Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaodong Yuan, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

REVIEWED BY Giovanbattista Ippoliti, Italian National Transplant Centre, Italy Hedong Zhang, Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Hong Zheng zhenghongyx@139.com

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 30 October 2024 ACCEPTED 12 December 2024 PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

CITATION

Kong D, Duan J, Chen S, Wang Z, Ren J, Lu J, Chen T, Song Z, Wu D, Chang Y, Yin Z, Shen Z and Zheng H (2025) Transplant oncology and anti-cancer immunosuppressants. Front. Immunol. 15:1520083. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1520083](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1520083)

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kong, Duan, Chen, Wang, Ren, Lu, Chen, Song, Wu, Chang, Yin, Shen and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Transplant oncology and anti](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1520083/full)[cancer immunosuppressants](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1520083/full)

Dejun Kong^{1†}, Jinliang Duan^{1†}, Shaofeng Chen^{1†}, Zhenglu Wang^{2,3}, Jiashu Ren⁴, Jianing Lu⁴, Tao Chen¹, Zhuolun Song², Di Wu², Yuan Chang¹, Zhongqian Yin⁴, Zhongyang Shen^{2,3,5,6} and Hong Zheng^{2,3,5,6*}

1 Nankai University School of Medicine, Tianjin, China, 2Tianjin Organ Transplantation Research Center, Tianjin First Central Hospital, Nankai University School of Medicine, Tianjin, China, 3Key Laboratory of Transplant Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Tianjin, China, 4Tianjin First Central Clinical College, Tianjin, China, 5Research Institute of Transplant Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, China, 6Tianjin Key Laboratory for Organ Transplantation, Tianjin, China

Organ transplantation is a life-saving intervention that enhances the quality of life for patients with end-stage organ failure. However, long-term immunosuppressive therapy is required to prevent allogeneic graft rejection, which inadvertently elevates the risk of post-transplant malignancies, especially for liver transplant recipients with a prior history of liver cancer. In response, the emerging field of transplant oncology integrates principles from oncology and immunology to improve outcomes for patients at high risk of tumor occurrence or recurrence following transplantation. Therefore, it is of substantial clinical significance to develop immunosuppressants that possess both immunosuppressive and anti-tumor properties. For instance, mTOR inhibitors demonstrate anti-tumor effects among antimetabolite immunosuppressive drugs, and recent studies indicate that capecitabine, an antimetabolite chemotherapeutic, may also exhibit immunosuppressive activity in the clinic for liver transplants suffering from hepatocellular carcinoma. This review systematically explores potential immunosuppressants with dual anti-tumor and immunosuppressive effects to support the management of transplant patients at elevated risk of tumor occurrence or recurrence.

KEYWORDS

organ transplantation, transplant oncology, immunosuppressant, anti-metabolic drugs, anti-tumor

1 Introduction

Organ transplantation is the only way to extend the lives of many patients with end-stage organ failure. In 1963, Starzl et al. performed the first orthotopic liver transplantation, introducing the concept that primary liver malignancies unresponsive to conventional techniques, such as subtotal liver resection, should be considered for total hepatectomy and liver transplantation ([1\)](#page-8-0). Although transplant oncology is not a new concept, it has recently regained attention with advancements in transplant immunology and oncology, leading to the formal introduction of the term Transplant Oncology in 2015 ([2\)](#page-8-0). Transplant oncology involves replacing a cancerous organ with a healthy one and integrates multiple specialties from transplantation and oncology. It encompasses not only the surgical procedure but also the comprehensive management before and after organ transplantation. This multidisciplinary field relies on four pillars: the evolution of cancer care, the exploration of disease mechanisms, the elucidation of tumor and transplant immunology, and the extension of the limits of hepatobiliary cancer surgery. To date, liver transplantation remains the only solid organ transplantation that provides curative treatment for malignancies in selected patients [\(3](#page-8-0)).

However, after organ transplantation, cancer risk is one of the three major causes of death for the patients ([4\)](#page-8-0). Understanding of post-transplant malignancy is inadequate regarding early detection and lack of established guidelines. The risk factor is most elusive because of altered dynamics of immunity, host response, and different clinical presentation. Studies have shown an overall two to four-fold elevated risk of cancer after the organ transplant. The mechanisms involved in the oncogenesis are long-term immunosuppression leading to reduced immune surveillance of neoplastic cells, and the opportunistic post-transplant infections especially viral infections because of Epstein-Barr virus, Varicella, Cytomegalovirus and Human herpesvirus-8, etc. Physicians and patients face a challenging problem that cancer after an organ transplant is more biologically aggressive and patients may receive less aggressive cancer treatment because of comorbidities and the fear of transplant rejection.

Recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) following liver transplantation occurs in 6%–18% of patients transplanted for HCC [\(5\)](#page-8-0). Recurrence is partially predictable and thus represents not only the loss of a potential donor resource, but also the added, and problematic challenge, of managing a presumably accelerated tumor progression in an immunocompromised host [\(6,](#page-8-0) [7](#page-8-0)). The Israel Penn International Transplant Tumor Registry, the largest and most comprehensive registry in the world records non-melanoma skin cancers as the most prevalent cancer in post organ transplant state. Following is the list of various cancers associated with the organ transplant: Kaposi sarcoma, skin (non-melanoma, non-epithelial), non-Hodgkin lymphoma, liver, anus, vulva, and lip tumors ([8\)](#page-8-0).

After organ transplantation, a triple therapy regimen, typically comprising calcineurin inhibitors (CNIs, such as tacrolimus or cyclosporine), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), and glucocorticoids, is routinely administered for immunosuppression in the clinic [\(9,](#page-8-0) [10\)](#page-8-0). However, experimental and early observational clinical studies have highlighted the interaction between immunosuppressive therapy and HCC recurrence ([11\)](#page-8-0). Several retrospective studies have demonstrated an association between CNIs levels and HCC recurrence. For example, one study found that recurrence was highest (46%) when high CNIs levels were present alongside other clinical or histological risk factors (Alpha-fetoprotein > 50ng/mL, macrovascular invasion, and G3–G4 grading). In patients with the same non-immunosuppressive risk factors but under low CNI levels, the recurrence rate was only 15%. These results link intensive immunosuppression with a high risk of tumor recurrence after liver transplantation ([12\)](#page-8-0). Therefore, for liver transplant patients with liver cancer or those at high risk of postoperative tumor development, it is necessary to introduce novel clinical strategies to prevent tumor recurrence after transplantation. Additionally, replacing CNIs with rapamycin drugs in the early postoperative period to mitigate the risk of tumor recurrence is also being adopted in clinical practice, this strategy decreases the rate of tumorigenesis after organ transplantation.

In 2004, Guba et al. proposed the notion that certain reagents with both anti-tumor and immunosuppressive effects could be used for liver transplantation in patients at high risk of tumor recurrence, we defined these agents referred to as anti-cancer immunosuppressants (ACIS) ([13](#page-8-0), [14\)](#page-8-0). Additionally, they confirmed rapamycin as an effective ACIS in clinical settings. In recent years, some novel drugs have emerged as potential ACIS which may promote the development of transplant oncology, as shown in [Table 1.](#page-2-0) In this review, we will summarize the current ACIS identified from experimental and clinical studies and mechanisms.

2 Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibitors and derivates

Interestingly, immunosuppression is correlated with tumor occurrence risk ([15\)](#page-8-0), some HCC pathways are also the target of some immunosuppression agents, such as the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitors (mTORi) ([16](#page-8-0), [17\)](#page-8-0) [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)). Indeed, activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway is common (50%– 60%) in HCC, and is correlated with poor HCC prognosis. Retrospective studies have documented lower HCC recurrence and higher posttransplant survival in patients under mTORi immunosuppression compared to CNIs. In the largest study $(n =$ 2491 HCC patients and 12167 non-HCC patients), sirolimus (SRL) based immunosuppression was associated with improved 5-year survival in those with an HCC indication ([18,](#page-8-0) [19\)](#page-8-0).

In HCC, mTOR promotes protein synthesis by activating S6K1 and inhibiting 4E-BP1, both of which enhance protein translation. This supports the uncontrolled growth and proliferation of HCC cells. mTOR controls glucose metabolism, lipid synthesis, and mitochondrial function [\(20\)](#page-8-0). In HCC, hyperactivation of mTOR drives anabolic processes, providing the energy and biomass needed for rapid tumor growth. mTOR also regulates angiogenesis through vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) production, ensuring an adequate blood supply for the expanding tumor mass in HCC. Under normal conditions, mTOR inhibits autophagy, a cellular degradation process. In HCC, sustained mTOR activation suppresses autophagy, which may contribute to tumor survival by preventing the clearance of damaged organelles and proteins ([17](#page-8-0), [21](#page-8-0), [22](#page-8-0)).

2.1 Sirolimus and Everolimus

While in transplantation, rapamycin binds to FKBP12 and inhibits mTOR, which blocks T-cell and B-cell activation and proliferation by preventing the response to IL-2 [\(23](#page-8-0)). SRL and Everolimus are both mTOR inhibitors that share a similar mechanism of action but differ in TABLE 1 Classification of immunosuppressants with anti-tumor effects.

FIGURE 1

Anti-tumor and anti-rejection mechanisms of rapamycin. Rapamycin binds to FKBP12, forming a complex that inhibits mTOR. In tumor cells, it suppresses proliferation by downregulating cyclin D1, S6K1, and 4E-BP1, promotes apoptosis by regulating ULK and Bcl-2, and inhibits angiogenesis by reducing VEGF levels. In immune cells, it inhibits dendritic cell maturation, T cell proliferation, and pro-inflammatory cytokine production while promoting regulatory T cell induction through modulation of key signaling pathways and transcription factors. S6K1, ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1; 4E-BP1, eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1; ULK, Unc-51 like autophagy activating kinase; Bcl-2, B-cell lymphoma 2; CD124, interleukin-4 receptor subunit alpha; CD132, interleukin-2 receptor subunit gamma; CDK4, cyclin-dependent kinase 4.

their chemical properties, pharmacokinetics, and clinical applications [\(24,](#page-8-0) [25\)](#page-8-0). Both SRL and Everolimus are used as immunosuppressants to prevent organ rejection after transplantation, but they have different pharmacokinetic profiles and clinical applications.

