, Valentini M, Geloso MC, et al. A TLR/CD44 axis regulates T cell trafficking in experimental and human multiple sclerosis. *iScience*. (2022) 25:103763. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.103763

108. Wittig BM, Sabat R, Holzlohner P, Witte-Handel E, Heilmann K, Witte K, et al. Absence of specific alternatively spliced exon of CD44 in macrophages prevents colitis. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2018) 11:846–60. doi: 10.1038/mi.2017.98

109. Sun L, Su Y, Jiao A, Wang X. amp]]amp; Zhang, B. T cells in health and disease. Signal Transduction Target Ther. (2023) 8:235. doi: 10.1038/s41392-023-01471-y

110. Kanayama M, Xu S, Danzaki K, Gibson JR, Inoue M, Gregory SG, et al. Skewing of the population balance of lymphoid and myeloid cells by secreted and intracellular osteopontin. *Nat Immunol.* (2017) 18:973–84. doi: 10.1038/ni.3791

111. Danzaki K, Kanayama M, Alcazar O, Shinohara ML. Osteopontin has a protective role in prostate tumor development in mice. *Eur J Immunol.* (2016) 46:2669–78. doi: 10.1002/eji.201646391

112. Shurin MR. Osteopontin controls immunosuppression in the tumor microenvironment. J Clin Invest. (2018) 128:5209–12. doi: 10.1172/JCI124918

113. Hsiao CC, Engelenburg HJ, Jongejan A, Zhu J, Zhang B, Mingueneau M, et al. Osteopontin associates with brain T(RM)-cell transcriptome and compartmentalization in donors with and without multiple sclerosis. *iScience*. (2023) 26:105785. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105785

114. He H, Chen S, Fan Z, Dong Y, Wang Y, Li S, et al. Multi-dimensional single-cell characterization revealed suppressive immune microenvironment in AFP-positive hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell Discovery*. (2023) 9:60. doi: 10.1038/s41421-023-00563-x

115. Moorman HR, Poschel D, Klement JD, Lu C, Redd PS, Liu K. Osteopontin: a key regulator of tumor progression and immunomodulation. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2020) 12:3379. doi: 10.3390/cancers12113379

116. Feriz AM, Bahraini F, Khosrojerdi A, Azarkar S, Sajjadi SM, HosseiniGol E, et al. Deciphering the immune landscape of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a singlecell transcriptomic analysis of regulatory T cell responses to PD-1 blockade therapy. *PLoS One.* (2023) 18:e0295863. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2812261/v1

117. Zhang Q, Liu Y, Wang X, Zhang C, Hou M, Liu Y. Integration of single-cell RNA sequencing and bulk RNA transcriptome sequencing reveals a heterogeneous immune landscape and pivotal cell subpopulations associated with colorectal cancer prognosis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1184167. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023. 1184167

118. Zhao J, Jing J, Zhao W, Li X, Hou L, Zheng C, et al. Osteopontin exacerbates the progression of experimental autoimmune myasthenia gravis by affecting the differentiation of T cell subsets. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2020) 82:106335. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106335

119. Xie JF, Wang J, Bai HH, He JJ, Jia RH, Wang X, et al. A decreased absolute number of T(reg) cells in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis is associated with elevated serum osteopontin levels with disease progression. *Adv Ther*. (2022) 39:3280–91. doi: 10.1007/s12325-022-02171-9

120. Liu W, Zeng Q, Luo R. Correlation between serum osteopontin and miR-181a levels in allergic rhinitis children. *Mediators Inflamm.* (2016) 2016:9471215. doi: 10.1155/2016/9471215

121. Cui G, Chen J, He J, Lu C, Wei Y, Wang L, et al. Osteopontin promotes dendritic cell maturation and function in response to HBV antigens. *Drug Des Devel. Ther.* (2015) 9:3003–16. doi: 10.2147/DDDT.S81656

122. Wei J, Yu W, Wu L, Chen Z, Huang G, Hu M, et al. Intercellular molecular crosstalk networks within invasive and immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment subtypes associated with clinical outcomes in four cancer types. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:3057. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11113057

123. Na YR, Jung D, Stakenborg M, Jang H, Gu GJ, Jeong MR, et al. Prostaglandin E (2) receptor PTGER4-expressing macrophages promote intestinal epithelial barrier regeneration upon inflammation. *Gut.* (2021) 70:2249–60. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322146

124. Ma L, Heinrich S, Wang L, Keggenhoff FL, Khatib S, Forgues M, et al. Multiregional single-cell dissection of tumor and immune cells reveals stable lockand-key features in liver cancer. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:7533. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-35291-5

125. Lee J, Aoki T, Thumkeo D, Siriwach R, Yao C, Narumiya S. T cell-intrinsic prostaglandin E(2)-EP2/EP4 signaling is critical in pathogenic T(H)17 cell-driven inflammation. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2019) 143:631-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2018.05.036

126. Morotti M, Grimm AJ, Hope HC, Arnaud M, Desbuisson M, Rayroux N, et al. PGE(2) inhibits TIL expansion by disrupting IL-2 signalling and mitochondrial function. *Nature.* (2024) 629:426–34. doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07352-w

127. Nazmi A, Greer MJ, Hoek KL, Piazuelo MB, Weitkamp JH, Olivares-Villagomez D. Osteopontin and iCD8alpha cells promote intestinal intraepithelial lymphocyte homeostasis. *J Immunol.* (2020) 204:1968–81. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.1901168

128. Yan S, Si Y, Zhou W, Cheng R, Wang P, Wang D, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals the interaction between peripheral CD4(+) CTLs and mesencephalic endothelial cells mediated by IFNG in Parkinson's disease. *Comput Biol Med.* (2023) 158:106801. doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.106801

