#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Susanta Pahari, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

Zhenhao Tian, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China Braden C. McFarland, University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE David Dora [dora.david@.semmelweis.hu](mailto:dora.david@.semmelweis.hu)

RECEIVED 18 May 2024 ACCEPTED 20 August 2024 PUBLISHED 05 September 2024

#### CITATION

Dora D, Szőcs E, Soós Á, Halasy V, Somodi C, Mihucz A, Rostás M, Mógor F, Lohinai Z and Nagy N (2024) From bench to bedside: an interdisciplinary journey through the gut-lung axis with insights into lung cancer and immunotherapy. Front. Immunol. 15:1434804. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Dora, Szőcs, Soós, Halasy, Somodi, Mihucz, Rostás, Mógor, Lohinai and Nagy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [From bench to bedside: an](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804/full) [interdisciplinary journey](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804/full) [through the gut-lung axis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804/full) [with insights into lung](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804/full) [cancer and immunotherapy](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804/full)

David Dora<sup>1\*</sup>, Emőke Szőcs<sup>1</sup>, Ádám Soós<sup>1</sup>, Viktória Halasy<sup>1</sup>, Csenge Somodi<sup>2</sup>, Anna Mihucz<sup>1</sup>, Melinda Rostás<sup>3</sup>, Fruzsina Mógor<sup>1</sup>, Zoltan Lohinai<sup>2</sup> and Nándor Nagy<sup>1</sup>

1 Department of Anatomy, Histology and Embryology, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary, <sup>2</sup>Translational Medicine Institute, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary

This comprehensive review undertakes a multidisciplinary exploration of the gutlung axis, from the foundational aspects of anatomy, embryology, and histology, through the functional dynamics of pathophysiology, to implications for clinical science. The gut-lung axis, a bidirectional communication pathway, is central to understanding the interconnectedness of the gastrointestinal- and respiratory systems, both of which share embryological origins and engage in a continuous immunological crosstalk to maintain homeostasis and defend against external noxa. An essential component of this axis is the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue system (MALT), which orchestrates immune responses across these distant sites. The review delves into the role of the gut microbiome in modulating these interactions, highlighting how microbial dysbiosis and increased gut permeability ("leaky gut") can precipitate systemic inflammation and exacerbate respiratory conditions. Moreover, we thoroughly present the implication of the axis in oncological practice, particularly in lung cancer development and response to cancer immunotherapies. Our work seeks not only to synthesize current knowledge across the spectrum of science related to the gut-lung axis but also to inspire future interdisciplinary research that bridges gaps between basic science and clinical application. Our ultimate goal was to underscore the importance of a holistic understanding of the gut-lung axis, advocating for an integrated approach to unravel its complexities in human health and disease.

#### KEYWORDS

gut-lung axis, gut microbiome, mucosal immunity, gut permeability, lung cancer, immunotherapy

### Introduction

The exploration of the gut-lung axis, a bidirectional communication pathway between the gastrointestinal (GI) and respiratory systems, has expanded into a central area of research, shedding light on novel insights into human health and disease. This multi-layered interplay besets a spectrum of physiological, immunological, and microbial interactions, with implications for various pathological conditions. The gut-lung axis represents a complex linkage between two major organ systems, with shared embryological origins from the foregut ([1\)](#page-21-0). The mucosal immune systems of both, namely the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) and the bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue (BALT), all integral parts of the mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) serve as integral components of this axis, orchestrating immune responses across these distal sites with a significant influence on systemic immunity [\(2](#page-21-0)–[4\)](#page-21-0).

The gut microbiome, a diverse assembly of microbes residing in the GI tract, plays a fundamental role in human physiology, extending beyond digestion to immune modulation and systemic health [\(5](#page-21-0)–[8](#page-21-0)). This microbial-immune crosstalk is pivotal in maintaining respiratory health, with dysbiosis in the gut microbiota linked to altered immune responses and increased susceptibility to lung diseases. Increased gut permeability, colloquially known as 'leaky gut' and characterized by a compromised intestinal barrier, facilitates the translocation of microbial products into the systemic circulation ([9,](#page-21-0) [10](#page-21-0)) and can trigger systemic inflammatory responses, potentially exacerbating respiratory pathologies [\(11](#page-21-0)). The resultant systemic immune activation, driven by microbial translocation, underlines the role of gut permeability in modulating the gut-lung axis dynamics [\(4\)](#page-21-0).

The existence of the gut-lung axis signifies a flow of microbial and immunomodulatory signals and molecules. These mediator particles play a key role not only in local immune regulation but also in longreaching immunomodulatory mechanisms. Through inhalation, sputum swallowing, or the mesenteric lymphatic system and the systemic circulation, intact bacteria, their particles, or metabolites can regulate inflammatory processes in both organ systems [\(12\)](#page-21-0). The gutlung axis has emerged as a significant factor in various diseases, including chronic respiratory conditions, GI disorders, and systemic inflammatory diseases [\(4,](#page-21-0) [13,](#page-21-0) [14](#page-21-0)). The gut disturbances in lung diseases, such as allergy, asthma, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) have been extensively studied, pointing out the conspicuous cross-talk between gut microbiota and the lungs ([15](#page-21-0)–[18\)](#page-21-0). Its role in infectious diseases, notably in the context of COVID-19, has also highlighted its importance in disease pathogenesis and progression ([19,](#page-21-0) [20\)](#page-21-0).

In oncology, the gut-lung axis has garnered particular attention, especially in lung cancer development [\(21](#page-21-0)–[23](#page-21-0)) and the response to cancer therapies, including immunotherapy. The gut microbiota's influence on the efficacy and toxicity of cancer immunotherapies is increasingly recognized, with specific microbial compositions associated with improved therapeutic outcomes ([24](#page-21-0)–[29](#page-21-0)). Modulating the gut microbiota has been proposed as a strategy to enhance the efficacy of immunotherapies and mitigate adverse effects ([30](#page-21-0), [31\)](#page-21-0). Lung cancer, one of the most prevalent and lethal cancers, may be influenced by the gut microbiota through mechanisms involving systemic inflammation, immune modulation, and the production of carcinogenic metabolites ([32](#page-22-0), [33\)](#page-22-0), however, robust evidence of direct causality is still missing in some cases. The gut microbiome's role in modulating the response to cancer therapies, including chemotherapy and immunotherapy, is currently among the most debated fields of studies [\(30](#page-21-0), [34](#page-22-0)).

The essential role of the gut microbiome in the gut-lung axis, particularly in modulating immune responses and influencing disease pathogenesis, opened new avenues for therapeutic interventions. In the context of oncology, leveraging the gut-lung axis, especially in the field of lung cancer and cancer immunotherapy, offers promising prospects for advancing treatment strategies and improving patient outcomes. In this comprehensive review, we aim to present this physiological phenomenon through a broad range of disciplines, embracing the whole spectrum of biomedical science in the field. Starting with the embryonic development and microscopic morphology of the GI tract and the lungs, our goal was to deduce the inner workings of this system from its morpho-functional unit, directly extracting the translational relevance and clinical perspectives from basic science. We also aim to dissect intriguing topics such as the problem of gut permeability, gut dysbiosis-driven cancer formation in the lungs and immunotherapy efficacy, where we tried to exemplify the most intriguing biological phenomena within the gut-lung axis. In some of these fields robust scientific evidence is only in its emerging phase and most cited studies are exploratory in their nature, despite their strong clinical potential. Therefore, drawing clear clinical conclusions from our review requires prudence and cautious interpretation.

# Development and cytoarchitecture of the gut and the lungs: a comparison

### Common origin, different structures: development of the gut and the lungs

The GI tract and the respiratory system are organs of the amniote vertebrate body that have common developmental origins, form in a common embryonic body cavity, and possess similar histological structures. Both systems arise from the primitive gut tube, an embryonic structure containing an inner endodermally-derived epithelium surrounded by mesenchyme of splanchnic mesoderm origin [\(35\)](#page-22-0). The primitive gut tube initially extends from the stomodeum to the cloaca, and it is subdivided into three basic parts: the foregut, midgut and hindgut.

### **Morphogenesis**

The epithelium forming the respiratory diverticulum is pinched off from the foregut endoderm of the 4-week-old human embryo and forms a parallel epithelial tube, anteriorly the trachea and posteriorly the esophagus, while the small- and large intestines develop from the midgut and hindgut portions, respectively. After the 5th week of gestation, the caudal end of the trachea dichotomously bifurcates and the left and right primary bronchial buds are formed, which continue to grow into the adjacent layer of pleural mesenchyme derived from the splanchnopleural mesoderm. The endoderm of the respiratory diverticulum gives rise to the epithelium and sero-mucous glands of the trachea, bronchi and bronchioles, as well as the pneumocytes lining of the alveolar ducts, while the splanchnic mesoderm contributes to the loose connective tissue, hyalin cartilage, and visceral smooth muscles of the lungs ([36](#page-22-0), [37](#page-22-0)). The same germ layers contribute to the formation of specific structures within the gut tube where the gut endoderm gives rise to the epithelium of the mucosa, the mucosal- and submucosal glands, while the mesoderm contributes to the lamina propria, muscularis mucosae, submucosal connective tissue, muscularis externa, and the outermost layers: the adventitia or serosa ([38,](#page-22-0) [39\)](#page-22-0).

### Molecular embryology

In addition to the common embryonic origin, a series of complex interactions between different cell types and signaling pathways are shared during the developmental biology processes regulating lung and gut development. Understanding the molecular processes that govern the lung and gut development is essential for identifying common congenital abnormalities and developing targeted interventions. The tissue interactions between the foregut epithelium and mesenchyme, along with signaling molecules such as fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) play crucial roles in trachea formation and branching morphogenesis of the lung buds ([35,](#page-22-0) [40](#page-22-0)). FGFs induce the expression of lung-specific transcription factors, including NK2 homeobox 1 (previously TTF-1; NKX2–1, NKX2.1), which regulates the expression of surfactant genes ([41\)](#page-22-0). Wnt signaling has been identified as inducing fibronectin deposition and, consequently, regulate the determination of branch points. Similarly, the BMP4 is also regulating the branching lung buds, it is expressed predominantly in the lung epithelium and is increased at branch tips and acts as a lateral inhibitor of budding ([42\)](#page-22-0). Sonic hedgehog (SHH) signaling, on the other hand, helps establish the boundaries between the respiratory and esophageal regions ([43\)](#page-22-0). Studies using knockout mice have confirmed the significance of SHH mediated pathway in the specification of lung primordia and foregut development. SHHnull mutant mice exhibit similar characteristics to human foregut defects, such as esophageal atresia/stenosis, tracheoesophageal fistula, and anomalies in the trachea and lungs ([44](#page-22-0)–[46\)](#page-22-0). In these mutants, the failure to develop the tracheoesophageal septum leads to incomplete separation of the two endodermal tubes, indicating the critical role of SHH in the proper development of the esophagus, trachea, and lungs. Once the respiratory fate is established, the ventral foregut endoderm forms two lung buds through evagination, which will eventually give rise to the bronchial tree and lung lobes.

Regarding the digestive part of the foregut, the endodermal epithelium gives rise to various organs, including the esophagus,

stomach, intestines, and associated glands. The formation of these structures is regulated by a complex interaction of similar signaling molecules and transcription factors. For instance, SHH signaling is important for specifying the foregut region, while WNT and BMP signaling contribute to the patterning and differentiation of the digestive tract epithelium [\(47](#page-22-0)–[49\)](#page-22-0). As development progresses, the endodermal cells undergo further differentiation into specific cell types within each organ. In the respiratory tract, endodermal cells differentiate into ciliated cells, mucus-secreting cells, and pneumocytes involved in gas exchange. In the digestive tract, endodermal cells differentiate into absorptive enterocytes, mucussecreting goblet cells, hormone-secreting endocrine cells, among others.

Notch signaling also plays a fundamental role in regulating lung and intestinal epithelial cell fate decisions and differentiation. In humans, the Notch signaling pathway consists of four different receptors (NOTCH1, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and NOTCH4) and five canonical ligands (Jagged-1, Jagged-2, Delta-like 1 (DLL1), DLL3, and DLL4) [\(50\)](#page-22-0). WNTs, Hhs, and BMPs have all been previously demonstrated to cooperate with NOTCH however, in contrast to these signaling processes, where secreted morphogens bind to their cognate receptors, in the case of NOTCH signaling pathways, transmembrane ligands expressed on one cell and activate transmembrane receptors on the adjacent cell ([51\)](#page-22-0). In the early lung bud, Notch signaling regulates epithelial progenitor cell maintenance, branching morphogenesis, and alveolar and airway epithelial cell differentiation. Experimental activation of Notch in mouse embryos inhibits differentiation of distal lung progenitors into alveolar cells ([52](#page-22-0), [53](#page-22-0)). In adult mice, activation of Notch signaling increases the number of airway mucous cells and decreases the number of ciliated cells to regulate the fine balance of the ciliated and mucous epithelial cell differentiation [\(53](#page-22-0)). In addition, during postnatal life Notch signaling is also required to restrict the differentiation of club cells, a non-ciliated secretory epithelial cells [\(54](#page-22-0)) into goblet cells ([55](#page-22-0), [56\)](#page-22-0).

Similar to the developing lung, Notch signaling controls intestinal stem cell pool maintenance, determining the fate of Lrg5<sup>+</sup> progenitor cells within the intestinal epithelium, and directing them toward either absorptive or secretory lineages in the intestinal crypt ([57](#page-22-0)–[59\)](#page-22-0). High levels of Notch signaling promote the differentiation of progenitor cells into enterocytes, whereas inhibition of Notch signaling supports the differentiation into secretory cells, such as goblet cells [\(60\)](#page-22-0). The Notch-mediated shift towards enterocyte differentiation explains why excessive mucus secretion, due to abnormal increase of goblet cells, is commonly observed after treatments with small molecule inhibitors of the  $\gamma$ secretase protease complex (NOTCH inhibitors) [\(51,](#page-22-0) [61](#page-22-0)).

#### Innervation and the neural crest

Trunk neural crest cells also play a crucial role in the development of sympathetic and sensory innervations to the lungs and the gut. Avian neural tube grafting experiments and studies using Wnt1;tdT transgenic mice have shown that neural crest cells (NCC) of vagal origin migrate from the foregut into the lung buds and differentiate into neurons and glia ([62,](#page-22-0) [63\)](#page-22-0). Studies on vagal NCC-derived enteric nervous system (ENS) formation in the GI tract have provided further insights into shared molecular mechanisms regulating the colonization of developing lung and intestine, such as transcription factors (SOX10, PHOX2b), growth factors (GDNF and Endothelin-3) and their cognate receptors, the RET and EdnrB respectively [\(48](#page-22-0)). The RET gene encoding a tyrosine-kinase receptor, plays a crucial role in the development of ENS, and mutations in this gene are associated with Hirschsprung disease (HSCR), a congenital disorder characterized by the absence of enteric ganglia in distal colorectum, leading to functional obstruction ([64\)](#page-22-0). RET has been also implicated in both NCC development in the lung and the neural pathway of respiratory carbon dioxide chemosensitivity. GDNF, which signals through a receptor complex including the RET tyrosine kinase and the GFRa1 coreceptors, is also a chemoattractant for NCCs in the lung. Experimental evidence has shown that GDNF expressed in the gut wall can attract neural crest cells expressing RET, and that GDNF-soaked beads transplanted into the mouse lung buds can attract RET-/Gfr $\alpha$ 1<sup>+</sup> neural crest cells and induce neuronal differentiation. A significant percentage of patients with congenital central hypoventilation syndrome (CCHS), a developmental disorder characterized by inadequate autonomic control of respiration and decreased sensitivity to hypoxia and hypercapnia also have Hirschsprung's disease. Mutations in RET and PHOX2B genes have been found in patients with CCHS and HSCR, suggesting a common role for PHOX2B transcription factor and GDNF-RET- Gfr $\alpha$ 1 signaling in congenital respiratory and neurointestinal disease conditions ([65](#page-22-0), [66](#page-22-0)). Another condition that can impact both the innervation of the gut and the lungs is multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B (MEN 2B), a rare genetic syndrome characterized by thyroid cancer, pheochromocytomas and mucosal neuromas leading to neuropathy affecting both the respiratory and GI systems. Individuals may experience problems related to dysfunctional autonomic innervation, such as altered motility and respiratory difficulties. This syndrome is caused by mutations in the RET proto-oncogene, which plays a critical role in the development of cells derived from the neural crest, impacting both the ENS and parts of the autonomic nervous innervating the lungs. system (which influences lung function ([67](#page-22-0)).

These findings of the gut-lung axis's developmental origin highlight the shared molecular and structural bases of these vital systems. Originating from the primitive gut tube, both the GI and respiratory systems share embryonic origins, signaling pathways, and molecular regulators, indicating the importance of these early interactions in normal and pathological states. The engagement of signaling molecules like FGFs, BMPs, SHH and NOTCH receptors plays an important role in the morphogenesis and cellular differentiation within these systems. These signaling pathways not only dictate the structural formation of the trachea, lungs, and GI tract but also influence congenital conditions such as tracheoesophageal fistula and Hirschsprung disease. Additionally, the interaction between neural crest cells and these embryonic tissues highlights a significant overlap in the

neurodevelopmental pathways influencing both respiratory and gastrointestinal functions.

# Mucosal cytoarchitecture of the gut and the lungs

The histological structure of the respiratory and GI tracts exhibits similarities as both pathways facilitate the passage of inhaled air or food, either actively or passively, while the epithelium interacts with, transports, and modifies the composition of the transported material. Consequently, the epithelium remains continuously exposed to the external environment, with a basic function of preserving barrier integrity and internal homeostasis. Their similarities originate in their common developmental origin at the level of organogenesis, signal transduction pathways, and microbiome formation [\(68](#page-22-0)–[70\)](#page-22-0).

### Epithelial cells

Extensive examination of the respiratory and digestive tracts both histologically and at the molecular level - has been ongoing for numerous years. In both systems, the epithelial layer (lamina epithelialis) consists of a single-layer cylindrical epithelium, distinguished by its unique feature among other cylindrical epithelia: its cells exhibit glandular activity, hence termed as a secretory covering epithelium. In the airways, a pseudostratified columnar ciliated epithelium characterizes the luminal layer, comprising 15 distinct cell types grouped into 10 main clusters: ciliated cells, club cells, goblet cells, ionocytes, neuroendocrine cells, serous cells, mucous cells (in glands), pneumocytes type-I and II (in alveoli), and stem cells [\(71\)](#page-22-0). Likewise, the epithelium of the small and large intestine is a monolayer of columnar epithelial cells, housing 7 primary cell types: enterocytes with microvilli, goblet cells, Paneth cells (predominantly in the small intestine), enteroendocrine cells, progenitor cells, transit amplifying (TA) cells, and stem cells. Intraepithelial lymphocytes intersperse among the intestinal epithelial cells in both systems ([72](#page-22-0)). The guts and lungs share identical cell types with similar functions: for instance, goblet cells, with their footed cup shape and secretory vesicles, contribute to the production of a protective mucus layer. Additionally, tuft cells, rich in microvilli on their luminal surface exhibit chemosensory and immunomodulatory properties, found not only in the GI system but also in the respiratory and excretory apparatus ([73](#page-22-0)). Neuroendocrine cells, acting as airway sensors in clusters of 3-20 cells within the mouse lung, play a pivotal role in immune response induction through neuropeptides [\(74](#page-22-0)) and neuronal responses (mediating neuroinhibition) ([75](#page-22-0)) and bronchoconstriction ([76](#page-22-0)), and vasodilation ([70](#page-22-0)). Similarly, enteroendocrine cells, comprising 1% of the total intestinal epithelial cell population, regulate digestion, blood circulation, and absorption of nutrients while coordinating appetite through the secretion of approximately 20 bioactive hormones [\(77,](#page-22-0) [78](#page-22-0)).

These cell populations display varying distribution patterns across specific segments within both organ systems. The ciliated columnar epithelial cells and pneumocytes that line the airways are subdivided into proximal and distal sections. These cells facilitate the movement of the mucus layer with their cilia, whereas, in the alveoli, they undergo flattening (pneumocyte I) to serve as the primary site for gas exchange. Goblet cells, ionocytes, and neuroendocrine cells are predominantly found in the proximal airway, with minimal presence in the distal sections ([71](#page-22-0)). Secretory club cells, largely abundant in the respiratory epithelium, constitute approximately 9% of the total epithelial cell population in the human lung, particularly in the distal segment of the bronchial tree [\(79](#page-22-0)). These cells also have a progenitor function in addition to the defense function against from toxins by secreting anti-inflammatory proteins (e.g. uteroglobin) from the respiratory system ([80](#page-22-0), [81\)](#page-22-0). Pulmonary ionocytes primarily regulate luminal pH and are speculated to play a significant role in cystic fibrosis pathology, despite constituting only 1-2% of the epithelium [\(82](#page-22-0)).

Transcriptome analysis of the ileum, jejunum, and colon also showed a different cell composition, with the intestinal absorptive enterocytes dominating the ileal epithelium by 70%, compared to only 14% in the colon and rectum, which are responsible for water retention and maintenance of the microbiome barrier [\(72](#page-22-0)). Interestingly, both the microbiota and pathogens exert an influence on the differentiation of intestinal stem cells through immune-dependent regulation according to the composition of the epithelium, and the overall physiology of the intestine. In pursuance of Liu's study, the intricate network of molecular connections

resolves the behavior of stem cells by synchronizing the activity of pathways implicated in microbial pattern recognition and the detection of epithelial damage (damage-associated molecular patterns). Due to this, while the microbiota promote the commitment of stem cells to the enterocyte lineage, pathogens stimulate the fate of enteroendocrine cells [\(83\)](#page-22-0). Furthermore, the mycobiome affects the establishment of the appropriate stem cell niche especially during early postnatal development by influencing the differentiation of macrophages and mesenchymal cells to support the Paneth cell lineage [\(84](#page-22-0)). In contrast to enterocytes, the proportion of goblet cells is inversely distributed in the intestines, with a higher percentage in the colon (20%) producing protective mucus for the entire epithelial surface, compared to only 5% in the ileum. Enteroendocrine cells are found in low numbers throughout the intestinal tract, with an overabundance in the rectum ([72](#page-22-0)). Figure 1 demonstrates the epithelial cytoarchitecture of the gut- and pulmonary mucosa.

### Vasculature and connective tissue

The lamina propria of the respiratory tract hosts numerous capillaries exhibiting distinct molecular markers such as matrix composition, fenestrated morphology, and cell cycle characteristics, which differ from those surrounding the bronchi, indicative of their position in the pulmonary circulation. Additionally, fibroblasts



#### FIGURE 1

Comparison of the epithelial composition between the respiratory tract and the intestinal system reveals commonalities and distinctions. Both systems feature goblet cells, endocrine cells, stem cells and tuft cells interspersed among the cylindrical epithelial cells. However, unique cell types are present in each system; the respiratory system includes ciliated cells, club cells and ionocytes, while the intestinal system contains enterocytes, Paneth cells and transit-amplifying (TA) cells. In terms of stem cell distribution, the respiratory system's stem cells are uniformly distributed along the basal membrane. In contrast, the small and large intestines house stem cells at the base of the Lieberkühn crypts. These crypts facilitate the replenishment of the intestinal epithelium through a population of TA cells. Beneath the basal membrane lies a layer rich in myofibroblasts, associated with lymphoreticular tissue in the lamina propria. This tissue harbors diverse immune cells such as lymphocytes (L), monocytes (MC), macrophages (Mø), dendritic cells (DC), and granulocytes (G), alongside specialized fibroblasts and glands. In the intestinal tract, this layer transitions into the submucosa, delineated by the lamina muscularis mucosae. Conversely, in the respiratory system, the lower boundary of the tunica epithelialis is demarcated by the tunica muscularis. Illustrations were made using MS PowerPoint and Adobe Illustrator. Images were compiled by Adobe Photoshop.

within the subepithelial stroma display variations, with vascular adventitia encircling the alveoli demonstrating matrix biosynthesis, adhesion, and signal regulation functions ([71\)](#page-22-0). In the gut, a subepithelial layer of myofibroblasts lies beneath the basement membrane, comparable to the lung, accompanied by pericytes associated with capillaries and lymphatic vessels running along the axis of the villi ([85](#page-22-0)). Composed of a cellular connective tissue affluent in mesenchymal stem cells and fibroblasts, particularly in the upper part of the villus, the lamina propria houses the majority of immune cells in the middle of the villus, facilitating interactions with the microbiome ([69](#page-22-0), [86,](#page-22-0) [87](#page-23-0)). At the border interfacing with the submucosa, the lamina muscularis mucosae encloses the lamina propria [\(88\)](#page-23-0).

