Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Subash Babu, International Centers for Excellence in Research (ICER), India

REVIEWED BY

Kathirvel Maruthai, Johns Hopkins University, United States Anuradha Rajamanickam, International Centers for Excellence in Research (ICER), India Daria Podlekareva, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

*CORRESPONDENCE Delia Goletti I delia.goletti@inmi.it

RECEIVED 21 August 2024 ACCEPTED 19 December 2024 PUBLISHED 13 January 2025

CITATION

Farroni C, Altera AMG, Salmi A, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Lindestam Arlehamn CS, Sette A, Delogu G, Palucci I, Sbarra S, Aiello A, Picchianti-Diamanti A, Gualano G, Palmieri F, Goletti D and Petruccioli E (2025) Specific immune response to *M. tuberculosis* and ability to *in vitro* control mycobacterial replication are not impaired in subjects with immune-mediated inflammatory disease and tuberculosis infection. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1484143. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1484143

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Farroni, Altera, Salmi, Vanini, Cuzzi, Lindestam Arlehamn, Sette, Delogu, Palucci, Sbara, Aiello, Picchianti-Diamanti, Gualano, Palmieri, Goletti and Petruccioli. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Specific immune response to *M. tuberculosis* and ability to *in vitro* control mycobacterial replication are not impaired in subjects with immune-mediated inflammatory disease and tuberculosis infection

Chiara Farroni¹, Anna Maria Gerarda Altera¹, Andrea Salmi¹, Valentina Vanini^{1,2}, Gilda Cuzzi¹, Cecilia S. Lindestam Arlehamn³, Alessandro Sette³, Giovanni Delogu^{4,5}, Ivana Palucci⁴, Settimia Sbarra¹,

Alessandra Aiello¹, Andrea Picchianti-Diamanti⁶, Gina Gualano⁷, Fabrizio Palmieri⁷, Delia Goletti^{1*} and Elisa Petruccioli¹

¹Translational Research Unit, National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ²Unità Operativa Semplice (UOS) Professioni Sanitarie Tecniche, National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS, Rome, Italy, ³Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA, United States, ⁴Dipartimento di Scienze Biotecnologiche di Base, Cliniche Intensivologiche e Perioperatorie, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy, ⁵Diagnostic Labororatory Unit, Mater Olbia Hospital, Olbia, Italy, ⁶Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, "Sapienza" University, S. Andrea University Hospital, Rome, Italy, 'Respiratory Infectious Diseases Unit, National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Background: Subjects with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMID), such as rheumatoid arthritis, with tuberculosis infection (TBI), have a high probability of progressing to tuberculosis disease (TB). We aim to characterize the impact of IMID on the immune response to *M. tuberculosis* (Mtb) in patients with TBI and TB disease.

Methods: We enrolled TBI and TB patients with and without IMID. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were stimulated with Mtb-derived epitopes (MTB300). By flow-cytometry, we identified the Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells as cytokine-producing T cells or as CD25⁺ CD134⁺ CD4⁺ T cells. Memory and activation status of Mtb-specific T cells were assessed by evaluating: CD153, HLA-DR, CD45RA, CD27. Mycobacterial growth inhibition assay (MGIA) was used to evaluate the ability of PBMCs to inhibit mycobacteria growth. A long-term stimulation assay was used to detect a memory response.

Results: The IMID status and therapy did not affect the magnitude of response to Mtb-antigen stimulation and the number of responders. TBI-IMID showed a cytokine profile like TBI and TB patients. The Mtb response of TBI-IMID patients was characterized by an effector memory and central memory phenotype as in TBI and TB groups. This memory phenotype allowed the increased IFN- γ

production after 6 days of MTB300-stimulation. HLA-DR expression on Mtbspecific T cells was associated with TB, whereas CD153 was associated with TBI status. Finally, the TBI-IMID had an MGIA response like TBI and TB patients.

Conclusion: IMID condition does not affect key aspects of the immune response to Mtb, such as the cytokine response, memory and activation profile, and the ability to contain the mycobacteria replication. The immunological characterization of the fragile population of TBI-IMID patients is fundamental to understanding the correlation between protection and disease.

KEYWORDS

tuberculosis, rheumatoid arthritis, Th1, antigen-specific response, AIM assay, IFN-γ, MGIA, tuberculosis infection

Introduction

M. tuberculosis (Mtb), the etiological agent of tuberculosis (TB), is a leading cause of death from a single infectious agent with an estimated 10.8 million people falling ill with TB in 2023, and an estimated 1.25 million people died (1, 2). It has been estimated that a quarter of the world population has an immune response to Mtb defined as TB infection (TBI) (3). TBI can progress toward TB disease in 5–10% of TBI-infected subjects (4, 5). An immunological balance between the host and Mtb allows the pathogen persistence for years in a quiescent status continuously stimulating the immune system (6). Several conditions could affect this fragile equilibrium leading to a reactivation of Mtb replication and TB disease. Patients with immune-mediated inflammatory diseases (IMID) such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA) might have an increased susceptibility to infections, including TB, because the disease process already compromises their immune system. The risk ranges from 2.0 to 8.9 in RA patients with TBI not receiving IMID therapies and is lower in psoriatic arthritis (PsA), and ankylosing spondylitis (AS) (7-11). The relationship between immunity to Mtb and rheumatic disease is complex, primarily due to immunosuppressive therapies used in the management of IMID. Within the cells of adaptive immunity, T cells, particularly CD4⁺ T cells (helper T cells), play a crucial role in fighting TB (12-16). However, IMIDs, such as RA, are characterized by an aberrant immune response, often involving autoantibodies and dysregulated T-cell responses leading to a higher risk of developing TB disease (8, 9).

Conventional synthetic Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Drugs (csDMARDs), such as methotrexate, represent the first line immunosuppressive therapy in IMID patients. In patients affected by RA or PsA, biological (b-DMARDs) (17) and targeted synthetic DMARDs (ts-DMARDs) (18), are generally used after csDMARDs failure/intolerance, being highly effective in reducing disease activity and limiting disease progression. Although, this effectiveness can come at the cost of an impaired ability to fight infections (11). TB preventive therapy is mandatory for TBI-IMID patients undergoing treatment with bDMARDs and tsDMARDs such as TNF- α blockers, anti-IL-6, and JAKs inhibitors, considered drugs at high risk of TB reactivation (19). However, the highest TB risk is reported only in patients undergoing therapies with anti-TNF- α having a fourfold risk of developing TB disease (20), due to the known role of TNF- α in granuloma formation and integrity (21). However, following a principle of caution, TB preventive therapy is indicated as well as for other drugs targeting mechanisms of TB immunity (19), significantly down-modulating the immune function, and affecting T cells and macrophage function (7, 9–11) such as JAKs and IL-6 inhibitors.

Therefore, before initiating immunosuppressive therapy, RA patients are screened for TBI using tests such as the tuberculin skin test (TST) or interferon- γ release assays (IGRAs); if either of these tests is positive, a chest X-ray is performed to exclude TB disease (5, 11). If TBI is diagnosed, TB preventive therapy is proposed (7, 22).

In the last years, many studies characterized Mtb immunity to find new correlates of protection to have tools to monitor the immune response for designing TB vaccine. Polyfunctional CD4+ T cells simultaneously producing pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IFN- γ , TNF- α , and IL-2, have been deeply studied as a possible correlate of TB protection without a unique and definitive association with Mtb containment or Mtb replication (23, 24).

Despite this conflicting literature, BCG-based vaccine, the only licensed TB vaccine, and the novel TB vaccine candidates induce polyfunctional CD4⁺ T cells with memory characteristics in both animal models and human studies (23). Therefore, significant focus remains on this specific subset of T cells, as they offer a viable means to assess the memory response induced by vaccines or Mtb infection.

An alternative tool to measure the Mtb-specific immunity is the Activation-Induced Markers (AIM) assay. The simultaneous expression of CD25 and CD134 identified the antigen-specific T cells, as described in response to Mtb-antigen stimulation in HIV-uninfected (25) and HIV-infected individuals (26). The CD134

(OX40) is a member of the TNFR whereas the CD25 is the IL-2 receptor a-chain, these markers are fundamental for survival, proliferation, and cytokine production upon antigen-specific stimulation (25).

Beyond cytokine production, the surface expression of memory and activation markers has been deeply studied. CD153, also known as 'CD30 ligand', is a costimulatory molecule member of the TNF superfamily (27). CD4⁺ T cells expressing CD153 in response to Mtb antigens are associated with Mtb protection in both animal and human studies (28). They are inversely associated with the burden of TB disease in humans (29), providing a potential correlate of protection against pulmonary TB disease.

Similarly, other memory and activation markers have also been associated with different TB statuses. The CD27 downregulation (24, 30–32) and HLA-DR upregulation (28, 33–36) on Mtb-specific T cells, are associated with TB disease. Rigorous studies showed that the mycobacterial growth inhibition assay (MGIA) can be used to evaluate vaccine efficacy (37, 38), providing alternative standardized tools to evaluate the ability of the immune response to *in vitro* control the Mtb replication.

Currently, a great effort is underway to develop a new vaccine against TB disease (39–43). Since the TBI-IMID individuals represent an eligible population for TB vaccination, it would be important and clinically relevant to evaluate the status of the immune response to Mtb in these vulnerable subjects at higher risk of developing TB disease. However, few data are available on the Mtb-specific immunity of TBI subjects with IMIDs (42, 44–49).

