Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pedro A. Reche, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain

REVIEWED BY Cyril Planchais, Institut Pasteur, France Takuya Tada, New York University, United States Wenjuan Du, Utrecht University, Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE Alexander V. Taranin Mataranin@mcb.nsc.ru

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 01 June 2024 ACCEPTED 04 July 2024 PUBLISHED 19 July 2024

CITATION

Guselnikov SV, Baranov KO, Kulemzin SV, Belovezhets TN, Chikaev AN, Murasheva SV, Volkova OY, Mechetina LV, Najakshin AM, Chikaev NA, Solodkov PP, Sergeeva MV, Smirnov AV, Serova IA, Serov OL, Markhaev AG, Kononova YV, Alekseev AY, Gulyaeva MA, Danilenko DM, Battulin NR, Shestopalov AM and Taranin AV (2024) A potent, broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibody that efficiently protects hACE2-transgenic mice from infection with the Wuhan, BA.5, and XBB.1.5 SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1442160. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1442160

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Guselnikov, Baranov, Kulemzin, Belovezhets, Chikaev, Murasheva, Volkova, Mechetina, Najakshin, Chikaev, Solodkov, Sergeeva, Smirnov, Serova, Serov, Markhaev, Kononova, Alekseev, Gulvaeva, Danilenko, Battulin, Shestopalov and Taranin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A potent, broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibody that efficiently protects hACE2transgenic mice from infection with the Wuhan, BA.5, and XBB.1.5 SARS-CoV-2 variants

Sergey V. Guselnikov^{1†}, Konstantin O. Baranov^{1†}, Sergey V. Kulemzin¹, Tatyana N. Belovezhets¹, Anton N. Chikaev¹, Svetlana V. Murasheva¹, Olga Y. Volkova¹, Ludmila V. Mechetina¹, Alexander M. Najakshin¹, Nikolai A. Chikaev¹, Pavel P. Solodkov¹, Maria V. Sergeeva², Alexander V. Smirnov⁴, Irina A. Serova⁴, Oleg L. Serov⁴, Alexander G. Markhaev⁵, Yulia V. Kononova⁵, Alexander Y. Alekseev^{5,6}, Marina A. Gulyaeva^{5,6}, Daria M. Danilenko³, Nariman R. Battulin^{4,6}, Alexander M. Shestopalov^{5,6} and Alexander V. Taranin^{1*}

¹Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia, ²Department of Vaccinology, Smorodintsev Research Institute of Influenza, Saint Petersburg, Russia, ³Department of Etiology and Epidemiology, Smorodintsev Research Institute of Influenza, Saint Petersburg, Russia, ⁴Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia, ⁵Research Institute of Virology, Federal Research Center of Fundamental and Translational Medicine, Novosibirsk, Russia, ⁶Department of Natural Sciences, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

The COVID-19 pandemic has uncovered the high genetic variability of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and its ability to evade the immune responses that were induced by earlier viral variants. Only a few monoclonal antibodies that have been reported to date are capable of neutralizing a broad spectrum of SARS-CoV-2 variants. Here, we report the isolation of a new broadly neutralizing human monoclonal antibody, iC1. The antibody was identified through sorting the SARS-CoV-1 RBD-stained individual B cells that were isolated from the blood of a vaccinated donor following a breakthrough infection. *In vitro*, iC1 potently neutralizes pseudoviruses expressing a wide range of SARS-CoV-2 Spike variants, including those of the XBB sublineage. In an hACE2-transgenic mouse model, iC1 provided effective protection against the Wuhan strain of the virus as well as the BA.5 and XBB.1.5 variants. Therefore, iC1 can be considered as a potential component of the broadly neutralizing antibody cocktails resisting the SARS-CoV-2 mutation escape.

