



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Editorial Office
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 01 November 2024
ACCEPTED 01 November 2024
PUBLISHED 08 November 2024

CITATION
Frontiers Editorial Office (2024) Retraction:
Baricitinib protects mice from sepsis-
induced cardiac dysfunction and
multiple-organ failure.
Front. Immunol. 15:1521254.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1521254

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Retraction: Baricitinib protects mice from sepsis-induced cardiac dysfunction and multiple-organ failure

Frontiers Editorial Office*

A Retraction of the Original Research Article

Baricitinib protects mice from sepsis-induced cardiac dysfunction and multiple-organ failure

by Verra C, Mohammad S, Alves GF, Porchietto E, Coldewey SM, Collino M and Thiemeermann C (2023) *Front. Immunol.* 14:1223014. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1223014

Following publication, concerns were raised regarding the integrity of the images in the published Figure 4. Western Blot images in Figure 4A showed duplication of bands (Total JAK2 female group). The authors failed to provide a satisfactory explanation during the investigation, which was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. As a result, the data and conclusions of the article have been deemed unreliable and the article has been retracted.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors received a communication regarding the retraction.

Sina Maren Coldewey does not agree to this retraction. The other authors did not respond to correspondence regarding this retraction.