Based on these premises, we aim to characterize the specific immune response to Mtb antigens in IMID patients with TBI and TB disease evaluating cytokine production, memory and activation markers, and MGIA. A control cohort of TB, TBI, and healthy control (HC) subjects without IMID was included. While TB patients serve as a model for Mtb replication, TBI-IMID subjects represent a model for Mtb containment. Additionally, TBI-IMID subjects are a vulnerable population that can be useful in dissecting the immunologically specific aspects of the TB spectrum.

Materials and methods

Study population

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS (approval number 72/2015 and approval number 27/2019). Written informed consent was required to participate in the study. TB patients, TBI subjects and HC were enrolled from 2015 to 2023.

Since TBI in individuals with IMID is associated with a higher risk of progressing to TB disease (7), TB preventive therapy is offered before starting biological therapy. Note that in this study we enrolled 3 patients taking biologic drugs at the time of enrolment and TBI diagnosis. Note that these patients were not screened at the beginning of biologic therapy, although indicated by guidelines (50, 51), and the screening was prescribed only at the time of the therapy switching. TBI diagnosis was based on a positive score to QuantiFERON (QFT)-Plus assay (Diasorin, Vercelli, Italy) without clinical, microbiological, and radiological evidence of TB disease.

TBI-IMID patients with a negative response to QFT-Plus showing radiological evidence of scars in the upper lung lobes and reporting a past exposure to TB cases (51), were considered TBI, and preventive therapy was proposed. Among the 9 subjects with TBI-IMID scored negative to QFT-Plus, 2 were taking anti-IL-6 drugs, 2 were taking csDMARDs and corticosteroids, 1 was under csDMARDs and 1 under corticosteroids. TBI and TBI-IMID cohorts were enrolled before starting the TB preventive therapy. TB disease diagnosis was based on microbiological and radiological signs of disease. TB patients were enrolled before starting treatment or within 7 days after therapy initiation. Among the TB-IMID patients, 3 were enrolled between 14 and 40 days of TB therapy and 3 within 7 days of TB therapy. Note that 3 TB-IMID were taking anti-TNF- α drugs at the time of TB diagnosis. Unfortunately, the type of IMID biologic therapy was unknown for one TB-IMID patient. As a control, we enrolled HC who scored negative for QFT-Plus. Demographic and clinical characteristics of all cohorts used in this study are reported in Table 1 and Figure 1. As this is an observational study with unpredictable outcomes, we selected a "convenient sample" of subjects, considering laboratory workflow, enrollment duration, patient flow in the hospital, and experimental protocol costs.

To perform this study, we followed the STROBE statement checklist for case-control studies (https://www.strobestatement.org/fileadmin/Strobe/uploads/checklists/STROBE_checklist_v4_ case-control.pdf).

Stimulation and reagents

Withdrawing blood samples were collected in Heparin Blood Collection Tubes (BD Vacutainer[®] Blood Collection Tubes). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated using Ficoll density gradient centrifugation with the SepMateTM tubes (StemCell; Cat.85460) within 4 hours from sampling. Cells were frozen in heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) + 10% DMSO and stored in liquid nitrogen until further use. Thawed cells were cultured at a concentration of 0.5-1.0 x 10⁶/mL in 96-multiwell plate for 24 and 48 hours at 37°C, 5% CO2 in complete medium [RPMI-1640 (Gibco, CA, USA), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Life Technologies Italia, Monza, Italy), 2mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin solution]. PBMCs were stimulated with a pool of 300 Mtb-derived peptides (MTB300, 1.5 µg/ml) (52). MTB300 peptide megapool contained a mixture of 300 Mtbderived T-cell epitopes from 90 Mtb proteins (including ESAT-6 can CFP10) that target a large fraction of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells, which share epitopes with NTM species (52-54). As positive control cells were stimulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) (Merck Life Science Cat. S4881) at 200ng/mL. The costimulatory monoclonal antibodies α -CD28 and α -CD49 (1µg/mL each) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, USA) were added. BD Golgi Plug was added after 1 hour for cytokine detection, when appropriate. Unstimulated cells were incubated with costimulatory antibodies and Golgi Plug only.

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of the enrolled patients.

	ТВІ	ТВ	TBI-IMID	TB-IMID	HC	TOTAL	p Value
N (%)	39 (29.5)	37 (28)	33 (25)	6 (4.5)	17 (12.9)	132 (100)	
Age median (IQR)	45 (32-55)	47 (32-55.5)	58 (48.5-66.5)	51 (41-62.25)	39 (36.5-49.5)	49 (36-57.75)	0.0004*
Female N (%)	21 (54)	10 (27)	20 (61)	2 (33)	13 (76.5)	66 (50)	0.0048**
Origin N (%)							
West Europe	25 (64)	11 (29.7)	21 (63.6)	2 (33.3)	17 (100)	75 (57.2)	
East Europe	6 (15.4)	13 (35.1)	5 (15.2)	3 (50)	0 (0)	27 (21)	
Africa	3 (7.7)	4 (10.8)	2 (6.1)	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	10 (7.6)	na**
Asia	2 (5)	7 (18.7)	1 (3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	10 (7.6)	
South America	3 (7.7)	2 (5.4)	4 (12.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (6.9)	
BCG-vaccinated N (%)	13 (33.3)	25 (83.3)	12 (36.4)	4 (66.7)	0 (0)	54 (41.2)	<0.0001**
Type of IMID N (%)							
Rheumatoid arthritis	-	-	20 (60.6)	1 (16.7)	_		<0.0001 §
Psoriatic arthritis	-	-	10 (30.3)	2 (33.3)	_		
Polymyalgia rheumatica	_	-	1 (3)	-	-		
Psoriasis	_	-	2 (6.1)	1 (16.7)	-		na ^{\$\$}
Crohn disease	_	-	-	1 (16.7)	-		
Ulcerative colitis	-	-	-	1 (16.7)	_		
Patients under IMID Therapy N (%)			20 (61)	5 (83)			0.2857 **
Type of IMID therapy N (%)							
В	_	-	3 (15)	2 (40)			0.1183 §
B+C	_	-	-	2 (40)			na ^{§§}
C	_	-	4 (20)	-			
cDMARDs	-	-	4 (20)	1 (20)			
cDMARDs +/- C +/-	_	-	9 (45)	-			
QTF-Plus N (%) at the time of enrolment [§]							
Positive	39 (100)	23 (76.7)	24 (73)	4 (66.7)	0 (0)	87 (66.4)	
Negative	0 (0)	11 (36.7)	9 (27)	0 (0)	17 (100)	39 (29.8)	
Indeterminate	0 (0)	2 (6.7)	0 (0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	3 (2.3)	na ·
Not available	0 (0)	1 (3.3)	0 (0)	1 (16.7)	0 (0)	2 (1.5)	

N, Number; TBI, TB infection; TB, tuberculosis; IMID, inflammatory mediated immune disease; HC, healthy control; BCG, bacillus Calmette-Guérin; QFT, QuantiFERON; IQR, interquartile range; B, Biological; C, Corticosteroids; cDMARDs, conventional DMARDs; na, not applicable, since Chi-square calculations are only valid when all expected values are greater than 1.0 and at least 20% of the expected values are greater than 5; *Kruskal- Wallis test; *Chi Square test; ⁶Chi Square test among TBI-IMID patients; ⁵⁶Chi Square test among TB-IMID patients; ⁵Chi Square test among TB-IMID; ⁵Chi Square test

Intracellular staining assay and flowcytometry analysis

To characterize the antigen-specific immune response to MTB300, we stained cultured PBMCs after 24h and 48h of incubation. Intracellular staining for cytokine evaluation was performed after 24h of incubation, using: Fixable Viability Stain 700, CD3 V450 (clone UCHT1), CD8 APC-H7 (clone SK1), CD27 BV605 (clone L128), CD45RA PE-Cy7 (clone L48), HLA-DR BV786 (clone G46-6), CD153 PE (R&D System, clone 116614), and CD4

ECD (Beckman Coulter, clone SFCI12T4D11), IFN- γ APC (clone B27), IL2 PerCP-Cy5.5 (clone MQ1-17H12) and TNF- α FITC (clone MAb11) (BD). Brilliant Stain Buffer (BD) and Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD) were used according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Activation-induced markers (AIM) were evaluated after 48h of incubation (25) using: Fixable Viability Stain 700, CD3 PE-Cy7 (clone SK7), CD8 APC-H7 (clone SK1), CD25 BV480 (clone 2A3), CD27 BV605 (clone L128), CD134 BV421 (clone ACT35), HLA-DR BV786 (clone G46-6) (all from BD), CD153 PE (R&D System, clone 116614) CD4 ECD (Beckman Coulter, clone SFCI12T4D11).



At least 100,000 lymphocytes were acquired using DxFLEX (Beckman Coulter) cytometer. Data were analyzed with FlowJo software (version 10.8.1), PESTLE and SPICE software [provided by Dr. Roederer (version for MacBook, Vaccine Research Center, NIAID, NIH, USA)]. Antigen-specific response was scored positive if the percentage of the stimulated cells were at least 2-fold higher compared to the unstimulated control and if the events gated were at least 10 (see Supplementary Figure S1 for the gating strategy). The analyses were conducted blindly by two different operators (EP and CF).