3 Antimetabolites

3.1 5-Fluorouracil and Capecitabine

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is a uracil analogue wherein the hydrogen at the 5-position of uracil is substituted with fluorine. As a quintessential antimetabolic agent, it has been extensively utilized in clinical oncology for the treatment of various malignancies. 5-FU itself lacks inherent biological activity and must be metabolized in vivo to its active forms: fluorouracil deoxynucleotide (F-dUMP) and fluorouracil triphosphate nucleoside (FUTP). F-dUMP, structurally analogous to dUMP, inhibits deoxythymidine synthase, while FUTP is integrated into RNA as FUMP. In addition to its antitumor properties, 5-FU exhibits significant immunosuppressive effects, which may facilitate its use in preventing organ rejection post-transplantation. Prior research has demonstrated that 5-FU can extend the survival of rat heart allografts [\(26](#page-8-0)). Nonetheless, the potential for serious side effects, such as bone marrow suppression, constrains the feasibility of its prolonged use in transplant background.

Capecitabine (CAP), a prodrug of 5-FU, has emerged as a firstline chemotherapeutic agent for the treatment of malignancies such as colorectal cancer. Following oral administration, CAP is absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and subsequently metabolized into its intermediary forms: 5'-deoxy-5-fluorocytidine and 5'-deoxy-5 fluorouridine, through the action of carboxylesterase and cytidine deaminase, respectively. Ultimately, these intermediates are converted to 5-FU by thymidylate phosphorylase (TP). The expression of TP is markedly elevated in cancerous tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues, leading to a higher accumulation of 5-FU in tumor cells and enhanced anticancer efficacy [\(27\)](#page-8-0). Consequently, the therapeutic effectiveness of CAP is closely associated with the distribution and expression of TP.

Early research has established that CAP is effective in preventing acute rejection of canine renal allografts. Although neurotoxicity has been observed, it can be attenuated by dose reduction [\(28,](#page-8-0) [29](#page-8-0)). Subsequent studies have demonstrated that, in addition to its presence in tumor cells, TP is highly expressed in T cells, whereas its expression in bone marrow tissue remains minimal. This differential tissue distribution of TP not only mitigates the myelosuppressive side effects of CAP but also promotes selective enrichment of 5-FU in T cells, thereby minimizing its cytotoxic effects. Research has demonstrated that CAP reduces the proportion of T cells in mice and lowers the levels of associated proinflammatory factors, including IL-2, TNF-a, and IL-6, suggesting that CAP primarily exerts its immunosuppressive effects on compromised T cells ([30](#page-8-0)). Further investigations revealed that CAP can T cell apoptosis by inhibiting the AKT/SMARCC1/ AP-1 axis through HSP90AB1 [\(31\)](#page-8-0). Metronomic chemotherapy is characterized by the frequent administration of conventional chemotherapy drugs at low doses with no prolonged drug-free breaks. Compared to traditional chemotherapy, it not only exerts direct effects on tumor cells, but also inhibits angiogenesis by inhibiting the synthesis and release of anti-angiogenic factors inducing thrombospondin-1, endostatin, angiostatin and pigment epithelium-derived factor ([32\)](#page-8-0). In addition, it minimizes the cytotoxicity of conventional chemotherapy drugs [\(33\)](#page-8-0). In a clinical study examining liver cancer recurrence following liver transplantation, metronomic CAP achieved comparable efficacy to sorafenib without causing acute rejection, indicating its potential as an anti-rejection therapy post-transplantation [\(34\)](#page-8-0). Zheng et al. has shown that metronomic CAP administered to recipient rats can induce T cell ferroptosis by inhibiting the Nrf2/HO-1/GPX4 antioxidant system, thereby mitigating liver allograft rejection ([35](#page-8-0)). Additionally, they demonstrated that CAP targets thymidylate synthase (TYMS) inhibition, an enzyme essential for DNA synthesis and pyrimidine metabolism, thereby suppressing CD4+ T cell activation and Th1 cell differentiation, effects that contribute to CAP-mediated inhibition of cardiac allograft rejection ([36\)](#page-8-0). Further studies have confirmed that rapamycin enhances the protective effects of metronomic CAP, prolonging survival in rat liver transplantation and murine cardiac allograft models [\(37](#page-8-0)). At present, the immunosuppressive effects of metronomic CAP on organ transplantation have only been demonstrated in experimental animal models, highlighting the need for further basic and clinical research to validate these findings.

3.2 Mycophenolic acid and its derivatives

Mycophenolic acid (MPA), an antibiotic derived from Penicillium stoloniferum and similar fungal strains, inhibits the proliferation and function of T and B lymphocytes by targeting inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, the rate-limiting enzyme in the de novo synthesis of guanine nucleotides. Currently, MPA and its derivatives, including MMF and mycophenolate sodium, are predominantly employed clinically as immunosuppressants in organ transplantation and for the treatment of autoimmune diseases such as systemic lupus erythematosus and rheumatoid arthritis.

Studies have substantiated the anti-tumor effects of MPA and its derivatives both in vivo and in vitro. Previous research indicates that MPA effectively inhibits the proliferation of various human and mouse tumor cell lines in a dose-dependent manner, ranging from low doses (0.01 μ M) to high doses (100 μ M) in vitro. Tumor cell lines exhibit varying degrees of sensitivity to MPA, with human lymphocytic leukemia cells (Molt-4) demonstrating the highest susceptibility (IC50 < 0.1 μ M). Conversely, not all tumor cell lines are responsive to MPA; for instance, gastric adenocarcinoma cells (Hs746T), pancreatic ductal carcinoma cells (PANC-1), and human HCC cells (HepG2) show resistance to MPA's antiproliferative effects, even after 72 hours of treatment with concentrations up to 20 μ g/mL ([38](#page-8-0)). In alignment with these in vitro findings, numerous studies have reported that MMF, when administered either by gavage or intraperitoneal injection, can inhibit the growth and metastasis of several tumors in tumorbearing mice or rats. However, certain tumors, such as mouse colon adenocarcinoma cells (CT26), liver endothelioma cells (SK-Hep-1), and liver adenocarcinoma cells (Hep-3B), exhibit resistance to MMF when implanted in animal models [\(39,](#page-8-0) [40](#page-8-0)).

Clinical studies have demonstrated that the inclusion of MMF in standard immunosuppressive regimens is associated with a significantly reduced risk of developing malignancies [\(41](#page-8-0)-[43\)](#page-8-0). Two notable clinical trials have investigated the anti-cancer effects of MMF. In 2004, Takebe et al. conducted a Phase I clinical trial to evaluate MMF for the treatment of advanced multiple myeloma. The study revealed that patients with relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma tolerated MMF well at doses ranging from 1 to 5 grams per day, and the clinical response correlated positively with a decrease in intracellular deoxyguanosine triphosphate levels [\(44\)](#page-8-0). In another trial, 12 patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma were treated with MMF or a placebo for 5 to 15 days prior to surgery. Unfortunately, the MMF-treated group ($n =$ 6) did not exhibit significant anti-tumor effects compared to the untreated group ($n = 6$) [\(45\)](#page-8-0). Consequently, further investigation is required to fully understand the therapeutic potential of MPAbased drugs across various malignancies.

3.3 Cyclophosphamide

Cyclophosphamide (CP) is a nitrogen mustard derivative that, upon hydrolysis by phosphoramidase or phosphatase in the liver or tumor tissue, is converted into its active form, phosphoramide mustard. This active metabolite induces cross-linking of DNA by alkylating guanine bases, leading to the formation of cross-links between DNA strands or within the same strand, thereby disrupting the DNA structure. As a cytotoxic chemotherapeutic agent, CP is utilized clinically for the treatment of various malignancies, including malignant lymphoma, multiple myeloma, breast cancer, small cell lung cancer, and ovarian cancer. Additionally, CP serves as an immunosuppressant in the management of autoimmune diseases and in preventing organ rejection during transplantation.

In 1963, Berenbaum first demonstrated that a single dose of CP could extend the survival of skin allografts in mice ([46](#page-8-0)). In 1971, Starzl et al. reported the application of CP in human organ transplantation ([47](#page-8-0)). Following this, CP was employed clinically to prevent rejection in kidney, heart, liver, and other organ transplants ([48](#page-9-0)–[50](#page-9-0)). However, as an early immunosuppressive agent, due to its significant toxicities, including bladder toxicity and gonadotoxicity, CP has been increasingly supplanted by safer alternatives, such as cyclosporine A [\(51\)](#page-9-0).

3.4 6-mercaptopurine and its derivatives

6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) is a purine analog that impedes cell proliferation and induces cell death by interfering with DNA and RNA synthesis. It is primarily utilized in the clinical management of leukemia. Given that activated and proliferating lymphocytes involved in rejection are heavily reliant on purine metabolism, 6- MP can function as an immunosuppressive agent in organ transplantation. In 1960, Zukoski demonstrated that 6-MP could extend the functional survival of renal homografts in canines [\(52\)](#page-9-0).

Azathioprine (AZA) is a sustained-release prodrug of 6-MP. Although AZA and 6-MP exhibit comparable immunosuppressive activities in vivo, AZA is associated with reduced toxicity at equivalent immunosuppressive concentrations. Consequently, AZA is extensively utilized in the treatment of autoimmune diseases and in the immunosuppressive management of organ transplantation ([53\)](#page-9-0). The first application of AZA for immunosuppression in human kidney transplantation occurred in 1961 ([54](#page-9-0)). Subsequently, AZA, in combination with corticosteroids and CNIs, became the standard regimen for immunosuppressive drug therapy in organ transplantation [\(55\)](#page-9-0). However, in recent years, AZA has been progressively supplanted by MMF, another purine nucleotide inhibitor, owing to MMF's superior immunosuppressive efficacy compared to AZA [\(55\)](#page-9-0).

