Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jin Wang, Houston Methodist Research Institute, United States

REVIEWED BY

Carrie Mae Long, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIH), United States Veerupaxagouda Patil, Georgetown University Medical Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Guoquan Zhang guoquan.zhang@utsa.edu

RECEIVED 04 May 2024 ACCEPTED 30 September 2024 PUBLISHED 14 October 2024

CITATION

Alam S, Kumaresan V, Palanisamy R, Zhang Y, Seshu J, Xiong N and Zhang G (2024) Coxiella burnetii Nine Mile phase I primary infection derived protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection in mice depends on both B and T cells, but T cells play a critical role. Front. Immunol. 15:1427822. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Alam, Kumaresan, Palanisamy, Zhang, Seshu, Xiong and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Coxiella burnetii [Nine Mile phase](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full) [I primary infection derived](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full) [protective immunity against](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full) C. burnetii [reinfection in mice](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full) [depends on both B and T cells,](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full) [but T cells play a critical role](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full)

Shawkat Alam¹, Venkatesh Kumaresan¹, Rajesh Palanisamy¹, Yan Zhang¹, Janakiram Seshu¹, Na Xiong² and Guoquan Zhang^{1*}

1 Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, United States, 2Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, The University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, United States

Coxiella burnetii is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium that causes acute and chronic Q fever in humans. Acute Q fever is usually a flu-like, selflimiting or treatable illness, but some infections can turn into a severe and sometimes fatal chronic disease. There is currently no FDA-approved vaccine available for the prevention of human Q fever in the US, development of a safe and effective vaccine for the prevention of human Q fever remains an important goal for public health. However, there is a fundamental gap in knowledge regarding the mechanism of protective immunity against C. burnetii infection. To understand the mechanism of C. burnetii infection induced protective immunity, we examined if C. burnetii Nine Mile phase I (NMI) infection induces protection against C. burnetii reinfection in mice. Our results indicate that NMIinfected mice conferred significant protection against C. burnetii reinfection. We also found that NMI infection derived protection did not depend on the routes of infection and antibodies are required for NMI infection derived protection. In addition, NMI infection elicited a comparable level of protection in Wild type, CD4⁺ T cell deficient, and CD8⁺ T cell deficient mice, partial protection in B cell deficient mice but no protection in T cell deficient mice. These results suggest that both B cells and T cells are required for NMI-infection derived protection, but T cells may play a critical role. Therefore, the new generation vaccine for the prevention of human Q fever should be focused on boosting both humoral and T cell immune responses.

KEYWORDS

Coxiella burnetii, Q fever, infection, protective immunity, B cells, T cells, antibodies

Introduction

Coxiella burnetii is an obligate intracellular Gram-negative bacterium that causes the worldwide zoonosis Q fever in humans. It typically spreads via aerosols generated by infected domestic animals, such as cattle, sheep, and goats ([1\)](#page-10-0). Acute Q fever exhibits a flu-like illness with fever, chills, fatigue, headache, and body aches. The acute infection can be asymptomatic and the most of cases are self-limiting [\(2](#page-10-0)). Consequently, there is a common belief that disease incidence is significantly underreported ([3\)](#page-10-0). Chronic Q fever commonly exhibits as an endocarditis and more than 25% of patients are fatal if left without specific treatments ([1](#page-10-0)). Treatment of chronic Q fever patients typically involves dual antibiotic therapy with combination of doxycycline and hydroxychloroquine for at least 18 months [\(4\)](#page-10-0). However, in a cohort study for 24 months indicated that more than 30% of Q fever patients retained an impaired health status despite following the antibiotic treatment [\(5\)](#page-10-0). Since C. burnetii natural infections in humans commonly occur via inhalation of aerosols generated from C. burnetii infected ruminants, it is considered as an occupational hazard for individuals who are closely working with livestock [\(6\)](#page-10-0). C. burnetii's hardiness in the environment, transmission via respiratory route, and the low infectious dose made this organism a dangerous zoonotic pathogen. Furthermore, C. burnetii has been designated as a Tier 2 select agent by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) due to its potential to be used as a biological warfare agent ([7](#page-10-0)). Considering the incapacitating effects of aerosolized C. burnetii and the shortcomings of current antibiotic therapies, creating a safe and effective Q fever vaccine is an urgent and important goal for both public health and biosecurity. A formalin-inactivated whole-cell vaccine that generated from C. burnetii Henzerling phase I (WCV, also known as Q-VAX) has demonstrated to be able to elicit long-lasting protective immunity in animal models and humans $(8-10)$ $(8-10)$ $(8-10)$ $(8-10)$ $(8-10)$. However, due to the high incidence of adverse reactions in vaccine recipients those with preexisting immunity to C. burnetii, this vaccine requires prevaccination skin testing and serologic screening for existing immunity to C. burnetii to avoid vaccination induced adverse reactions [\(9](#page-10-0)). Major reason for the side effect is the pre-exposure of subjects to C. burnetii infection, which results in strong inflammatory response at the site of vaccination. Due to the safety concerns, this vaccine is not approved by the FDA and there is currently no licensed vaccine available for the prevention of human Q fever in the US. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop a safe and effective vaccine for the prevention of human Q fever. However, the mechanism of protective immunity to C. burnetii infection is not well studied. Understanding the mechanism of host immune responses to C. burnetii infection will provide novel information for developing a safe and effective vaccine against human Q fever.

Previous studies have demonstrated that both humoral and cellular immune responses are required for host defense against primary C. burnetii infection, while T cells are considered to play critical roles in controlling intracellular bacterial replication and elimination of the organisms in mice [\(11](#page-10-0)–[13](#page-10-0)). The role of humoral immunity in the protection of C. burnetii infection was first confirmed by the observation that mixture of virulent C. burnetii with specific antibody (Ab) was unable to cause infection in animal

models ([14](#page-10-0)). In vitro studies also indicated that incubation of virulent C. burnetii bacteria with immune sera made the organisms are more vulnerable to normal polymorphonuclear leukocyte- and macrophage-mediated phagocytosis and killing ([15](#page-10-0)–[17](#page-11-0)). In addition, the observation that B cell deficiency in mice increased the severity of histopathological changes during C. burnetii infection [\(12](#page-10-0)) suggests that B cells may also play an important role in regulating inflammatory responses to C. burnetii infection in mice. However, one early observation that treatment of athymic mice with immune sera 24 h before challenge with virulent C. burnetii has no effect on bacterial multiplication within the spleens of the T-cell-deficient mice ([18\)](#page-11-0) suggests that Tcell-mediated immunity plays an essential role for the control of C. burnetii infection. This hypothesis was supported by a previous study (12) that demonstrated T cells and IFN- γ are essential for clearance of a primary C. burnetii infection in mice. Additionally, it has been reported that either CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells are able to control a primary pulmonary C. burnetii infection, suggesting that either $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells are required for host defense against a primary C. burnetii infection in mice [\(11](#page-10-0)). Collectively, these findings indicate that T-cell mediated immunity may be the essential mechanism for host defense against primary C. burnetii infection in mice. However, it has not been investigated whether primary C. burnetii infection induces protection against C. burnetii reinfection in mice. Although a previous study [\(19\)](#page-11-0) suggested that individuals who recovered from C. burnetii natural infection may acquire lifelong protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection, it remains unclear which immune components are responsible for primary C. burnetii infection induced long-term protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection. Since C. burnetii natural infection has the potential to elicit optimal protections against C. burnetii re-exposure, understanding the mechanism of C. burnetii infection derived protection may provide valuable insights for designing an improved new generation vaccine that can mimic C. burnetii natural infection.

