Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rena Feinman, Hackensack Meridian Health, United States

REVIEWED BY

Daisy Alapat, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, United States Gabor Janos Szebeni, Hungarian Academy of Sciences (MTA), Hungary

*CORRESPONDENCE Barbara Forró Sforro.barbara@pte.hu

RECEIVED 15 July 2024 ACCEPTED 04 February 2025 PUBLISHED 26 February 2025

CITATION

Forró B, Kajtár B, Lacza Á, Kereskai L, Vida L, Kőszegi B, Urbán P, Kun J, Gyenesei A, Kosztolányi S, Kehl D and Jáksó P (2025) Multiparameter flow cytometric and transcriptional analyis of CD20 positive Tcells in bone marrow in patients of multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance. *Front. Immunol.* 16:1464940. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2025.1464940

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Forró, Kajtár, Lacza, Kereskai, Vida, Kőszegi, Urbán, Kun, Gyenesei, Kosztolányi, Kehl and Jáksó. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Multiparameter flow cytometric and transcriptional analyis of CD20 positive T-cells in bone marrow in patients of multiple myeloma and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance

Barbara Forró^{1*}, Béla Kajtár¹, Ágnes Lacza¹, László Kereskai¹, Livia Vida¹, Balázs Kőszegi², Péter Urbán³, József Kun^{3,4}, Attila Gyenesei³, Szabolcs Kosztolányi⁵, Dániel Kehl⁶ and Pál Jáksó¹

¹Department of Pathology, University of Pécs Medical School, Clinical Centre, Pécs, Hungary, ²Department of Biochemistry and Medical Chemistry, University of Pécs Medical School, Pécs, Hungary, ³Genomics and Bioinformatics Core Facility, Szentágothai Research Centre of the University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary, ⁴Department of Pharmacology and Pharmacotherapy, University of Pécs Medical School, Pécs, Hungary, ⁵1st Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pécs Medical School, Pécs, Hungary, ⁶Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

Introduction: CD20+ T-cells were described firstly in peripheral blood and later in bone marrow in patients with hematological tumors, and certain immunemediated diseases. During our hematological diagnostic work, this peculiar subgroup of lymphocytes has been consistently observed associated with untreated monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) and myeloma (MM). Despite the expanding literature data, the exact function of CD20 + T cells remains unclear.

Methods: We investigated the incidence of CD20+ T-cells in MGUS (n=27), and MM using a larger cohort (n=125) and compared it with control bone marrow samples (n=39). We examined their presence before and after treatment in 32 cases with flow cytometry. Comprehensive flow cytometric analysis included the examination of functional (T-cell activation, cytotoxic molecules and T-cell exhaustion) and maturation markers in a large number of cases. In addition RNA sequencing and subsequent bioinformatics analyses were carried out to detect differentially expressed (DE) genes of FACS sorted CD20+ T-cells versus CD20- T-cells.

Results and discussion: We found that CD20+ T-cells are phenotypically and transcriptionally different from CD20- T-cells. Elevated incidence of CD20+ T-cells in MGUS and MM and the expression of CD8, NKG2D, and CD28 suggests anti-tumor functionality. Increased PD-1 expression indicates T-cell exhaustion which was mostly detected in the samples of patients with a higher tumor percentage. The majority of CD20+ T-cells are effector or effector memory T-cells. Some of the differentially expressed genes suggest antitumor function via

regulating T-cell activation pathways, while other genes involved in tumor escape from immune surveillance by suppressing T-cells or by reprogramming T-cells toward T-cell exhaustion. Our findings suggest that CD20+ T-cells may play a vital role both in immune surveillance and immune escape contributing to progression of multiple myeloma.

KEYWORDS

multiple myeloma, CD20, T-cells, tumor immunology, T-cell exhaustion

1 Introduction

CD20 antigen is a transmembrane protein, previously described as a pan-B cell marker present on a broad variety of normal and neoplastic B-cells. A small subset of CD3+ T-cells was also found to express CD20, that was first reported by Hultin et al. in healthy peripheral blood (1). Algino et al. identified CD20 on T-cells in patients of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) (2). Two latter reports revealed that in some occasional T-cell neoplasm cases CD20 appeared on T-cells as an abnormal phenomenon (3, 4). CD20 on T-cells was also seen in patients of rheumatoid arthritis, but this finding was considered as an artifact of flow cytometry (FCM) (5). Schuh et al. (6) described them in the thymus, bone marrow and secondary lymphoid organs and they were also found in cerebrospinal fluid, in patients with multiple sclerosis. De Bruyn et al. (7) described them as Tc1 effector memory T-cells in peritoneal ascites fluid with patients of ovarian cancer.