#### Innervation

The autonomic nervous system's afferent and efferent sympathetic and parasympathetic neurons provide innervation to the airways and lungs. Reflex regulation of autonomic function is facilitated by bronchopulmonary afferent fibers of the vagus nerve. The vagus nerve innervates the airways through parasympathetic and sensory fibers, organized into several subtypes, which are distributed throughout the bronchus, bronchioles, alveoli, accompanying the vasculature and lymphatics, and innervating the smooth muscle, epithelium, and neuroendocrine cells ([89](#page-23-0), [90\)](#page-23-0). In the respiratory tract, comparable to the ENS, the cell bodies of extrinsic fibers of preganglionic neurons reside outside the respiratory apparatus, primarily in the medulla, mainly from the nucleus ambiguus, with a minor proportion originating from the dorsalis motor nucleus of the vagus nerve. Conversely, the cell bodies of postganglionic neurons (intrinsic pulmonary neurons) are organized into ganglia within the walls of the trachea and bronchi ([91](#page-23-0)), which are of neural crest origin in both mice [\(63\)](#page-22-0) and human embryos [\(62,](#page-22-0) [92](#page-23-0)). The sympathetic nerve cell bodies are found in the thoracic spinal cord intermedolateral nuclei, while the postganglionic neurons reside in the paravertebral sympathetic chain, originating from the stellate and thoracic segments. These neurons connect to intrapulmonary ganglia with fibers from the stellate and superior cervical ganglia. The respiratory ([93\)](#page-23-0) and GI systems are innervated by two sets of afferent nerves, with their cell bodies located in the nodose ganglion of the vagus nerve and the dorsal root ganglia, respectively ([93](#page-23-0), [94\)](#page-23-0).

For the innervation of the intestinal nervous system, efferent preganglionic neurons in the thoracolumbar spinal cord form synapses with postganglionic neurons in the prevertebral (ggl. coeliac, superior and inferior mesenteric) and pelvic ganglia, which then innervate the intestines. Similarly to the respiratory system, parasympathetic preganglionic neurons arise from the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve and extend from the intermedolateral horn of the sacral spinal cord. These neurons connect with postganglionic neurons in the pelvic ganglia and submucosal and myenteric plexuses of the enteric nervous system ([95](#page-23-0), [96\)](#page-23-0). The preganglionic axons of the vagus nerve innervate the intestinal tract up to the duodenum [\(97](#page-23-0)–[99\)](#page-23-0). Structural and functional similarities of the GI and respiratory tract stem from

shared developmental pathways, signaling mechanisms, and microbiome influences. Each system has a variety of specialized cell types, such as mucus-secreting goblet cells and neuroendocrine cells that participate in immune and physiological regulation. The presence and function of these cells differ across the tracts, affecting mucus transport, gas exchange, and nutrient uptake. The role of the microbiota in these processes is significant, influencing stem cell differentiation into various cell lineages. Additionally, the surrounding structures, including the lamina propria and the autonomic nervous system innervation, are vital for the regulation and operation of these systems.

# The innate immune system of the gut and the lungs: development and cells of mucosal immunity

Mucosal surfaces are continuously exposed to high loads of antigens, therefore keeping the balance between immune response to pathogenic microorganisms and immune tolerance to commensal organisms is tightly controlled [\(100\)](#page-23-0). Signals from various mucosal antigens are integrated in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs), which serve as inductive sites for the immune system. Along the GI and respiratory tracts several specialized mucosa-associated lymphoid structures (MALT) are placed at strategic sites, which together with gut-draining mesenteric lymph nodes and bronchopulmonary lymph nodes orchestrate adequate immune responses. These structures develop at predefined sites during embryogenesis, independently of antigen signals and involve a series of cell-cell, cell-chemokine interactions [\(101](#page-23-0)).

### Formation of the gut-associated lymphoid tissue

Gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) includes cryptopatches, isolated lymphoid follicles (ILFs), Peyer's patches (PP), cecal patches and colonic patches that form a complex system along the GI tract, with several homeostatic and inflammatory functions ([102](#page-23-0), [103](#page-23-0)). PP development together with lymph node (LN) organogenesis has been extensively studied and relies on the initial clustering and interaction of lymphoid tissue inducer cells (LTi) with mesenchymal lymphoid tissue organizer (LTo) cells, that together with the involvement of homeostatic cytokines and chemokines drive the structural organization of SLOs throughout the embryo. LTi cells are fetal liver derived  $CD117^+LT\alpha1\beta2^+CXCR5^+IL-7R\alpha^+RANKL^+$ subpopulation of type 3 innate lymphoid like cells (ILC3), dependent on the expression of ROR $\gamma$ t ([104,](#page-23-0) [105\)](#page-23-0). Conditional knock-out of RORgt results in the absence of LTi cells and consequently LNs and PPs, which highlights the indispensible role of this cell type [\(106](#page-23-0)–[108](#page-23-0)). Establisment of the PP anlagen starts with the recruitment of  $RET^+$  and  $LT\beta^+$  lymphoid tissue initiatior cells (LTin), that interact with VCAM+ mesenchymal cells, expressing RET ligands ([109,](#page-23-0) [110\)](#page-23-0). This initial interaction results in the differentiation and activation of VCAM<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal cells to LTo cells that express IL-7 and CXCL13 and recruit CXCR5<sup>+</sup> LTi cells

([111](#page-23-0)–[113](#page-23-0)). Circulating lymphocytes are attracted and retained in the PP anlagen through the enhanced expression of cytokines (IL-7, RANK ligand), chemokines (CXCL12, CXCL13, CCL19, and CCL21), and adhesion molecules (VCAM-1, ICAM-1) ([114](#page-23-0), [115\)](#page-23-0). Although less studied, cecal patches and colonic patches follow similar developmental cues as described in PP development.

In contrast to PP and all other SLOs, cryptopatches start developing in early postnatal life, without the requirement of microbial signals ([116\)](#page-23-0). They are the most numerous lymphoid structures in the gut (30000 in humans, 1500 in mice) and homeostatically control intestinal epithelial barrier function [\(117\)](#page-23-0). Microbial signals trigger the transition of cryptopatches to isolated lymphoid follicles ([102](#page-23-0)), through the activation of CCR6<sup>+</sup> ILC3 cells that respond to epithelial signals (CCL20 and IL-7) and upregulate the expression of  $LT\alpha\beta$ , triggering the differentiation of  $LT\beta R$ expressing stromal cells. Stromal cell derived chemokines (CXCL13, CCL19, CCL21) and adhesion molecules (VCAM-1, ICAM-1) drive the recruitment of lymphocytes and formation of a single B cell follicle, with a germinal center and a network of follicular dendritic cells, localized under a dome epithelium. ILFs contribute significantly to T-cell independent IgA synthesis [\(118\)](#page-23-0) and generation of regulatory T cells, that drive tolerogenic immune response towards commensalist organisms ([119](#page-23-0)). Compartmentalization of SLOs in the lamina propria of the intestine and maintenance of ILC homeostasis and function is highly dependent on fibroblastic reticular cell niches (FRC) ([120](#page-23-0)), primarily regulated by  $LT\beta R$ -signaling and CCL19. Upregulation of CCL19 and the emergence of a signature FRC population was described in inflammatory bowel diseases [\(121\)](#page-23-0), therefore identification of FRC related druggable targets could lead to controlling sustained intestinal inflammation.

Independent of the clustering of ILC3 type LTi cells, infection and chronic inflammation trigger the formation of highly structured tertiary lymphoid organs (TLOs, tertiary lymphoid structures) in the lamina propria of the GI tract. TLOs have been described to sustain inflammation and activation of auto-reactive lymphocytes and production of disease-specific autoantibodies in a number of inflammatory diseases [\(122\)](#page-23-0). On the other hand, presence of TLOs in anti-tumor response has been reported beneficial in most solid tumor malignancies via the local presentation of tumor antigens, activation of antibody and cytotoxic responses ([123\)](#page-23-0). Tumor associated TLO gene expression studies offer a valuable tool in predicting therapeutic immune responses and choosing adequate anti-tumour therapies [\(124,](#page-23-0) [125](#page-23-0)). Induction of TLOs by therapeutic intervention in cases when the tumour microenvironment is nonpermissive may facilitate lymphocyte recruitment, tumor control and better prognosis [\(126,](#page-23-0) [127\)](#page-23-0). [Figure 2](#page-7-0) illustrates the formation of SLOs in the GALT.

### Formation of the respiratory MALT

Mucosa associated lymphoid tissues in the respiratory tract are very heterogeneous among mammalian species. The upper respiratory tract in humans does not characteristically contain organized mucosa associated lymphoid structures. In contrast,

rodents develop extensive nasal associated lymphoid tissues (NALT) upon antigenic encounter, with follicular B cell and interfollicular T cell regions [\(128](#page-23-0)). Similar to TLOs, inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissues (iBALT) are the main sites where lymphocyte priming occurs in the respiratory tract upon lung infections in humans and mice [\(129\)](#page-23-0). They characteristically form at branch points of the bronchial tree and usually next to or surrounding a pulmonary artery. Although some mammals (pigs, goats) develop BALT structures during embryogenesis, in humans and mammals this process is triggered by respiratory infections, without the essential role of ILC3 cells. Main drivers of iBALT formation are cytokines (IL-17, IL-22) and chemokines (CCL19, CCL21) provided by surrounding epithelial, endothelial and stromal cells,  $\gamma\delta$  T cells and follicular-homing T<sub>h</sub>17 cells [\(129,](#page-23-0) [130\)](#page-23-0). This results in the differentiation offollicular dendritic cells from lung fibroblasts and the recruitment of B- and T-cells in the perivascular space along the bronchi [\(131\)](#page-23-0). Maintenance of iBALT structures in later stages relies on lymphotoxin-signaling, between LT expressing activated lymphocytes, dendritic cells and LTi cells and LT $\beta$ R stromal and endothelial cells, that drive differentiation of HEVs, FDCs and new lymphatic vessels [\(132](#page-23-0), [133](#page-23-0)).

iBALT formation is critical in adequate response to a number of pathogens (M. tuberculosis, Pneumocystis, P. Aeruginosa, H5N1 influenza virus) that results in short-term inflammation by early recruitment of neutrophils, conventional dendritic cells and IFNgmediated pathogen clearance. In contrast, chronic pathogen exposure and uncontrolled inflammation drives conversion of protective iBALT structures to pathogenic iBALT, associated with dysregulated lymphocyte proliferation, autoantibody production and chronic pulmonary inflammation induced tissue damage (allergy/asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, pulmonary arterial hypertension) [\(129](#page-23-0)). In non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), presence of NCR<sup>+</sup> ILC3 has been associated with the formation of protective tumor-associated tertiary lymphoid structures ([134](#page-23-0)), that are associated with favorable clinical outcome. [Figure 3](#page-8-0) shows iBALT formation.

The level of the pharynx is an intersection point between the GI- and the respiratory systems. Humans exhibit well-developed mucosal lymphoid structures at this level. The tonsils, organized in the Waldeyer's ring play as the first line of defense against ingested or inhaled pathogens. Covered by stratified squamous epithelium, infiltrated by a number of immune cell populations, tonsils exhibit organized follicular B-cell and interfollicular T-cell regions. Embryonic development of the tonsils follows an intrinsic developmental program, without antigen encounter; the exact molecular mechanisms however, that guide the formation of tonsil primordia are largely unknown [\(135](#page-23-0)). In contrast, rodents lack tonsils, but develop complex nasopharyngeal lymphoid tissue (NALT) structures along the nasal passage, with similar anatomical and functional organization to human tonsils. Covered by ciliated epithelium, NALT exhibits cellular organization into B-cell follicles under a dome epithelium and interfollicular T-cell zones. Development of the NALT is induced upon antigenic encounter after birth and relies on unique developmental pathways that do not require ILC3 type LTi cells, IL-7, CXCL13 or lymphotoxin-alpha

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

#### FIGURE 2

Development of gut-associated lymphoid tissue. (A) Establishment of the Peyer's patch (PP) anlagen occurs during embryonic development, with the clustering of RET receptor positive, lymphotoxin-b expressing lymphoid tissue initiator (LTin) cells in the developing lamina propria of the ileum. VCAM<sup>+</sup> mesenchymal cells differentiate to lymphoid tissue organizer (LTo) cells and secrete IL-7 and CXCL13 ligands. The CXCL13-CXCR5 axis drives accumulation of fetal liver derived lymphoid tissue inducer (LTi) cells to the PP anlagen, where binding of the lymphotoxin- $\alpha$ 1 $\beta$ 2 to its receptor, LT $\beta$ R on mesenchymal LTo cells induces the expression of adhesion molecules, chemokines and cytokines. This positive feed-back loop reinforces recruitment of additional LTi cells and circulating lymphocytes. Organization of the PP anlagen results in the formation of several B-cell follicles and interfollicular T-cell zones in the lamina propria of the ileum. (B) Clusters of CCR6<sup>+</sup> type 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3) at the base of intestinal crypts contribute to intestinal epithelial barrier function in early postnatal life. Upon microbial stimulus, ILC3 cells in cryptopatches upregulate the expression of lymphotoxin-a1B2, drive differentiation of VCAM-1<sup>+</sup>/ICAM-1<sup>+</sup> stromal cells, and recruit lymphocytes to subepithelial regions, forming a single B-cell follicle at the site of infection. Illustrations were made using MS PowerPoint and Adobe Illustrator. Images were compiled by Adobe Photoshop.

signaling - pathways indispensable for the development of most lymphoid tissues [\(128](#page-23-0), [136](#page-24-0)). Mice lacking the Id2 transcription factor, however, lack NALT structures, which demonstrates the importance of ILC cell populations, such as ILC2 cells, in the initial organization of murine NALT [\(137](#page-24-0)).

Mucosa associated lymphoid structures in the gut and lungs represent a dynamically rearranging, complex network of immune cells with crucial roles in physiological and pathological immune responses. In contrast to the lungs, where formation of the main mucosa associated lymphoid structure occurs upon microbial stimulus, the gastrointestinal tract harbors classical SLOs, with intrinsic developmental cues during intrauterine development. Organization and maintenance of most MALT structures are highly dependent on ILC3 type cells that drive lymphocyte recruitment and organization, orchestrate immune tolerance, and contain infections; whereas inducible MALT structures are main drivers of chronic inflammation and allergy.

# Cells of mucosal immunity and the innate immune system: a comparison

The MALT comprises a specific layer important in the immune responses, a single layer of epithelium covered by mucus and antimicrobial products, fortified by innate and adaptive components in the underlying lamina propria [\(138\)](#page-24-0). In the GI tract, Paneth cells in the crypt produce alpha-defensins, while the epithelial cells produce beta-defensins for host protection. Intraepithelial lymphocytes reside between the epithelial cells, consisting of T cell subsets. We can discover conventional T cell subsets such as  $T<sub>h</sub>1$ ,  $T<sub>h</sub>2$ ,  $T<sub>h</sub>17$ ,  $T<sub>res</sub>$ , and memory T cells [\(139](#page-24-0)), but also B cell-enriched areas where soluble IgA is produced by plasma cells or memory B cells. In the subepithelial area, antigen-presenting cells (APCs), such as dendritic cells (DC) or macrophages, are found. Microfold (M) cells absorb antigens from the intestinal tract's lumen and nasal mucosa, then deliver them to the dendritic cells beneath ([140](#page-24-0)–[142](#page-24-0)).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Formation of inducible bronchus associated lymphoid tissue (iBALT). Infection or chronic inflammation induce the formation of bronchus associated lymphoid tissue. Epithelial and stromal cells,  $\gamma \delta$  T cells and T<sub>h</sub>17 cells produce cytokines (IL-17, IL-22) and chemokines (CCL19, CCL21), that trigger the differentiation of follicular dendritic cells from lung fibroblasts and recruit circulating lymphocytes to the perivascular space at branching points of the bronchi. In later stages, ILC3 derived lymphotoxin signals drive the organization of lymphocytes, differentiation of high endothelial venules (HEV) and new lymphatic vessels. Illustrations were made using MS PowerPoint and Adobe Illustrator. Images were compiled by Adobe Photoshop.

The mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS), comprising monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells, plays a significant role in innate immunity and the MALT. These cells are strategically situated in barrier tissues such as the gut, where they perform antigen sampling and immune surveillance. Within the MPS, dendritic cells are instrumental in capturing antigens and migrating to lymph nodes, where antigen presentation to T cells occurs. This process is essential for the initiation and modulation of adaptive immune responses. Moreover, macrophages contribute to the maintenance of tissue homeostasis and the resolution of inflammation through the phagocytosis of pathogens and cellular debris. In the context of the microbiome, the MPS actively participates in the crosstalk between luminal microbiota and the host influencing mucosal immunity and systemic immune responses. Mononuclear phagocytes in the MALT regulate the balance between tolerance to commensal microbes and the immune reactivity towards pathogens. By sampling bacterial antigens from the intestinal lumen, these cells can induce tolerogenic or immunogenic pathways, depending on the context and nature of microbial interactions. Due to their unrelenting drive to interact with the luminal microflora, we choose MPS cells for a more detailed introduction among cellular elements of the MALT.

### Macrophages

The process of tissue-resident macrophage development begins with the emergence of primitive macrophages in the yolk sac ([143\)](#page-24-0). These macrophages spread throughout embryonic tissues following the establishment of blood circulation ([144](#page-24-0)). This early hematopoiesis is independent of the transcription factor Myb. However, when hematopoietic stem cells from the aorta-gonad-

mesonephros colonize the fetal liver, definitive hematopoiesis begins Myb-dependent, generating all major hematopoietic lineages, including monocytes [\(145](#page-24-0)). These fetal liver monocytes infiltrate all peripheral tissues except for the central nervous system and develop into tissue-resident macrophages. These macrophages mostly coexist with, but can gradually outcompete, yolk sac-derived tissue macrophages. Both yolk sac-derived and fetal liver-derived macrophages are characterized by their longevity and ability to selfrenew ([146\)](#page-24-0). In adulthood, monocytes expressing high levels of Ly6C can develop into relatively short-lived, non-self-renewing tissue-resident macrophages in organs displaying homeostatic inflammatory conditions, such as the intestine, the remodeling mammary gland, and the heart ([147\)](#page-24-0).

In the GI tract, there are four different subsets of macrophages: peritoneal macrophages, which support IgA production by peritoneal B1 cells; muscularis gut macrophages, which regulate smooth muscle contractions ([148\)](#page-24-0); intraganglionic macrophages ([149,](#page-24-0) [150](#page-24-0)) and intestinal lamina propria macrophages. These intestinal lamina propria macrophages have three functions: maintaining gut homeostasis, producing cytokines that establish mucosal immunity, and uptaking antigens to present to different immune cell types ([151](#page-24-0)). The only known type of macrophage in the respiratory tract is the alveolar macrophage, which phagocytizes excessive surfactants and surfactant-opsonized particles ([152\)](#page-24-0).

### Dendritic cells

The development of dendritic cells (DCs) is a continuous process that occurs in the bone marrow, necessitated by the need for constant renewal of mature DCs in peripheral tissues. The initial belief was that mature DCs stimulated immunity, while immature DCs promoted tolerance. Recent findings have shown that even phenotypically mature DCs can promote tolerance instead of immunity. The critical difference lies in the expression of soluble mediators such as IL-10, TGF-beta, retinoic acid, etc., and surface tolerogenic receptors like OX40L, CTLA-4, PDL-1, etc [\(153](#page-24-0)). In the case of conventional DC (cDC) development, hematopoietic stem cells evolve from early progenitors into more lineage-specific macrophage-DC progenitors. These further differentiate into common DC progenitors (CDPs), the first progenitors exclusive to the DC lineage. CDPs subsequently give rise to pre-DCs, which migrate from the bone marrow to peripheral organs and differentiate locally into mature cDCs.

In the GI tract, pDCs and dendritic cells within the epithelium are key players in immune surveillance. During inflammation, monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs) are transient, bolstering the local immune response. Among cDC subsets, cDC1s with CD103<sup>+</sup> and CD11b- phenotypes, as well as cDC2s encompassing CD103<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup> and CD103<sup>-</sup> CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells, intricately contribute to orchestrating immune balance and efficient pathogen defense in the gut. The CD103<sup>+</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup> cells regulate the initiation of the mucosal antibody (Ab) response to soluble flagellin (sFliC) ([154\)](#page-24-0) in regards to mucosal and systemic CD103<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup> dendritic cells, the presence of TLR5 expression governs the sensitivity of the T cell immune response to flagellated pathogens ([155](#page-24-0)). This nuanced array of dendritic cell subsets ensures a finely tuned and responsive immune system in both the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts ([156](#page-24-0)). DCs are also located in the lamina propria of the small and large intestines (these are the follicular DCs) and the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. This includes isolated lymphoid follicles, Peyer's patches, and mesenteric lymph nodes. Dendritic cells that produce retinoic acid boost the expression of mucosal homing receptors (alpha4beta7 and CCR9) on activated T cells ([157\)](#page-24-0). This facilitates their subsequent journey through the lymphatic system, the bloodstream, and finally into the lamina propria of the gastrointestinal tract.

In the respiratory tract, dendritic cell populations exhibit remarkable diversity. Among them, plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) and conventional dendritic cells (cDCs) further diversify into distinct subsets, including mDC1 and mDC2 cells. Novel types of dendritic cells, including IGSF21<sup>+</sup> DC, EREG<sup>+</sup> DC, and TREM<sup>+</sup> DC, play pivotal roles in antigen presentation and immune regulation, as indicated by Travaglini et al. ([71](#page-22-0)). EREG, one of the seven cell-surface EGFR ligands, has been previously documented to protect the gastrointestinal tract from dextran sulfate sodium colitis. Furthermore, EREG expression by DC3 serves as a critical signal for maintaining fibrosis in both the skin and lungs ([158\)](#page-24-0). TREM-2<sup>+</sup> dendritic cells maintain nitric oxide (NO) production to regulate  $T<sub>h</sub>17$  differentiation negatively ([159\)](#page-24-0). Additionally, monocyte-derived dendritic cells (moDCs) emerge during inflammation, playing a critical role in shaping immune responses within the lung microenvironment [\(160\)](#page-24-0), such as antigen-presenting ([161](#page-24-0)). The susceptibility to infection, the induction of IL-12 production, and the capability for L. majorspecific T cell stimulation by dermal monocyte-derived DCs highlight their involvement in directing the initiation of protective T helper 1 response against Leishmania ([162\)](#page-24-0).

The development of lung-resident plasmacytoid DCs (pDC) has yet to be addressed explicitly, but some general mechanisms of pDC development have been identified. Unlike cDCs, pDCs fully develop in the bone marrow and then migrate to peripheral organs [\(163,](#page-24-0) [164](#page-24-0)). Monocyte-derived DCs (moDC) have only been found in the lungs in a steady state, as these cells have long been difficult to distinguish from CD11b positive cDCs due to their similar surface markers [\(165](#page-24-0)). Due to their monocyte origin, moDCs rely on the same factors that monocytes depend on for their development, including the chemokine receptor CCR2 ([166](#page-24-0)) and the cytokine CSF-1 [\(167](#page-24-0)).

### T-cells of innate immunity

In addition to conventional immune cells, mucosal tissues contain a significant number of innate-like cells and unconventional T cells. These innate-like cells help maintain the barrier function by detecting changes in the tissue environment and releasing effector cytokines. Unlike conventional T cells, Innate Lymphoid Cells (ILCs) do not rely on antigen-specific T-cell receptors and Recombination-Activating Genes (RAG) for their development. Instead, they depend on cytokine signaling through the common gamma chain encoded by the interleukin-2 receptor gamma ([105\)](#page-23-0). These cells are categorized into three main groups (ILC1, ILC2, and ILC3) based on their cytokine profiles and transcription factor dependencies. ILC1s primarily produce IFNg, ILC2s secrete IL-5 and IL-13, and ILC3s release IL-17 and IL-22 ([168\)](#page-24-0). These cytokines are pivotal for maintaining mucosal homeostasis, responding to microbial challenges, and modulating tissue repair and inflammation ([168\)](#page-24-0). In the gut, ILCs contribute to the integrity of the epithelial barrier and orchestrate immune responses against pathogenic microbes while maintaining tolerance to commensals and dietary antigens [\(169](#page-24-0), [170\)](#page-24-0). ILC3s, in particular, interact with the gut microbiota and epithelial cells to promote mucosal healing through the secretion of IL-22 ([171,](#page-24-0) [172\)](#page-24-0). Predominantly found in the lungs, ILC2s produce cytokines such as IL-5 and IL-13, which are crucial for promoting airway eosinophilia, mucus production, and smooth muscle contraction protective against helminths, but also contributing to the pathogenesis of asthma and allergic inflammation, licensing DCs to potentiate  $T<sub>h</sub>2$ -responses ([173](#page-24-0)–[176](#page-24-0)). Furthermore, ILC2s also secrete IL-9 and amphiregulin, associated with tissue-protection after influenza virus infection ([177\)](#page-24-0). ILC1s and ILC3s, though less abundant, are involved in defense against bacterial and viral infections, producing IFN-g, IL-17 and IL-22 ([178](#page-24-0)–[182](#page-24-0)).