In this study, we examined whether virulent NMI infection induces protection against C. burnetii reinfection in mice. Subsequently, we investigated the mechanisms of primary NMIinfection induced protective immunity against NMI reinfection in mice. This study provided the first evidence to demonstrate that primary C. burnetii infection provides significant protection against virulent C. burnetii challenge and that primary C. burnetii infection derived protection depends on both B and T cells, but T cells play a critical role in mice.

Materials and methods

Animals

All mice used in this study were female at eight to ten weeks old. BALB/c (Strain # 000651), C57BL/6 (Strain # 000664), B-cell KO (Ighm^{tm1Cgn/J}, strain # 002288) mice, T-cell KO (Foxn1^{nu}, strain # 000819) mice, CD4⁺ T-cell KO ($Cd4^{tm1Mak}$, strain # 002663) and CD8⁺ T-cell KO (Cd8a^{tm1Mak}, strain # 002665) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All Alam et al. [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822)

mice were maintained in autoclaved GR900 cages (TECNIPLAST S.p.A., PA) containing four to five mice per cage under specific pathogen-free conditions at the UTSA animal biosafety level-3 laboratory facility (BSL3). Animals were provided with autoclaved RO water and 5V5R (Lab Diet, Fort Worth, TX) feed ad libitum. All research involving using animals was conducted following animal care and use guidelines. All protocols that were used in this study were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee and Institutional Biosafety Committee of the UTSA (MU-CP001). All C. burnetii infection experiments were conducted in a BSL3 laboratory at the UTSA.

Bacteria

C. burnetii Nine Mile Phase I (NMI) clone 7 (RSA 493) strain was cultured in acidified citrate cysteine medium-D (ACCM-D) as described previously ([20](#page-11-0)). Bacteria were purified by centrifuging at 15,000 \times g for 30 min, washed once with sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and then resuspended in PBS, and stored at -80°C. All virulent NMI strain used in this study undergone four passages and all experiments involved in using virulent NMI strain were performed in a BSL3 laboratory at the UTSA.

Primary C. burnetii NMI infection

For the NMI primary infection experiments, mice were infected with 1×10^4 Genomic equivalents (GE) of NMI bacteria (If not specifically mentioned) and were used as NMI primary infection to investigate the mechanisms of NMI infection induced protective immunity. Mice mock infected with PBS were used as negative controls. Mice were also infected with 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria via intraperitoneal (IP), intranasal (IN), intramuscular (IM), or subcutaneous (SC) routes as described previously [\(21\)](#page-11-0), and were used to determine if the infection routes affect NMI primary infection induced protective immunity against C. burnetii challenge.

C. burnetii challenge and necropsy

For the C. burnetii challenge, following NMI primary infection for 35 days, mice were IP injected with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria in 400µL of PBS for evaluating NMI primary infection induced protective efficacy or antibody responses against high dose of NMI challenge. Following high dose of NMI challenge, mouse body weight was measured at 0, 3, 7, 10 and 14 days post-challenge. Mice were sacrificed at 14 days post-challenge and spleens were collected and used for measuring bacterial burden in the spleen by a real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR). Splenomegaly was calculated by following formula (spleen weight/body weight) x 100. Mouse blood was collected by cardiac puncture technique, serum was separated by spinning blood at 1500 \times g for 10 minutes at room temperature and used to measure antibody titer by ELISA. If not processed immediately, spleen and serum were stored at -20°C for further use.

qPCR

Forty mg of spleen was homogenized in 200 µL of lysis buffer (0.5 M EDTA, 1 M Tris, 7 mg/mL glucose, 28 mg/mL lysozyme) and filtered through a 100-µm-pore-size nylon mesh to remove any connective tissue. Ten microliters of proteinase K (20 mg/ml) were added to each sample prior to incubation at 60°C for 18 h. Next, 21 µl of 10% SDS was added to samples and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, DNA was extracted using a High Pure PCR Template Preparation kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) as directed by the manufacturer. Bacterial burden was determined using Taqman assay by quantifying C. burnetii com1 gene and normalized using mouse tfrc gene. Custom Plus TaqMan[™] RNA Assay, FAM for com1 (COM1 TaqMan fwd: 5′-AATAAAAACCTCCGCGTTGTCTT-3′) was designed and procured from Invitrogen. TaqMan[™] Copy Number Reference Assay, mouse, tfrc (VIC) was used to quantify the tfrc genes in mouse genome. The experiment was conducted using an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio3 real-time PCR system. The standard curve was generated using recombinant plasmid DNA (com1 gene ligated into pBluescript vector) and the results are represented as log₁₀ com1 gene copy number. All the experiments are performed at least in triplicates including both technical and biological replicates.

C. burnetii NMI-specific ELISA

Sera from C. burnetii infected and uninfected control mice were used for quantification of total IgM, IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG3 subclass antibodies. Briefly, microtiter plates (96-well) were coated with $100 \mu l$ of inactivated NMI antigen $(0.5 \mu g/ml)$ or unlabeled anti-IgM, -IgG, -IgG1, -IgG2a, -IgG3 antibody (0.5 µg/ml, for the standard curve) (Southern Biotech, Birmingham, AL) in 0.05 M carbonate/bicarbonate coating buffer (pH 9.6) for 24 h at 4°C. Plates were blocked with 1% BSA in PBS-T buffer (0.05% Tween 20 in 1× PBS) and then incubated for 2 h with 100 µl of diluted sample serum (1:300) or serially diluted pure IgM, IgG, IgG1, IgG2a or IgG3 (Southern Biotech) at room temperature. Plates were washed four times with PBS-T buffer and then incubated with $100 \mu l$ of diluted horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgM, IgG, IgG1, IgG2a or IgG3 (1:4,000 to 1:5,000) (Southern Biotech) at room temperature for 1 h. Plates were washed again four times with PBS-T, followed by the addition of 200 µl of 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific, Cat# P9187). Reactions were stopped using 1 M H3PO4, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm using an Infinite F50 (Tecan, Switzerland) microplate reader.

