Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tinka Vidovic, University of Zagreb, Croatia

REVIEWED BY Hadi Esmaili Gouvarchinghaleh, Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Iran Jiajia Huang, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (SYSUCC), China Xin Yu, Baylor College of Medicine, United States Yu Yan, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Ke Zhu 18357509340@163.com Shouhua Pan 13606550587@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 09 September 2024 ACCEPTED 03 December 2024 PUBLISHED 19 December 2024

CITATION

Li Y, Zhang L, Xu G, Xu G, Chen J, Zhao K, Li M, Jin J, Peng C, Wang K, Pan S and Zhu K (2024) Exploration and validation of a novel reactive oxygen species–related signature for predicting the prognosis and chemotherapy response of patients with bladder cancer. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1493528. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1493528

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Zhang, Xu, Xu, Chen, Zhao, Li, Jin, Peng, Wang, Pan and Zhu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploration and validation of a novel reactive oxygen species related signature for predicting the prognosis and chemotherapy response of patients with bladder cancer

Yulei Li^{1†}, Lulu Zhang^{2†}, Gang Xu^{3†}, Gang Xu¹, Jiajun Chen¹, Keyuan Zhao¹, Mengyao Li⁴, Jing Jin¹, Chao Peng¹, Kaifang Wang⁵, Shouhua Pan^{1*} and Ke Zhu^{3*}

¹Department of Urology, Shaoxing People's Hospital, Zhejiang, Shaoxing, China, ²Medical Research Center, Shaoxing People's Hospital, Zhejiang, Shaoxing, China, ³Department of Urology, Nanchang People's Hospital, Nanchang, China, ⁴Department of Pathology, Shaoxing People's Hospital, Zhejiang, Shaoxing, China, ⁵Cancer Centre, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Macau, Macau, Macao SAR, China

Background: Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), a hallmark of cancer, is related to prognosis, tumor progression, and treatment response. Nevertheless, the correlation of ROS-based molecular signature with clinical outcome and immune cell infiltration has not been thoroughly studied in bladder cancer (BLCA). Accordingly, we aimed to thoroughly examine the role and prognostic value of ROS-related genes in BLCA.

Methods: We obtained RNA sequencing and clinical data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) for bladder cancer (BLCA) patients and identified ROSassociated genes using the GeneCards and Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). We then analyzed differential gene expression between BLCA and normal tissues and explored the functions of these ROS-related genes through Gene Ontology (GO), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG), and Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) analysis. Prognostic ROS-related genes were identified using Univariate Cox regression (UCR) and LASSO analyses, which were further refined in a Multivariate Cox Regression (MCR) analysis to develop a Prognostic Signature (PS). This PS was validated in the GSE13507 cohort, assessing its predictive power with Kaplan-Meier survival and time-dependent ROC curves. To forecast BLCA outcomes, we constructed a nomogram integrating the PS with clinical variables. We also investigated the signature's molecular characteristics through Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), Immune Cell Infiltration (ICI), and Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB) analyses. The Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC) database was used to predict chemotherapy responses based on the PS. Additionally, we screened for Small-Molecule Drugs (SMDs) targeting ROS-related genes using the CMAP database. Finally, we validated our findings by checking protein levels of the signature genes in the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) and confirmed the role of Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B1 (AKR1B1) through in vitro experiments.

exhibited good performance in predicting overall survival (OS), constituting an independent prognostic biomarker in BLCA patients. Additionally, we successfully established a nomogram with superior predictive capacity, as indicated by the calibration plots. The bioinformatics analysis findings showcased the implication of PS in several oncogenic pathways besides tumor ICI regulation. The PS was negatively associated with the TMB. The high-risk group patients had greater chemotherapy sensitivity in comparison to low-risk group patients. Further, 11 candidate SMDs were identified for treating BLCA. The majority of gene expression exhibited a correlation with the protein expression. In addition, the expression of most genes was consistent with protein expression. Furthermore, to test the gene reliability we constructed, AKR1B1, one of the seventeen genes identified, was used for in-depth validation. In vitro experiments indicate that siRNA-mediated AKR1B1 silencing impeded BLCA cell viability, migration, and proliferation.

Conclusions: We identified a PS based on 17 ROS-related genes that represented independent OS prognostic factors and 11 candidate SMDs for BLCA treatment, which may contribute to the development of effective individualized therapies for BLCA.

KEYWORDS

bladder cancer, reactive oxygen species, prognostic signature, chemotherapy response, overall survival, AKR1B1

1 Introduction

Bladder cancer (BLCA) has the sixth worldwide prevalence of new cases and the ninth-highest number of fatalities among male cancer patients globally. In 2020, there were nearly 573,000 new cases and nearly 213,000 deaths caused by BLCA (1, 2). Based on the depth of muscle invasion, BLCA can be mainly classified into non-muscle-invasive BLCA (NMIBC) and muscle-invasive BLCA (MIBC) (3). Despite remarkable advancements in treatments, including adjuvant chemotherapy, immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy, robot-assisted surgery systems, and targeted therapy, the overall survival (OS) of BLCA patients remains unfavorable (4-6). In addition, BLCA is a cancerous malignancy with notable and substantial heterogeneity, and conventional clinical predictive factors, including tumor grade and TNM stage, can be utilized for predicting BLCA patient prognosis accurately (7). Hence, identifying novel biomarkers for predicting the BLCA patient survival time is of crucial practical clinical significance.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), characterized by molecules that contain oxygen with oxidizing properties, are the reduction products of oxidative metabolism and consist of nonradicals, mainly hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), hypochlorous acid (HOC1), and organoid hydroperoxides (ROOH), and free radicals, mainly hydroxyl and superoxide anion radicals (8). Mitochondria, peroxisomes, the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), metabolic enzymes, and the Warburg effect are the main endogenous sources of ROS (9). ROS can also be produced by physical agent exposure (ultraviolet rays and heat), chemotherapy, and radiotherapy (10, 11). ROS has been indicated to be crucial secondary messengers governing various cellular biological processes, including proliferation, angiogenesis, differentiation, metastasis, autophagy, drug resistance, immune response, and cancer stem cells (12). Moderate ROS levels are believed to be essential for cell growth and differentiation. Nevertheless, the excessive accumulation of ROS is involved in multiple diseases (13), particularly malignant tumors (14, 15). Recent studies have indicated that an imbalance in ROS is closely related to BLCA development and progression (16, 17). Therefore, comprehensively investigating the functions of ROS-related genes and identifying ROS-related biomarkers to accurately predict BLCA patients' OS is highly important.

The relationship between genes and reactive oxygen species (ROS) is multifaceted, including the regulation of ROS production and clearance by genes, and the influence of ROS on gene expression (18). Here are some key points that outline the interaction between genes and ROS: (1) Regulation of ROS by Genes. Genes such as p53 play a critical role in maintaining genomic integrity and orchestrating cellular responses to stress,

including the modulation of ROS activity. ROS can act as signaling molecules to initiate p53 activation in response to DNA damage, leading to transcriptional regulation of genes involved in cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and apoptosis (19, 20). (2) ROS Influence on Gene Expression. The Keap1-Nrf2-ARE signaling pathway is a wellstudied regulatory system that preserves cellular redox homeostasis (21, 22). ROS act as central players in this mechanism, providing a dynamic balance between Nrf2 activation and its inhibition by Keap1. When cellular ROS levels rise, certain cysteine residues in Keap1 are oxidized, disrupting its ability to ubiquitinate Nrf2, leading to the accumulation of Nrf2 in the nucleus and the transcriptional activation of antioxidant and detoxification genes (22). (3) ROS and Chromatin. ROS influence the activity of epigenetic modulators, such as histone deacetylases (HDACs) or DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), affecting the expression of target genes. They also oxidize DNA, particularly adenine and guanine, which can lead to mutations and contribute to tumorigenesis (23, 24). (4) ROS and Cancer. In cancer therapy, ROS can either activate or suppress NF-kB signaling involved in the control of cellular processes such as embryogenesis, cell proliferation and death, and responses to stress stimuli (21). Additionally, ROS can induce DNA hypermethylation, potentially affecting tumor phenotype when promoter regions of tumor suppressor genes are involved (25).