Gamma-delta  $(\gamma \delta)$  T-cells in the gut and the MALT are specialized subsets of T-cells characterized by their distinct T-cell receptor (TCR) which differs from the alpha-beta  $(\alpha\beta)$  TCR found on conventional T-cells [\(183](#page-24-0), [184](#page-24-0)). Predominantly located in the epithelial layer of the GI tract,  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells function as a first line of immune defense, crucial for maintaining epithelial integrity, facilitating wound healing, and providing rapid responses to pathogenic invasion, capable of modulating the gut microbiome ([185](#page-24-0)–[187](#page-25-0)). In the gut,  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells are involved in the surveillance against malignantly transformed cells and the control of infections through the secretion of cytokines such as IFN-g and IL-17, aiding localized inflammatory responses and modulating the activity of other immune cells, including macrophages and neutrophils ([188\)](#page-25-0). In the lungs, by producing growth factors,  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells support the regeneration of lung tissue following injury and contribute to epithelial repair and maintenance of barrier integrity [\(189](#page-25-0)). In diseases like COPD and asthma,  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells can have dual roles: they may limit infection and promote repair, but their dysregulation can lead to chronic inflammation and tissue damage, exacerbating disease pathology [\(190](#page-25-0), [191](#page-25-0)). Furthermore, Latest research found that Intratumoral  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells possessed stem-like features and elicited cytolysis and  $T<sub>h</sub>1$  cellular function improving survival in lung cancer [\(192](#page-25-0)).

### Crosstalk between the MALT of the gut and the lungs

The immune communication between the gut and lung is a bidirectional process. For example, inoculating the nose with Salmonella triggers a Salmonella-specific immune response in the gut, which relies on lung dendritic cells. This implies that dendritic cells and macrophages in the GI and upper respiratory tracts can move or even transfer information from one immunization location to another. For example, lung dendritic cells induce the migration of protective T cells to the GI tract [\(193\)](#page-25-0). Germ-free (GF) animals experience a slower elimination of a harmless bacterium following a systemic challenge. The extent of the myeloid cell population in the bone marrow is closely linked to the diversity of the gut microbiota.

The gut microbiota influences hematopoiesis by modulating several aspects of the bone marrow microenvironment, therefore indirectly influencing disease progression and pathology. In response to NOD1 ligands from the microbial community, bone marrow stromal cells produce hematopoietic cytokines (IL-7, Flt3L, SCF, TPO, and IL-6) [\(194\)](#page-25-0). Similarly, CX3CR1<sup>+</sup> monocytes residing in the perivascular area of the bone marrow sense bacterial DNA through the systemic circulation and secrete TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6 [\(195](#page-25-0)), that leads to the expansion of the hematopoietic stem cell pool. HSC expansion is also directly influenced by microbiotaderived metabolites found in circulating blood. Lactate activates SCF expression of  $LepR<sup>+</sup>$  cells in sinusoidal blood vessels and induces the proliferation of HSCs ([196](#page-25-0)). Similarly, bacterialderived short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) lead to the generation and activation of highly phagocytic macrophage and dendritic cell precursors that seed to the lungs and protect against allergic inflammation [\(197](#page-25-0)). In contrast, alteration of commensal bacterial populations via oral antibiotic treatment triggers elevated serum IgE concentrations, increased numbers of circulating basophil granulocytes and allergic inflammation ([198](#page-25-0)). Alterations in the microbiota due to dysbiosis, obesity, or antibiotic use could disrupt the communication between hematopoiesis and the microbiota, potentially worsening inflammatory or infectious conditions in the host [\(199](#page-25-0)).

ILCs also play a significant role in the gut-lung axis. Evidence suggests that ILCs, especially ILC2s and ILC3s, can migrate between these sites reciprocally and modulate immune responses in respiratory diseases controlled by the gut microbiome ([200,](#page-25-0) [201\)](#page-25-0). For example, gut-derived ILCs can influence pulmonary inflammation and pathologies mediated via systemic circulation and possibly through microbial metabolites and cytokines across distant mucosal sites ([202](#page-25-0)).  $\gamma\delta$  T-cells might also be implicated in mediating systemic immune responses that link GI health to pulmonary health by microbial metabolites or translocating microbes that can activate these cells ([187](#page-25-0), [203](#page-25-0)). [Table 1](#page-11-0) summarizes the phenotypic distribution of macrophages and DCs in the MALT of the gut and the lungs.

The reciprocal exchange of signals between the gut and lungs underscores the interdependency of mucosal immunity, wherein immune cells relay information and reactions across distant anatomical sites. This dynamic interplay is shaped by factors like the gut microbiota, which can shape hematopoiesis and influence immune cell dynamics in the bone marrow, thereby impacting overall immune function. A comprehensive understanding of these interactions and pathways within the MALT is crucial for targeted interventions to modulate immune responses and effectively combat inflammatory or infectious diseases. Continued research into the development, functionality, and regulation of immune cells within MALT promises to yield valuable insights into therapeutic approaches for various mucosalrelated disorders.

## The gut and the lung microbiome and its implication in the gut-lung axis

The human microbiome, constituting trillions of commensal, mutualistic, and pathogenic s microorganisms, plays an indispensable role in the health and disease of its host. Once distinct and isolated kingdoms, the gut and lung microbiomes are now recognized as intimately linked ecosystems engaged in a continuous crosstalk. The gut microbiome is crucial for metabolic functions, synthesis of vitamins, and development of the immune system ([206](#page-25-0)–[208](#page-25-0)). In contrast, the lung microbiome, once considered sterile, has been revealed to host a less diverse but dynamic community influenced significantly by the inhalation of environmental air ([209,](#page-25-0) [210](#page-25-0)). Unlike the gut's relatively stable environment, the lung exhibits a more inhospitable landscape for microbial colonization due to its high oxygen levels, constant immune surveillance, and mucociliary clearance [\(211\)](#page-25-0). Yet, both microbiomes share functional similarities in their influence on the host's immune system, albeit through different mechanisms and with varying impacts on host physiology. Recent studies highlight this microbial gut-lung axis as an emerging phenomen, suggesting a bidirectional communication where dysbiosis in one site can influence disease processes in the other ([1](#page-21-0), [4](#page-21-0), [212](#page-25-0)). One particular study even directly identified the role of the intestinal microbiota in safeguarding against pneumococcal pneumonia by boosting the function of alveolar macrophages ([213\)](#page-25-0).

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>TABLE 1 The MPS system of the gut and the lungs.



### Sampling and analysis

The analysis of these microbiomes employs a range of techniques from culture-based methods to advanced metagenomics, transcriptomics, and metabolomics. Highthroughput sequencing technologies include 16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification, whole-genome shotgun sequencing (Metagenomics) providing insights into the composition and functional capabilities of these microbial communities ([214](#page-25-0)–[216](#page-25-0)), and long-read sequencing (LRS) as an innovative method, whose strongest advantage lies in its enhanced

accuracy in characterizing genomic landscapes, particularly in areas such as structural variations, repeat expansions, and complex genomic regions ([216,](#page-25-0) [217\)](#page-25-0). However, the complexity of sampling, particularly from the lung where invasive procedures are often required, poses challenges to accurately characterizing its microbiome [\(218](#page-25-0)–[222\)](#page-25-0). Sequencing of sputum and bronchoalveolar fluid samples often yield disparate results and contaminating microbiota can alter analyses ([223](#page-25-0)–[225\)](#page-25-0). The concept of the gutlung axis from a microbiome perspective ([226\)](#page-25-0) introduces a paradigm where gut microbiota alterations mediated by immune modulation, microbial metabolites, and molecular mimicry can affect lung health [\(197,](#page-25-0) [227](#page-25-0)) and vice versa, implicating the existence of a presumptive lung-gut axis, though the latter lacks conclusive scientific evidence so far [\(19,](#page-21-0) [228,](#page-25-0) [229\)](#page-25-0). Microaspirations stand out as a primary conduit for the translocation of oral microbes to the lower respiratory tract, contributing to the lung microbiome's composition and potentially influencing respiratory health. Inhalation of small droplets containing oral microbiota into the lungs can alter the pulmonary microbial landscape, impacting the development and progression of chronic respiratory conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma ([230](#page-25-0)–[232](#page-25-0)). This phenomenon underscores the critical role of the oral-lung axis in respiratory health.

### Taxonomy and diversity

The gut reigns supreme in terms of microbial abundance and diversity, where Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes dominate alongside with a minor representation of Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia. Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli (from the phyla Actinobacteria and Firmicutes, respectively) play key roles in nutrient digestion and immune modulation [\(233](#page-25-0)). Prevotella and Faecalibacterium genera are essential for processing dietary fibers and producing short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) ([234\)](#page-25-0). In contrast, the lung presents a sparser landscape, with only around 100 bacterial species colonizing the lower airways ([235](#page-25-0)), where Firmicutes and Proteobacteria are the primary residents, with their composition heavily influenced by environmental factors like inhaled particles and the oral microbiome [\(206](#page-25-0)). The lung microbiome includes genera such as Streptococcus, Haemophilus, Veillonella and Pseudomonas, adapted to the moist, oxygen-rich environment of the respiratory tract. Unlike the gut, where a dense microbial population is beneficial, the lungs require a balance to prevent infections and maintain efficient gas exchange [\(236](#page-26-0)). The gut microbiome is renowned for its high alpha diversity and richness. Alpha diversity refers to the variety and abundance of species within a specific ecosystem. In the context of the microbiome, it environs the range of microbial genera present in a particular body site and their relative abundance. Richness, a component of alpha diversity, simply counts the number of different species present, regardless of abundance. High alpha diversity and richness, including predatory species in the gut ([237\)](#page-26-0) is generally associated with good health ([238\)](#page-26-0), correlating with resilience to pathogenic colonization [\(239](#page-26-0)), and a balanced immune response, including anti-cancer immunity ([25](#page-21-0), [26](#page-21-0)). Concerning the lung microbiome, an overall low-diversity system, the impact of alpha-diversity is still under debate and may not correlate directly with pulmonary health ([211](#page-25-0), [240\)](#page-26-0) and its significant change might only be associated with severe pathologies and iatrogenic factors ([241,](#page-26-0) [242](#page-26-0)).

### Variability

Regarding its intraindividual variation, the gut microbiome is relatively stable in case of normalized diets and lack of disease [\(243](#page-26-0)–

[245\)](#page-26-0), however, abundance of functional pathways may show a greater variability [\(246](#page-26-0)). In the case of the lung microbiome, due to sampling limitations evidence is scarce, and mostly been studied in conjunction with environmental factors and lung diseases implicating a greater intraindividual heterogeneity [\(211,](#page-25-0) [247,](#page-26-0) [248](#page-26-0)). Interindividual variation of the gut microbiome mainly depends on human diet [\(249](#page-26-0)–[252\)](#page-26-0) strongly affected by geography ([253,](#page-26-0) [254](#page-26-0)), race and culture [\(255](#page-26-0), [256\)](#page-26-0) and can be also reproduced in experimental mice [\(257](#page-26-0)). Lately it has been shown that it is a considerable factor in host susceptibility to pathogens ([258\)](#page-26-0). Lung microbiome heterogeneity across people has mainly been studied in disease and has been linked to the oral microbiome [\(211](#page-25-0), [259\)](#page-26-0). Exposure to smoke is a well-known detrimental factor in lung health and has been associated with altered lung microbiota by a large piece of scientific literature ([260](#page-26-0)–[262\)](#page-26-0). Interestingly, smoking affects gut commensals too, raising intrigue concerning its role in the gut-lung axis ([263,](#page-26-0) [264](#page-26-0)).

### Functionality

Despite their compositional differences, both microbiomes share fundamental functionalities. They contribute to host metabolism by extracting energy from dietary components and synthesizing essential nutrients ([265,](#page-26-0) [266](#page-26-0)). They also play a crucial role in immune regulation, shaping both innate and adaptive responses [\(226,](#page-25-0) [267](#page-26-0)). Gut microbes produce SCFAs that signal immune cells in the gut and remotely in the lungs, influencing inflammatory responses and allergic reactions ([268\)](#page-26-0). Disruptions in either microbiome can lead to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), asthma, and other chronic inflammatory conditions ([269,](#page-26-0) [270\)](#page-26-0). Microbial metabolites like trimethylamine N-oxide (TMAO), generated by gut bacteria, can travel to the lungs and impact cardiovascular health [\(271](#page-26-0)) or the blood-brain barrier ([272,](#page-26-0) [273\)](#page-26-0). Moreover, studies suggest that the gut microbiome can influence susceptibility to respiratory infections like influenza and pneumonia ([274,](#page-26-0) [275](#page-26-0)). Animal models further elucidate pathways of communication: germ-free mice, lacking a gut microbiome, exhibit increased susceptibility to lung infections ([276](#page-26-0)), Conversely, manipulating the gut microbiome with probiotics or antibiotics can impact lung inflammation and allergic responses ([277,](#page-26-0) [278\)](#page-26-0). The interconnectedness of the gut and lung microbiomes makes a somewhat asymmetrical bidirectional communication possible underpinning the concept of gut-lung axis. The balance between these microbiomes is crucial for health, suggesting that targeted modulation could offer new therapeutic approaches for treating chronic diseases and improving overall well-being. Comparison of the gut and the lung microbiomes is shown in [Table 2.](#page-13-0)

# Gut permeability: a pivotal factor in systemic immunity and in lung disease

Intestinal permeability and the intestinal barrier are closely related concepts that together play a crucial role in maintaining the

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>TABLE 2 Comparison of the Gut- and the Lung Microbiomes.



health of the GI system. The intestinal barrier is a sophisticated multi-layered system comprising an outer "physical" barrier and an internal "functional" immunological barrier. The interplay between these two barriers ensures the maintenance of balanced permeability [\(279](#page-26-0)). Intestinal permeability is the regulation of substances moving from the GI tract into the body. The intestines naturally possess a level of permeability, facilitating the passage of nutrients while simultaneously serving as a barrier to prevent potentially harmful substances, such as antigens, from exiting the intestine and spreading throughout the body ([280\)](#page-26-0).

### Permeability in health and disease

Luminal products can pass the intestinal epithelium in several ways. The pathways depends on factors like the size, hydrophobicity and other physico-chemical properties. There are four pathways for substances to cross the intestinal lining: (a) the transcellular route is taken by small hydrophilic and lipophilic compounds; (b) the

paracellular route is for ions, water, and larger hydrophilic compounds (400–600 Da up to 10–20 kDa) regulated by tight junction proteins; (c) transcellular active transport is for sugars, amino acids, and vitamins, requiring specific transporters and energy; (d) endocytosis and basolateral exocytosis are for larger peptides, proteins, large bacterial components, or even whole bacteria ([281,](#page-26-0) [282\)](#page-26-0). Under physiological conditions, an intact intestinal barrier serves as a safeguard against the transmission of pathogens, pro-inflammatory substances, and antigens into the internal environment. Conversely, compromised intestinal integrity facilitates their entry, potentially triggering disease or inflammation [\(283](#page-26-0)). In reality, intestinal permeability is a barrier associated with both the commensal microbiota in the intestine and components of the mucosal immune system. Various factors have the potential to modify intestinal permeability, including changes in the gut microbiota, disruptions in the mucus layer, and damage to the epithelium. These alterations may lead to the movement of luminal contents into the deeper layers of the intestinal wall. Furthermore, lifestyle and dietary factors, such as the

consumption of alcohol and energy-dense Western-style diets, have been identified as contributors to increased intestinal permeability ([284](#page-26-0)–[286](#page-27-0)). There are several ways to measure intestinal permeability. One common approach involves using markers or tracers to assess the passage of substances through the intestinal barrier. Some techniques include: (a) lactulose/mannitol test which measures the absorption of these sugars. Increased levels of lactulose in urine indicate higher permeability; (b) fluoresceinisothiocyanate-labeled dextran (FITC-dextran) which is a noninvasive, affordable technique for quantifying and monitoring intestinal permeability in real time; (c) PEG (polyethylene glycol) test involves the administration of PEG with different-sized molecules, and assessing their presence in urine provides insights into permeability; (d) Ussing-chamber technique utilizes an Ussing chamber to measure the passage of molecules across isolated segments of the intestine; (e) Transepithelial/transendothelial electrical resistance (TEER) is a highly sensitive and accurate method for determining the integrity and permeability of a cellular monolayer, can be used to monitor living cells at various stages of development and differentiation. (f) confocal Laser Endomicroscopy (CLE) is a more advanced method using microscopic imaging to visualize real-time changes in the intestinal barrier ([287](#page-27-0)–[290](#page-27-0)).

### Permeability and the gut microbiome

There is a strong correlation between increased permeability of the intestinal epithelial barrier and the gut microbiome. Researchers studied transgenic mice with intestinal epithelial-specific constitutively-active myosin light chain kinase (CAMLCK) expression. Increasing intestinal permeability was observed due to the MLCK-dependent regulation of tight junctions. Analysing the wild-type (WT) and CAMLCKTg pups microbiome, they observed a distinction in microbiomes based on the pup's genotype rather than the dam's. The microbiomes of CAMLCKTg mice showed an increase in Clostridium and a decrease in Bacteroidetes, Enterococcus spp, and Prevotella. Thus elevated intestinal permeability has the potential to induce dysbiosis-like-microbiome shifts ([291](#page-27-0)). Therefore, the relationship between the two factors works in both directions: an elevation in permeability encourages dysbacteriosis, and alterations in the microbiota can likewise influence intestinal permeability [\(292](#page-27-0)–[294\)](#page-27-0). The correlation between the compromise of the intestinal barrier and the disturbance of the gut microbiome is being increasingly acknowledged as significant contributors to various pathophysiological conditions. These conditions include irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with a lower fecal Lactobacillus, higher Escherichia coli, Bifidobacterium and Enterobacter compared to the healthy controls ([295](#page-27-0)). In IBD the microbiota is identified by an increase in Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria, along with a decrease in Firmicutes compared to those without the condition. Notably, the levels of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, a highly metabolically active commensal bacterium, are diminished in individuals with IBD [\(296,](#page-27-0) [297](#page-27-0)). Chronic liver diseases are also associated with worsening of dysbiosis. There is a noticeable decline in bacterial diversity and an increase in the relative abundances of Enterobacteriaceae and Enterococcaceae. These groups are more susceptible to gut translocation and are considered potentially pathogenic [\(298\)](#page-27-0). In a mouse model with leptin deficiency (ob/ob), researchers observed a decreased abundance of the Bacteroidetes phylum and a notable increase in Firmicutes levels, thus obesity is also associated with gut dysbiosis ([299,](#page-27-0) [300\)](#page-27-0). Diabetes mellitus has also showed an increased Clostridium hathewayi, Clostridium symbiosum and Escherichia coli levels ([301](#page-27-0)). Neuropsychiatric disorders with a depletion of Lactobacillus spp. can results in T helper cell-mediated immune dysregulation and cognitive dysfunction [\(302](#page-27-0)–[304\)](#page-27-0).

### Permeability and the gut-lung axis

While the gut and lungs have distinct anatomies, the presence of potential anatomical communications and pathways associated with their respective microbiota has strengthened the concept of a gut–lung axis ([4](#page-21-0)). It exerts its influence on both the gut and lung immune systems through local or long-reaching interactions, engaging in pathways involving  $CD8^+$  T cells [\(305](#page-27-0)), T<sub>h</sub>17 cells ([306,](#page-27-0) [307](#page-27-0)), IL-25 ([308\)](#page-27-0), IL-13 [\(309\)](#page-27-0), prostaglandin E2 [\(310](#page-27-0)), and NF-kB ([311\)](#page-27-0). Simultaneously, the lung microbiota plays a role in mucosal immunity and contributes to immune tolerance by recruiting neutrophils, inducing the production of proinflammatory cytokines via Toll-like Receptor 2 (TLR2), and releasing antimicrobial peptides like  $\beta$ -defensin 2, stimulated by T helper 17 ( $T<sub>h</sub>$ 17) cells. Additionally, the lung microbiota also has an impact on the gut immune system, for example influenza infection leads to an elevated proportion of Enterobacteriaceae and reduced abundances of Lactobacilli and Lactococci in the gut ([312\)](#page-27-0). Similarly, the instillation of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the lungs of mice is linked to disturbances in the gut microbiota ([4](#page-21-0), [313\)](#page-27-0).

Several studies have revealed a close relationship between the gut microbiota and pulmonary disorders. Studies indicate that both the composition and functionality of the gut microbiota undergo significant alterations in individuals with lung conditions, including pneumonia ([314\)](#page-27-0), lung cancer ([315\)](#page-27-0), asthma ([316\)](#page-27-0), and tuberculosis ([317](#page-27-0)), when compared to those in healthy individuals. Several studies showed that gut dysbiosis play a role in the initiation and the progression of lung cancer. The mechanisms through which this occurs involve genotoxicity, systemic inflammation, and impaired immune surveillance [\(318](#page-27-0)) and is elaborated in the next chapter. Dysbiosis in the gut has the potential to compromise the function of the intestinal mucosal barrier, elevating the permeability of the intestinal mucosa ([319,](#page-27-0) [320](#page-27-0)).

Increased intestinal permeability can be attributed to invading microorganisms and their metabolites, initiating inflammation at both local and systemic levels. Hence, our hypothesis posits that disruptions in intestinal microbes and their metabolites could cause chronic systemic inflammation, consequently contributing to the onset and progression of lung cancer ([321,](#page-27-0) [322\)](#page-27-0). Microorganisms and their byproducts entering the intestinal mucosa trigger TLRs, generating inflammatory mediators. These components participate in pulmonary inflammation through the lymphatic and blood circulation pathways. Researchers showed that gut dysbiosis marked by a notable rise in Enterobacteriaceae activating TLR4 in the intestine, inducing inflammation. This process elevates IL-1 $\beta$ levels in the peripheral circulation, transmits inflammatory signals to the lungs, and activates the NF-kB pathway, ultimately leading to pulmonary inflammation [\(323](#page-27-0)), In a similar way, researchers observed that dysbiosis in the intestinal microbiota has the capacity to influence the TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathway. Enterohemorrhagic E. coli has the ability to either activate or suppress NF-KB through the Type III Secretion System (T3SS), potentially involving TLR activation [\(324](#page-27-0)). This, in turn, triggers oxidative stress and inflammation, contributing to lung pathology through the regulation of the intestinal barrier [\(325](#page-27-0)). Additionally, lung cancer patients show reduced levels of Kluyvera, Escherichia-Shigella, Dialister, Faecalibacterium, and Enterobacter, while Veillonella, Fusobacterium, and Bacteroides are significantly elevated compared to healthy individuals [\(326](#page-27-0)). Dysbiosis is also associated with increased zonulin release, for example Escherichia coli, Prevotella, Pseudomonas, and Salmonella spp., induce intestinal zonulin release, whereas others, mostly Gram-positive strains, such as Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus spp., decrease zonulin levels ([327\)](#page-27-0). Zonulin has the ability to increase mucosal permeability by reversibly affecting the structure of tight junctions ([328\)](#page-27-0). Under physiological conditions, zonulin is involved not only in the small intestine but also across various extraintestinal epithelia ([329\)](#page-27-0).

Researchers observed that zonulin could potentially play a role in pathological conditions characterized by disrupted intercellular communication, such as malignant transformation and metastasis ([330\)](#page-27-0). Figure 4 demonstrates key mechanisms depending on gut permeability through the gut-lung axis.

In conclusion, changes in the gut microbiota and the disruption of the intestinal barrier have the potential to modify intestinal permeability. Increased intestinal permeability can lead to the dissemination of microbial products and their metabolites from the gut into the bloodstream, known as microbial translocation a common cause for systemic chronic inflammation ([331,](#page-27-0) [332\)](#page-27-0). Chronic inflammation is a well-known risk factor for cancer development, including lung cancer. Thus, the gut microbiome's impact on systemic inflammation not only affects pulmonary diseases but also plays a crucial role in the oncogenesis and progression of lung cancer. Understanding how the microbiota co-evolves with tumors during cancer development and progression to identify the changes in bacterial composition, quantity, diversity and metabolic activity can be used as reliable biomarkers for lung cancer diagnosis. This connection underscores the relevance of the gut-lung axis in understanding pulmonary malignancies, whose development equally depends on the local inflammatory microenvironment and histological-immunological niche, as on genetic and environmental drivers.