KEYWORDS

iC1 antibody, COVID-19, in vivo protection, Omicron, SARS-CoV-1

1 Introduction

Despite the declared end of the COVID-19 global health emergency, there remains a need for effective therapy, particularly for at-risk groups, such as immunocompromised or immunodeficient individuals. Human monoclonal antibodies neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 have become one of the most important tools of counteracting coronavirus infection in this population. Since November 2020, drugs such as the cocktail of casirivimab and imdevimab (1), the combination of bamlanivimab (2) and etesevimab (3), sotrovimab (4), bebtelovimab (5), regdanvimab (6) as well as the cocktail of tixagevimab and cilgavimab (7) (Evusheld) have been authorized for emergency use (8). However, at present, the authorization has been revoked due to the emergence and spread of new viral variants of the Omicron lineage capable of evading the immune response elicited by the original Wuhan-1 variant of SARS-CoV-2 (9-13). Moreover, out of thousands of monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 characterized to date, only a few have been shown to neutralize the broad spectrum of the viral variants, including the BQ, XBB, or JN lineages (14-17).

We previously reported the isolation of a panel of monoclonal antibodies capable of neutralizing the Wuhan-1 variant of SARS-CoV-2 with ultrahigh potency (18). Of these, one was shown to neutralize both the early viral variants and the Omicron variant BA.1–BA.4/5 (19). In the present study, we set out to isolate SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing antibodies that have a broader range using an individual with hybrid antiviral immunity as the source of B cells (Figure 1A). The choice of such a donor was dictated by the data showing that hybrid immunity induced by multiple exposure to vaccine antigens and live SARS-CoV-2 typically displays broader neutralization (20–22).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Single B cell sorting, cloning, and antibody production

Peripheral blood mononuclear cell isolation and single B cell sorting were described previously (18) except for the use of the Spike protein of SARS-CoV-1 (23). Single-cell cDNA synthesis, reverse transcription PCR, and cloning of antibody variable sequences into pAbVec expression vectors encoding constant regions of human γ 1, κ , or λ chains were performed according to (24, 25). Antibodies were produced and purified as described (18).

2.2 Biolayer interferometry

Measurements of Kd, Kon, Koff, and epitope binning for purified antibodies were performed as described previously (18) using OctetRed96 (Fortebio, Sartorius, Germany) with SARS-CoV-2-S-RBD-His6 immobilized on NTA biosensors (Cat #18-5101). The following human reference antibodies with known epitopes were used via BLI for epitope binning (competition assay): bamlanivimab (2), cilgavimab (7), sotrovimab (26), bebtelovimab (5), imdevimab (1), tixagevimab (7), casirivimab (1), CV30 (27), S2X259 (28), S2H97 (29), S2K146 (30), and SA55 (31). The reference antibodies were produced in-house based on the published VH and VL sequences (32).

2.3 SARS-CoV-2 Spike-pseudotyped lentivirus neutralization assay

SARS-CoV-2 Spike-pseudotyped lentiviral particles were produced as described previously (18). HEK293T cells were transfected with a 4:6:3 molar mixture of plasmids psPAX2, pCDHNLuc, and a pCAGGS-Spike∆19 plasmid encoding either a Wuhan-1 (wild-type) or a mutant Spike variant without the 19 Cterminal residues. The constructs encoding wild-type and mutant variants of the Spike protein were obtained either via gene synthesis (Genewiz, USA; NovoPro Bioscience, China; Evrogen, Russia) or PCR mutagenesis with sets of mutagenic primers (33). A Spikepseudotyped lentivirus neutralization assay was performed as described (19). Briefly, ACE2-HEK293T cells were transduced with a mixture of the antibody and the Spike-pseudotyped lentiviral particles; 48 hours later, the cells were washed, lysed, and luminescence intensity upon the addition of the substrate (1.25 µg of freshly prepared h-coelenterazine (Nanolight Technologies, Germany) in 50 µL of PBS per well, 3 s) was measured. The halfmaximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) was determined by nonlinear regression as the concentration of antibody that neutralized 50% of the pseudotyped lentivirus.