3.5 Methotrexate

Methotrexate (MTX) is a folate analog that inhibits dihydrofolate reductase, thereby preventing the reduction of dihydrofolate to the physiologically active tetrahydrofolate. This inhibition disrupts the transfer of one carbon units during the biosynthesis of purine and pyrimidine nucleotides, ultimately leading to the inhibition of DNA synthesis. Additionally, MTX exerts an inhibitory effect on TYMS; however, its effects on RNA and protein synthesis are relatively weak. Initially developed over 70 years ago as an anti-folate chemotherapy agent, MTX is now widely utilized as a first-line treatment for autoimmune and inflammatory diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, psoriasis, and Crohn's disease, etc. ([56](#page-9-0)).

Alexandra et al. demonstrated that the combination of ATG and MTX can achieve long-term survival of mouse heart allografts through the induction of immune tolerance [\(57\)](#page-9-0). In a clinical study, MTX was utilized as a rescue or adjuvant therapy in both adult and infant heart transplant recipients. The results indicated that while one case of grade 3b rejection was not reversed by MTX, the rejections in all other cases were swiftly reversed following MTX treatment [\(58\)](#page-9-0). Subsequently, another study investigating the use of MTX in conjunction with conventional triple immunosuppressive therapy for recurrent mild to moderate acute rejection in pediatric heart transplantation found that the frequency of rejection at two months post-MTX treatment was significantly reduced compared to pre-treatment levels [\(59\)](#page-9-0). However, an additional clinical study on heart transplantation revealed that patients treated with MTX experienced a higher rejection rate after MTX reversal than those who did not receive MTX ([60\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, the potential long-term benefits of MTX for organ transplant recipients warrant further investigation.

3.6 L-asparaginase

L-asparaginase, an amidohydrolase, is the first enzyme used in cancer treatment and is widely found in various animals, plants, and microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, algae, yeast, and actinomycetes, but not in humans ([61](#page-9-0)). Clinically, L-asparaginase

is primarily extracted and isolated from Escherichia coli bacteria for the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia. This anti-tumor drug exerts cytotoxic effects by inhibiting intracellular protein synthesis. Specifically, L-asparaginase catalyzes the hydrolysis of L-asparagine into L-aspartate and ammonia in the bloodstream. Normal cells, equipped with asparagine synthetase, can synthesize L-asparagine, whereas leukemia cells, deficient in asparagine synthetase, are unable to synthesize sufficient L-asparagine, leading to impaired protein synthesis and subsequent anti-tumor effects [\(62\)](#page-9-0).

Early studies have established the immunosuppressive effects of L-asparaginase. Following treatment with L-asparaginase, mice exhibited reduced lymphocyte counts, atrophy of the lymph nodes, thymus, and spleen, and impaired migration of lymph node cells to the lymph nodes when injected into recipients of the same genotype. In vivo experiments have further demonstrated that L-asparaginase can mitigate skin graft rejection and inhibit the production of antibodies against sheep red blood cells [\(63](#page-9-0)). Additionally, Rapaport et al. confirmed that L-asparaginase can prolong the survival of canine renal allografts [\(64\)](#page-9-0), and Levin et al. verified its immunosuppressive effects in an inbred rat renal transplant model ([65](#page-9-0)). However, there are few reports on the immunosuppressive effects of L-asparaginase and its application in clinical solid organ transplantation.

4 Traditional Chinese herb-derived drugs

Traditional Chinese herbs or plant extracts have demonstrated promising antitumor effects in preclinical studies, while also showing immunosuppressive properties in organ transplantation models, suggesting their potential as novel immunosuppressants with antitumor effects.

4.1 Icaritin

Icaritin (ICT) is an active monomer extracted and isolated from the epimedium plant. Due to its potent therapeutic effects against human malignancies, ICT has entered clinical trials for the treatment of PD-L1-positive advanced HCC (Phase III, ClinicalTrials, NCT03236649), HCC (Phase III, ClinicalTrials, NCT03236636), advanced solid tumors (Phase Ib, completed, ClinicalTrials, NCT02496949), and advanced breast cancer (Phase I, ClinicalTrials, NCT01278810). The antitumor mechanisms of ICT include the downregulation of cyclin-dependent kinase 2 (CDK2) ([66](#page-9-0)–[68\)](#page-9-0), inducing cell cycle arrest in HCC, colon cancer, and prostate cancer. ICT activates NF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand, Fas-caspase-3/8 ([69](#page-9-0), [70](#page-9-0)), inhibits the phosphorylation of Akt and mTOR [\(71](#page-9-0), [72](#page-9-0)), activates PTEN/Parkindependent mitochondrial autophagy [\(73](#page-9-0)), downregulates Jak-2, p-Stat3, and p-Akt expression [\(74\)](#page-9-0), and upregulates p-JNK and p-C-Jun expression ([75](#page-9-0), [76\)](#page-9-0), thereby inducing apoptosis in human glioblastoma, liver cancer, ovarian cancer, and chronic myeloid leukemia cells. Additionally, ICT suppresses tumor cell glycolysis by upregulating p53, thereby inhibiting energy metabolism and hindering liver cancer growth [\(77\)](#page-9-0). ICT also modulates the tumor immune microenvironment by directly binding to MyD88 or IKKa to inhibit the TLR-MyD88-IKK-NF-kB inflammatory pathway, reducing the production of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α and IL-6 [\(78](#page-9-0)). In organ transplantation, ICT has been reported to extend the survival time of allogeneic skin grafts by inhibiting T cell activation [\(79](#page-9-0)), indicating its immunosuppressive effects. While ICT is already in clinical use as an antitumor drug, its immunosuppressive effects in organ transplantation warrant further investigation.

4.2 Artemisinin and its derivatives

Artemisinin (ART) and its derivatives are natural compounds extracted from the artemisia annua plant, a member of the Asteraceae family, which has been traditionally used in Chinese medicine. They are renowned for their potent antimalarial properties. In addition to their antimalarial effects, ART and its derivatives have also demonstrated significant antitumor activity. Furthermore, in allogeneic transplant animal models, ART and its derivatives have notably extended graft survival, suggesting potential immunosuppressive effects.

4.2.1 Artemisinin

The antitumor effects of ART began to receive attention in the early 1990s ([80\)](#page-9-0). ART induces cell cycle arrest in human prostate cancer, human gallbladder cancer, and human breast cancer cells by downregulating the expression of cyclin-dependent kinases CDK2 and CDK4 ([81](#page-9-0)–[83\)](#page-9-0). ART reduces the expression of p-ERK1/2 and inhibits the proliferation of human gallbladder cancer cells [\(82\)](#page-9-0). Besides its antimalarial and antitumor properties, ART has also been reported to possess immunosuppressive effects. In rat models of allogeneic cardiac transplantation, oral administration of ART reduced the infiltration of effector T cells and the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, increased the infiltration of regulatory T cells, and decreased macrophage infiltration in the graft, thereby significantly extending graft survival ([84\)](#page-9-0). Additionally, ART significantly prolonged the survival time of mouse allogeneic skin grafts, which is associated with the blockade of the OX40-OX40L co-stimulatory signaling pathway and reduced IL-6 secretion [\(85](#page-9-0)).

4.2.2 Artesunate

Artesunate (ARS) is derived from the esterification of dihydroartemisinin and succinic anhydride, and it exhibits better aqueous solubility compared to ART. Multiple clinical studies have shown that ARS treatment results in a significant inhibition of tumor growth ([86](#page-9-0)–[90\)](#page-9-0). ARS affects signaling pathways and transcription factors associated with tumor growth, including the inhibition of the IL-6-JAK-STAT pathway ([91\)](#page-9-0), reduction of NF-kB p65 transcriptional activity [\(92](#page-9-0)), activation of p38 MAPK [\(93\)](#page-9-0), and suppression of the Wnt/b-catenin pathway [\(94\)](#page-9-0). ARS inhibits the proliferation of rat HCC, mouse multiple myeloma, human acute myeloid leukemia, and rhabdomyosarcoma cells. ARS also suppresses the secretion of VEGF and its receptor KDR/flk-1, and inhibits microvascular formation in human ovarian cancer ([95\)](#page-9-0). By upregulating intracellular free iron levels, ARS promotes the accumulation of intracellular lipid peroxides and induces ferroptosis in human rhabdomyosarcoma, Burkitt's lymphoma, human pancreatic cancer, human cervical cancer, and human fibrosarcoma cells ([93,](#page-9-0) [96](#page-9-0)–[99\)](#page-10-0). ARS induces oxidative DNA damage leading to DNA double-strand breaks in human glioblastoma cells [\(100](#page-10-0)). ARS has also been reported to have immunosuppressive effects. In mouse allogeneic cardiac transplantation models, ARS significantly reduced the infiltration of inflammatory cells in cardiac tissue and the proportion of CD4+ CD8+ T cells in the spleen and lymph nodes, decreased cardiomyocyte apoptosis, and extended graft survival by reducing the expression of PERK, ATF4, and CHOP to mitigate ROS production and endoplasmic reticulum stress, and by inhibiting dendritic cell maturation ([101](#page-10-0)).