Flow cytometry

Mice spleens were collected after necropsy, homogenized, filtered with a 100 μ m-pore-size nylon mesh to prepare a singlecell suspension, and then the centrifuged at $500 \times g$ for 5 mins. Lysis of Red Blood Cells was performed by incubation of cell pellets in 5

ml of ammonium chloride-potassium (ACK) lysis buffer for 5 min at RT. After centrifugation, cell pellets were resuspended in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer [PBS supplemented with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 2mM EDTA, and 0.1% sodium azide]. Cells were incubated with Fc Block antibody (Biolegend, San Diego, CA) at 4°C for 15 min and then incubated with antibody cocktail (Biolegend) [Panel-1 (CD11c-PE, CD11b-FITC, LY6G-APC-Cy7) and Panel-2 (CD8- FITC, CD19-PE, CD3-PE-Cy7, CD4-APC-Cy7)] in FACS buffer at 4°C for 30 mins. After incubation, cells were centrifuged at 500 \times g for 5 mins, washed twice with cold FACS buffer, and then fixed by incubating with a freshly prepared 2% paraformaldehyde solution at 4° C for 15 min. Following fixation, cells were centrifuged at 500 \times g for 5 mins and then resuspended in FACS buffer for flow cytometry analysis. Fluorescence minus one (FMO) was used as control to determine the gates for respective cell populations in flow cytometry. Single staining of each fluorochrome with splenocytes was also used in compensation and the compensation was determined by using FlowJo software to ensure accurate separation of fluorescence signals. MoFlo XDP was used to collect cellular events. FlowJo (version 10.4.2) was used for data analysis. Absolute cell numbers were determined based on live-cell frequency and the total number of splenocytes recovered from each mouse.

Passive transfer of immune sera

Serum samples were collected from 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria infected or PBS control mice at 35 days post-infection and transferred to naive mice, respectively, as described previously [\(21\)](#page-11-0). Following serum transfer for 24 hrs, mice were challenged with 1×10^7 GE of virulent NMI bacteria by IP injection. Mice were sacrificed at 14 days post challenge. The protective efficacy of immune serum against NMI challenge was evaluated by comparing body weight lost, splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen with controls.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9.00 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). One-way ANOVA with Tukey's posttest was used to analyze the significance in the experiments involving more than two experimental groups. A two-tailed Student t-test was used to compare the significance between different immunization groups. For all analyses, P value <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

NMI primary infection elicited a dose independent protection against NMI reinfection

It has been documented that individuals who recovered from C. burnetii natural infection may acquire lifelong protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection [\(19](#page-11-0)). However, the

mechanism of C. burnetii natural infection derived protection against C. burnetii reinfection remains unexplored ([22](#page-11-0), [23](#page-11-0)). To investigate whether primary C. burnetii infection confers protection against secondary C. burnetii infection in mice, 4 groups of BALB/c mice were IP infected with PBS (mock infection control), 1×10^2 , $1 \times$ 10^4 , or 1×10^5 GE of virulent *C. burnetii* NMI and then all mice were challenged with a high dose, 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post-primary NMI infection. Splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen were measured at 14 days post- challenge. As shown in [Figure 1A,](#page-4-0) compared to PBS control mice, all doses of NMI-infected mice protected the high dose of NMI-challenge induced transitional body weight loss at 3, 7, and 10 days post NMI-challenge. In addition, splenomegaly ([Figure 1B\)](#page-4-0) and bacterial burden [\(Figure 1C,](#page-4-0) the com1 gene copy number) in the spleens were significantly reduced in all doses of NMI-infected mice regardless of the dose of primary infection. Collectively, these results demonstrate that primary NMI infection was able to elicit a dose independent significant protection against NMI reinfection in mice.

NMI primary infection prevents C. burnetii reinfection-induced innate and adaptive cellular responses in mice

To evaluate C. burnetii reinfection induced innate and adaptive cellular responses in mice, the total numbers of macrophages plus monocytes (CD11b⁺CD11c⁻Ly6G⁻), neutrophils (CD11b⁺Ly6G⁺), dendritic cells (CD11c⁺), B cells (CD19⁺), CD8⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺), and CD4⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD4⁺) in the spleens were determined by flow cytometry analysis as described in [Supplementary](#page-10-0) [Figure S1,](#page-10-0) and compared between PBS control and different doses of primary NMI-infected mice at 14 days after the high dose of NMI reinfection. As shown in [Figure 2](#page-5-0), compared to PBS control mice, significant lower numbers of macrophages and monocytes ([Figure 2A,](#page-5-0) P<0.0001), neutrophils ([Figure 2B](#page-5-0), P<0.0001), dendritic cells ([Figure 2C,](#page-5-0) P<0.0001), B cells [\(Figure 2D,](#page-5-0) P<0.01), CD8⁺ T cells ([Figure 2E](#page-5-0), P<0.01), and $CD4^+$ T cells ([Figure 2F,](#page-5-0) P<0.05) were detected in the spleens from primary NMI-infected mice in a dose independent manner. These results demonstrate that primary NMIinfected mice were able to control C. burnetii reinfection-induced innate and adaptive cellular responses, suggesting that primary NMI infection can elicit protective immunity in mice against C. burnetii reinfection, which enable primary NMI-infected mice to control bacterial replication and C. burnetii reinfection-induced inflammatory responses. These results also provide additional evidence to explain why primary NMI-infected mice had significant lower splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen at 14 days post-NMI reinfection ([Figures 1B,](#page-4-0) C).

Antibody responses in primary NMIinfected mice against NMI reinfection

To analyze antigen-specific antibody responses in primary NMI-infected mice against NMI reinfection, immune sera were collected from mice IP infected with PBS, 1×10^2 , 1×10^4 , or 1×10^5

GE of NMI at 14 days post-challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria in the above experiment for measuring the concentrations of anti-NMI specific IgM and IgG by ELISA. As shown in [Figure 3,](#page-5-0) there was no significant difference in the concentrations of NMIspecific IgM ([Figure 3A\)](#page-5-0), IgG ([Figure 3B\)](#page-5-0), IgG1 [\(Figure 3C\)](#page-5-0) and IgG3 [\(Figure 3E\)](#page-5-0) between PBS and NMI infected mice at 14 days post-reinfection with NMI. However, the concentrations of NMIspecific IgG2a in all doses of primary NMI-infected mice were significantly higher than the IgG2a concentrations in PBS control mice at 14 days post-reinfection with NMI [\(Figure 3E](#page-5-0)). These results indicate that primary NMI infection induces higher numbers of NMI-specific IgG2a producing memory B cells, which rapidly response to NMI reinfection leading to production of significant higher IgG2a in NMI-infected mice than in PBS infected mice. This observation also suggests that NMI-specific IgG2a may play an important role in primary NMI infection induced protective immunity against NMI reinfection.

Immune sera from primary NMI-infected mice provided significant protection against C. burnetii infection

The observation that either ${\rm CD4}^+$ or ${\rm CD8}^+$ T cells from primary C. burnetii infected mice are able to provide protection against C. burnetii pulmonary infection suggests that either $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells are required for primary C. burnetii infection induced protective immunity ([11\)](#page-10-0). However, it remains uninvestigated if humoral immunity contributed to primary C. burnetii infectioninduced protection against C. burnetii reinfection. To determine if passive transfer of immune sera from primary NMI-infected mice will provide significant protection to naive recipient mice against C. burnetii infection, immune sera were collected from mice IP infected with PBS or 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days postinfection and transferred to naive mice, respectively. Following

transfer of immune sera for 24 hrs, immune sera recipient mice were challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria. As shown in [Figure 4A,](#page-6-0) compared to mice receiving sera from PBS injected control mice, mice receiving immune sera from NMI-infected mice protected the high dose of NMI-infection induced transitional body weight loss at 7 days post NMI-infection. In addition, splenomegaly ([Figure 4B\)](#page-6-0) and bacterial burden ([Figure 4C,](#page-6-0) the com1 gene copy number) in the spleens were significantly reduced in mice receiving immune sera from NMI-infected mice. These results indicate that immune sera from NMI-infected mice provided significant protection against high dose NMI infection, suggesting that C. burnetii-specific antibodies may play important roles in primary NMI infection-induced protective immunity.