#### FIGURE 4

The role of gut dysbiosis through the Gut-lung axis. Dysbiosis impairs epithelial barrier function and elicits proinflammatory response. Gut dysbiosis marked by a notable rise in Enterobacteriaceae activates TLR4 in the intestine, which elevates IL-1b levels in the peripheral circulation, transmits inflammatory signals to the lungs, and activates the NF-kB pathway. This process triggers oxidative stress and inflammation, contributing to lung pathology through the regulation of the intestinal barrier. ILC2s, ILC3s, and  $T<sub>h</sub>17$  cells that migrate from the gut to the lungs have been shown to also impact respiratory immunity. Illustrations were made using MS PowerPoint and Adobe Illustrator. Images were compiled by Adobe Photoshop.

# The role of the gut microbiome in the development of lung cancer

The discussion of the gut-lung axis strongly warrants the inclusion of lung cancer development due to its significant epidemiological impact as one of the leading causes of cancerrelated deaths worldwide [\(333](#page-27-0), [334\)](#page-28-0). The gut microbiome, through its modulation of systemic inflammation and immune responses ([335\)](#page-28-0), plays a critical role in this context, mostly mediated by chronic inflammation, and driven by gut dysbiosis with increased permeability ([331,](#page-27-0) [335](#page-28-0)). All these factors foster a pro-tumorigenic environment in distant organs, including the lungs [\(336](#page-28-0), [337\)](#page-28-0). Furthermore the enormous load of circulating metabolites and SFCAs produced by gut microbes may have a profound role in the establishment of the tumor- and premetastatic niche by the modulation of the local immune microenvironment [\(338](#page-28-0)–[340\)](#page-28-0). Emerging evidence concerning the gut microbiome's role in lung cancer dynamics opens new avenues for targeted therapeutic strategies, aiming to manipulate the gut microbiota to counteract systemic inflammation, bolster anti-tumor immunity and prevent lung cancer development.

### Preclinical studies

As of today, the effects of various bacterial species on murine lung cancer development have been primarily investigated in relation to the combination of gut microbiome with chemotherapeutic or immunotherapeutic treatments, or with oral administration of antibiotics. Daillére et al. noted that combining Lactobacillus johnsonii and Enterococcus (E.) hirae with chemo- and antibiotic treatments in MCA205 tumor cell-injected mice led to reduced tumor sizes compared to controls ([341\)](#page-28-0). However, in the absence of chemotherapy, E.hirae did not impact natural tumor growth. Similarly, mice receiving Lactobacillus and Akkermansia muciniphila with cisplatin had smaller lung tumors compared to other treatments ([342,](#page-28-0) [343](#page-28-0)). Separate studies have investigated the role of commensal gut flora in lung cancer-associated cachexia in mice, a condition that significantly raises mortality rates in lung cancer groups ([344,](#page-28-0) [345\)](#page-28-0).

Hagihara et al. identified specific commensal bacterial species without the administration of any treatment, which included a decreased relative abundance of Enterorhabdus spp., Parvibacter spp., Muribaculaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae, Ligilactobacillus spp., and Eubacterium brachy in C57BL/6J mice compared to controls ([346](#page-28-0)). In contrast, Gastranaerophilales spp., Lachnospiraceae, Monoglobus, spp., and Ruminococcaceae were significantly more abundant in the lung cancer group. Feng et al. reported a decrease in the Acutalibacter genus early in lung cancer progression, decrease in Lachnospiraceae at later stages, and identified biomarkers like Akkermansia muciniphila in Lewis lung cancer (LLC) mice ([347\)](#page-28-0). Lactobacillus taiwanensis, Clostridium, Desulfovibrio, and Eggerthellaceae were more abundant in the lung cancer group. Zhu et al. discovered variations in gut microbiome composition at different stages of tumorigenesis, with certain bacterial families being more prevalent in groups with slower tumor growth ([348\)](#page-28-0). An enrichment of Akkermansia, Bifidobacterium, Verrucomicrobiales, and Actinobacteria in the slow tumorigenesis groups was observed, while Bacteroidaceae, Flavobacteriaceae, Helicobacteraceae, and Enterobacterales were decreased according to the LLC mouse study.

### Clinical studies

When assessing human case-control studies, prominent research suggests the role of Akkermansia muciniphila in contributing to the malignant progression of lung cancer, which is contradictory with the results of the preclinical study of Zhu et al. ([315,](#page-27-0) [348](#page-28-0)). While Zheng et al. found it to be enriched in the advanced stage non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) group compared to healthy patients, Zhu et al. mentioned that Akkermansia has the potential to inhibit lung cancer through direct interaction with the tumor. At the phylum level, the results regarding the role of Verrucomicrobia bacteria in lung cancer development are also controversial, with studies by Liu et al., and Zhang et al. not yielding relevant results ([326,](#page-27-0) [349](#page-28-0)). However, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria have been shown to play an obviously crucial role in reducing tumor size in NSCLC development ([315](#page-27-0), [326,](#page-27-0) [349](#page-28-0), [350\)](#page-28-0). On the other hand, Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Fusobacteria, Lentisphaerae, Proteobacteria, and Spirochaetes phyla showed an overall increased abundance in gut samples of lung cancer patients compared to healthy controls ([315,](#page-27-0) [326,](#page-27-0) [349\)](#page-28-0). Liu et al. described Bacteroidetes phyla as being significantly decreased in small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) patients, and Zhang et al. examined a significantly reduced abundance of Proteobacteria in NSCLC. Although, taking all publications into account, these phyla remain as tumor-potentiating biomarkers.

Further analysis at the genus level provides a more diverse picture of potential gut bacterial species in lung cancer development, according to recent human case-control studies. Bacillus, Bacteroides, Clostridium, Coriobacteriaceae, Fusobacterium, Megasphaera, Oscillospira, Prevotella, and Synergistes genera were overall significantly enriched in lung cancer patients [\(315](#page-27-0), [326](#page-27-0), [349](#page-28-0), [351,](#page-28-0) [352\)](#page-28-0). Moreover, Lactobacillus, Veillonella, and especially Enterococcus showed significantly increased abundance in the lung cancer group ([350,](#page-28-0) [352,](#page-28-0) [353\)](#page-28-0). On the contrary, Bifidobacterium, Dialister, Faecalibacterium, Roseburia, or for instance Ruminococcus were all decreased in the lung cancer patients compared to healthy participants ([315](#page-27-0), [326,](#page-27-0) [350,](#page-28-0) [354,](#page-28-0) [355](#page-28-0)). This depletion of the beforementioned genera is parallel to the current findings in the field, mentioning Bifidobacterium, Faecalibacterium, and Ruminococcus being beneficial genera in the gut, and showing decreased abundance in lung cancer patients' stool samples ([17,](#page-21-0) [349\)](#page-28-0). Botticelli et al. mentioned the role of Streptococcus spp., showing increased abundance in lung cancer, however, Zheng et al. described it as being underrepresented in the lung cancer group, creating controversy ([315,](#page-27-0) [351\)](#page-28-0). Comparing the two results, in the study

by Botticelli et al., a significant limiting factor was the small sample size, whereas Zheng et al. mentioned the smoking history of patients as a potential confounding factor and smoking itself can increase the risk of lung cancer.

Murine findings suggest that probiotic treatments together can restore the chemotherapy-mediated antitumor effects ([341](#page-28-0)). Although, the role of Akkermansia muciniphila is controversial regarding murine and clinical studies, thus it needs further investigation. Cachexia, the administration of antibiotics, chemoand immunotherapy are limiting factors in commensal microbiome studies since these strongly decrease gut microbiome diversity ([4,](#page-21-0) [345](#page-28-0)). These studies underscore the urgent need for studies about the complex, significant role of the commensal gut microbiome in lung cancer development and treatment. Positive and negative effects of taxa analyzed in human case-control studies on lung cancer development are shown in Figure 5.



#### FIGURE 5

The gut microbiome and lung cancer development. Bacterial taxa at phylum, genus and species level showing significant association with lung cancer development according to case-control studies performed on real-word cohorts are displayed in a heatmap, where axis y includes taxa and axis X lists original articles (bottom) and the method of sequencing, along with total sample sizes (top). The ultimate coloumn displays the net sum of associations depicted through studies. Cells colored in magenta indicate a significant positive correlation/association, cells colored in green reflect a significant negative correlation/association between the taxa's abundance and lung cancer incidence. Heatmap was created using Seaborn (0.13.2) from the Python Software Package.

# The gut-lung axis and immunotherapy efficacy in lung cancer

In advanced-stage NSCLC immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) therapy is frequently administered ([356,](#page-28-0) [357](#page-28-0)). Even though the ICItreatment of NSCLC patients revolutionized treatment strategies, the limited efficacy and predictive power of the currently utilized biomarkers such as PD-L1 and tumor mutational burden is restricted. The exploration of novel non-invasive predictive biomarkers is necessary to aid patient stratification prior ICI therapy ([358\)](#page-28-0). The role of the intestinal microbiome as an antitumor response mediator came into focus in the last years in the context of different tumor types like NSCLC, melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, colorectal cancer ([24](#page-21-0), [26](#page-21-0), [359](#page-28-0)). Although there is some similarity in the gut microorganisms linked to the response to ICI in various cancer types, this similarity is limited and cannot be accounted for by variations in sequencing techniques. Shotgun DNA sequencing and 16S rRNA sequencing are used to determine the bacterial signatures observed in the stool samples of responder and non-responder patients ([360\)](#page-28-0) that can further provide us information about the intra-sample variability (alpha diversity) and between-sample variability (beta diversity) of fecal samples ([360,](#page-28-0) [361](#page-28-0)).

Cross-cohort analysis of NSCLC patients receiving ICI provides us controversial informations about the correlation between the microbial diversity and the therapeutic response. In terms of alphadiversity, higher diversity was observed in responders according to Zhang et al. [\(362](#page-28-0)) and Lee et al. [\(353](#page-28-0)) after ICI treatments, and higher but not significant difference was also detected in other studies [\(363](#page-28-0)–[365](#page-28-0)). Baseline alpha diversity was reported to be higher in future-responders [\(21](#page-21-0)), and it can be disturbed by antibiotic-use ([27](#page-21-0)) in lung cancer patients compared to healthy individuals [\(365](#page-28-0)) resulting in a significantly lower level. In contrast, Dora et al. [\(31\)](#page-21-0), and Katayama et al. ([364](#page-28-0)) did not report any impact of the alpha-diversity on therapeutic response. Beta diversity showed significant differences baseline ([31](#page-21-0)), and likewise posttreatment in NSCLC as well as in melanoma patients [\(21,](#page-21-0) [363\)](#page-28-0). The aforementioned differences highlights technical divergence, and the need to interpret differently 16S rRNA ([21,](#page-21-0) [27](#page-21-0), [353,](#page-28-0) [362,](#page-28-0) [364,](#page-28-0) [365](#page-28-0)) and shotgun sequencing results ([31](#page-21-0), [363\)](#page-28-0).

A multitude of clinical investigations have published correlations between the microbiota and the efficacy of ICIs. However, there is a lack of agreement regarding the specific taxa that are associated with treatment response in various patient groups. The lack of reliable microbial biomarkers arises from the individual variability of the microbiome influenced by host genetics, previous antibiotics use, and diet ([366\)](#page-28-0). Another important factor is functional diversity of the intestinal microbiome and the fact that the coexistence of host and microbiota leads to an equilibrium between the generalist functionality and diversification of individual microbes, as well as redundancy within the community ([367\)](#page-28-0). Geological location is also an important confounding factor through main dietary habits and host genetics. In the observed studies, most of them examined Chinese or Japanese cohorts [\(21,](#page-21-0) [27,](#page-21-0) [353](#page-28-0), [362](#page-28-0)–[364,](#page-28-0) [368\)](#page-28-0) besides European ([24,](#page-21-0) [31](#page-21-0), [369](#page-28-0)–[371](#page-28-0)) and American [\(365](#page-28-0), [372](#page-28-0)). Fiber consumption is a essential dietary characteristic, which can influence the microbial composition by butyrate-producing and fibre-degrading taxa like Rosuburia, and representative of the Lachnospiraceae and Ruminococcaceae family ([366\)](#page-28-0). The presence and correlation with better ICI response of the abovementioned taxa was validated by Jin et al. ([21](#page-21-0)), Hakazoki et al. ([27\)](#page-21-0), Chau et al. [\(365](#page-28-0)), Newsome et al. [\(372](#page-28-0)), and Routy et al. [\(24\)](#page-21-0). High abundance of Blautia and Akkermansia, and it's correlation with favorable outcome was reported by the most studies [Blautia: Martini et al. ([369\)](#page-28-0), Grenda et al. [\(370](#page-28-0)), Newsome et al. ([372\)](#page-28-0), Song et al. [\(363\)](#page-28-0), Akkermansia: Hakazoki et al. [\(27\)](#page-21-0), Chau et al. ([365\)](#page-28-0), Newsome et al. ([372\)](#page-28-0), Derosa et al. ([373\)](#page-28-0), Routy et al. ([24](#page-21-0))]. The high abundance of Firmicutes was controversial, Dora et al. [\(31\)](#page-21-0) reported the negative predictive role of the high abundance of the microbes in contrary to Chau et al. [\(365](#page-28-0)). The difference can come from technical differences: Dora et al. [\(31](#page-21-0)) used metagenomic, while Chau et al. ([365](#page-28-0)) applied 16S rRNA sequencing, and a lower number of participants. The predictive role of Parabacteroidetes was also controversial: Song et al. ([363\)](#page-28-0) evaluated as positive predictor in contrast to Katayama et al. [\(364\)](#page-28-0). It's worth to mention that the sequencing method was also different between the studies, and the uneven gender distribution in the study by Katayama et al. ([364\)](#page-28-0) can also have a crucial impact on the results. Two studies ([31,](#page-21-0) [364\)](#page-28-0) have reported a significant negative impact of Actinobacteria. Interestingly, the negative impact of Bilophila, Sutterella, Parabacteroides, Bacteroides fragilis and Verrucomicrobia was reported by Katayama et al. [\(364](#page-28-0)), Fang et al. [\(368](#page-28-0)), and Chau et al. [\(365](#page-28-0)) in contrast to a melanoma study by Matson et al. ([25](#page-21-0)), however the immunological background and host factors in lung cancer and melanoma are different. The Gram-negative Bacteroidetes genus, Alistipes was found to be overrepresented in responders and patients with longer progression-free survival (PFS) by three independent studies, two of the using MG [\(24,](#page-21-0) [31\)](#page-21-0) and one 16S rRNA sequencing ([362\)](#page-28-0). Dora et al. ([31\)](#page-21-0) also identified the genus Streptococcus (S.) its and multiple species, including S. salivarius and S. vestibularis to be strongly associated with decreased PFS, that is a unique finding in the field and might be explained by the utilization of the KRAKEN database instead of the more conventional Methaphlan platform. Of note, multiple hypothesisdriven studies might have focused on special taxonomic sets of bacteria, ignoring potentially significant associations with ICIoutcomes that can lead to a reporting bias. This issue can reduce the overlap between interpreted results of different studies causing an non-substantiated impression of discrepancy in the field. Establishment of comprehensive, open-access microbiome sequencing databases and better data availability may solve this problem in the long term. All observed associations are summarized in [Figure 6.](#page-19-0)

Overall results reinforce the need for further research in this area to reveal the complex connection between the intestinal microbiome and ICI treatment outcome, and to define a clinically accessible microbiome based non-invasive predictive biomarker set. Furthermore, larger and more uniform patient cohorts are needed

<span id="page-19-0"></span>

with prospective study designs with validation of differentially abundant taxa using cultivation or molecular studies.

### Conclusions and future perspectives

The gut-lung axis exemplifies the fundamental entwinement of the GI and respiratory systems, rooted in shared embryological origins, morphological structures, and molecular signaling pathways. Both systems develop from the primitive gut tube and are shaped by similar developmental cues involving signaling molecules like FGFs, BMPs, SHH and NOTCH receptors. These factors not only direct morphogenesis but also the functional differentiation within the gut and lungs, influencing the formation of critical structures such as the trachea, bronchi, and GI mucosa. The developmental processes are supported by neural crest cells, highlighting a shared mechanism in neural and sensory innervations, pivotal in conditions like HSCR and CCHS. However, despite the advances in understanding the morphological and molecular commonalities, current research often lacks detailed mechanistic insights into how these shared pathways explicitly influence adult disease states beyond developmental anomalies.

The local immune framework of the gut and the lungs develop from distinct anatomical sites but function in concert, mediated by the interaction of lymphoid tissues such as the GALT and the BALT. While the GALT is formed guided by intrinsic developmental programs without the necessity of microbial stimulation during embryogenesis, BALT formation requires commensal-driven noxas, both systems relying on the orchestration of lymphoid tissue inducer cells and mesenchymal lymphoid tissue organizer cells, which guide the immune landscape via cytokines and chemokines such as IL-7 and CXCL13. While foundational mechanisms of MALT formation are welldocumented, the specifics of how these processes affect adult disease manifestations remain underexplored. Current studies lack depth in linking these developmental and molecular pathways directly to the pathogenesis observed in adult respiratory and GI diseases.

Regarding their microbial niches, the gut microbiome is abundant and complex, primarily aiding in metabolism and immune system development; the lung microbiome, once thought sterile, maintains a less diverse, but dynamic microbial population significantly affected by environmental air. Recent insights reveal a bidirectional communication within this axis, suggesting that imbalances in one microbiome can directly influence health Dora et al. [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1434804)

outcomes in the other, where the effect seems stronger and more potent from the gut towards the lungs direction. One of the most striking examples of this interaction is the protection conferred against pneumococcal pneumonia through the gut microbiota's enhancement of alveolar macrophage function [\(213\)](#page-25-0) and protection against Influenza infection [\(374](#page-28-0)). This suggests that microbial populations in the gut can directly influence lung immunity and resistance to infections. Similarly, dysbiosis in the gut has been linked to inflammatory lung diseases such as asthma and COPD, where shifts in microbial communities appear to exacerbate pulmonary inflammation and allergic responses ([375\)](#page-28-0). Recent studies also revealed instances of reverse flow in biological information, from the lungs to the gut, depicted as the "lung-gut axis", where the lung microbiota and host immune system influence gut immunity and pathologies, such as Salmonella infection by lung DCs [\(193](#page-25-0)), or expansion of ILC2 populations [\(201](#page-25-0)).

Human case-control studies trying to shed light on the role of the gut microbiome in lung cancer development face limitations such as interindividual varibalilty and many confounding factors affecting general health, especially smoking. Still, systematic evidence is in its emerging phase with many taxa identified as anti- or protumorigenic through interaction with the gut-lung axis, whose physiological elucidation is yet to be explored. Despite its revolutionary impact, the efficacy and predictive power of Immunotherapy biomarkers like PD-L1 and tumor mutational burden are limited, thus, novel, non-invasive predictive biomarkers are required. Recent focus has shifted to the intestinal microbiome's role in anti-tumor responses across cancers, including NSCLC, where cross-cohort analyses showed mixed results regarding microbial diversity and ICI response. Zhang et al. ([362\)](#page-28-0), Jin et al. [\(21\)](#page-21-0), and Lee et al. [\(353\)](#page-28-0) observed higher alpha diversity in responders, whereas Dora et al. [\(31\)](#page-21-0) and Katayama et al. ([364\)](#page-28-0) found no impact. Beta diversity showed significant differences pre- and post-treatment, but was also affected by prior chemotherapytreatment, a notable confounding factor [\(31](#page-21-0), [363](#page-28-0)). Numerous studies link microbiota to ICI efficacy, but specific taxa associations vary due to individual microbiome variability influenced by genetics, antibiotics, and diet [\(366](#page-28-0)). High Blautia and Akkermansia levels correlate with better outcomes based on cross-study evidence [\(369,](#page-28-0) [370,](#page-28-0) [372\)](#page-28-0). While the role of Firmicutes shows conflicting results [\(31,](#page-21-0) [365](#page-28-0)), Actinobacteria's negative impact and Alistipes' consistent overrepresentation in responders was confirmed by multiple independent studies ([24](#page-21-0), [31](#page-21-0), [362,](#page-28-0) [365](#page-28-0)).

Intriguingly, numerous studies present an indirect contradiction when featuring bacterial taxa associated with lung cancer/healthy state and immunotherapy-efficacy. Protumorigenic role of a certain microbe does not rule out its adjuvanticity and antigenicity during an ICI-treatment, when a robust and overboiled immune response is indispensable to reduce tumor size, even at the cost of severe ICI-related autoimmune reactions. The latter is supported by the fact that patients with ICI-toxicity exhibit increased PFS and response rates ([28](#page-21-0)). Phyla are diverse taxonomic units with potentially hundreds of different species present in the gut, therefore, should not be considered as robust clinical biomarkers. Still, the unequivocal association of Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Bifidobacteria based on casecontrol and murine studies with non-cancer vs lung cancer state ([315,](#page-27-0) [326](#page-27-0), [349](#page-28-0), [350](#page-28-0)) raises the question, how these taxa can hamper ICI-efficacy based on convincing evidence ([24](#page-21-0), [31,](#page-21-0) [365](#page-28-0))? The answer may lie within the well-known anti-inflammatory properties of these bacteria, especially Bifidobacteria, a common component of probiotics that might be related to their  $T_{reg}$ -cell modulatory function [\(376](#page-28-0)). While these bacteria suppress detrimental proinflammatory effects in the gut that can spread carcinogenic factors locally, and via an impaired gut epithelial barrier to various organ systems, they can also suppress the excitability of an a priori impaired immune system of a cancer patient during the administration of ICI therapy. While several articles reported a limited, but beneficial effect of pre- and probiotics administration on ICI outcomes [\(376](#page-28-0), [377\)](#page-28-0), others cite controversial, or nonsignificant results [\(378](#page-28-0), [379](#page-28-0)). Furthermore, introducing a high dose of ecologically prominent bacterium to the gut microbiome might completely alter its composition depending on the individual's original commensal flora, resulting in unpredictable consequences. One of the still existent limitations of gut microbiome research is the disparity between the results of 16S rRNA sequencing and Metagenomic sequencing techniques. Multiple hypothesis-driven studies focus on only one- or a homogenous group of bacteria to answer a specific research question without reporting details on the whole sequencing data, creating an inherent bias.

Looking to the future, the gut-lung axis presents intriguing opportunities for novel therapeutic strategies aimed at modulating these microbiomes to treat or prevent disease. The potential to manipulate one microbiome and achieve effects in another organ system offers a promising avenue for medical research and clinical applications. Continued advancements in non-invasive sampling and more precise microbial analysis will be critical in advancing our understanding of these complex inter-organ relationships, paving the way for breakthroughs in using the microbiome to maintain health and treat disease. This evolving field promises to uncover new layers of the gut-lung axis, potentially revolutionizing our approach to a spectrum of diseases.

### Author contributions

DD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ES: Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. VH: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AM: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. MR: Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FM: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. ZL: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. NN: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. DD was supported by the New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology of Hungary (UNKP-23-5), and by the Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences. DD also acknowledges funding from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (OTKA-PD, #142287). ZL acknowledges funding from the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office (OTKA-FK, #146775). NN was funded by Hungarian Science Foundation NKFI grant program, grant number K-138664, and by Research Excellence Program of the Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office, within the framework of the TKP2021-EGA-25 thematic program of Semmelweis University.

