Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tao Yi, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong, SAR, China

REVIEWED BY Lin Zhu, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China Peilai Liu, Shandong University, China

CORRESPONDENCE Hongtao Zhang Mtzhangsz@163.com Liangyuan Wen wenliangyuan1964@126.com Zijie Pei peizijie321@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 23 June 2024 ACCEPTED 17 September 2024 PUBLISHED 03 October 2024

CITATION

Zhao P, Chen Z, Wen Y, Zhang H, Wen L and Pei Z (2024) The causality between rheumatoid arthritis and postural deformities: bidirectional Mendelian randomization study and mediation analysis. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1453685. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1453685

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhao, Chen, Wen, Zhang, Wen and Pei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The causality between rheumatoid arthritis and postural deformities: bidirectional Mendelian randomization study and mediation analysis

Piqian Zhao^{1,2,3†}, Zhe Chen^{4†}, Ya Wen⁵, Hongtao Zhang^{3*}, Liangyuan Wen^{1,2*} and Zijie Pei^{1,2*}

¹Beijing Hospital, National Center of Gerontology, Institute of Geriatric Medicine, Beijing, China, ²Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ³Department of Orthopedics, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu, China, ⁴Department of Thoracic Surgery, The Fourth Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China, ⁵Capital Medical University School of Biomedical Engineering, Beijing, China

Background: To better understand the preventive or therapeutic clinical interventions that may be supported by the association between rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and postural deformities including hallux valgus, flat foot, and scoliosis, this study was conducted using Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis. It aimed to investigate whether RA is causally associated with postural deformities in European populations.

Methods: Summary-level data on RA and postural deformities were obtained from the IEU OpenGWAS project and Finngen database, respectively. LDSC regression analysis was conducted to assess the genetic correlation between these diseases. The inverse variance weighting (IVW) method was employed as the primary approach for two-sample MR analyses to evaluate causality. Supplementary methods included MR-Egger, maximum likelihood, weighted median, and cML-MA. To test for potential horizontal pleiotropy, we performed the MR-Egger intercept test, cML-MA, and secondary analyses after excluding confounders. Additionally, mediation analyses were conducted using two-step MR.

Results: The IVW method revealed RA to be causally associated with hallux valgus (OR 1.132, 95% CI 1.087-1.178, P < 0.001) and flat foot (OR 1.197, 95% CI 1.110-1.291, P < 0.001). Among postural deformities, hallux valgus was causally associated with flat foot (OR 1.823, 95% CI 1.569-2.119, P < 0.001) and scoliosis (OR 1.150, 95% CI 1.027-1.287, P < 0.05). No significant horizontal pleiotropy was detected. Moreover, mediation analyses indicated that hallux valgus mediates the effect of RA on flat foot (mediation effect 0.024, 95% CI 0.005-0.044, P < 0.05), with a mediation proportion of 41.31%.

Conclusion: These findings indicate a potential causal association between genetically predicted RA and both hallux valgus and flat foot. Furthermore, hallux valgus serves as a mediator in the pathway from RA to flat foot. This underscores the importance of early screening and preventive treatment of foot deformities in RA patients. Further research is necessary to determine the applicability of these findings in non-European populations.

KEYWORDS

rheumatoid arthritis, postural deformities, Mendelian randomization, hallux valgus, flat foot, scoliosis

1 Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic autoimmune disease that affects the small joints and causes chronic synovitis with progressive development, ultimately leading to joint destruction and deformity (1). The prevalence of RA in Europe and North America is 0.5-1.0%, and women are two to three times more affected than men (2). Genetic factors account for approximately 50-60% of the risk of developing RA, and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) using single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have identified more than one hundred loci associated with the risk of rheumatoid arthritis (3). A systemic inflammatory response in articular cartilage and bone is the main characteristic of this disease (1). Currently, pharmacological treatments such as glucocorticoids and disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are recognized for their efficacy in alleviating symptoms and decelerating the progression of RA (4). However, prolonged use of these medications is associated with serious adverse effects, including infections and liver damage. Furthermore, research in traditional Chinese medicine has demonstrated that extracts and formulations derived from various snow lotus, tea, and ginseng exhibit significant therapeutic efficacy and safety potential in the treatment of RA (5-7). In recent years, RA has been reported to have a causal relationship with orthopedic diseases, including osteoporosis, fractures, and osteochondral malignant neoplasm (8, 9). However, it is not clear whether RA causes the development of postural deformities.

Common postural deformities are malformations of any bodily part or component that result in postural abnormalities, which mainly include spinal deformities (scoliosis, kyphosis, and lordosis), knee deformities (knock knees and bow legs), and foot deformities (hallux valgus and flat foot) (10–13). Previous observational studies have revealed that hallux valgus, flat foot and scoliosis are each positively correlated with RA. A comprehensive review reported studies on the correlation between RA and foot problems (twothirds of the studies were from European populations). They found that 35.0-65.3% and 11.0-42.1% of RA patients suffered from hallux valgus and flat foot, respectively, and hallux valgus was considered to be the most common foot deformity in RA patients. Hallux valgus is characterized by the medial deviation of the first metatarsal as well as lateral hallux deviation, often leading to foot pain and even dyskinesia (14). In RA, forefoot deformities may be associated with flat foot. A positive correlation between hallux valgus and flat foot has been reported, demonstrating that the calcaneal pitch angle and lateral talocalcaneal angle were significantly lower in the hallux valgus group than in controls (15). The prevalence of flat foot in adults ranges from 5.0-14.0%, and the foot is manifested by decreased medial longitudinal arch and valgus hindfoot (16). Arch collapse can affect a patient's gait and motor function. The etiology of hallux valgus and flat foot remains unclear and is currently thought to be primarily related to genetic factors, footwear habits, neuromuscular disorders, and inflammatory disorders (13). RA and scoliosis correlation has been widely investigated in East Asian populations (17, 18). A Chinese casecontrol study reported that 42.6% of RA patients had scoliosis, significantly higher than controls (19). To our knowledge, there are no reported studies on the association of RA with scoliosis in European populations, which needs to be explored. Although more established surgical procedures are available for the correction of postural deformities including hallux valgus, flat foot, and scoliosis, the high expense of the surgery and relatively low postoperative satisfaction aggravate the burden on the patients (20-22). It is crucial to actively explore the etiology and prevention strategies for postural deformities.

