

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Betty Diamond,
Feinstein Institute for Medical Research,
United States

*CORRESPONDENCE

Katarzyna Bogunia-Kubik

katarzyna.bogunia-kubik@hirszfeld.pl

Philippe Saas

philippe.saas@efs.sante.fr

Eric Toussirot

■ etoussirot@chu-besancon.fr

RECEIVED 19 November 2024 ACCEPTED 12 December 2024 PUBLISHED 03 January 2025

CITATION

Bogunia-Kubik K, Saas P and Toussirot E (2025) Editorial: Community series in recent advances in potential biomarkers for rheumatic diseases and in cell-based therapies in the management of inflammatory rheumatic diseases, volume II. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1530739. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1530739

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Bogunia-Kubik, Saas and Toussirot. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Community series in recent advances in potential biomarkers for rheumatic diseases and in cell-based therapies in the management of inflammatory rheumatic diseases, volume II

Katarzyna Bogunia-Kubik (p^{1*}, Philippe Saas (p^{2,3*} and Eric Toussirot (p^{4*}

¹Hirszfeld Institute of Immunology and Experimental Therapy, Polish Academy of Sciences, Wroclaw, Poland, ²Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Team: Epigenetics, Immunity, Metabolism, Cell Signaling & Cancer, Inserm U 1209, CNRS UMR 5309, Université Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France, ³Etablissement Français du Sang Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, R&D Laboratory, Grenoble, France, ⁴Université de Franche Comté, INSERM Centre Investigation Clinique CIC-1431, CHU de Besançon, Besancon, France

KEYWORDS

TNF inhibitors, biomarkers, cell-based therapy, inflammatory rheumatic diseases, psoriatic arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus

Editorial on the Research Topic

Community series in recent advances in potential biomarkers for rheumatic diseases and in cell-based therapies in the management of inflammatory rheumatic diseases, volume II

This Research Topic focuses on recent advances in the identification of diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarkers for inflammatory rheumatic diseases (IRDs), as well as recent advances in cell-based therapies used in their treatment (1). The second volume is composed of 3 review articles and 7 original articles, and the manuscripts collected are especially dedicated to biomarkers in IRDs. They present the effects of various approaches leading to the identification and description of biomarkers, such as: (i) a review of existing biomarker recommendations and meta-analysis of existing data (e.g. of epigenetic and DNA methylation studies); (ii) application of more laboratory-based analyses, such as measurement of single protein concentrations, circulating exosomal miRNA and serum proteome profiling, detection of specific antibodies in serum and saliva; and (iii) implementation of newer biostatistical methods including machine learning. Consequently, in addition to well-known associations, several new findings in the field of IRD biomarkers are provided.

Liu et al. reviewed and formulated guidelines for biomarkers in the diagnosis and assessment of patients with axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA). They highlighted the

Bogunia-Kubik et al. 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1530739

usefulness of currently applied HLA-B27 testing in patients with suspected axSpA and regular C-reactive protein/erythrocyte sedimentation rate monitoring as specifically recommended for axSpA evaluation (2).

Mangoni and Zinellu in their systematic review and metaanalysis focused on the pathophysiological role of neopterin known as a biomarker of inflammation and oxidative stress, suggesting that it may be useful in identifying rheumatic diseases. Neopterin is an organic compound belonging to the pteridine class of heterocyclic compounds and belongs to the chemical group known as pteridines. It is synthesized by human macrophages after interferon-gamma stimulation and serves as a marker of activation of the cellular immune system.

The role of epigenetic regulation of gene expression and function of DNA methylation was discussed by Wang et al. who argue that genome methylation analysis may be a beneficial tool to aid in the early diagnosis of Sjögren's syndrome (SjS). Interestingly, in addition to the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) locus, several regions were found to be differentially methylated in SjS patients, including genes regulated by type I interferon, the runt-related transcription factor gene (RUNX1), lymphotoxin- α (LTA) and myxovirus A resistance protein (MxA).

More experimental analyses, such the application of molecular profiling and gene expression studies for biomarker detection was also proposed. Angioni et al. performed a transcriptomic analysis of whole blood and a comparison of molecular profiles between psoriatic arthritis (PsA) patients in clinical remission after TNF inhibitor (TNFi) treatment, PsA patients with active disease and healthy controls. The results pointed the role of dysregulation of two genes involved in the processes of inflammation perpetuation and bone metabolism. Downregulation and upregulation of FOS and CCDC50, respectively, were identified as contributing to the pathophysiology of PsA as described in a study on clinical remission in PsA patients treated with TNFi.