### References

1. Budden KF, Gellatly SL, Wood DL, Cooper MA, Morrison M, Hugenholtz P, et al. Emerging pathogenic links between microbiota and the gut-lung axis. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2017) 15:55–63. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro.2016.142](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.142)

2. Dang AT, Marsland BJ. Microbes, metabolites, and the gut-lung axis. Mucosal Immunol. (2019) 12:843–50. doi: [10.1038/s41385-019-0160-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-019-0160-6)

3. Zhang D, Li S, Wang N, Tan HY, Zhang Z, Feng Y. The cross-talk between gut microbiota and lungs in common lung diseases. Front Microbiol. (2020) 11:301. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2020.00301](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00301)

4. Enaud R, Prevel R, Ciarlo E, Beaufils F, Wieërs G, Guery B, et al. The gut-lung axis in health and respiratory diseases: A place for inter-organ and inter-kingdom crosstalks. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2020) 10:9. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2020.00009](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00009)

5. Shehata E, Parker A, Suzuki T, Swann JR, Suez J, Kroon PA, et al. Microbiomes in physiology: insights into 21st-century global medical challenges. Exp Physiol. (2022) 107:257–64. doi: [10.1113/EP090226](https://doi.org/10.1113/EP090226)

6. Nogal A, Valdes AM, Menni C. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between gut microbiota and diet in cardio-metabolic health. Gut Microbes. (2021) 13:1– 24. doi: [10.1080/19490976.2021.1897212](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1897212)

7. Kim CH. Control of lymphocyte functions by gut microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids. Cell Mol Immunol. (2021) 18:1161–71. doi: [10.1038/s41423-020-00625-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-020-00625-0)

8. Kim CH. Complex regulatory effects of gut microbial short-chain fatty acids on immune tolerance and autoimmunity. Cell Mol Immunol. (2023) 20:341–50. doi: [10.1038/s41423-023-00987-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-023-00987-1)

9. Bischoff SC, Barbara G, Buurman W, Ockhuizen T, JD S, Serino M, et al. Intestinal ermeability-a new target for disease prevention and therapy. BMC Gastroenterol. (2014) 14:189. doi: [10.1186/s12876-014-0189-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12876-014-0189-7)

10. Horowitz A, Chanez-Paredes SD, Haest X, Turner JR. Paracellular permeability and tight junction regulation in gut health and disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2023) 20:417–32. doi: [10.1038/s41575-023-00766-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-023-00766-3)

11. Kitsios GD, Yang H, Yang L, Qin S, Fitch A, Wang XH, et al. Respiratory tract dysbiosis is associated with worse outcomes in mechanically ventilated patients. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2020) 202:1666–77. doi: [10.1164/rccm.201912-2441OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201912-2441OC)

12. Chiu L, Bazin T, Truchetet ME, Schaeverbeke T, Delhaes L, Pradeu T. Protective microbiota: from localized to long-reaching co-immunity. Front Immunol. (2017) 8:1678. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2017.01678](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01678)

13. Wang L, Cai Y, Garssen J, Henricks PAJ, Folkerts G, Braber S. The bidirectional gut-lung axis in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2023) 207:1145–60. doi: [10.1164/rccm.202206-1066TR](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202206-1066TR)

14. Luo Q, Zhou P, Chang S, Huang Z, Zhu Y. The gut-lung axis: Mendelian randomization identifies a causal association between inflammatory bowel disease and interstitial lung disease. Heart Lung. (2023) 61:120–6. doi: [10.1016/j.hrtlng.2023.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2023.05.016)

15. He Y, Wen Q, Yao F, Xu D, Huang Y, Wang J. Gut-lung axis: The microbial contributions and clinical implications. Crit Rev Microbiol. (2017) 43:81–95. doi: [10.1080/1040841X.2016.1176988](https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2016.1176988)

16. Bowerman KL, Rehman SF, Vaughan A, Lachner N, Budden KF, Kim RY, et al. Disease-associated gut microbiome and metabolome changes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Nat Commun. (2020) 11:5886. doi: [10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19701-0) [020-19701-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19701-0)

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Li N, Dai Z, Wang Z, Deng Z, Zhang J, Pu J, et al. Gut microbiota dysbiosis contributes to the development of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Respir Res. (2021) 22:274. doi: [10.1186/s12931-021-01872-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-021-01872-z)

18. Qu L, Cheng Q, Wang Y, Mu H, Zhang Y. COPD and gut-lung axis: how microbiota and host inflammasome influence COPD and related therapeutics. Front Microbiol. (2022) 13:868086. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2022.868086](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.868086)

19. Sencio V, MaChado MG, Trottein F. The lung-gut axis during viral respiratory infections: the impact of gut dysbiosis on secondary disease outcomes. Mucosal Immunol. (2021) 14:296–304. doi: [10.1038/s41385-020-00361-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-020-00361-8)

20. Yeoh YK, Zuo T, Lui GC, Zhang F, Liu Q, Li AY, et al. Gut microbiota composition reflects disease severity and dysfunctional immune responses in patients with COVID-19. Gut. (2021) 70:698–706. doi: [10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323020](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-323020)

21. Jin Y, Dong H, Xia L, Yang Y, Zhu Y, Shen Y, et al. The diversity of gut microbiome is associated with favorable responses to anti-programmed death 1 immunotherapy in chinese patients with NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. (2019) 14:1378– 89. doi: [10.1016/j.jtho.2019.04.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2019.04.007)

22. Zhao Y, Liu Y, Li S, Peng Z, Liu X, Chen J, et al. Role of lung and gut microbiota on lung cancer pathogenesis. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. (2021) 147:2177–86. doi: [10.1007/s00432-021-03644-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-021-03644-0)

23. Dong Q, Chen ES, Zhao C, Jin C. Host-microbiome interaction in lung cancer. Front Immunol. (2021) 12:679829. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.679829](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.679829)

24. Routy B, Le Chatelier E, Derosa L, Duong CPM, Alou MT, Daillère R, et al. Gut microbiome influences efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy against epithelial tumors. Science. (2018) 359:91–7. doi: [10.1126/science.aan3706](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan3706)

25. Matson V, Fessler J, Bao R, Chongsuwat T, Zha Y, Alegre ML, et al. The commensal microbiome is associated with anti-PD-1 efficacy in metastatic melanoma patients. Science. (2018) 359:104–8. doi: [10.1126/science.aao3290](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao3290)

26. Gopalakrishnan V, Spencer CN, Nezi L, Reuben A, Andrews MC, Karpinets TV, et al. Gut microbiome modulates response to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in melanoma patients. Science. (2018) 359:97–103. doi: [10.1126/science.aan4236](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan4236)

27. Hakozaki T, Richard C, Elkrief A, Hosomi Y, Benlaïfaoui M, Mimpen I, et al. The gut microbiome associates with immune checkpoint inhibition outcomes in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Immunol Res. (2020) 8:1243–50. doi: [10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0196](https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0196)

28. Dora D, Bokhari SMZ, Aloss K, Takacs P, Desnoix JZ, Szklenárik G, et al. Implication of the gut microbiome and microbial-derived metabolites in immunerelated adverse events: emergence of novel biomarkers for cancer immunotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24:2769. doi: [10.3390/ijms24032769](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032769)

29. Hu M, Lin X, Sun T, Shao X, Huang X, Du W, et al. Gut microbiome for predicting immune checkpoint blockade-associated adverse events. Genome Med. (2024) 16:16. doi: [10.1186/s13073-024-01285-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-024-01285-9)

30. Park EM, Chelvanambi M, Bhutiani N, Kroemer G, Zitvogel L, Wargo JA. Targeting the gut and tumor microbiota in cancer. Nat Med. (2022) 28:690–703. doi: [10.1038/s41591-022-01779-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01779-2)

31. Dora D, Ligeti B, Kovacs T, Revisnyei P, Galffy G, Dulka E, et al. Non-small cell lung cancer patients treated with Anti-PD1 immunotherapy show distinct microbial signatures and metabolic pathways according to progression-free survival and PD-L1 status. Oncoimmunology. (2023) 12:2204746. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2023.2204746](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2023.2204746)

<span id="page-22-0"></span>32. Liu NN, Ma Q, Ge Y, Yi CX, Wei LQ, Tan JC, et al. Microbiome dysbiosis in lung cancer: from composition to therapy. NPJ Precis Oncol. (2020) 4:33. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-020-00138-z) [s41698-020-00138-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41698-020-00138-z)

33. Li Y, Wang K, Zhang Y, Yang J, Wu Y, Zhao M. Revealing a causal relationship between gut microbiota and lung cancer: a Mendelian randomization study. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2023) 13:1200299. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2023.1200299](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2023.1200299)

34. Mohseni AH, Taghinezhad S, Casolaro V, Lv Z, Li D. Potential links between the microbiota and T cell immunity determine the tumor cell fate. Cell Death Dis. (2023) 14:154. doi: [10.1038/s41419-023-05560-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-023-05560-2)

35. Zorn AM, Wells JM. Vertebrate endoderm development and organ formation. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. (2009) 25:221–51. doi: [10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113344](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.042308.113344)

36. Joshi S, Kotecha S. Lung growth and development. Early Hum Dev. (2007) 83:789–94. doi: [10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2007.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2007.09.007)

37. El Agha E, Thannickal VJ. The lung mesenchyme in development, regeneration, and fibrosis. J Clin Invest. (2023) 133:e170498. doi: [10.1172/JCI170498](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI170498)

38. Roberts DJ. Molecular mechanisms of development of the gastrointestinal tract. Dev Dyn. (2000) 219:109–20. doi: [10.1002/1097-0177\(2000\)9999:9999<::AID-](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0177(2000)9999:9999%3C::AID-DVDY1047%3E3.3.CO;2-Y)[DVDY1047>3.3.CO;2-Y](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0177(2000)9999:9999%3C::AID-DVDY1047%3E3.3.CO;2-Y)

39. Chin AM, Hill DR, Aurora M, Spence JR. Morphogenesis and maturation of the embryonic and postnatal intestine. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2017) 66:81–93. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.01.011) [j.semcdb.2017.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.01.011)

40. Miura T. Modeling lung branching morphogenesis. Curr Top Dev Biol. (2008) 81:291–310. doi: [10.1016/S0070-2153\(07\)81010-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0070-2153(07)81010-6)

41. Serls AE, Doherty S, Parvatiyar P, Wells JM, Deutsch GH. Different thresholds of fibroblast growth factors pattern the ventral foregut into liver and lung. Development. (2005) 132:35–47. doi: [10.1242/dev.01570](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01570)

42. Weaver M, Dunn NR, Hogan BL. Bmp4 and Fgf10 play opposing roles during lung bud morphogenesis. Development. (2000) 127:2695–704. doi: [10.1242/](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.12.2695) [dev.127.12.2695](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.12.2695)

43. Fernandes-Silva H, Correia-Pinto J, Moura RS. Canonical sonic hedgehog signaling in early lung development. J Dev Biol. (2017) 5:3. doi: [10.3390/jdb5010003](https://doi.org/10.3390/jdb5010003)

44. Bellusci S, Furuta Y, Rush MG, Henderson R, Winnier G, Hogan BL. Involvement of Sonic hedgehog (Shh) in mouse embryonic lung growth and morphogenesis. Development. (1997) 124:53–63. doi: [10.1242/dev](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev)

45. Litingtung Y, Lei L, Westphal H, Chiang C. Sonic hedgehog is essential to foregut development. Nat Genet. (1998) 20:58–61. doi: [10.1038/1717](https://doi.org/10.1038/1717)

46. Yin W, Liontos A, Koepke J, Ghoul M, Mazzocchi L, Liu X, et al. An essential function for autocrine hedgehog signaling in epithelial proliferation and differentiation in the trachea. Development. (2022) 149:dev199804. doi: [10.1242/dev.199804](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.199804)

47. Sukegawa A, Narita T, Kameda T, Saitoh K, Nohno T, Iba H, et al. The concentric structure of the developing gut is regulated by Sonic hedgehog derived from endodermal epithelium. Development. (2000) 127:1971–80. doi: [10.1242/](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.9.1971) [dev.127.9.1971](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.9.1971)

48. Nagy N, Goldstein AM. Enteric nervous system development: A crest cell's journey from neural tube to colon. Semin Cell Dev Biol. (2017) 66:94–106. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.01.006) [j.semcdb.2017.01.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.01.006)

49. Walton KD, Gumucio DL. Hedgehog signaling in intestinal development and homeostasis. Annu Rev Physiol. (2021) 83:359–80. doi: [10.1146/annurev-physiol-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-031620-094324)[031620-094324](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-031620-094324)

50. Kiyokawa H, Morimoto M. Notch signaling in the mammalian respiratory system, specifically the trachea and lungs, in development, homeostasis, regeneration, and disease. Dev Growth Differ. (2020) 62:67–79. doi: [10.1111/dgd.12628](https://doi.org/10.1111/dgd.12628)

51. Siebel C, Lendahl U. Notch signaling in development, tissue homeostasis, and disease. Physiol Rev. (2017) 97:1235–94. doi: [10.1152/physrev.00005.2017](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00005.2017)

52. Dang TP, Eichenberger S, Gonzalez A, Olson S, Carbone DP. Constitutive activation of Notch3 inhibits terminal epithelial differentiation in lungs of transgenic mice. *Oncogene.* (2003) 22:1988–97. doi: [10.1038/sj.onc.1206230](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1206230)

53. Guseh JS, Bores SA, Stanger BZ, Zhou Q, Anderson WJ, Melton DA, et al. Notch signaling promotes airway mucous metaplasia and inhibits alveolar development. Development. (2009) 136:1751–9. doi: [10.1242/dev.029249](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.029249)

54. Singh G, Katyal SL. An immunologic study of the secretory products of rat Clara cells. J Histochem Cytochem. (1984) 32:49–54. doi: [10.1177/32.1.6418790](https://doi.org/10.1177/32.1.6418790)

55. Tsao PN, Vasconcelos M, Izvolsky KI, Qian J, Lu J, Cardoso WV. Notch signaling controls the balance of ciliated and secretory cell fates in developing airways. Development. (2009) 136:2297–307. doi: [10.1242/dev.034884](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.034884)

56. Tsao PN, Wei SC, Wu MF, Huang MT, Lin HY, Lee MC, et al. Notch signaling prevents mucous metaplasia in mouse conducting airways during postnatal development. Development. (2011) 138:3533–43. doi: [10.1242/dev.063727](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.063727)

57. Le Guen L, Marchal S, Faure S, de Santa Barbara P. Mesenchymal-epithelial interactions during digestive tract development and epithelial stem cell regeneration. Cell Mol Life Sci. (2015) 72:3883–96. doi: [10.1007/s00018-015-1975-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-015-1975-2)

58. Hageman JH, Heinz MC, Kretzschmar K, van der Vaart J, Clevers H, Snippert HJG. Intestinal regeneration: regulation by the microenvironment. Dev Cell. (2020) 54:435–46. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2020.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2020.07.009)

59. Tsai YH, Wu A, Wu JH, Capeling MM, Holloway EM, Huang S, et al. Acquisition of NOTCH dependence is a hallmark of human intestinal stem cell maturation. Stem Cell Rep. (2022) 17:1138–53. doi: [10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2022.03.007)

60. van Es JH, van Gijn ME, Riccio O, van den Born M, Vooijs M, Begthel H, et al. Notch/gamma-secretase inhibition turns proliferative cells in intestinal crypts and adenomas into goblet cells. Nature. (2005) 435:959–63. doi: [10.1038/nature03659](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03659)

61. Collins M, Michot JM, Bellanger C, Mussini C, Benhadji K, Massard C, et al. Notch inhibitors induce diarrhea, hypercrinia and secretory cell metaplasia in the human colon. EXCLI J. (2021) 20:819–27. doi: [10.17179/excli2021-3572](https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2021-3572)

62. Burns AJ, Thapar N, Barlow AJ. Development of the neural crest-derived intrinsic innervation of the human lung. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. (2008) 38:269– 75. doi: [10.1165/rcmb.2007-0246OC](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2007-0246OC)

63. Freem LJ, Escot S, Tannahill D, Druckenbrod NR, Thapar N, Burns AJ. The intrinsic innervation of the lung is derived from neural crest cells as shown by optical projection tomography in Wnt1-Cre;YFP reporter mice. J Anat. (2010) 217:651–64. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01295.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01295.x)

64. Mueller JL, Goldstein AM. The science of Hirschsprung disease: What we know and where we are headed. Semin Pediatr Surg. (2022) 31:151157. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sempedsurg.2022.151157) [j.sempedsurg.2022.151157](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sempedsurg.2022.151157)

65. de Pontual L, Pelet A, Trochet D, Jaubert F, Espinosa-Parrilla Y, Munnich A, et al. Mutations of the RET gene in isolated and syndromic Hirschsprung's disease in human disclose major and modifier alleles at a single locus. J Med Genet. (2006) 43:419–23. doi: [10.1136/jmg.2005.040113](https://doi.org/10.1136/jmg.2005.040113)

66. Fitze G, König IR, Paditz E, Serra A, Schläfke M, Roesner D, et al. Compound effect of PHOX2B and RET gene variants in congenital central hypoventilation<br>syndrome combined with Hirschsprung disease. Am J Med Genet A. (2008) 146A:1486–9. doi: [10.1002/ajmg.a.32300](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.32300)

67. Castinetti F, Moley J, Mulligan L, Waguespack SG. A comprehensive review on MEN2B. Endocr Relat Cancer. (2018) 25:T29–39. doi: [10.1530/ERC-17-0209](https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-17-0209)

68. Vereecke L, Beyaert R, van Loo G. Enterocyte death and intestinal barrier maintenance in homeostasis and disease. Trends Mol Med. (2011) 17:584–93. doi: [10.1016/j.molmed.2011.05.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmed.2011.05.011)

69. Mowat AM, Agace WW. Regional specialization within the intestinal immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. (2014) 14:667–85. doi: [10.1038/nri3738](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3738)

70. Davis JD, Wypych TP. Cellular and functional heterogeneity of the airway epithelium. Mucosal Immunol. (2021) 14:978–90. doi: [10.1038/s41385-020-00370-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-020-00370-7)

71. Travaglini KJ, Nabhan AN, Penland L, Sinha R, Gillich A, Sit RV, et al. A molecular cell atlas of the human lung from single-cell RNA sequencing. Nature. (2020) 587:619–25. doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2922-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2922-4)

72. Wang Y, Song W, Wang J, Wang T, Xiong X, Qi Z, et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals differential nutrient absorption functions in human intestine. J Exp Med. (2020) 217:e20191130. doi: [10.1084/jem.20191130](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20191130)

73. O'Leary CE, Schneider C, Locksley RM. Tuft cells-systemically dispersed sensory epithelia integrating immune and neural circuitry. Annu Rev Immunol. (2019) 37:47– 72. doi: [10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041505](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041505)

74. Branchfield K, Nantie L, Verheyden JM, Sui P, Wienhold MD, Sun X. Pulmonary neuroendocrine cells function as airway sensors to control lung immune response. Science. (2016) 351:707–10. doi: [10.1126/science.aad7969](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad7969)

75. Jansen A, Hoepfner M, Herzig KH, Riecken EO, Scherübl H. GABA(C) receptors in neuroendocrine gut cells: a new GABA-binding site in the gut. Pflugers Arch. (2000) 441:294–300. doi: [10.1007/s004240000412](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004240000412)

76. Belvisi MG, Stretton CD, Barnes PJ. Bombesin-induced bronchoconstriction in the Guinea pig: mode of action. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. (1991) 258:36–41.

77. Gribble FM, Reimann F. Function and mechanisms of enteroendocrine cells and gut hormones in metabolism. Nat Rev Endocrinol. (2019) 15:226–37. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0168-8) [s41574-019-0168-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41574-019-0168-8)

78. Miedzybrodzka EL, Reimann F, Gribble FM. The enteroendocrine system in obesity. Handb Exp Pharmacol. (2022) 274:109–29. doi: [10.1007/164\\_2022\\_582](https://doi.org/10.1007/164_2022_582)

79. Boers JE, Ambergen AW, Thunnissen FB. Number and proliferation of clara cells in normal human airway epithelium. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (1999) 159:1585– 91. doi: [10.1164/ajrccm.159.5.9806044](https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.159.5.9806044)

80. Massaro GD, Singh G, Mason R, Plopper CG, Malkinson AM, Gail DB. Biology of the clara cell. Am J Physiol. (1994) 266:L101–6. doi: [10.1152/ajplung.1994.266.1.L101](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.1994.266.1.L101)

81. Rokicki W, Rokicki M, Wojtacha J, Dżeljijli A. The role and importance of club cells (Clara cells) in the pathogenesis of some respiratory diseases. Kardiochir Torakochirurgia Pol. (2016) 13:26–30. doi: [10.5114/kitp.2016.58961](https://doi.org/10.5114/kitp.2016.58961)

82. Plasschaert LW, Ž ilionis R, Choo-Wing R, Savova V, Knehr J, Roma G, et al. A single-cell atlas of the airway epithelium reveals the CFTR-rich pulmonary ionocyte. Nature. (2018) 560:377–81. doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0394-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0394-6)

83. Liu X, Nagy P, Bonfini A, Houtz P, Bing XL, Yang X, et al. Microbes affect gut epithelial cell composition through immune-dependent regulation of intestinal stem cell differentiation. Cell Rep. (2022) 38:110572. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110572](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110572)

84. Kim JE, Li B, Fei L, Horne R, Lee D, Loe AK, et al. Gut microbiota promotes stem cell differentiation through macrophage and mesenchymal niches in early postnatal development. Immunity. (2022) 55:2300–17. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2022.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2022.11.003)

85. Thomson CA, Nibbs RJ, McCoy KD, Mowat AM. Immunological roles of intestinal mesenchymal cells. Immunology. (2020) 160:313–24. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13191) [imm.13191](https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13191)

86. Ivanov II, Frutos Rde L, Manel N, Yoshinaga K, Rifkin DB, Sartor RB, et al. Specific microbiota direct the differentiation of IL-17-producing T-helper cells in the <span id="page-23-0"></span>mucosa of the small intestine. Cell Host Microbe. (2008) 4:337–49. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.09.009) [j.chom.2008.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2008.09.009)

87. McDermott AJ, Huffnagle GB. The microbiome and regulation of mucosal immunity. Immunology. (2014) 142:24–31. doi: [10.1111/imm.12231](https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12231)

88. Powell DW, Pinchuk IV, Saada JI, Chen X, Mifflin RC. Mesenchymal cells of the intestinal lamina propria. Annu Rev Physiol. (2011) 73:213–37. doi: [10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.70.113006.100646) [annurev.physiol.70.113006.100646](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physiol.70.113006.100646)

89. Mazzone SB, Tian L, Moe AAK, Trewella MW, Ritchie ME, McGovern AE. Transcriptional profiling of individual airway projecting vagal sensory neurons. Mol Neurobiol. (2020) 57:949–63. doi: [10.1007/s12035-019-01782-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-019-01782-8)

90. Su Y, Barr J, Jaquish A, Xu J, Verheyden JM, Sun X. Identification of lung innervating sensory neurons and their target specificity. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. (2022) 322:L50–63. doi: [10.1152/ajplung.00376.2021](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajplung.00376.2021)

91. Sparrow MP, Weichselbaum M, McCray PB. Development of the innervation and airway smooth muscle in human fetal lung. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. (1999) 20:550–60. doi: [10.1165/ajrcmb.20.4.3385](https://doi.org/10.1165/ajrcmb.20.4.3385)

92. Audrit KJ, Delventhal L, Aydin Ö, Nassenstein C. The nervous system of airways and its remodeling in inflammatory lung diseases. Cell Tissue Res. (2017) 367:571–90. doi: [10.1007/s00441-016-2559-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-016-2559-7)

93. Mazzone SB, Undem BJ. Vagal afferent innervation of the airways in health and disease. Physiol Rev. (2016) 96:975–1024. doi: [10.1152/physrev.00039.2015](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00039.2015)

94. Brookes SJ, Spencer NJ, Costa M, Zagorodnyuk VP. Extrinsic primary afferent signalling in the gut. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2013) 10:286–96. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2013.29) [nrgastro.2013.29](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2013.29)

95. Mogor F, Kovács T, Lohinai Z, Dora D. The enteric nervous system and the microenvironment of the gut: the translational aspects of the microbiome-gut-brain axis. Appl Sci. (2021) 11:12000. doi: [10.3390/app112412000](https://doi.org/10.3390/app112412000)

96. Hamnett R, Dershowitz LB, Sampathkumar V, Wang Z, Gomez-Frittelli J, De Andrade V, et al. Regional cytoarchitecture of the adult and developing mouse enteric nervous system. Curr Biol. (2022) 32:4483–92. doi: [10.1016/j.cub.2022.08.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.08.030)

97. Browning KN, Travagli RA. Central nervous system control of gastrointestinal motility and secretion and modulation of gastrointestinal functions. Compr Physiol. (2014) 4:1339–68. doi: [10.1002/cphy.c130055](https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c130055)

98. Niu X, Liu L, Wang T, Chuan X, Yu Q, Du M, et al. Mapping of extrinsic innervation of the gastrointestinal tract in the mouse embryo. *J* Neurosci. (2020) 40:6691–708. doi: [10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0309-20.2020](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0309-20.2020)

99. Spencer NJ, Hu H. Enteric nervous system: sensory transduction, neural circuits and gastrointestinal motility. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2020) 17:338–51. doi: [10.1038/s41575-020-0271-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41575-020-0271-2)