2.4 SARS-CoV-2 isolates

Three SARS-CoV-2 isolates were used for in vivo experiments in hACE2-transgenic mice. Preparation of the SARS-CoV-2/ human/RUS/Nsk-FRCFTM-1/2020 isolate (EPI_ISL_481284) which is characterized by a single D614G substitution in the Spike protein (lineage B.1) has been described previously (18). SARS-CoV-2 isolates hCoV-19/Russia/SPE-RII-MH71262/2022 (BA.5.2 lineage, GISAIDEPI_ISL_14596294) and hCoV-19/ Russia/SPE-RII-9714/2023 (XBB.1.5 lineage, GISAID EPI_ISL_16902719) were cultured from nasopharyngeal swabs in VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells (JCRB, #JCRB1819). The cells were inoculated for two hours with swab material diluted 1/10 in DMEM (Biolot, Russia) supplemented with 2% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Biolot, Russia), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), and then incubated for 4-5 days until 70-100% cytopathic effect. Following a single round of viral passaging, a working viral stock was obtained. Virus titers were measured using the standard TCID50 method.

2.5 In vivo protection assay

C57BL/6-Tg (CAG-ACE2)5Nrba/Icg mice were generated through a pronuclear injection of a genetic construct containing



the cDNA of the human *ACE2* gene under the control of a strong ubiquitous constitutive chimeric CAG promoter (34). The transcription of the hACE2 cassette was observed in all organs of these mice, and hACE2 protein was detected on the cell surface. The infection of mouse embryonic fibroblasts with SARS-CoV-2 infection by the Wuhan-1 variant resulted in pronounced cytopathic effects. In these transgenic mice, signs of viral infection were observed in the lungs following intranasal infection. No signs of infection in the brain were observed when analyzing the histological sections (34). The mice were obtained from the SPF animal facility of the Institute of Cytology and Genetics SB RAS (Novosibirsk, Russia). The animals were weighed. The values ranged from 15.1 to 26.1 g. In the prophylactic scheme, mice were intraperitoneally (i/p) administered with 10 mg/kg iC1

(group 1, n=5; group 3, n=5; group 5, n=6) or total human IgG as a negative control (group 2, n=4; group 4, n=3; group 6, n=5) 24 h before infection (-1 dpi). On the next day, (0 dpi) SARS-CoV-2 was given intranasally (50 µL/nostril) at a total dose of 3.0-3.3 lgTCID50/mouse of the B.1 (groups 1 and 2), BA.5 (groups 3 and 4), and XBB.1.5 (groups 5 and 6) virus variants. Untreated animals (group 7, n=6) were used as an additional baseline control. In the therapeutic regimen, 14 mice were infected as above with XBB.1.5 and administered iC1 (group 8, n=8) or a placebo (group 9, n=6) 6 h post-infection (10 mg/kg, i/p). The animals were monitored for any signs of distress and weighed daily. The animals were euthanized on 9 dpi.The lungs from the mice infected with the Wuhan and XBB.1.5 variants were extracted for pathology analysis; blood samples were collected to measure the levels of human IgGs in the serum. Assessment of the levels of injected human antibodies in the sera of infected mice was performed as described (18).

2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 8: The significance of differences between groups was tested with Mann Whitney test (Figure 1B); Nonlinear regression was used for the neutralization curves transformation and IC50 determination (Figures 1D, E).

3 Results and discussion

A peripheral blood sample was collected from a donor on day 14 following a laboratory-confirmed breakthrough infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 1A). The infection occurred at the time of the BA.1/BA.2 Omicron surge in February 2022 (35). However, the exact variant of the virus was not determined. To sort the individual B-cells, we used fluorescein-labeled receptor-binding domain (RBD) from the related sarbecovirus SARS-CoV-1, which was the causative agent of the 2002 coronavirus outbreak. The donor had no history of previous exposure to SARS-CoV-1. While it is now clear that the binding of antibodies to SARS-CoV-1 does not guarantee a high neutralization breadth (17), we anticipated that such an approach would help identify antibodies targeting conserved RBD epitopes. As a result, five monoclonal antibodies (iC1-5) were isolated. The new iC-series antibodies exhibited a high level of somatic hypermutation (8 to 19 amino acid substitutions in the framework regions of a VH and 2 to 11 substitutions in the framework regions of a VL), thereby indicating their prolonged maturation compared to the monoclonal antibodies isolated at the start of pandemia (18) (Figure 1B).