Our study comprehensively investigated the functions and prognostic values of ROS-associated genes in BLCA by accessing a public database via bioinformatics methods, aiming at constructing and validating a novel Prognostic Signature (PS) relying on ROS-related genes in BLCA through LASSO and Cox regression analyses. We also explored the associations between PS and Immune Cell Infiltration (ICI), Tumor Mutational Burden (TMB), and chemosensitivity. A nomogram was established by combining the Risk Scores (RSs) based on the seventeen prognostic ROS-associated genes and clinical characteristics. Additionally, we identified 11 candidate Small-Molecule Drugs (SMDs) for BLCA treatment. To verify the authenticity of the data, in vitro experiments revealed that siRNA-mediated AKR1B1 silencing impeded BLCA cell viability, migration, and proliferation, aligning with our expectations and demonstrating the constructed ROS-related gene reliability. We identified a PS based on 17 ROSrelated genes that represented independent OS prognostic factors and 11 candidate SMDs for BLCA treatment, which may contribute to the development of effective individualized therapies for BLCA.

2 Methods and methods

2.1 Data acquisition

We first obtained ROS-related genes from the GeneCards database (https://www.genecards.org/) and Molecular Signature Database v7.1 (MSigDB; https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb). Then, we downloaded the level-three transcriptome RNA sequencing information and clinicopathological features of BLCA patients by accessing The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) (https://gdc-portal.nci.nih.gov/). Further, we utilized the GSE13507 acquired from the Gene Expression Omnibus database (GEO, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) as the validation set.

2.2 Identification of ROS-associated differentially expressed genes

Employing the R edge package (version R 4.0.5, https:// bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/), the ROS-related DEGs between BLCA and normal bladder samples were screened, setting the cutoff criteria as a False Discovery Rate (FDR) < 0.05 and a $|\log 2$ -fold change (FC)| > 1.

2.3 Enrichment analysis of ROS-related DEGs

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis that includes molecular function (MF), cell component (CC), and biological process (BP) analyses was implemented to explore the possible molecular mechanisms behind ROS-related DEGs via the clusterProfiler package of R, utilizing the same approach for Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis (26–28) and considering P < 0.05 as significant enrichment.

2.4 Protein-protein interactions

ROS-related DEGs were uploaded to the STRING database (http://www.string-db.org/) to obtain PPI information. The PPI network establishment and visualization were conducted via Cytoscape software, using the MCODE plug-in to screen the considerable PPI network modules.

2.5 Identification of potential smallmolecule drugs

The Connectivity Map (CMAP) database (http:// www.broadinstitute.org) could be beneficial for researchers in the identification of probable molecular drugs closely associated with diseases, including cancer. The enrichment scores were -1–1, with a negative score showing that BLCA patients could benefit from this drug.

Abbreviations: BLCA, Bladder Cancer; ROS, Reactive Oxygen Species; MSigDB, Molecular Signature Database; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; FDR, False Discovery Rate; FC, Fold Change; GO, Gene Ontology; PPI, Protein-Protein Interaction; UCR, Univariate Cox Regression; MCR, Multivariate Cox Regression; PS, Prognostic Signature; GSEA, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis; ICI, Immune Cell Infiltration; TMB, Tumor Mutational Burden; GDSC, The Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer; SMDs, Small-Molecule Drugs; HPA, Human Protein Atlas; AKR1B1, Aldo–keto reductase family 1 member B1.

Li et al.

2.6 Construction and validation of the prognostic signature of ROS

The prognosis-associated ROS-related genes were identified via Univariate Cox regression (UCR) analysis (survival package) and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) regression analysis (glmnet and survival package) with P < 0.05 in the TCGA dataset, followed by incorporating the results into the Multivariate Cox Regression (MCR) analysis. Finally, a ROS-correlated gene signature related, to OS was constructed based on MCR analysis results. The Risk Score (RS) was generated by this formula: RS = (Coef1*expression mRNA1) + (Coef2*expression mRNA2) + (Coef n * expression mRNA n), where Coef represents the MCR model coefficient of relevant mRNA. Based on the RS mean, patients were classified into high-risk group (HRG) and low-risk group (LRG), employing the Kaplan-Meier (K-M) method to compare the survival outcomes between different groups. Our study deployed time-related ROC analysis to determine the predictive prognostic value of the PS. Both T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE) analysis alongside principal component analysis (PCA) were implemented to examine the risk signature classification capacity with the R packages "Rtsne" and "ggplot2", employing the same approach to calculate the RS and then validated the ROSrelated gene signature in the GSE13507 dataset.

2.7 Development of a nomogram

We explored the relationships between the PS and clinical features (age, sex, T/N/TNM stages, and tumor grade) in the TCGA dataset via the chi-squared test. Then, stratified analysis was performed to further examine the PS reliability and stability of ROS in the prediction of BLCA patients' OS. Additionally, we implemented UCR and MCR analyses to explore whether the RS was of independent prognostic value. Both RS and clinical features were incorporated to establish an OS-related nomogram, estimating the nomogram's predictive capability by generating a calibration curve.

2.8 Gene set enrichment analysis and immune cell infiltration and tumor mutational burden analyses

GSEA was implemented to investigate the latent mechanisms among different groups based on GSEA software (version 4.1.0). Then, we acquired mutation information for BLCA patients by accessing the TCGA database, calculated the total mutation number for each sample, and analyzed the top mutational genes among different risk groups using the maftools package. The TIMER, CIBERSORT, CIBERSORT-ABS, XCELL, QUANTISEQ, EPIC, and MCP-counter methods were utilized for the analysis of the ICI levels of 22 distinct leukocyte subsets in both groups. P < 0.05indicated statistically significant.

2.9 Chemotherapeutic response analysis

The Genomics of Drug Sensitivity in Cancer (GDSC, http:// www.cancerrxgene.org) database was accessed to predict BLCA patients' response in both groups to chemotherapy drugs. Eventually, we assessed chemosensitivity by calculating the halfmaximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) through the R package pRRophetic, with P < 0.05 indicating statistical significance.

2.10 Patient sample

Between 2022 and 2024, 20 BLCA tissue and their corresponding non-tumor tissue specimens were collected from Shaoxing People's Hospital for immunohistochemical staining (IHC) and western blot. No patient in this study had received radiation therapy, adjuvant therapy, or preoperative chemotherapy. The samples from Shaoxing People's Hospital were collected with informed consent, and the use of the stored cancer specimens and clinical data was granted clearance by the Academic Ethical Committee of Shaoxing People's Hospital (ethical approval number: 2022-K-Y-054-01). The study was executed in a way that aligned with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.11 Immunohistochemistry

IHC images of key genes in BLCA and normal tissue samples were acquired through the Human Protein Atlas (HPA) database while evaluating the staining intensity following the HPA database standard (https://www.proteinatlas.org/). Use anti-AKR1B1 (1:1000; Proteintech,15439-1-AP). Rabbit monoclonal antibody was used for immunohistochemistry of paraffin-embedded human and nude mouse BLCA specimens. In short, samples were processed using dewaxing, hydration, antigen extraction, IHC labeling, and pathology scores.

2.12 Cell culture, treatments, and siRNA transfection

Human BLCA cells (T24 and 5637) were procured from Procell Life Science & Technology Company (Hubei, China). Herein, we grouped the logarithmic growth phase cells into the control, siAKR1B1-negative control (NC), and siAKR1B1 groups. The two cell lines were cultured in MCCOY'S 5A (Gibco, USA) and 1640 (Gibco, USA) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The cells went through treatment with 5 μ l of siAKR1B1, using Lipofectamine 2000 to dilute the solution in Opti-MEM for 5 min. The solution was thereafter mixed and allowed to incubate at ambient temperature for a duration of 20 min, followed by introducing the composite into the cell culture plate. After a 48-h period of transfection, the cells were gathered for additional assessments.