In vitro evaluation of the MTB300-specific antigen response after 6 days

The long-term assay was performed in a subgroup of the enrolled subjects with TBI, TB, TBI-IMID, and HC. Thawed PBMCs were seeded at 1×10^6 /mL in a 96-multiwell plate, stimulated with MTB300-peptides (1.5 µg/ml) and cultured for 6 days in a complete medium with α -CD28 and α -CD49 monoclonal

antibodies (mAb) (1µg/mL each). At day three, recombinant human IL-2 protein (Bio-techne/R&D System) at 5 IU/mL was added (55). The supernatant was collected after 24h and after 6 days to evaluate the IFN- γ -specific response. The IFN- γ production was evaluated by ELISA assay (Diasorin, Vercelli, Italy) according to the manufacturer's instructions and the result was expressed as pg/ ml (56).

Mycobacterial growth inhibition assay

The ability of the immune system to inhibit mycobacterial growth was assessed *in vitro* (57). Two million of PBMCs were seeded in 300µl in complete medium, without antibiotics, in a 48-well plate and 300µl of RPMI containing 300 CFU of Bacillus Calmette- Guérin (BCG) Pasteur. Infected PBMCs were incubated for 96 hours at 5% CO₂. Cells were lysed with sterile water and transferred into the MGIT tube supplemented with 800 µL of PANTA (antibiotics) and OADC broth (Becton Dickinson). MGIT tube were incubated in a Mycobacterial Detection System

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1484143

(BACTEC MGIT 960) until the detection of positivity and growth. As control, the bacterial inoculum used was added directly to a MGIT tube: 300 CFU of BCG Pasteur without added cells were placed directly in the BACTEC MGIT 960 machine on day 0. Data were analyzed as time to positivity (TTP) and expressed in hours, subtracting the TTP of experimental control by the TPP of each experimental condition (Δ TTP).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using Graph Pad Prism (Version 8.2.1) and SPSS software. The median and interquartile ranges (IQRs) were calculated for continuous measures. For pairwise comparison, Mann–Whitney U and Wilcoxon tests, were used, as appropriate. Friedman's test was used to compare paired data. Receiver Operator Characteristic (ROC) was used to determine the cut-off values and sensitivity/specificity of long-term stimulation with MTB300 at day 1 and day 6 in TB patients and HC individuals.

Results

Characteristics of the population

One hundred and thirty-two individuals with different TB status and with or without IMID, and HC were enrolled. Differences were found for age (p=0.0004) and proportion of females (p=0.0048). About 57% of the enrolled subjects were from Western Europe and 41% were BCG vaccinated. Most TBI-IMID patients had RA (60.6%) and 61% were under immunosuppressive therapy at the time of enrolment. None of TBI-IMID patients developed TB after one year from the end of TB preventive therapy. All the TB-IMID patients were under immunosuppressive therapy (Table 1). The characteristics of the specific cohorts for flow-cytometry, long-term and MGIA studies are described in Supplementary Tables S1-S3.

Evaluation of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID

To characterize the antigen-specific response, we evaluated both the cytokine-producing T cells and the AIM-positive cells (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S1). Unfortunately, we did not have sufficient CD8 responders to allow a robust analysis, so we focused only on the CD4⁺ T-cell response. We performed the cytometry study on 26 TBI, 22 TB, 30 TBI-IMID, and 6 TB-IMID individuals (Supplementary Table S1). The cytokine-producing cells in response to MTB300 stimulation, hereafter referred to as Th1response, were similar among groups (Figure 2A). Likewise, the frequency of IFN- γ^+ , TNF- α^+ , and IL-2⁺ CD4⁺ T cells was similar among groups (Figures 2B–D). Differently, after 48h of incubation, the frequency of antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells, identified as CD25⁺CD134⁺ (AIM assay), was higher in the TBI-IMID compared to the TBI individuals (p=0.034) (Figure 2E). In response to SEB, we observed a comparable distribution of Th1response among groups and a significantly higher frequency of $CD25^+CD134^+ CD4^+ T$ cells in TBI compared to TB (p= 0.033) and significantly lower frequency compared to the TBI-IMID cohort (p=0.024) (Supplementary Figures S2A–E). To better discriminate the effect of IMID therapy on the ability to respond to Mtb stimulation, we stratified the patients according to the type of IMID therapy (Tables 2, 3) Immunosuppressive therapy in the TBI-IMID and TB-IMID cohorts did not have an impact on the number of Mtb responders evaluated as Th1 $CD4^+$ T cells and $CD25^+CD134^+ CD4^+$ T cells (AIM assay) (Tables 2, 3).

Cytokine profile of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID

We investigated the functional cytokine profile of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells by applying a boolean gating analysis. All groups were characterized by the presence of IFN- γ^+ IL-2⁺ TNF- α^+ CD4⁺ T cells, IFN- γ^{-} IL-2⁺ TNF- α^{+} CD4⁺ T cells, IFN- γ^{+} IL-2⁻ TNF- α^{+} CD4⁺ T cells, IFN- γ^{-} IL-2⁻ TNF- α^{+} CD4⁺ T cells; whereas the IFN- γ^{+} IL-2⁻ TNF- α ⁻ CD4⁺ T cells were represented in all groups except for the TB. Note that the TB-IMID showed the highest proportion of IFN- γ IL-2⁻ TNF- α^+ CD4⁺ T cells compared to other groups (Figure 2F). We then assessed differences by analyzing the total polyfunctional or monofunctional proportion for each group (Figure 2G). We observed a similar proportion of polyfunctional and monofunctional Mtbspecific CD4⁺ T cells among TBI, TB, and TB-IMID. Although not significant, TB-IMID patients were characterized by a predominance of monofunctional cytokine-producing CD4⁺ T cells (Figure 2G). Moreover, in response to SEB, we found a comparable polyfunctional profile among cohorts with a similar proportion of the different T-cell subsets (Supplementary Figure S2F).

Activation profile of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID

Activation status of Th1-specific CD4⁺ T cells in response to MTB300: we evaluated the surface expression of CD153 and HLA-DR on Th1-specific CD4⁺ T cells after 24h of MTB300-stimulation. TB patients showed a higher frequency of Th1-specific HLA-DR CD4⁺ T cells compared to TBI-IMID (p=0.0203); a similar, but not significant trend, was observed compared to TBI (Figure 3A). The HLA-DR expression on Th1 CD4⁺ T cells agrees with previous studies (28). Differently from a previous study (28), the frequency of Th1-specific CD153+ CD4+ T cells was not modulated after 24h of MTB300-stimulation (Figure 3A).

Activation status of AIM⁺ CD4⁺ T cells in response to MTB300stimulation: we evaluated the surface expression of CD153 and HLA-DR on AIM⁺ CD4⁺ T cells after 48h of MTB300-stimulation (Figure 3B). In this case, we observed an increased frequency of Mtb-specific CD153⁺ CD4⁺ T cells in TBI-IMID compared to TB (p=0.0014) and in TBI-IMID compared to TBI (p=0.0168). Note



FIGURE 2

Evaluation of Mtb-specific CD4 T-cell response in TB and TBI subject with and without IMID. PBMCs were stimulated with Mtb-specific antigens (MTB300) for 24 or 48 hours and immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. All the analyses were performed only among the responders. (A) Antigen-specific response evaluated as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells after 24 h of stimulation. (B) Antigen-specific response evaluated as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells after 24 h of stimulation. (B) Antigen-specific response evaluated as total IFN- γ^{t} CD4 T cells after 24 h of stimulation. (C) Antigen-specific response evaluated as TNF- α^{t} CD4⁺ T cells after 24 h of stimulation. (D) Antigen-specific response evaluated as L-2⁺ CD4⁺ T cells after 24 h of stimulation. (E) Antigen-specific response evaluated as CD25⁺ CD134⁺ CD4⁺ T cells after 48h of stimulation. (A–E) Tables under the graphs report the number of CD4⁺ T-cell responders to MTB300. Horizontal red lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. (F) Pie charts representing the proportion of different cytokine-producing CD4⁺ T-cell subsets. (G) Pie charts representing the proportion of monofunctional and polyfunctional antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells. (F, G) Boolean gate combination and Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test were applied. TB, tuberculosis infection; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; IFN- γ , interferon-gamma; IL-2, interleukine 2; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor alpha.

TABLE 2	Flow-cytometry study: number of	TBI-IMID subjects responding to MTB300-stimulation,	stratified according to the IMID therapy.

Type of assay	Enrolled patients according to IMID therapy	Responders N (%)	Non-responders N (%)	p value
	Patients in therapy over total	11/23 (48)	2/23 (87)	0.6175
	Patients not in therapy over total	7/23 (30)	3/23 (13)	0.0175
Th1	Type of therapy over all patients in therapy			
	В	0 (0)	1 (50) *	
	С	3 (27.3)	0 (0)	na
	cDMARDs	2 (18.2)	1 (50)	
	cDMARDs +/- C +/-	6 (54.5)	0 (0)	
	Patients in therapy over total (%)	12/30 (40)	6/30 (20)	0.7116 [§]
	Patients not in therapy over total (%)	7/30 (23)	5/30 (17)	0.7110
CD25+ CD134+	Type of therapy over all patients in therapy			
(AIM)	В	1 (8) *	2 (33) **	
	С	3 (25)	1 (17)	na
	cDMARDs	2 (17)	1 (17)	
	cDMARDs +/- C +/-	6 (50)	2 (33)	

N, number; TBI, TB infection; TB, tuberculosis; IMID, inflammatory mediated immune disease; B, Biological; C, Corticosteroids; cDMARDs, conventional DMARDs; AIM, Activation Induced Marker; n, Number; na, not applicable since Chi-square calculations are only valid when all expected values are greater than 1.0 and at least 20% of the expected values are greater than 5; ^{\$}Fisher's exact test *anti-CD20; **anti IL-6.