Observational studies cannot provide support for causality due to the inability to control for potential confounders and reverse causality (23). Typically, randomized controlled trials (RCT) are the gold standard for causal inference in epidemiological studies. However, because of ethical issues, RCT may not be applied to study the causal relationship between RA and postural deformities (24). Mendelian randomization (MR) is another epidemiological method for inferring causality, and it uses SNPs as instrumental variables (IVs) to evaluate causal associations between exposure factors and outcome events (25, 26). As genetic variants are randomly assigned at the formation of fertilized eggs, they are not affected by external factors or disease states. Thus, MR studies can compensate for the limitations of observational studies and achieve the same effects as RCT, greatly reducing the expense of the study (27). To our knowledge, there are currently no MR studies on the association between RA and postural deformities. This study aims to utilize MR to investigate the potential causal associations between RA and three postural deformities— hallux valgus, flat foot, and scoliosis— as well as the interrelationships among these deformities, in a European population (Figure 1).

2 Methods

This study is reported according to the STROBE-MR statement (Supplementary Table S1) (28). All data were obtained from public databases. The relevant studies were approved by the appropriate ethical review board (29, 30).

2.1 Study design and data sources

The flowchart of our study design is shown in Figure 1 (Built by the Biorender). Details of the GWAS data sources are shown in

Table 1. The relevant GWAS datasets were all obtained from the IEU OpenGWAS project (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) and the Finngen R10 database (https://r10.finngen.fi/). The RA dataset used for the main analysis consisted of 8,255 cases and 409,001 controls (ebi-a-GCST90018910, Sakaue S), while the ebi-a-GCST90013534 (Ha E) and M13-RHEUMA (Finngen) datasets were used for replication analyses. The datasets for the three postural deformities, including hallux valgus (15,886 cases and 262,844 controls), flat foot (2,996 cases and 262,844 controls), and scoliosis (2,817 cases and 294,770 controls), were obtained from the Finngen R10 database. All participants were from European populations.

2.2 IVs selection

SNPs that reached genome-wide significance of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ were selected as IVs in the RA and hallux valgus data, whereas flat foot and scoliosis set the threshold to $P < 5 \times 10^{-7}$ to obtain sufficient IVs.



	B 1.11						
IABLE 1	Description	ot	GWAS	data	sources	and	details.

Traits	Gwas ID	Author	Years	Population	Sample size (cases/controls)	PMID
Rheumatoid arthritis	ebi-a-GCST90018910	Sakaue S	2021	European	417256 (8255/409001)	34594039
Rheumatoid arthritis	ebi-a-GCST90013534	Ha E	2020	European	58284 (14361/43923)	33310728
Rheumatoid arthritis	M13-RHEUMA	Finngen	2023	European	276465 (13621/262844)	_
Flat foot	M13-FLATFOOT	Finngen	2023	European	265840 (2996/262844)	—
Hallux valgus	M13-HALLUXVALGUS	Finngen	2023	European	278730 (15886/262844)	—
Scoliosis	M13-SCOLIOSIS	Finngen	2023	European	297587 (2817/294770)	_

The genetic distance was set to 10,000 kb and $r^2 < 0.001$ to eliminate the linkage disequilibrium bias. The minor allele frequency > 0.01 and the palindromic SNPs were removed. Finally, the F-statistic was calculated according to the formula: F = R2(N-2)/(1-R2), and strong IVs with F > 10 were retained for MR analysis.

2.3 Statistical analysis

We first performed linkage disequilibrium score (LDSC) regression analysis to evaluate the genetic correlation between RA and postural deformities. The inverse variance weighting (IVW) method was used as the main analytical method for the two-sample MR (TSMR) (31). Additionally, MR-Egger, maximum likelihood, weighted median, and cML-MA were used as supplements. Forest plots were drawn based on the results.

For sensitivity analyses, Cochran's Q test was used as a heterogeneity test (P < 0.05). The MR-Egger intercept test was used to evaluate potential pleiotropy (P < 0.05). The IVW method was considered most reliable when horizontal pleiotropy was not observed for IVs. To further avoid the bias of the results caused by potential pleiotropic variants, we applied a method based on constrained maximum likelihood and model averaging, called cML-MA, which has a robust performance for the control of genetic pleiotropy (32). Considering that obesity phenotypes may be a confounder of foot deformities, secondary MR analysis after obesity-associated SNP exclusion was performed (33). SNP for obesity phenotypes (body mass index, body fat percentage, and weight) were searched through the PhenoScanner website (http:// www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/). Scatter plots, funnel plots, and leave-one-out plots were constructed to assess the impact of each SNP on the outcome. Additionally, reverse MR analysis and Steiger test were performed to determine the direction of causality.