The following assumptions arise regarding the origin of CD20+ T-cells. According to a hypothesis, CD20 molecule on T-cells is a result of ex vivo storage of blood samples that leads to antigen exchange between T- and B-cells (8). Another approach is that CD20 expression on T-cells is a result of a specific acceptor cell mediated process, called trogocytosis or "shaving reaction". During this molecular reorganization - also called 'immunological synapse -' T-cells could extract CD20 from the antigen presenting cells, eventually presenting it on their own surface (7, 9). On contrary, Schuh et al. (6) aimed to clarify these issues, therefore cell sorting experiments were carried out on CD3+/CD19-/CD20+, CD3 +/CD19-/CD20- T-cells and CD3-/CD19+/CD20+/B-cells from peripheral blood of healthy individuals, and quantitative PCR was run on each population to compare them at the transcriptional level. As described, CD3+CD19-CD20+ cells themselves transcribe CD20.

During our diagnostic work on hematological malignancies, we noticed elevated percentages of CD20+ T-cells in patients of myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), MGUS, and MM. The highest proportions were consequently observed in diagnostic MM samples, where in some occasional cases, the proportion of these cells reached 30-35% among lymphocytes. When these data were compared with control bone marrow ratios, we measured an average difference of 8-10% (n=10, 15-20% vs. 2-3%, respectively. We investigated the expression of NKG2D (CD314), a marker associated with responses to cellular distress, infections (10). In 9 out of 10 cases, our target cells were found to be positive for NKG2D, based on these findings, we assumed that these cells may participate in antitumor immune responses which, in turn could be crucial in achieving long term disease control in MM patients (11). Studies in the context of cancer are limited, therefore we set out to expand the data regarding CD20+T-cells in the bone marrow of MM cases, and to investigate if they have any impact on the course of the disease.

Based on the preliminary findings above, comprehensive flow cytometric studies were performed on CD20+ T-cells, their incidence and phenotype were examined on a larger cohort, consisting of MM, MGUS and control samples. Correlating with the literature (12), CD20 + T-cells showed mostly CD8 positive cytotoxic phenotype, so the flow cytometric part of the current study was restricted to CD20+CD8+ Tcells. Our panel contained four backbone markers, CD45, CD20, CD3, CD8 and functional markers such as CD28, CD137, CD25, CD103, NKG2D, perforin, granzyme-B, LAG-3 (CD223), PD-1(CD279). We also examined CD45RA and CCR7 expression to classify them into maturation categories (8, 13). To access the transcriptional profile, CD3 +CD20+ and CD20- cells were sorted and analyzed using RNA sequencing. In addition, we monitored the proportion of CD20+ Tcells from the time of the diagnosis of MM, and through the follow-up of treatment and looked for a correlation between the CD20+ T-cells ratios and the proportion of measurable residual disease (MRD) of MM samples.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patients and samples

Samples and data were collected and handled with the authorization of the Regional Ethics Committee of University of Pécs. Bone marrow (BM) samples were collected from patients with confirmed diagnosis of MM (n=125) and MGUS (n=27) according to International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of Multiple Myeloma and Related Plasma Cell Disorders (14).

The demographic distribution MM and MGUS patients ranged from 42 year to 84 years with a mean age of 67. The patients with confirmed diagnosis of MM included 65 females and 60 male patients, whereas in MGUS, 15 belonged to female and 12 to male patients. Control samples derived from patients with presumptive clinical diagnosis of MM or other hematological disease that were not verified based on hematopathological investigations (n=16), and from patients being in complete remission following treatment of a hematological disorder (n=23). The demographic distribution of the control patients ranged from 1 year to 81 years. Among control samples without confirmed hematological malignancy, 9 belonged to female and 7 to male patients, with mean age of 74. The control patients in remission following therapy for non-myeloma hematological malignancies included 12 males and 11 females, with mean age of 31. In 32 MM patients, we could perform a follow up from the time of the diagnosis and during treatment. The measurable residual disease was detected by FCM and bone marrow core biopsy histology. Patients were treated with a proteasome inhibition-based triplet therapy and, whenever applicable, autologous stem cell transplantation was performed following ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines (15).