Type of assay	Enrolled patients according to IMID therapy	Responders N (%)	Non- responders N (%)	p value	
	Patients in therapy over total	4/6 (66.6)	1/6 (16.7)	. 0.0000	
	Patients not in therapy over total	1/6 (16.7)	0/6 (0)	>0.9999	
Th1	Type of therapy over all patients in therapy B B+C cDMARDs	*2 (40) **1 (20) 1 (20)	0 (0) **1 (100) 0 (0)	n.a	
	Patients in therapy over total	4/6 (66.6)	1/6 (16.7)	>0.0000\$	
	Patients not in therapy over total	1/6 (16.7)	0/6 (0)	20.29999	
CD25+ CD134+ (AIM)	Type of therapy over all patients in therapy B B+C cDMARDs	*2 (40) **1 (20) 1 (20)	0 (0) 1 (100) 0 (0)	na	

TABLE 3 Flow-cytometry study: number of TB-IMID subjects responding to MTB300-stimulation stratified according to the IMID therapy.

N, number; TBI, TB infection; TB tuberculosis; IMID, inflammatory mediated immune disease; B, Biological; C, Corticosteroids; cDMARDs, conventional DMARDs; AIM, Activation Induced Marker; n, Number; na, not applicable, since Chi-square calculations are only valid when all expected values are greater than 1.0 and at least 20% of the expected values are greater than 5; ^{\$}Fisher's exact test; *one patient was under anti-TNF-α therapy and one patient was under unknown biologic therapy; **patient was under anti-TNF-α therapy and corticosteroids.

that, even if not significant, the median frequency of Mtb-specific CD153⁺ CD4⁺ T cells in TBI individuals was higher than in TB patients. The analysis of the different CD153^{+/-} HLA-DR^{+/-} CD4⁺ T-cell subsets showed an increase of all subsets at 48h at the expense of the CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁻ CD4⁺ T cells (Figures 3C, D).

Activation status in response to SEB: we observed an increase of the different CD153^{+/-} HLA-DR^{+/-} CD4⁺ T-cell subsets in response to SEB stimulation compared to the response at 24h and 48h post *in vitro* stimulation (Supplementary Figure S3).

CD27, CD153, and HLA-DR evaluation on Th1-specific CD4⁺ T cells in response to MTB300: We evaluated the surface expression of CD27, CD153, and HLA-DR on Th1-specific CD4⁺ T-cells in

response to MTB300 (Figure 4). The TBI and TBI-IMID subjects had a higher frequency of CD27⁺ CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁻ compared to the TB (p=0.0148 and p=0.0434 respectively). TB patients had a higher frequency of CD27⁻ CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁺ CD4⁺ T cells compared to TBI (p=0.0265) or TBI-IMID (p=0.0295) subjects. Despite the low number of subjects evaluated, the TB-IMID individuals showed an activation profile like the TB patients, with a higher frequency of CD27⁻ CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁺ CD4⁺ T cells compared to TBI (p=0.0429).

CD27, CD153, and HLA-DR evaluation on Th1 CD4⁺ T cells in response to SEB: we found a similar distribution of the activation markers among groups with a prevalent proportion of the CD27⁺ CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁻ CD4⁺ T-cell subset (Supplementary Figure S4).



FIGURE 3

HLA-DR- and CD153 expression on Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID. PBMCs were stimulated with Mtbspecific antigens (MTB300) for 24 hours and 48 hours and the immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. The activation profile (CD153^{+/-} HLADR^{+/-}) of Mtb specific T cells was evaluated only among the responders. (A) Activation profile of antigen-specific response, defined as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells; horizontal black lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. (B) Activation profile of antigenspecific response, defined as CD25⁺ CD134⁺ CD4⁺ T - cell response; horizontal lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. (C) Pie charts representing the proportion of different CD153^{+/-}HLADR^{+/-} CD4⁺ Th1 cytokine-producing cells. (D) Pie charts representing the proportion of different CD153^{+/-}HLADR^{+/-} CD25⁺ CD134⁺ CD4⁺ T cells. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test and Wilcoxon test. TB, tuberculosis; TBI, tuberculosis infection; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; h, hours.

Memory profile of Mtb-specific CD4 Th1 cells in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID

Then, we investigated if the IMID status may affect the specific response to MTB300, by characterizing the memory profile after 24h of incubation. To have enough events to be analyzed, we evaluated the expression of CD45RA and CD27 within the Mtb-specific T cells producing any Th1 cytokines (IFN- γ , TNF- α , or IL-2). We identified the naïve (N) T cells as CD45RA⁺ CD27⁺, the central memory (CM) as CD45RA⁻CD27⁺, the effector memory (EM) as CD45RA⁻CD27⁻ and the effector (E) as CD45RA⁺CD27⁻ (Figure 5) (24). In all groups, independently of the IMID status, the MTB300-specific T cells were mainly CD45RA⁻CD27⁺ (CM) and this subset was significantly higher in the TBI compared to the TB (p=0.024) (Figure 5B). Both TB and TB-IMID groups showed an increased frequency of the double negative CD45RA⁻CD27⁻ MTB300-specific CD4 T cells (EM), this difference was significant comparing TB and TBI-IMID subjects (p=0.0417). Note that TBI-IMID individuals showed a high frequency of CD45RA+ CD27+ T cells (N) compared to the others. In all groups, we found a low frequency of the CD45RA⁺ CD27⁻ T cells (E) (Figure 5B). Within each group, as shown by the pie charts, the distribution of the different memory subsets was statistically significant (Figure 5A). In response to SEB, we did not find significant differences among groups. However, we found an expansion of the CD45RA⁺CD27⁺ (N) and a reduction of the CD45RA⁻CD27⁻ T cells (EM) compared to the MTB300 response (Supplementary Figure S5). Overall, our data indicated that IMID status did not strongly affect the memory profile. Furthermore, we showed that TB patients, regardless of the IMID status, had a higher proportion of Mtb-specific CD45RA⁻ CD27⁻ T cells (EM) than TBI subjects, as TB patients downregulated the CD27 expression (30, 58).

The long-term Mtb stimulation increases the IFN- γ production in subjects with different TB status independently of IMID

We next evaluated if the IMID subjects were able to improve their Mtb-specific T-cell response after 6 days of Mtb antigen stimulation. In a subgroup of individuals (Supplementary Table S2), PBMCs were long-term cultured and the Mtb-specific IFN- γ response was evaluated by ELISA. The supernatants were collected after 1 day and after 6 days of incubation (Figure 6). The IFN- γ production significantly increased after 6 days of stimulation (TBI: p<0.0001; TBI-IMID: p<0.0001; TB: p<0.0001) (Figure 6A). To select a cut-off of the MTB300-stimulation test, we performed a ROC analysis comparing HC and TB patients (day 1: AUC 0.85, p=0.0011; day 6 AUC 0.84, p=0.0016) (Supplementary Figure S6). We selected 0.2750 IU/mL as day 1 cut-off (73% sensitivity and 90% specificity) and 2.1750 IU/mL for day 6 (73% sensitivity and



FIGURE 4

Activation profile of Mtb-specific CD4 Th1 cells in TB and TBI subject with and without IMID. PBMCs were stimulated with Mtb-specific antigens (MTB300) for 24 hours and the immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. Antigen-specific response was defined as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells and the activation profile was evaluated only among the responders. (A) Pie charts representing the proportion of CD27^{+/-} CD153^{+/-}HLADR^{+/-} CD4 T-cell subsets; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test were applied. (B) Frequency of antigen-specific CD27^{+/-} CD153^{+/-} HLADR^{+/-} CD4 T-cell subsets. Horizontal red lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. TB, tuberculosis; TBI, tuberculosis infection; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease.

100% specificity). Based on the selected cut-off we found that 46.7% of TBI, 70% of TB, and 50% of TBI-IMID scored positive to MTB300 at day 1, while 73% of TBI, 70% of TB, and 61% of TBI-IMID had a positive response after 6 days of stimulation (day 1 vs day 6: TBI p=0.0350; TB p>0.9999; TBI-IMID p=0.8064) (Table 4). Evaluating the rate of change, we found the highest increase in TBI subjects compared to the other groups (Table 4).

We then investigated whether the ability to retrieve a specific response was related to the memory T cells. We focused on patients with a positive long-term response after 6 days of stimulation and scored positive for an Mtb-specific Th1-response after 24 hours of stimulation. In all groups, we observed a significant predominant memory-subset responsible for the increased IFN-y production reported at day 6 (p<0.0001) (Figure 6B).

The long-term Mtb stimulation increases the IFN- γ production in TBI-IMID patients scored QFT-plus positive and QFTplus negative

We focused on the TBI-IMID cohort stratifying subjects according to the QFT-Plus results (Figure 7, Supplementary Table S2). The frequency of IFN-y production increased in both TB-IMID QFT-Plus positive and QFT-Plus negative (p=0.0156; p=0.0023 respectively). Applying the selected cut-off, at day 6, 4/9 QFT-Plus negative (44%) had a positive long-term response, and 14/21 QFT-Plus positive (67%) had a positive long-term response. Both groups showed a high frequency of CD45RA⁻ CD27⁺ and CD45RA⁻ CD27⁻ Th1specific CD4 T cells (p=0.0001) (Figure 7B). Subjects were also evaluated for their ability to produce Th1-specific cytokines in response to MTB300 (Figure 7C). The total Th1-specific response was comparable among groups.

MGIA response in TB and TBI subjects with and without IMID

To evaluate if the IMID status impaired the ability of PBMCs to control mycobacteria replication, we used the MGIA, using the vaccine strain BCG, in a subgroup of individuals with different TB statuses and with or without IMID (Figure 8). Clinical and demographical characteristics are reported in Supplementary Table S3. The MGIA response, expressed as the time to positivity (TTP) (59), was compared among different groups (Figure 8). No TTP differences were observed among groups, indicating that TBI-



Memory profile of Mtb-specific CD4 Th1 cells in TB and TBI subject with and without IMID. PBMCs were stimulated with Mtb-specific antigens (MTB300) for 24 hours and the immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. Antigen-specific response was defined as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells and the memory profile was evaluated only among the responders. (A) Pie charts representing the proportion of CD27+/-CD45RA^{+/-} CD4 T-cell subsets; Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test were applied. (B) Frequency of antigen-specific CD27^{+/-} CD45RA^{+/-} CD4 T-cell subsets. Horizontal red lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test. N, number; TB, tuberculosis; TBI, tuberculosis infection; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease



Long-term Mtb stimulation increases the IFN-y production in subjects with different TB status with and without IMID and healthy controls. (A) PBMCs were stimulated with Mtb-specific antigens (MTB300) for 6 days in the presence of IL-2. IFN-7 was evaluated by ELISA on day 1 and day 6 on supernatants. (B) Memory profile of Mtb-specific CD4 Th1 cells. PBMCs were stimulated with Mtb-specific antigens (MTB300) for 24 hours and the immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. Antigen-specific response was defined as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells and the activation profile was evaluated only among the responders. The graph represents the frequency of MTB300-specific CD27^{+/-} CD45RA^{+/-} CD4 T-cell subsets of subjects tested in the long-term stimulation assay. Horizontal red lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. Statistical analysis was performed using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. TB, tuberculosis: TBI, tuberculosis infection: IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; HC, healthy control; IFN-y, interferon-gamma.

IMID could control the mycobacteria replication like non-IMID individuals.

Mtb-specific T cells

Discussion

Summary

In this study, we showed that the memory and activation status of individuals with TBI-IMID are similar to those of patients with TB or TBI without IMID. This finding suggests that TBI-IMID individuals possess effective Mtb-specific immunity and can control mycobacterial replication, as assessed by MGIA, just like non-IMID subjects. Additionally, TB-IMID patients exhibit a cytokine response and activation profile similar to TBI individuals without IMID. Thus, other immunological mechanisms might account for the impaired Mtb-specific immunity in this susceptible IMID group.

To evaluate Mtb-specific immunity besides the response to ESAT-6 and CFP-10 (RD1 antigens) evaluated by QFT-Plus, we used a different Mtb-peptide pool, the "MTB300-peptidemegapool" containing a mixture of 300 Mtb-derived T-cell epitopes from 90 Mtb proteins (including ESAT-6 can CFP10) targeting a large fraction of Mtb-specific CD4+ T cells and sharing epitopes with NTM species (52-54). All groups' patients respond to MTB300-stimulation in terms of cytokine-production or AIM⁺ CD4⁺ T cells. TB therapy reduces the Mtb load in the host, leading to a decreased Mtb-specific immune response (60-62). In our experimental setting, half of TB-IMID patients have been enrolled during TB therapy. Although a limited number of patients enrolled, the TB-IMID cohort showed a similar level of cytokine-producing CD4⁺ T cells or AIM⁺ CD4⁺ T cells compared to TB individuals enrolled before starting the TB therapy.

TABLE 4 Number of responders to in vitro long-term stimulation with MTB300.

	Number of responders				
Group	Day 1 (Cut-off=0.275)	Day 6 (Cut-off=2.175)	p*	Rate of change	p**
TBI [N/total (%)]	14/30 (46.7)	22/30 (73)	0.0350	1036.56	
TB [N/total (%)]	19/27 (70)	19/27 (70)	>0.9999	213.20	0.0772
TBI-IMID [N/total (%)]	14/28 (50)	17/28 (61)	0.8064	930.66	

N, number; TB, tuberculosis, TBI, tuberculosis infection, IMID, inflammatory mediated immune disease; * Chi-Square test; **Kruskal- Wallis test. Significant p values are reported in bold.



antigens (MTB300) for 24 hours and the immune response was evaluated by flow-cytometry. Antigen-specific response was defined as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells and the activation profile was evaluated only among the responders. **(C)** Antigen-specific response evaluated as total CD4 Th1 cytokine-producing cells after 24 h of stimulation. Pie charts represent the proportion of different cytokine-producing CD4⁺ T-cell subsets. Horizontal red lines indicate the median and each dot represents a single subject. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann Whitney test and the Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test. TB, tuberculosis; TBI, tuberculosis infection; IMID, immune-mediated inflammatory disease; IFN- γ , interferon-gamma; IL-2, interleukine 2; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor alpha.

All groups' patients, independently of IMID and TB status, had polyfunctional CD4⁺ T cells producing at least two cytokines and monofunctional CD4⁺ T cells for IFN- γ or TNF- α as previously demonstrated for MTB300 (52). According to the literature, the polyfunctional response is associated with infection control in the case of HIV and *L.major* infections (63, 64), whereas controversial



data are available for TB (23). In our study, TBI-IMID showed a cytokine profile like TBI and TB patients, indicating that the Mtbspecific cytokine response is not altered by the IMID status. Differently, TBI individuals living with HIV infection had a lower percentage of cytokine-producing Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells and a decrease of the double positive IFN- γ^+ IL-2⁻ TNF- α^+ CD4⁺ T cells (65). These results indicate different mechanisms characterizing the groups at high TB risk such as the IMID subjects and the people living with HIV. A higher polyfunctional response associated with the TBI-IMID status and a monofunctional response characterized the TB-IMID patients, suggesting a loss of polyfunctional CD4⁺ Tcell response in TB disease. Since half of TB-IMID patients have been enrolled during TB therapy, this status may lead to a monofunctional response switching. Our recent findings support these data, indicating that TB therapy did not significantly impact the cytokine response in TBI-IMID. However, it did result in a notable reduction of triple functional CD4 T cells in both TBI subjects and TB patients (44). Despite the small size of the TB-IMID cohort, we present these findings as describing such a rare group warrants further research to explore any potential link between Mtb-specific responses and TB outcomes. Lastly, we believe these findings could aid in developing new correlates of protection for patients with varying TB statuses.

According to the literature, the antigen-specific response can be investigated by the co-expression of CD25 and CD134 through the AIM assay (25). Our results indicated that IMID-therapy does not affect the count of either cytokine or AIM responders. The AIM assay reveals a stronger immune response than the intracellular

healthy control.

cytokine assay, making the differences between groups more evident. This finding is supported by an earlier study that demonstrated the AIM assay's superior capability in detecting antigen-specific T cells compared to cytokine-producing T cells (66).

Memory status of Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells

Given the conflicting data on the relationship between cytokine profiles and TB protection, other elements of the immune response, such as the memory and activation status of antigen-specific T cells, were evaluated.

Here we showed that TBI-IMID patients were characterized by an Mtb-specific memory response CD45RA⁻ CD27^{+/-}, as previously described in TB and TBI individuals (24, 67–69). In particular, the Mtb-specific CD45RA⁻ CD27⁻ CD4⁺ T cells (effector memory) characterized the TB patients, whereas the Mtb-specific CD45RA⁻ CD27⁺ CD4⁺ T cells (central memory) characterized the TBI individuals. These results highlight the role of the different T-cell subsets contrasting the Mtb replication. The presence of Mtb at a low replication rate during the TBI status constantly stimulated a central memory response fundamental to containing the Mtb load. Vice versa, during the TB disease, the frequency of Mtb-specific effector memory T cells increases to actively contrast the Mtb replication. These findings are consistent with earlier studies on patients with varied TB status, both with and without IMID (24, 44), and those with HIV (67).

The memory phenotype is fundamental for the increased IFN- γ specific response after MTB300-long-term stimulation. It has been demonstrated that TBI-IMID subjects have a low IFN- γ response to QFT-Plus and a high proportion of results in the uncertain range (70). The long-term stimulation allows the recovery of the Mtb-specific response in TBI-IMID scored negative to QFT-Plus, suggesting this approach as an alternative diagnostic tool in this category of TBI subjects. Note that TBI-IMID had a high proportion of Mtb-specific CD45RA⁺ CD27⁺ CD4⁺ T cells and this proportion was lower in TBI-IMID QFT-Plus negative compared to QFT-Plus positive. Since the CD45RA⁺ CD27⁺ T-cell subset could contain the stem cell memory T cells CD45RA⁺ CD27⁺ CCR7⁺, as already demonstrated in TBI individuals (71), this subset may play a role in our TBI-IMID cohort modifying the phenotype of Mtb-specific T cells.