2.13 Western blot analysis

Proteins were subjected to extraction using RIPA buffers and quantification by BCA kits. The protein eluate went through separation utilizing 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane that was blocked and then incubated with primary and secondary antibodies. AKR1B1 (Proteintech, 15439-1-AP) and β -catenin (Abcam, ab32572) were detected by imaging with enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA).

2.14 CCK8 assay

100 μ L of suspension containing 5000 transfected cells was dispensed into each well of a 96-well plate along with 10 μ L of CCK8 solution (MCE, HY-K0301). The plate was then placed in a cell culture incubator for 1 hour, following which absorbance readings were taken at 450 nm and recorded.

2.15 Colony formation assay

3600 BLCA cells were equally distributed into six-well plates and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO_2 for 14 days with regular medium changes. Post-incubation, the cells were fixed and stained using 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% crystal violet for 20 minutes each, after which images were captured and data documented.

2.16 Edu assay

5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) assay kit (MCE, China) was used as instructed by the manufacturer. In this experiment, BLCA cells were cultured in 96-well plates, with a seeding density of 4,000 cells per well, after incubation at 37°C for 72 hours. Next, BLCA cells were exposed to 10 μ M EdU for 2 hours at 37°C. Subsequently, the cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes at room temperature. After removing the fixatives, the cells were washed with PBS containing 1% BSA. Lastly, the cells were incubated in Click Additive Solution, protected from light, for 30 minutes and then stained with Hoechst to label the nucleus. Microscopic images were captured to observe the EdU detection samples. The proliferation of cells was further assessed by calculating the ratio of EdU-positive cells to the overall cell count.

2.17 Transwell assay

The transfected HOS and 143B cell lines were cultured with the serum-free DMEM and serum-free 1640, respectively, in a Transwell upper chamber. Corresponding culture medium containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. The cells were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 48 hours, fixed with formaldehyde, stained with crystal violet, and visualized under a microscope for analysis.

2.18 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the R software (version 4.0.5). The significance of differential gene expression was ascertained using adjusted p-value to correct for the multiple testing phenomenon, with a significance threshold set at p-value < 0.05. Another statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS Statistics software version 20. The values were compared by one-way ANOVA or independent-samples Student's t test. Statistical significance was determined at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, or ***p < 0.001. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM. Error bars indicate the SEM unless otherwise noted.

3 Results

3.1 Identification of ROS-related genes in BLCA

Supplementary Figure 1 illustrates the workflow diagram of this study. In total, we obtained 1749 ROS-related genes with relevance scores > 0.5 from the Gene Cards database and 70 ROS-related genes from the MSigDB database, acquiring 1767 genes after removing the overlapping genes. However, from the 1,767 genes, we eventually extracted the expression profiles of 1,719 ROSassociated genes identical to those in 412 and 19 BLCA and normal bladder tissue samples, respectively, in the TCGA dataset. By applying cutoff criteria of FDR < 0.05 and |log2 FC| > 1, 308 ROS-related Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) were identified; of them, 138 were downregulated, and 170 were upregulated. Moreover, GO, KEGG, and PPI analyses were deployed to explore the possible roles of ROS-associated genes. Both Univariate Cox regression (UCR) and LASSO analyses were performed to screen for prognostic ROS-related genes, and 71 genes were included in subsequent analyses (p<0.05). As a means to guarantee the clinical outcomes' stability and reliability based on the 71 genes, we conducted LASSO analysis to further screen for prognostic ROS-related genes, identifying 31 genes related to OS. The MCR analysis identified 17 ROS-related genes (JUN, CALR, P4HB, ELN, MYC, FASN, REV3L, VHL, NID1, SLC38A1, TFRC, AKR1B1, ITGA3, CGB5, HLA-G, FADS1, and ORM1) that were utilized to construct a PS, which was subsequently validated in the GSE13507 cohort. Both K-M survival and time-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were employed to evaluate the prognostic value of the PS. A nomogram was constructed, aiming at predicting the outcomes of BLCA patients in combination with the PS and clinical factors. GSEA, ICI, and TMB analysis were implemented for the exploration of the molecular characteristics of the PS. The GDSC database was accessed for the prediction of chemotherapy response according to the PS. Candidate SMDs targeting ROS-related genes were screened against the CMAP database. To verify the authenticity of the data, the PS protein expression levels were detected through the HPA. AKR1B1 was selected for in vitro experimental validation, demonstrating the reliability of the ROS-related genes we constructed.

3.2 Functional assays of the selected prognostic genes and Protein-protein interaction network construction

The GO analysis findings represented that ROS-associated genes were involved in multiple biological processes, including the response to toxic substances, aging, metal ions, oxidative stress, and ROS, besides cell cycle arrest and the cellular response to drugs (Figure 1A). The KEGG analysis findings showcased that these genes exhibited main involvement in multiple pathways, including the p53, platinum drug resistance, cell cycle, ErbB, PI3K-Akt, TNF, cellular senescence, IL-17, MAPK, HIF-1, and cGMP-PKG signaling pathways (Figure 1B) (26– 28). For better comprehension of the involvements of ROS-associated genes in BLCA, a PPI network was established and visualized through the utilization of STRING database and Cytoscape software, which included 298 nodes and 2859 edges (Figure 2A). The MCODE plugin identified three crucial modules of target genes, and the critical modules consisted of 39 nodes and 321 edges, 29 nodes and 250 edges, and 31 nodes and 123 edges (Figures 2B–D).

3.3 Small-molecule drugs

Using the CMAP database, candidate SMDs for BLCA were identified based on ROS-related DEGs, identifying eleven SMDs





(0297417-0002B, 5248896, puromycin, blebbistatin, anisomycin, STOCK1N-35215, methylergometrine, clofilium tosylate, verteporfin, withaferin A, and rottlerin) with anticancer functions in BLCA progression with enrichment scores < -0.8, p < 0.01, and n > 2 as the screening criteria (Table 1).

3.4 Construction and validation of the ROS-based prognostic signature

Our study conducted UCR analysis to identify ROS-related DEGs notably correlated with OS, and 71 genes were included in subsequent analyses (*p*<0.05) (Figure 3A). Aiming to ensure the clinical outcomes stability and reliability based on the 71 genes, LASSO analysis was conducted to further screen for prognostic ROS-related genes, and we identified 31 genes related to OS (Figures 3B, C). Multivariate Cox Regression (MCR) analysis identified 17 ROS-related genes (JUN, CALR, P4HB, ELN, MYC, FASN, REV3L, VHL, NID1, SLC38A1, TFRC, AKR1B1, ITGA3,

CGB5, HLA-G, FADS1, and ORM1) that were used to construct a PS (Figure 3D). A ROS-based RS was established depending on the coefficient of 17 genes according to this formula: risk score = $(0.3078 \times FASN \text{ expression}) + (0.305 \times CALR \text{ expression}) + (0.3832)$ \times P4HB expression) + (0.1599 \times ELN expression) + (0.2941 \times MYC expression) + (0.3702 \times REV3L expression) + (-0.4548 \times VHL expression) +(0.147 \times NID1 expression) + (-0.2213 \times SLC38A1 expression) + $(0.1687 \times \text{TFRC expression}) + (0.123)$ × AKR1B1 expression) + (-0.1371 × ITGA3 expression) +(0.1762 \times CGB5 expression) + (-0.1483 \times HLA-G expression) +(0.1368 \times FADS1 expression) + $(-0.252 \times \text{ORM1 expression}) + (0.1274 \times \text{JUN})$ expression). Subsequently, we classified patients into HRG and LRG in accordance with the median RS. The LRG patients had longer OS than those in the HRG (p < 0.05) (Figures 4A, C). Time-dependent ROC analysis depicted that the signature AUC in the TCGA cohort was 0.78 at 5 years (Figure 4B). A heatmap was generated to show the differences in 17 ROS-related genes between the different groups (Figure 4D). PCA and t-SNE analyses indicated the signature's good classification ability (Figures 4E, F). Additionally, the prognostic

 TABLE 1
 The 11 small molecule drugs of CMP dataset analyses results.

cmap name	mean	n	enrichment	<i>p</i> -value	percent non-null
0297417-0002B	-0.779	3	-0.979	0.00004	100
puromycin	-0.765	4	-0.952	0	100
5248896	-0.668	2	-0.948	0.00594	100
blebbistatin	-0.679	2	-0.936	0.00861	100
anisomycin	-0.662	4	-0.933	0.00002	100
STOCK1N-35215	-0.691	3	-0.926	0.00062	100
methylergometrine	-0.64	4	-0.863	0.00064	100
verteporfin	-0.607	3	-0.844	0.00757	100
rottlerin	-0.68	3	-0.84	0.00817	100
withaferin A	-0.569	4	-0.832	0.00145	100
clofilium tosylate	-0.597	3	-0.832	0.00937	100

capacity of our constructed PS was validated in the GSE13507 dataset. The results in GSE13507 (Supplementary Figure 2) were consistent with previous results, which demonstrated the good performance of the PS in predicting OS.