129. Soysouvanh F, Rousseau D, Bonnafous S, Bourinet M, Strazzulla A, Patouraux S, et al. Osteopontin-driven T-cell accumulation and function in adipose tissue and liver promoted insulin resistance and MAFLD. *Obes (Silver Spring).* (2023) 31:2568–82. doi: 10.1002/oby.23868

130. Roberts JL, Yu M, Viggeswarapu M, Arnst JL, Pacifici R, Beck GR Jr. Dietary phosphorus consumption alters T cell populations, cytokine production, and bone volume in mice. *JCI Insight*. (2023) 8:e154729. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.154729

131. Shirakawa K, Sano M. Drastic transformation of visceral adipose tissue and peripheral CD4 T cells in obesity. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1044737. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1044737

132. Shirakawa K, Yan X, Shinmura K, Endo J, Kataoka M, Katsumata Y, et al. Obesity accelerates T cell senescence in murine visceral adipose tissue. *J Clin Invest.* (2016) 126:4626–39. doi: 10.1172/JCI88606

133. Wanko B, Tardelli M, Jurets A, Neuhofer A, Prager G, Morser J, et al. Antibody-mediated targeting of cleavage-specific OPN-T cell interactions. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14:e0214938. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214938

134. Zhao F, Zhang Y, Wang H, Jin M, He S, Shi Y, et al. Blockade of osteopontin reduces alloreactive CD8+ T cell-mediated graft-versus-host disease. *Blood.* (2011) 117:1723–33. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-04-281659

135. Taylor AE, Carey AN, Kudira R, Lages CS, Shi T, Lam S, et al. Interleukin 2 promotes hepatic regulatory T cell responses and protects from biliary fibrosis in murine sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology*. (2018) 68:1905–21. doi: 10.1002/hep.30061

136. Hulsmans M, Schloss MJ, Lee IH, Bapat A, Iwamoto Y, Vinegoni C, et al. Recruited macrophages elicit atrial fibrillation. *Science*. (2023) 381:231–9. doi: 10.1126/ science.abq3061

137. Sawaki D, Zhang Y, Mohamadi A, Pini M, Mezdari Z, Lipskaia L, et al. Osteopontin promotes age-related adipose tissue remodeling through senescence-associated macrophage dysfunction. *JCI Insight*. (2023) 8:e145811. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.145811

138. Wang L, Niu X. Immunoregulatory roles of osteopontin in diseases. *Nutrients*. (2024) 16:312. doi: 10.3390/nu16020312

139. Lee YH, Song GG. Associations between osteopontin variants and systemic lupus erythematosus: a meta-analysis. *Genet Test Mol Biomarkers*. (2023) 27:277–83. doi: 10.1089/gtmb.2023.0008

140. Mortazavi SE, Lugaajju A, Kaddumukasa M, Tijani MK, Kironde F, Persson KEM. Osteopontin and malaria: no direct effect on parasite growth, but correlation with P. falciparum-specific B cells and BAFF in a malaria endemic area. *BMC Microbiol.* (2021) 21:307. doi: 10.1186/s12866-021-02368-y

141. Chunder R, Schropp V, Marzin M, Amor S, Kuerten S. A dual role of osteopontin in modifying B cell responses. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:1969. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11071969

142. Rizzello C, Cancila V, Sangaletti S, Botti L, Ratti C, Milani M, et al. Intracellular osteopontin protects from autoimmunity-driven lymphoma development inhibiting TLR9-MYD88-STAT3 signaling. *Mol Cancer*. (2022) 21:215. doi: 10.1186/s12943-022-01687-6

143. Filiberti A, Gmyrek GB, Berube AN, Carr DJJ. Osteopontin contributes to virus resistance associated with type I IFN expression, activation of downstream ifninducible effector genes, and CCR2(+)CD115(+)CD206(+) macrophage infiltration following ocular HSV-1 infection of mice. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:1028341. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.1028341

144. Feng Y, Wang S, Xie J, Ding B, Wang M, Zhang P, et al. Spatial transcriptomics reveals heterogeneity of macrophages in the tumor microenvironment of granulomatous slack skin. *J Pathol.* (2023) 261:105–19. doi: 10.1002/path.v261.1

145. Chen M, Ma P, Zhang Y, Wang D, Yu Z, Fu Y, et al. Divergent tumor and immune cell reprogramming underlying immunotherapy response and immunerelated adverse events in lung squamous cell carcinoma. *J Immunother. Cancer.* (2023) 11:e007305. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2023-007305

146. Li X, Zhao S, Bian X, Zhang L, Lu L, Pei S, et al. Signatures of EMT, immunosuppression, and inflammation in primary and recurrent human cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma at single-cell resolution. *Theranostics*. (2022) 12:7532–49. doi: 10.7150/thno.77528

147. Liu ZL, Meng XY, Bao RJ, Shen MY, Sun JJ, Chen WD, et al. Single cell deciphering of progression trajectories of the tumor ecosystem in head and neck cancer. *Nat Commun.* (2024) 15:2595. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-46912-6

148. Hao B, Zhang Z, Lu Z, Xiong J, Fan T, Song C, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing analysis revealed cellular and molecular immune profiles in lung squamous cell carcinoma. *Transl Oncol.* (2023) 27:101568. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2022.101568

149. Chen Q, Deng Y, Li Y, Chen J, Zhang R, Yang L, et al. Association of preoperative aspartate aminotransferase to platelet ratio index with outcomes and tumour microenvironment among colorectal cancer with liver metastases. *Cancer Lett.* (2024) 588:216778. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2024.216778

150. Du Y, Lin Y, Gan L, Wang S, Chen S, Li C, et al. Potential crosstalk between SPP1 + TAMs and CD8 + exhausted T cells promotes an immunosuppressive environment in gastric metastatic cancer. *J Transl Med.* (2024) 22:158. doi: 10.1186/s12967-023-04688-1