100. Hooper LV, Macpherson AJ. Immune adaptations that maintain homeostasis with the intestinal microbiota. Nat Rev Immunol. (2010) 10:159–69. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2710) [nri2710](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2710)

101. Eberl G. From induced to programmed lymphoid tissues: the long road to preempt pathogens. Trends Immunol. (2007) 28:423–8. doi: [10.1016/j.it.2007.07.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2007.07.009)

102. Eberl G. Inducible lymphoid tissues in the adult gut: recapitulation of a fetal developmental pathway? Nat Rev Immunol. (2005) 5:413–20. doi: [10.1038/nri1600](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1600)

103. Randall TD, Mebius RE. The development and function of mucosal lymphoid tissues: a balancing act with micro-organisms. Mucosal Immunol. (2014) 7:455–66. doi: [10.1038/mi.2014.11](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2014.11)

104. van de Pavert SA. Lymphoid Tissue inducer (LTi) cell ontogeny and functioning in embryo and adult. BioMed J. (2021) 44:123–32. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2020.12.003) [j.bj.2020.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2020.12.003)

105. Vivier E, Artis D, Colonna M, Diefenbach A, Di Santo JP, Eberl G, et al. Innate lymphoid cells: 10 years on. Cell. (2018) 174:1054–66. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.07.017)

106. Cherrier M, Sawa S, Eberl G. Notch, Id2, and ROR $\gamma$ t sequentially orchestrate the fetal development of lymphoid tissue inducer cells. *J Exp Med*. (2012) 209:729–40.<br>doi: [10.1084/jem.20111594](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20111594)

107. Eberl G, Marmon S, Sunshine MJ, Rennert PD, Choi Y, Littman DR. An essential function for the nuclear receptor RORgamma(t) in the generation of fetal lymphoid tissue inducer cells. Nat Immunol. (2004) 5:64–73. doi: [10.1038/ni1022](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1022)

108. Kurebayashi S, Ueda E, Sakaue M, Patel DD, Medvedev A, Zhang F, et al. Retinoid-related orphan receptor gamma (RORgamma) is essential for lymphoid organogenesis and controls apoptosis during thymopoiesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2000) 97:10132–7. doi: [10.1073/pnas.97.18.10132](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.18.10132)

109. Adachi S, Yoshida H, Kataoka H, Nishikawa S. Three distinctive steps in Peyer's patch formation of murine embryo. Int Immunol. (1997) 9:507–14. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/9.4.507) [intimm/9.4.507](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/9.4.507)

110. Veiga-Fernandes H, Coles MC, Foster KE, Patel A, Williams A, Natarajan D, et al. Tyrosine kinase receptor RET is a key regulator of Peyer's patch organogenesis. Nature. (2007) 446:547–51. doi: [10.1038/nature05597](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05597)

111. Adachi S, Yoshida H, Honda K, Maki K, Saijo K, Ikuta K, et al. Essential role of IL-7 receptor alpha in the formation of Peyer's patch anlage. Int Immunol. (1998) 10:1– 6. doi: [10.1093/intimm/10.1.1](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/10.1.1)

112. Patel A, Harker N, Moreira-Santos L, Ferreira M, Alden K, Timmis J, et al. Differential RET signaling pathways drive development of the enteric lymphoid and nervous systems. Sci Signal. (2012) 5:ra55. doi: [10.1126/scisignal.2002734](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2002734)

113. Yoshida H, Honda K, Shinkura R, Adachi S, Nishikawa S, Maki K, et al. IL-7 receptor alpha+ CD3(-) cells in the embryonic intestine induces the organizing center of Peyer's patches. Int Immunol. (1999) 11:643–55. doi: [10.1093/intimm/11.5.643](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/11.5.643)

114. Dejardin E, Droin NM, Delhase M, Haas E, Cao Y, Makris C, et al. The lymphotoxin-beta receptor induces different patterns of gene expression via two NF-kappaB pathways. Immunity. (2002) 17:525–35. doi: [10.1016/s1074-7613\(02\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613(02)00423-5)  $00423 -$ 

115. Vondenhoff MF, Greuter M, Goverse G, Elewaut D, Dewint P, Ware CF, et al. LTbetaR signaling induces cytokine expression and up-regulates lymphangiogenic factors in lymph node anlagen. J Immunol. (2009) 182:5439–45. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0801165) [jimmunol.0801165](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0801165)

116. Kanamori Y, Ishimaru K, Nanno M, Maki K, Ikuta K, Nariuchi H, et al. Identification of novel lymphoid tissues in murine intestinal mucosa where clusters of c-kit+ IL-7R+ Thy1+ lympho-hemopoietic progenitors develop. J Exp Med. (1996) 184:1449–59. doi: [10.1084/jem.184.4.1449](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.184.4.1449)

117. Agace WW, McCoy KD. Regionalized development and maintenance of the intestinal adaptive immune landscape. Immunity. (2017) 46:532–48. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.004) [j.immuni.2017.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.04.004)

118. Tsuji M, Suzuki K, Kitamura H, Maruya M, Kinoshita K, Ivanov II, et al. Requirement for lymphoid tissue-inducer cells in isolated follicle formation and T cellindependent immunoglobulin A generation in the gut. Immunity. (2008) 29:261–71. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2008.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2008.05.014)

119. Russler-Germain EV, Rengarajan S, Hsieh CS. Antigen-specific regulatory Tcell responses to intestinal microbiota. Mucosal Immunol. (2017) 10:1375–86. doi: [10.1038/mi.2017.65](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2017.65)

120. Cheng HW, Mörbe U, Lütge M, Engetschwiler C, Onder L, Novkovic M, et al. Intestinal fibroblastic reticular cell niches control innate lymphoid cell homeostasis and function. Nat Commun. (2022) 13:2027. doi: [10.1038/s41467-022-29734-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-29734-2)

121. Kinchen J, Chen HH, Parikh K, Antanaviciute A, Jagielowicz M, Fawkner-Corbett D, et al. Structural remodeling of the human colonic mesenchyme in inflammatory bowel disease. Cell. (2018) 175:372–86. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.08.067)

122. Sato Y, Silina K, van den Broek M, Hirahara K, Yanagita M. The roles of tertiary lymphoid structures in chronic diseases. Nat Rev Nephrol. (2023) 19:525–37. doi: [10.1038/s41581-023-00706-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-023-00706-z)

123. Sautès-Fridman C, Petitprez F, Calderaro J, Fridman WH. Tertiary lymphoid structures in the era of cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Cancer. (2019) 19:307–25. doi: [10.1038/s41568-019-0144-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-019-0144-6)

124. Becht E, de Reyniès A, Giraldo NA, Pilati C, Buttard B, Lacroix L, et al. Immune and stromal classification of colorectal cancer is associated with molecular subtypes and relevant for precision immunotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. (2016) 22:4057-66. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2879](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2879)

125. Engelhard VH, Rodriguez AB, Mauldin IS, Woods AN, Peske JD, Slingluff CL Jr. Immune cell infiltration and tertiary lymphoid structures as determinants of antitumor immunity. J Immunol. (2018) 200:432–42. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1701269](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1701269)

126. Hiraoka N, Ino Y, Yamazaki-Itoh R, Kanai Y, Kosuge T, Shimada K. Intratumoral tertiary lymphoid organ is a favourable prognosticator in patients with pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer. (2015) 112:1782–90. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2015.145](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2015.145)

127. Zhang Q, Wu S. Tertiary lymphoid structures are critical for cancer prognosis and therapeutic response. Front Immunol. (2023) 13:1063711. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1063711) fi[mmu.2022.1063711](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1063711)

128. Harmsen A, Kusser K, Hartson L, Tighe M, Sunshine MJ, Sedgwick JD, et al. Cutting edge: organogenesis of nasal-associated lymphoid tissue (NALT) occurs independently of lymphotoxin-alpha (LT alpha) and retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor-gamma, but the organization of NALT is LT alpha dependent. J Immunol. (2002) 168:986–90. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.168.3.986](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.168.3.986)

129. Marin ND, Dunlap MD, Kaushal D, Khader SA. Friend or foe: the protective and pathological roles of inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue in pulmonary diseases. J Immunol. (2019) 202:2519–26. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1801135](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1801135)

130. Barone F, Nayar S, Campos J, Cloake T, Withers DR, Toellner KM, et al. IL-22 regulates lymphoid chemokine production and assembly of tertiary lymphoid organs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2015) 112:11024–9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1503315112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503315112)

131. Halle S, Dujardin HC, Bakocevic N, Fleige H, Danzer H, Willenzon S, et al. Induced bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue serves as a general priming site for T cells and is maintained by dendritic cells. J Exp Med. (2009) 206:2593–601. doi: [10.1084/](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20091472) [jem.20091472](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20091472)

132. Eddens T, Elsegeiny W, Garcia-Hernadez ML, Castillo P, Trevejo-Nunez G, Serody K, et al. Pneumocystis-driven inducible bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue formation requires th2 and th17 immunity. Cell Rep. (2017) 18:3078–90. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.016) [j.celrep.2017.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.03.016)

133. Gao X, Liu C, Wang S. Mucosal immune responses in the lung during respiratory infection: The organization and regulation of iBALT structure. hLife. (2023) 1:71–82. doi: [10.1016/j.hlife.2023.09.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlife.2023.09.001)

134. Carrega P, Loiacono F, Di Carlo E, Scaramuccia E, Mora M, Conte R, et al. NCR (+)ILC3 concentrate in human lung cancer and associate with intratumoral lymphoid structures. Nat Commun. (2015) 6:8280. doi: [10.1038/ncomms9280](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9280)

135. von Gaudecker B, Müller-Hermelink HK. The development of the human tonsilla palatina. Cell Tissue Res. (1982) 224:579–600. doi: [10.1007/BF00213754](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00213754)

<span id="page-24-0"></span>136. Rangel-Moreno J, Carragher D, Randall TD. Role of lymphotoxin and homeostatic chemokines in the development and function of local lymphoid tissues in the respiratory tract. Immunologia. (2007) 26:1328.

137. Fukuyama S, Hiroi T, Yokota Y, Rennert PD, Yanagita M, Kinoshita N, et al. Initiation of NALT organogenesis is independent of the IL-7R, LTbetaR, and NIK signaling pathways but requires the Id2 gene and CD3(-)CD4(1)CD45(1) cells. Immunity. (2002) 17:3140. doi: [10.1016/S1074-7613\(02\)00339-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(02)00339-4)

138. Borie R, Wislez M, Antoine M, Copie-Bergman C, Thieblemont C, Cadranel J. Pulmonary mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma revisited. Eur Respir J. (2016) 47:1244–60. doi: [10.1183/13993003.01701-2015](https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.01701-2015)

139. Fang P, Li X, Dai J, Cole L, Camacho JA, Zhang Y, et al. Immune cell subset differentiation and tissue inflammation. J Hematol Oncol. (2018) 11:97. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0637-x) [s13045-018-0637-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0637-x)

140. Terahara K, Yoshida M, Igarashi O, Nochi T, Pontes GS, Hase K, et al. Comprehensive gene expression profiling of Peyer's patch M cells, villous M-like cells, and intestinal epithelial cells. J Immunol. (2008) 180:7840–6. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.12.7840) [jimmunol.180.12.7840](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.12.7840)

141. Suzuki H, Sekine S, Kataoka K, Pascual DW, Maddaloni M, Kobayashi R, et al. Ovalbumin-protein sigma 1 M-cell targeting facilitates oral tolerance with reduction of antigen-specific CD4+ T cells. Gastroenterology. (2008) 135:917–25. doi: [10.1053/](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.05.037) [j.gastro.2008.05.037](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2008.05.037)

142. Campbell DJ, Butcher EC. Rapid acquisition of tissue-specific homing phenotypes by CD4(+) T cells activated in cutaneous or mucosal lymphoid tissues. J  $Exp Med. (2002) 195:135-41.$  doi: [10.1084/jem.20011502](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20011502)

143. Davies LC, Rosas M, Jenkins SJ, Liao CT, Scurr MJ, Brombacher F, et al. Distinct bone marrow-derived and tissue-resident macrophage lineages proliferate at key stages during inflammation. Nat Commun. (2013) 4:1886. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2877) [ncomms2877](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2877)

144. Epelman S, Lavine KJ, Randolph GJ. Origin and functions of tissue macrophages. Immunity. (2014) 41:21–35. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.013)

145. Hoeffel G, Ginhoux F. Ontogeny of tissue-resident macrophages. Front Immunol. (2015) :486. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2015.00486](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00486)

146. Alliot F, Godin I, Pessac B. Microglia derive from progenitors, originating from the yolk sac, and which proliferate in the brain. Brain Res Dev Brain Res. (1999) 117:145–52. doi: [10.1016/s0165-3806\(99\)00113-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-3806(99)00113-3)

147. Zigmond E, Varol C, Farache J, Elmaliah E, Satpathy AT, Friedlander G, et al. Ly6C hi monocytes in the inflamed colon give rise to proinflammatory effector cells and migratory antigen-presenting cells. Immunity. (2012) 37:1076–90. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.026) [j.immuni.2012.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.026)

148. Muller PA, Koscsó B, Rajani GM, Stevanovic K, Berres ML, Hashimoto D, et al. Crosstalk between muscularis macrophages and enteric neurons regulates gastrointestinal motility. Cell. (2014) 158:300–13. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.050)

149. Dora D, Arciero E, Hotta R, Barad C, Bhave S, Kovacs T, et al. Intraganglionic macrophages: a new population of cells in the enteric ganglia. J Anat. (2018) 233:401– 10. doi: [10.1111/joa.12863](https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.12863)

150. Dora D, Ferenczi S, Stavely R, Toth VE, Varga ZV, Kovacs T, et al. Evidence of a myenteric plexus barrier and its macrophage-dependent degradation during murine colitis: implications in enteric neuroinflammation. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2021) 12:1617–41. doi: [10.1016/j.jcmgh.2021.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2021.07.003)

151. Bain CC, Schridde A. Origin, differentiation, and function of intestinal macrophages. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:2733. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2018.02733](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02733)

152. Guilliams M, De Kleer I, Henri S, Post S, Vanhoutte L, De Prijck S, et al. Alveolar macrophages develop from fetal monocytes that differentiate into long-lived cells in the first week of life via GM-CSF. J Exp Med. (2013) 210:1977–92. doi: [10.1084/](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20131199) [jem.20131199](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20131199)

153. Rescigno M. Dendritic cells in tolerance induction for the treatment of autoimmune diseases. Eur J Immunol. (2010) 40:2119–23. doi: [10.1002/eji.201040474](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201040474)

154. Flores-Langarica A, Müller Luda K, Persson EK, Cook CN, Bobat S, Marshall JL, et al. CD103+CD11b+ mucosal classical dendritic cells initiate long-term switched antibody responses to flagellin. Mucosal Immunol. (2018) 11:681–92. doi: [10.1038/mi.2017.105](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2017.105)

155. Atif SM, Uematsu S, Akira S, McSorley SJ. CD103-CD11b+ dendritic cells regulate the sensitivity of CD4 T-cell responses to bacterial flagellin. Mucosal Immunol. (2014) 7:68–77. doi: [10.1038/mi.2013.25](https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2013.25)

156. Stagg AJ. Intestinal dendritic cells in health and gut inflammation. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:2883. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2018.02883](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02883)

157. Iwata M, Hirakiyama A, Eshima Y, Kagechika H, Kato C, Song SY. Retinoic acid imprints gut-homing specificity on T cells. Immunity. (2004) 21:527–38. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2004.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2004.08.011)

158. Odell ID, Steach H, Gauld SB, Reinke-Breen L, Karman J, Carr TL, et al. Epiregulin is a dendritic cell-derived EGFR ligand that maintains skin and lung fibrosis. Sci Immunol. (2022) 7:eabq6691. doi: [10.1126/sciimmunol.abq6691](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abq6691)

159. Lin CC, Chang TY, Lu YC, Wu YS, Huang W, Lo WC, et al. TREM-2 mediates dendritic cell-induced NO to suppress Th17 activation and ameliorate chronic kidney diseases. J Mol Med (Berl). (2022) 100:917–31. doi: [10.1007/s00109-022-02201-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-022-02201-7)

160. Ginhoux F, Guilliams M, Naik SH. Editorial: dendritic cell and macrophage nomenclature and classification. Front Immunol. (2016) 7:168. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00168) fi[mmu.2016.00168](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2016.00168)

161. Randolph GJ, Jakubzick C, Qu C. Antigen presentation by monocytes and monocyte-derived cells. Curr Opin Immunol. (2008) 20:52-60. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2007.10.010) [j.coi.2007.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2007.10.010)

162. León B, López-Bravo M, Ardavín C. Monocyte-derived dendritic cells formed at the infection site control the induction of protective T helper 1 responses against Leishmania. Immunity. (2007) 26:519–31. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2007.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2007.01.017)

163. Shortman K, Naik SH. Steady-state and inflammatory dendritic-cell development. Nat Rev Immunol. (2007) 7:19–30. doi: [10.1038/nri1996](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri1996)

164. Sathe P, Metcalf D, Vremec D, Naik SH, Langdon WY, Huntington ND, et al. Lymphoid tissue and plasmacytoid dendritic cells and macrophages do not share a common macrophage-dendritic cell-restricted progenitor. Immunity. (2014) 41:104-15. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.020)

165. Naik SH, Metcalf D, van Nieuwenhuijze A, Wicks I, Wu L, O'Keeffe M, et al. Intrasplenic steady-state dendritic cell precursors that are distinct from monocytes. Nat Immunol. (2006) 7:663–71. doi: [10.1038/ni1340](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1340)

166. Plantinga M, Guilliams M, Vanheerswynghels M, Deswarte K, Branco-Madeira F, Toussaint W, et al. Conventional and monocyte-derived CD11b(+) dendritic cells initiate and maintain T helper 2 cell-mediated immunity to house dust mite allergen. Immunity. (2013) 38:322–35. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2012.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2012.10.016)

167. Ginhoux F, Liu K, Helft J, Bogunovic M, Greter M, Hashimoto D, et al. The origin and development of nonlymphoid tissue CD103+ DCs. J Exp Med. (2009) 206:3115–30. doi: [10.1084/jem.20091756](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20091756)

168. Colonna M. Innate lymphoid cells: diversity, plasticity, and unique functions in immunity. Immunity. (2018) 48:1104–17. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2018.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.05.013)

169. Fan H, Wang A, Wang Y, Sun Y, Han J, Chen W, et al. Innate lymphoid cells: regulators of gut barrier function and immune homeostasis. J Immunol Res. (2019) 2019:2525984. doi: [10.1155/2019/2525984](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2525984)

170. Zhou W, Zhou L, Zhou J, JRI Live Cell Bank , Chu C, Zhang C, et al. ZBTB46 defines and regulates ILC3s that protect the intestine. Nature. (2022) 609:159–65. doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-04934-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04934-4)

171. Castleman MJ, Dillon SM, Purba CM, Cogswell AC, Kibbie JJ, McCarter MD, et al. Commensal and Pathogenic Bacteria Indirectly Induce IL-22 but Not IFNg Production From Human Colonic ILC3s via Multiple Mechanisms. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:649. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.00649](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00649)

172. Li M, Wang Z, Jiang W, Lu Y, Zhang J. The role of group 3 innate lymphoid cell in intestinal disease. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1171826. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1171826) fi[mmu.2023.1171826](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1171826)

173. Klein Wolterink RG, Kleinjan A, van Nimwegen M, Bergen I, de Bruijn M, Levani Y, et al. Pulmonary innate lymphoid cells are major producers of IL-5 and IL-13 in murine models of allergic asthma. Eur J Immunol. (2012) 42:1106–16. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201142018) [eji.201142018](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201142018)

174. Halim TY, Krauss RH, Sun AC, Takei F. Lung natural helper cells are a critical source of Th2 cell-type cytokines in protease allergen-induced airway inflammation. Immunity. (2012) 36:451–63. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2011.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.12.020)

175. Halim TY, Hwang YY, Scanlon ST, Zaghouani H, Garbi N, Fallon PG, et al. Group 2 innate lymphoid cells license dendritic cells to potentiate memory TH2 cell responses. Nat Immunol. (2016) 17:57–64. doi: [10.1038/ni.3294](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3294)

176. Kumar RK, Foster PS, Rosenberg HF. Respiratory viral infection, epithelial cytokines, and innate lymphoid cells in asthma exacerbations. J Leukoc Biol. (2014) 96:391–6. doi: [10.1189/jlb.3RI0314-129R](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.3RI0314-129R)

177. Monticelli LA, Sonnenberg GF, Abt MC, Alenghat T, Ziegler CG, Doering TA, et al. Innate lymphoid cells promote lung-tissue homeostasis after infection with influenza virus. Nat Immunol. (2011) 12:1045–54. doi: [10.1038/ni.2131](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2131)

178. Weizman OE, Adams NM, Schuster IS, Krishna C, Pritykin Y, Lau C, et al. ILC1 confer early host protection at initial sites of viral infection. Cell. (2017) 171:795– 808.e12. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.052)

179. Vashist N, Trittel S, Ebensen T, Chambers BJ, Guzmán CA, Riese P. Influenzaactivated ILC1s contribute to antiviral immunity partially influenced by differential GITR expression. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:505. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00505) fi[mmu.2018.00505](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00505)

180. Yang D, Guo X, Huang T, Liu C. The role of group 3 innate lymphoid cells in lung infection and immunity. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2021) 11:586471. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2021.586471](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.586471)

181. Corral D, Charton A, Krauss MZ, Blanquart E, Levillain F, Lefrançais E, et al. ILC precursors differentiate into metabolically distinct ILC1-like cells during Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Cell Rep. (2022) 39:110715. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110715) [j.celrep.2022.110715](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110715)

182. Das S, Chauhan KS, Ahmed M, Akter S, Lu L, Colonna M, et al. Lung type 3 innate lymphoid cells respond early following Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. mBio. (2024) 15:e0329923. doi: [10.1128/mbio.03299-23](https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.03299-23)

183. Chien YH, Meyer C, Bonneville M.  $\gamma\delta$  T cells: first line of defense and beyond. Annu Rev Immunol. (2014) 32:121–55. doi: [10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120216](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120216)

184. Mensurado S, Blanco-Domínguez R, Silva-Santos B. The emerging roles of  $\gamma \delta$  T cells in cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2023) 20:178–91. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-022-00722-1) [s41571-022-00722-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-022-00722-1)

185. McCarthy NE, Eberl M. Human  $\gamma\delta$  T-cell control of mucosal immunity and inflammation. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:985. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2018.00985](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00985)

<span id="page-25-0"></span>186. Li GQ, Xia J, Zeng W, Luo W, Liu L, Zeng X, et al. The intestinal  $\gamma\delta$  T cells: functions in the gut and in the distant organs. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1206299. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1206299](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1206299)

187. Rezende RM, Cox LM, Moreira TG, Liu S, Boulenouar S, Dhang F, et al. Gamma-delta T cells modulate the microbiota and fecal micro-RNAs to maintain mucosal tolerance. Microbiome. (2023) 11:32. doi: [10.1186/s40168-023-01478-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01478-1)

188. Reis BS, Darcy PW, Khan IZ, Moon CS, Kornberg AE, Schneider VS, et al. TCR-V $\gamma\delta$  usage distinguishes protumor from antitumor intestinal  $\gamma\delta$  T cell subsets. Science. (2022) 377:276–84. doi: [10.1126/science.abj8695](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abj8695)

189. Born WK, Lahn M, Takeda K, Kanehiro A, O'Brien RL, Gelfand EW. Role of gammadelta T cells in protecting normal airway function. Respir Res. (2000) 1:151–8. doi: [10.1186/rr26](https://doi.org/10.1186/rr26)

190. Murdoch JR, Gregory LG, Lloyd CM. γδT cells regulate chronic airway inflammation and development of airway remodelling. Clin Exp Allergy. (2014) 44:1386–98. doi: [10.1111/cea.12395](https://doi.org/10.1111/cea.12395)

191. Cheng M, Hu S. Lung-resident gd T cells and their roles in lung diseases. Immunology. (2017) 151:375–84. doi: [10.1111/imm.12764](https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12764)

192. Wu Y, Biswas D, Usaite I, Angelova M, Boeing S, Karasaki T, et al. A local human V $\delta$ 1 T cell population is associated with survival in nonsmall-cell lung cancer. Nat Cancer. (2022) 3:696–709. doi: [10.1038/s43018-022-00376-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-022-00376-z)