Two-step MR was utilized to investigate potential mediating pathways between exposure and outcome. The mediation effect was obtained by multiplying the effect of exposure on the mediator with the effect of the mediator on the outcome. The Sobel test was used to assess the significance of the mediation effect (P < 0.05). The mediation effect was divided by the total effect to obtain the proportion of the mediation effect. Since hallux valgus, flat foot, and scoliosis were all derived from the Finngen database, there may be a sample overlap. The intercept of the genetic covariance is usually used to evaluate the rate of sample overlap. If the intercept value is not close to zero, it indicates a high sample overlap (34). Samples with high overlap were further analyzed using the MRlap method (35). All MR and statistical analyses were performed using R software (version 4.4.0, https://www.r-project.org/).

3 Results

3.1 Causal effects of RA on postural deformities

LDSC regression analysis results showed that RA was significantly correlated with flat foot (r_g = 0.419, P < 0.001) and hallux valgus (r_g = 0.262, P < 0.001) (Supplementary Figure 1A; Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, there was no significant correlation between RA and scoliosis (r_g = 0.111, P = 0.288).

Based on the filtering criteria, 26 SNPs were selected as IVs for RA in the main analysis dataset (Sakaue S). The Ha E and Finngen datasets in the replication analyses were filtered with 85 and 27 SNPs for RA, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). Among the postural deformities, 6, 37, and 3 SNPs were selected as IVs for flat foot, hallux valgus, and scoliosis, respectively.

TSMR was performed for RA and postural deformities. The IVW method revealed a positive causality between RA and both flat foot and hallux valgus, with ORs of 1.197 (95% CI 1.110-1.291, P < 0.001) and 1.132 (95% CI 1.087-1.178, P < 0.001), respectively (Figure 2). Moreover, this conclusion was also supported by the MR-Egger, maximum likelihood, weighted median, and cML-MA methods

Outcome	Method		OR (95%CI)	P-value	Q value (<i>P</i> -value)	Egger intercept (<i>P</i> -value)
Flat foot	Inverse variance weighted	⊢ ●−−1	1.197 (1.110, 1.291)	2.87E-06	8.32E-01	
	MR Egger		1.170 (1.039, 1.317)	1.82E-02	8.00E-01	6.21E-01
	Maximum likelihood		1.199 (1.111, 1.294)	2.93E-06		
	Weighted median		1.197 (1.090, 1.316)	2.00E-04		
	cML-MA-BIC		1.199 (1.111, 1.293)	3.02E-06		
Hallux valgus	Inverse variance weighted	HH	1.132 (1.087, 1.178)	1.40E-09	1.79E-01	
	MR Egger	———i	1.132 (1.061, 1.208)	1.40E-03	1.41E-01	9.93E-01
	Maximum likelihood	HHH	1.134 (1.094, 1.175)	8.77E-12		
	Weighted median	HHH	1.145 (1.093, 1.198)	9.29E-09		
	cML-MA-BIC	HH	1.133 (1.092, 1.175)	1.70E-11		
Scoliosis	Inverse variance weighted \vdash	•i	1.031 (0.954, 1.115)	4.34E-01	5.83E-01	
	MR Egger	• · · · ·	1.053 (0.932, 1.190)	4.18E-01	5.30E-01	6.72E-01
	Maximum likelihood	• · · ·	1.032 (0.955, 1.116)	4.26E-01		
	Weighted median	•	1.015 (0.926, 1.112)	7.52E-01		
	cML-MA-BIC ⊢	•I	1.030 (0.952, 1.114)	4.64E-01		

FIGURE 2

The causal effect of RA on postural deformities. Odds ratio (OR), 95% CI, and *P*-value are presented. Significance levels for heterogeneity (Cochran's Q test) test and pleiotropy test (MR Egger intercept test) are also shown in the figure.

(Figures 2, 3). These five methods did not show statistical significance in evaluating the causal relationship between RA and scoliosis.

The Cochran's Q test indicated no significant heterogeneity in the IVs included when RA was used as an exposure (Figure 2). And no horizontal pleiotropy was detected by the MR Egger intercept test. The results of the cML-MA method are consistent with the other four methods, indicating the findings remain reliable after considering potential horizontal pleiotropy (Figure 2). Scatter plots, funnel plots, and leave-one-out plots all showed the robustness of the results (Supplementary Figures 2–4).

Phenotypic scanning results showed that IVs in RA were associated with obesity phenotypes such as body mass index, body fat percentage, and weight, with rs35139284, rs11513729, rs58667488, rs9332735, rs6906714, rs11513729, rs2069235, and rs42034 having significant associations. After removing these SNPs, which may cause horizontal pleiotropy, the results of the secondary analysis remained consistent with the pre-removal results (Supplementary Table S5).

The Steiger test of directionality showed a correct positive causal relationship between RA and postural deformities. Moreover, for further validation, reverse causality was performed, and all five MR methods showed no statistically significant reverse causality (Table 2; Supplementary Table S7). Replication analyses confirmed causal associations consistent with the main analysis in two other European populations (Supplementary Table S4).

3.2 Causal effects between postural deformities

LDSC regression analysis showed significant genetic correlations between all postural deformities (Supplementary Figure 1B). TSMR was performed for the three postural deformities. The IVW method found a positive causal relationship between hallux valgus and flat foot (OR 1.823, 95% CI 1.569-2.119, P < 0.001) and scoliosis (OR 1.150, 95% CI 1.027-1.287, P < 0.05) (Figure 3; Supplementary Table 7). The intercept of the genetic covariance (cross trait intercept) between hallux valgus and flat foot was 0.1896 ± 0.0071 , suggesting there may be a sample overlap rate, so we further analyzed by MRlap method, and the results remained consistent with the previous findings (OR 1.351, 95% CI 1.237-1.474, P < 0.001) (Figure 3; Supplementary Table S8). Although the IVW and MRlap methods showed that there was also a significant causal relationship between the flat foot and hallux valgus, the Steiger test suggested misdirection (P = 0.329, FALSE), suggesting no reverse causality between hallux valgus and flat foot (Figure 3). Additionally, the IVW method showed a significant causality between scoliosis and hallux valgus, but lost significance after controlling for pleiotropy with the cML-MA method (Figure 3).