151. Hong SM, Lee AY, Kim BJ, Lee JE, Seon SY, Ha YJ, et al. NAMPT-driven M2 polarization of tumor-associated macrophages leads to an immunosuppressive microenvironment in colorectal cancer. *Adv Sci (Weinh).* (2024) 11:e2303177. doi: 10.1002/advs.202303177

152. Li J, Wei T, Ma K, Zhang J, Lu J, Zhao J, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing highlights epithelial and microenvironmental heterogeneity in Malignant progression of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Lett.* (2024) 584:216607. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2024.216607

153. Qi J, Sun H, Zhang Y, Wang Z, Xun Z, Li Z, et al. Single-cell and spatial analysis reveal interaction of FAP(+) fibroblasts and SPP1(+) macrophages in colorectal cancer. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:1742. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-29366-6

154. Lee JL, Wang MJ, Sudhir PR, Chen GD, Chi CW, Chen JY. Osteopontin promotes integrin activation through outside-in and inside-out mechanisms: OPN-CD44V interaction enhances survival in gastrointestinal cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* (2007) 67:2089–97. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3625

155. Zhang X, Xiao Y, Hu B, Li Y, Zhang S, Tian J, et al. Multi-omics analysis of human tendon adhesion reveals that ACKR1-regulated macrophage migration is involved in regeneration. *Bone Res.* (2024) 12:27. doi: 10.1038/s41413-024-00324-w

156. Hu W, Xu Y. Transcriptomics in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis unveiled: a new perspective from differentially expressed genes to therapeutic targets. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1375171. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1375171

157. Yang F, Akhtar MN, Zhang D, El-Mayta R, Shin J, Dorsey JF, et al. An immunosuppressive vascular niche drives macrophage polarization and immunotherapy resistance in glioblastoma. *Sci Adv*. (2024) 10:eadj4678. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.adj4678

158. Liu L, Zhang R, Deng J, Dai X, Zhu X, Fu Q, et al. Construction of TME and Identification of crosstalk between Malignant cells and macrophages by SPP1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2022) 71:121–36. doi: 10.1007/s00262-021-02967-8

159. Hansakon A, Png CW, Zhang Y, Angkasekwinai P. Macrophage-derived osteopontin influences the amplification of cryptococcus neoformans-promoting type 2 immune response. *J Immunol.* (2021) 207:2107–17. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2100202

160. Liu Y, Zhang Q, Xing B, Luo N, Gao R, Yu K, et al. Immune phenotypic linkage between colorectal cancer and liver metastasis. *Journal*. (2022) 40:424–437.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2022.02.013

161. Yang S, Qian L, Li Z, Li Y, Bai J, Zheng B, et al. Integrated multi-omics landscape of liver metastases. *Journal.* (2023) 164:407-423.e17. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2022.11.029

162. Su X, Liang C, Chen R, Duan S. Deciphering tumor microenvironment: CXCL9 and SPP1 as crucial determinants of tumor-associated macrophage polarity and prognostic indicators. *Mol Cancer*. (2024) 23:13. doi: 10.1186/s12943-023-01931-7

163. Zhu Y, Yang J, Xu D, Gao XM, Zhang Z, Hsu JL, et al. Disruption of tumourassociated macrophage trafficking by the osteopontin-induced colony-stimulating factor-1 signalling sensitises hepatocellular carcinoma to anti-PD-L1 blockade. *Gut.* (2019) 68:1653–66. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318419

164. Wei J, Marisetty A, Schrand B, Gabrusiewicz K, Hashimoto Y, Ott M, et al. Osteopontin mediates glioblastoma-associated macrophage infiltration and is a potential therapeutic target. J Clin Invest. (2019) 129:137–49. doi: 10.1172/JCI121266

165. Xu L, Chen Y, Liu L, Hu X, He C, Zhou Y, et al. Tumor-associated macrophage subtypes on cancer immunity along with prognostic analysis and SPP1-mediated interactions between tumor cells and macrophages. *PLoS Genet.* (2024) 20:e1011235. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1011235

166. Rentsendorj A, Raedschelders K, Fuchs DT, Sheyn J, Vaibhav V, Porritt RA, et al. Osteopontin depletion in macrophages perturbs proteostasis via regulating UCHL1-UPS axis and mitochondria-mediated apoptosis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1155935. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1155935

167. Ng CW, Tam IYS, Sam SW, Yu Y, Lau HYA. Immobilized osteopontin enhances adhesion but suppresses cytokine release of anti-IgE activated human mast cells. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1109. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01109

168. Tang S, Hu H, Li M, Zhang K, Wu Q, Liu X, et al. OPN promotes proinflammatory cytokine expression via ERK/JNK pathway and M1 macrophage polarization in Rosacea. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1285951. doi: 10.3389/ fmmu.2023.1285951

169. Fu M, Shu S, Peng Z, Liu X, Chen X, Zeng Z, et al. Single-cell RNA sequencing of coronary perivascular adipose tissue from end-stage heart failure patients identifies SPP1(+) macrophage subpopulation as a target for alleviating fibrosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* (2023) 43:2143–64. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.123.319828

170. Huang K, Chen S, Yu LJ, Wu ZM, Chen QJ, Wang XQ, et al. Serum secreted phosphoprotein 1 level is associated with plaque vulnerability in patients with coronary artery disease. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1285813. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1285813

171. Song J, Zhang Y, Frieler RA, Andren A, Wood S, Tyrrell DJ, et al. Itaconate suppresses atherosclerosis by activating a Nrf2-dependent antiinflammatory response in macrophages in mice. *J Clin Invest.* (2023) 134:e173034. doi: 10.1172/JCI173034

172. Pichler G, Haller MC, Kainz A, Wolf M, Redon J, Oberbauer R. Prognostic value of bone- and vascular-derived molecular biomarkers in hemodialysis and renal transplant patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nephrol. Dial Transplant*. (2017) 32:1566–78. doi: 10.1097/01.hjh.0000523773.76575.26