4.3 Berberine

Berberine (BBR) is an isoquinoline alkaloid extracted from Coptis chinensis and Phellodendron amurense, commonly used in the treatment of bacterial diarrhea ([102](#page-10-0)). Several preclinical studies have demonstrated BBR's antitumor properties. BBR induces cell cycle arrest in human thyroid cancer and glioblastoma cells by upregulating the expression of CIP1/p21 and Kip1/p27 proteins and downregulating cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK2, CDK4, CDK6) [\(103](#page-10-0), [104](#page-10-0)). It inhibits the activation of transcription factor AP-1 ([105](#page-10-0)), blocks the nuclear translocation of p50/p65 NF-kB proteins and their binding to the COX-2 promoter [\(106\)](#page-10-0), thereby suppressing the proliferation of human cervical cancer and non-small cell lung cancer cells. BBR induces apoptosis in human liver cancer cells by upregulating P53 ([107\)](#page-10-0), downregulating CD147 ([108\)](#page-10-0), increasing Bax expression, activating caspases 3 and 9 [\(109\)](#page-10-0), promoting AMPK phosphorylation, and inhibiting Akt phosphorylation [\(110\)](#page-10-0). Additionally, BBR inhibits the expression of MMP-2 [\(111](#page-10-0)), suppresses the expression of Hypoxia-inducible factor-1a, Vascular endothelial growth factor, and Pigment epithelium-derived factor [\(106](#page-10-0)), and interacts with vasodilatorstimulated phosphoprotein [\(112\)](#page-10-0), thereby reducing microvascular proliferation and migration in human non-small cell lung cancer and breast cancer cells. In animal models of solid organ transplantation, BBR has shown immunosuppressive effects. In a murine cardiac allograft model, BBR treatment via intraperitoneal injection significantly extended graft survival through inhibiting the activation and proliferation of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and inducing T cell apoptosis through activation of the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway (e.g., inducing expression of PCNA, Bcl-2, Bax, cytochrome c, cleaved-caspase-3, and cleaved-PARP), thus prolonging the survival of cardiac allografts in mice [\(113](#page-10-0)). Due to its low water solubility and poor oral absorption, BBR has not yet been applied clinically.

4.4 Paclitaxel

Paclitaxel (PTX) is a diterpenoid alkaloid extracted from the Pacific yew tree, known for its anti-cancer properties [\(114](#page-10-0)). It has been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of various cancers, including breast cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and ovarian cancer. PTX exerts its effects by binding to the β -tubulin subunit of microtubules, preventing their depolymerization, thereby stabilizing the microtubule structure. This stabilization inhibits tumor cell proliferation, migration, and neovascularization, while promoting apoptosis in tumor cells [\(115,](#page-10-0) [116\)](#page-10-0). The microtubule stabilization induced by PTX is associated with the phosphorylation of Bcl-2, which prevents its interaction with calcineurin and subsequent chelation, thereby allowing the activation of T-cell nuclear factor and subsequent transcription of CD95L ([117\)](#page-10-0). This is related to alloantigen-induced lymphocyte activation, suggesting a potential immunosuppressive role for PTX. Studies have shown that intraperitoneal administration of PTX significantly prolongs the survival of allografts in rat heart transplantation models. PTX induces lymphocyte apoptosis, reducing the activity of cytotoxic T cells and the production of IL-2, as well as the levels of cytotoxic antibodies after alloantigen reexposure ([118,](#page-10-0) [119](#page-10-0)).

4.5 Oxymatrine

Oxymatrine (OMT) is an alkaloid compound extracted from the traditional Chinese medicine sophora flavescens. OMT is utilized for the treatment of chronic hepatitis, chronic bronchitis, and other conditions. Preclinical studies have demonstrated its antitumor effects. OMT reduces the expression of Cyclin D1, CDK4, and CDK6 while enhancing P21 expression ([120,](#page-10-0) [121\)](#page-10-0), leading to cell cycle arrest in human esophageal and gastric cancer cells. It upregulates p53 and Bax proteins [\(122](#page-10-0)), increases caspase-3/ caspase-9 activity ([123\)](#page-10-0), and inhibits the expression of PI3K, p-Akt, p-mTOR, p-p70S6K, and NF- κ B [\(124](#page-10-0)), inducing apoptosis in human gallbladder, prostate cancer, and acute leukemia cells. In addition, OMT decreases the expression levels of VEGF [\(125](#page-10-0)), TGF- β 1, and PAI-1 proteins ([126\)](#page-10-0), and inhibits tumor micro-vessel formation, invasion, and metastatic potential in human pancreatic and colorectal cancers. In a murine cardiac allograft model, intraperitoneal administration of OMT significantly prolonged graft survival reduced CD3+ T cell infiltration, increased Treg cell infiltration, and suppressed the capacity of splenic dendritic cells to stimulate T cell proliferation, alleviating acute graft rejection ([127\)](#page-10-0). The combination of OMT with rapamycin was more effective than either treatment alone in inhibiting mTOR and HIF-1 α expression in CD4+ or CD11c+ cells within the graft ([128\)](#page-10-0).

4.6 Fingolimod hydrochloride

FTY720, a sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) analog derived from the ascomycete Cordyceps sinensis is a potent immunosuppressant widely used in clinical settings for the treatment of multiple sclerosis ([129\)](#page-10-0). After phosphorylation, FTY720 binds to S1P receptors to exert its immunosuppressive effects. The non-phosphorylated form of FTY720 induces apoptosis, enhances chemotherapy sensitivity, and inhibits tumor metastasis by suppressing sphingosine kinase 1 and activating protein phosphatase 2A along with various cell death pathways [\(130\)](#page-10-0). In the field of organ transplantation, Chiba first demonstrated in 1996 that FTY720 could extend the survival of skin, heart, and kidney allografts in rats and dogs ([131\)](#page-10-0). Subsequent studies have validated FTY720's anti-rejection effects in other organ transplantation models, including liver transplants in dogs, and explored its combination with other immunosuppressive agents ([132\)](#page-10-0). Additionally, other herbal extracts such as Luteolin ([133,](#page-10-0) [134\)](#page-10-0), Shikonin ([135](#page-10-0), [136](#page-10-0)) and Emodin ([137,](#page-10-0) [138](#page-10-0)) have been reported to exhibit both anti-tumor and immunosuppressive effects.

Although these herbal extracts have demonstrated promising effects in preclinical studies, the absence of relevant Phase III clinical trials highlights the challenges in clinical translation. Key issues requiring further investigation include the standardization and quality control of the extracts, the analysis of their absorption, metabolism, and excretion in the human body, and the monitoring of potential adverse drug reactions.

5 Conclusion and perspectives

In this review, we comprehensively address CAP, an antimetabolic drug, as a promising candidate for ACIS. Evidence suggests that metronomic CAP treatment, an emerging anti-tumor strategy for liver transplant patients with recurrent tumors, can effectively prevent allograft rejection while simultaneously delaying tumor progression ([34](#page-8-0)). Metronomic chemotherapy is clinically advantageous due to its low side effects and suitability for long-term oral administration, offering substantial benefits to patients [\(139](#page-10-0)– [142\)](#page-10-0). Given that drug monotherapy alone is often insufficient to halt tumor progression or prevent graft rejection in clinical practice, combination therapies are frequently employed. Therefore, we propose criteria for identifying potential ACIS agents for clinical use: agents should effectively inhibit both tumor progression and allograft rejection, be suitable for oral and long-term administration, and demonstrate compatibility with other immunosuppressants to enhance therapeutic efficacy.

In addition, it is worth noting that the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) in solid organ transplant recipients as an adjuvant therapy for recurrent HCC may cause a severe alloimmune injury, even when the drug was introduced years after transplantation ([143\)](#page-10-0). In addition, recipients who discontinue ICIs days before transplantation also face a high risk of rejection ([144,](#page-11-0) [145](#page-11-0)). A safe period between pretransplant ICI administration and transplant remains to be determined. Therefore, ICIs should be used with extreme caution in the peri-transplant or post-transplant.

Allograft rejection and tumor occurrence are two main factors that hinder the long-term survival of transplants, proposing a novel strategy to prevent both rejection and tumor will benefit the patients. Overall, a comprehensive exploration of ACIS warrants further investigations, and we hope that our review and perspectives will contribute to advancements in the field of transplant oncology.

Author contributions

DK: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. JD: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. SC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. ZW: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JR: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. JL: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. TC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. ZLS: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. DW: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. YC: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. ZY: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. ZYS: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition. HZ: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82241219). The Key Project of the Nature Science Foundation of Tianjin (No. 21JCZRJC00160), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2020YFA0710802), the General Project of the Nature Science Foundation of Tianjin (No. 22JCYBJC01230) and the Science Foundation of Tianjin Health Commission (No. ZC20065), Tianjin Key Subject (No. TJYXZDXK-014A).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Iwatsuki S, Klintmalm GB, Starzl TE. Total hepatectomy and liver replacement (orthotopic liver transplantation) for primary hepatic Malignancy. World J Surg. (1982) 6:81–5. doi: [10.1007/BF01656377](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01656377)

2. Krendl FJ, Bellotti R, Sapisochin G, Schaefer B, Tilg H, Scheidl S, et al. Transplant oncology - Current indications and strategies to advance the field. Jhep Rep. (2024) 6:100965. doi: [10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100965](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100965)

3. Abdelrahim M, Esmail A, Abudayyeh A, Murakami N, Saharia A, McMillan R, et al. Transplant oncology: an evolving field in cancer care. Cancers (Basel). (2021) 13 (19):4911. doi: [10.3390/cancers13194911](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13194911)

4. Pilmore H, Dent H, Chang S, McDonald SP, Chadban SJ. Reduction in cardiovascular death after kidney transplantation. Transplantation. (2010) 89:851–57. doi: [10.1097/TP.0b013e3181caeead](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e3181caeead)

5. Chagas AL, Felga G, Diniz MA, Silva RF, Mattos AA, Silva R, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after liver transplantation in a Brazilian multicenter study: clinical profile and prognostic factors of survival. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2019) 31:1148–56. doi: [10.1097/MEG.0000000000001448](https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.0000000000001448)

6. Gorji L, Brown ZJ, Limkemann A, Schenk AD, Pawlik TM. Liver transplant as a treatment of primary and secondary liver neoplasms. JAMA Surg. (2024) 159:211–18. doi: [10.1001/jamasurg.2023.6083](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamasurg.2023.6083)

7. Jin F, Vajdic CM, Poynten IM, McGee-Avila JK, Castle PE, Grulich AE. Cancer risk in people living with HIV and solid organ transplant recipients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Oncol. (2024) 25:933–44. doi: [10.1016/S1470-2045\(24\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(24)00189-X) [00189-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(24)00189-X)

8. Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, Fraumeni JJ, Kasiske BL, Israni AK, Snyder JJ, et al. Spectrum of cancer risk among US solid organ transplant recipients. Jama. (2011) 306:1891–901. doi: [10.1001/jama.2011.1592](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.1592)

9. Pilch NA, Bowman LJ, Taber DJ. Immunosuppression trends in solid organ transplantation: The future of individualization, monitoring, and management. Pharmacotherapy. (2021) 41:119–31. doi: [10.1002/phar.2481](https://doi.org/10.1002/phar.2481)

10. Geissler EK, Schlitt HJ. Immunosuppression for liver transplantation. Gut. (2009) 58:452–63. doi: [10.1136/gut.2008.163527](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2008.163527)

11. Shalaby S, Burra P. De novo and recurrent Malignancy. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. (2020) 46-47:101680. doi: [10.1016/j.bpg.2020.101680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpg.2020.101680)

12. Vivarelli M, Cucchetti A, La Barba G, Ravaioli M, Del GM, Lauro A, et al. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma under calcineurin inhibitors: reassessment of risk factors for tumor recurrence. Ann Surg. (2008) 248:857–62. doi: [10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181896278](https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181896278)

13. Guba M, Graeb C, Jauch KW, Geissler EK. Pro- and anti-cancer effects of immunosuppressive agents used in organ transplantation. Transplantation. (2004) 77:1777–82. doi: [10.1097/01.tp.0000120181.89206.54](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000120181.89206.54)

14. Koehl GE, Andrassy J, Guba M, Richter S, Kroemer A, Scherer MN, et al. Rapamycin protects allografts from rejection while simultaneously attacking tumors in immunosuppressed mice. Transplantation. (2004) 77:1319–26. doi: [10.1097/00007890-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200405150-00002) [200405150-00002](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200405150-00002)

15. Engels EA. Epidemiologic perspectives on immunosuppressed populations and the immunosurveillance and immunocontainment of cancer. Am J Transplant. (2019) 19:3223–32. doi: [10.1111/ajt.15495](https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.15495)

16. Ashworth RE, Wu J. Mammalian target of rapamycin inhibition in hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Hepatol. (2014) 6:776–82. doi: [10.4254/wjh.v6.i11.776](https://doi.org/10.4254/wjh.v6.i11.776)

17. Zou Z, Tao T, Li H, Zhu X. mTOR signaling pathway and mTOR inhibitors in cancer: progress and challenges. Cell Biosci. (2020) 10:31. doi: [10.1186/s13578-020-00396-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13578-020-00396-1)

18. Toso C, Merani S, Bigam DL, Shapiro AM, Kneteman NM. Sirolimus-based immunosuppression is associated with increased survival after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. (2010) 51:1237–43. doi: [10.1002/hep.23437](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.23437)

19. Vivarelli M, Dazzi A, Zanello M, Cucchetti A, Cescon M, Ravaioli M, et al. Effect of different immunosuppressive schedules on recurrence-free survival after liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. Transplantation. (2010) 89:227–31. doi: [10.1097/TP.0b013e3181c3c540](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0b013e3181c3c540)

20. Bhat M, Sonenberg N, Gores GJ. The mTOR pathway in hepatic Malignancies. Hepatology. (2013) 58:810–18. doi: [10.1002/hep.26323](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26323)

21. Charette N, De Saeger C, Lannoy V, Horsmans Y, Leclercq I, Starkel P. Salirasib inhibits the growth of hepatocarcinoma cell lines *in vitro* and tumor growth *in vivo* through ras and mTOR inhibition. Mol Cancer. (2010) 9:256. doi: [10.1186/1476-4598-](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-9-256) [9-256](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-9-256)

22. Kudo M. mTOR inhibitor for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Dig Dis. (2011) 29:310–15. doi: [10.1159/000327565](https://doi.org/10.1159/000327565)

23. Thomson AW, Turnquist HR, Raimondi G. Immunoregulatory functions of mTOR inhibition. Nat Rev Immunol. (2009) 9:324–37. doi: [10.1038/nri2546](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2546)

24. De Simone P, Precisi A, Lai Q, Ducci J, Campani D, Marchetti P, et al. Everolimus mitigates the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after liver transplantation. Cancers (Basel). (2024) 16(7):1243. doi: [10.3390/cancers16071243](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers16071243)

25. Liang W, Wang D, Ling X, Kao AA, Kong Y, Shang Y, et al. Sirolimus-based immunosuppression in liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: a metaanalysis. Liver Transpl. (2012) 18:62–9. doi: [10.1002/lt.22441](https://doi.org/10.1002/lt.22441)

26. Zimmermann T, Schemmer P, Berghauser KH, Mylo M, Buhr J, Padberg WM. Interactions between Malignant tumor growth and allogeneic graft rejection in an experimental rat model. Transpl Int. (1994) 7 Suppl 1:S618–20. doi: [10.1111/j.1432-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-2277.1994.tb01457.x) [2277.1994.tb01457.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1432-2277.1994.tb01457.x)

27. Schuller J, Cassidy J, Dumont E, Roos B, Durston S, Banken L, et al. Preferential activation of capecitabine in tumor following oral administration to colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. (2000) 45:291–97. doi: [10.1007/s002800050043](https://doi.org/10.1007/s002800050043)

28. Milovancev M, Schmiedt CW, Bentley E, Schwab M, Dubielzig RR, Gendron-Fitzpatrick AP, et al. Use of capecitabine to prevent acute renal allograft rejection in dog erythrocyte antigen-mismatched mongrel dogs. Vet Surg. (2007) 36:10–20. doi: [10.1111/j.1532-950X.2007.00230.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-950X.2007.00230.x)

29. Schmiedt C, Penzo C, Schwab M, Dubielzig R, McAnulty J. Use of capecitabine after renal allograft transplantation in dog erythrocyte antigen-matched dogs. Vet Surg. (2006) 35:113–24. doi: [10.1111/j.1532-950X.2006.00122.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-950X.2006.00122.x)

30. Zhang S, Wang Z, Fan S, Liu T, Yoshida S, Yang S, et al. Capecitabine can induce T cell apoptosis: A potential immunosuppressive agent with anti-cancer effect. Front Immunol. (2021) 12:737849. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.737849](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.737849)

31. Zhang S, Fan S, Wang Z, Hou W, Liu T, Yoshida S, et al. Capecitabine regulates HSP90AB1 expression and induces apoptosis via akt/SMARCC1/AP-1/ROS axis in T cells. Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2022) 2022:1012509. doi: [10.1155/2022/1012509](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1012509)

32. Natale G, Bocci G. Does metronomic chemotherapy induce tumor angiogenic dormancy? A review of available preclinical and clinical data. Cancer Lett. (2018) 432:28–37. doi: [10.1016/j.canlet.2018.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2018.06.002)

33. Cazzaniga ME, Cordani N, Capici S, Cogliati V, Riva F, Cerrito MG. Metronomic chemotherapy. Cancers (Basel). (2021) 13(9):2236. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092236) [cancers13092236](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092236)

34. Ravaioli M, Cucchetti A, Pinna AD, De Pace V, Neri F, Barbera MA, et al. The role of metronomic capecitabine for treatment of recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma after liver transplantation. Sci Rep. (2017) 7:11305. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-11810-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11810-z)

35. Wang H, Wang ZL, Zhang S, Kong DJ, Yang RN, Cao L, et al. Metronomic capecitabine inhibits liver transplant rejection in rats by triggering recipients' T cell ferroptosis. World J Gastroenterol. (2023) 29:3084–102. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v29.i20.3084](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i20.3084)

36. Kong D, Wang Z, Wang H, Yang R, Zhang W, Cao L, et al. Capecitabine mitigates cardiac allograft rejection via inhibition of TYMS-Mediated Th1 differentiation in mice. Int Immunopharmacol. (2024) 141:112955. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2024.112955) [j.intimp.2024.112955](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2024.112955)

37. Wang H, Yang R, Wang Z, Cao L, Kong D, Sun Q, et al. Metronomic capecitabine with rapamycin exerts an immunosuppressive effect by inducing ferroptosis of CD4(+) T cells after liver transplantation in rat. Int Immunopharmacol. (2023) 124:110810. doi: [10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110810](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110810)

38. Benjanuwattra J, Chaiyawat P, Pruksakorn D, Koonrungsesomboon N. Therapeutic potential and molecular mechanisms of mycophenolic acid as an anticancer agent. Eur J Pharmacol. (2020) 887:173580. doi: [10.1016/j.ejphar.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173580) [2020.173580](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2020.173580)

39. Koehl GE, Wagner F, Stoeltzing O, Lang SA, Steinbauer M, Schlitt HJ, et al. Mycophenolate mofetil inhibits tumor growth and angiogenesis in vitro but has variable antitumor effects in vivo, possibly related to bioavailability. Transplantation. (2007) 83:607–14. doi: [10.1097/01.tp.0000253756.69243.65](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.tp.0000253756.69243.65)

40. Tressler RJ, Garvin LJ, Slate DL. Anti-tumor activity of mycophenolate mofetil against human and mouse tumors. vivo. Int J Cancer. (1994) 57:568–73. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910570421) [ijc.2910570421](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.2910570421)

41. O'Neill JO, Edwards LB, Taylor DO. Mycophenolate mofetil and risk of developing Malignancy after orthotopic heart transplantation: analysis of the transplant registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. (2006) 25:1186–91. doi: [10.1016/j.healun.2006.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healun.2006.06.010)

42. Rivinius R, Helmschrott M, Ruhparwar A, Schmack B, Klein B, Erbel C, et al. Analysis of Malignancies in patients after heart transplantation with subsequent immunosuppressive therapy. Drug Des Devel Ther. (2015) 9:93–102. doi: [10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S75464) [DDDT.S75464](https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S75464)

43. Robson R, Cecka JM, Opelz G, Budde M, Sacks S. Prospective registry-based observational cohort study of the long-term risk of Malignancies in renal transplant patients treated with mycophenolate mofetil. Am J Transplant. (2005) 5:2954-60. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.01125.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2005.01125.x)