To assess the breadth of neutralizing activity of the obtained antibodies, we used lentiviral particles pseudotyped with the Spike protein of the Wuhan-1 variant as well as with the Spike of the various variants of Omicron lineage, including BA.1, BA.1.1, BA.2, BA.3, BA.4/ 5, BQ.1, XBB, XBB.1, XBB.1.5, XBB.1.16, EG.5, and SARS-CoV-1. Despite the fact that donor B cells were sorted based on their binding to SARS-CoV-1 RBD, none of the isolated monoclonal antibodies appreciably neutralized SARS-CoV-1 Spike-pseudotyped lentiviral particles. Two antibodies, iC1 and iC4, showed neutralizing activity against several variants of SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses. Of these, iC4 neutralized the BA.1, BA.2, and BA.3 variants with IC50 of 311, 109, and 975 ng/mL (not shown). In contrast, iC1 potently neutralized all of the SARS-CoV-2 variants tested (Figures 1D, E) and was pursued for further studies with the highest activity against Wu-1 (IC50 = 14 ng/ mL) and the lowest activity against BQ.1 (759 ng/mL). We also assessed the dissociation constants of the iC1 complexes with RBD of the Wu-1, BA.1, BA.2, BA.4/5, BQ.1, and XBB variants. The antibody bound these RBD with KD ranging from 1.94E-09 (BQ.1) to ~1.0E-12 (Wu-1 and BA.1) (Figure 1C).

For the epitope mapping of the antibody iC1, we assessed its neutralizing activity on a panel of 15 pseudoviruses with the Spike protein of the ancestral Wuhan-1 variant carrying single amino acid substitutions in the RBD region. None of the substitutions that were tested has resulted in the complete loss of iC1 neutralizing activity. Notably, the substitutions N439K, K444Q, L452R, F490L, and E484K caused a twofold to sixfold decrease in iC1 neutralizing activity. Conversely, the substitutions R346G and V367F increased antibody activity twofold and threefold, respectively (Figures 2A, B). Amino acid residues, whose substitutions affected iC1 activity, clustered on the outer face of the RBD and are likely a part of the RBD-iC1 complex interface. This assumption is further supported by the results of the BLI analysis of iC1 competition with 12 reference antibodies whose epitope structures are known. The incubation of iC1 with RBD completely blocked the binding of antibodies targeting the outer face (36) region of RBD, including bamlanivimab, cilgavimab, sotrovimab, bebtelovimab, and imdevimab (Figure 2C) (1, 2, 5, 7, 26). In reciprocal setups, bamlanivimab, cilgavimab, and to a lesser extent sotrovimab blocked the interaction of iC1 with RBD. The binding of bebtelovimab and imdevimab as the first antibody had a negligible effect on the ability of iC1 to interact with RBD. At the same time, iC1 did not compete for binding to RBD with the reference antibodies tixagevimab, casirivimab, CV30, S2X259, S2H97, S2K146, and SA55, which are known to predominantly interact with various regions of the inner face of RBD (Figure 2C) (1, 7, 27-31). Taken together, these results suggest that the epitope of iC1 maps to the upper part of the outer face of RBD, and it has an overlap with the epitopes of bamlanivimab and cilgavimab as well as partially with that of the sotrovimab (Figure 2D). However, the broader range of neutralization of iC1 clearly shows that its epitope is different from those of bamlanivimab, cilgavimab and sotrovimab. The absence of competition with the antibodies binding to the inner face region of RBD indicates that iC1 can potentially be used in a cocktail with such antibodies to counteract SARS-CoV-2 mutation escape.