3.3 Mediation analysis

The above results suggested hallux valgus may be a mediator of RA causing flat foot. The mediation effect of hallux valgus was calculated to be 0.074 (95% CI 0.044-0.105, P < 0.001) by two-step MR, with a mediation proportion of 41.31% (Figure 4; Supplementary Table 9). Replication analyses also validated the mediation effect of hallux valgus in two other European populations (Figure 4). Moreover, the mediation analysis based on the MRlap method showed the same direction as the main analysis, which indicated the mediation effect remained after controlling for the sample overlap rate (Supplementary Figure 6; Supplementary Table 10; Figure 5).



TABLE 2 F	Reverse N	MR ana	alyses of	FRA	with	postural	deformities.
-----------	-----------	--------	-----------	-----	------	----------	--------------

Exposure	Outcome	Method	OR (95%CI)	<i>P</i> -value
Flat foot	Rheumatoid arthritis_Sakaue S	IVW	1.055 (0.938-1.186)	3.75E-01
Hallux valgus	Rheumatoid arthritis_Sakaue S	IVW	1.158 (0.918-1.459)	2.15E-01
Scoliosis	Rheumatoid arthritis_Sakaue S	IVW	0.998 (0.860-1.158)	9.77E-01

Exposure	Outcome	Method				OR (95%CI)	P-value	Q value (<i>P</i> -value)	Egger intercept (P-value)	Correct causal direction	Steiger (P-value)	Cross trait intercept
Flat foot	Hallux valgus	Inverse variance weighted		H-		1.574(1.220-2.031)	4.89E-04	1.80E-13		FALSE	3.29E-01	$0.190{\pm}0.0$
		MR Egger		•		1.141(0.468-2.782)	7.86E-01	2.26E-12	5.00E-01			
		Maximum likelihood		HeH		1.704(1.527-1.900)	1.11E-21					
		Weighted median		HOH I		1.183(1.016-1.377)	3.04E-02					
		cML-MA-BIC-DP		H		1.411(1.082-1.841)	1.11E-02					
		Mrlap		- I		3.186(1.419-7.152)	4.99E-03					
	Scoliosis	Inverse variance weighted		H		1.124(0.957-1.319)	1.55E-01	3.41E-01		TRUE	5.77E-16	0.026±0.0
		MR Egger	-			0.903(0.519-1.573)	7.37E-01	3.01E-01	4.64E-01			
		Maximum likelihood				1.127(0.966-1.315)	1.27E-01					
		Weighted median	1	• -1		1.147(0.938-1.402)	1.81E-01					
		cML-MA-BIC				1.127(0.965-1.315)	1.30E-01					
Hallux valgus	Flat foot	Inverse variance weighted		H H H		1.823(1.569-2.119)	4.31E-15	1.43E-03		TRUE	1.13E-68	0.190±0.0
		MR Egger				2.047(1.202-3.484)	1.26E-02	1.10E-03	6.60E-01			
		Maximum likelihood		HeH		1.844(1.642-2.071)	4.77E-25					
		Weighted median		HHH		1.691(1.414-2.021)	8.26E-09					
		cML-MA-BIC-DP		H H		1.747(1.484-2.056)	1.92E-11					
		Mrlap		lei		1.351(1.237-1.474)	1.78E-11					
	Scoliosis	Inverse variance weighted		Hel		1.150(1.027-1.287)	1.53E-02	4.98E-01		TRUE	9.26E-118	0.038±0.00
		MR Egger	E F	• · · · · ·		1.316(0.886-1.955)	1.84E-01	4.72E-01	4.91E-01			
		Maximum likelihood		Hel		1.154(1.029-1.293)	1.42E-02					
		Weighted median		He -1		1.149(0.975-1.354)	9.78E-02					
		cML-MA-BIC		lel 🛛		1.151(1.026-1.292)	1.64E-02					
Scoliosis	Flat foot	Inverse variance weighted	- F	•		1.132(0.913-1.405)	2.59E-01	6.39E-01		TRUE	1.81E-07	0.026±0.0
		MR Egger	-		-	0.957(0.355-2.581)	9.45E-01	3.77E-01	7.91E-01			
		Maximum likelihood	- F	● - I		1.134(0.911-1.411)	2.59E-01					
		Weighted median	E H			1.070(0.827-1.385)	6.06E-01					
		cML-MA-BIC-DP	- F	•		1.134(0.911-1.411)	2.61E-01					
	Hallux valgus	Inverse variance weighted		e i		1.107(1.001-1.225)	4.83E-02	7.13E-01		TRUE	2.14E-06	0.038±0.00
		MR Egger	H	—		0.957(0.602-1.522)	8.83E-01	5.97E-01	6.42E-01			
		Maximum likelihood		•		1.108(0.999-1.229)	5.26E-02					
		Weighted median		-		1.102(0.970-1.252)	1.35E-01					
		cML-MA-BIC		0		1,108(0,999-1,229)	5.30E-02					

FIGURE 4

The causal effect of RA on postural deformities. OR, 95% CI and P-value are presented. Significance levels for Cochran's Q test, MR Egger intercept test, Steiger test and the cross trait intercept are also shown in the figure.