Activation status of Mtb specific CD4⁺ T cells

Studies in animal models have demonstrated that Mtb-specific CD4 T cells expressing CD153 are protective against Mtb infection (28, 29, 72, 73). Moreover, the Mtb-specific CD153⁺ CD4⁺ T cells are inversely proportional to bacterial load and TB severity in patients with TB disease (29). In this study, we observed an increased CD153 expression only after 48 hours of MTB300-stimulation in TBI-IMID and TBI individuals. The TBI-IMID showed an increase of Mtb-specific CD153⁺ CD4⁺ T cells compared to TBI, supporting the role of autoimmunity in the

CD153-expression (74). Age could be an important factor influencing this result, considering that our study population was older (Table 2) than the cohorts of previous studies describing the CD153-expression on Mtb-specific T cells (28, 29, 33). Since the expression of CD153 has been associated with senescence-associated T-cell impairment (75), we retain that age could be an important factor influencing this result. Based on this evidence, probably other mechanisms associated with immune-senescence affected the CD153 expression on Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells. Also, the origin of our patients may have had an impact on CD153 expression. Our TBI population was mainly from low TB endemic countries, whereas the previous studies were performed in Africa (28, 29, 33), where repeated exposures to Mtb could affect the Mtb-immunity and modify the activation status.

The expression of HLA-DR on Mtb-specific T cells is increased in TB after 24 hours of MTB300-stimulation, as previously shown (28, 33, 34). Moreover, the HLA-DR expression increased in all groups after 48 hours of Mtb stimulation indicating a timedependent differentiation of $CD4^+$ T cells (66). The TB-IMID patients seem to have a high proportion of activated Mtb-specific HLA-DR⁺ CD4⁺ T cells, like TB patients. Differently, in TBI-IMID, the proportion of Mtb-specific HLA-DR⁺ CD4⁺ T cells is like TBI, demonstrating a comparable activation status independent of the IMID comorbidity. Interestingly, the expression of HLA-DR and CD27 on Mtb-specific CD4⁺ T cells demonstrated a dichotomous profile: the Mtb-specific CD27⁻ CD153⁻ HLA-DR⁺ CD4⁺ T cells associated with TB status and the Mtb-specific CD27⁺ CD153⁻ HLA⁻ DR⁻ CD4⁺ T cells associated to TBI status, indicating a highly differentiated profile in patients with TB (24).

Mycobacterial growth inhibition assay

In this study we aimed to characterize the Mtb-specific immunity, however, we included the MGIA experiment to have a global evaluation of the immune defense against mycobacteria, looking simultaneously at the innate and adaptive compartments. Monocyte subsets are key cells of the innate immune response and are fundamental to stimulating adaptive immunity acting as antigen-presenting cells. It has been demonstrated that the monocytes/lymphocytes (M/L) ratio has a predictive value for TB disease, in particular, a high M/L is associated with the TB disease (76). MGIA is a largely used test to monitor the vaccine response and a powerful tool to indirectly test the presence of functional T cells and competence of the innate compartment (37, 38). Our results demonstrated that TBI-IMID had the same ability as TBI and TB to contain the mycobacteria replication evaluated by MGIA.

Translational application of the study

Since the BCG-based vaccine is the only TB vaccine licensed and has a low efficacy for protecting adolescents and adults from TB disease, the development of new vaccine strategies is the main goal of the TB control programs (77). The fragile population of TBI-IMID patients is a potential target of the new vaccine approach, and its immunological characterization is fundamental to develop new biomarkers of protection and disease and to develop alternative therapeutic strategies based on host-direct therapy (78). Since few studies are available on Mtb-immunity in IMID patients (44, 48, 70), we contributed to build the immunological story characterizing the TBI-IMID subjects.

Limits of the study and future directions

The non-homogeneous IMID cohort may limit the solidity of data, however, the different types of ongoing IMID-therapy did not have an impact on the number of responders, as previously shown (44, 70). Due to the availability of cells, not all patients were characterized using the same methodology. Nonetheless, the statistically significant differences observed among the groups validate the consistency of our results. The immunological scenario of IMID is large and complex, however, we tried to summarize a particular aspect of the disease that increases the risk of TB development. Larger studies are needed to elucidate other features of the Mtb-induced immunity. In particular the investigation of specific mechanisms that increase the TB risk in patients undergoing therapy such as IL-6 or JAK inhibitors (19). Although the number of TB-IMID patients enrolled was low, we included this population because it was an opportunity to study Mtb immunity in a rare cohort, larger studies are necessary to eventually identify a correlation between the Mtb-specific immune response and TB outcome. Since TBI-IMID subjects had remote exposure to Mtb and TBI had a recent TB contact, future studies should include TBI with a remote TB exposure. It is known that only 5% of TBI individuals progress to TB disease within the first 5 years from the TB contact (79), whereas only 2% evolve to TB disease during their lifetime (80). Studying TBI individuals with different statuses of TB exposure is a useful approach to understand which type of immunity promotes the eradication or the efficient containment of Mtb. In low TB-endemic countries, TBI patients with remote exposure are not eligible for TB preventive therapy (22) and therefore the evaluation over time of their Mtb immunity could contribute to describing the mechanisms of Mtb containment.

Besides these limitations, we deeply characterized the immune response to Mtb of patients with different TB status in the presence or absence of IMID, showing that IMID status did not affect the Mtb immunity. Recently, it has been demonstrated that Mtb DNA can be detected in PBMC from subjects with TBI and TB disease. In particular, the CD34⁺ cells represent a niche for Mtb (81). This approach could be applied to immunological studies to find a correlation between the Mtb-specific immune response and the presence of Mtb in the circulating reservoir.

Conclusions

We added a contribution to the knowledge of Mtb immunity in this fragile cohort to TBI-IMID subjects demonstrating that TB-IMID patients had a cytokine response, a memory and activation profile, and an ability to contain the mycobacteria replication similar to TBI individuals without IMID. The immune tools available do not completely explain the mechanisms of impairment of Mtb-specific immunity in this vulnerable population and new approaches are needed to overcome this limitation.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: The raw data are available in our institutional repository (rawdata.inmi.it), subject to registration. The data can be found by selecting the article of interest from a list of articles ordered by year of publication. No charge for granting access to data is required. In the event of a malfunction of the application, the request can be sent directly by e-mail to the Library (biblioteca@inmi.it).

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethical Committee of the National Institute for Infectious Diseases Lazzaro Spallanzani-IRCCS. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

CF: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization. AGA: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. ASa: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. VV: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. GC: Data curation, Writing - review & editing. CA: Resources, Writing - review & editing. ASe: Resources, Writing review & editing. GD: Resources, Writing - review & editing. IP: Writing - review & editing, Resources. SS: Methodology, Writing review & editing. AA: Writing - review & editing, Methodology. AP-D: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. GG: Writing review & editing, Data curation. FP: Writing - review & editing, Data curation. DG: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Investigation. EP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study

was funded by the GR-2018–12367178, Ricerca Corrente Line four both funded by the Italian Ministry of Health, and TBVAC-HORIZON, funded by the European Union's HORIZON program under Grant No. 101080309.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all patients and nurses that helped to conduct this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The funding sources did not influence the study's design, data analysis, interpretation, or the writing of the manuscript.

References

1. Goletti D, Al-Abri S, Migliori GB, Arlehamn CL, Haldar P, Sundling C, et al. World Tuberculosis Day 2024 theme "Yes! We can end TB" can be made a reality through concerted global efforts that advance detection, diagnosis, and treatment of tuberculosis infection and disease. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2024) 1415:106993. doi: 10.1016/ j.ijid.2024.106993

2. WHO. WHO Global Tuberculosis Report. (2024). Available online at: https:// www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/tb-reports/global-tuberculosisreport-2024.

3. Houben RMGJ, Dodd PJ. The global burden of latent tuberculosis infection: A reestimation using mathematical modelling. *PloS Med.* (2016) 13:e1002152. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pmed.1002152

4. Alonzi T, Repele F, Goletti D. Research tests for the diagnosis of tuberculosis infection. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn.* (2023) 23:783–95. doi: 10.1080/14737159.2023.2240230

 Kontsevaya I, Cabibbe AM, Cirillo DM, DiNardo AR, Frahm N, Gillespie SH, et al. Update on the diagnosis of tuberculosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2023) 30(9):1115– 22. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2023.07.014

6. Huang L, Nazarova EV, Russell DG. Mycobacterium tuberculosis: Bacterial fitness within the host macrophage. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2019) 7. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.BAI-0001-2019

7. Cantini F, Niccoli L, Capone A, Petrone L, Goletti D. Risk of tuberculosis reactivation associated with traditional disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs and non-anti-tumor necrosis factor biologics in patients with rheumatic disorders and suggestion for clinical practice. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2019) 18:415–25. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2019.1612872

8. Cantini F, Nannini C, Niccoli L, Petrone L, Ippolito G, Goletti D. Risk of tuberculosis reactivation in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, and psoriatic arthritis receiving non-anti-TNF-targeted biologics. *Mediators Inflammation.* (2017) 2017:8909834. doi: 10.1155/2017/8909834