iC1 only showed moderate potency when tested against the XBB and BQ virus variants, with IC50 values ranging from 118 to 759 ng/mL (Figure 1E). However, it is well recognized in the field that the *in vivo* protective activity of the antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 may differ from that demonstrated in *in vitro* tests (37–39). To evaluate the therapeutic and prophylactic properties of iC1, we studied its effect on infection in hACE2-transgenic mice. In addition to the Wuhan-1 variant virus, two variants of the Omicron lineage, BA.5 and XBB.1.5, were tested *in vivo*. The infection of placebo-administered animals with the Wuhan-1



variant in the prophylactic scheme led to rapid weight loss. One mouse from this group was sacrificed on the 5th day in order to examine the lung pathology. The rest of the group died by day 8. In the case of BA.5, two mice died, and one lost 22% of its weight by day 9. When infected with XBB.1.5, two mice died, and three mice had a weight loss of 21-32% by day 9. In the therapeutic experiment, three XBB.1.5-infected and placebo-treated mice died, and three lost 31-33% of their weight by day 9 (Figure 3A). The milder course of infection in mice infected with BA.5 and XBB.1.5 is consistent with the data on the reduced pathogenicity of Omicron sublineages compared to the earlier viral variants (40, 41).

The prophylactic administration of iC1 at a dose of 10 mg per kg body weight 24 h before infection with either viral variant completely prevented not only the death of the mice but also their weight loss. In the therapeutic setting, the administration of iC1 6 h after infection with the XBB.1.5 variant also prevented the weight loss and death of the experimental mice (Figure 3A). Histological analysis of the lungs taken from the control mice infected with the Wuhan and XBB.1.5 variants showed the development of severe hemorrhages. Prophylactic administration of iC1 before infection with the Wuhan-1 variant largely prevented these complications (Figure 3B). Similar effect was observed in XBB.1.5-infected mice after therapeutic treatment with iC1.

After the completion of the described experiments, a new SARS-CoV-2 variant, BA.2.86, has emerged. This variant, in turn, has initiated the widespread dissemination of the JN.1 variant and several of its subvariants. Compared to its predecessor BA.2, the JN.1 variant exhibits 33 mutations in the Spike protein, including 13 substitutions and one deletion in the RBD (42). Notably, the RBD mutations in JN.1 include substitutions at positions that, according to our findings, may be part of the epitope recognized by iC1, specifically R346T, G446S, N450D, and L452W. Our recent analysis has demonstrated that iC1 does not neutralize a pseudovirus carrying the JN.1 Spike (data not shown). Regardless of whether the loss of such activity is a result of the cumulative effect of Spike substitutions or specific individual mutation(s), the data indicate that the JN.1 variant is likely resistant to neutralization by iC1.



FIGURE 3

In vivo activity of iC1 (hACE2 transgenic mouse model). Prophylactic and therapeutic properties of iC1 antibody against Wu-1, BA.5, and XBB.1.5 authentic SARS-CoV-2 viruses (A). The open circles represent placebo-treated mice (human IgG only), the red triangles represent the negative control mice (IgG+virus), and the color squares represent the experimental mice (iC1+virus). Each circle with an "x" indicates death of one mouse. Histological analysis of the lungs of mice infected with the Wu-1 and XBB.1.5 variants (B). The mouse treated with Wu-1 and a placebo was sacrificed on day 5 due to its moribund state, and all other mice were sacrificed at the end of the experiment on day 9.

4 Conclusion

In summary, in the present study, we describe a novel SARS-CoV-2-specific human monoclonal antibody, iC1. This antibody exhibits excellent protective properties *in vivo* against not only the Wuhan-1 variant of SARS-CoV-2 but also against the BA.5 and XBB.1.5 variants. Although iC1 does not appear to neutralize the currently dominant sublineage JN.1, it belongs to a group of rare SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies with the highest neutralization breadth. Considering the significant likelihood of the future emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants that are antigenically distinct from the JN.1 lineage (43), it is conceivable that iC1 antibody may still find application as a potential component of broadly neutralizing antibody cocktails for countering the mutation escape of SARS-CoV-2.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Committee on Biomedical Ethics of the Federal Research Center for Fundamental and Translational Medicine (Novosibirsk, Russia). The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