4 Discussion

This MR study investigated a potential causal relationship between RA and postural deformities, including flat foot, hallux valgus, and scoliosis. The results showed that genetically predicted RA was positively and causally associated with flat foot and hallux valgus but not significantly with scoliosis in a European population. In sensitivity analyses, Cochran's Q test did not detect significant heterogeneity. The MR-Egger intercept test showed no significant horizontal pleiotropy. The cML-MA method and secondary analyses after obesity-associated SNP exclusion suggested the MR results were still robust with further control for horizontal pleiotropy. The directionality test confirmed the accuracy of the causality direction. Additionally, we analyzed the potential causal



The mediation analysis of hallux valgus on the association between RA and flat foot (based on the IVW method), including the results of the main (Sakaue S) and replication (Ha E, Finngen) analyses.

association among postural deformities. Surprisingly, a positive causality between hallux valgus and flat foot as well as scoliosis, suggesting hallux valgus may be a mediator of RA causing flat foot. Two-step MR confirmed the mediation effect of hallux valgus, and the results remained significant after controlling for the sample overlap rate by the MRlap method (Supplementary Figure 2).

Foot manifestations due to RA are initially usually in the forefoot and include hallux valgus, dorsal subluxation of the MTP joints, as well as hammer toe of the lesser toes, and get worse over time (36, 37). Previous observational studies have shown a positive association between RA and hallux valgus (38, 39). In a crosssectional study in a Spanish population (220 RA patients with a median age of 59 years and a disease duration of 15.44 ± 10.54 years), hallux valgus was observed in 21.36% of the left foot and 22.28% of the right, respectively, in patients with RA progression of less than 10 years. Whereas with progression of more than 10 years, hallux valgus was observed in 30.92% of the left foot and 27.27% of the right (39). In another survey involving 955 Finnish RA patients (618 females and 337 males), the prevalence of hallux valgus was found to increase significantly with the duration of RA, and it was suggested rheumatoid inflammation of the medial sesamoid ligament may play an important role in the development of hallux valgus (40). Additionally, observational studies from non-European populations also found a correlation between RA and hallux valgus. Michelson et al. reported a 75.8% prevalence of hallux valgus, with moderate to severe hallux valgus in approximately 33% of cases, in an examination of 99 American patients with RA (age 58.4 \pm 1.2 years, duration of disease 13.5 \pm 1.1 years). Malhar H et al. examined 18 Indian RA patients (25 feet) not normally wearing shoes and found a 24% prevalence of hallux valgus present. However, UK RA patients (126 feet) who normally wore shoes had a 71.4% prevalence of hallux valgus (41).

Stolt et al. reviewed 32 studies published between 1981 and 2016 that reported a correlation between RA and foot problems, 21 of which originated from European populations (42). They found hallux valgus was the most common foot deformity problem in RA patients, affecting 35.0-65.3% of patients. Moreover, flat foot (11.0-42.1%) was also found to be more common in RA patients. The correlation between RA and flat foot was also reported in an observational study (154 RA cases and 101 controls) originating from France, which showed flat foot occurred significantly more frequently in RA patients than controls (P < 0.0005), especially in female patients (16). Results of another cross-sectional survey from Colombia showed that 42.1% of RA patients with a mean disease duration of 9.0 \pm 7.1 years had flat foot (43). Additionally, a high correlation between RA and flat foot was reported in a study of Japanese (44).

Although RA often occurs in the small peripheral joints, it may still affect the spine. The incidence and factors associated with scoliosis, spondylolisthesis, and vertebral fracture in RA patients have been investigated in East Asian populations (17, 18). A Japanese prospective cohort study reported a 16% incidence of scoliosis in RA during a mean follow-up period of 7.0 \pm 0.6 years, and multivariate analysis showed poor control of RA was an independent risk factor for *de novo* scoliosis (aOR 4.81, 95% CI 1.14-16.06, *P* = 0.011) (18). Another observational study from China found the prevalence of scoliosis to be 42.6% in RA patients, significantly higher than in controls (P = 0.002) (19). To our knowledge, there have been no reports on the correlation between RA and scoliosis in European populations. However, our results did not find a causal relationship between RA and scoliosis in European populations. Therefore, the results of MR studies originating in Europe may not be directly applicable to East Asian populations.

Hallux valgus and flat foot have been identified as co-features resulting from RA, but their association remains unclear. Bouysset et al. found a correlation between flat foot and metatarsus primus adductus in a study of the feet of 154 RA patients (mean age 55.6 years; mean disease duration 7.1 years) (16). Zafer et al. reported the calcaneal pitch angle was significantly lower in patients with hallux valgus than in normal patients (P < 0.001), and the number of patients with abnormal calcaneal pitch angle (P < 0.001) and talocalcaneal coverage angle (P = 0.03) was significantly higher in the case group than control, suggesting a high correlation between flat foot and hallux valgus (45). In contrast, Shi et al. reported no correlation between flat foot and splaying of forefoot in a study of the feet of 50 RA patients (disease duration >10 years) (46). Another study reported a new classification of hallux deformity (based on the horizontal and sagittal planes) applicable to RA patients and compared 5 clusters in relation to flat foot, finding that cluster III (boutonniere deformity type) correlated with flat foot but not cluster II (hallux valgus type) (44). Therefore, the relationship between hallux valgus and flat foot still requires further investigation, and our results provide evidence to support a causal relationship.