3.5 Establishment and validation of the risk scores model

We first compared the ROS-based RSs among various subgroups classified by clinicopathological characteristics (TNM stage, sex, grade, age, T stage, and N stage). The RSs exhibited a significant correlation with clinicopathological factors and were markedly elevated in the following subgroups: >65 years of age, advanced T stage (T3/4), N stage (N1/2/3), pathological grade (High), and TNM stage (Stage III-IV) (Figure 5). Subsequently, stratification analysis was conducted relying upon the clinical characteristics (age, sex, grade, and TNM/T/N stages). Male or female sex, age (>65 years) or (<=65 years), T stage (T3-T4), N stage (N0), pathological grade (High), and TNM stage (Stage III-IV) were associated with inferior OS in the high-risk subgroup (P < 0.05) (Supplementary Figure 2), with no difference in OS in the T stage (T1/2), N stage (N1/2/3), or TNM stage (Stage I-II) subgroup (Figure 6). Furthermore, to evaluate whether the RS was an autocephalous prognostic indicator for BLCA patients, univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard models were implemented. According to UCR analysis results, age, TNM/T/N stages, and RS were related to unfavorable OS (Figure 7A). According to the multivariate analysis, age, N stage, and RS were still associated with unfavorable OS (Figure 7B). Multiparameter ROC curve analyses also revealed that the AUC of the RS was 0.769 (Figure 7C), indicating that compared with traditional clinical prognostic indicators, the ROS-based RS exhibited remarkable performance in predicting prognosis. Collectively, the ROS-based RS was an autocephalous prognostic factor. A nomogram including RS, age, and N stage we established to predict the outcomes of BLCA patients (Figure 8A), with the calibration curve elucidating the nomogram's good performance in predicting patient prognosis (Figures 8B, C).

3.6 GSEA

The GSEA results demonstrated that carcinogenic signaling pathways, such as calcium, focal adhesion, ECM receptor interaction, MAPK, BLCA, GAP junction, Wnt, Hedgehog, cancer, and TGF- β signaling pathways, exhibited main enrichment in the HRG (Figure 9). Several metabolism-associated signaling pathways, including autophagy regulation, peroxisomes, and oxidative phosphorylation, were highly enriched in the LRG.

3.7 Immune cell infiltration

A heatmap of the ICI data obtained via CIBERSORT, MCPcounter, XCELL, TIMER, CIBERSORT-ABS, QUANTISEQ, and EPIC analyses (Figure 10) suggested that the RS was correlated with ICI in BLCA. Additionally, significant differences were observed in the fractions of distinct leukocyte subsets between both groups. The proportions of naive B cells and M0/M2 macrophages were lower in the HRG, whereas the proportions of CD8+/CD4+T cells/were greater in the LRG.

3.8 Tumor mutational burden analysis

The mutation profile results among different risk groups in the TCGA dataset revealed somatic mutations in 93.53% (118) and 94.55% (191) of the BLCA patients in the HRG (Figure 11A) and LRG (Figure 11B), respectively. TP53, TTN, KMT2D, MUC16, ARID1A, KDM6A, PIK3CA, SYNE1, RB1, and KMT2C were the top 10 mutated genes in the HRG. TP53, TTN, KMT2D, MUC16, ARID1A, KDM6A, PIK3CA, SYNE1, RB1, and FGFR3 were the top 10 mutated genes in the LRG. Furthermore, the proportions of somatic mutations in

•		pvalue	Hazard ratio	I	B 69 69 68 64 62 61 57 49 46 41 34 30 28 20 4
AE	GR1	0.004	1.163(1.048-1.291)	/ 1	
M	ISRB3	0.003	1.198(1.064-1.348)	H=H	e Tre
G	SN	0.003	1.280(1.089-1.503)	II	
C	RYAB	0.002	1.168(1.057-1.291)		
D	USP1	0.049	1.115(1.000-1.244)		
K	LF9	0.018	1.215(1.034-1.427)		
2	EB1	0.027	1.232(1.024-1.403)		
1/		0.043	1.005(1.003=1.174)		
v T		0.022	1 159(1 038-1 294)		
	GEB111	0.003	1 217(1 053-1 407)		
P	RKG1	0.002	1.467(1.154-1.864)		
	UN	0.008	1.207(1.051-1.388)	hint in the second s	
н	ISPG2	< 0.001	1.332(1.141-1.555)	i Hind	
M	ICAM	0.008	1.243(1.057-1.461)	Here i	
С	YBRD1	0.045	1.134(1.003-1.284)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
P	DE5A	0.010	1.330(1.070-1.653)	1	
D	CN	0.010	1.122(1.029-1.224)	1	
E	DNRA	0.002	1.305(1.103-1.544)	I I	
D	PYSL3	0.002	1.167(1.059-1.285)		
B	CHE	0.031	1.193(1.016-1.401)	⊢ ■•	
C	ALR	0.044	1.358(1.008-1.828)		9
н	IDAC4	0.023	1.559(1.062-2.288)		
P	DGFRA	0.002	1.291(1.103-1.511)		-7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2
P	4HB	0.002	1.586(1.186-2.120)		Log (λ)
P	YCR1	0.027	1.141(1.015-1.282)		69 62 52 38 28 0
E		0.005	1.155(1.045=1.272)	P	
		<0.002	1.240(1.000-1.410) 1.179(1.070-1.300)	1. The second	25
IVI C		0.040	1 176(1 007-1 372)	<u> </u>	
		0.040	1 146(1 029-1 277)		
E	ASN	0.008	1 221(1 054-1 415)		~ -
R	EV3I	0.017	1.413(1.063-1.878)		<i>u</i>
P	AM	0.026	1.222(1.024-1.458)		
c	XCL12	0.024	1.138(1.017-1.274)	iei i	
M	IT1A	0.018	1.144(1.023-1.278)	iei -	
V	'HL	0.013	0.772(0.630-0.946)	Hert	st in the second s
S	CD	0.011	1.141(1.031-1.264)	j=1	
N	IID1	0.002	1.236(1.079-1.416)	H	
S	LC38A1	0.021	0.813(0.682-0.970)	H	
C	AV1	0.013	1.121(1.025-1.226)	I	
M	IMP14	0.012	1.219(1.045-1.421)	1	
P	ROS1	0.002	1.281(1.097-1.496)		
Т	FRC	0.032	1.158(1.013-1.324)		
T	IMP2	0.006	1.146(1.040-1.263)	1 2	7 - 1
1.	XNRD1	0.014	1.183(1.035-1.353)		
A	KR1B1	0.006	1.165(1.046=1.297)		
	DDC15	0.024	1 112(1 003-1 234)		37
Т	NFAIP2	<0.044	0.829(0.753-0.913)	_	
M	IMP9	0.014	1.097(1.019-1.181)	····	-7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2
R	NY4P10	0.002	0.692(0.551-0.869)	HH C	Log Lambda
S	ERPINF1	0.005	1.147(1.043-1.262)	- her	red remove
Т	GA3	0.032	0.895(0.809-0.991)	H	
c	GB5	0.003	1.178(1.058-1.312)	1	D pvalue Hazard ratio
к	RT7	0.048	0.951(0.905-1.000)	M [°]	JUN 0.122 1.136(0.966-1.335)
N	IGF	0.003	1.316(1.100-1.575)		CALR 0.128 1.357(0.916-2.009)
С	YP1B1	0.013	1.131(1.027-1.247)	1-1	P4HB 0.040 1.467(1.018-2.114)
LT	TO1	0.028	0.791(0.641-0.975)	H=-1	ELN 0.011 1.173(1.038-1.327)
B	GN	0.007	1.140(1.036-1.254)) =1	MYC <0.001 1.342(1.170-1.539)
M	IST1	0.046	0.826(0.685-0.997)	H	FASN 0.006 1.360(1.092-1.695)
D	IAPH3	0.041	1.267(1.009-1.590)		REV3L 0.046 1.448(1.006-2.084)
н	ILA-G	0.008	0.810(0.693-0.946)	Hell .	VHL 0.002 0.635(0.476-0.846)
P	MEPA1	0.003	1.160(1.050-1.282)		NID1 0.069 1.158(0.989-1.357)
U	ICP2	0.024	0.886(0.797-0.984)	PL	SLC38A1 0.074 0.801(0.629-1.022)
R	HOD	0.039	1.114(1.005-1.235)		TFRC 0.057 1.184(0.995-1.409)
F/	ADS1	0.001	1.241(1.090-1.413)		AKR1B1 0.044 1.131(1.003-1.275)
P	THLH	0.018	1.093(1.016=1.176)	.	ITGA3 0.034 0.872(0.768-0.989)
P	CSK9	0.007	1.10/(1.042=1.307)	100 A	CGB5 0.003 1.193(1.061-1.341)
C	DK5K1	0.035	0.754(0.568-1.000)		HLA-G 0.109 0.862(0.719-1.034)
0		0.050	0.754(0.500-1.000)		FADS1 0.119 1.147(0.965-1.362)
					ORM1 0.101 0.777(0.575-1.050)
				0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0	0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0