SG: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. KB: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. SK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Visualization. TB: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. AC: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. SM: Writing - review & editing, Investigation. OV: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. LM: Investigation, Resources, Writing - review & editing. AN: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. NC: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. PS: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. MS: Writing - review & editing, Resources. AS: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. IS: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. OS: Methodology, Writing - review & editing. AM: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. YK: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. AA: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. MG: Investigation, Writing - review & editing. DD: Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. NB: Methodology, Supervision, Writing review & editing. AS: Investigation, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. AT: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher

References

1. Hansen J, Baum A, Pascal KE, Russo V, Giordano S, Wloga E, et al. Studies in humanized mice and convalescent humans yield a SARS-CoV-2 antibody cocktail. *Science*. (2020) 369:1010–4. doi: 10.1126/science.abd0827

2. Jones BE, Brown-Augsburger PL, Corbett KS, Westendorf K, Davies J, Cujec TP, et al. The neutralizing antibody, LY-CoV555, protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection in nonhuman primates. *Sci Transl Med.* (2021) 13:eabf1906. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abf1906

3. Shi R, Shan C, Duan X, Chen Z, Liu P, Song J, et al. A human neutralizing antibody targets the receptor-binding site of SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. (2020) 584:120–4. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2381-y

 Pinto D, Park Y-J, Beltramello M, Walls AC, Tortorici MA, Bianchi S, et al. Crossneutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV antibody. *Nature*. (2020) 583:290–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y

Westendorf K, Žentelis S, Wang L, Foster D, Vaillancourt P, Wiggin M, et al. LY-CoV1404 (bebtelovimab) potently neutralizes SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 39:110812. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110812

6. Kim C, Ryu D-K, Lee J, Kim Y-I, Seo J-M, Kim Y-G, et al. A therapeutic neutralizing antibody targeting receptor binding domain of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:288. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20602-5

7. Zost SJ, Gilchuk P, Case JB, Binshtein E, Chen RE, Nkolola JP, et al. Potently neutralizing and protective human antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. *Nature*. (2020) 584:443–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2548-6

8. Chavda VP, Prajapati R, Lathigara D, Nagar B, Kukadiya J, Redwan EM, et al. Therapeutic monoclonal antibodies for COVID-19 management: an update. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* (2022) 22:763–80. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2022.2078160

Education of the Russian Federation (Agreement No 075-15-2021-1086, contract No RF—193021X0015, 15.ИП.21.0015).

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Andrey Gorchakov for the critical review of the manuscript, the resources provided by the "Design of Recombinant Antibodies" core facility of the IMCB SB RAS, colleagues and friends for their support of the study.

Conflict of interest

Patent applications are being filed for the iC1 antibody. KB, LM, OV, AN, NC, and AT are employees of IMGEN+, LLC.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The authors declare that this study received funding from IMGEN+ LLC. The funder had the following involvement in the study: collection of data.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Dejnirattisai W, Huo J, Zhou D, Zahradnik J, Supasa P, Liu C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron-B.1.1.529 leads to widespread escape from neutralizing antibody responses. *Cell.* (2022) 185:467–84.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.12.046

10. VanBlargan LA, Errico JM, Halfmann PJ, Zost SJ, Crowe JE, Purcell LA, et al. An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes neutralization by therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. *Nat Med.* (2022) 28:490–5. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01678-y

11. Cao Y, Yisimayi A, Jian F, Song W, Xiao T, Wang L, et al. BA.2.12.1, BA.4 and BA.5 escape antibodies elicited by Omicron infection. *Nature*. (2022) 608:593–602. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04980-y

12. Wang Q, Iketani S, Li Z, Liu L, Guo Y, Huang Y, et al. Alarming antibody evasion properties of rising SARS-CoV-2 BQ and XBB subvariants. *Cell*. (2023) 186:279–86.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.12.018

13. Ju B, Fan Q, Liu C, Shen S, Wang M, Guo H, et al. Omicron BQ.1.1 and XBB.1 unprecedentedly escape broadly neutralizing antibodies elicited by prototype vaccination. *Cell Rep.* (2023) 42:112532. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112532