Both B cells and T cells are required for primary NMI-infection induced protective immunity, but T cells may play a critical role in controlling bacterial replication

To further understand the mechanisms of primary NMIinfection induced protective immunity, we examined if B cell, T cell, $CD4^+$ T cell or $CD8^+$ T cell deficiency in mice will significantly affect primary NMI-infection induced protective immunity against NMI reinfection. C57BL/6J WT and B cell, T cell, CD4⁺ T cell or $CD8⁺$ T cell deficient mice were IP infected with $1 \times 10⁴$ GE of NMI bacteria and challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post-primary NMI-infection. Additionally, naive WT mice were mock infected with PBS and served as controls. Splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen were examined at 14 days postchallenge. As shown in [Figure 5A](#page-6-0), NMI-infection induced a significant bodyweight loss in NMI-infected B cell deficient and T cell deficient mice at different times post-challenge but there was no significant bodyweight loss in PBS-infected WT, and NMI-infected WT, CD4⁺ T cell deficient and CD8⁺ T cell deficient mice. In

FIGURE 2

Compare NMI reinfection induced innate and adaptive cellular responses between PBS and different doses of primary NMI-infected mice. BALB/c mice were IP infected with PBS, 1 x 10², 1 x 10⁴, or 1 x 10⁵ GE of NMI bacteria. All dose of NMI-infected and PBS control mice were IP challenged with 1 x 10⁷ GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post primary NMI infection. In addition, naive BALB/c mice were used as uninfected normal mouse controls. The absolute cell numbers of macrophages plus monocytes, neutrophils, dendritic cells, B cells, CD8+ T cells, and CD4+ T cells in the spleen were determined by flow cytometry and compared between PBS control and primary NMI-infected mice at 14 days after NMI reinfection. (A), macrophages plus monocytes (CD11b⁺CD11c[−]Ly6G[−]). **(B)**, neutrophils (CD11b⁺Ly6G⁺). **(C)**, Dendritic cells (CD11c⁺). **(D)**, B cells (CD19⁺). **(E)**, CD8⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD8⁺). (F), CD4⁺ T cells (CD3⁺CD4⁺). Data presented in each group are the averages with SD for four mice, with error bars representing the SD from the means. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 and ****P < 0.0001 were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

FIGURE 3

Compare NMI reinfection induced Ab responses between PBS and different doses of primary NMI-infected mice. The concentrations of anti-NMI specific IgM (A), IgG (B), IgG1 (C), IgG2a (D), and IgG3 (E) in immune sera from PBS or different doses of NMI primary infected mice and challenged with NMI at 14 days post-challenged were determined by ELISA. Ab concentration is expressed as µg/ml. Data presented in each group are the averages with SD for four mice, with error bars representing the SD from the means. *P < 0.05 were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

measured at 14 days post-challenge. (A), Relative body weights (current body weight/day 0 body weight) were measured throughout the challenge period. (B), Splenomegaly (% of spleen weight/body weight). (C), bacterial burden in the spleen was determined by real-time qPCR and is expressed as log10 C. burnetii com1 gene copy numbers. Data presented in each group are the averages with SD for four mice, with error bars representing the SD from the means. *P < 0.05 were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test (A), unpaired Student's t-test (B, C).

addition, compared to PBS control WT mice, splenomegaly was significantly reduced in all primary NMI-infected mice (Figure 5B). However, although splenomegaly was similar between primary NMI-infected B cell deficient and T cell deficient mice, it was significantly higher than NMI-infected WT, CD4⁺ T cell deficient and CD8⁺ T cell deficient mice. As shown in Figure 5C, the bacterial burden was comparable in the spleens from primary NMI-infected WT, B cell deficient and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice, but it was significantly higher in the spleen from primary NMI-infected CD8⁺ T cell deficient and T cell deficient mice. Notably, the bacterial burden in the spleen from primary NMI-infected T cell deficient

mice even significantly higher than PBS-infected WT mice. Collectively, these results demonstrated that primary NMI infection induced a comparable level of protection against the high dose NMI reinfection in WT and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice, and a partial protection in B cell deficient, CD8⁺ T cell deficient and T cell deficient mice. The observation that the bacterial burden in the spleens from primary NMI-infected T cell deficient mice was significantly higher than PBS-infected WT control mice suggests that T cells, especially, $CD8⁺$ T cells may play a critical role in controlling virulent C. burnetii replication in mice. Thus, primary NMI infection induced protective immunity

FIGURE 5

The protective efficacy of primary NMI-infection induced protection against NMI reinfection in B cell, T cell, CD4+ T cell or CD8+ T cell deficient mice. C57BL/6J WT and B cell, T cell, CD4⁺ T cell or CD8⁺ T cell deficient mice were IP infected with 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria and challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post-primary NMI-infection. Additionally, naive WT mice were mock infected with PBS and served as controls. Splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen were measured at 14 days post-challenge. (A), Relative body weights (current body weight/day 0 body weight) were measured throughout the challenge period. (B), Splenomegaly (% of spleen weight/body weight). (C), bacterial burden in the spleen was determined by real-time qPCR and is expressed as log10 C. burnetii com1 gene copy numbers. Data presented in each group are the averages with SD for five mice, with error bars representing the SD from the means. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; and ****P < 0.0001 were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

depends on both B cells and T cells but T cells may play a critical role in controlling bacterial replication.

C. burnetii NMI infection derived protection did not depend on the routes of infection

To examine whether infection route would affect primary NMI infection derived protection against NMI reinfection, mice were IN, IM, or SC infected with 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria and IP challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post-primary NMIinfection via different routes. In addition, PBS receiving mice were IP challenged with $1x10^7$ of NMI bacteria and used as unimmunized controls. Splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen were measured at 14 days post NMI challenge. As shown in Figure 6A, compared to PBS controls, all different routes of primary NMIinfected mice protected the high dose NMI-challenge induced transitional body weight loss. Splenomegaly (Figure 6B) and bacterial burden in the spleens (Figure 6C) were also significantly reduced in all primary NMI-infected mice regardless the route of infection. These results demonstrate that infection route did not affect NMI infection derived protection against NMI reinfection.