FIGL

Identification of prognostic ROS-related genes in TCGA dataset. (A) Screening prognostic ROS-associated genes through univariate Cox regression analysis; (B) Incorporating the prognostic ROS-associated genes into the LASSO regression analysis; (C)The prognostic ROS-related genes were incorporated into the LASSO regression analysis. (D) Screening prognostic ROS-related genes through multivariate Cox regression analysis.

KDM6A and FGFR3 significantly differed between both groups. Additionally, the LRG patients had more mutation events than the HRG (Figure 11C). Patients having a high TMB appeared to possess a better prognosis than those with a low TMB (Figure 11D). Further, we investigated the collaborative interaction effect of the ROS-based RS and TMB on prognosis (Figure 11E). We found that the HRG patients having a high TMB had shorter OS than those in the LRG with a high TMB, and the LRG patients having a low TMB had longer OS than those in the HRG with a low TMB. Interestingly, patients having a high TMB exhibited better OS than those having a low TMB in the HRG, and patients having a low TMB displayed worse OS than those having a high TMB in the LRG. Patients possessing high TMB in the LRG had a greater OS than patients in the other three patient groups, and patients having low TMB in the HRG tended to have a significantly worse OS than patients in the other three patient groups. Collectively, the ROS-based RS might be a probable biomarker for predicting OS in BLCA patients.

3.9 Chemotherapeutic response analysis

The GDSC database analysis findings depicted that the IC50 values of chemotherapy drugs, including GSK269962A, BMS.536924, JNJ.26854165, docetaxel, temsirolimus, cisplatin, thapsigargin,



Prognostic ROS-based signature construction in TCGA dataset. (A) Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of BLCA patients between different groups; (B) Survival status distribution relying on the median risk score; (C)Time-independent ROC analysis of 5-year survival risk scores; (D) Heatmap showing the differences of 17 ROS-related genes between different groups. (E) PCA analysis; (F) t-SNE analysis.

sunitinib, rapamycin, and paclitaxel, were greater in LRG patients than in those the HRG. In comparison, the IC50 values of BIBW2992 and gefitinib were greater in HRG patients than in those at LRG (Figure 12).

sequencing data, P4BH, FASN, AKR1B1, and CBG5 proteins, which have a high prognostic risk, were upregulated in tumor tissues, and MYC proteins, which have a low prognostic risk, were downregulated in tumor tissues compared with normal controls.

3.10 Expression analysis of nine genes in the Human Protein Atlas database

IHC was utilized to additionally investigate the nine gene protein expression in the HPA database between BLCA and normal control tissues (Figure 13). In line with the RNA

3.11 AKR1B1 affected BLCA cell viability, migration, and proliferation

While AKR1B1 has been documented in other types of cancer (29– 31), its impact on BLCA remains unreported. Therefore, AKR1B1 was selected for further analysis. IHC and WB analyses elucidated AKR1B1



overexpression in BLCA tissues (Figure 14A). To understand the role of AKR1B1 in BLCA, we further investigated the effect of increased AKR1B1 levels in BLCA cell lines (T24 and 5637) via *in vitro* experiments. siRNA transfection successfully interfered with the mRNA expression of AKR1B1, which was confirmed by WB (Figure 14B). To further understand the effect of AKR1B1, colony formation analysis was also performed (Figure 14C), which showed that BLCA cell viability was significantly hindered after AKR1B1 was

silenced by siRNA. The CCK-8 assay showcased that cell viability was impeded after the silencing of AKR1B1 expression (Figure 14D). In addition, an EdU proliferation assay showed that inhibiting AKR1B1 significantly lowered the percentage of EdU-positive BLCA cells (Figure 14E). To further test whether AKR1B1 affects BLCA cell metastasis, a Transwell assay was performed (Figure 14F), revealing that siRNA-mediated silencing of AKR1B1 inhibited BLCA cell migration and invasion.



4 Discussion

Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) include hydroxyl radicals (·OH), superoxide anions radicals (·O2-), and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), are considered a double-edged sword (32). Physiologically, ROS play a crucial role in organisms. Excessive ROS can damage proteins and DNA through oxidative damage, causing many diseases, including cancer. ROS can cause cancer cells to die in high concentrations (33, 34). However, the possible mechanisms and prognostic value of ROS-associated genes in BLCA remain

indefinite. Our study systematically explored the expression patterns and correlations of ROS-associated genes with outcomes in BLCA. Furthermore, we established a prognosis-correlated novel signature relying on 17 ROS-related genes. Here, the ROS-based signature was associated with CD8+ T cells and chemotherapy responses. Eleven drugs were screened for treating BLCA patients. Our results offer novel insights into ROS involvement in BLCA development and progression.

In BLCA, 308 ROS-related genes were identified as Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs); of them, 138 and 170



were downregulated and upregulated genes, respectively. Then, we explore the ROS-related DEGs' functions through GO and KEGG analyses. According to GO analysis, these genes exhibited main enrichment in response to toxic substances, aging, metal ions, oxidative stress, and ROS, besides cellular response to drugs. KEGG analysis showcased that these genes were closely associated with cancer-, immune-, and drug resistance-correlated pathways, such as the p53, platinum drug resistance, PI3K-Akt, TNF, IL-17, MAPK, HIF-1, and cGMP-PKG pathways, suggesting that ROS-related genes are involved in tumorigenesis. Subsequently, according to the results of differential expression analyses, a PS consisting of 17 ROS-related genes (JUN, CALR, P4HB, ELN, MYC, FASN, REV3L, VHL, NID1, SLC38A1, TFRC, AKR1B1, ITGA3,

CGB5, HLA-G, FADS1, and ORM1) was constructed and validated via LASSO and Cox regression analyses.