The correlation between RA and foot deformities may be mediated by several potential mechanisms. RA often results in peripheral joint erosive synovitis. The inflammatory process can lead to distension of the forefoot joint, triggering rupture of the collateral ligaments and plantar plates. Peripheral soft tissue destruction causes progressive joint instability in the foot in RA patients. Continuous motor loading may lead to dorsal subluxation of the metatarsophalangeal joints as well as plantar displacement of the metatarsal heads, leading to the development of hallux valgus. The development of flat foot may be related to the dysfunction of the posterior tibial tendon caused by RA. Patients with RA develop swelling and effusion around the posterior tibial tendon, and the inflammatory process leading to hindfoot joint destruction, subtalar joint instability, and tendon dysfunction may mediate the occurrence of flat foot and valgus deformity (47). Hallux valgus and flat foot are characteristic foot deformities in the progression of RA. Whether the first metatarsal bone adducts is due to the destruction of the surrounding ligaments by RA, which makes the support weaker and thus triggers the development of flat foot deformity remains controversial (16). However, our results support this mediating relationship.

The correlation between hallux valgus and scoliosis has been chiefly reported in dancers, but the causal relationship remains unclear (48, 49). The deformities may be related to extensive dance practice that exposes the spine and lower limb joints to high loads and strains. Scoliosis has been suggested to be a significant predictor of hallux valgus (OR = 2.09, 95% CI 1.11-3.92, P = 0.022). However, the results were derived from a cross-sectional study,

which could not exclude confounding and define causality (49). In our study, the causal relationship between hallux valgus and scoliosis was determined by TSMR. Malalignment of the lower limb joints caused by this forefoot deformity may distort the directions of forces and pass across the joints, which in turn triggers the development of undesirable spinal deformities such as scoliosis (50). Consequently, our results could contribute to better standardization of their training programs as well as screening strategies to prolong careers.

Despite numerous studies reporting the correlation between RA and postural deformities, as well as among postural deformities, these observational studies cannot clarify the causality between the diseases, which usually requires extensive cohort studies to confirm (51). To our knowledge, this has not been reported. The MR provides a more efficient, cost-effective, and highly feasible approach (26). We used MR to clarify for the first time the causal relationship between RA and hallux valgus and flat foot, and we also identified hallux valgus as a mediator of RA causing flat foot; the causality between hallux valgus and scoliosis was also confirmed. This study has significant clinical implications for the management of RA and associated postural deformities. First, the identification of hallux valgus as a mediator between RA and flat foot suggests that early detection of hallux valgus could serve as a valuable predictive marker, enabling preventive interventions before severe deformities develop. This underscores the need for comprehensive foot assessments in RA patients, regardless of disease severity. Customized orthotics, modified footwear, and targeted physiotherapy could enhance mobility and function, ultimately improving the quality of life for patients with rheumatoid foot problems (52). Moreover, aggressive pharmacological treatment of RA, particularly with DMARDs or traditional Chinese medicine formulations, could play a key role in preventing foot deformities by controlling inflammation and joint destruction, potentially reducing the need for surgical correction. However, despite these opportunities for prevention, studies show that only one-third of RA patients receive any form of foot intervention, highlighting a gap in current care (53). Raising awareness among healthcare providers and ensuring that orthopedic surgeons with expertise in foot deformities are actively involved in multidisciplinary teams along with rheumatologists and other specialists can significantly improve outcomes.

In this study, two replication analyses were performed to strengthen the results of the primary analysis of causality between RA and postural deformities. Although horizontal pleiotropy was not detected by the MR-Egger intercept test, we validated it by using the cML-MA method and secondary analysis after confounders exclusion, which controlled for horizontal pleiotropy to a greater extent. Since the data sources of the three postural deformities were all from the Finngen database, there may be a possibility of biased results caused by the sample overlap rate when performing MR among them. Although some studies have reported that two samples from a single large database can be safely analyzed by MR, we still used the MRlap method to correct the analysis for the group with a large sample overlap rate and obtained results consistent with previous (54). There were also some limitations in this study: due to the limited source of data related to postural deformities, only from European populations, the results may not be generalizable to other populations, which would require additional analyses of other ethnicities at a later stage.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of our MR study demonstrate the causal and mediating relationships between RA and postural deformities in a European population species. This study may provide new insights into the prevention of foot deformities in RA patients. Early screening and treatment of RA patients and wearing orthopedic devices may reduce the incidence of foot deformities and improve patients' quality of life.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

PZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. HZ: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. LW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZP: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the '121' Clinical Research Program (BJ-2218-087) and the National High Level Hospital Clinical Research Funding (BJ-2023-085).

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the teams of Eunji Ha and Saori Sakaue and the Finngen database for providing publicly available summarized data from genome-wide association studies on rheumatoid arthritis, hallux valgus, flat foot, and scoliosis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Smolen JS, Aletaha D, Mcinnes IB. Rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet. (2016) 388:2023-38. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(16)30173-8

2. Di Matteo A, Bathon JM, Emery P. Rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet. (2023) 402:2019-33. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(23)01525-8

3. Venetsanopoulou AI, Alamanos Y, Voulgari PV, Drosos AA. Epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis: genetic and environmental influences. *Expert Rev Clin Immunol.* (2022) 18:923–31. doi: 10.1080/1744666x.2022.2106970

4. Brown P, Pratt AG, Hyrich KL. Therapeutic advances in rheumatoid arthritis. *Bmj.* (2024) 384:e070856. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2022-070856

5. Chik WI, Zhu L, Fan LL, Yi T, Zhu GY, Gou XJ, et al. Saussurea involucrata: A review of the botany, phytochemistry and ethnopharmacology of a rare traditional herbal medicine. *J Ethnopharmacol.* (2015) 172:44–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2015.06.033

6. Fan J-Y, Chen H-B, Zhu L, Chen H-L, Zhao Z-Z, Yi T. Saussurea medusa, source of the medicinal herb snow lotus: a review of its botany, phytochemistry, pharmacology and toxicology. *Phytochem Rev.* (2015) 14:353–66. doi: 10.1007/s11101-015-9408-2