193. Ruane D, Brane L, Reis BS, Cheong C, Poles J, Do Y, et al. Lung dendritic cells induce migration of protective T cells to the gastrointestinal tract. J Exp Med. (2013) 210:1871–88. doi: [10.1084/jem.20122762](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20122762)

194. Iwamura C, Bouladoux N, Belkaid Y, Sher A, Jankovic D. Sensing of the microbiota by NOD1 in mesenchymal stromal cells regulates murine hematopoiesis. Blood. (2017) 129:171–6. doi: [10.1182/blood-2016-06-723742](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-06-723742)

195. Lee S, Kim H, You G, Kim YM, Lee S, Le VH, et al. Bone marrow CX3CR1+ mononuclear cells relay a systemic microbiota signal to control hematopoietic progenitors in mice. Blood. (2019) 134:1312–22. doi: [10.1182/blood.2019000495](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019000495)

196. Lee YS, Kim TY, Kim Y, Kim S, Lee SH, Seo SU, et al. Microbiota-derived lactate promotes hematopoiesis and erythropoiesis by inducing stem cell factor production from leptin receptor+ niche cells. Exp Mol Med. (2021) 53:1319–31. doi: [10.1038/s12276-021-00667-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-021-00667-y)

197. Trompette A, Gollwitzer ES, Yadava K, Sichelstiel AK, Sprenger N, Ngom-Bru C, et al. Gut microbiota metabolism of dietary fiber influences allergic airway disease and hematopoiesis. Nat Med. (2014) 20:159–66. doi: [10.1038/nm.3444](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3444)

198. Hill DA, Siracusa MC, Abt MC, Kim BS, Kobuley D, Kubo M, et al. Commensal bacteria-derived signals regulate basophil hematopoiesis and allergic inflammation. Nat Med. (2012) 18:538–46. doi: [10.1038/nm.2657](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2657)

199. Balmer ML, Schürch CM, Saito Y, Geuking MB, Li H, Cuenca M, et al. Microbiota-derived compounds drive steady-state granulopoiesis via MyD88/TICAM signaling. J Immunol. (2014) 193:5273–83. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1400762](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400762)

200. Pu Q, Lin P, Gao P, Wang Z, Guo K, Qin S, et al. Gut microbiota regulate gutlung axis inflammatory responses by mediating ILC2 compartmental migration. J Immunol. (2021) 207:257–67. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.2001304](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.2001304)

201. Zhao M, Shao F, Yu D, Zhang J, Liu Z, Ma J, et al. Maturation and specialization of group 2 innate lymphoid cells through the lung-gut axis. Nat Commun. (2022) 13:7600. doi: [10.1038/s41467-022-35347-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35347-6)

202. Ryu S, Lim M, Kim J, Kim HY. Versatile roles of innate lymphoid cells at the mucosal barrier: from homeostasis to pathological inflammation. Exp Mol Med. (2023) 55:1845–57. doi: [10.1038/s12276-023-01022-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-023-01022-z)

203. Boucher E, Plazy C, Le Gouellec A, Toussaint B, Hannani D. Inulin Prebiotic Protects against Lethal Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acute Infection via  $\gamma\delta$  T Cell Activation. Nutrients. (2023) 15:3037. doi: [10.3390/nu15133037](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15133037)

204. Demedts IK, Bracke KR, Maes T, Joos GF, Brusselle GG. Different roles for human lung dendritic cell subsets in pulmonary immune defense mechanisms. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. (2006) 35:387–93. doi: [10.1165/rcmb.2005-0382OC](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2005-0382OC)

205. Merad M, Sathe P, Helft J, Miller J, Mortha A. The dendritic cell lineage: ontogeny and function of dendritic cells and their subsets in the steady state and the inflamed setting. Annu Rev Immunol. (2013) 31:563–604. doi: [10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-074950)[immunol-020711-074950](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-020711-074950)

206. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature. (2012) 486:207–14. doi: [10.1038/nature11234](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11234)

207. Sender R, Fuchs S, Milo R. Revised estimates for the number of human and bacteria cells in the body. PloS Biol. (2016) 14:e1002533. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533) [journal.pbio.1002533](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002533)

208. Lynch SV, Pedersen O. The human intestinal microbiome in health and disease. N Engl J Med. (2016) 375:2369–79. doi: [10.1056/NEJMra1600266](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1600266)

209. Charlson ES, Bittinger K, Haas AR, Fitzgerald AS, Frank I, Yadav A, et al. Topographical continuity of bacterial populations in the healthy human respiratory tract. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2011) 184:957–63. doi: [10.1164/rccm.201104-0655OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201104-0655OC)

210. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. The role of the bacterial microbiome in lung disease. Expert Rev Respir Med. (2013) 7:245–57. doi: [10.1586/](https://doi.org/10.1586/ers.13.24) [ers.13.24](https://doi.org/10.1586/ers.13.24)

211. Natalini JG, Singh S, Segal LN. The dynamic lung microbiome in health and disease. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2023) 21:222–35. doi: [10.1038/s41579-022-00821-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00821-x)

212. Dumas A, Bernard L, Poquet Y, Lugo-Villarino G, Neyrolles O. The role of the lung microbiota and the gut-lung axis in respiratory infectious diseases. Cell Microbiol. (2018) 20:e12966. doi: [10.1111/cmi.v20.12](https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.v20.12)

213. Schuijt TJ, Lankelma JM, Scicluna BP, de Sousa e Melo F, Roelofs JJ, de Boer JD, et al. The gut microbiota plays a protective role in the host defence against pneumococcal pneumonia. Gut. (2016) 65:575–83. doi: [10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309728](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309728)

214. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. (2010) 464:59–65. doi: [10.1038/nature08821](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08821)

215. Durazzi F, Sala C, Castellani G, Manfreda G, Remondini D, De Cesare A. Comparison between 16S rRNA and shotgun sequencing data for the taxonomic characterization of the gut microbiota. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:3030. doi: [10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82726-y) [021-82726-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82726-y)

216. Kim C, Pongpanich M, Porntaveetus T. Unraveling metagenomics through long-read sequencing: a comprehensive review. J Transl Med. (2024) 22:111. doi: [10.1186/s12967-024-04917-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-024-04917-1)

217. Amarasinghe SL, Su S, Dong X, Zappia L, Ritchie ME, Gouil Q. Opportunities and challenges in long-read sequencing data analysis. Genome Biol. (2020) 21:30. doi: [10.1186/s13059-020-1935-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-1935-5)

218. Erb-Downward JR, Thompson DL, Han MK, Freeman CM, McCloskey L, Schmidt LA, et al. Analysis of the lung microbiome in the "healthy" smoker and in COPD. PloS One. (2011) 6:e16384. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0016384](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016384)

219. Chang D, Dela Cruz CS, Sharma L. Challenges in understanding lung microbiome: It is NOT like the gut microbiome. Respirology. (2020) 25:244-5. doi: [10.1111/resp.13759](https://doi.org/10.1111/resp.13759)

220. Carney SM, Clemente JC, Cox MJ, Dickson RP, Huang YJ, Kitsios GD, et al. Methods in lung microbiome research. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. (2020) 62:283–99. doi: [10.1165/rcmb.2019-0273TR](https://doi.org/10.1165/rcmb.2019-0273TR)

221. Goolam Mahomed T, Peters R, Pretorius G, Goolam Mahomed A, Ueckermann V, Kock MM, et al. Comparison of targeted metagenomics and IS-Pro methods for analysing the lung microbiome. BMC Microbiol. (2021) 21:228. doi: [10.1186/s12866-021-02288-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-021-02288-x)

222. Zhao J, He X, Min J, Yao RSY, Chen Y, Chen Z, et al. A multicenter prospective study of comprehensive metagenomic and transcriptomic signatures for predicting outcomes of patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia. EBioMedicine. (2023) 96:104790. doi: [10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104790](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104790)

223. Ditz B, Christenson S, Rossen J, Brightling C, Kerstjens HAM, van den Berge M, et al. Sputum microbiome profiling in COPD: beyond singular pathogen detection. Thorax. (2020) 75:338–44. doi: [10.1136/thoraxjnl-2019-214168](https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2019-214168)

224. Wang L, Lu S, Guo Y, Liu J, Wu P, Yang S. Comparative study of diagnostic efficacy of sputum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid specimens in community-acquired pneumonia children treated with fiberoptic bronchoscopy. BMC Infect Dis. (2023) 23:565. doi: [10.1186/s12879-023-08522-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-023-08522-3)

225. Schneeberger PHH, Prescod J, Levy L, Hwang D, Martinu T, Coburn B. Microbiota analysis optimization for human bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. Microbiome. (2019) 7:141. doi: [10.1186/s40168-019-0755-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0755-x)

226. Belkaid Y, Hand TW. Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. Cell. (2014) 157:121–41. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011)

227. Samuelson DR, Welsh DA, Shellito JE. Regulation of lung immunity and host defense by the intestinal microbiota. Front Microbiol. (2015) 6:1085. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01085) [fmicb.2015.01085](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01085)

228. Segal LN, Clemente JC, Tsay JC, Koralov SB, Keller BC, Wu BG, et al. Enrichment of the lung microbiome with oral taxa is associated with lung inflammation of a Th17 phenotype. Nat Microbiol. (2016) 1:16031. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.31) [nmicrobiol.2016.31](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.31)

229. Li R, Li J, Zhou X. Lung microbiome: new insights into the pathogenesis of respiratory diseases. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2024) 9:19. doi: [10.1038/s41392-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01722-y)  $023 - 01722 - y$ 

230. Segal LN, Alekseyenko AV, Clemente JC, Kulkarni R, Wu B, Gao Z, et al. Enrichment of lung microbiome with supraglottic taxa is associated with increased pulmonary inflammation. Microbiome. (2013) 1:19. doi: [10.1186/2049-2618-1-19](https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-2618-1-19)

231. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Martinez FJ, Huffnagle GB. The microbiome and the respiratory tract. Annu Rev Physiol. (2016) 78:481–504. doi: [10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021115-105238)[physiol-021115-105238](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021115-105238)

232. Althuwaybi A, Alamer A, McDonnell MJ, Brennan M, Rutherford RM, Wilcox M, et al. A clinical review of the potential role of microaspiration and a dysregulated aerodigestive microbiome in lung disease. Ann Esophagus. (2023) 6:7. doi: [10.21037/aoe](https://doi.org/10.21037/aoe)

233. Eckburg PB, Bik EM, Bernstein CN, Purdom E, Dethlefsen L, Sargent M, et al. Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science. (2005) 308:1635–8. doi: [10.1126/science.1110591](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591)

234. Sebastià C, Folch JM, Ballester M, Estellé J, Passols M, Muñoz M, et al. Interrelation between gut microbiota, SCFA, and fatty acid composition in pigs. mSystems. (2024) 9:e0104923. doi: [10.1128/msystems.01049-23](https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.01049-23)

235. Charlson ES, Diamond JM, Bittinger K, Fitzgerald AS, Yadav A, Haas AR, et al. Lung-enriched organisms and aberrant bacterial and fungal respiratory microbiota after lung transplant. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2012) 186:536–45. doi: [10.1164/](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201204-0693OC) [rccm.201204-0693OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201204-0693OC)

<span id="page-26-0"></span>236. O'Dwyer DN, Dickson RP, Moore BB. The lung microbiome, immunity, and the pathogenesis of chronic lung disease. J Immunol. (2016) 196:4839–47. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600279) [jimmunol.1600279](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600279)

237. Mosca A, Leclerc M, Hugot JP. Gut microbiota diversity and human diseases: should we reintroduce key predators in our ecosystem? Front Microbiol. (2016) 7:455. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2016.00455](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00455)

238. Manor O, Dai CL, Kornilov SA, Smith B, Price ND, Lovejoy JC, et al. Health and disease markers correlate with gut microbiome composition across thousands of people. Nat Commun. (2020) 11:5206. doi: [10.1038/s41467-020-18871-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18871-1)

239. Spragge F, Bakkeren E, Jahn MT, Araujo E BN, Pearson CF, Wang X, et al. Microbiome diversity protects against pathogens by nutrient blocking. Science. (2023) 382:eadj3502. doi: [10.1126/science.adj3502](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adj3502)

240. Avalos-Fernandez M, Alin T, Mé tayer C, Thiébaut R, Enaud R, Delhaes L. The respiratory microbiota alpha-diversity in chronic lung diseases: first systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Res. (2022) 23:214. doi: [10.1186/s12931-022-02132-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-022-02132-4)

241. Liu C, Wu K, Sun T, Chen B, Yi Y, Ren R, et al. Effect of invasive mechanical ventilation on the diversity of the pulmonary microbiota. Crit Care. (2022) 26:252. doi: [10.1186/s13054-022-04126-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-04126-6)

242. Fenn D, Abdel-Aziz MI, van Oort PMP, Brinkman P, Ahmed WM, Felton T, et al. Composition and diversity analysis of the lung microbiome in patients with suspected ventilator-associated pneumonia. Crit Care. (2022) 26:203. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-04068-z) [s13054-022-04068-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-04068-z)

243. Delaroque C, Wu GD, Compher C, Ni J, Albenberg L, Liu Q, et al. Diet standardization reduces intra-individual microbiome variation. Gut Microbes. (2022) 14:2149047. doi: [10.1080/19490976.2022.2149047](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2022.2149047)

244. Yeoh YK, Chen Z, Hui M, Wong MCS, Ho WCS, Chin ML, et al. Impact of inter- and intra-individual variation, sample storage and sampling fraction on human stool microbial community profiles. PeerJ. (2019) 7:e6172. doi: [10.7717/peerj.6172](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6172)

245. Vandeputte D, De Commer L, Tito RY, Kathagen G, Sabino J, Vermeire S, et al Temporal variability in quantitative human gut microbiome profiles and implications for clinical research. Nat Commun. (2021) 12:6740. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27098-7) [s41467-021-27098-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27098-7)

246. Olsson LM, Boulund F, Nilsson S, Khan MT, Gummesson A, Fagerberg L, et al. Dynamics of the normal gut microbiota: A longitudinal one-year population study in Sweden. Cell Host Microbe. (2022) 30:726–39. doi: [10.1016/j.chom.2022.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2022.03.002)

247. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Freeman CM, McCloskey L, Beck JM, Huffnagle GB, et al. Spatial variation in the healthy human lung microbiome and the adapted island model of lung biogeography. Ann Am Thorac Soc. (2015) 12:821–30. doi: [10.1513/AnnalsATS.201501-029OC](https://doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201501-029OC)

248. Dmitrijeva M, Kahlert CR, Feigelman R, Kleiner RL, Nolte O, Albrich WC, et al. Strain-resolved dynamics of the lung microbiome in patients with cystic fibrosis. mBio. (2021) 12:e02863–20. doi: [10.1128/mBio.02863-20](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02863-20)

249. Zhu A, Sunagawa S, Mende DR, Bork P. Inter-individual differences in the gene content of human gut bacterial species. Genome Biol. (2015) 16:82. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0646-9) [s13059-015-0646-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0646-9)

250. Falony G, Joossens M, Vieira-Silva S, Wang J, Darzi Y, Faust K, et al. Population-level analysis of gut microbiome variation. Science. (2016) 352:560–4. doi: [10.1126/science.aad3503](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aad3503)

251. Leshem A, Segal E, Elinav E. The gut microbiome and individual-specific responses to diet. mSystems. (2020) 5:e00665–20. doi: [10.1128/mSystems.00665-20](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00665-20)

252. Chen L, Zhernakova DV, Kurilshikov A, Andreu-Sánchez S, Wang D, Augustijn HE, et al. Influence of the microbiome, diet and genetics on interindividual variation in the human plasma metabolome. Nat Med. (2022) 28:2333–43. doi: [10.1038/s41591-022-02014-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-02014-8)

253. Deschasaux M, Bouter KE, Prodan A, Levin E, Groen AK, Herrema H, et al. Depicting the composition of gut microbiota in a population with varied ethnic origins<br>but shared geography. *Nat Med*. (2018) 24:1526–31. doi: [10.1038/s41591-018-0160-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0160-1)

254. Porras AM, Shi Q, Zhou H, Callahan R, Montenegro-Bethancourt G, Solomons N, et al. Geographic differences in gut microbiota composition impact susceptibility to enteric infection. Cell Rep. (2021) 36:109457. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109457) [j.celrep.2021.109457](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109457)

255. Dwiyanto J, Hussain MH, Reidpath D, Ong KS, Qasim A, Lee SWH, et al. Ethnicity influences the gut microbiota of individuals sharing a geographical location: a cross-sectional study from a middle-income country. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:2618. doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-82311-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82311-3)

256. Bai X, Sun Y, Li Y, Li M, Cao Z, Huang Z, et al. Landscape of the gut archaeome in association with geography, ethnicity, urbanization, and diet in the Chinese population. Microbiome. (2022) 10:147. doi: [10.1186/s40168-022-01335-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01335-7)

257. Hildebrand F, Nguyen TL, Brinkman B, Yunta RG, Cauwe B, Vandenabeele P, et al. Inflammation-associated enterotypes, host genotype, cage and inter-individual effects drive gut microbiota variation in common laboratory mice. Genome Biol. (2013) 14:R4. doi: [10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r4](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r4)

258. Alavi S, Mitchell JD, Cho JY, Liu R, Macbeth JC, Hsiao A. Interpersonal gut microbiome variation drives susceptibility and resistance to cholera infection. Cell. (2020) 181:1533–46. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.036)

259. Dickson RP, Huffnagle GB. The lung microbiome: new principles for respiratory bacteriology in health and disease. *PloS Pathog.* (2015) 11:e1004923.<br>doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1004923](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004923)

260. Zhang R, Chen L, Cao L, Li KJ, Huang Y, Luan XQ, et al. Effects of smoking on the lower respiratory tract microbiome in mice. Respir Res. (2018) 19:253. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0959-9) [s12931-018-0959-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0959-9)

261. Huang C, Shi G. Smoking and microbiome in oral, airway, gut and some systemic diseases. J Transl Med. (2019) 17:225. doi: [10.1186/s12967-019-1971-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-019-1971-7)

262. Turek EM, Cox MJ, Hunter M, Hui J, James P, Willis-Owen SAG, et al. Airway microbial communities, smoking and asthma in a general population sample. EBioMedicine. (2021) :71:103538. doi: [10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103538](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103538)

263. Gui X, Yang Z, Li MD. Effect of cigarette smoke on gut microbiota: state of knowledge. Front Physiol. (2021) 12:673341. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2021.673341](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.673341)

264. Ding K, Chen J, Zhan W, Zhang S, Chen Y, Long S, et al. Microbiome links cigarette smoke-induced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and dietary fiber via the gut-lung axis: A narrative review. COPD. (2021) 19:10–7. doi: [10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/15412555.2021.2019208) [15412555.2021.2019208](https://doi.org/10.1080/15412555.2021.2019208)

265. Flint HJ, Scott KP, Duncan SH, Louis P, Forano E. Microbial degradation of complex carbohydrates in the gut. Gut Microbes. (2012) 3:289–306. doi: [10.4161/](https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.19897) [gmic.19897](https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.19897)

266. Tilg H, Kaser A. Gut microbiome, obesity, and metabolic dysfunction. J Clin Invest. (2011) 121:2126–32. doi: [10.1172/JCI58109](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI58109)

267. Round JL, Mazmanian SK. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol. (2009) 9:313–23. doi: [10.1038/nri2515](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2515)

268. Macia L, Tan J, Vieira AT, Leach K, Stanley D, Luong S, et al. Metabolitesensing receptors GPR43 and GPR109A facilitate dietary fibre-induced gut homeostasis through regulation of the inflammasome. Nat Commun. (2015) 6:6734. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7734) [ncomms7734](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7734)

269. Manichanh C, Borruel N, Casellas F, Guarner F. The gut microbiota in IBD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2012) 9:599–608. doi: [10.1038/nrgastro.2012.152](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2012.152)

270. Riiser A. The human microbiome, asthma, and allergy. Allergy Asthma Clin Immunol. (2015) 11:35. doi: [10.1186/s13223-015-0102-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13223-015-0102-0)

271. Zhen J, Zhou Z, He M, Han HX, Lv EH, Wen PB, et al. The gut microbial metabolite trimethylamine N-oxide and cardiovascular diseases. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). (2023) 14:1085041. doi: [10.3389/fendo.2023.1085041](https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2023.1085041)

272. Schiattarella GG, Sannino A, Toscano E, Giugliano G, Gargiulo G, Franzone A, et al. Gut microbe-generated metabolite trimethylamine-N-oxide as cardiovascular risk biomarker: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. Eur Heart J. (2017) 38:2948–56. doi: [10.1093/eurheartj/ehx342](https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehx342)

273. Hoyles L, Pontifex MG, Rodriguez-Ramiro I, Anis-Alavi MA, Jelane KS, Snelling T, et al. Regulation of blood-brain barrier integrity by microbiomeassociated methylamines and cognition by trimethylamine N-oxide. Microbiome. (2021) 9:235. doi: [10.1186/s40168-021-01181-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01181-z)

274. Xu F, Gan X, Tao Y, Li D, Xie P, Liu F, et al. Association between gut microbiota and influenza: a bidirectional two-sample mendelian randomization study. BMC Infect Dis. (2023) 23:692. doi: [10.1186/s12879-023-08706-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-023-08706-x)

275. Zhao X, Hu M, Zhou H, Yang Y, Shen S, You Y, et al. The role of gut microbiome in the complex relationship between respiratory tract infection and asthma. Front Microbiol. (2023) 14:1219942. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2023.1219942](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1219942)

276. Brown RL, Sequeira RP, Clarke TB. The microbiota protects against respiratory infection via GM-CSF signaling. Nat Commun. (2017) 8:1512. doi: [10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01803-x) [017-01803-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01803-x)

277. Zhang J, Ma J, Li Q, Su H, Sun X. Exploration of the effect of mixed probiotics on microbiota of allergic asthma mice. Cell Immunol. (2021) :367:104399. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2021.104399) [j.cellimm.2021.104399](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2021.104399)

278. Huang J, Zhang J, Wang X, Jin Z, Zhang P, Su H, et al. Effect of probiotics on respiratory tract allergic disease and gut microbiota. Front Nutr. (2022) 9:821900. doi: [10.3389/fnut.2022.821900](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.821900)

279. Scaldaferri F, Pizzoferrato M, Gerardi V, Lopetuso L, Gasbarrini A. The gut barrier: new acquisitions and therapeutic approaches. J Clin Gastroenterol. (2012) 46 Suppl:S12–7. doi: [10.1097/MCG.0b013e31826ae849](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCG.0b013e31826ae849)

280. Campieri M, Fiocchi C, Hanauer SB. Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Clinical Case Approach to Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Springer (2002). Available at: [https://www.amazon.com/In](https://www.amazon.com/Inflammatory-Bowel-Disease-Pathophysiology-Diagnosis/dp/0792387724)flammatory-Bowel-Disease-[Pathophysiology-Diagnosis/dp/0792387724](https://www.amazon.com/Inflammatory-Bowel-Disease-Pathophysiology-Diagnosis/dp/0792387724)

281. Farré R, Vicario M. Abnormal barrier function in gastrointestinal disorders. Handb Exp Pharmacol. (2017) 239:193–217. doi: [10.1007/164\\_2016\\_107](https://doi.org/10.1007/164_2016_107)

282. Wallon C, Yang PC, Keita AV, Ericson AC, McKay DM, Sherman PM, et al. Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) regulates macromolecular permeability via mast cells in normal human colonic biopsies in vitro. Gut. (2008) 57:50–8. doi: [10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2006.117549) [gut.2006.117549](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2006.117549)

283. Fukui H. Increased intestinal permeability and decreased barrier function: does it really influence the risk of inflammation? Inflammation Intest Dis. (2016) 1:135–45. doi: [10.1159/000447252](https://doi.org/10.1159/000447252)

284. Massey VL, Arteel GE. Acute alcohol-induced liver injury. Front Physiol. (2012) 3:193. doi: [10.3389/fphys.2012.00193](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2012.00193)

285. Moreira AP, Texeira TF, Ferreira AB, Peluzio Mdo C, Alfenas Rde C. Influence of a high-fat diet on gut microbiota, intestinal permeability and metabolic endotoxaemia. Br J Nutr. (2012) 108:801–9. doi: [10.1017/S0007114512001213](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512001213)

<span id="page-27-0"></span>286. Pendyala S, Walker JM, Holt PR. A high-fat diet is associated with endotoxemia that originates from the gut. Gastroenterology. (2012) 142:1100–1. doi: [10.1053/](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.01.034) [j.gastro.2012.01.034](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2012.01.034)