Discussion

Elucidation of nature infection induced protective immune responses has provided crucial information for vaccine development against other microbial pathogens ([24](#page-11-0)–[26\)](#page-11-0). Thus, understanding the mechanism of C. burnetii infection derived protection may provide valuable insights for designing an improved new generation vaccine against human Q fever. This study aimed to investigate if virulent NMI infection induces protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection in mice. We found that NMI-infected mice were able to control bacterial replication and C. burnetii reinfection-induced inflammatory responses, suggesting that NMI-infected mice acquired protective immunity against C. burnetii reinfection. In addition, this study provided first evidence to demonstrate that NMI infection derived protective immunity depends on both B cells and T cells, while T cells maybe crucial for controlling bacterial replication and eliminating the bacteria. These findings provide important implications for development of new generation vaccines against human Q fever.

To understand the innate and adaptive cellular responses in primary NMI infected mice against C. burnetii reinfection, the total numbers of macrophages plus monocytes, neutrophils, dendritic cells, B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and CD4⁺ T cells in the spleens were compared between PBS control and different doses of primary NMI-infected mice at 14 days post-NMI challenge. The results indicated that significant lower numbers of macrophages and monocytes, neutrophils, dendritic cells, B cells, CD8⁺ T cells, and CD4⁺ T cells were detected in the spleens from primary NMIinfected mice than in PBS control mice, suggesting that primary NMI-infected mice protected C. burnetii reinfection-induced innate and adaptive cellular responses. In addition, the results that primary NMI-infected mice had significant lower splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen than PBS control mice at 14 days post-NMI reinfection [\(Figures 1B,](#page-4-0) C) suggest that primary NMI infection can elicit protective immunity in mice against C. burnetii reinfection, which enable primary NMI-infected mice to control bacterial replication and inflammatory responses against C. burnetii reinfection. It has been shown that host control of virulent C. burnetii infections and clearance of the organisms are considered to

FIGURE 6

Primary NMI infection derived protection did not depend on the routes of infection. BALB/c mice were IN, IM, or SC infected with 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria and IP challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria at 35 days post-primary NMI-infection via different routes. In addition, PBS receiving mice were IP challenged with 1x10⁷ of NMI bacteria and used as unimmunized controls. Splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen were measured at 14 days post NMI challenge. (A), Relative body weights (current body weight/day 0 body weight) were measured throughout the challenge period. (B), Splenomegaly (% of spleen weight/body weight). (C), bacterial burden in the spleen was determined by real-time qPCR and is expressed as log10 C. burnetii com1 gene copy numbers. Data presented in each group are the averages with SD for five mice, with error bars representing the SD from the means. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; and ***P < 0.001 were determined by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

be mediated primarily by activated monocytes and macrophages ([27](#page-11-0)). Our previous study also demonstrated that neutrophils play an important role in host defense against virulent C. burnetii infection ([28](#page-11-0)). The result that significant higher numbers of neutrophils, monocytes and macrophages were detected in the spleens from PBC control mice at 14 days post-NMI infection provides additional evidence to support that neutrophils, monocytes and macrophages are crucial for host innate immune defense against virulent C. burnetii infection. Despite dendritic cells are the most potent antigen presenting cells (APCs) and have demonstrated their important roles in activating adaptive immune responses to eradicate microbial pathogens, the role of DCs in host defense against virulent C. burnetii infection in vivo has not been well studied. Since spleen is a major peripheral lymphoid organ for APCs to activate T and B cells, and both B cells and T cells are required for host immune defense against virulent C. burnetii infection [\(12](#page-10-0), [29](#page-11-0)), the significantly higher numbers of DCs, B cells, and T cells in the spleens of PBS control mice suggest that DCs may play an important role in activation of T and B cells in the spleen in response to virulent C. burnetii infection in mice. Further studies focuses on determining the role of DCs in activation of T and B cells in mice would provide critical information for understand the mechanism of host immune defense against C. burnetii infection.

In general, it is considered that Ab-mediated immunity plays an essential role for host immune defense against extracellular bacterial pathogens, while cell-mediated immunity is crucial for control and clearance of intracellular bacterial pathogens. However, accumulating evidence demonstrates Abs play an important role for host defense as well as for vaccine-induced protective immune responses against various intracellular bacterial pathogens ([30,](#page-11-0) [31\)](#page-11-0). Despite the obligate intracellular lifestyle of C. burnetii, Abs have been demonstrated their important roles for host defense and vaccine-induced protection against C. burnetii infections in animals and humans ([32](#page-11-0)). A previous study ([33](#page-11-0)) reported that NMI-specific IgM Abs were detected from acute Q fever patients, while high levels of NMI-specific IgG, IgA Abs were appeared in patients with chronic Q fever. Our recent study also demonstrated that inactivated NMI-WCV immunized mice developed significantly high levels of IgM and IgG Abs [\(34](#page-11-0)). In the current study, we compared NMI-specific IgM, IgG, IgG1, IgG21 and IgG3 responses between PBS control and different doses of primary NMIinfected mice at 14 days post-NMI challenge. Interestingly, although the concentrations of NMI-specific IgM, IgG, IgG1 and IgG3 were similar between PBS and NMI infected mice, the concentrations of NMI-specific IgG2a in all doses of primary NMI-infected mice were significantly higher than the IgG2a concentrations in PBS control mice at 14 days post- NMI reinfection [\(Figure 3\)](#page-5-0). These results suggest that primary NMI infection may elicit higher numbers of NMI-specific IgG2a producing memory B cells, which can rapidly response to NMI reinfection resulting the production of significant higher levels of IgG2a in primary NMI-infected mice than in PBS control mice. In addition, the observation that passive transfer of immune sera from primary NMI-infected mice provided significant protection to naive recipient mice against C. burnetii infection [\(Figure 4](#page-6-0)) suggests that NMI-specific Abs may play important roles in primary NMI infection-induced protective immunity. Since IgG2a is a marker that associated with a Th1 response in mice ([35](#page-11-0)), our results suggest that primary NMI infection may be able to induce a Th1 immune response and that a Th1 immune response may be important for primary NMI infection-induced protective immunity. This hypothesis is supported by our previous report that significant higher levels of IgG2a than IgG1 were detected in unvaccinated, PIV or PIIV vaccinated mice at 14 days post-challenge with NMI ([18\)](#page-11-0). In addition, NMI-LPS recognizing IgG2a mAb 1E4 was demonstrated its ability to provide significant protection against C. burnetii infection in our previous study ([36](#page-11-0)). Collectively, these data suggest that NMI-specific IgG2a may play a critical role in primary NMI infection-induced protective immunity against NMI reinfection. However, future study is needed to determine whether Th1 subset CD4⁺ T cell response is responsible for the primary NMI infection-induced NMI-specific IgG2a response.