2.2 Preparation of bone marrow samples for flow cytometry

Bone marrow aspirates were obtained from the iliac crest region and collected in BD Vacutainer ® EDTA tubes. Bone marrow cells (5-10x10⁵) were labeled with fluorescently conjugated antibodies and incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature, protected from light. Erythrocyte lysis and cell fixation was carried out according to the manufacturer's recommendations, briefly Excellyse I lysing buffer (Exbio) was added for 2 minutes, and after dilution with DI water, incubated for another 10 minutes. Samples were spun down at room temperature, using 400xG for 5 minutes and the pellet was resuspended in 500 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Finally, Syto 41 (S41) nucleic acid stain (ThermoFisher) was added and incubated for 2 minutes. In the case of perforin and granzyme-B, after labeling with backbone surface markers, intracellular staining protocol was performed following the guidelines of the manufacturer (Fix and Perm Cell Permeabilization Kit, ThermoFisher). 1x10⁵ S41 positive cells were collected using Navios Flow Cytometer (Beckman Coulter). Data were analyzed using Flowjo software (version 9.8.5.). We started the analysis by discriminating nucleated cells from debris using S41 and forward scatter (FSC) parameters. CD45 and side scatter (SSC) was used to identify lymphocytes and further divided into CD3 (clone: SK7, Sony) positive/negative and CD20 (clone: 2H7, Exbio) positive/ negative lymphocytes, according to Figure 1. Fluorescent dyes and manufacturers of the additional monoclonal antibodies for phenotyping are briefly: BB515-conjugated anti-CD279 (clone: EH12.1, BD Biosciences), PE-Cy7-conjugated anti CD28 (clone: CD28.2, Sony Biotechnology), APC-conjugated anti-CD314 or NKG2D (clone: BcR-A-CT35, Sony Biotechnology), BV510conjugated anti-CD8 (clone: SK1, BD-Biosciences), FITC-conjugated Granzyme-B (clone: Gb 11, Beckman-Coulter), APC-conjugated anti-CD25 (clone: M-A251, Sony Biotechnology), APC-R700 anti-CD223 (clone: T47-530, BD Biosciences), APC-conjugated anti CD137 (clone: 4B4-1, Sony Biotechnology), FITC-conjugated Perforin (clone: delta G9, Miltenyi Biotec).

2.3 Isolation of bone marrow mononuclear cells by Ficoll-Pague technique and cell sorting by Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting (FACS)

Lymphocytes were isolated from bone marrow aspirate samples (n=3) by Ficoll-Paque density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-PaqueTM PLUS, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences). All subsequent steps were performed on a laminar flow cabinet to prevent contamination. The Ficoll solution (1 ml) was placed in the lower fraction, and the bone marrow (2 ml) was layered on it by careful pipetting. After that, centrifugation took place for 20 minutes at 470xG. The layer of mononuclear cells were transferred into sterile 15 ml conical tube and washed with 10 ml of PBS and spun down for 5 min, 400xG. After discarding supernatant, we resuspended cells in 300 µl of cooled sterile PBS with 2% (v/v %) FBS (Fetal



Gating on CD20+ T-cells in a multiple myeloma patient. Intact white blood cells were gated based on Syto 41 positivity and forward scatter Lymphocytes were separated by CD45 and side scatter. Finally in the lymphocyte gate CD20+ T cells can be seen on the upper right quadrant Bovine Serum, Gibco) and cells were proceeded to cell count. 1x10⁷ cells were stained with CD45 FITC (clone: HI30, Sony), CD3-ECD (Clone: UCHT1, Beckman Coulter), CD20-PE (clone: LT20, Exbio) fluorescent antibodies and incubated for 15 minutes, at 4°C, protected from light. After incubation, cells were washed and centrifuged with FBS-PBS (2%) and resuspended in 1ml of FBS-PBS (2%). Samples were sorted with SONY SH800 Cell Sorter (Sony Biotechnology). Debris and aggregates were eliminated based on FSC/SSC, lymphocytes were isolated based on CD45/SSC, and divided into two groups: CD3+CD20+ and CD3+CD20- cells. On average, approximately 1x10⁵ CD3+CD20+ cells and 3x10⁵ CD3+CD20- cells were sorted per patient.

2.4 RNA extraction of sorted CD3+CD20+ vs. CD3+CD20- T-cells

After sorting, extra FBS was added to the samples, adjusting 10 v/v % final volume. Samples (n=3) were spun down for 5 min, 400xG, and resuspended in 300 μ l of Trizol (TRIzol[®] Reagent, Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific) and proceeded to RNA isolation. For RNA isolation, RNA isolation kit (Direct-zolTM RNA MicroPrep, Zymo Research) was used. RNA was air-dried and dissolved in double-distilled water (ddH2O) and devided in two portions for RNA-sequencing and real-time quantitative PCR.

2.5 3' mRNA sequencing of CD3+CD20+ vs. CD3+CD20- T-cells

RNA libraries were generated using the QuantSeq 3' mRNA-Seq Library Prep Kit FWD for Illumina (Lexogen). Briefly, 50 ng of total RNA was used as input for first strand cDNA generation using oligodT primer followed by RNA removal. Thereafter, the second strand synthesis is initiated by random priming and the products were purified with magnetic beads. Finally, the libraries were amplified and barcoded using PCR. All libraries were assessed on the Agilent 4200 TapeStation (Agilent Technologies) to examine if adapter dimers formed during PCR. The QuantSeq libraries were sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform (Illumina Inc.) to produce 75 base pair single end reads. Binary Base Call (BCL) files generated by the sequencing instrument were base called, demultiplexed and translated into FASTQ files using bcl2fastq v2.20.0.422 software (Illumina Inc.). Reads were subsequently filtered for a minimum length of 40bp and qualitytrimmed to Q30 using the Phred algorithm with BBDuk from the BBTools suite v38.86 (16). The processed reads were aligned against the human reference genome (GRCh37 Ensembl release) with STAR v2.7.6a (17). The number of reads aligned within each gene was counted using HTSeq Python library v0.11.1 (18). Gene count data were normalized using the trimmed mean of M values (TMM) normalization method of the edgeR R/Bioconductor package (v3.28, R v3.6.0, Bioconductor v3.9). Data were further log transformed using the voom approach for statistical evaluation in the limma package (19). Fold change (FC) values between the compared groups and moderated t-test p-values were calculated by the limma package. Normalized counts in exploratory data analysis and visualization were represented as transcripts per million (TPM) values.