9. Cantini F, Nannini C, Niccoli L, Iannone F, Delogu G, Garlaschi G, et al. Guidance for the management of patients with latent tuberculosis infection requiring biologic therapy in rheumatology and dermatology clinical practice. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2015) 14:503–9. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2015.01.011

10. Cantini F, Niccoli L, Goletti D. Tuberculosis risk in patients treated with nonanti-tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) targeted biologics and recently licensed TNF- α inhibitors: data from clinical trials and national registries. *J Rheumatol Suppl.* (2014) 91:56–64. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.140103

11. Goletti D, Petrone L, Ippolito G, Niccoli L, Nannini C, Cantini F. Preventive therapy for tuberculosis in rheumatological patients undergoing therapy with biological drugs. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* (2018) 16:501–12. doi: 10.1080/14787210.2018.1483238

12. Ghoshal A, Verma A, Bhaskar A, Dwivedi VP. The uncharted territory of hostpathogen interaction in tuberculosis. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1339467. doi: 10.3389/ fimmu.2024.1339467 The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1484143/ full#supplementary-material

13. Sankar P, Mishra BB. Early innate cell interactions with Mycobacterium tuberculosis in protection and pathology of tuberculosis. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1260859. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1260859

14. Panda S, Kearns K, Cheng C, Lindestam Arlehamn CS. From antigens to immune responses: Shaping the future of TB detection and prevention. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2024) 141:106983. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2024.106983

15. Lawn SD, Myer L, Edwards D, Bekker L-G, Wood R. Short-term and long-term risk of tuberculosis associated with CD4 cell recovery during antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. *AIDS*. (2009) 23:1717–25. doi: 10.1097/QAD.0b013e32832d3b6d

16. Sonnenberg P, Glynn JR, Fielding K, Murray J, Godfrey-Faussett P, Shearer S. How soon after infection with HIV does the risk of tuberculosis start to increase? A retrospective cohort study in South African gold miners. *J Infect Dis.* (2005) 191:150–8. doi: 10.1086/426827

17. Nam JL, Takase-Minegishi K, Ramiro S, Chatzidionysiou K, Smolen JS, van der Heijde D, et al. Efficacy of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: a systematic literature review informing the 2016 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2017) 76:1113–36. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210713

18. Chatzidionysiou K, Emamikia S, Nam J, Ramiro S, Smolen J, van der Heijde D, et al. Efficacy of glucocorticoids, conventional and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: a systematic literature review informing the 2016 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2017) 76:1102–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2016-210711

19. Rath E, Bonelli M, Duftner C, Gruber J, Mandl P, Moazedi-Furst F, et al. National consensus statement by the Austrian Societies for Rheumatology, Pulmonology, Infectiology, Dermatology and Gastroenterology regarding the management of latent tuberculosis and the associated utilization of biologic and targeted synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* (2022) 134:751–65. doi: 10.1007/s00508-022-02062-7

20. Minozzi S, Bonovas S, Lytras T, Pecoraro V, González-Lorenzo M, Bastiampillai AJ, et al. Risk of infections using anti-TNF agents in rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* (2016) 15:11–34. doi: 10.1080/14740338.2016.1240783

21. da Silva DAA, da Silva MV, Barros CCO, Alexandre PBD, Timóteo RP, Catarino JS, et al. TNF- α blockade impairs *in vitro* tuberculous granuloma formation and down modulate Th1, Th17 and Treg cytokines. *PloS One.* (2018) 13:e0194430. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194430

22. WHO. WHO Consolidated Guidelines on Tuberculosis Module 1: Prevention -Tuberculosis Preventive Treatment. 2nd ed. WHO (2024). Available at: https://www. who.int/publications/i/item/9789240096196.

23. Lewinsohn DA, Lewinsohn DM, Scriba TJ. Polyfunctional CD4+ T cells as targets for tuberculosis vaccination. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:1262. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01262

24. Petruccioli E, Petrone L, Vanini V, Sampaolesi A, Gualano G, Girardi E, et al. IFN γ /TNF α specific-cells and effector memory phenotype associate with active tuberculosis. *J Infection*. (2013) 66:475–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2013.02.004

25. Escalante P, Peikert T, Van Keulen VP, Erskine CL, Bornhorst CL, Andrist BR, et al. Combinatorial immunoprofiling in latent tuberculosis infection. Toward better risk stratification. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2015) 192:605–17. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201412-21410C

26. Barham MS, Whatney WE, Khayumbi J, Ongalo J, Sasser LE, Campbell A, et al. Activation-induced marker expression identifies mycobacterium tuberculosis–specific CD4 T cells in a cytokine-independent manner in HIV-infected individuals with latent tuberculosis. *Immunohorizons.* (2020) 4:573–84. doi: 10.4049/ immunohorizons.2000051

27. Marín ND, García LF. The role of CD30 and CD153 (CD30L) in the antimycobacterial immune response. *Tuberculosis (Edinb)*. (2017) 102:8–15. doi: 10.1016/ j.tube.2016.10.006

28. Sallin MA, Kauffman KD, Riou C, Du Bruyn E, Foreman TW, Sakai S, et al. Host resistance to pulmonary Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection requires CD153 expression. *Nat Microbiol.* (2018) 3:1198–205. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0231-6

29. Du Bruyn E, Ruzive S, Lindestam Arlehamn CS, Sette A, Sher A, Barber DL, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD4 T cells expressing CD153 inversely associate with bacterial load and disease severity in human tuberculosis. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2021) 14:491–9. doi: 10.1038/s41385-020-0322-6

30. Portevin D, Moukambi F, Clowes P, Bauer A, Chachage M, Ntinginya NE, et al. Assessment of the novel T-cell activation marker-tuberculosis assay for diagnosis of active tuberculosis in children: a prospective proof-of-concept study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2014) 14:931–8. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70884-9

31. Petruccioli E, Navarra A, Petrone L, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Gualano G, et al. Use of several immunological markers to model the probability of active tuberculosis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2016) 86:169–71. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2016.06.007

32. Nikitina IY, Kondratuk NA, Kosmiadi GA, Amansahedov RB, Vasilyeva IA, Ganusov VV, et al. Mtb-specific CD27low CD4 T cells as markers of lung tissue destruction during pulmonary tuberculosis in humans. *PloS One.* (2012) 7:e43733. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043733

33. Riou C, Berkowitz N, Goliath R, Burgers WA, Wilkinson RJ. Analysis of the phenotype of mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD4+ T cells to discriminate latent from active tuberculosis in HIV-uninfected and HIV-infected individuals. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:968. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00968

34. Adekambi T, Ibegbu CC, Cagle S, Kalokhe AS, Wang YF, Hu Y, et al. Biomarkers on patient T cells diagnose active tuberculosis and monitor treatment response. *J Clin Invest.* (2015) 125:3723. doi: 10.1172/JCI83279

35. Strickland N, Müller TL, Berkowitz N, Goliath R, Carrington MN, Wilkinson RJ, et al. Characterization of mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific cells using MHC class II tetramers reveals phenotypic differences related to HIV infection and tuberculosis disease. *J Immunol.* (2017) 199:2440–50. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700849

36. Musvosvi M, Duffy D, Filander E, Africa H, Mabwe S, Jaxa L, et al. T-cell biomarkers for diagnosis of tuberculosis: candidate evaluation by a simple whole blood assay for clinical translation. *Eur Respir J.* (2018) 51:1800153. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00153-2018

37. Painter H, Harriss E, Fletcher HA, McShane H, Tanner R. Development and application of the direct mycobacterial growth inhibition assay: a systematic review. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1355983. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1355983

38. Tanner R, O'Shea MK, Fletcher HA, McShane H. *In vitro* mycobacterial growth inhibition assays: A tool for the assessment of protective immunity and evaluation of tuberculosis vaccine efficacy. *Vaccine*. (2016) 34:4656–65. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.07.058

39. Ogongo P, Ernst JD. Finding antigens for TB vaccines: the good, the bad and the useless. *Nat Med.* (2023) 29:35–6. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-02123-4

40. Zhou F, Zhang D. Recent advance in the development of tuberculosis vaccines in clinical trials and virus-like particle-based vaccine candidates. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1238649. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1238649

41. Zhuang L, Ye Z, Li L, Yang L, Gong W. Next-generation TB vaccines: progress, challenges, and prospects. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2023) 11:1304. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11081304

42. Lai R, Ogunsola AF, Rakib T, Behar SM. Key advances in vaccine development for tuberculosis-success and challenges. *NPJ Vaccines.* (2023) 8:158. doi: 10.1038/ s41541-023-00750-7

43. da Costa C, Benn CS, Nyirenda T, Mpabalwani E, Grewal HMS, Ahmed R, et al. Perspectives on development and advancement of new tuberculosis vaccines. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2024) 141S:106987. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2024.106987

44. Petruccioli E, Petrone L, Chiacchio T, Farroni C, Cuzzi G, Navarra A, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis immune response in patients with immune-mediated inflammatory disease. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:716857. doi: 10.3389/fimmu. 2021.716857

45. Igari H, Ishikawa S, Nakazawa T, Oya Y, Futami H, Tsuyuzaki M, et al. Lymphocyte subset analysis in QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus and T-Spot.TB for latent tuberculosis infection in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Infect Chemother*. (2018) 24:110–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jiac.2017.09.012