173. Li L, Lv G, Wang B, Kuang L. XIST/miR-376c-5p/OPN axis modulates the influence of proinflammatory M1 macrophages on osteoarthritis chondrocyte apoptosis. J Cell Physiol. (2020) 235:281-93. doi: 10.1002/jcp.v235.1

174. Jiang Y, Yu W, Hu T, Peng H, Hu F, Yuan Y, et al. Unveiling macrophage diversity in myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury: identification of a distinct lipid-associated macrophage subset. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1335333. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2024.1335333

175. Xu H, Chen Y, Xie P, Lei T, Liu K, Liu X, et al. Remimazolam attenuates myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury by inhibiting the NF-kB pathway of macrophage inflammation. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2024) 965:176276. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2023.176276

176. Zhang FL, Hu Z, Wang YF, Zhang WJ, Zhou BW, Sun QS, et al. Organoids transplantation attenuates intestinal ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice through L-Malic acid-mediated M2 macrophage polarization. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:6779. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-42502-0

177. Wagenhauser MU, Mulorz J, Krott KJ, Bosbach A, Feige T, Rhee YH, et al. Crosstalk of platelets with macrophages and fibroblasts aggravates inflammation, aortic wall stiffening, and osteopontin release in abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2024) 120:417–32. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvad168

178. Shi L, Shi L, Wang X, He J. Regulatory roles of osteopontin in production of monocyte-origin MCP-1. *Cell Transplant*. (2018) 27:1185-94. doi: 10.1177/0963689718756070

179. Shirakawa K, Endo J, Kataoka M, Katsumata Y, Yoshida N, Yamamoto T, et al. IL (Interleukin)-10-STAT3-galectin-3 axis is essential for osteopontin-producing reparative macrophage polarization after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. (2018) 138:2021–35. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.118.035047

180. Du M, Wang X, Yuan L, Liu B, Mao X, Huang D, et al. Targeting NFATc4 attenuates non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in mice. *J Hepatol.* (2020) 73:1333–46. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.07.030

181. Xu X, Lin J, Wang J, Wang Y, Zhu Y, Wang J, et al. SPP1 expression indicates outcome of immunotherapy plus tyrosine kinase inhibition in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Hum Vaccin. Immunother.* (2024) 20:2350101. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2024.2350101

182. Zhang J, Liu F, Guo W, Bi X, Yuan S, Shayiti F, et al. Single-cell transcriptome sequencing reveals aberrantly activated inter-tumor cell signaling pathways in the development of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J Transl Med.* (2024) 22:37. doi: 10.1186/ s12967-023-04818-9

183. Armstrong AJ, Nixon AB, Carmack A, Yang Q, Eisen T, Stadler WM, et al. Angiokines associated with targeted therapy outcomes in patients with non-clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 27:3317–28. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-20-4504

184. Choueiri TK, Michaelson MD, Posadas EM, Sonpavde GP, McDermott DF, Nixon AB, et al. An open label phase Ib dose escalation study of TRC105 (anti-endoglin antibody) with axitinib in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Oncologist.* (2019) 24:202–10. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0299

185. Chen Y, Gong Y, Zou J, Li G, Zhang F, Yang Y, et al. Single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals transcript enrichment in oxidative phosphorylation, fluid sheer stress, and inflammatory pathways in obesity-related glomerulopathy. *Genes Dis.* (2024) 11:101101. doi: 10.1016/j.gendis.2023.101101

186. Ye Q, Xu G, Xue C, Pang S, Xie B, Huang G, et al. Urinary SPP1 has potential as a non-invasive diagnostic marker for focal segmental glomerulosclerosis. *FEBS Open Bio.* (2023) 13:2061–80. doi: 10.1002/2211-5463.13704

187. Kaleta B, Krata N, Zagozdzon R, Mucha K. Osteopontin gene polymorphism and urinary OPN excretion in patients with immunoglobulin a nephropathy. *Cells.* (2019) 8:524. doi: 10.3390/cells8060524

188. Spinelli FR, Garufi C, Truglia S, Pacucci VA, Morello F, Miranda F, et al. The role of osteopontin as a candidate biomarker of renal involvement in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2019) 37:899–905.

189. Kaleta B. The role of osteopontin in kidney diseases. *Inflamm Res.* (2019) 68:93–102. doi: 10.1007/s00011-018-1200-5

190. Khamissi FZ, Ning L, Kefaloyianni E, Dun H, Arthanarisami A, Keller A, et al. Identification of kidney injury released circulating osteopontin as causal agent of respiratory failure. *Sci Adv 8 eabm5900*. (2022) 8(8). doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abm5900

191. Ibrahim ME, Chang C, Hu Y, Hogan SL, Mercke N, Gomez M, et al. Pharmacokinetic determinants of cisplatin-induced subclinical kidney injury in oncology patients. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol.* (2019) 75:51–7. doi: 10.1007/s00228-018-2552-z

192. Yang R, Yan Y, Wu Z, Wei Y, Song H, Zhu L, et al. Resveratrol-loaded titania nanotube coatings promote osteogenesis and inhibit inflammation through reducing the reactive oxygen species production via regulation of NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.* (2021) 131:112513. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2021.112513

193. Yu H, Zhong H, Li N, Chen K, Chen J, Sun J, et al. Osteopontin activates retinal microglia causing retinal ganglion cells loss via p38 MAPK signaling pathway in glaucoma. *FASEB J.* (2021) 35:e21405. doi: 10.1096/fj.202002218R

194. Nakashima K, Zhou X, Kunkel G, Zhang Z, Deng JM, Behringer RR, et al. The novel zinc finger-containing transcription factor osterix is required for osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. *Cell.* (2002) 108:17–29. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674 (01)00622-5