Among the seventeen ROS-related genes in our established signature, calreticulin (CALR), an ER protein with high Ca2 +-binding activity, is crucial in maintaining cell homeostasis and initiating the anticancer immune response to immunogenic cell death (35, 36). Elevated CALR was correlated with favorable prognosis in distinct tumor types (36–39). CALR overexpression was linked to worse OS in natural-killer T-cell lymphoma patients (40). CALR silencing suppressed BLCA cell proliferation, migration, and lung metastasis (41). FASN can serve as an oncogene by regulating AKT signaling pathways in BLCA (42, 43). Overexpression of P4HB was notably associated with inferior



outcomes, and knocking down P4HB impeded cell proliferation and enhanced GEM sensitivity via the PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP signaling pathways in BLCA (44). ITGA3 downregulation hindered tumor cell invasion and proliferation by regulating the FAK/PI3K/AKT pathway and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (45, 46). SLC38A1, a vital transporter of glutamine, has been implicated in tumorigenesis (47, 48). The expression of TFRC, a crucial member involved in ferroptosis, was significantly elevated in BLCA and promoted the tumorigenic phenotype of BLCA cells by inducing EMT (49). Aldo-keto reductase family 1 member B1 (AKR1B1) is closely implicated in cancer development and progression through various mechanisms, including EMT, ERK1/ 2, Ras, and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways (50). Additionally, ARK1B1 was also related to chemotherapeutic resistance and cancer stem cells (51, 52). REV3L is highly overexpressed in several cancers and facilitates cancer cell proliferation, metastasis, and insensitivity to cisplatin (53, 54). Elastin (ELN), a crucial member of the extracellular matrix family, has been documented to contribute to cancer cell invasion (55, 56). Orosomucoid 1 (ORM1), an essential immune system regulator in acute-phase reactions, might facilitate cancer cell immune evasion (57, 58). Nidogen1 (NID1), a vital component of the basement membrane,





Immune cell infiltration between both risk groups.



difference in both risk groups. (D) Kaplan-Meier (K-M) survival analysis of BLCA patients with high or low TMB. (E) K-M curve analysis stratification of OS by TMB and the prognostic signature.

serves as an oncogene in several tumors (59–62). Chorionic gonadotropin beta polypeptide 5 (CGB5) can accelerate cancer growth and vasculogenic mimicry formation by activating the LHR signaling pathway (63). Jun represents a critical transcription factor implicated in various biological processes, including autophagy, proliferation, apoptosis, metastasis, and inflammation (64, 65). FADS1 silencing reduced cell growth by arresting the cell cycle in the G1 phase (66).

Our Univariate Cox regression (UCR) and Multivariate Cox Regression (MCR) analyses results demonstrated that the RS was a negative prognostic factor of OS in BLCA patients. Further, ROC analysis suggested that the RS outbalanced the conventional clinical characteristics in OS prediction of BLCA patients. Herein, BLCA patients with advanced clinical features (III-IV stage, Grade high, T3/4 stage, and N1/2/3 stage) had elevated RSs in comparison with patients with early clinical features (I-II stage, Grade low, T1/2 stage, and N0 stage). The RS was also related to age. Stratification analyses revealed that the RS could effectively predict BLCA patient outcomes in most subgroups other than subgroups (N1/2/3 stage, T1/2 stage, and I-II stage). Finally, we constructed a ROS-related nomogram to evaluate 3- and 5-year OS comprehensively. The calibration curve results implied that the nomogram showed excellent performance in predicting BLCA patient prognosis.

To deeply understand the potential mechanisms behind ROSmediated differential outcomes in BLCA patients, we implemented GSEA analyses for different groups relying upon the ROS-based PS.



The findings demonstrated that the HRG exhibited enrichment in cancer-associated pathways, including calcium, focal adhesion, ECM receptor interaction, MAPK, Wnt, Hedgehog, cancer, and TGF- β pathways, implying the existence of an immunosuppressive microenvironment. Meanwhile, the LRG genes exhibited main involvement in the regulation of autophagy, peroxisomes, and

oxidative phosphorylation. Altogether, OS was inferior in the HRG patients than those in the LRG. The ICI is related to the malignant biological phenotypes and prognosis of cancer patients, which indicates that immunotherapy, particularly immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment, has become crucial for treating advanced tumors (67). CD8+ T cells are strongly correlated with the



effectiveness of cancer immunotherapy (68). An elevated CD8+ T cell infiltration level indicated a superior prognosis in BLCA patients (69), aligning with our finding that the LRG patients possessed a greater CD8+ T cell proportion and favorable outcomes. Previous research has shown that patients having a high TMB appear to possess a prolonged survival time and an improved immunotherapy response (70). However, the TMB and immunotherapy response correlation remains controversial (71).

Herein, the HRG patients exhibited a lower TMB and an inferior prognosis and might benefit from cisplatin, docetaxel, temsirolimus, thapsigargin, BMS.536924, GSK269962A, JNJ.26854165, sunitinib, rapamycin, and paclitaxel. Meanwhile, LRG patients might benefit from BIBW2992 and gefitinib.

Our study had various constraints. The ROS-based signature we developed and validated was generated via retrospective research and requires confirmation through a prospective trial. However, it is



(A) IHC representation chart and western blot (WB) showed AKR1B1 expression in normal bladder tissue and BLCA tissue. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (B) WB detection of AKR1B1 relative expression in control, NC, and siAKR1B1 groups. (C) Colony formation experiment results with AKR1B1 expression. (D) Results of silencing AKR1B1 expression at different time points of CCK-8:24, 48, 72, 96h. (E) Edu assay showing proliferating cells (T24 and 5637); Edu (red) and DAPI (blue) staining. Scale bar: 50 μ m. (F) Transwell assay results in control, NC, and siAKR1B1 groups. Scale bar: 100 μ m. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ***p < 0.001, ns p > 0.05.

necessary to conduct more experimental validation to confirm the probable molecular mechanisms behind the PS in BLCA.

5 Conclusions

Conclusively, we conducted a thorough investigation of the possible functions and prognostic value of ROS-associated genes in BLCA through integrated bioinformatics analyses. In addition, a ROS- dependent PS we constructed and validated with the ability to predict the outcome and chemotherapy response of BLCA patients. Moreover, we constructed a nomogram including a ROS-based PS with clinical characteristics for 3- and 5-year OS, which could aid clinicians in clinical decision-making. To verify the authenticity of the data, we detected the signature protein expression levels through HPA. *In vitro*, siRNA-mediated AKR1B1 silencing impeded BLCA cell viability, migration, and proliferation, consistent with our projections and demonstrating the constructed ROS-related gene reliability.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Academic Ethics Committee of Shaoxing People's Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YL: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. GX(3rd author): Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. GX(4th author): Methodology, Project administration, Writing – original draft. JC: Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. KZ(6rd author): Software, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. ML: Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. JJ: Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. CP: Funding acquisition, Validation, Writing – review & editing. KW: Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. SP: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KZ: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660

2. Jubber I, Ong S, Bukavina L, Black PC, Compérat E, Kamat AM, et al. Epidemiology of bladder cancer in 2023: A systematic review of risk factors. *Eur Urol.* (2023) 84:176–90. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2023.03.029

3. Ren L, Jiang M, Xue D, Wang H, Lu Z, Ding L, et al. Nitroxoline suppresses metastasis in bladder cancer via EGR1/circNDRG1/miR-520h/smad7/EMT signaling pathway. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2022) 18:5207–20. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.69373

4. Patel VG, Oh WK, Galsky MD. Treatment of muscle-invasive and advanced bladder cancer in 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. (2020) 70:404–23. doi: 10.3322/caac.21631

5. Jiang N, Liao Y, Wang M, Wang Y, Wang K, Guo J, et al. BUB1 drives the occurrence and development of bladder cancer by mediating the STAT3 signaling pathway. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* (2021) 40:378. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-02179-z

 Zhang XG, Zhang T, Li CY, Zhang MH, Chen FM. CD164 promotes tumor progression and predicts the poor prognosis of bladder cancer. *Cancer Med.* (2018) 7:3763–72. doi: 10.1002/cam4.2018.7.issue-8 was funded by the medical and health research project of Zhejiang province to SP (Grant#2022KY1297), the university-level youth research fund project of Shaoxing People's Hospital (Grant# 2022YA07) and Shaoxing City science and technology plan project (Grant#2024A14008) and shaoxing health science and technology project (Grant#2023SKY004) to YL.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Reviewers for their comments.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1493528/ full#supplementary-material.