2.6 Determination of CD20 (MS4A1) mRNA level by real-time quantitative PCR

Reverse transcription was processed by the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to manufacturer's instructions. The reaction mixture contained 50-100 ng of extracted cellular RNA. Real-time quantitative-PCR reaction mixture total volume was 25µl and contained the following components: 5µl cDNA, 4mM MgCl2, 400µM of each dNTP, 10X PCR buffer (Roche Molecular Biochemicals), 1 U FastStart Taq DNA polimerase (Roche Molecular Biochemicals), 0,3µM of forward-reverse primer mix, 0,2µM of PCR probe. The PCR conditions were set as follows: pre denaturation: 95°C, 6 min; 95°C, 35 sec; 59°C, 35 sec; 72°C, 35 sec; 45 cycles. The following primers and probes were used: human MS4A1 forward, ATGTCTTCACTGGTGGGCC; human MS4A1 reverse, TAATCTGGACAGCCCCCAA; human MS4A1 probe, [6FAM] CACGCAAAGCTTCTTCATGAGGGAATCT[TAM]; human CABL forward, TGGAGATAACACTCTAAGCATAA CTAAAGGT; human CABL reverse, GATGTAGTTGCTT GGGACCCA; human CABL probe [6FAM]CCATTTTTGG TTTGGGCTTCACACCATT[TAM]. PCR was run on StepOnePlusTM Real Time PCR System (Life Tecnologies). Data were evaluated using 2- $\Delta\Delta$ Cq method.

3 Results

3.1 Ratio of CD20+ T-cells in control, MGUS and MM groups

As a first step we analyzed differences between the control group and MGUS and MM patients according to their CD20+ T-cell ratios among lymphocytes and S41+ nucleated cells, respectively. In MGUS and MM samples the median ratio of CD20+ T-cells was roughly two times higher (10.3% and 12.3%, respectively) compared to control bone marrow samples (6.26%). The groups were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis's rank sum test. In both cases, considering CD20+ T cell/lymphocyte ratios and CD20+ T-cells/S41+ cell ratios we found evidence of a difference in the distributions of the control vs. MGUS and MM groups (p-value < 0.001). We analyzed our data for correlation between presence of CD20+ T cells on age and gender for both control and MM/MGUS patients. We did find low positive but non-significant Pearson correlation between age and presence (control, r =0.279, p = 0.176, MM/MGUS, r = 0.06, p = 0.495). We did not find any evidence either in case of gender and presence (Mann-Whitney-Wilxocon, control W = 62.5, p = 0.4145, MM/MGUS, W = 3082, p = 0.1184).

Comparing CD3+CD8+CD20+ and CD3+CD8+CD20populations we found similarly high (on avereage: 90.3% vs. 73.8%) expression ratios of NKG2D in 19 patients' samples, however there

was a significant difference between the two groups (Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction, p<0.001). The expression level as determined by median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of NKG2D was roughly 2-fold higher on the CD3+CD8+CD20+ subset (on average: 6767 vs. 3441). We examined the expression of CD28 molecule in 19 patients' samples, where we found significant difference regarding the proportion (on average: 33.3% vs. 21.7%), and in the intensity (on average: 817 vs. 402) of CD28 expression, (p<0.001). Regarding PD-1, our data show differences in respect of expression ratios (on average: 38.1% vs. 19.5%, n=19, p<0.001), as well as MFI values (on average: 965 vs. 457, p<0.001) comparing CD3+CD8+CD20+, and CD3+CD8 +CD20- populations, respectively. Regarding granzyme-B and perforin, we found that only a small fraction of CD3+CD8+CD20+ T-cells contained granzyme-B compared to its CD20- counterpart (20% vs. 48%, respectively, n=12, p<0.001). However, CD20+ T-cells express negligible amount of perforin compared to CD20- T-cells (2% vs. 29%, n=12, p<0.002). Figures 2, 3 show barplots representing the above mentioned expression data for each marker, while Figure 4 displays the representative plots of the markers above.

Examining the maturation status of CD8+CD20+ T-cells based on CD45RA and CCR7 expressions, data showed that the 50.2% were effector, 40.1% effector memory, 5.2% central memory and 4.5% naive T-cells. In case of CD8+CD20- T-cells, we found lower ratio of effector memory (41.7%) and higher ratio of naive T-cells (13.5%). Figure 5 and Table 1 shows the proportions of the different memory subtypes within CD20+ and CD20- T cells.

No surface expressions were found regarding CD137, CD25, CD223 and CD103 examining 12 patients' samples neither on CD3 +CD8+CD20+ nor on CD3+CD8+CD20- T-cells.

In the 32 follow-up MM samples, according to statistical data, there was no evidence for a correlation between CD20+ T-cells ratio changes and measurable residual disease ratio by FCM or histology. However, regardless of CD20 expression a positive correlation of surface CD279 expression ratio and proportion of abnormal plasma cell count in bone marrow biopsies was found (Pearson's product-moment correlation, cor: 0.53, p<0.01 for CD3+CD8+CD20+ and cor: 0.62, p<0.002 for CD3+CD8+CD20- populations).

3.2 RNAseq analysis and qPCR of CD20 positive T cells reveal a transcriptionally different population from its CD20 negative counterpart

By performing RNA sequencing on CD3+CD20+ and CD3 +CD20- T-cells, we identified numerous differently expressed genes that predominantly associated with T-cell activation, T-cell suppression, and T-cell exhaustion (Supplementary Figure S1). Upregulation of CRTAM, CST7, NFKB2 and downregulation of CTLA4, CD55, NDFIP1, SOCS3, SELL (CD62L) suggest that CD3 +CD20+ T-cells may have stronger initial antitumor capability compared to CD3+CD20- T-cells. In contrary we found upregulated (EOMES, TNFSFR9, TIGIT, KLRD1) and downregulated genes (PAG1, GNLY, ANXA1, FGFBP2) that are involved in tumor escape from immune surveillance by suppressing T-cells or by reprogramming T-cells toward T-cell exhaustion. We also found DE genes that have bimodal function on T-cells based on tissue microenvironment e.g.: CDK6, DUSP5, YBX3, MCOLN2, DUSP2. The overexpression of MS4A1 was confirmed via quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis, demonstrating a 6.5 to 7.6-fold increase in expression in CD20+ cells relative to CD20- cells. This finding aligns with RNA-Seq data, which showed a comparable 6 to 10-fold increase in MS4A1 expression. To ensure robust normalization and accurate comparison, C-Abl was employed as a reference gene, enabling precise quantification of MS4A1 expression differences between the CD20+ and CD20- cell populations (Supplementary Figure S2).

4 Conclusion

A recently published study precisely summarizes the complex mechanisms of immunoediting in MM through which myeloma cells escape from the control of the immune surveillance, thus becoming one of the worst hematological tumors to treat (20). Immunoediting concept postulates the relationship between the





tumor cells and the antitumor responders of the immune system (21). As described, tumor progression consists of 3 phases: elimination, equilibrium and escape. In MM, the multistep evolution from asymptomatic plasma cell dyscrasias (e.g. smoldering myeloma and MGUS) to clinically manifested MM was paralleled with immunoediting phases by Botta et al. (22). Along this line, it was also described that different immune cell actors participate in each phase. Analyzing the flow cytometry results, finding CD28 expression would support that our target cells are involved in the initiation of T-cell activation (23). CD137,

being an activation induced costimulatory molecule could indicate that these cells have been recently activated (24). CD25 (25) and CD103 (26) expression could support that these cells are rather involved in regulatory processes. Being positive for NKG2D as a well characterized receptor of cellular distress response, would suggest, that these cells implement antitumor activity (11, 27–29). Producing perforin and granzyme-B would suggest direct cytolytic effect. The panel also contained LAG-3 and PD-1 molecules that implement immune suppression and play a role in T-cell exhaustion (30–33).





Our main hypothesis regarding CD20+ T-cells was that they may play a more competent role in the tumor elimination compared to CD20- T-cells which is supported by the elevated incidence of CD20+ T-cells in MGUS and MM and the expression of CD8, NKG2D, and CD28. Although we found that the antitumor effect does probably not take place through direct cytolysis, since we could detect only a small amount of granzyme-B and a negligible amount of perforin. The higher rate and intensity of surface PD-1 expression on CD20+ T cells in untreated samples and the correlation of the CD8+CD279+ cell ratios with the tumor percentage in follow-up samples suggest that the exhaustion of these T cells may cause tumor progression, however it was found regardless of CD20 expression on T-cells.