195. Hu L, Napoletano A, Provenzano M, Garofalo C, Bini C, Comai G, et al. Mineral bone disorders in kidney disease patients: the ever-current topic. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23(20):12223. doi: 10.3390/ijms232012223

196. Haarhaus M, Cianciolo G, Barbuto S, La Manna G, Gasperoni L, Tripepi G, et al. Alkaline phosphatase: an old friend as treatment target for cardiovascular and mineral bone disorders in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14(10):2124. doi: 10.3390/nu14102124

197. D'Marco L, Bellasi A, Mazzaferro S, Raggi P. Vascular calcification, bone and mineral metabolism after kidney transplantation. *World J Transplant*. (2015) 5:222–30. doi: 10.5500/wjt.v5.i4.222

198. Stubbs JR, Zhang S, Jansson KP, Fields TA, Boulanger J, Liu S, et al. Critical role of osteopontin in maintaining urinary phosphate solubility in CKD. *Kidney360*. (2022) 3:1578–89. doi: 10.34067/KID.0007352021

199. Kajdas AA, Kleibert M, Normann AK, Krasuski K, Linde DS, Szostak-Wegierek D. Immunosuppressive therapy and nutritional diseases of patients after kidney transplantation: a systematic review. *BMC Nephrol.* (2025) 26:33. doi: 10.1186/s12882-025-03964-0

200. Levitsky J, Asrani SK, Abecassis M, Ruiz R, Jennings LW, Klintmalm G. External validation of a pretransplant biomarker model (REVERSE) predictive of renal recovery after liver transplantation. *Hepatology*. (2019) 70:1349–59. doi: 10.1002/hep.30667

201. Ke P, Qian L, Zhou Y, Feng L, Zhang Z, Zheng C, et al. Identification of hub genes and transcription factor-miRNA-mRNA pathways in mice and human renal ischemia-reperfusion injury. *PeerJ.* (2021) 9:e12375. doi: 10.7717/peerj.12375

202. Zhang B, Yang W, Chen L. Secreted phosphoprotein 1 exacerbates renal ischemia-reperfusion injury by inhibiting PI3K/AKT signaling pathway. *Tissue Cell*. (2023) 83:102154. doi: 10.1016/j.tice.2023.102154

203. Kapur R, Kasetty G, Rebetz J, Egesten A, Semple JW. Osteopontin mediates murine transfusion-related acute lung injury via stimulation of pulmonary neutrophil accumulation. *Blood.* (2019) 134:74–84. doi: 10.1182/blood.2019000972

204. Cen C, Aziz M, Yang WL, Nicastro JM, Coppa GF, Wang P. Osteopontin blockade attenuates renal injury after ischemia reperfusion by inhibiting NK cell infiltration. *Shock.* (2017) 47:52–60. doi: 10.1097/SHK.00000000000721

205. Yuan J, Fu X. MicroRNA-21 mediates the protective role of emulsified isoflurane against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice by targeting SPP1. *Cell Signal.* (2021) 86:110086. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2021.110086

206. Moratal C, Laurain A, Naimi M, Florin T, Esnault V, Neels JG, et al. Regulation of monocytes/macrophages by the renin-angiotensin system in diabetic nephropathy: state of the art and results of a pilot study. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:6009. doi: 10.3390/ jims22116009

207. Faguer S, Piedrafita A, Sanz AB, Siwy J, Mina IK, Alves M, et al. Performances of acute kidney injury biomarkers vary according to sex. *Clin Kidney J.* (2024) 17: sfae091. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfae091

208. Chen S, Zhang M, Li J, Huang J, Zhou S, Hou X, et al. beta-catenin-controlled tubular cell-derived exosomes play a key role in fibroblast activation via the OPN-CD44 axis. *J Extracell. Vesicles.* (2022) 11:e12203. doi: 10.1002/jev2.12203

209. Al-Malki AL. Assessment of urinary osteopontin in association with podocyte for early predication of nephropathy in diabetic patients. *Dis Markers*. (2014) 2014:493736. doi: 10.1155/2014/493736

210. Steinbrenner I, Sekula P, Kotsis F, von Cube M, Cheng Y, Nadal J, et al. Association of osteopontin with kidney function and kidney failure in chronic kidney disease patients: the GCKD study. *Nephrol. Dial Transplant.* (2023) 38:1430–8. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfac173

211. Owens E, Tan KS, Ellis R, Del Vecchio S, Humphries T, Lennan E, et al. Development of a biomarker panel to distinguish risk of progressive chronic kidney disease. *Biomedicines*. (2020) 8:606. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines8120606

212. Amar A, Afzal A, Hameed A, Ahmad M, Khan AR, Najma H, et al. Osteopontin promoter polymorphisms and risk of urolithiasis: a candidate gene association and meta-analysis study. *BMC Med Genet.* (2020) 21:172. doi: 10.1186/s12881-020-01101-2

213. Taguchi K, Hamamoto S, Okada A, Unno R, Kamisawa H, Naiki T, et al. Genome-wide gene expression profiling of randall's plaques in calcium oxalate stone formers. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2017) 28:333–47. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2015111271

214. Khan SR, Canales BK, Dominguez-Gutierrez PR. Randall's plaque and calcium oxalate stone formation: role for immunity and inflammation. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2021) 17:417–33. doi: 10.1038/s41581-020-00392-1

215. Anan G, Yoneyama T, Noro D, Tobisawa Y, Hatakeyama S, Yoneyama MS, et al. The impact of glycosylation of osteopontin on urinary stone formation. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2019) 21:93. doi: 10.3390/ijms21010093

216. Lentine KL, Smith JM, Lyden GR, Miller JM, Dolan TG, Bradbrook K, et al. OPTN/SRTR 2022 annual data report: kidney. *Am J Transplant*. (2024) 24:S19–S118. doi: 10.1016/j.ajt.2024.01.012