14. de Campos-Mata L, Trinité B, Modrego A, Tejedor Vaquero S, Pradenas E, Pons-Grífols A, et al. A monoclonal antibody targeting a large surface of the receptor binding motif shows pan-neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 activity. *Nat Commun.* (2024) 15:1051. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-45171-9

15. Focosi D, Franchini M, Casadevall A, Maggi F. An update on the anti-spike monoclonal antibody pipeline for SARS-CoV-2. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* (2024). doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2024.04.012

16. Yang S, Yu Y, Xu Y, Jian F, Song W, Yisimayi A, et al. Fast evolution of SARS-CoV-2 BA.2.86 to JN.1 under heavy immune pressure. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2024) 24:e70–2. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(23)00744-2

17. Jian F, Wec AZ, Feng L, Yu Y, Wang L, Wang P, et al. A generalized framework to identify SARS-CoV-2 broadly neutralizing antibodies. *BioRxiv*. (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.04.16.589454

18. Gorchakov AA, Kulemzin SV, Guselnikov SV, Baranov KO, Belovezhets TN, Mechetina LV, et al. Isolation of a panel of ultra-potent human antibodies neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 and viral variants of concern. *Cell Discovery.* (2021) 7:96. doi: 10.1038/s41421-021-00340-8

19. Kulemzin SV, Sergeeva MV, Baranov KO, Gorchakov AA, Guselnikov SV, Belovezhets TN, et al. VH3-53/66-class rbd-specific human monoclonal antibody ib20 displays cross-neutralizing activity against emerging sars-cov-2 lineages. *J Pers Med.* (2022) 12:895. doi: 10.3390/jpm12060895

20. Kulemzin SV, Guselnikov SV, Nekrasov BG, Molodykh SV, Kuvshinova IN, Murasheva SV, et al. Hybrid immunity from Gam-COVID-Vac vaccination and natural SARS-CoV-2 infection confers broader neutralizing activity against omicron lineage VOCs than revaccination or reinfection. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2024) 12:55. doi: 10.3390/vaccines12010055

21. Hornsby H, Nicols AR, Longet S, Liu C, Tomic A, Angyal A, et al. Omicron infection following vaccination enhances a broad spectrum of immune responses dependent on infection history. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:5065. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-40592-4

22. Luo M, Zhou B, Reddem ER, Tang B, Chen B, Zhou R, et al. Structural insights into broadly neutralizing antibodies elicited by hybrid immunity against SARS-CoV-2. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* (2023) 12:2146538. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2022.2146538

23. Stadler K, Masignani V, Eickmann M, Becker S, Abrignani S, Klenk H-D, et al. SARS-beginning to understand a new virus. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* (2003) 1:209–18. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro775

24. Tiller T, Meffre E, Yurasov S, Tsuiji M, Nussenzweig MC, Wardemann H. Efficient generation of monoclonal antibodies from single human B cells by single cell RT-PCR and expression vector cloning. *J Immunol Methods*. (2008) 329:112–24. doi: 10.1016/j.jim.2007.09.017

25. Guselnikov SV, Belovezhets TN, Kulemzin SV, Gorchakov AA, Taranin AV. A simple way to increase recovery of the expressed VH and VL genes in single-sorted human B cells. *BioTechniques.* (2019) 67:184–7. doi: 10.2144/btn-2019-0079

26. Luczkowiak J, Rivas G, Labiod N, Lasala F, Rolo M, Lora-Tamayo J, et al. Cross neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 omicron subvariants after repeated doses of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines. *J Med Virol.* (2023) 95:e28268. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28268

27. Hurlburt NK, Seydoux E, Wan Y-H, Edara VV, Stuart AB, Feng J, et al. Structural basis for potent neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 and role of antibody affinity maturation. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:5413. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-19231-9

28. Tortorici MA, Czudnochowski N, Starr TN, Marzi R, Walls AC, Zatta F, et al. Broad sarbecovirus neutralization by a human monoclonal antibody. *Nature*. (2021) 597:103–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03817-4