The surface phenotype pattern regarding CD45RA and CCR7 of CD20+ T cells suggest that these cells mostly belong to the effector or effector memory subtype. This is further supported by the expression patterns of various genes linked to the development of immunological memory, in particular the downregulation of CCR7, CD45RA, SELL (34), LEF1 (35), FAM65B (36) and IL7R (36) genes and upregulation of NF κ B (37). Upregulation of CRTAM that is known to be expressed on activated T-cells (38),

and downregulation of CTLA4 (39) CD55 (40), NDFIP1 (41), SOCS3 (42) inhibitory genes suggest antitumor function via regulating T-cell activation pathways. On the other hand, there are significantly upregulated inhibitory genes e.g. TIGIT that interacting with CD155 on antigen presenting cells and on tumor cells also in MM (43), is able to downregulate T and NK cell functions. For that reason, TIGIT is known as a key inhibitor of anti-tumor responses. The upregulated KLRD1 is another inhibitory receptor, previously found on NK cells, that dominantly counteracts T-cell receptor signaling of CD8+ Teffector memory subset (44). Upregulated EOMES and TNFSFR9 and downregulated genes (PAG1, GNLY, ANXA1, FGFBP2) that are involved in tumor escape from immune surveillance by suppressing T-cells or by reprogramming T-cells toward T-cell exhaustion (45–47).

In summary, some of the information in the study confirms previous literary data, but we also offer some novelties in the potential function of CD20+ T-cells. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to report the detection of MS4A1 (CD20) as an intracellular transcript on T cells sorted from native bone marrow samples. In the presented research, a

TABLE 1 Ratio	of T-cell	memory	subtypes.
---------------	-----------	--------	-----------

	T-naïve %	T-central memory	T-effector memory	T-effector
CD20+ T-cell	4.5%	5.2%	50.2%	40.1%
CD20- T-cell	13.5%	5.8%	41.7%	39.1%

larger cohort of bone marrow samples of patients of plasma cell neoplasms were characterized by multiparameter flow cytometric immunophenotyping. Furthermore RNA-sequencing was performed separately on CD20+ and CD20- T-cell subsets providing novel insight into the characteristics and function of these lymphocytes that may play a vital role in immune surveillance and immune escape contributing to progression of myeloma. Deciphering the functions of specific T-cell populations may lead to better understanding of the immune processes of tumor microenvironments and may uncover potential therapeutic targets.

Data availability statement

Original datasets are available in a publicly accessible repository. These data can be found in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA): https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB83672.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Regional Ethics Committee of University of Pécs, University of Pécs (University of Pécs, Clinical Center - Statistical and scientific data collection license registration number: KK/601-2). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/ next of kin because patients' bone marrow samples were sent for hematological diagnostics, no separate invasive intervention was performed for the purpose of the research. Retrospective and other samples were processed anonymously and derived from remnants of diagnostic samples. The study has adhered to most recent revision of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Author contributions

BF: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BKa: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ÁL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. LK: Validation, Writing – review & editing. LV: Validation, Writing – review & editing. BKo: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. PU: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. JK: Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. AG: Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SK: Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. DK: Investigation, Validation, Writing – review & editing. PJ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The publication was funded by GINOP-2.3.4-15-2020-00010, GINOP-2.3.1-20-2020-00001. Project no. TKP-2021-EGA-13 has been implemented with the support provided from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary, financed under the TKP-2021-EGA funding scheme. Bioinformatics infrastructure was supported by ELIXIR Hungary (https://www.bioinformatics.hu/).

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all clinicians who had sent patients' samples to the Department of Pathology, University of Pécs Medical School, and the coworkers of the laboratory for their dedicated work in immunophenotyping of the clinical samples.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2025.1464940/full#supplementary-material

1. Hultin LE, Hausner MA, Hultin PM, Giorgi JV. CD20 (pan-B cell) antigen is expressed at a low level on a subpopulation of human T lymphocytes. *Cytometry: J Int Soc Analytical Cytol.* (1993) 14:196–204. doi: 10.1002/cyto.990140212

2. Algino KM, Thomason RW, King DE, Montiel MM, Craig FE. CD20 (pan-B cell antigen) expression on bone marrow-derived T cells. *Am J Clin Pathol.* (1996) 106:78–81. doi: 10.1093/ajcp/106.1.78

3. Miyazaki K, Ohsaka M, Suzuki Y, Danbara M, Horie R, Higashihara M. CD20positive T-cell large granular lymphocyte leukemia: case report and review of the literature. *Internal Med.* (2009) 48:1443–7. doi: 10.2169/internalmedicine.48.2141

4. Mohrmann RL, Arber DA. CD20-Positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma: report of a case after nodular sclerosis Hodgkin's disease and review of the literature. *Mod Pathol.* (2000) 11):1244–52. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3880229

5. Henry C, Ramadan A, Montcuquet N, Pallandre JR, Mercier-Letondal P, Deschamps M, et al. CD3+CD20+ cells may be an artifact of flow cytometry: comment on the article by Wilk et al. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2010) 62:2561–3. doi: 10.1002/art.27527

6. Schuh E, Berer K, Mulazzani M, Feil K, Meinl I, Lahm H, et al. Features of human CD3+CD20+ T cells. J Immunol. (2016) 197:1111-7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1600089