44. Takebe N, Cheng X, Wu S, Bauer K, Goloubeva OG, Fenton RG, et al. Phase I clinical trial of the inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase inhibitor mycophenolate mofetil (cellcept) in advanced multiple myeloma patients. Clin Cancer Res. (2004) 10:8301–08. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0747](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0747)

45. Rodriguez-Pascual J, Sha P, Garcia-Garcia E, Rajeshkumar NV, De Vicente E, Quijano Y, et al. A preclinical and clinical study of mycophenolate mofetil in pancreatic cancer. Invest New Drugs. (2013) 31:14–9. doi: [10.1007/s10637-012-9822-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10637-012-9822-x)

46. Berenbaum Mc, Brown In. Prolongation of homograft survival in mice with single doses of cyclophosphamide. Nature. (1963) 200:84. doi: [10.1038/200084a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/200084a0)

47. Starzl TE, Halgrimson CG, Penn I, Martineau G, Schroter G, Amemiya H, et al. Cyclophosphamide and human organ transplantation. Lancet. (1971) 2:70–4. doi: [10.1016/s0140-6736\(71\)92046-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(71)92046-0)

48. Evrard HM, Miller C, Schwartz M, Thung SN, Mayer L. Resistant hepatic allograft rejection successfully treated with cyclophosphamide and plasmapheresis. Transplantation. (1990) 50:702–04. doi: [10.1097/00007890-199010000-00033](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-199010000-00033)

49. Waiser J, Duerr M, Budde K, Rudolph B, Wu K, Bachmann F, et al. Treatment of acute antibody-mediated renal allograft rejection with cyclophosphamide. Transplantation. (2017) 101:2545–52. doi: [10.1097/TP.0000000000001617](https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0000000000001617)

50. Grauhan O, Knosalla C, Ewert R, Hummel M, Loebe M, Weng YG, et al. Plasmapheresis and cyclophosphamide in the treatment of humoral rejection after heart transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. (2001) 20:316–21. doi: [10.1016/s1053-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-2498(00)00211-4) [2498\(00\)00211-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1053-2498(00)00211-4)

51. Wiseman AC. Immunosuppressive medications. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. (2016) 11:332–43. doi: [10.2215/CJN.08570814](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.08570814)

52. CF ZUKOSKI, HM LEE, HUME DM. The prolongation of functional survival of canine renal homografts by 6-mercaptopurine. Surg Forum. (1960) 11:470–72.

53. Chan GL, Canafax DM, Johnson CA. The therapeutic use of azathioprine in renal transplantation. Pharmacotherapy. (1987) 7:165–77. doi: [10.1002/j.1875-](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1875-9114.1987.tb04046.x) [9114.1987.tb04046.x](https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1875-9114.1987.tb04046.x)

54. Murray Je, Merrill Jp, Harrison Jh, Wilson Re, Dammin Gj. Prolonged survival of human-kidney homografts by immunosuppressive drug therapy. N Engl J Med. (1963) 268:1315–23. doi: [10.1056/NEJM196306132682401](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM196306132682401)

55. Germani G, Pleguezuelo M, Villamil F, Vaghjiani S, Tsochatzis E, Andreana L, et al. Azathioprine in liver transplantation: a reevaluation of its use and a comparison with mycophenolate mofetil. Am J Transplant. (2009) 9:1725–31. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02705.x) [6143.2009.02705.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02705.x)

56. Alqarni AM, Zeidler MP. How does methotrexate work? Biochem Soc Trans. (2020) 48:559–67. doi: [10.1042/BST20190803](https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20190803)

57. Joseph A, Neff K, Richard J, Gao L, Bangari D, Joly M, et al. Transient low-dose methotrexate induces tolerance to murine anti-thymocyte globulin and together they promote long-term allograft survival. J Immunol. (2012) 189:732–43. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103601) [jimmunol.1103601](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103601)

58. Bouchart F, Gundry SR, Van Schaack-Gonzales J, Razzouk AJ, Marsa RJ, Kawauchi M, et al. Methotrexate as rescue/adjunctive immunotherapy in infant and adult heart transplantation. J Heart Lung Transplant. (1993) 12:427–33.

59. Shaddy RE, Bullock EA, Tani LY, Orsmond GS, Olsen SL, Taylor DO, et al. Methotrexate therapy in pediatric heart transplantation as treatment of recurrent mild to moderate acute cellular rejection. J Heart Lung Transplant. (1994) 13:1009–13.

60. Costanzo MR, Koch DM, Fisher SG, Heroux AL, Kao WG, Johnson MR. Effects of methotrexate on acute rejection and cardiac allograft vasculopathy in heart transplant recipients. J Heart Lung Transplant. (1997) 16:169–78.

61. Batool T, Makky EA, Jalal M, Yusoff MM. A comprehensive review on Lasparaginase and its applications. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. (2016) 178:900–23. doi: [10.1007/s12010-015-1917-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-015-1917-3)

62. Fonseca M, Fiuza T, Morais SB, Souza T, Trevizani R. Circumventing the side effects of L-asparaginase. BioMed Pharmacother. (2021) 139:111616. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111616) [j.biopha.2021.111616](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111616)

63. Weksler ME, Weksler BB. Studies on the immunosuppressive properties of asparaginase. Immunology. (1971) 21:137–50.

64. Rapaport FT, Shimada T, Watanabe K. Prolongation of canine renal allograft survival by L asparaginase. Transplantation. (1971) 12:217–22. doi: [10.1097/00007890-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-197109000-00011) [197109000-00011](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-197109000-00011)

65. Levin B, Merrill JP. Immunosuppressive effects of cyclophosphamide and Lasparaginase in the inbred rat renal transplant model. Transplantation. (1972) 13:160– 63. doi: [10.1097/00007890-197202000-00017](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-197202000-00017)

66. Zhang C, Wang X, Zhang C. Icaritin inhibits CDK2 expression and activity to interfere with tumor progression. Iscience. (2022) 25:104991. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104991) [j.isci.2022.104991](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2022.104991)

67. Huang X, Zhu D, Lou Y. A novel anticancer agent, icaritin, induced cell growth inhibition, G1 arrest and mitochondrial transmembrane potential drop in human prostate carcinoma PC-3 cells. Eur J Pharmacol. (2007) 564:26–36. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.02.039) [j.ejphar.2007.02.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.02.039)

68. Li C, Peng W, Song X, Wang Q, Wang W. Anticancer effect of icaritin inhibits cell growth of colon cancer through reactive oxygen species, Bcl-2 and cyclin D1/E signaling. Oncol Lett. (2016) 12:3537–42. doi: [10.3892/ol.2016.5089](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2016.5089)

69. Han H, Xu B, Hou P, Jiang C, Liu L, Tang M, et al. Icaritin sensitizes human glioblastoma cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis. Cell Biochem Biophys. (2015) 72:533– 42. doi: [10.1007/s12013-014-0499-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12013-014-0499-y)

70. Sun L, Peng Q, Qu L, Gong L, Si J. Anticancer agent icaritin induces apoptosis through caspase-dependent pathways in human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. Mol Med Rep. (2015) 11:3094–100. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2014.3007](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2014.3007)

71. Gao L, Chen M, Ouyang Y, Li R, Zhang X, Gao X, et al. Icaritin induces ovarian cancer cell apoptosis through activation of p53 and inhibition of Akt/mTOR pathway. Life Sci. (2018) 202:188–94. doi: [10.1016/j.lfs.2018.03.059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2018.03.059)

72. Gao L, Ouyang Y, Li R, Zhang X, Gao X, Lin S, et al. Icaritin inhibits migration and invasion of human ovarian cancer cells via the akt/mTOR signaling pathway. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:843489. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.843489](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.843489)

73. Luo P, An Y, He J, Xing X, Zhang Q, Liu X, et al. Icaritin with autophagy/ mitophagy inhibitors synergistically enhances anticancer efficacy and apoptotic effects through PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Lett. (2024) 587:216621. doi: [10.1016/j.canlet.2024.216621](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2024.216621)

74. Zhao H, Guo Y, Li S, Han R, Ying J, Zhu H, et al. A novel anti-cancer agent Icaritin suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma initiation and Malignant growth through the IL-6/Jak2/Stat3 pathway. Oncotarget. (2015) 6:31927–43. doi: [10.18632/](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5578) [oncotarget.5578](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.5578)

75. Zhu J, Li Z, Zhang G, Meng K, Kuang W, Li J, et al. Icaritin shows potent antileukemia activity on chronic myeloid leukemia in vitro and in vivo by regulating MAPK/ERK/JNK and JAK2/STAT3 /AKT signalings. *PloS One.* (2011) 6:e23720. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0023720](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023720)

76. He J, Wang Y, Duan F, Jiang H, Chen MF, Tang SY. Icaritin induces apoptosis of HepG2 cells via the JNK1 signaling pathway independent of the estrogen receptor. Planta Med. (2010) 76:1834–39. doi: [10.1055/s-0030-1250042](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1250042)

77. Zhou X, Wu D, Mi T, Li R, Guo T, Li W. Icaritin activates p53 and inhibits aerobic glycolysis in liver cancer cells. Chem Biol Interact. (2024) 392:110926. doi: [10.1016/j.cbi.2024.110926](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2024.110926)

78. Mo D, Zhu H, Wang J, Hao H, Guo Y, Wang J, et al. Icaritin inhibits PD-L1 expression by Targeting Protein IkappaB Kinase alpha. Eur J Immunol. (2021) 51:978– 88. doi: [10.1002/eji.202048905](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.202048905)

79. Li X, Hu Y, He L, Wang S, Zhou H, Liu S. Icaritin inhibits T cell activation and prolongs skin allograft survival in mice. Int Immunopharmacol. (2012) 13:1–07. doi: [10.1016/j.intimp.2012.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2012.02.011)

80. Sun WC, Han JX, Yang WY, Deng DA, Yue XF. Antitumor activities of 4 derivatives of artemisic acid and artemisinin B in vitro. Zhongguo Yao Li Xue Bao. (1992) 13:541–43.

81. Willoughby JS, Sundar SN, Cheung M, Tin AS, Modiano J, Firestone GL. Artemisinin blocks prostate cancer growth and cell cycle progression by disrupting Sp1 interactions with the cyclin-dependent kinase-4 (CDK4) promoter and inhibiting CDK4 gene expression. J Biol Chem. (2009) 284:2203–13. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M804491200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804491200)

82. Jia J, Qin Y, Zhang L, Guo C, Wang Y, Yue X, et al. Artemisinin inhibits gallbladder cancer cell lines through triggering cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. *Mol Med*
Rep. (2016) 13:4461–68. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2016.5073](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2016.5073)

83. Tin AS, Sundar SN, Tran KQ, Park AH, Poindexter KM, Firestone GL. Antiproliferative effects of artemisinin on human breast cancer cells requires the downregulated expression of the E2F1 transcription factor and loss of E2F1-target cell cycle genes. Anticancer Drugs. (2012) 23:370–79. doi: [10.1097/CAD.0b013e32834f6ea8](https://doi.org/10.1097/CAD.0b013e32834f6ea8)

84. Yang Z, Han F, Liao T, Zheng H, Luo Z, Ma M, et al. Artemisinin attenuates transplant rejection by inhibiting multiple lymphocytes and prolongs cardiac allograft survival. Front Immunol. (2021) 12:634368. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.634368](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.634368)

85. Liu L, Zhao J, Li A, Yang X, Sprangers B, Li S. Prolongation of allograft survival by artemisinin treatment is associated with blockade of OX40-OX40L. Immunopharmacoi Immunotoxicol. (2021) 43:291–98. doi: [10.1080/08923973.2021.1902347](https://doi.org/10.1080/08923973.2021.1902347)

86. Berger TG, Dieckmann D, Efferth T, Schultz ES, Funk JO, Baur A, et al. Artesunate in the treatment of metastatic uveal melanoma–first experiences. Oncol Rep. (2005) 14:1599–603. doi: [10.3892/or.14.6.1599](https://doi.org/10.3892/or.14.6.1599)

87. Michaelsen FW, Saeed ME, Schwarzkopf J, Efferth T. Activity of Artemisia annua and artemisinin derivatives, in prostate carcinoma. Phytomedicine. (2015) 22:1223–31. doi: [10.1016/j.phymed.2015.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2015.11.001)

88. Zhang ZY, Yu SQ, Miao LY, Huang XY, Zhang XP, Zhu YP, et al. Artesunate combined with vinorelbine plus cisplatin in treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a randomized controlled trial. Zhong XI Yi Jie He Xue Bao. (2008) 6:134–38. doi: [10.3736/jcim20080206](https://doi.org/10.3736/jcim20080206)

89. Jansen FH, Adoubi I J, Cnodder T DE, Jansen N, Tschulakow A, Efferth T, et al. First study of oral Artenimol-R in advanced cervical cancer: clinical benefit, tolerability and tumor markers. Anticancer Res. (2011) 31:4417–22.

90. Krishna S, Ganapathi S, Ster IC, Saeed ME, Cowan M, Finlayson C, et al. A randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled pilot study of oral artesunate therapy for colorectal cancer. Ebiomedicine. (2015) 2:82–90. doi: [10.1016/j.ebiom.2014.11.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2014.11.010)

91. Ilamathi M, Prabu PC, Ayyappa KA, Sivaramakrishnan V. Artesunate obliterates experimental hepatocellular carcinoma in rats through suppression of IL-6-JAK-STAT signalling. BioMed Pharmacother. (2016) 82:72–9. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2016.04.061](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.04.061)

92. Li S, Xue F, Cheng Z, Yang X, Wang S, Geng F, et al. Effect of artesunate on inhibiting proliferation and inducing apoptosis of SP2/0 myeloma cells through affecting NFkappaB p65. Int J Hematol. (2009) 90:513-21. doi: [0409-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12185-009-0409-z)

93. Beccafico S, Morozzi G, Marchetti MC, Riccardi C, Sidoni A, Donato R, et al. Artesunate induces ROS- and p38 MAPK-mediated apoptosis and counteracts tumor growth in vivo in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Carcinogenesis. (2015) 36:1071– 83. doi: [10.1093/carcin/bgv098](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgv098)

94. Xu N, Zhou X, Wang S, Xu LL, Zhou HS, Liu XL. Artesunate induces SKM-1 cells apoptosis by inhibiting hyperactive beta-catenin signaling pathway. Int J Med Sci. (2015) 12:524–29. doi: [10.7150/ijms.11352](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.11352)

95. Chen HH, Zhou HJ, Wu GD, Lou XE. Inhibitory effects of artesunate on angiogenesis and on expressions of vascular endothelial growth factor and VEGF receptor KDR/flk-1. Pharmacology. (2004) 71:1–09. doi: [10.1159/000076256](https://doi.org/10.1159/000076256)

96. Wang N, Zeng GZ, Yin JL, Bian ZX. Artesunate activates the ATF4-CHOP-CHAC1 pathway and affects ferroptosis in Burkitt's Lymphoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. (2019) 519:533–39. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.09.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.09.023)

97. Eling N, Reuter L, Hazin J, Hamacher-Brady A, Brady NR. Identification of artesunate as a specific activator of ferroptosis in pancreatic cancer cells. Oncoscience. (2015) 2:517–32. doi: [10.18632/oncoscience.160](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncoscience.160)

98. Yang ND, Tan SH, Ng S, Shi Y, Zhou J, Tan KS, et al. Artesunate induces cell death in human cancer cells via enhancing lysosomal function and lysosomal degradation of ferritin. J Biol Chem. (2014) 289:33425–41. doi: [10.1074/](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.564567) [jbc.M114.564567](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.564567)

99. Chen GQ, Benthani FA, Wu J, Liang D, Bian ZX, Jiang X. Artemisinin compounds sensitize cancer cells to ferroptosis by regulating iron homeostasis. Cell Death Differ. (2020) 27:242–54. doi: [10.1038/s41418-019-0352-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-019-0352-3)

100. Berdelle N, Nikolova T, Quiros S, Efferth T, Kaina B. Artesunate induces oxidative DNA damage, sustained DNA double-strand breaks, and the ATM/ATR damage response in cancer cells. Mol Cancer Ther. (2011) 10:2224–33. doi: [10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0534) [1535-7163.MCT-11-0534](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-11-0534)

101. Chen Y, Zheng S, Wang Z, Cai X, Che Y, Wu Q, et al. Artesunate restrains maturation of dendritic cells and ameliorates heart transplantation-induced acute rejection in mice through the PERK/ATF4/CHOP signaling pathway. Mediators Inflammation. (2021) 2021:2481907. doi: [10.1155/2021/2481907](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/2481907)

102. Habtemariam S. Berberine and inflammatory bowel disease: A concise review. Pharmacol Res. (2016) 113:592–99. doi: [10.1016/j.phrs.2016.09.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2016.09.041)

103. Li L, Wang X, Sharvan R, Gao J, Qu S. Berberine could inhibit thyroid carcinoma cells by inducing mitochondrial apoptosis, G0/G1 cell cycle arrest and
suppressing migration via PI3K-AKT and MAPK signaling pathways. *BioMed* Pharmacother. (2017) 95:1225–31. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2017.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2017.09.010)

104. Eom KS, Hong JM, Youn MJ, So HS, Park R, Kim JM, et al. Berberine induces G1 arrest and apoptosis in human glioblastoma T98G cells through mitochondrial/ caspases pathway. Biol Pharm Bull. (2008) 31:558–62. doi: [10.1248/bpb.31.558](https://doi.org/10.1248/bpb.31.558)

105. Mahata S, Bharti AC, Shukla S, Tyagi A, Husain SA, Das BC. Berberine modulates AP-1 activity to suppress HPV transcription and downstream signaling to induce growth arrest and apoptosis in cervical cancer cells. Mol Cancer. (2011) 10:39. doi: [10.1186/1476-4598-10-39](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-10-39)

106. Fu L, Chen W, Guo W, Wang J, Tian Y, Shi D, et al. Berberine targets AP-2/ hTERT, NF-kappaB/COX-2, HIF-1alpha/VEGF and cytochrome-c/caspase signaling to suppress human cancer cell growth. PloS One. (2013) 8:e69240. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069240) [journal.pone.0069240](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069240)

107. Shukla S, Sharma A, Pandey VK, Raisuddin S, Kakkar P. Concurrent acetylation of FoxO1/3a and p53 due to sirtuins inhibition elicit Bim/PUMA mediated mitochondrial dysfunction and apoptosis in berberine-treated HepG2 cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. (2016) 291:70–83. doi: [10.1016/j.taap.2015.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2015.12.006)

108. Hou Q, Tang X, Liu H, Tang J, Yang Y, Jing X, et al. Berberine induces cell death in human hepatoma cells in vitro by downregulating CD147. Cancer Sci. (2011) 102:1287–92. doi: [10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01933.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1349-7006.2011.01933.x)

109. Wang N, Feng Y, Zhu M, Tsang CM, Man K, Tong Y, et al. Berberine induces autophagic cell death and mitochondrial apoptosis in liver cancer cells: the cellular mechanism. J Cell Biochem. (2010) 111:1426–36. doi: [10.1002/jcb.22869](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.22869)

110. Yang X, Huang N. Berberine induces selective apoptosis through the AMPK −mediated mitochondrial/caspase pathway in hepatocellular carcinoma. Mol Med Rep. (2013) 8:505–10. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2013.1506](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2013.1506)

111. Li J, Liu F, Jiang S, Liu J, Chen X, Zhang S, et al. Berberine hydrochloride inhibits cell proliferation and promotes apoptosis of non-small cell lung cancer via the suppression of the MMP2 and Bcl-2/Bax signaling pathways. Oncol Lett. (2018) 15:7409–14. doi: [10.3892/ol.2018.8249](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2018.8249)