287. Bjarnason I, MacPherson A, Hollander D. Intestinal permeability: an overview. Gastroenterology. (1995) 108:1566–81. doi: [10.1016/0016-5085\(95\)90708-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-5085(95)90708-4)

288. Buchner AM. Confocal laser endomicroscopy in the evaluation of inflammatory bowel disease. Inflammation Bowel Dis. (2019) 25:1302–12. doi: [10.1093/ibd/izz021](https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izz021)

289. Gerkins C, Hajjar R, Oliero M, Santos MM. Assessment of gut barrier integrity in mice using fluorescein-isothiocyanate-labeled dextran. J Vis Exp. (2022) 189). doi: [10.3791/64710](https://doi.org/10.3791/64710)

290. Srinivasan B, Kolli AR, Esch MB, Abaci HE, Shuler ML, Hickman JJ. TEER measurement techniques for in vitro barrier model systems. J Lab Autom. (2015) 20:107–26. doi: [10.1177/2211068214561025](https://doi.org/10.1177/2211068214561025)

291. Inczefi O, Bacquié V, Olier-Pierre M, Rincel M, Ringot-Destrez B, Ellero-Simatos S, et al. Targeted intestinal tight junction hyperpermeability alters the microbiome, behavior, and visceromotor responses. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2020) 10:206–208.e3. doi: [10.1016/j.jcmgh.2020.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2020.02.008)

292. Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, Gibson GR, Merenstein DJ, Pot B, et al. Expert consensus document. The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2014) 11:506–14. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66) [nrgastro.2014.66](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2014.66)

293. Inczefi O, Bacsur P, Resál T, Keresztes C, Molnár T. The influence of nutrition on intestinal permeability and the microbiome in health and disease. Front Nutr. (2022) 9:718710. doi: [10.3389/fnut.2022.718710](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.718710)

294. Zhu L, Li J, Wei C, Luo T, Deng Z, Fan Y, et al. A polysaccharide from Fagopyrum esculentum Moench bee pollen alleviates microbiota dysbiosis to improve intestinal barrier function in antibiotic-treated mice. Food Funct. (2020) 11:10519–33. doi: [10.1039/D0FO01948H](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FO01948H)

295. Wang L, Alammar N, Singh R, Nanavati J, Song Y, Chaudhary R, et al. Gut microbial dysbiosis in the irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review and metaanalysis of case-control studies. J Acad Nutr Diet. (2020) 120:565–86. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2019.05.015) [j.jand.2019.05.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2019.05.015)

296. Miquel S, Martín R, Rossi O, Bermúdez-Humarán LG, Chatel JM, Sokol H, et al. Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and human intestinal health. Curr Opin Microbiol. (2013) 16:255–61. doi: [10.1016/j.mib.2013.06.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2013.06.003)

297. Santana PT, Rosas SLB, Ribeiro BE, Marinho Y, de Souza HSP. Dysbiosis in inflammatory bowel disease: pathogenic role and potential therapeutic targets. Int J Mol Sci. (2022) 23:3464. doi: [10.3390/ijms23073464](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23073464)

298. Bajaj JS, Khoruts A. Microbiota changes and intestinal microbiota transplantation in liver diseases and cirrhosis. J Hepatol. (2020) 72:1003–27. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2020.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.01.017)

299. Breton J, Galmiche M, Déchelotte P. Dysbiotic gut bacteria in obesity: an overview of the metabolic mechanisms and therapeutic perspectives of next-generation probiotics. Microorganisms. (2022) 10:452. doi: [10.3390/microorganisms10020452](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10020452)

300. Ley RE, Bäckhed F, Turnbaugh P, Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Gordon JI. Obesity alters gut microbial ecology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2005) 102:11070–5. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0504978102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504978102)

301. Zhou Z, Sun B, Yu D, Zhu C. Gut microbiota: an important player in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2022) 12:834485. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.834485) [fcimb.2022.834485](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.834485)

302. Afroz KF, Reyes N, Young K, Parikh K, Misra V, Alviña K. Altered gut microbiome and autism like behavior are associated with parental high salt diet in male mice. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:8364. doi: [10.1038/s41598-021-87678-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87678-x)

303. Faraco G, Brea D, Garcia-Bonilla L, Wang G, Racchumi G, Chang H, et al. Dietary salt promotes neurovascular and cognitive dysfunction through a gutinitiated TH17 response. Nat Neurosci. (2018) 21:240–9. doi: [10.1038/s41593-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0059-z) [0059-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-017-0059-z)

304. Miranda PM, De Palma G, Serkis V, Lu J, Louis-Auguste MP, McCarville JL, et al. High salt diet exacerbates colitis in mice by decreasing Lactobacillus levels and butyrate production. Microbiome. (2018) 6:57. doi: [10.1186/s40168-018-0433-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0433-4)

305. Trompette A, Gollwitzer ES, Pattaroni C, Lopez-Mejia IC, Riva E, Pernot J, et al. Dietary fiber confers protection against flu by shaping ly6c- patrolling monocyte hematopoiesis and CD8+ T cell metabolism. Immunity. (2018) 48:992–1005. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.04.022)

306. Bradley CP, Teng F, Felix KM, Sano T, Naskar D, Block KE, et al. Segmented filamentous bacteria provoke lung autoimmunity by inducing gut-lung axis th17 cells expressing dual TCRs. Cell Host Microbe. (2017) 22:697–704.e4. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.007) [j.chom.2017.10.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.007)

307. McAleer JP, Nguyen NL, Chen K, Kumar P, Ricks DM, Binnie M, et al. Pulmonary th17 antifungal immunity is regulated by the gut microbiome. J Immunol. (2016) 197:97–107. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1502566](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1502566)

308. Huang Y, Mao K, Chen X, Sun MA, Kawabe T, Li W, et al. S1P-dependent interorgan trafficking of group 2 innate lymphoid cells supports host defense. Science. (2018) 359:114–9. doi: [10.1126/science.aam5809](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam5809)

309. Skalski JH, Limon JJ, Sharma P, Gargus MD, Nguyen C, Tang J, et al. Expansion of commensal fungus Wallemia mellicola in the gastrointestinal mycobiota enhances

the severity of allergic airway disease in mice. PloS Pathog. (2018) 14:e1007260. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1007260](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007260)

310. Kim YG, Udayanga KG, Totsuka N, Weinberg JB, Núñez G, Shibuya A. Gut dysbiosis promotes M2 macrophage polarization and allergic airway inflammation via fungi-induced PGE2. Cell Host Microbe. (2014) 15:95–102. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.12.010) [j.chom.2013.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2013.12.010)

311. Ichinohe T, Pang IK, Kumamoto Y, Peaper DR, Ho JH, Murray TS, et al. Microbiota regulates immune defense against respiratory tract influenza A virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2011) 108:5354–9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1019378108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1019378108)

312. Looft T, Allen HK. Collateral effects of antibiotics on mammalian gut microbiomes. Gut Microbes. (2012) 3:463–7. doi: [10.4161/gmic.21288](https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.21288)

313. Sze MA, Tsuruta M, Yang SW, Oh Y, Man SF, Hogg JC, et al. Changes in the bacterial microbiota in gut, blood, and lungs following acute LPS instillation into mice lungs. PloS One. (2014) 9:e111228. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0111228](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111228)

314. Zuo T, Zhang F, Lui GCY, Yeoh YK, Li AYL, Zhan H, et al. Alterations in gut microbiota of patients with COVID-19 during time of hospitalization. Gastroenterology. (2020) 159:944–55. doi: [10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.048](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2020.05.048)

315. Zheng Y, Fang Z, Xue Y, Zhang J, Zhu J, Gao R, et al. Specific gut microbiome signature predicts the early-stage lung cancer. Gut Microbes. (2020) 11:1030–42. doi: [10.1080/19490976.2020.1737487](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1737487)

316. Stokholm J, Blaser MJ, Thorsen J, Rasmussen MA, Waage J, Vinding RK, et al. Maturation of the gut microbiome and risk of asthma in childhood. Nat Commun. (2018) 9:141. doi: [10.1038/s41467-017-02573-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02573-2)

317. Hu Y, Feng Y, Wu J, Liu F, Zhang Z, Hao Y, et al. The gut microbiome signatures discriminate healthy from pulmonary tuberculosis patients. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2019) 9:90. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2019.00090](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00090)

318. Liu X, Cheng Y, Zang D, Zhang M, Li X, Liu D, et al. The role of gut microbiota in lung cancer: from carcinogenesis to immunotherapy. Front Oncol. (2021) 11:720842. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2021.720842](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.720842)

319. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, et al. A dietary fiber-deprived gut microbiota degrades the colonic mucus barrier and enhances pathogen susceptibility. Cell. (2016) 167:1339–53. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.043) [j.cell.2016.10.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.043)

320. Nakamoto N, Sasaki N, Aoki R, Miyamoto K, Suda W, Teratani T, et al. Gut pathobionts underlie intestinal barrier dysfunction and liver T helper 17 cell immune response in primary sclerosing cholangitis. Nat Microbiol. (2019) 4:492–503. doi: [10.1038/s41564-018-0333-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-018-0333-1)

321. Albrengues J, Shields MA, Ng D, Park CG, Ambrico A, Poindexter ME, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps produced during inflammation awaken dormant cancer cells in mice. Science. (2018) 361:eaao4227. doi: [10.1126/science.aao4227](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4227)

322. Conway EM, Pikor LA, Kung SH, Hamilton MJ, Lam S, Lam WL, et al. Macrophages, inflammation, and lung cancer. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2016) 193:116–30. doi: [10.1164/rccm.201508-1545CI](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201508-1545CI)

323. Wedgwood S, Gerard K, Halloran K, Hanhauser A, Monacelli S, Warford C, et al. Intestinal dysbiosis and the developing lung: the role of toll-like receptor 4 in the gut-lung axis. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:357. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.00357](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00357)

324. Nobe R, Nougayrède JP, Taieb F, Bardiau M, Cassart D, Navarro-Garcia F, et al. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli serogroup O111 inhibits NF-(kappa)B-dependent innate responses in a manner independent of a type III secreted OspG orthologue. Microbiol (Reading). (2009) 155:3214–25. doi: [10.1099/mic.0.030759-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.030759-0)

325. Tang J, Xu L, Zeng Y, Gong F. Effect of gut microbiota on LPS-induced acute lung injury by regulating the TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathway. Int Immunopharmacol. (2021) 91:107272. doi: [10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107272](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2020.107272)

326. Zhang WQ, Zhao SK, Luo JW, Dong XP, Hao YT, Li H, et al. Alterations offecal bacterial communities in patients with lung cancer. Am J Transl Res. (2018) 10:3171– 85.

327. Veres-Székely A, Szász C, Pap D, Szebeni B, Bokrossy P, Vannay Á . Zonulin as a potential therapeutic target in microbiota-gut-brain axis disorders: encouraging results and emerging questions. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24:7548. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24087548) [ijms24087548](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24087548)

328. Drago S, El Asmar R, Di Pierro M, Grazia Clemente M, Tripathi A, Sapone A, et al. Gliadin, zonulin and gut permeability: Effects on celiac and non-celiac intestinal<br>mucosa and intestinal cell lines. S*cand J Gastroenterol*. (2006) 41:408–19. doi: [10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365520500235334) [00365520500235334](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365520500235334)

329. Madara JL, Stafford J. Interferon-gamma directly affects barrier function of cultured intestinal epithelial monolayers. J Clin Invest. (1989) 83:724–7. doi: [10.1172/](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI113938) [JCI113938](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI113938)

330. Skardelly M, Armbruster FP, Meixensberger J, Hilbig H. Expression of zonulin, c-kit, and glial fibrillary acidic protein in human gliomas. Transl Oncol. (2009) 2:117– 20. doi: [10.1593/tlo.09115](https://doi.org/10.1593/tlo.09115)

331. Di Vincenzo F, Del Gaudio A, Petito V, Lopetuso LR, Scaldaferri F. Gut microbiota, intestinal permeability, and systemic inflammation: a narrative review. Intern Emerg Med. (2024) 19:275–93. doi: [10.1007/s11739-023-03374-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-023-03374-w)

332. Zhou X, Li J, Guo J, Geng B, Ji W, Zhao Q, et al. Gut-dependent microbial translocation induces inflammation and cardiovascular events after ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Microbiome. (2018) 6:66. doi: [10.1186/s40168-018-0441-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0441-4)

333. Desai A, Peters S. Immunotherapy-based combinations in metastatic NSCLC. Cancer Treat Rev. (2023) 116:102545. doi: [10.1016/j.ctrv.2023.102545](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2023.102545)

<span id="page-28-0"></span>334. Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. (2024) 74:229–63. doi: [10.3322/caac.21834](https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21834)

335. Zhao M, Chu J, Feng S, Guo C, Xue B, He K, et al. Immunological mechanisms of inflammatory diseases caused by gut microbiota dysbiosis: A review. BioMed Pharmacother. (2023) 164:114985. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114985](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.114985)

336. Multhoff G, Molls M, Radons J. Chronic inflammation in cancer development. Front Immunol. (2012) 2:98. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2011.00098](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2011.00098)

337. Nigam M, Mishra AP, Deb VK, Dimri DB, Tiwari V, Bungau SG, et al. Evaluation of the association of chronic inflammation and cancer: Insights and implications. BioMed Pharmacother. (2023) 164:115015. doi: [10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2023.115015)

338. Murota Y, Jobin C. Bacteria break barrier to promote metastasis. Cancer Cell. (2021) 39:598–600. doi: [10.1016/j.ccell.2021.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.03.009)

339. Liu J, Tian R, Sun C, Guo Y, Dong L, Li Y, et al. Microbial metabolites are involved in tumorigenesis and development by regulating immune responses. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1290414. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1290414](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1290414)

340. Battaglia TW, Mimpen IL, Traets JJH, van Hoeck A, Zeverijn LJ, Geurts BS, et al. A pan-cancer analysis of the microbiome in metastatic cancer. Cell. (2024) 187:2324–35. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.03.021)

341. Daillère R, Vétizou M, Waldschmitt N, Yamazaki T, Isnard C, Poirier-Colame V, et al. Enterococcus hirae and Barnesiella intestinihominis Facilitate Cyclophosphamide-Induced Therapeutic Immunomodulatory Effects. Immunity. (2016) 45:931–43. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.09.009)

342. Chen Z, Qian X, Chen S, Fu X, Ma G, Zhang A. Akkermansia muciniphila enhances the antitumor effect of cisplatin in lewis lung cancer mice. J Immunol Res. (2020) 2020:2969287. doi: [10.1155/2020/2969287](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2969287)

343. Gui QF, Lu HF, Zhang CX, Xu ZR, Yang YH. Well-balanced commensal microbiota contributes to anti-cancer response in a lung cancer mouse model. Genet Mol Res. (2015) 14:5642–51. doi: [10.4238/2015.May.25.16](https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.May.25.16)

344. de Maria YNLF, Aciole Barbosa D, Menegidio FB, Santos KBNH, Humberto AC, Alencar VC, et al. Analysis of mouse faecal dysbiosis, during the development of cachexia, induced by transplantation with Lewis lung carcinoma cells. Microbiol (Reading). (2021) 167. doi: [10.1099/mic.0.001088](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.001088)

345. Jeong SM, Jin EJ, Wei S, Bae JH, Ji Y, Jo Y, et al. The impact of cancer cachexia on gut microbiota composition and short-chain fatty acid metabolism in a murine model. BMB Rep. (2023) 56:404–9. doi: [10.5483/BMBRep.2023-0068](https://doi.org/10.5483/BMBRep.2023-0068)

346. Hagihara M, Kato H, Yamashita M, Shibata Y, Umemura T, Mori T, et al. Lung cancer progression alters lung and gut microbiomes and lipid metabolism. Heliyon. (2023) 10:e23509. doi: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23509](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e23509)

347. Feng C, Li N, Gao G, He Q, Kwok LY, Zhang H. Dynamic changes of the gut microbiota and its functional metagenomic potential during the development of non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Mol Sci. (2024) 25:3768. doi: [10.3390/ijms25073768](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25073768)

348. Zhu Z, Cai J, Hou W, Xu K, Wu X, Song Y, et al. Microbiome and spatially resolved metabolomics analysis reveal the anticancer role of gut Akkermansia muciniphila by crosstalk with intratumoral microbiota and reprogramming tumoral metabolism in mice. Gut Microbes. (2023) 15:2166700. doi: [10.1080/19490976.2023.2166700](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2166700)

349. Liu F, Li J, Guan Y, Lou Y, Chen H, Xu M, et al. Dysbiosis of the g microbiome is associated with tumor biomarkers in lung cancer. Int J Biol Sci. (2019) 15:2381–92. doi: [10.7150/ijbs.35980](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.35980)

350. Zhuang H, Cheng L, Wang Y, Zhang YK, Zhao MF, Liang GD, et al. Dysbiosis of the gut microbiome in lung cancer. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2019) 9:112. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2019.00112](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00112)

351. Botticelli A, Vernocchi P, Marini F, Quagliariello A, Cerbelli B, Reddel S, et al. Gut metabolomics profiling of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients under immunotherapy treatment. J Transl Med. (2020) 18:49. doi: [10.1186/s12967-020-02231-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-020-02231-0)

352. Zhao F, An R, Wang L, Shan J, Wang X. Specific gut microbiome and serum metabolome changes in lung cancer patients. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2021) 11:725284. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2021.725284](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.725284)

353. Lee SH, Cho SY, Yoon Y, Park C, Sohn J, Jeong JJ, et al. Bifidobacterium bifidum strains synergize with immune checkpoint inhibitors to reduce tumour burden in mice. Nat Microbiol. (2021) 6:277–88. doi: [10.1038/s41564-020-00831-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00831-6)

354. Gui Q, Li H, Wang A, Zhao X, Tan Z, Chen L, et al. The association between gut butyrate-producing bacteria and non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Lab Anal. (2020) 34: e23318. doi: [10.1002/jcla.23318](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcla.23318)

355. Vernocchi P, Gili T, Conte F, Del Chierico F, Conta G, Miccheli A, et al. Network analysis of gut microbiome and metabolome to discover microbiota-linked biomarkers in patients affected by non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:8730. doi: [10.3390/ijms21228730](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21228730)

356. Lahiri A, Maji A, Potdar PD, Singh N, Parikh P, Bisht B, et al. Lung cancer immunotherapy: progress, pitfalls, and promises. Mol Cancer. (2023) 22:40. doi: [10.1186/s12943-023-01740-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-023-01740-y)

357. Gandhi L, Rodríguez-Abreu D, Gadgeel S, Esteban E, Felip E, De Angelis F, et al. Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. (2018) 378:2078–92. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1801005](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801005)

358. Sun D, Liu J, Zhou H, Shi M, Sun J, Zhao S, et al. Classification of tumor immune microenvironment according to programmed death-ligand 1 expression and immune infiltration predicts response to immunotherapy plus chemotherapy in advanced patients with NSCLC. J Thorac Oncol. (2023) 18:869–81. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2023.03.012) [j.jtho.2023.03.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2023.03.012)

359. Wang F, He MM, Yao YC, Zhao X, Wang ZQ, Jin Y, et al. Regorafenib plus toripalimab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: a phase Ib/II clinical trial and gut microbiome analysis. Cell Rep Med. (2021) 2:100383. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100383) [j.xcrm.2021.100383](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100383)

360. Zitvogel L, Ma Y, Raoult D, Kroemer G, Gajewski TF. The microbiome in cancer immunotherapy: Diagnostic tools and therapeutic strategies. Science. (2018) 359:1366–70. doi: [10.1126/science.aar6918](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar6918)

361. Nikolova VL, Smith MRB, Hall LJ, Cleare AJ, Stone JM, Young AH. Perturbations in gut microbiota composition in psychiatric disorders: A review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. (2021) 78:1343–54. doi: [10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.2573](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2021.2573)

362. Zhang C, Wang J, Sun Z, Cao Y, Mu Z, Ji X. Commensal microbiota contributes to predicting the response to immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer patients. Cancer Sci. (2021) 112:3005–17. doi: [10.1111/cas.14979](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.14979)

363. Song P, Yang D, Wang H, Cui X, Si X, Zhang X, et al. Relationship between intestinal flora structure and metabolite analysis and immunotherapy efficacy in Chinese NSCLC patients. Thorac Cancer. (2020) 11:1621–32. doi: [10.1111/1759-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13442) [7714.13442](https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13442)

364. Katayama Y, Yamada T, Shimamoto T, Iwasaku M, Kaneko Y, Uchino J, et al. The role of the gut microbiome on the efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors in Japanese responder patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Transl Lung Cancer Res. (2019) 8:847–53. doi: [10.21037/tlcr](https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr)

365. Chau J, Yadav M, Liu B, Furqan M, Dai Q, Shahi S, et al. Prospective correlation between the patient microbiome with response to and development of immun mediated adverse effects to immunotherapy in lung cancer. BMC Cancer. (2021) 21:808. doi: [10.1186/s12885-021-08530-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08530-z)

366. Simpson RC, Shanahan ER, Scolyer RA, Long GV. Towards modulating the gut microbiota to enhance the efficacy of immune-checkpoint inhibitors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2023) 20:697–715. doi: [10.1038/s41571-023-00803-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-023-00803-9)

367. Vieira-Silva S, Falony G, Darzi Y, Lima-Mendez G, Garcia Yunta R, Okuda S, et al. Species-function relationships shape ecological properties of the human gut microbiome. Nat Microbiol. (2016) 1:16088. doi: [10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.88](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.88)

368. Fang C, Fang W, Xu L, Gao F, Hou Y, Zou H, et al. Distinct functional metagenomic markers predict the responsiveness to anti-PD-1 therapy in chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:837525. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.837525](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.837525)

369. Martini G, Ciardiello D, Dallio M, Famiglietti V, Esposito L, Corte CMD, et al. Gut microbiota correlates with antitumor activity in patients with mCRC and NSCLC treated with cetuximab plus avelumab. Int J Cancer. (2022) 151:473–80. doi: [10.1002/ijc.34033](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34033)

370. Grenda A, Iwan E, Chmielewska I, Krawczyk P, Giza A, Bomba A, et al. Presence of Akkermansiaceae in gut microbiome and immunotherapy effectiveness in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. AMB Express. (2022) 12:86. doi: [10.1186/s13568-022-01428-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-022-01428-4)

371. Zhang F, Ferrero M, Dong N, D'Auria G, Reyes-Prieto M, Herreros-Pomares A, et al. Analysis of the gut microbiota: an emerging source of biomarkers for immune checkpoint blockade therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancers (Basel). (2021) 13:2514. doi: [10.3390/cancers13112514](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13112514)

372. Newsome RC, Gharaibeh RZ, Pierce CM, da Silva WV, Paul S, Hogue SR, et al. Interaction of bacterial genera associated with therapeutic response to immune checkpoint PD-1 blockade in a United States cohort. Genome Med. (2022) 14:35. doi: [10.1186/s13073-022-01037-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-022-01037-7)

373. Derosa L, Routy B, Thomas AM, Iebba V, Zalcman G, Friard S, et al. Intestinal Akkermansia muciniphila predicts clinical response to PD-1 blockade in patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. Nat Med. (2022) 28:315–24. doi: [10.1038/s41591-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01655-5) [021-01655-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01655-5)

374. Ou G, Xu H, Wu J, Wang S, Chen Y, Deng L, et al. The gut-lung axis in influenza A: the role of gut microbiota in immune balance. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1147724. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1147724](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1147724)

375. Barcik W, Boutin RCT, Sokolowska M, Finlay BB. The role of lung and gut microbiota in the pathology of asthma. Immunity. (2020) 52:241–55. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2020.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.01.007)

376. Wan L, Wu C, Wu Q, Luo S, Liu J, Xie X. Impact of probiotics use on clinical outcomes of immune checkpoint inhibitors therapy in cancer patients. Cancer Med. (2023) 12:1841–9. doi: [10.1002/cam4.4994](https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.4994)

377. Zhang L, Jin Q, Chai D, Kuang T, Li C, Guan Y, et al. The correlation between probiotic use and outcomes of cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. Front Pharmacol. (2022) 13:937874. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2022.937874](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2022.937874)

378. Lu Y, Yuan X, Wang M, He Z, Li H, Wang J, et al. Gut microbiota influence immunotherapy responses: mechanisms and therapeutic strategies. J Hematol Oncol. (2022) 15:47. doi: [10.1186/s13045-022-01273-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-022-01273-9)

379. Ren S, Feng L, Liu H, Mao Y, Yu Z. Gut microbiome affects the response to immunotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. Thorac Cancer. (2024) 15:1149–63. doi: [10.1111/1759-7714.15303](https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.15303)