7. Chen Q-L, Chen X-Y, Zhu L, Chen H-B, Ho H-M, Yeung W-P, et al. Review on Saussurea laniceps, a potent medicinal plant known as "snow lotus": botany, phytochemistry and bioactivities. *Phytochem Rev.* (2016) 15:537–65. doi: 10.1007/ s11101-015-9452-y

8. Deng Y, Wong MCS. Association between rheumatoid arthritis and osteoporosis in Japanese populations: A mendelian randomization study. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2023) 75:1334–43. doi: 10.1002/art.42502

9. Yang M, Su Y, Xu K, Xie J, Wen P, Liu L, et al. Rheumatoid arthritis increases the risk of Malignant neoplasm of bone and articular cartilage: a two-sample bidirectional mendelian randomization study. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2023) 25:219. doi: 10.1186/s13075-023-03205-5

10. Diebo BG, Shah NV, Boachie-Adjei O, Zhu F, Rothenfluh DA, Paulino CB, et al. Adult spinal deformity. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:160-72. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(19) 31125-0

11. Tuca M, Franz P, Guerra D, Sepúlveda M. Guided growth: indications and techniques for correction of knock knees and bowlegs. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* (2022) 34:92–9. doi: 10.1097/mop.000000000001094

12. Brijwasi T, Borkar P. A comprehensive exercise program improves foot alignment in people with flexible flat foot: a randomized trial. *J Physiother.* (2023) 69:42–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2022.11.011

13. Hecht PJ, Lin TJ. Hallux valgus. Med Clin North Am. (2014) 98:227-32. doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2013.10.007

14. Lalevée M, Barbachan Mansur NS, Lee HY, Maly CJ, Iehl CJ, Nery C, et al. Distal metatarsal articular angle in hallux valgus deformity. Fact or fiction? A 3-dimensional weightbearing CT assessment. *Foot Ankle Int.* (2022) 43:495–503. doi: 10.1177/10711007211051642

15. Atbaşı Z, Erdem Y, Kose O, Demiralp B, Ilkbahar S, Tekin HO. Relationship between hallux valgus and pes planus: real or fiction? *J Foot Ankle Surg.* (2020) 59:513–7. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2019.09.037

16. Bouysset M, Tebib J, Noel E, Tavernier T, Miossec P, Vianey JC, et al. Rheumatoid flat foot and deformity of the first ray. J Rheumatol. (2002) 29:903–5.

17. Sakai T, Sairyo K, Hamada D, Higashino K, Katoh S, Takata Y, et al. Radiological features of lumbar spinal lesions in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with special reference to the changes around intervertebral discs. *Spine J.* (2008) 8:605–11. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2007.03.008

18. Yamada K, Suzuki A, Takahashi S, Inui K, Koike T, Okano T, et al. Incidence of and risk factors for spondylolisthesis, scoliosis, and vertebral fracture in rheumatoid arthritis. *J Bone Miner Metab.* (2022) 40:120–31. doi: 10.1007/s00774-021-01261-y

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1 453685/full#supplementary-material

19. Xu S, Liang Y, Meng F, Zhu Z, Liu H. Risk prediction of degenerative scoliosis combined with lumbar spinal stenosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study. *Rheumatol Int.* (2020) 40:925–32. doi: 10.1007/s00296-019-04508-0

20. Willey JC, Reuter LS, Belatti DA, Phisitkul P, Amendola N. Availability of consumer prices for bunion surgery. *Foot Ankle Int.* (2014) 35:1309–15. doi: 10.1177/1071100714549045

21. Flores DV, Mejía Gómez C, Fernández Hernando M, Davis MA, Pathria MN. Adult acquired flatfoot deformity: anatomy, biomechanics, staging, and imaging findings. *Radiographics*. (2019) 39:1437–60. doi: 10.1148/rg.2019190046

22. Petrosyan E, Fares J, Lesniak MS, Koski TR, El Tecle NE. Biological principles of adult degenerative scoliosis. *Trends Mol Med.* (2023) 29:740–52. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2023.05.012

23. Evans DM, Davey Smith G. Mendelian randomization: new applications in the coming age of hypothesis-free causality. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* (2015) 16:327–50. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-090314-050016

24. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, BaR W, NM D, SA S, TJ V, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization (STROBE-MR): explanation and elaboration. *Bmj.* (2021) 375:n2233. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n2233

25. Davies NM, Holmes MV, Davey Smith G. Reading Mendelian randomization studies: a guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *Bmj.* (2018) 362:k601. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k601

26. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S. Mendelian randomization. Jama. (2017) 318:1925–6. doi: 10.1001/jama.2017.17219

27. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *Elife.* (2018) 7:e34408. doi: 10.7554/eLife.34408

28. Skrivankova VW, Richmond RC, BaR W, Yarmolinsky J, NM D, SA S, et al. Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology using mendelian randomization: the STROBE-MR statement. *Jama*. (2021) 326:1614–21. doi: 10.1001/jama.2021.18236

29. Ha E, Bae SC, Kim K. Large-scale meta-analysis across East Asian and European populations updated genetic architecture and variant-driven biology of rheumatoid arthritis, identifying 11 novel susceptibility loci. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2021) 80:558–65. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2020-219065

30. Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, Karjalainen J, Kurki M, Koshiba S, et al. A crosspopulation atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:1415–24. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00931-x

31. Karlsson T, Hadizadeh F, Rask-Andersen M, Johansson Å, Ek WE. Body mass index and the risk of rheumatic disease: linear and nonlinear mendelian randomization analyses. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2023) 75:2027–35. doi: 10.1002/art.42613