To identify which components are responsible for the primary NMI-infection induced protection, we examined if B cell, T cell, $CD4^+$ T cell or $CD8^+$ T cell deficiency in mice will significantly affect primary NMI-infection induced protective immunity against NMI reinfection. Our results demonstrated that primary NMI infection induced a comparable level of protection against the high dose NMI reinfection in WT and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice, and a partial protection in B cell deficient, CD8⁺ T cell deficient and T cell deficient mice. In addition, the bacterial burden in the spleens from primary NMI-infected T cell deficient mice was significantly higher than PBS-infected WT control mice. Thus, primary NMI infection induced protective immunity may depend on both B cells and T cells but T cells, especially, CD8⁺ T cells may play a critical for controlling bacterial replication as well as for clearance of bacteria. Our previous studies have also demonstrated that both B cells and T cells are required for viable NMII vaccine ([21\)](#page-11-0) and formalin-inactivated-NMI vaccine ([29](#page-11-0))-induced protective immunities against virulent C. burnetii NMI challenge but T cells are crucial for controlling bacterial replication and for clearance of bacteria. These data suggest that the mechanism of primary C. burnetii infection-induced protection against C. burnetii reinfection maybe similar to the mechanisms of viable NMII vaccine and inactivated-NMI vaccine-induced protection and highlight that T cells play a critical role for controlling bacterial replication in protective immunity against this intracellular bacterial pathogen.

It is notable that primary NMI infected B cell deficient mice were unable to prevent high dose NMI challenge induced body weight loss and splenomegaly but protected NMI challenge induced bacterial burden in the spleens. These results suggest that B cells may play a crucial role in protecting NMI challenge induced clinical diseases and inflammatory responses in primary NMI infected mice. This hypothesis is supported by a previous study ([10\)](#page-10-0) demonstrating that B cell deficiency in mice increases the severity of histopathological changes during virulent C. burnetii NMI primary infection but did not affect the bacterial burden in spleens and our previous report that showed B1a cells secreted a high level of anti-inflammatory cytokine, IL-10 in response to C. burnetii infection in vitro ([37](#page-11-0)). These data suggest that B cells may play an important role in regulating host inflammatory responses against C. burnetii infection in mice. Additionally, Th1 immune response and IFN- γ have been demonstrated to be critical for host defense against C. burnetii primary infection ([10\)](#page-10-0) as well as for vaccine-induced protective immunity against C. burnetii infection ([18](#page-11-0), [38\)](#page-11-0). However, it has been shown that uncontrolled activation of Th1 cells can cause host tissue damage during persistent bacterial infections ([39\)](#page-11-0). B cells have been demonstrated their ability to produce IL-10 in response to microbial infections [\(40](#page-11-0)) and IL-10 negatively regulates activated Th1 cells to secret IFN-g, which is crucial for maintaining a Th1/Th2 balance response during microbial infections [\(41](#page-11-0)). In addition, our previous study also demonstrated that adoptive transfer of B cells protects B cell deficient mice from weight loss and reduces serum IFN- γ levels during NMI infection ([37\)](#page-11-0). Thus, it is possible that B cells may play an important role in maintaining a balanced Th1/Th2 immune response during C. burnetii infection. Future studies to investigate if B cells can directly influence Th1 and Th2 immune responses in both in vitro and in vivo systems would be helpful to determine whether B cells may play an important role in regulating T cell-mediated immunity during C. burnetii infection.

The results that primary NMI infected T cell deficient mice were unable to prevent high dose NMI challenge induced body weight loss, splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleens indicate T cells play a major role for primary NMI infection-induced protective immunity. A previous study has been shown that although either $CD4^+$ or $CD8^+$ T cells were able to effectively control virulent C. burnetii primary infection, CD8⁺ T cells were more critical than $CD4^+$ T cells ([11\)](#page-10-0). Our previous study demonstrating that MHC-I deficient mice developed more severe diseases than MHC-II deficient mice, which supports the hypothesis that CDS^+ T cells play a more critical role than $CD4^+$ T cells in host defense against NMI primary infection ([13\)](#page-10-0). The current results that the bacterial burden was similar in the spleens from primary NMI-infected WT, B cell deficient and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice, but it was significantly higher in the spleen from primary NMI-infected CD8⁺ T cell deficient and T cell deficient mice [\(Figure 5C\)](#page-6-0), suggest that CD8⁺ T cells may play a critical for controlling bacterial replication as well as for clearance of bacteria in primary NMIinfected mice against NMI reinfection. This hypothesis is also supported by our previous study demonstrating that MHC-I restricted CD8⁺ T cells play a more critical role in controlling bacterial replication during primary C. burnetii infection and that perforin, but not granzyme B, is required for cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells to control primary C. burnetii infection [\(13\)](#page-10-0). However, it remains unknown if NMI primary infection would be able to elicit C. burnetii-specific T-cell memory responses. Future study to directly examine whether NMI primary infection can induce stronger C. burnetii-specific T-cell memory responses than PIV vaccination would provide crucial insights for the development of safe and effective new generation vaccines against human Q fever.

C. burnetii natural infections in humans commonly occur via inhalation of infectious aerosols generated from C. burnetii infected animal feces, urine, milk, and birth products [\(42](#page-11-0)). However, due to ease of handling and a lack of aerosol instrumentation, C. burnetii IP infection animal models have been commonly used for studying host immune responses against primary C. burnetii as well as for understanding the mechanisms of vaccine-induced protective immunity. Our previous studies ([16](#page-11-0), [27,](#page-11-0) [28,](#page-11-0) [33](#page-11-0), [34](#page-11-0)) have also demonstrated that both C. burnetii IP and IN infections reliably induced significant splenomegaly in mice and that splenomegaly correlates to infection dose, bacterial burden and pathological changes in the spleen, suggesting that splenomegaly and bacterial burden in the spleen can be reliable and useful parameters to monitor the severity of C. burnetii infection in mice. In this study, the C. burnetii IP infection mouse model was used to understand the mechanism for primary C. burnetii infection-induced protection against C. burnetii reinfection. However, it is unclear if the routes of infection would affect primary NMI-infection induced protection against a high dose of NMI challenge. To address this question, we examined whether mice primary infection with 1×10^4 GE of NMI bacteria by IN, IM, or SC route would influence their ability to confer protection against IP challenged with 1×10^7 GE of NMI bacteria. The observation that all different routes of primary NMI-infected mice protected the high dose NMI-challenge induced transitional body weight loss, splenomegaly, and bacterial burden in spleens ([Figure 6\)](#page-7-0) suggests that primary NMI infection derived protection against NMI reinfection is infection route independent.