7. Knowles MA, Hurst CD. Molecular biology of bladder cancer: new insights into pathogenesis and clinical diversity. *Nat Rev Cancer.* (2015) 15:25–41. doi: 10.1038/nrc3817

8. Sies H, Jones DP. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) as pleiotropic physiological signalling agents. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2020) 21:363–83. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-0230-3

9. Arfin S, Jha NK, Jha SK, Kesari KK, Ruokolainen J, Roychoudhury S, et al. Oxidative stress in cancer cell metabolism. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2021) 10. doi: 10.3390/antiox10050642

10. Perillo B, Di Donato M, Pezone A, Di Zazzo E, Giovannelli P, Galasso G, et al. ROS in cancer therapy: the bright side of the moon. *Exp Mol Med.* (2020) 52:192–203. doi: 10.1038/s12276-020-0384-2

11. Chang CH, Pauklin S. ROS and TGFβ: from pancreatic tumour growth to metastasis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. (2021) 40:152. doi: 10.1186/s13046-021-01960-4

12. Kirtonia A, Sethi G, Garg M. The multifaceted role of reactive oxygen species in tumorigenesis. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2020) 77:4459–83. doi: 10.1007/s00018-020-03536-5

13. Casas AI, Nogales C, Mucke HAM, Petraina A, Cuadrado A, Rojo AI, et al. On the clinical pharmacology of reactive oxygen species. *Pharmacol Rev.* (2020) 72:801–28. doi: 10.1124/pr.120.019422

14. Chio IIC, Tuveson DA. ROS in cancer: the burning question. Trends Mol Med. (2017) 23:411-29. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2017.03.004

15. Wang Y, Qi H, Liu Y, Duan C, Liu X, Xia T, et al. The double-edged roles of ROS in cancer prevention and therapy. *Theranostics*. (2021) 11:4839–57. doi: 10.7150/thno.56747

16. Liu D, Qiu X, Xiong X, Chen X, Pan F. Current updates on the role of reactive oxygen species in bladder cancer pathogenesis and therapeutics. *Clin Transl Oncol.* (2020) 22:1687–97. doi: 10.1007/s12094-020-02330-w

17. Wang HC, Choudhary S. Reactive oxygen species-mediated therapeutic control of bladder cancer. *Nat Rev Urol.* (2011) 8:608–16. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2011.135

18. Iqbal MJ, Kabeer A, Abbas Z, Siddiqui HA, Calina D, Sharifi-Rad J, et al. Interplay of oxidative stress, cellular communication and signaling pathways in cancer. *Cell Commun Signal.* (2024) 22:7. doi: 10.1186/s12964-023-01398-5

19. Shi T, Dansen TB. Reactive oxygen species induced p53 activation: DNA damage, redox signaling, or both? *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2020) 33:839–59. doi: 10.1089/ars.2020.8074

20. Xing F, Hu Q, Qin Y, Xu J, Zhang B, Yu X, et al. The relationship of redox with hallmarks of cancer: the importance of homeostasis and context. *Front Oncol.* (2022) 12:862743. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.862743

21. Baird L, Yamamoto M. The molecular mechanisms regulating the KEAP1-NRF2 pathway. *Mol Cell Biol.* (2020) 40. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00099-20

22. Ngo V, Duennwald ML. Nrf2 and oxidative stress: A general overview of mechanisms and implications in human disease. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2022) 11. doi: 10.3390/antiox11122345

23. Shaw P, Kumar N, Sahun M, Smits E, Bogaerts A, Privat-Maldonado A. Modulating the antioxidant response for better oxidative stress-inducing therapies: how to take advantage of two sides of the same medal? *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10040823

24. Pisoschi AM, Pop A, Iordache F, Stanca L, Predoi G, Serban AI. Oxidative stress mitigation by antioxidants - An overview on their chemistry and influences on health status. *Eur J Med Chem.* (2021) 209:112891. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112891

25. Rizvi A, Farhan M, Nabi F, Khan RH, Adil M, Ahmad A. Transcriptional control of the oxidative stress response and implications of using plant derived molecules for therapeutic interventions in cancer. *Curr Med Chem.* (2021) 28:8480–95. doi: 10.2174/0929867328666210218110550

26. Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. (2000) 28:27-30. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27

27. Kanehisa M. Toward understanding the origin and evolution of cellular organisms. *Protein Sci.* (2019) 28:1947–51. doi: 10.1002/pro.v28.11

28. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Ishiguro-Watanabe M. KEGG for taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2023) 51: D587–d92. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac963

29. Zhao Q, Han B, Wang L, Wu J, Wang S, Ren Z, et al. AKR1B1-dependent fructose metabolism enhances Malignancy of cancer cells. *Cell Death Differ*. (2024). doi: 10.1038/s41418-024-01393-4

30. Li X, Qian J, Xu J, Bai H, Yang J, Chen L. NRF2 inhibits RSL3 induced ferroptosis in gastric cancer through regulation of AKR1B1. *Exp Cell Res.* (2024) 442:114210. doi: 10.1016/j.yexcr.2024.114210

31. Syamprasad NP, Jain S, Rajdev B, Panda SR, Kumar GJ, Shaik KM, et al. AKR1B1 drives hyperglycemia-induced metabolic reprogramming in MASLD-associated hepatocellular carcinoma. *JHEP Rep.* (2024) 6:100974. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100974

32. Zhang R, Jiang W, Wang G, Zhang Y, Liu W, Li M, et al. Parkin inhibits proliferation and migration of bladder cancer via ubiquitinating Catalase. *Commun Biol.* (2024) 7:245. doi: 10.1038/s42003-024-05935-x

33. Tuli HS, Kaur J, Vashishth K, Sak K, Sharma U, Choudhary R, et al. Molecular mechanisms behind ROS regulation in cancer: A balancing act between augmented tumorigenesis and cell apoptosis. *Arch Toxicol.* (2023) 97:103–20. doi: 10.1007/s00204-022-03421-z

34. Zeng W, Long X, Liu PS, Xie X. The interplay of oncogenic signaling, oxidative stress and ferroptosis in cancer. Int J Cancer. (2023) 153:918–31. doi: 10.1002/ijc.v153.5

35. Fucikova J, Spisek R, Kroemer G, Galluzzi L. Calreticulin and cancer. *Cell Res.* (2021) 31:5–16. doi: 10.1038/s41422-020-0383-9

36. Kasikova L, Hensler M, Truxova I, Skapa P, Laco J, Belicova L, et al. Calreticulin exposure correlates with robust adaptive antitumor immunity and favorable prognosis in ovarian carcinoma patients. *J Immunother Cancer*. (2019) 7:312. doi: 10.1186/ s40425-019-0781-z

37. Fucikova J, Becht E, Iribarren K, Goc J, Remark R, Damotte D, et al. Calreticulin expression in human non-small cell lung cancers correlates with increased accumulation of antitumor immune cells and favorable prognosis. *Cancer Res.* (2016) 76:1746–56. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-1142

38. Stoll G, Iribarren K, Michels J, Leary A, Zitvogel L, Cremer I, et al. Calreticulin expression: Interaction with the immune infiltrate and impact on survival in patients with ovarian and non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncoimmunology*. (2016) 5:e1177692. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2016.1177692

39. Peng RQ, Chen YB, Ding Y, Zhang R, Zhang X, Yu XJ, et al. Expression of calreticulin is associated with infiltration of T-cells in stage IIIB colon cancer. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2010) 16:2428–34. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i19.2428

40. Zheng Y, Li C, Xin P, Peng Q, Zhang W, Liu S, et al. Calreticulin increases growth and progression of natural killer/T-cell lymphoma. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2020) 12:23822–35. doi: 10.18632/aging.104030