217. Callemeyn J, Naesens M. Back to the future: the uncharted role of T cells in chronic and unexplained microvascular inflammation. *Kidney Int*. (2024) 105:669–71. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2024.01.009

218. Sharaby I, Alksas A, El-Ghar MA, Eldeeb M, Ghazal M, Gondim D, et al. Biomarkers for kidney-transplant rejection: a short review study. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:2437. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11092437

219. Seron D, Rabant M, Becker JU, Roufosse C, Bellini MI, Bohmig GA, et al. Proposed definitions of T cell-mediated rejection and tubulointerstitial inflammation as clinical trial endpoints in kidney transplantation. *Transpl. Int.* (2022) 35:10135. doi: 10.3389/ti.2022.10135

220. Kim JV, Assadian S, Hollander Z, Burns P, Shannon CP, Lam K, et al. Regulatory T cell biomarkers identify patients at risk of developing acute cellular rejection in the first year following heart transplantation. *Transplantation.* (2023) 107:1810–9. doi: 10.1097/TP.00000000004607

221. Heeger PS, Haro MC, Jordan S. Translating B cell immunology to the treatment of antibody-mediated allograft rejection. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2024) 20:218–32. doi: 10.1038/s41581-023-00791-0

222. Berger M, Baliker M, Van Gelder T, Bohmig GA, Mannon RB, Kumar D, et al. Chronic active antibody-mediated rejection: opportunity to determine the role of interleukin-6 blockade. *Transplantation*. (2024) 108:1109–14. doi: 10.1097/ TP.000000000004822

223. Hariri D, Bordas J, Elkins M, Gallay B, Spektor Z, Hod-Dvorai R. The role of the duffy blood group antigens in renal transplantation and rejection. a mini review. *Transpl. Int.* (2023) 36:11725. doi: 10.3389/ti.2023.11725

224. Alchi B, Nishi S, Kondo D, Kaneko Y, Matsuki A, Imai N, et al. Osteopontin expression in acute renal allograft rejection. *Kidney Int*. (2005) 67:886–96. doi: 10.1111/j.1523-1755.2005.00153.x

225. Rouschop KM, Roelofs JJ, Sylva M, Rowshani AT, Ten Berge IJ, Weening JJ, et al. Renal expression of CD44 correlates with acute renal allograft rejection. *Kidney Int.* (2006) 70:1127–34. doi: 10.1038/sj.ki.5001711

226. McQueen LW, Ladak SS, Layton GR, Wadey K, George SJ, Angelini GD, et al. Osteopontin activation and microcalcification in venous grafts can be modulated by dexamethasone. *Cells*. (2023) 12:2627. doi: 10.3390/cells12222627

227. Zhang ZX, Huang X, Jiang J, Lau A, Yin Z, Liu W, et al. Natural killer cells mediate long-term kidney allograft injury. *Transplantation*. (2015) 99:916–24. doi: 10.1097/TP.00000000000665

228. Rakela JL, Karvellas CJ, Koch DG, Vegunta S, Lee WM. Acute liver failure: biomarkers evaluated by the acute liver failure study group. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol.* (2023) 14:e00565. doi: 10.14309/ctg.00000000000565

229. Van Nynatten LR, Miller MR, Patel MA, Daley M, Filler G, Badrnya S, et al. A novel multiplex biomarker panel for profiling human acute and chronic kidney disease. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:21210. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-47418-9

230. Wang J, Tang Q, Qiu Y, Xu M, Rong R, Zhu T. Osteopontin level correlates with acute cellular renal allograft rejection. *J Surg Res.* (2013) 182:161–5. doi: 10.1016/ j.jss.2012.08.006

231. Jin ZK, Tian PX, Wang XZ, Xue WJ, Ding XM, Zheng J, et al. Kidney injury molecule-1 and osteopontin: new markers for prediction of early kidney transplant rejection. *Mol Immunol.* (2013) 54:457–64. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2013.01.013

232. Xu CX, Zhang YL, Huang XY, Han F, Jin ZK, Tian PX, et al. Prediction of acute renal allograft rejection by combined HLA-G 14-bp insertion/deletion genotype analysis and detection of kidney injury molecule-1 and osteopontin in the peripheral blood. *Transpl. Immunol.* (2021) 65:101371. doi: 10.1016/j.trim.2021.101371

233. van Balkom BWM, Gremmels H, Ooms LSS, Toorop RJ, Dor F, de Jong OG, et al. Proteins in preservation fluid as predictors of delayed graft function in kidneys from donors after circulatory death. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2017) 12:817–24. doi: 10.2215/CJN.10701016

234. Ledwoch N, Wiegmann B, Chichelnitskiy E, Wandrer F, Kuhne JF, Beushausen K, et al. Identification of distinct secretory patterns and their regulatory networks of ischemia versus reperfusion phases in clinical heart transplantation. *Cytokine*. (2022) 149:155744. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2021.155744

235. Mansour SG, Liu C, Jia Y, Reese PP, Hall IE, El-Achkar TM, et al. Uromodulin to osteopontin ratio in deceased donor urine is associated with kidney graft outcomes. *Transplantation*. (2021) 105:876–85. doi: 10.1097/TP.000000000003299

236. Yang HH, Hsu BG, Ho CC, Lee MC. Association of serum osteopontin with first hospitalization and all-cause mortality after kidney transplantation. *Tzu Chi Med J.* (2022) 34:200–6. doi: 10.4103/tcmj.tcmj_269_20

237. Zhang H, Zhang D, Xu Y, Zhang H, Zhang Z, Hu X. Interferon-gamma and its response are determinants of antibody-mediated rejection and clinical outcomes in patients after renal transplantation. *Genes Immun.* (2024) 25:66–81. doi: 10.1038/s41435-024-00254-x