29. Starr TN, Czudnochowski N, Zatta F, Park Y-J, Liu Z, Addetia A, et al. Antibodies to the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain that maximize breadth and resistance to viral escape. *BioRxiv*. (2021). doi: 10.1101/2021.04.06.438709

30. Park Y-J, De Marco A, Starr TN, Liu Z, Pinto D, Walls AC, et al. Antibodymediated broad sarbecovirus neutralization through ACE2 molecular mimicry. *Science*. (2022) 375:449–54. doi: 10.1126/science.abm8143 31. Cao Y, Jian F, Zhang Z, Yisimayi A, Hao X, Bao L, et al. Rational identification of potent and broad sarbecovirus-neutralizing antibody cocktails from SARS convalescents. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 41:111845. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111845

32. Raybould MIJ, Kovaltsuk A, Marks C, Deane CM. CoV-AbDab: the coronavirus antibody database. *Bioinformatics*. (2021) 37:734–5. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btaa739

33. Solodkov PP, Najakshin AM, Chikaev NA, Kulemzin SV, Mechetina LV, Baranov KO, et al. Serial llama immunization with various SARS-CoV-2 RBD variants induces broad spectrum virus-neutralizing nanobodies. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2024) 12:129. doi: 10.3390/vaccines12020129

34. Smirnov A, Nurislamov A, Koncevaya G, Serova I, Kabirova E, Chuyko E, et al. Characterizing a lethal CAG-ACE2 transgenic mouse model for SARS-CoV-2 infection with using Cas9-enhanced nanopore sequencing. *BioRxiv*. (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.05.30.596396

35. Chernyaeva EN, Ayginin AA, Bulusheva IA, Vinogradov KS, Stetsenko IF, Romanova SV, et al. Genomic variability of SARS-CoV-2 omicron variant circulating in the Russian federation during early December 2021 and late January 2022. *Pathogens*. (2022) 11:1461. doi: 10.3390/pathogens11121461

36. Jiang J, Boughter CT, Ahmad J, Natarajan K, Boyd LF, Meier-Schellersheim M, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibodies recognize 23 distinct epitopic sites on the receptor binding domain. *Commun Biol.* (2023) 6:953. doi: 10.1038/s42003-023-05332-w

37. Schäfer A, Muecksch F, Lorenzi JCC, Leist SR, Cipolla M, Bournazos S, et al. Antibody potency, effector function, and combinations in protection and therapy for SARS-CoV-2 infection *in vivo. J Exp Med.* (2021) 218:e20201993. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20201993

38. Chen RE, Winkler ES, Case JB, Aziati ID, Bricker TL, Joshi A, et al. *In vivo* monoclonal antibody efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 variant strains. *Nature*. (2021) 596:103-8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03720-y

39. Clark J, Hoxie I, Adelsberg DC, Sapse IA, Andreata-Santos R, Yong JS, et al. Protective effect and molecular mechanisms of human non-neutralizing cross-reactive spike antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination. *BioRxiv*. (2024). doi: 10.1101/2024.02.28.582613

40. Halfmann PJ, Iida S, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Maemura T, Kiso M, Scheaffer SM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron virus causes attenuated disease in mice and hamsters. *Nature*. (2022) 603:687–92. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04441-6

41. Stewart R, Yan K, Ellis SA, Bishop CR, Dumenil T, Tang B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 omicron BA.5 and XBB variants have increased neurotropic potential over BA.1 in K18-hACE2 mice and human brain organoids. *Front Microbiol.* (2023) 14:1320856. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1320856

42. Wang X, Lu L, Jiang S. SARS-CoV-2 evolution from the BA.2.86 to JN.1 variants: unexpected consequences. *Trends Immunol.* (2024) 45:81-4. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2024.01.003

43. Roemer C, Sheward DJ, Hisner R, Gueli F, Sakaguchi H, Frohberg N, et al. SARS-CoV-2 evolution in the Omicron era. *Nat Microbiol.* (2023) 8:1952–9. doi: 10.1038/ s41564-023-01504-w