41. Lu YC, Chen CN, Wang B, Hsu WM, Chen ST, Chang KJ, et al. Changes in tumor growth and metastatic capacities of J82 human bladder cancer cells suppressed by down-regulation of calreticulin expression. *Am J Pathol.* (2011) 179:1425–33. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.05.015

42. Zheng SS, Gao JG, Liu ZJ, Zhang XH, Wu S, Weng BW, et al. Downregulation of fatty acid synthase complex suppresses cell migration by targeting phosphor-AKT in bladder cancer. *Mol Med Rep.* (2016) 13:1845–50. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2015.4746

43. Jiang B, Li EH, Lu YY, Jiang Q, Cui D, Jing YF, et al. Inhibition of fatty-acid synthase suppresses P-AKT and induces apoptosis in bladder cancer. *Urology*. (2012) 80:484.e9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2012.02.046

44. Wang X, Bai Y, Zhang F, Yang Y, Feng D, Li A, et al. Targeted inhibition of P4HB promotes cell sensitivity to gemcitabine in urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2020) 13:9543–58. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S267734

45. Wang JR, Liu B, Zhou L, Huang YX. MicroRNA-124-3p suppresses cell migration and invasion by targeting ITGA3 signaling in bladder cancer. *Cancer biomark.* (2019) 24:159–72. doi: 10.3233/CBM-182000

46. Sakaguchi T, Yoshino H, Yonemori M, Miyamoto K, Sugita S, Matsushita R, et al. Regulation of ITGA3 by the dual-stranded microRNA-199 family as a potential prognostic marker in bladder cancer. *Br J Cancer*. (2017) 116:1077–87. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2017.43

47. Song J, Liu Y, Guan X, Zhang X, Yu W, Li Q. A novel ferroptosis-related biomarker signature to predict overall survival of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Front Mol Biosci.* (2021) 8:675193. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.675193

48. Xie J, Chen Z, Liu L, Li P, Zhu X, Gao H, et al. shRNA-mediated Slc38a1 silencing inhibits migration, but not invasiveness of human pancreatic cancer cells. *Chin J Cancer Res.* (2013) 25:514–9. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.1000-9604.2013.09.03

49. Su H, Tao T, Yang Z, Kang X, Zhang X, Kang D, et al. Circular RNA cTFRC acts as the sponge of MicroRNA-107 to promote bladder carcinoma progression. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18:27. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-0951-0

50. Ji J, Xu MX, Qian TY, Zhu SZ, Jiang F, Liu ZX, et al. The AKR1B1 inhibitor epalrestat suppresses the progression of cervical cancer. *Mol Biol Rep.* (2020) 47:6091–103. doi: 10.1007/s11033-020-05685-z

51. Wu X, Li X, Fu Q, Cao Q, Chen X, Wang M, et al. AKR1B1 promotes basal-like breast cancer progression by a positive feedback loop that activates the EMT program. *J Exp Med.* (2017) 214:1065–79. doi: 10.1084/jem.20160903

52. Zhang SQ, Yung KK, Chung SK, Chung SS. Aldo-keto reductases-mediated cytotoxicity of 2-deoxyglucose: A novel anticancer mechanism. *Cancer Sci.* (2018) 109:1970–80. doi: 10.1111/cas.2018.109.issue-6

53. Yang L, Shi T, Liu F, Ren C, Wang Z, Li Y, et al. REV3L, a promising target in regulating the chemosensitivity of cervical cancer cells. *PloS One*. (2015) 10:e0120334. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120334

54. Zhu X, Zou S, Zhou J, Zhu H, Zhang S, Shang Z, et al. REV3L, the catalytic subunit of DNA polymerase ζ , is involved in the progression and chemoresistance of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol Rep.* (2016) 35:1664–70. doi: 10.3892/ or.2016.4549

55. Li J, Xu X, Jiang Y, Hansbro NG, Hansbro PM, Xu J, et al. Elastin is a key factor of tumor development in colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2020) 20:217. doi: 10.1186/s12885-020-6686-x

56. Salesse S, Odoul L, Chazée L, Garbar C, Duca L, Martiny L, et al. Elastin molecular aging promotes MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell invasiveness. *FEBS Open Bio.* (2018) 8:1395–404. doi: 10.1002/feb4.2018.8.issue-9

57. Wu X, Lv D, Cai C, Zhao Z, Wang M, Chen W, et al. A TP53-associated immune prognostic signature for the prediction of overall survival and therapeutic responses in muscle-invasive bladder cancer. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:590618. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.590618

58. Fan C, Stendahl U, Stjernberg N, Beckman L. Association between orosomucoid types and cancer. *Oncology*. (1995) 52:498–500. doi: 10.1159/000227518

59. Alečković M, Wei Y, LeRoy G, Sidoli S, Liu DD, Garcia BA, et al. Identification of Nidogen 1 as a lung metastasis protein through secretome analysis. *Genes Dev.* (2017) 31:1439–55. doi: 10.1101/gad.301937.117

60. Rokavec M, Bouznad N, Hermeking H. Paracrine Induction of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Between Colorectal Cancer Cells and its Suppression by a p53/miR-192/215/NID1 Axis. *Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 7:783–802. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2019.02.003

61. Zhang B, Xu C, Liu J, Yang J, Gao Q, Ye F. Nidogen-1 expression is associated with overall survival and temozolomide sensitivity in low-grade glioma patients. *Aging (Albany NY).* (2021) 13:9085–107. doi: 10.18632/aging.202789

62. Jagroop R, Martin CJ, Moorehead RA. Nidogen 1 regulates proliferation and migration/invasion in murine claudin-low mammary tumor cells. *Oncol Lett.* (2021) 21:52. doi: 10.3892/ol.2020.12313

63. Gao S, Fan C, Huang H, Zhu C, Su M, Zhang Y. Effects of HCG on human epithelial ovarian cancer vasculogenic mimicry formation *in vivo*. *Oncol Lett.* (2016) 12:459–66. doi: 10.3892/ol.2016.4630

64. Xu J, Yang R, Hua X, Huang M, Tian Z, Li J, et al. lncRNA SNHG1 promotes basal bladder cancer invasion via interaction with PP2A catalytic subunit and induction of autophagy. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*. (2020) 21:354–66. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2020.06.010

65. Liu L, Ning Y, Yi J, Yuan J, Fang W, Lin Z, et al. miR-6089/MYH9/βcatenin/c-Jun negative feedback loop inhibits ovarian cancer carcinogenesis and progression. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2020) 125:109865. doi: 10.1016/ j.biopha.2020.109865

66. Jiao F, Sun H, Yang Q, Sun H, Wang Z, Liu M, et al. Identification of FADS1 through common gene expression profiles for predicting survival in patients with bladder cancer. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2020) 12:8325-39. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S254316

67. Funt SA, Rosenberg JE. Systemic, perioperative management of muscle-invasive bladder cancer and future horizons. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2017) 14:221–34. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.188

68. Jackson SR, Yuan J, Teague RM. Targeting CD8+ T-cell tolerance for cancer immunotherapy. *Immunotherapy.* (2014) 6:833–52. doi: 10.2217/imt.14.51

69. Byrne A, Savas P, Sant S, Li R, Virassamy B, Luen SJ, et al. Tissue-resident memory T cells in breast cancer control and immunotherapy responses. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* (2020) 17:341–8. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-0333-y

70. Hellmann MD, Ciuleanu TE, Pluzanski A, Lee JS, Otterson GA, Audigier-Valette C, et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab in lung cancer with a high tumor mutational burden. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 378:2093–104. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1801946

71. Snyder A, Nathanson T, Funt SA, Ahuja A, Buros Novik J, Hellmann MD, et al. Contribution of systemic and somatic factors to clinical response and resistance to PD-L1 blockade in urothelial cancer: An exploratory multi-omic analysis. *PloS Med.* (2017) 14:e1002309. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002309