Despite the fact that Q-VAX has successfully reduced the spread of Q fever among occupational risk groups in Australia since the implementation of a national Q fever management program in 2001 [\(43\)](#page-11-0), it has failed to gain approval by the FDA due to adverse reactions associated with pre-existing immunity. Therefore, understanding which vaccine components elicit the hypersensitivities, as well as the mechanisms of protective immunity, is necessary to rationally design a safe and effective Q fever vaccine. This study reveals C. burnetii primary infection-driven mechanisms of protective immunity can be integrated with our previously characterized mechanisms of viable NMII vaccine [\(21\)](#page-11-0) and formalin-inactivated-NMI vaccine ([18,](#page-11-0) [29](#page-11-0), [38\)](#page-11-0)-induced protective immunity to inform future Q fever vaccine development. Specifically, the observation that primary NMI infection induces significant higher level of IgG2a response to C. burnetii reinfection in this study correlates with our previous finding that IgG2a was the predominant IgG isotype in PIV-vaccinate mice against C. burnetii challenge [\(18\)](#page-11-0), suggesting that C. burnetii-specific IgG2a may be an important protective component against C. burnetii infection. In addition, the role of CD8⁺ T cells for controlling bacterial replication as well as for clearance of bacteria was highlighted in the C. burnetii primary infection (current study), the viable NMII vaccine ([21\)](#page-11-0) and the formalin-inactivated-NMI vaccine ([29](#page-11-0))-induced protective immunity against *C. burnetii* infection. Thus, antigens that activate B cells to produce C. burnetii-specific IgG2a as well as peptide antigens that activate $CD8⁺$ T cells are expected to be promising candidates for a defined vaccine against C. burnetii infection. However, the finding that primary NMI infection induced a comparable level of protection against the high dose NMI reinfection in WT and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice was supported by our previous studies by demonstrating that the viable NMII vaccine [\(21\)](#page-11-0) and the formalin-inactivated-NMI vaccine [\(29\)](#page-11-0) also provided a similar level of protection in WT and CD4⁺ T cell deficient mice. This data suggests that C. burnetii primary infection and vaccine-induced protective immunity maybe independent on CD4+ T cells. On the other hand, a recent study ([44](#page-11-0)) demonstrates that the formalin-inactivated C. burnetii phase I whole cell vaccine induces a Th1 delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) response in a sensitized mouse model, which mediated by IFNg+ and IL17a+ CD4⁺ T cells. DTH reactions occur when $CD4^+$ T cells recognize foreign antigens via MHC-II-restricted antigen presentation and consequently stimulate cytokine-mediated inflammation (45) (45) . Thus, CD4⁺ T cells may be responsible for the Q-VAX-induced adverse reactions, future Q fever vaccines should modulate the CD4+ T cell response to reduce inflammation without sacrificing protection.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by University of Texas at San Antonio. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

SA: Methodology, Writing – original draft. VK: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. RP: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. JS: Writing – review & editing. NX: Writing – review & editing. GZ: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Parker NR, Barralet JH, Bell AM. Q fever. Lancet. (2006) 367:679–88. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)68266-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68266-4)

2. Raoult D, Marrie TJ, Mege JL. Natural history and pathophysiology of Q fever. Lancet Infect Diseases. (2005) 5:219–26. doi: [10.1016/S1473-3099\(05\)70052-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(05)70052-9)

3. Akamine CM, Perez ML, Lee JH, Ing MB. Q fever in Southern California: a case series of 20 patients from a VA medical center. Am J Trop Med Hygiene. (2019) 101:33– 9. doi: [10.4269/ajtmh.18-0283](https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-0283)

4. Raoult D, Houpikian P, Tissot Dupont H, Riss JM, Arditi-Djiane J, Brouqui P. Treatment of Q fever endocarditis: comparison of 2 regimens containing doxycycline and ofloxacin or hydroxychloroquine. Arch Intern Med. (1999) 159:167–73. doi: [10.1001/archinte.159.2.167](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.159.2.167)

5. Wielders CC, van Loenhout JA, Morroy G, Rietveld A, Notermans DW, Wever PC, et al. Long-term serological follow-up of acute Q-fever patients after a large epidemic. PloS One. (2015) 10:e0131848. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0131848](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131848)

6. McQuiston JH, Childs JE. Q fever in humans and animals in the United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. (2002) 2:179–91. doi: [10.1089/15303660260613747](https://doi.org/10.1089/15303660260613747)

7. Oyston PCF, Davies C. Q fever: the neglected biothreat agent. J Med Microbiol. (2011) 60:9–21. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.024778-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.024778-0)

8. Marmion BP, Kyrkou M, Worswick D, Esterman A, Ormsbee RA, Wright J, et al. Vaccine prophylaxis of abattoir-associated q fever. Lancet. (1984) 324:1411–4. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(84\)91617-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(84)91617-9)

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by NIH/NIAID grants R01AI134681 and R21AI149044 to GZ.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff at the University of Texas at San Antonio animal facilities for their assistance with all the experiments involved in using animals.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full#supplementary-material)fimmu.2024.1427822/ [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1427822/full#supplementary-material)

9. Marmion BP, Ormsbee RA, Kyrkou M, Wright J, Worswick DA, Izzo AA, et al. Vaccine prophylaxis of abattoir-associated Q fever: eight years' experience in Australian abattoirs. Epidemiol Infection. (1990) 104:275–87. doi: [10.1017/S0950268800059458](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268800059458)

10. Ackland JR, Worswick DA, Marmion BP. Vaccine prophylaxis of Q fever. A follow-up study of the efficacy of Q-Vax (CSL) 1985-1990. Med J Aust. (1994) 160:704– 8. doi: [10.5694/j.1326-5377.1994.tb125909.x](https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.1994.tb125909.x)

11. Read AJ, Erickson S, Harmsen AG. Role of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in clearance of primary pulmonary infection with Coxiella burnetii. Infect Immun. (2010) 78:3019– 26. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00101-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00101-10)

12. Andoh M, Zhang G, Russell-Lodrigue KE, Shive HR, Weeks BR, Samuel JE. T cells are essential for bacterial clearance, and gamma interferon, tumor necrosis factor alpha, and B cells are crucial for disease development in Coxiella burnetii infection in mice. Infect Immun. (2007) 75:3245–55. doi: [10.1128/IAI.01767-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01767-06)

13. Buttrum L, Ledbetter L, Cherla R, Zhang Y, Mitchell WJ, Zhang G. Both major histocompatibility complex class i (mhc-i) and mhc-ii molecules are required, while mhc-i appears to play a critical role in host defense against primary coxiella burnetii Infection. Infect Immun. (2018) 86:e00602-17. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00602-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00602-17)

14. Abinanti FR, Marmion BP. Protective or neutralizing antibody in Q fever. Am J Hyg. (1957) 66:173–95. doi: [10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a119894](https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a119894)

15. Brezina R, Kazar J. Study of the antigenic structure of coxiella burneti. Iv. Phagocytosis and opsonization in relation to the phases of C. Burneti. Acta Virol. (1965) 9:268–74.