Serum proteome profiling was used in another study by Cuesta-López et al. investigating age- and sex-matched control patients with newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients, and patients with established RA (with a disease duration of more than 25 years). An additional longitudinal study was conducted on two cohorts of RA patients treated with methotrexate or tofacitinib for 6 months. By analyzing their cardiovascular and cardiometabolic proteome (examining serum profiling of 184 proteins using the Olink technology platform), the authors were able to identify changes in serum proteins associated with cardiovascular disease in RA patients and identify candidate protein biomarkers to distinguish RA patients from healthy individuals (such as elevated levels of CTSL1, SORT1, SAA4, TNFRSF10A, ST6GAL1 and CCL18). They were also able to show how methotrexate and tofacitinib affect serum levels of these proteins, and to identify SAA4 as a potential biomarker of response to these therapies.

Serum and salivary antibody levels against *Porphyromonas* gingivalis (*P. gingivalis*, a major periodontal pathogen) were investigated by Svärd et al. in a Swedish RA patient cohort. The results indicated the local production of IgA directed against

P. gingivalis-specific Arg-specific gingipain B (anti-RgpB) in the salivary glands, which is not accompanied by systemic antibody production. Higher levels of IgA anti-RgpB antibodies in saliva were detected in RA patients compared to healthy controls. However, despite some common features and a potential link between RA and periodontitis (e.g., elevated levels of anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies [ACPAs]) (3), anti-RgpB antibodies were not found to be associated with RA disease activity, periodontitis or serum IgG ACPAs.

Four remaining original articles addressed biomarkers related to lupus nephritis (LN) development, the disease onset, activity, and response to treatment.

The study by Li et al. aimed to assess whether serum levels of human epididymis protein 4 (HE4) can identify pathological classes of LN in adults and children with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). The study was conducted on three cohorts of SLE patients (those without LN as well as LN patients with adult or childhood onset) and showed an association of higher serum HE4 levels with adult onset LN. It was also observed that among patients with adult onset LN, elevated HE4 levels were more common in patients with proliferative LN and in patients with chronic class IV lesions.

It was also found that urinary L-selectin (uL-selectin) level may act as a novel biomarker of disease activity and renal histopathology in LN. Moreover, it may reflect treatment response in LN patients during follow-up, as uL-selectin concentrations decreased significantly in the complete renal remission group. This was reported by Shen et al. in a study investigating two independent cohorts, a Chinese cohort and a US cohort of SLE patients and controls.

Chen et al. analyzed and compared circulating exosomal microRNA molecules in the serum of SLE patients with or without LN. They detected significantly higher levels of exosomal hsa-miR-4796-5p and hsa-miR-7974 in LN cases compared to SLE patients without LN. The levels of these miRNAs positively correlated with proteinuria and SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI), and were significantly elevated in patients with LN compared with other autoimmune nephritis conditions such as immunoglobulin A nephropathy (IgAN) and diabetic nephropathy (DN).

Therefore, it appears that laboratory analysis of uL-selectin levels, serum He4 levels and profiling of exosomal miRNAs in patients' sera may help predict the onset and course of LN. However, the use of statistical tools such as machine learning may also be helpful in a more complex analysis, as shown by Yang et al., offering a reliable non-invasive diagnostic tool for SLE patients when renal biopsy is not possible or safe.

Yang et al. performed a retrospective analysis of clinical and laboratory data from patients diagnosed with SLE and renal involvement who underwent renal biopsy aiming to develop and validate machine learning models to predict the occurrence of proliferative lupus nephritis (PLN). Their study confirmed the efficacy of traditional indicators such as anti-double stranded DNA (dsDNA) antibodies, complement levels, serum creatinine and urinary red and white blood cells in predicting and differentiating PLN, and also demonstrated the potential value of previously controversial or underutilized indicators such as serum chloride, neutrophil percentage, serum cystatin C, hematocrit,

Bogunia-Kubik et al. 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1530739

urine pH, routine red blood cells and immunoglobulin M in predicting PLN.

In conclusion, employment of various experimental approaches, laboratory and statistical tools provides a comprehensive perspective on the inclusion of a wider range of biomarkers in the diagnosis, prediction and treatment outcome of IRDs.

As Volume III of our Research Topic has been initiated, we invite authors to submit their manuscripts.

Author contributions

KB-K: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PS: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. ET: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the authors for their contribution to this Research Topic and all peer reviewers for their insightful comments. KB-K was supported by the National Science Centre, Poland (grant No. 2022/47/B/NZ3/01980 and 2023/49/N/NZ5/04128). PS was supported

by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-11-LABX-0021 to Labex LipSTIC).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Saas P, Toussirot E, Bogunia-Kubik K. Editorial: recent advances in potential biomarkers for rheumatic diseases and in cell-based therapies in the management of inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 12:836119. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.836119

- 2. Navarro-Compán V, Sepriano A, El-Zorkany B, van der Heijde D. Axial spondyloarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2021) 80:1511–21. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-221035
- 3. Potempa J, Mydel P, Koziel J. The case for periodontitis in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. *Nat Rev Rheumatol*. (2017) 13:606–20. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.2017.132