46. Silva BDS, Tannus-Silva DGS, Rabahi MF, Kipnis A, Junqueira-Kipnis AP. The use of Mycobacterium tuberculosis HspX and GlcB proteins to identify latent tuberculosis in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* (2014) 109:29–37. doi: 10.1590/0074-0276140140

47. Kumar SK, Arya S, Singh A, Misra R, Aggarwal A, Sinha S. Patterns of T and B cell responses to Mycobacterium tuberculosis membrane-associated antigens and their relationship with disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis patients with latent tuberculosis infection. *PloS One.* (2021) 16:e0255639. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0255639

48. Sauzullo I, Scrivo R, Sessa P, Mengoni F, Vullo V, Valesini G, et al. Changes in T cell effector functions over an 8-year period with TNF antagonists in patients with chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:7881. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26097-x

49. Savolainen LE, Kantele A, Knuuttila A, Pusa L, Karttunen R, Valleala H, et al. Combined Expression of IFN- γ , IL-17, and IL-4 mRNA by Recall PBMCs Moderately Discriminates Active Tuberculosis from Latent Mycobacterium tuberculosis Infection in Patients with Miscellaneous Inflammatory Underlying Conditions. *Front Immunol.* (2016) 7:239. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00239

50. Fraenkel L, Bathon JM, England BR, St Clair EW, Arayssi T, Carandang K, et al. 2021 American college of rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. (2021) 73:924–39. doi: 10.1002/acr.24596

51. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL, Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. 2015 American college of rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2016) 68:1–26. doi: 10.1002/art.39480

52. Lindestam Arlehamn CS, McKinney DM, Carpenter C, Paul S, Rozot V, Makgotlho E, et al. A quantitative analysis of complexity of human pathogen-specific CD4 T cell responses in healthy *M. tuberculosis* infected South Africans. *PloS Pathog.* (2016) 12:e1005760. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005760

53. Marty PK, Pathakumari B, Cox TM, Keulen VPV, Erskine CL, Shah M, et al. Multiparameter immunoprofiling for the diagnosis and differentiation of progressive versus nonprogressive nontuberculous mycobacterial lung disease–A pilot study. *PloS One.* (2024) 19:e0301659. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0301659

54. Scriba TJ, Carpenter C, Pro SC, Sidney J, Musvosvi M, Rozot V, et al. Differential recognition of mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific epitopes as a function of tuberculosis disease history. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2017) 196:772–81. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201706-1208OC

55. Butera O, Chiacchio T, Carrara S, Casetti R, Vanini V, Meraviglia S, et al. New tools for detecting latent tuberculosis infection: evaluation of RD1-specific long-term response. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2009) 9:182. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-9-182

56. QuantiFERON-TB Gold Plus ELISA Kit Package Insert. Available online at: https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/the-end-tb-strategy (Accessed January 03, 2025).

57. Joosten SA, van Meijgaarden KE, Arend SM, Prins C, Oftung F, Korsvold GE, et al. Mycobacterial growth inhibition is associated with trained innate immunity. *J Clin Invest.* (2018) 128:1837–51. doi: 10.1172/JCI97508

58. Petruccioli E, Petrone L, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Navarra A, Gualano G, et al. Assessment of CD27 expression as a tool for active and latent tuberculosis diagnosis. *J Infection.* (2015) 71:526–33. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2015.07.009

59. Lee H, Kim J, Kang YA, Kim DR, Sim B, Zelmer A, et al. *In vitro* mycobacterial growth inhibition in South Korean adults with latent TB infection. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:896. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00896

60. Petruccioli E, Chiacchio T, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Codecasa LR, Ferrarese M, et al. Effect of therapy on Quantiferon-Plus response in patients with active and latent tuberculosis infection. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-33825-w

61. Vincenti D, Carrara S, De Mori P, Pucillo LP, Petrosillo N, Palmieri F, et al. Identification of early secretory antigen target-6 epitopes for the immunodiagnosis of active tuberculosis. *Mol Med.* (2003) 9:105–11. doi: 10.1007/BF03402042

62. Petrone I., Peruzzu D, Altera AMG, Salmi A, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, et al. Therapy modulates the response to T cell epitopes over the spectrum of tuberculosis infection. *J Infect.* (2024) 89:106295. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2024.106295

63. Kannanganat S, Kapogiannis BG, Ibegbu C, Chennareddi L, Goepfert P, Robinson HL, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 controllers but not noncontrollers maintain CD4 T cells coexpressing three cytokines. *J Virol.* (2007) 81:12071-6. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01261-07

64. Darrah PA, Patel DT, De Luca PM, Lindsay RWB, Davey DF, Flynn BJ, et al. Multifunctional TH1 cells define a correlate of vaccine-mediated protection against Leishmania major. *Nat Med.* (2007) 13:843–50. doi: 10.1038/nm1592

65. Day CL, Abrahams DA, Harris LD, van Rooyen M, Stone L, de Kock M, et al. HIV-1 infection is associated with depletion and functional impairment of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD4 T cells in individuals with latent tuberculosis infection. *J Immunol.* (2017) 199:2069–80. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700558

66. Zaunders JJ, Munier ML, Seddiki N, Pett S, Ip S, Bailey M, et al. High levels of human antigen-specific CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood revealed by stimulated coexpression of CD25 and CD134 (OX40). *J Immunol.* (2009) 183:2827–36. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0803548

67. Chiacchio T, Petruccioli E, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, La Manna MP, Orlando V, et al. Impact of antiretroviral and tuberculosis therapies on CD4 + and CD8 + HIV/M. tuberculosis-specific T-cell in co-infected subjects. *Immunol Lett.* (2018) 198:33–43. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2018.04.001 68. Mpande CAM, Rozot V, Mosito B, Musvosvi M, Dintwe OB, Bilek N, et al. Immune profiling of Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific T cells in recent and remote infection. *EBioMedicine*. (2021) 64:103233. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103233

69. Mupfumi L, Mpande CAM, Reid T, Moyo S, Shin SS, Zetola N, et al. Immune phenotype and functionality of mtb-specific T-cells in HIV/TB co-infected patients on antiretroviral treatment. *Pathogens*. (2020) 9:180. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9030180

70. Chiacchio T, Petruccioli E, Vanini V, Cuzzi G, Massafra U, Baldi G, et al. Characterization of QuantiFERON-TB-Plus results in latent tuberculosis infected patients with or without immune-mediated inflammatory diseases. *J Infect.* (2019) 79:15–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.04.010

71. Mpande CAM, Steigler P, Lloyd T, Rozot V, Mosito B, Schreuder C, et al. Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific T cell functional, memory, and activation profiles in quantiFERON-reverters are consistent with controlled infection. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:712480. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.712480

72. Foreman TW, Nelson CE, Sallin MA, Kauffman KD, Sakai S, Otaizo-Carrasquero F, et al. CD30 co-stimulation drives differentiation of protective T cells during Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. *J Exp Med.* (2023) 220:e20222090. doi: 10.1084/jem.20222090

73. Riou C, Du Bruyn E, Ruzive S, Goliath RT, Lindestam Arlehamn CS, Sette A, et al. Disease extent and anti-tubercular treatment response correlates with Mycobacterium tuberculosis-specific CD4 T-cell phenotype regardless of HIV-1 status. *Clin Trans Immunol.* (2020) 9:e1176. doi: 10.1002/cti2.1176

74. Sato Y, Oguchi A, Fukushima Y, Masuda K, Toriu N, Taniguchi K, et al. CD153/ CD30 signaling promotes age-dependent tertiary lymphoid tissue expansion and kidney injury. J Clin Invest. (2021) 132:e146071. doi: 10.1172/JCI146071 75. Fukushima Y, Minato N, Hattori M. The impact of senescence-associated T cells on immunosenescence and age-related disorders. *Inflammation Regener*. (2018) 38:24. doi: 10.1186/s41232-018-0082-9

76. La Manna MP, Orlando V, Dieli F, Di Carlo P, Cascio A, Cuzzi G, et al. Quantitative and qualitative profiles of circulating monocytes may help identifying tuberculosis infection and disease stages. *PloS One*. (2017) 12:e0171358. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0171358

77. WHO. End TB Strategy. Available online at: https://www.who.int/teams/global-tuberculosis-programme/the-end-tb-strategy (Accessed January 03, 2025).

78. Najafi Fard S, Farroni C, Petrone L, Altera AM, Salmi A, Vanini V, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of cysteamine and its potential use as a host-directed therapy for tuberculosis. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1411827. doi: 10.3389/fimmu. 2024.1411827

79. Gupta RK, Calderwood CJ, Yavlinsky A, Krutikov M, Quartagno M, Aichelburg MC, et al. Discovery and validation of a personalized risk predictor for incident tuberculosis in low transmission settings. *Nat Med.* (2020) 26:1941–9. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-020-1076-0

80. Menzies NA, Swartwood N, Testa C, Malyuta Y, Hill AN, Marks SM, et al. Time since infection and risks of future disease for individuals with mycobacterium tuberculosis infection in the United States. *Epidemiology*. (2021) 32:70–8. doi: 10.1097/EDE.00000000001271

81. Repele F, Alonzi T, Navarra A, Farroni C, Salmi A, Cuzzi G, et al. Detection of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA in CD34+ peripheral blood mononuclear cells of adults with tuberculosis infection and disease. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2024) 141S:106999. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2024.106999