16. Kazár J, Skultétyová E, Brezina R. Phagocytosis of Coxiella burneti by macrophages. Acta Virol. (1975) 19:426–31.

17. Kishimoto RA, Veltri BJ, Canonico PG, Shirey FG, Walker JS. Electron microscopic study on the interaction between normal Guinea pig peritoneal macrophages and Coxiella burnetii. Infection immunity. (1976) 14:1087–96. doi: [10.1128/iai.14.4.1087-1096.1976](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.14.4.1087-1096.1976)

18. Zhang G, Russell-Lodrigue KE, Andoh M, Zhang Y, Hendrix LR, Samuel JE. Mechanisms of vaccine-induced protective immunity against Coxiella burnetii infection in BALB/c mice. J Immunol. (2007) 179:8372–80. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.179.12.8372](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.12.8372)

19. Williams JC, Thompson HA. Q Fever: The Biology of Coxiella Burneti. United Kingdom: CRC-Press (1991).

20. Omsland A, Beare PA, Hill J, Cockrell DC, Howe D, Hansen B, et al. Isolation from animal tissue and genetic transformation of Coxiella burnetii are facilitated by an improved axenic growth medium. Appl Environ Microbiol. (2011) 77:3720–5. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02826-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02826-10)

21. Kumaresan V, Alam S, Zhang Y, Zhang G. The feasibility of using coxiella burnetii avirulent nine mile phase ii viable bacteria as a live attenuated vaccine against q fever. Front Immunol. (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.754690](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.754690)

22. Kersh GJ, Fitzpatrick KA, Self JS, Biggerstaff BJ, Massung RF. Long-Term immune responses to Coxiella burnetii after vaccination. Clin Vaccine Immunol. (2013) 20:129–33. doi: [10.1128/CVI.00613-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00613-12)

23. Scholzen A, Richard G, Moise L, Baeten LA, Reeves PM, Martin WD, et al. Promiscuous coxiella burnetii CD4 epitope clusters associated with human recall responses are candidates for a novel T-cell targeted multi-epitope Q fever vaccine. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:207. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.00207](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00207)

24. Cadena AM, Hopkins FF, Maiello P, Carey AF, Wong EA, Martin CJ, et al. Concurrent infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis confers robust protection against secondary infection in macaques. PloS Pathog. (2018) 14:e1007305. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1007305](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007305)

25. Leung T, Campbell PT, Hughes BD, Frascoli F, McCaw JM. Infection-acquired versus vaccine-acquired immunity in an SIRWS model. Infect Dis Model. (2018) 3:118– 35. doi: [10.1016/j.idm.2018.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2018.06.002)

26. Sher A, Flynn JL. Sterilizing immunity: New opportunities for rational TB vaccine design. J Exp Med. (2021) 218:e20210454. doi: [10.1084/jem.20210454](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20210454)

27. Brennan RE, Russell K, Zhang G, Samuel JE. Both inducible nitric oxide synthase and NADPH oxidase contribute to the control of virulent phase I Coxiella burnetii infections. Infect Immun. (2004) 72:6666–75. doi: [10.1128/IAI.72.11.6666-](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.11.6666-6675.2004) [6675.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.11.6666-6675.2004)

28. Elliott A, Schoenlaub L, Freches D, Mitchell W, Zhang G. Neutrophils play an important role in protective immunity against Coxiella burnetii infection. Infect Immun. (2015) 83:3104–13. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00042-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00042-15)

29. Zhang G, Peng Y, Schoenlaub L, Elliott A, Mitchell W, Zhang Y. Formalininactivated Coxiella burnetii phase I vaccine-induced protection depends on B cells to produce protective IgM and IgG. Infect Immun. (2013) 81:2112–22. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00297-13) [IAI.00297-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00297-13)

30. Casadevall A. Antibody-based vaccine strategies against intracellular pathogens. Curr Opin Immunol. (2018) 53:74–80. doi: [10.1016/j.coi.2018.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.04.011)

31. Thakur A, Mikkelsen H, Jungersen G. Intracellular pathogens: host immunity and microbial persistence strategies. J Immunol Res. (2019) 2019:1356540. doi: [10.1155/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1356540) [2019/1356540](https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1356540)

32. Zhang G, Zhang Y, Samuel JE. Components of protective immunity. In: Toman R, Heinzen RA, Samuel JE, Mege J-L, editors. Coxiella burnetii: Recent Advances and New Perspectives in Research of the Q Fever Bacterium. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht (2012). p. 91–104.

33. Worswick D, Marmion BP. Antibody responses in acute and chronic Q fever and in subjects vaccinated against Q fever. J Med Microbiol. (1985) 19:281–96. doi: [10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-19-3-281) [00222615-19-3-281](https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-19-3-281)

34. Ledbetter L, Cherla R, Chambers C, Zhang Y, Zhang G. Eosinophils affect antibody isotype switching and may partially contribute to early vaccine-induced immunity against coxiella burnetii. Infect Immun. (2019) 87:e00376-19. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00376-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00376-19)

35. Germann T, Bongartz M, Dlugonska H, Hess H, Schmitt E, Kolbe L, et al. Interleukin-12 profoundly up-regulates the synthesis of antigen-specific complement-fixing IgG2a, IgG2b and IgG3 antibody subclasses in vivo. Eur J Immunol. (1995)
25:823-9. doi: [10.1002/eji.1830250329](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.1830250329)

36. Peng Y, Schoenlaub L, Elliott A, Mitchell WJ, Zhang G. Characterization of a lipopolysaccharide-targeted monoclonal antibody and its variable fragments as candidates for prophylaxis against the obligate intracellular bacterial Coxiella burnetii. Infect Immun. (2014) 82:4530–41. doi: [10.1128/IAI.01695-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01695-14)

37. Schoenlaub L, Elliott A, Freches D, Mitchell WJ, Zhang G. Role of B cells in host defense against primary Coxiella burnetii infection. Infect Immun. (2015) 83:4826–36. doi: [10.1128/IAI.01073-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01073-15)

38. Ledbetter L, Cherla R, Chambers C, Zhang Y, Mitchell WJ, Zhang G. Major histocompatibility complex class ii-restricted, CD4(+) T cell-dependent and -independent mechanisms are required for vaccine-induced protective immunity against Coxiella burnetii. Infect Immun. (2020) 88:e00824-19. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00824-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00824-19)

39. Monack DM, Mueller A, Falkow S. Persistent bacterial infections: the interface of the pathogen and the host immune system. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2004) 2:747–65. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro955](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro955)

40. Mauri C, Gray D, Mushtaq N, Londei M. Prevention of arthritis by interleukin 10-producing B cells. J Exp Med. (2003) 197:489–501. doi: [10.1084/jem.20021293](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20021293)

41. Pestka S, Krause CD, Sarkar D, Walter MR, Shi Y, Fisher PB. Interleukin-10 and related cytokines and receptors. Annu Rev Immunol. (2004) 22:929–79. doi: [10.1146/](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104622) [annurev.immunol.22.012703.104622](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104622)

42. Eldin C, Melenotte C, Mediannikov O, Ghigo E, Million M, Edouard S, et al. From Q fever to coxiella burnetii infection: a paradigm change. Clin Microbiol Rev. (2017) 30:115–90. doi: [10.1128/CMR.00045-16](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00045-16)

43. Gidding HF, Wallace C, Lawrence GL, McIntyre PB. Australia's national Q fever vaccination program. Vaccine. (2009) 27:2037–41. doi: [10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.02.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.02.007)

44. Fratzke AP, Gregory A, Schaik E, Samuel J. Coxiella burnetii whole cell vaccine produces a Th1 delayed-type hypersensitivity response in a novel sensitized mouse model. Front Immunol. (2021) 12. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2021.754712](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.754712)

45. Wilhelmsen CL, Waag DM. Guinea pig abscess/hypersensitivity model for study of adverse vaccination reactions induced by use of Q fever vaccines. Comp Med. (2000) 50:374–8.