112. Su K, Hu P, Wang X, Kuang C, Xiang Q, Yang F, et al. Tumor suppressor berberine binds VASP to inhibit cell migration in basal-like breast cancer. Oncotarget. (2016) 7:45849–62. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.9968](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.9968)

113. Ma Y, Yan G, Guo J, Li F, Zheng H, Wang C, et al. Berberine prolongs mouse heart allograft survival by activating T cell apoptosis via the mitochondrial pathway. Front Immunol. (2021) 12:616074. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.616074](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.616074)

114. Rowinsky EK, Cazenave LA, Donehower RC. Taxol: a novel investigational antimicrotubule agent. J Natl Cancer Inst. (1990) 82:1247–59. doi: [10.1093/jnci/](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/82.15.1247) [82.15.1247](https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/82.15.1247)

115. Swanton C, Marani M, Pardo O, Warne PH, Kelly G, Sahai E, et al. Regulators of mitotic arrest and ceramide metabolism are determinants of sensitivity to paclitaxel and other chemotherapeutic drugs. Cancer Cell. (2007) 11:498–512. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2007.04.011) [j.ccr.2007.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2007.04.011)

116. Spencer CM, Faulds D. Paclitaxel. A review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic potential in the treatment of cancer. Drugs. (1994) 48:794–847. doi: [10.2165/00003495-199448050-00009](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-199448050-00009)

117. Srivastava RK, Sasaki CY, Hardwick JM, Longo DL. Bcl-2-mediated drug resistance: inhibition of apoptosis by blocking nuclear factor of activated T lymphocytes (NFAT)-induced Fas ligand transcription. J Exp Med. (1999) 190:253– 65. doi: [10.1084/jem.190.2.253](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.190.2.253)

118. Tange S, Scherer MN, Graeb C, Weiss T, Justl M, Frank E, et al. The antineoplastic drug Paclitaxel has immunosuppressive properties that can effectively
promote allograft survival in a rat heart transplant model. *Transplantation*. (2002) 73:216–23. doi: [10.1097/00007890-200201270-00011](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200201270-00011)

119. Tange S, Scherer MN, Graeb C, Andrassy J, Justl M, Frank E, et al. Paclitaxel saves rat heart allografts from rejection by inhibition of the primed anti-donor humoral

and cellular immune response: implications for transplant patients with cancer. Transpl Int. (2003) 16:471–75. doi: [10.1007/s00147-003-0558-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00147-003-0558-6)

120. Jin Y, Hu J, Wang Q, Li Z, Chen Y. Effects of Oxymatrine on the apoptosis of human esophageal carcinoma Eca109 cell line and its mechanism. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci. (2008) 28:314–16. doi: [10.1007/s11596-008-0319-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11596-008-0319-y)

121. Guo B, Zhang T, Su J, Wang K, Li X. Oxymatrine targets EGFR(p-Tyr845) and inhibits EGFR-related signaling pathways to suppress the proliferation and invasion of gastric cancer cells. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol*. (201 [s00280-014-2651-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00280-014-2651-1)

122. Wu C, Huang W, Guo Y, Xia P, Sun X, Pan X, et al. Oxymatrine inhibits the proliferation of prostate cancer cells. Vitro vivo. Mol Med Rep. (2015) 11:4129–34. doi: [10.3892/mmr.2015.3338](https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr.2015.3338)

123. Liu J, Yao Y, Ding H, Chen R. Oxymatrine triggers apoptosis by regulating Bcl-2 family proteins and activating caspase-3/caspase-9 pathway in human leukemia HL-60 cells. Tumour Biol. (2014) 35:5409–15. doi: [10.1007/s13277-014-1705-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13277-014-1705-7)

124. Wu XS, Yang T, Gu J, Li ML, Wu WG, Weng H, et al. Effects of oxymatrine on the apoptosis and proliferation of gallbladder cancer cells. Anticancer Drugs. (2014) 25:1007–15. doi: [10.1097/CAD.0000000000000124](https://doi.org/10.1097/CAD.0000000000000124)

125. Chen H, Zhang J, Luo J, Lai F, Wang Z, Tong H, et al. Antiangiogenic effects of oxymatrine on pancreatic cancer by inhibition of the NF-kappaB-mediated VEGF signaling pathway. Oncol Rep. (2013) 30:589–95. doi: [10.3892/or.2013.2529](https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2013.2529)

126. Wang X, Liu C, Wang J, Fan Y, Wang Z, Wang Y. Oxymatrine inhibits the migration of human colorectal carcinoma RKO cells via inhibition of PAI-1 and the TGFbeta1/Smad signaling pathway. Oncol Rep. (2017) 37:747–53. doi: [10.3892/or.2016.5292](https://doi.org/10.3892/or.2016.5292)

127. Qin Z, Den H, Zhuang H. Effect of oxymatrine on prolonging the survival time of cardiac tissue allograft in mice and its immunologic mechanisms. Zhong XI Yi Jie He Za Zhi. (1990) 10:99–100.

128. Lan X, Zhang J, Ren S, Wang H, Shao B, Qin Y, et al. Oxymatrine combined with rapamycin to attenuate acute cardiac allograft rejection. Heliyon. (2024) 10: e29448. doi: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29448](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e29448)

129. Strader CR, Pearce CJ, Oberlies NH. Fingolimod (FTY720): a recently approved multiple sclerosis drug based on a fungal secondary metabolite. J Nat Prod. (2011) 74:900–07. doi: [10.1021/np2000528](https://doi.org/10.1021/np2000528)

130. Han M, Liu X, Hailati S, Maihemuti N, Nurahmat N, Dilimulati D, et al. Pharmacological effects of FTY720 and its derivatives. Curr Top Med Chem. (2024) 24:192–200. doi: [10.2174/0115680266273421231222061620](https://doi.org/10.2174/0115680266273421231222061620)

131. Chiba K, Hoshino Y, Suzuki C, Masubuchi Y, Yanagawa Y, Ohtsuki M, et al. FTY720, a novel immunosuppressant possessing unique mechanisms. I. Prolongation of skin allograft survival and synergistic effect in combination with cyclosporine in rats. Transplant Proc. (1996) 28:1056–59.

132. Furukawa H, Suzuki T, Jin MB, Yamashita K, Taniguchi M, Magata S, et al. Prolongation of canine liver allograft survival by a novel immunosuppressant, FTY720: effect of monotherapy and combined treatment with conventional drugs. Transplantation. (2000) 69:235–41. doi: [10.1097/00007890-200001270-00008](https://doi.org/10.1097/00007890-200001270-00008)

133. Imran M, Rauf A, Abu-Izneid T, Nadeem M, Shariati MA, Khan IA, et al. Luteolin, a flavonoid, as an anticancer agent: A review. BioMed Pharmacother. (2019) 112:108612. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2019.108612](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2019.108612)

134. Wang H, Li C, Xiong Z, Li T. Luteolin attenuates acute liver allograft rejection in rats by inhibiting T cell proliferation and regulating T cell subsets. Int Immunopharmacol. (2023) 121:110407. doi: [10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110407](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110407)

135. Zeng Q, Qiu F, Chen Y, Liu C, Liu H, Liang CL, et al. Shikonin prolongs allograft survival via induction of CD4(+)FoxP3(+) regulatory T cells. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:652. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.00652](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00652)

136. Qian X, Zhu L, Xu M, Liu H, Yu X, Shao Q, et al. Shikonin suppresses small cell lung cancer growth via inducing ATF3-mediated ferroptosis to promote ROS accumulation. Chem Biol Interact. (2023) 382:110588. doi: [10.1016/j.cbi.2023.110588](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2023.110588)

137. Srinivas G, Babykutty S, Sathiadevan PP, Srinivas P. Molecular mechanism of emodin action: transition from laxative ingredient to an antitumor agent. Med Res Rev. (2007) 27:591–608. doi: [10.1002/med.20095](https://doi.org/10.1002/med.20095)

138. Qiu F, Liu H, Liang CL, Nie GD, Dai Z. A new immunosuppressive molecule emodin induces both CD4(+)FoxP3(+) and CD8(+)CD122(+) regulatory T cells and suppresses murine allograft rejection. Front Immunol. (2017) 8:1519. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01519) fi[mmu.2017.01519](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01519)

139. Peinemann F, Hoemberg M. Metronomic chemotherapy for paediatric extracranial solid tumours: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. BMJ Open. (2024) 14:e84477. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2024-084477](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2024-084477)

140. Abdelrady YA, Thabet HS, Sayed AM. The future of metronomic chemotherapy: experimental and computational approaches of drug repurposing. Pharmacol Rep. (2024). doi: [10.1007/s43440-024-00662-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43440-024-00662-w)

141. Munzone E, Colleoni M. Clinical overview of metronomic chemotherapy in breast cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2015) 12:631–44. doi: [10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.131](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.131)

142. Wu HL, Zhou HX, Chen LM, Wang SS. Metronomic chemotherapy in cancer treatment: new wine in an old bottle. Theranostics. (2024) 14:3548–64. doi: [10.7150/](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.95619) [thno.95619](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.95619)

143. Gassmann D, Weiler S, Mertens JC, Reiner CS, Vrugt B, Nageli M, et al. Liver allograft failure after nivolumab treatment-A case report with systematic literature research. Transplant Direct. (2018) 4:e376. doi: [10.1097/TXD.0000000000000814](https://doi.org/10.1097/TXD.0000000000000814)

144. Nordness MF, Hamel S, Godfrey CM, Shi C, Johnson DB, Goff LW, et al. Fatal hepatic necrosis after nivolumab as a bridge to liver transplant for HCC: Are
checkpoint inhibitors safe for the pretransplant patient? A*m J Transplant*. (2020)
20:879–83. doi: [10.1111/ajt.15617](https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.15617)

145. Murakami N, Mulvaney P, Danesh M, Abudayyeh A, Diab A, Abdel-Wahab N, at al. A multi-center study on safety and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer patients with kidney transplant. *Kidney Int*. (2021)

Glossary