7. Marco de B, Valerie RW, Maartje CAW, Douwe FS, Harry GK, Wijnand H, et al. CD20+T cells have a predominantly Tc1 effector memory phenotype and are expanded in the ascites of patients with ovarian cancer. *OncoImmunology*. (2015) 4:4. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2014.999536

8. Nagel A, Möbs C, Raifer H, Wiendl H, Hertl M, Eming R. CD3-positive B cells: a storage-dependent phenomenon. *PloS One.* (2014) 9:e110138. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110138

9. Taylor RP, Lindorfer MA. Analyses of CD20 monoclonal antibody mediated tumor cell killing mechanisms: rational design of dosing strategies. *Mol Pharmacol.* (2014) 86:485–91. doi: 10.1124/mol.114.092684

10. Raulet DH. Roles of the NKG2D immunoreceptor and its ligands. Nat Rev Immunol. (2003) 10):781-90. doi: 10.1038/nri1199

11. Pessoa de Magalhães RJ, Vidriales MB, Paiva B, Fernandez-Gimenez C, García-Sanz R, Mateos MV, et al. Spanish Myeloma Group (GEM); Grupo Castellano-Leones de Gammapatias Monoclonales, cooperative study groups. Analysis of the immune system of multiple myeloma patients achieving long-term disease control by multidimensional flow cytometry. *Haematologica*. (2013) 98:79–86. doi: 10.3324/ haematol.2012.067272

12. Katopodis O, Liossis SN, Viglis V, Pouli A, Dimopoulos MA, Sfikakis PP. Expansion of CD8+ T cells that express low levels of the B cell-specific molecule CD20 in patients with multiple myeloma. *Br J Haematol.* (2003) 120:478–81. doi: 10.1046/ j.1365-2141.2003.04087

13. Takata H, Takiguchi M. Three memory subsets of human CD8+ T cells differently expressing three cytolytic effector molecules. *J Immunol.* (2006) 177:4330–40. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.7.4330

14. Rajkumar SV, Dimopoulos MA, Palumbo A, Blade J, Merlini G, Mateos MV, et al. International Myeloma Working Group updated criteria for the diagnosis of multiple myeloma. *Lancet Oncol.* (2014) 5:12 e538–48. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14) 70442-5

15. Moreau P, San Miguel J, Sonneveld P, Mateos MV, Zamagni E, Avet-Loiseau H, et al. ESMO Guidelines Committee. Multiple myeloma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol.* (2017) 28:iv52–61. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx096

16. Bushnell B, Rood J, Singer E. BBMerge – Accurate paired shotgun read merging via overlap. *PloS One*. (2017) 12:e0185056. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0185056

17. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. TR. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*. (2013) 29:15–21. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/bts635

18. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq-a Python framework to work with highthroughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. (2015) 31:166-9. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu638

19. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2015) 43:e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007

20. Wang C, Wang W, Wang M, Deng J, Sun C, Hu Y, et al. Different evasion strategies in multiple myeloma. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1346211. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1346211

21. Lasek W. Cancer immunoediting hypothesis: history, clinical implications and controversies. *Cent Eur J Immunol.* (2022) 47:168–74. doi: 10.5114/ceji.2022.117376

22. Botta C, Mendicino F, Martino EA, Vigna E, Ronchetti D, Correale P, et al. Mechanisms of immune evasion in multiple myeloma: open questions and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancers (Basel).* (2021) 13(13):3213. doi: 10.3390/cancers13133213

23. Esensten JH, Helou YA, Chopra G, Weiss A, Bluestone JA. CD28 costimulation: from mechanism to therapy. *Immunity*. (2016) 44:973-88. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2016.04.020

24. Yonezawa A, Dutt S, Chester C, Kim J, Kohrt HE. Boosting cancer immunotherapy with anti-CD137 antibody therapy. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2015) 21:3113–20. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0263

25. Thompson C, Powrie F. Regulatory T cells. Curr Opin Pharmacol. (2004) 4:408–14. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2004.05.001

26. Sharma A, Rudra D. Emerging functions of regulatory T cells in tissue homeostasis. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:883. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00883

27. Fionda C, Soriani A, Zingoni A, Santoni A, Cippitelli M. NKG2D and DNAM-1 ligands: molecular targets for NK cell-mediated immunotherapeutic intervention in multiple myeloma. *BioMed Res Int.* (2015) 2015(2015):178698. doi: 10.1155/2015/178698

28. Prajapati K, Perez C, Rojas LBP, Burke B, Guevara-Patino JA. Functions of NKG2D in CD8+ T cells: an opportunity for immunotherapy. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2018) 15:470–9. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2017.161

29. Zafirova B, Wensveen FM, Gulin M, Polić B. Regulation of immune cell function and differentiation by the NKG2D receptor. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2011) 68:3519–29. doi: 10.1007/s00018-011-0797-0

30. Grosso JF, Kelleher CC, Harris TJ, Maris CH, Hipkiss EL, De Marzo A, et al. LAG-3 regulates CD8+ T cell accumulation and effector function in murine self- and tumor-tolerance systems. *J Clin Invest.* (2007) 117:3383–92. doi: 10.1172/JCI31184

31. Matsuzaki J, Gnjatic S, Mhawech-Fauceglia P, Beck A, Miller A, Tsuji T, et al. Tumor-infiltrating NY-ESO-1-specific CD8+ T cells are negatively regulated by LAG-3 and PD-1 in human ovarian cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2010) 107:7875–80. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1003345107

32. Chen DS, Mellman I. Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. *Immunity*. (2013) 39:1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012

33. Gros A, Robbins PF, Yao X, Li YF, Turcotte S, Tran E, et al. PD-1 identifies the patient-specific CD8 $^+$ tumor-reactive repertoire infiltrating human tumors. J Clin Invest. (2014) 124:2246–59. doi: 10.1172/JCI73639

34. Unsoeld H, Pircher H. Complex memory T-cell phenotypes revealed by coexpression of CD62L and CCR7. J Virol. (2005) 79:4510-3. doi: 10.1128/JVI.79.7.4510-4513

35. Ngai H, Barragan GA, Tian G, Balzeau JC, Zhang C, Courtney AN, et al. LEF1 drives a central memory program and supports antitumor activity of natural killer T cells. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2023) 11:171–83. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066

36. Froehlich J, Versapuech M, Megrelis L, Largeteau Q, Meunier S, Tanchot C, et al. FAM65B controls the proliferation of transformed and primary T cells. *Oncotarget*. (2016) 7:63215–25. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.11438

37. Daniels MA, Luera D, Teixeiro E. NFKB signaling in T cell memory. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1129191. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1129191

38. Boles KS, Barchet W, Diacovo T, Cella M, Colonna M. The tumor suppressor TSLC1/NECL-2 triggers NK-cell and CD8+ T-cell responses through the cell-surface receptor CRTAM. *Blood.* (2005) 106:779–86. doi: 10.1182/blood-2005-02-0817

39. Ville S, Poirier N, Blancho G, Vanhove B. Co-stimulatory blockade of the CD28/ CD80-86/CTLA-4 balance in transplantation: impact on memory T cells? *Front Immunol.* (2015) 6:411. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2015.00411

40. Liu J, Miwa T, Hilliard B, Chen Y, Lambris JD, Wells AD, et al. The complement inhibitory protein DAF (CD55) suppresses T cell immunity *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (2005) 4):567–77. doi: 10.1084/jem.20040863

41. Wagle MV, Marchingo JM, Howitt J, Tan SS, Goodnow CC, Parish IA. The ubiquitin ligase adaptor NDFIP1 selectively enforces a CD8+ T cell tolerance checkpoint to high-dose antigen. *Cell Rep.* (2018) 24:577-84. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.060

42. Mise-Omata S, Ando M, Srirat T, Nakagawara K, Hayakawa T, Iizuka-Koga M. SOCS3 deletion in effector T cells confers an anti-tumorigenic role of IL-6 to the protumorigenic cytokine. *Cell Rep.* (2023) 42:112940. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112940

43. Martinez-Verbo L, Villanueva L, Llinàs-Arias P, Antonio-García-Prieto C, Piñeyro D, Oliver-Caldes A, et al. P822: epigenetic regulation of cd155 on multiple myeloma cells influences anti-tumor novel immunotherapeutic approaches. *Hemasphere*. (2023) 7:e404615e. doi: 10.1097/01.HS9.0000970192

44. Kamiya T, Seow SV, Wong D, Robinson M, Campana D. Blocking expression of inhibitory receptor NKG2A overcomes tumor resistance to NK cells. *J Clin Invest.* (2019) 129:2094–106. doi: 10.1172/JCI123955

45. He QF, Xu Y, Li J, Huang ZM, Li XH, Wang X. CD8+ T-cell exhaustion in cancer: mechanisms and new area for cancer immunotherapy. *Brief Funct Genomics*. (2019) 18:99–106. doi: 10.1093/bfgp/ely006

46. Liang H, Zheng Y, Huang Z, Dai J, Yao L, Xie D, et al. Pan-cancer analysis for the prognostic and immunological role of CD47: interact with TNFRSF9 inducing CD8 + T cell exhaustion. *Discovery Oncol.* (2024) 15:149. doi: 10.1007/s12672-024-00951

47. Li Y, Wang Z, Jiang W, Zeng H, Liu Z, Lin Z, et al. Tumor-infiltrating TNFRSF9 + CD8+ T cells define different subsets of clear cell renal cell carcinoma with prognosis and immunotherapeutic response. *Oncoimmunology*. (2020) 9:1838141. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2020.1838141