238. Pan J, Ye F, Li H, Yu C, Mao J, Xiao Y, et al. Dissecting the immune discrepancies in mouse liver allograft tolerance and heart/kidney allograft rejection. *Cell Prolif.* (2024) 57:e13555. doi: 10.1111/cpr.13555

239. Wijaya RS, Read SA, Schibeci S, Eslam M, Azardaryany MK, El-Khobar K, et al. KLRG1+ natural killer cells exert a novel antifibrotic function in chronic hepatitis B. *J Hepatol.* (2019) 71:252–64. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.03.012

240. Diao H, Kon S, Iwabuchi K, Kimura C, Morimoto J, Ito D, et al. Osteopontin as a mediator of NKT cell function in T cell-mediated liver diseases. *Immunity*. (2004) 21:539–50. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.08.012

241. Mathews S, Feng D, Maricic I, Ju C, Kumar V, Gao B. Invariant natural killer T cells contribute to chronic-plus-binge ethanol-mediated liver injury by promoting hepatic neutrophil infiltration. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2016) 13:206–16. doi: 10.1038/ cmi.2015.06

242. MaChado MV, Michelotti GA, Pereira TA, Xie G, Premont R, Cortez-Pinto H, et al. Accumulation of duct cells with activated YAP parallels fibrosis progression in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* (2015) 63:962–70. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.05.031

243. Morse C, Tabib T, Sembrat J, Buschur KL, Bittar HT, Valenzi E, et al. Proliferating SPP1/MERTK-expressing macrophages in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur Respir J.* (2019) 54:1802441. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02441-2018

244. Hoeft K, Schaefer GJL, Kim H, Schumacher D, Bleckwehl T, Long Q, et al. Platelet-instructed SPP1(+) macrophages drive myofibroblast activation in fibrosis in a CXCL4-dependent manner. *Cell Rep.* (2023) 42:112131. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112131

245. Morimoto Y, Hirahara K, Kiuchi M, Wada T, Ichikawa T, Kanno T, et al. Amphiregulin-producing pathogenic memory T helper 2 cells instruct eosinophils to secrete osteopontin and facilitate airway fibrosis. *Journal.* (2018) 49:134–150.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.023

246. Abedini A, Sanchez-Navaro A, Wu J, Klotzer KA, Ma Z, Poudel B, et al. Singlecell transcriptomics and chromatin accessibility profiling elucidate the kidneyprotective mechanism of mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists. *J Clin Invest.* (2024) 134:e157165. doi: 10.1172/JCI157165

247. Tang Y, Zhang Y, Li X, Xu R, Ji Y, Liu J, et al. Immune landscape and the key role of APOE+ monocytes of lupus nephritis under the single-cell and spatial transcriptional vista. *Clin Transl Med.* (2023) 13:e1237. doi: 10.1002/ctm2.v13.4

248. Yan T, Yan N, Xia Y, Sawaswong V, Zhu X, Dias HB, et al. Hepatocyte-specific CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha restricts liver fibrosis progression. *J Clin Invest.* (2024) 134:e166731. doi: 10.1172/JCI166731

249. Yu J, Storer BE, Kushekhar K, Abu Zaid M, Zhang Q, Gafken PR, et al. Biomarker panel for chronic graft-versus-host disease. *J Clin Oncol.* (2016) 34:2583–90. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.65.9615

250. Wolff D, Greinix H, Lee SJ, Gooley T, Paczesny S, Pavletic S, et al. Biomarkers in chronic graft-versus-host disease: quo vadis? *Bone Marrow Transplant*. (2018) 53:832–7. doi: 10.1038/s41409-018-0092-x

251. Naeije L, Kariminia A, Abdossamadi S, Azadpour S, Subrt P, Kuzeljevic B, et al. Anti-thymocyte globulin prophylaxis induces a decrease in naive Th cells to inhibit the onset of chronic graft-versus-host disease: results from the canadian bone marrow transplant group (CBMTG) 0801 study. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. (2020) 26:438– 44. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2019.11.015

252. Kawakami K, Minami N, Matsuura M, Iida T, Toyonaga T, Nagaishi K, et al. Osteopontin attenuates acute gastrointestinal graft-versus-host disease by preventing apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2017) 485:468–75. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.02.047

253. Neo SH, Her Z, Othman R, Tee CA, Ong LC, Wang Y, et al. Expansion of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cells with enhanced immunomodulatory properties. *Stem Cell Res Ther.* (2023) 14:259. doi: 10.1186/s13287-023-03481-7

254. Qin Y, Wang L, Gao Z, Chen G, Zhang C. Bone marrow stromal/stem cellderived extracellular vesicles regulate osteoblast activity and differentiation *in vitro* and promote bone regeneration *in vivo*. *Sci Rep*. (2016) 6:21961. doi: 10.1038/srep21961

255. Zhao H, Chen Q, Huang H, Suen KC, Alam A, Cui J, et al. Osteopontin mediates necroptosis in lung injury after transplantation of ischaemic renal allografts in rats. *Br J Anaesth*. (2019) 123:519–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2019.05.041

256. Zhang D, Song D, Shi L, Sun X, Zheng Y, Zeng Y, et al. Mechanisms of interactions between lung-origin telocytes and mesenchymal stem cells to treat

experimental acute lung injury. Clin Transl Med. (2020) 10:e231. doi: 10.1002/ ctm2.v10.8

257. Mura M, Cecchini MJ, Joseph M, Granton JT. Osteopontin lung gene expression is a marker of disease severity in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Respirology*. (2019) 24:1104–10. doi: 10.1111/resp.v24.11

258. Oh K, Seo MW, Kim YW, Lee DS. Osteopontin potentiates pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis by modulating IL-17/IFN-gamma-secreting T-cell Ratios in bleomycin-treated mice. *Immune Netw.* (2015) 15:142–9. doi: 10.4110/in.2015.15.3.142

259. Mace ML, Gravesen E, Nordholm A, Egstrand S, Morevati M, Nielsen C, et al. Chronic kidney disease-induced vascular calcification impairs bone metabolism. *J Bone Miner. Res.* (2021) 36:510–22. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.4203

260. Schuchardt M, Herrmann J, Henkel C, Babic M, van der Giet M, Tolle M. Longterm treatment of azathioprine in rats induces vessel mineralization. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9:327. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9030327

261. Mace ML, Gravesen E, Nordholm A, Egstrand S, Morevati M, Olgaard K, et al. The calcified vasculature in chronic kidney disease secretes factors that inhibit bone mineralization. *JBMR Plus.* (2022) 6:e10610. doi: 10.1002/jbm4.10610

262. Pereira RC, Valta H, Tumber N, Salusky IB, Jalanko H, Makitie O, et al. Altered osteocyte-specific protein expression in bone after childhood solid organ transplantation. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0138156. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138156

263. Vigil FMB, Vaz de Castro PAS, Hasparyk UG, Bartolomei VS, Simoes ESAC. Potential role of bone metabolism markers in kidney transplant recipients. *Curr Med Chem.* (2024) 31:2809–20. doi: 10.2174/0109298673250291231121052433

264. Liu X, Liu K, Wang Z, Liu C, Han Z, Tao J, et al. Advanced glycation end products accelerate arteriosclerosis after renal transplantation through the AGE/RAGE/ ILK pathway. *Exp Mol Pathol.* (2015) 99:312–9. doi: 10.1016/j.yexmp.2015.07.009

265. Xu Z, Liu X, Wang Z, Tao J, Han Z, Gu M, et al. Effect of sirolimus on arteriosclerosis induced by advanced glycation end products via inhibition of the ILK/ mTOR pathway in kidney transplantation recipients. *Eur J Pharmacol.* (2017) 813:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2017.06.038

266. Kim SM, Lee HS, Kim MJ, Park HD, Lee SY. Diagnostic value of multiple serum biomarkers for vancomycin-induced kidney injury. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10:5005. doi: 10.3390/jcm10215005

267. Almaani S, Prokopec SD, Zhang J, Yu L, Avila-Casado C, Wither J, et al. Rethinking lupus nephritis classification on a molecular level. *J Clin Med.* (2019) 8:1524. doi: 10.3390/jcm8101524

268. Sobotka R, Capoun O, Hanus T, Zima T, Kalousova M, Soukup V. The importance of serum osteopontin and stanniocalcin-1 in renal cell carcinoma. *Neoplasma*. (2018) 65:958–64. doi: 10.4149/neo_2018_171123N759

269. Berti A, Warner R, Johnson K, Cornec D, Schroeder D, Kabat B, et al. Brief report: circulating cytokine profiles and antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody specificity in patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2018) 70:1114–21. doi: 10.1002/art.40471

270. Zurita AJ, Gagnon RC, Liu Y, Tran HT, Figlin RA, Hutson TE, et al. Integrating cytokines and angiogenic factors and tumour bulk with selected clinical criteria improves determination of prognosis in advanced renal cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* (2017) 117:478–84. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.206

271. Trojanowicz B, Ulrich C, Fiedler R, Storr M, Boehler T, Martus P, et al. Impact of serum and dialysates obtained from chronic hemodialysis patients maintained on high cut-off membranes on inflammation profile in human THP-1 monocytes. *Hemodial. Int.* (2017) 21:348–58. doi: 10.1111/hdi.2017.21.issue-3

272. Brooks ER, Hoppe B, Milliner DS, Salido E, Rim J, Krevitt LM, et al. Assessment of urine proteomics in type 1 primary hyperoxaluria. *Am J Nephrol.* (2016) 43:293–303. doi: 10.1159/000445448

273. Ma R, Jiang W, Li Z, Sun Y, Wei Z. Intrarenal macrophage infiltration induced by T cells is associated with podocyte injury in lupus nephritis patients. *Lupus*. (2016) 25:1577–86. doi: 10.1177/0961203316646861

274. Rabjerg M, Bjerregaard H, Halekoh U, Jensen BL, Walter S, Marcussen N. Molecular characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma identifies CSNK2A1, SPP1 and DEFB1 as promising novel prognostic markers. *APMIS.* (2016) 124:372–83. doi: 10.1111/apm.2016.124.issue-5

275. Okumura N, Tsujihata M, Momohara C, Yoshioka I, Suto K, Nonomura N, et al. Diversity in protein profiles of individual calcium oxalate kidney stones. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8:e68624. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068624

276. Righi L, Bollito E, Ceppi P, Mirabelli D, Tavaglione V, Chiusa L, et al. Prognostic role of bone sialoprotein in clear cell renal carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* (2013) 33:2679–87.

277. Sim SH, Messenger MP, Gregory WM, Wind TC, Vasudev NS, Cartledge J, et al. Prognostic utility of pre-operative circulating osteopontin, carbonic anhydrase IX and CRP in renal cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*. (2012) 107:1131–7. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2012.360

278. Papworth K, Bergh A, Grankvist K, Ljungberg B, Sandlund J, Rasmuson T. Osteopontin but not parathyroid hormone-related protein predicts prognosis in human renal cell carcinoma. *Acta Oncol.* (2013) 52:159–65. doi: 10.3109/0284186X. 2012.693623

279. Zurita AJ, Jonasch E, Wang X, Khajavi M, Yan S, Du DZ, et al. A cytokine and angiogenic factor (CAF) analysis in plasma for selection of sorafenib therapy in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Ann Oncol.* (2012) 23:46–52. doi: 10.1093/ annonc/mdr047