32. Xue H, Shen X, Pan W. Constrained maximum likelihood-based Mendelian randomization robust to both correlated and uncorrelated pleiotropic effects. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2021) 108:1251–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.05.014

33. Jung DY, Jung SH, Gwak GT. Contributions of age, gender, body mass index, and normalized arch height to hallux valgus: a decision tree approach. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2023) 24:278. doi: 10.1186/s12891-023-06389-8

34. Gong W, Guo P, Li Y, Liu L, Yan R, Liu S, et al. Role of the gut-brain axis in the shared genetic etiology between gastrointestinal tract diseases and psychiatric disorders: A genome-wide pleiotropic analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*. (2023) 80:360–70. doi: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.4974

35. Mounier N, Kutalik Z. Bias correction for inverse variance weighting Mendelian randomization. *Genet Epidemiol*. (2023) 47:314–31. doi: 10.1002/gepi.22522

36. Van Der Leeden M, Steultjens M, Dekker JH, Prins AP, Dekker J. Forefoot joint damage, pain and disability in rheumatoid arthritis patients with foot complaints: the role of plantar pressure and gait characteristics. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2006) 45:465–9. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kei186

37. Grondal L, Tengstrand B, Nordmark B, Wretenberg P, Stark A. The foot: still the most important reason for walking incapacity in rheumatoid arthritis: distribution of symptomatic joints in 1,000 RA patients. *Acta Orthop.* (2008) 79:257–61. doi: 10.1080/17453670710015067

38. Biscontini D, Bartoloni Bocci E, Gerli R. Analysis of foot structural damage in rheumatoid arthritis: clinical evaluation by validated measures and serological correlations. *Reumatismo*. (2009) 61:48–53. doi: 10.4081/reumatismo.2009.48

39. Reinoso-Cobo A, Anttila P, Ortega-Avila AB, Cervera-Garvi P, Lopezosa-Reca E, Marchena-Rodriguez A, et al. Morpho-structural characteristics of feet in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A cross sectional study. *Int J Med Sci.* (2021) 18:2269–75. doi: 10.7150/ijms.56935

40. Vainio K. The rheumatoid foot; a clinical study with pathological and roentgenological comments. Ann Chir Gynaecol Fenn Suppl. (1956) 45:1-107.

41. Dave MH, Mason LW, Hariharan K. Forefoot deformity in rheumatoid arthritis: A comparison of shod and unshod populations. *Foot Ankle Spec.* (2015) 8:378–83. doi: 10.1177/1938640015583513

42. Stolt M, Suhonen R, Leino-Kilpi H. Foot health in patients with rheumatoid arthritis-a scoping review. *Rheumatol Int.* (2017) 37:1413–22. doi: 10.1007/s00296-017-3699-0

43. Rojas-Villarraga A, Bayona J, Zuluaga N, Mejia S, Hincapie ME, Anaya JM. The impact of rheumatoid foot on disability in Colombian patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2009) 10:67. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-10-67

44. Matsumoto T, Nakada I, Juji T, Nakamura I, Ito K. Radiologic patterning of hallux deformity in rheumatoid arthritis and its relationship to flatfoot. J Foot Ankle Surg. (2016) 55:948–54. doi: 10.1053/j.jfas.2016.04.011

45. Mochizuki T, Yano K, Ikari K, Hiroshima R, Ishibashi M, Okazaki K. Relationship of callosities of the forefoot with foot deformity, Health Assessment

Questionnaire Disability Index, and joint damage score in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2020) 30:287–92. doi: 10.1080/14397595.2019.1589921

46. Shi K, Tomita T, Hayashida K, Owaki H, Ochi T. Foot deformities in rheumatoid arthritis and relevance of disease severity. *J Rheumatol.* (2000) 27:84–9.

47. Mochizuki T, Nasu Y, Yano K, Ikari K, Hiroshima R, Okazaki K. Foot and ankle functions and deformities focus on posterior tibial tendon dysfunction using magnetic resonance imaging in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2022) 32:885–90. doi: 10.1093/mr/roab084

48. Steinberg N, Hershkovitz I, Peleg S, Dar G, Masharawi Y, Zeev A, et al. Morphological characteristics of the young scoliotic dancer. *Phys Ther Sport.* (2013) 14:213–20. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2012.07.003

49. Steinberg N, Siev-Ner I, Zeev A, Dar G. The association between hallux valgus and proximal joint alignment in young female dancers. *Int J Sports Med.* (2015) 36:67–74. doi: 10.1055/s-0034-1384550

50. Hurwitz DE, Ryals AB, Case JP, Block JA, Andriacchi TP. The knee adduction moment during gait in subjects with knee osteoarthritis is more closely correlated with static alignment than radiographic disease severity, toe out angle and pain. *J Orthop Res.* (2002) 20:101–7. doi: 10.1016/s0736-0266(01)00081-x

51. Sekula P, Del Greco MF, Pattaro C, Köttgen A. Mendelian randomization as an approach to assess causality using observational data. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2016) 27:3253–65. doi: 10.1681/asn.2016010098

52. Farrow SJ, Kingsley GH, Scott DL. Interventions for foot disease in rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2005) 53:593–602. doi: 10.1002/art.21327

53. Backhouse MR, Keenan AM, Hensor EM, Young A, James D, Dixey J, et al. Use of conservative and surgical foot care in an inception cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2011) 50:1586–95. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ker130

54. Minelli C, Del Greco MF, van der Plaat DA, Bowden J, Sheehan NA, Thompson J. The use of two-sample methods for Mendelian randomization analyses on single large datasets. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2021) 50:1651–9. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyab084