#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Joel N.H. Stern, Hofstra University, United States

#### REVIEWED BY Akemi J. Tanaka, University of California, San Diego, United States Derin Keskin, Dana–Farber Cancer Institute, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yifei Lin Vin@hsph.harvard.edu Deyi Luo Vinodeyi@scu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 15 April 2024 ACCEPTED 28 October 2024 PUBLISHED 15 November 2024

#### CITATION

Lyu X, Peng L, Xu X, Fan Y, Yang Y, Chen J, Liu M, Chen Y, Zhang C, Yang S, Shen S, Zhang J, Zeng X, Shen H, Luo D and Lin Y (2024) A genome-wide cross-trait analysis identifying shared genetic basis and causal relationships between Hunner-type interstitial cystitis and autoimmune diseases in East Asian populations. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1417899. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1417899

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lyu, Peng, Xu, Fan, Yang, Chen, Liu, Chen, Zhang, Yang, Shen, Zhang, Zeng, Shen, Luo and Lin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# A genome-wide cross-trait analysis identifying shared genetic basis and causal relationships between Hunnertype interstitial cystitis and autoimmune diseases in East Asian populations

Xinyi Lyu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Liao Peng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xueyuan Xu<sup>1,2</sup>, Yang Fan<sup>1,2</sup>, Yong Yang<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Jiawei Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Mengzhu Liu<sup>6,7</sup>, Yuanzhuo Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Chi Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Shiqin Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Sihong Shen<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiao Zeng<sup>1,2</sup>, Hong Shen<sup>1,2</sup>, Deyi Luo <sup>1,2\*</sup> and Yifei Lin<sup>8,9\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Urology, Institute of Urology (Laboratory of Reconstructive Urology), West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>2</sup>Pelvic Floor Diseases Center, West China Tianfu Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>3</sup>Health Management Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>4</sup>General Practice Medical Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>6</sup>Medical Device Regulatory Research and Evaluation Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>6</sup>Department of Urology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>7</sup>Institute of Urology, Beijing Municipal Health Commission, Beijing, China, <sup>8</sup>Department of Urology, Lab of Health Data Science, Innovation Institute for Integration of Medicine and Engineering, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>9</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States

**Purpose:** Epidemiological studies have demonstrated the clinical link between Hunner interstitial cystitis (HIC) and autoimmune diseases (ADs), suggesting potential shared genetic bases for their comorbidity. We aimed to investigate the shared genetic architecture and causal relationships between HIC and ADs.

**Methods:** We conducted a genome-wide cross-trait study with ~170000 individuals of East Asian ancestry to investigate the shared architecture between HIC and ADs. Bidirectional Mendelian randomization (MR) was used to assess potential causal relationships and a multi-trait analysis of GWAS (MTAG) was conducted to identify their associated pleiotropic loci. Fine-mapping analysis narrowed candidate gene susceptibility loci and colocalization analysis was performed to identify shared variants at specific locus. Lastly, transcriptome-wide association (TWAS) and functional analysis were utilized to explore potential shared gene-tissue associations.

**Results:** Through bidirectional MR analysis, we observed a positive causal effect of AIH(OR<sub>IVW</sub>=1.09, P<sub>IVW</sub>=1.00×10<sup>-3</sup>) and RA (OR<sub>IVW</sub>=1.47, P<sub>IVW</sub><1.00×10<sup>-4</sup>) on HIC and a negative causal effect of UC on HIC (OR<sub>IVW</sub>=0.89, P<sub>IVW</sub><1.00×10<sup>-4</sup>). Furthermore, we unveiled a robust positive causal effect of HIC on T1D (OR<sub>ConMix</sub>=1.05, P<sub>ConMix</sub>=1.77×10<sup>-3</sup>). Cross-trait meta-analysis identified a total

of 64 independent SNPs associated with HIC and ADs. Functional analysis revealed that the identified variants regulated gene expression in major tissues belonging to the autoimmune system.

**Conclusions:** Our findings might offer insights into the shared underlying etiology of HIC and ADs.

KEYWORDS

cross-trait analysis, genetic epidemiology, Mendelian randomization, Hunner-type interstitial cystitis, autoimmune disorder

# 1 Introduction

Hunner interstitial cystitis (HIC) is a rare and challenging chronic inflammatory bladder disease of uncertain etiology. It is characterized by persistent bladder pain and lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), such as urinary frequency and urgency, along with the presence of Hunner ulcers on cystoscopy (1). HIC is a subtype of interstitial cystitis (IC)/bladder pain syndrome (BPS), which is a broadly defined chronic pelvic pain syndrome involving the urinary system. IC encompasses various potential etiologies and clinical phenotypes, with a global prevalence of approximately 10.6 cases per 100,000 individuals (2). The diagnosed prevalence in females is about five times higher than in males. Among IC cases, HIC accounts for 3.5% to 50% of all cases. The pathogenic mechanisms underlying HIC remain unclear, although previous studies have suggested that it involves complex interactions between multiple mechanisms, including neural, endocrine, and immune factors (1, 3). Immunoglobulin and complement deposition, aggregation of restricted light-chain plasma cells, and upregulation of pro-inflammatory genes/molecules involved in innate and adaptive immune responses have been detected in the bladder tissues of HIC patients (4-8).

Furthermore, IC may be a systemic disease and is often comorbid with various autoimmune diseases (ADs). Numerous studies have demonstrated an increased prevalence of multiple ADs in IC patients, including rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Sjögren's syndrome (SS), inflammatory bowel disease, and autoimmune thyroid diseases (9–12).

However, due to the observational nature of traditional epidemiological studies, methodological limitations still exist in current research on the comorbidity between IC and ADs. The underlying pathological and physiological mechanisms, as well as genetic associations, particularly specific shared genetic factors and potential genetic causal effects between IC and ADs, require further investigation. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS), functional genomics research, and integrative analyses offer new avenues for studying the genetic architecture of complex diseases. These approaches can identify candidate pathogenic genes or tissue/ cell types and provide insights into the development of diseaserelated biomarkers and targeted therapeutics.

In this study, we conducted a large-scale genome-wide crosstrait association study with ~170000 individuals of East Asian ancestry to investigate the shared architecture between HIC and ADs, including atopic dermatitis (AD), autoimmune hepatitis (AIH), allergic rhinitis (AR), asthma (AS), contact dermatitis (CD), Graves' disease (GD), Hashimoto's thyroiditis (HT), hypothyroidism (HY), hyperthyroidism (HYPE), myasthenia gravis (MG), pollinosis (PO), psoriasis vulgaris (PV), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), sarcoidosis (SA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), Sjögren's syndrome (SS), type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D), ulcerative colitis (UC), uveitis (UV). We aimed to not only assess the genetic correlation and potential causal relationship between HIC and ADs but also to identify pleiotropic loci associated with joint phenotypes. We hope our findings can help better delineate the biological implications of shared genetic architecture between HIC and ADs.

## 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Study population, design, and data summary

The workflow of our analysis was shown in Figure 1. In brief, there were three main parts in our study: causal inference analysis, cross-trait meta-analysis and post-GWAS analysis between HIC and the 19 autoimmune disorders.

The GWAS summary statistic of HIC includes a total of 153 cases and 46,087 controls (13). The 153 cases were recruited at Tokyo University Hospital in Japan between 2018 and 2020. DNA samples of controls were obtained from the Biobank Japan Project (BBJ). The autoimmune diseases included AD( $N_{case}/N_{control}=2472/142192$ , AIH( $N_{case}/N_{control}=85/166529$ ), AR( $N_{case}/N_{control}=7897/153666$ ), AS( $N_{case}/N_{control}=13015/162933$ ), CD( $N_{case}/N_{control}=247/161777$ ), GD( $N_{case}/N_{control}=2809/172656$ ), HT( $N_{case}/N_{control}=537/172656$ ), HY( $N_{case}/N_{control}=1114/172656$ ), HYPE( $N_{case}/N_{case}/N_{control}=2809/172656$ ), HYPE( $N_{case}/N_{case}/N_{control}=1114/172656$ ), HYPE( $N_{case}/N_{case}$ 

 $N_{control}$ =994/172656), MG( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =81/178630), PO( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =18593/153666), RA( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =5348/173268), SA ( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =220/177667), SLE( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =317/175937), SS ( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =303/175599), T1D( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =1219/132032), UC( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =314/178375), UV( $N_{case}/N_{control}$ =125/174600), the GWAS summary statistics of were obtained from NBDC Human Database, with the Dataset ID hum0197.v3.gwas.v1 (14). We used ANNOVAR (15) to annotate variants of GWAS summary statistics based on 'hg19 avsnp150'. Additional details for each dataset can be found in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Notes.

### 2.2 Causal inference analysis

To identify independent genetic instruments, we utilized the PLINK clumping function with the following parameters: clump-p1 = 5e-8, clump-p2 = 0.01, clump\_kb=500Kb, and clump\_r2 = 0.2. This allowed us to determine the top loci that were independent of each other. To ensure statistical power due to the limited number of instrumental variables (n<10), we employed more lenient criteria for instrument variable selection: clump-p1 = 5e-6, clump-p2 = 0.01, clump\_kb=500Kb, and clump\_r2 = 0.2. Furthermore, we applied Steiger filtering to the instrumental variables and excluded instruments with F-statistics<10.

We utilized several MR methods to examine the causal relationships between each ADs and HIC. Our primary MR analysis was the contamination mixture (ConMix) approach (16), which explicitly modeled multiple potential causal estimates and inferred multiple causal mechanisms associated with the same risk factor that affects the outcome to different degrees. Additionally, we also applied several sensitivity analyses to validate our results. The MR-PRESSO (17) was employed to remove outliers and ensure efficient use of valid IVs. MR-Egger regression (18) provided estimates after the correction of pleiotropy. The weighted-median (WM) estimator approach, as a median of the weighted estimates, provides a consistent effect even if half of the IVs are pleiotropic (19). The median-based method(MBE) proceeds by constructing a kernel-weighted density of the variant-specific estimates, and taking the maximum point of this density as the point estimate. A confidence interval is obtained by bootstrapping (20). Finally, we employed the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method (21), which is a robust approach. We corrected multiple testing for MR P-values by the Bonferroni method, and a P-value of 0.00263 (0.05/19) was considered as the significant level. A P-value less than 0.05 is considered as the threshold indicating statistical significance. ADs



#### FIGURE 1

Overall study design. The GWAS summary statistics are retrieved from various published sources, then the bidirectional Mendelian randomization (MR) analyses were employed to assess potential causal relationships. The multi-trait analysis of GWAS (MTAG), combining single-trait GWAS data, was further conducted to investigate the underlying comorbidity mechanisms, followed by fine-mapping, colocalization analysis, transcriptome-wide association (TWAS), functional analysis and transcriptome sequencing.

that showed possible causal relationships with HIC were included in the following analyses.

We also performed several sensitivity analyses to assess the robustness of our results to potential violations of several MR assumptions. a) Heterogeneity was estimated by the Cochran Q test of IVW and MR-Egger; b) The horizontal pleiotropy was estimated using MR-Egger's intercept; c) The influential outlier IVs due to pleiotropy was identified using MR-PRESSO's outlier test. After removing the outlier instrumental variables (IVs) identified by MR-PRESSO, we conducted the MR analysis again.

The same approach was taken for the reverse MR which was used to eliminate spurious results due to reverse causation. Generally, all the analyses were conducted using R software 4.2.0. The MR-PRESSO method was performed using the "MRPRESSO" package. The IVW, MR-Egger, WM, ConMix and MBE methods were performed using the "MendelianRandomization" package. The forest plot of single SNP, funnel plot and scatter plot were performed using the "TwoSampleMR" package.

#### 2.3 Fine-mapping credible set analysis

We performed statistical fine-mapping using FINEMAP (22). We computed LD in each locus using R package 'LDlinkR' (23) based on genome build GRCh37 and the East Asian population of 1000 Genome project population. We defined a fine-mapping region as the 3Mb ( $\pm$  1.5Mb) window around each lead variant. This window size is based on recommendations for fine-mapping and colocalization analyses. We allowed up to 10 causal variants per window and extracted the posterior inclusion probabilities (PIP) of each variant using each method independently. The variants with PIP>0.90, along with having LD  $r^2$ >0.2 with the lead variant, are considered the final candidate causal variants. We applied 3DSNP, a comprehensive database for human noncoding variants annotation, to annotate these causal variants (24).

### 2.4 Colocalization analysis

We extracted summary statistics for variants within 500 kb( $\pm$  250kb) of the index SNP at each of the shared loci between HIC and ADs and performed colocalization analysis between HIC and each ADs trait using R 'coloc' package (25) to calculate the probability that the two traits shared a common genetic causal variant. We caculated the posterior probability that the 2 traits were associated with different causal variants(H3) or that the 2 traits were associated and shared 1 common causal variant(H4). The posterior probability for H3(PPH3) or H4(PPH4) that was greater than 0.5 was considered colocalized (26).

### 2.5 Cross-trait meta-analysis

We then implemented a cross-trait meta-analysis of GWAS summary data using Multi-Trait Analysis of GWAS (MTAG) (27), a method for joint analysis of summary statistics from GWASs of different traits, to identify pleiotropic loci with strong signals associated with ADs and HIC. By analyzing multiple traits together, this approach increases the statistical power of detecting genetic associations for each trait. The MTAG estimator is a variant of the IVW meta-analysis that utilizes summary statistics from single-trait GWASs and generates trait-specific associations statistics. The resulting P-values can be considered as P-values from a single-trait GWAS. We applied PLINK clumping function parameters: -clump-p 5×10<sup>-8</sup>, -clump-r2 0.2 -clump-kb 500, to determine top loci. The variant with the lowest p-value was defined as the sentinel variant. A P-value of 5×10<sup>-8</sup> was considered as a genome-wide significance for cross-trait metaanalysis and the significant SNP should also meet a requirement that p value of  $5 \times 10^{-3}$  from both single traits.We then performed functional annotation by Functional Annotation of Variants-Online Resource (FAVOR) (28), an open-access variant functional annotation portal for cross-trait meta-analysis.

# 2.6 eQTL mapping, tissue enrichment analysis, and pathway analysis

To map the shared SNPs between HIC and ADs traits to specific genes which they show a significant eQTL association with, we conducted the eQTL mapping analysis using the Functional Mapping and Annotation(FUMA) website (29), incorporating the SNP2GENE function with the cis-eQTLs reported by DICE (30), which were identified in 13 immune cell types isolated from 106 leukapheresis samples provided by 91 healthy subjects, and the eQTLs reported by van der Wijst et al (31), which were identified from 25,000 peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from 45 donors.

To delve into the biological implications of the shared genes among the ten trait pairs, we performed GTEx tissue enrichment analysis within the clumping region for each trait identified by MTAG, using the FUMA website based on 54 tissue types sourced from GTEx(version 8) (29). Additionally, we utilized the FUMA website to assess the enrichment of independent loci for each trait pairing and to explore shared genes between ADs and HIC, examining their association with Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms to elucidate relevant biological pathways. The method for multiple testing correction was BH with an adjusted *p-value* cutoff (0.05).

#### 2.7 Transcriptome-wide association

To explore the potential shared gene-tissue associations between ADs and HIC, we performed a TWAS using FUSION (R package), based on 49 GTEx (version 8) multi-tissue expression weights. FUSION adopts a Bayesian sparse linear mixed model (BSLMM) (32) that combines Bayesian variable selection (BVSR) (33) and linear mixed model (LMN) (34) with the normal mixture prior assumption to train weights between observed gene expressions and cis-acting genetic variants with reference dataset. This method tests the association between predicted gene expression and phenotypes of interest. Besides, we applied Benjamini-Hochberg correction for each

trait's all gene-tissue pairs on TWAS P-values, accounting for multiple tests (false discovery rate < 0.05).

### 2.8 Extraction of TPM expression matrix of genes of interest from the GEO database

The microarray datasets GSE11783 (6), GSE55235 (35), GSE206364 (36), and GSE181674 (37) were extracted from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). Specifically, the GSE11783 dataset for HIC is based on the GPL570 platform, the GSE55235 dataset for RA is based on the GPL96 platform, the GSE206364 dataset for AIH is based on the GPL20301 platform, and the GSE181674 dataset for T1D is based on the GPL21290 platform. We selected the TPM expression matrix of the genes of interest and performed a Wilcoxon rank-sum test to compare gene expression levels between the control and disease groups. The inclusion details of the samples in each dataset are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

## **3** Results

### 3.1 Causal inference between HIC and ADs

We explored the genetic relationship between HIC and ADs using Bidirectional MR analyses to gain additional insights into the genetic connections between these diseases. Bidirectional MR analyses were first addressed to investigate the causal relationship between HIC and ADs. The details were presented in Supplementary Tables S3-4; Figure 1. Based on forward MR analysis, we found that ADs might have a potential causal effect on HIC. Specifically, genetically predicted AIH (OR<sub>IVW</sub>=1.09,  $P_{\rm IVW}{=}1.00{\times}10^{-3})$  and RA (OR\_{\rm IVW}{=}1.47, P\_{\rm IVW}{<}1.00{\times}10^{-4}) exhibited significant positive causal effect on HIC. This result was further validated in sensitivity analyses using other MR methods. The forward MR analysis using the ConMix approach revealed potential positive causal effects of SA  $(OR_{ConMix}=1.16, P_{ConMix}=1.63 \times 10^{-2})$  and T1D  $(OR_{ConMix}=1.37,$  $P_{ConMix}=9.22\times10^{-3}$ ) on HIC despite not meeting the threshold of FDR correction. However, the reverse MR analysis revealed significant positive causal effects of HIC on T1D (OR<sub>ConMix</sub>=1.05,  $P_{ConMix}=1.77\times10^{-3}$ ), suggesting that HIC is likely a risk factor of T1D (Figure 2).

Genetically predicted UC (OR<sub>IVW</sub>=0.89, P<sub>IVW</sub><  $1.00 \times 10^{-4}$ ) has a significant negative causal effect on the risk of HIC, which was agreed by other MR methods (P<sub>WM</sub>< $1.20 \times 10^{-4}$ , P<sub>ConMix</sub>= $3.07 \times 10^{-3}$ ) and sensitivity analysis using MR-PRESSO (OR<sub>PRESSO</sub>=0.89, P<sub>PRESSO</sub>= $2.23 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Additionally, a forward MR analysis using the ConMix and Egger approach revealed a potential negative causal effect of GD on HIC (OR<sub>ConMix</sub>=0.81, P<sub>ConMix</sub>= $3.56 \times 10^{-2}$ ; OR<sub>Egger</sub>=0.80, P<sub>ConMix</sub>= $2.22 \times 10^{-2}$ ).

Reverse MR analysis revealed a positive causal effect of HIC on RA. However, after correction using the outlier test in MR-PRESSO, the aforementioned causal effects are no longer significant. Additionally, the reverse analysis revealed potential negative causal effects of HIC on HT ( $OR_{ConMix}=0.95$ ,  $P_{ConMix}=4.98 \times 10^{-2}$ ) and SLE ( $OR_{ConMix}=0.92$ ,  $P_{ConMix}=3.58 \times 10^{-2}$ ) after removing the outliers identified by the PRESSO analysis (Figure 2). The detailed results of the sensitivity analysis for MR can be found in Supplementary Table S5 and Supplementary Figures S1-116.

# 3.2 Cross-trait meta-analysis between HIC and ADs

After investigating the causal relationships between HIC and ADs, we conducted cross-trait meta-analyses to identify individual SNPs underlying the joint phenotypes based on MTAG(all these SNPs fulfilled  $P_{single} < 5 \times 10^{-3}$ ,  $P_{MTAG} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) to combine the association evidence for HIC with ADs (Table 1 and Figure 3).

We identified a total of 64 independent SNPs. For HIC and AIH, in total, we identified 1 independent SNPs. The most significant SNP (rs564176274,  $P_{MTAG}$ = 9.40×10<sup>-9</sup>,  $P_{HIC}$ = 1.62×10<sup>-5</sup>,  $P_{AIH}$ = 3.23×10<sup>-5</sup>) was located at the intergenic region, which was near gene *HLA-DQB1*.For HIC and RA, we identified 62 shared independent SNPs. The most significant SNP (rs117530403,  $P_{MTAG}$ = 2.91×10<sup>-131</sup>,  $P_{HIC}$ = 3.29×10<sup>-3</sup>,  $P_{RA}$ = 2.53×10<sup>-150</sup>) was located near gene *HLA-DRB1*.Notably, there were three significant SNPs located in the exonic regions of *HLA-DQB1*, *HLA-DQB2 and HLA-C*. For HIC and T1D, we identified 1 shared independent SNPs. The most significant SNP (rs9268831,  $P_{MTAG}$ = 2.52×10<sup>-8</sup>,  $P_{HIC}$ = 1.81×10<sup>-3</sup>,  $P_{T1D}$ = 4.26×10<sup>-6</sup>) was located near gene *HLA-DRA*. For HIC and UC, We did not identify any SNP that meets the significance threshold.

# 3.3 Fine-mapping to identify potential causal variants and colocalization analysis

In fine-mapping potential causal variants underlying HIC and ADs shared signals detected in the GWAS meta-analysis, we nominate 5 putative causal variants at 1 locus, 286 causal variants at 62 loci, 5 causal variants at 1 locus shared between AIH, RA, T1D and HIC, respectively (Supplementary Tables S6-8). Further colocalization analysis was conducted to ascertain whether the genetic variants driving the association in 2 traits are the same or different.We found 41 loci colocalized at different causal variants within 500 kb( $\pm$  250kb) of the lead SNP. The only shared loci between HIC and AIH and T1D demonstrated colocalization at distinct causal variants (Supplementary Tables S9).

# 3.4 Tissue-specific enrichment analysis, pathway analysis, and eQTL mapping

The GTEx enrichment analysis independent tissue expression was significantly enriched (FDR < 0.05) for expression of cross-trait associated genes for HIC-RA, which included whole blood, spleen, brain, and small intestine. However, no significant tissue

Trait pair	SNP	CHR	Position	ŀ	ADs	ł	HIC	MTAG		Gencode Comprehensive Category	Nearest Gene	t Coloc <sup>a</sup>		Nearby gene transcription level	
				BETA	P1	BETA	P2	BETA	P3			PPH3>0.5	PPH4>0.5	HIC	ADs
HIC&AIH	rs564176274	6	32642025	0.92	3.23E-05	0.72	1.62E-05	0.02	9.40E-09	intergenic	HLA-DQB1	yes	no	stable	up
HIC&RA	rs117530403	6	32566482	0.79	2.53E-150	0.5	3.29E-03	0.1	2.91E-131	intergenic	HLA-DRB1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs17427599	6	32667364	0.6	1.24E-138	0.61	9.90E-06	0.08	1.58E-128	intergenic	AL662789.1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs1140310	6	32632783	0.45	7.21E-83	0.73	6.50E-08	0.06	2.30E-84	exonic	HLA-DQB1	yes	no	stable	up
	rs9270000	6	32552740	0.44	5.03E-80	0.38	4.71E-03	0.05	2.04E-72	intronic	HLA-DRB1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs2395166	6	32388275	-0.41	2.13E-70	-0.39	2.64E-03	-0.06	6.37E-65	intergenic	TSBP1-AS1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs9268557	6	32389305	0.36	1.48E-68	0.41	5.85E-04	0.05	8.50E-65	intergenic	TSBP1-AS1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs3104409	6	32683121	0.35	3.49E-58	0.46	2.52E-04	0.05	1.48E-56	intergenic	AL662789.1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs138679457	6	32667423	1.01	1.05E-42	1.27	2.42E-03	0.12	2.68E-41	intergenic	AL662789.1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs3129884	6	32410210	-0.33	8.45E-37	-0.56	1.78E-04	-0.05	6.03E-38	intronic	HLA-DRA	yes	no	up	up
	rs71536532	6	32523756	0.31	4.51E-37	0.41	3.99E-03	0.04	5.20E-36	intergenic	RNU1-61P	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs9271872	6	32595418	-0.34	5.16E-36	-0.45	1.70E-03	-0.05	1.01E-35	upstream	HLA-DQA1	yes	no	up	up
	rs9276571	6	32725620	0.28	1.42E-35	0.42	1.15E-03	0.04	1.30E-35	exonic	HLA-DQB2	yes	no	stable	up
	rs1794269	6	32673894	0.26	1.54E-35	0.37	2.29E-03	0.04	4.32E-35	intergenic	AL662789.1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs2244020	6	31347451	-0.24	4.92E-31	-0.46	9.66E-05	-0.04	4.22E-33	intergenic	AL671883.3	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs145244672	6	32556461	-0.35	2.35E-31	-0.56	1.20E-03	-0.05	7.59E-32	intronic	HLA-DRB1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs34386495	6	32626730	-0.44	4.99E-28	-0.76	6.54E-04	-0.06	3.02E-29	upstream;downstream	HLA-DQB1-AS1; HLA-DQB1	yes	no	unknown	up
	rs2856451	6	32011358	0.23	2.66E-28	0.39	1.10E-03	0.03	3.56E-29	intronic	TNXB	no	no	stable	down
	rs9276653	6	32746414	0.3	2.05E-26	0.57	4.49E-04	0.05	5.25E-28	intergenic	HLA-DQB2	yes	no	stable	up
	rs3135393	6	32408842	-0.31	6.79E-25	-0.7	7.31E-05	-0.05	1.36E-27	intronic	HLA-DRA	yes	no	up	up
	rs114015773	6	32537468	-0.43	7.21E-26	-0.79	5.84E-04	-0.06	2.33E-27	intergenic	HLA-DRB1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs9501588	6	31347020	-0.29	2.71E-25	-0.59	2.97E-04	-0.04	3.25E-27	intergenic	AL671883.3	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs909267	6	31746548	-0.39	1.26E-24	-0.77	4.66E-04	-0.06	2.36E-26	intronic	VARS	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs58770498	6	32631029	-0.43	1.58E-24	-0.82	5.41E-04	-0.06	3.52E-26	intronic	HLA-DQB1	yes	no	stable	up

(Continued)

Trait pair	SNP	CHR	Position	A	Ds	F	ΗC	MTAG		Gencode Comprehensive Category	Nearest Gene	Coloc <sup>a</sup>		Nearby gene transcription level	
				BETA	P1	BETA	P2	BETA	P3			PPH3>0.5	PPH4>0.5	HIC	ADs
	rs1794493	6	32639578	-0.21	2.61E-24	-0.41	7.19E-04	-0.03	8.07E-26	intergenic	HLA-DQB1	yes	no	stable	up
	rs6912701	6	32383573	-0.31	3.37E-25	-0.51	2.88E-03	-0.05	8.69E-26	intergenic	TSBP1-AS1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs9267224	6	31454406	-0.24	5.71E-25	-0.4	2.97E-03	-0.03	1.46E-25	ncRNA_intronic	AL645933.3	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs62405787	6	32414473	-0.32	3.21E-21	-0.83	3.06E-05	-0.05	1.48E-24	intergenic	HLA-DRA	yes	no	up	up
	rs6907458	6	32171288	-0.42	4.17E-22	-0.79	1.06E-03	-0.06	1.37E-23	intronic	NOTCH4	no	no	stable	stable
	rs204999	6	32109979	-0.41	2.27E-22	-0.7	2.63E-03	-0.06	2.64E-23	intergenic	PRRT1	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs57224109	6	30909461	-0.39	1.79E-21	-0.78	6.48E-04	-0.06	2.79E-23	intronic	MUCL3,SFTA2	yes	no	stable	unknown
	rs2596463	6	31413726	-0.38	5.69E-21	-0.73	1.57E-03	-0.06	2.48E-22	ncRNA_exonic LINC01149		yes	no	stable	unknown
	rs74209185	6	29967538	-0.36	8.22E-20	-0.82	2.89E-04	-0.05	3.76E-22	intergenic HCG9		no	no	stable	stable
	rs3129838	6	30306553	0.36	3.33E-20	0.71	1.32E-03	0.05	9.98E-22	intronic	TRIM39, TRIM39-RPP21	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs4148878	6	32822186	-0.39	4.03E-20	-0.74	2.00E-03	-0.06	2.01E-21	intronic	PSMB9	yes	no	up	up
	rs73728586	6	31435428	-0.34	5.70E-19	-0.73	7.48E-04	-0.05	6.87E-21	ncRNA_intronic	HCP5	yes	no	unknown	up
	rs113792081	6	31235775	-0.28	7.13E-18	-0.67	3.53E-04	-0.04	3.11E-20	downstream	HLA-C	yes	no	up	up
	rs74294664	6	29355277	-0.34	9.59E-18	-0.81	3.74E-04	-0.05	4.38E-20	intronic	OR5V1	no	no	stable	unknown
	rs141074112	6	30782977	0.51	9.31E-19	1	3.11E-03	0.07	6.23E-20	ncRNA_intronic	LINC00243	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs111508444	6	29603512	-0.35	1.18E-17	-0.79	6.10E-04	-0.05	9.20E-20	intergenic	GABBR1	no	no	stable	stable
	rs60056504	6	31415258	-0.34	5.30E-18	-0.64	3.87E-03	-0.05	4.02E-19	ncRNA_intronic	HCP5	yes	no	unknown	up
	rs77875080	6	28716551	-0.32	1.51E-15	-0.79	6.41E-04	-0.05	9.38E-18	intergenic	AL662890.1	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs73395314	6	26276214	-0.29	7.92E-15	-0.79	3.58E-04	-0.05	2.49E-17	intergenic	HIST1H4H	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs3757183	6	28191859	-0.32	3.75E-15	-0.72	2.00E-03	-0.05	7.75E-17	upstream	ZSCAN9	no	no	stable	stable
	rs6901118	6	26399586	-0.33	3.10E-15	-0.71	2.64E-03	-0.05	8.88E-17	intergenic	BTN3A1	no	no	up	up
	rs12664430	6	33272677	0.28	9.51E-12	1.16	4.13E-06	0.05	5.85E-16	intronic	TAPBP	yes	no	up	up
	rs9357029	6	26970402	0.32	2.17E-14	0.72	2.87E-03	0.05	5.99E-16	ncRNA_intronic	LINC00240	no	no	stable	unknown
	rs143272041	6	27661068	-0.31	4.04E-14	-0.71	2.40E-03	-0.05	8.78E-16	upstream	AL009179.1	no	no	unknown	unknown
															(Continued)

Trait pair	SNP	CHR	Position	A	۱Ds	HIC MTAG		Gencode Nearest Comprehensive Gene Category		Coloc <sup>a</sup>		Nearby gene transcription level			
				BETA	P1	BETA	P2	BETA	P3			PPH3>0.5	PPH4>0.5	HIC	ADs
	rs56139485	6	26417851	-0.31	4.98E-14	-0.67	3.70E-03	-0.05	1.74E-15	intergenic	BTN3A1	no	no	up	up
	rs9267352	6	31463128	-0.16	5.86E-13	-0.41	1.15E-03	-0.03	5.04E-15	intronic	MICB	no	no	up	up
	rs2855502	6	33149308	-0.23	2.43E-10	-1.1	9.01E-07	-0.04	5.93E-15	intronic	COL11A2	yes	no	stable	stable
	rs9266533	6	31341777	-0.26	3.56E-12	-0.8	2.27E-04	-0.04	6.09E-15	ncRNA_intronic	AL671883.3	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs7767732	6	32853277	-0.18	1.52E-11	-0.42	4.97E-03	-0.03	5.11E-13	intergenic	PSMB9	yes	no	up	up
	rs112136957	6	32682165	0.24	8.64E-10	0.82	3.82E-04	0.04	2.28E-12	intergenic	AL662789.1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs989134	6	26336224	0.21	1.14E-09	0.63	1.44E-03	0.03	9.62E-12	intergenic	AL021917.1	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs3135196	6	32997577	-0.25	2.41E-07	-1.48	2.67E-06	-0.05	2.44E-11	intergenic	HLA-DOA	yes	no	up	stable
	rs1264429	6	30565101	-0.19	3.19E-09	-0.57	2.24E-03	-0.03	3.93E-11	downstream	ABCF1	no	no	stable	down
	rs1050437	6	31239585	-0.13	2.82E-09	-0.35	4.19E-03	-0.02	6.28E-11	exonic	HLA-C	yes	no	up	up
	rs3094588	6	31362341	-0.15	2.33E-08	-0.5	9.52E-04	-0.02	1.35E-10	ncRNA_exonic	AL645933.2	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs147593461	6	31877763	0.42	1.22E-08	1.37	2.81E-03	0.07	1.81E-10	intronic	C2	no	no	up	up
	rs1015465	6	30086340	-0.14	3.45E-08	-0.45	2.45E-03	-0.02	4.47E-10	intergenic	TRIM31-AS1	no	no	unknown	unknown
	rs34017414	6	32554085	0.15	2.94E-06	0.7	1.81E-04	0.02	6.02E-09	intronic	HLA-DRB1	yes	no	unknown	unknown
	rs12528890	6	33089603	0.12	2.20E-04	1.05	1.91E-07	0.03	2.01E-08	intergenic	HCG24	yes	no	unknown	unknown
HIC&T1D	rs9268831	6	32427748	0.19	4.26E-06	0.37	1.81E-03	0.02	2.52E-08	intergenic	HLA-DRA	yes	no	up	up

P1 is the autoimmune disorders' single-trait P value, P2 is the HIC single-trait P value, P3 is the P value of MTAG analysis. \*Due to the large number of shared independent SNPs between the HIC-RA trait pair; aColocalization analysis was conducted based on three windows: ± 250kb, a posterior probability for H3 (PPH3) or H4 (PPH4) greater than 0.5 was considered indicative of colocalization.

enrichment was identified for HIC-AIH, HIC-UC, and HIC-T1D meta-analysis (Supplementary Figures S117-120).

In terms of GO, we observed that pathways were primarily associated with antigen processing and presentation, immune processes mediated by lymphocytes, leukocytes, B cells, and T cells, production of immunoglobulins, and regulation of cell cytotoxicity, among others. Additionally, in KEGG pathways, we identified enrichment of shared loci between HIC and autoimmune diseases in immune response processes such as antigen processing and presentation, allograft rejection, intestinal immune network for IgA production, natural killer cell-mediated cytotoxicity, as well as autoimmune diseases including graft-versus-host disease, type 1 diabetes mellitus, autoimmune thyroid disease, viral myocarditis, asthma, systemic lupus erythematosus, and leishmania infection (Supplementary Tables S10-13, Supplementary Figures S121-128).

To explore whether the significant SNPs shared between HIC and ADs had a downstream functional impact, we extracted the eQTL data corresponding to the significant SNPs found in each HIC and ADs trait pair from the immune cell eQTL data and the PBMCs eQTL data. Among the 62 shared SNPs between HIC and RA (Supplementary Table S14), we identified 10 SNPs associated with the expression of nearby genes. Notably, increased expression was observed for the symbol genes *BTN2A2*, *BTN3A1*, *FLOT1*, *DDR1*, *HLA-DQA2*, *HLA-DQB2*, *HLA-DRB5*, *HLA-DQA1*, *and HLA-DPA1*. For HIC and T1D (Supplementary Table S15), we identified 1 SNP (rs9262670) associated with the increased

expression of *HLA-DQA2* and *HLA-DQB2* in monocytes, CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells and B cells.

# 3.5 Shared genes between HIC and ADs from TWAS

We then examined shared TWAS genes between HIC and ADs in specific tissues. After BH correction, we identified 5 TWASsignificant genes between HIC with RA, 4 genes for UC and 2 for T1D (Supplementary Tables S16-18). Notably, the cross-trait metaanalysis of HIC-RA has identified *HLA-DOA* as genome-wide significant (rs3135196,  $P_{MTAG}$ = 2.44×10<sup>-11</sup>,  $P_{HIC}$ = 2.67.00×10<sup>-6</sup>,  $P_{RA}$ = 2.41×10<sup>-7</sup>). *HLA-DPB1* was also significant in the cross-trait meta-analysis of HIC and RA (rs12528890,  $P_{MTAG}$ = 2.01×10<sup>-8</sup>,  $P_{HIC}$ = 1.91×10<sup>-7</sup>,  $P_{RA}$ = 2.20×10<sup>-4</sup>). Notably, although *PSMB8* is not significant in the MTAG analysis, both *PSMB8* and *PSMB9* belong to the gene encoding the proteasome 20S subunit. Additionally, *PSMB9* is significant in the MTAG analysis of HIC-RA.

# 3.6 The transcriptomic expression levels of shared genes between HIC and ADs.

We further validated the differences in the expression of shared genes between the disease and control groups at the transcriptomic

Exposures	Direction	NSNP	Methods	OR (95% CI)		Р	Outcomes	Direction	NSNP	Methods	OR (95% CI)		Р	P>0.05
AIH	Forward	52	Contamination Mixture	1.06 (0.98 to 1.30)	H-H	0.152	AIH	Reverse	64	Contamination Mixture	0.93 (0.85 to 1.04)	Η	0.148	0.0026 < P ≤ 0.
			Weighted median	1.09 (1.02 to 1.16)	iei -	0.012				Weighted median	1.05 (0.94 to 1.16)	÷н	0.394	1 3 0.0020
			IVW	1.09 (1.03 to 1.14)	H	0.001				IVW	1.06 (0.98 to 1.15)	÷н	0.157	
			MBE	1.08 (0.94 to 1.25)	H+H	0.271				MBE	0.89 (0.68 to 1.17)	HHH	0.398	
			MR-Egger	1.04 (0.94 to 1.15)	HH	0.468				MR-Egger	1.02 (0.85 to 1.22)	<b>→</b> →	0.851	
GD	Forward	228	Contamination Mixture	0.81 (0.73 to 0.97)	HHH!	0.036	GD	Reverse	57	Contamination Mixture	1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)		0.573	
			Weighted median	0.96 (0.87 to 1.05)	HH	0.383				Weighted median	1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)		0.856	
			IVW	1.00 (0.94 to 1.06)	н	0.944				IVW	1.00 (0.98 to 1.02)		0.873	
			MBE	0.88 (0.65 to 1.20)	H++++	0.432				MBE	1.00 (0.96 to 1.04)	н	0.912	
			MR-Eager	0.80 (0.66 to 0.97)	H+++					MR-Egger	0.98 (0.94 to 1.01)	Ĥ	0.220	
ΗT	Forward	116	Contamination Mixture	1.08 (0.94 to 1.22)	H+H	0.249	нт	Reverse	63	Contamination Mixture	0.95 (0.90 to 1.00)	н	0.050	
			Weighted median	1.02 (0.92 to 1.13)	HH I	0.73				Weighted median	0.96 (0.92 to 1.00)	H	0.057	
			IVW	1.01 (0.94 to 1.09)	H	0.742				IVW	0.99 (0.96 to 1.02)	H I	0.412	
			MBE	0.98 (0.75 to 1.28)	<b>—</b>	0.858				MBE	0.95 (0.86 to 1.05)	H+I	0.333	
			MR-Egger	0.96 (0.81 to 1.15)	HH	0.69				MR-Egger	0.95 (0.89 to 1.02)	н	0.162	
RA	Forward	146	Contamination Mixture	1.66 (1.51 to 1.83)	HH-	< 0.001	RA	Reverse	52	Contamination Mixture	0.98 (0.98 to 0.99)	+	0.095	
			Weighted median	1.58 (1.40 to 1.78)	<b>H</b>	< 0.001				Weighted median	0.99 (0.98 to 1.01)		0.431	
			IVW	1.47 (1.35 to 1.59)	HH	< 0.001				IVW	1.01 (0.99 to 1.02)		0.311	
			MBE	1.73 (1.42 to 2.12)		>< 0.001				MBE	0.98 (0.95 to 1.01)	H I	0.220	
			MR-Egger	2.06 (1.70 to 2.49)		♦ < 0.001				MR-Egger	1.00 (0.97 to 1.02)		0.767	
SA	Forward	66	Contamination Mixture	1.16 (1.03 to 1.26)	H+H	0.016	SA	Reverse	64	Contamination Mixture	0.99 (0.90 to 1.05)	н	0.695	
	1 official of		Weighted median	1.03 (0.94 to 1.13)	HH	0.562				Weighted median	0.96 (0.90 to 1.02)	н	0.174	
			IV/W	1.00 (0.94 to 1.07)	HH I	0.937				IVW	0.98 (0.93 to 1.03)	H	0.459	
			MBE	1.00 (0.95 to 1.51)		0.131				MBE	0.96 (0.84 to 1.10)	HH	0.546	
			MR-Enger	1.06 (0.91 to 1.23)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.481				MR-Egger	0.98 (0.88 to 1.09)	HH	0.691	
SI F	Forward	62	Contamination Mixture	0.88 (0.77 to 1.06)		0.203	SLE	Reverse	64	Contamination Mixture	0.93 (0.89 to 0.99)	H	0.036	
	rorward	01	Weighted median	0.96 (0.85 to 1.08)		0.467			•.	Weighted median	0.97 (0.92 to 1.02)	H	0 178	
			IV/W	0.97 (0.90 to 1.06)		0.541				IVW	0.98 (0.95 to 1.02)		0.340	
			MPE	0.95 (0.72 to 1.35)		0.713				MBE	0.95 (0.85 to 1.06)	, in the second se	0.335	
			MR-Egger	0.93 (0.72 to 1.25)		0.494				MB-Egger	0.99 (0.92 to 1.08)		0.000	
T1D	Forward	66	Contamination Mixture	1 37 (1 11 to 1 73)		0.009	T1D	Roverse	64	Contamination Mixture	1.05 (1.03 to 1.08)		0.000	
	Forwaru	00	Weighted median	1.37 (1.11 to 1.73)		0.12	110	Reverse	04	Weighted median	1.03 (1.03 to 1.06)		0.002	
			weighted median	1.12 (0.97 to 1.31)		0.127				MAN INAN	1.03 (1.01 to 1.00)		0.042	
			MDE	1.12 (0.67 to 1.51)		0.612				MDE	1.05 (0.00 to 1.04)	W	0.097	
			MD Freeze	0.00 (0.54 to 1.69)		0.012				MD Eager	1.03 (0.99 to 1.12)	н ш	0.007	
IC.	Forward	146	Contamination Minture	0.00 (0.04 to 1.19)	w	0.275	LIC.	Reverse	50	Contamination Misture	1.02 (0.96 to 1.07)		0.313	
00	Forward	140	Weighted median	0.90 (0.00 (0 0.95)	m W	< 0.001	00	rteverse	09	Weighted median	0.00 (0.95 to 1.09)		0.895	
			weighted median	0.69 (0.64 to 0.95)		< 0.001				weighteu median	0.99 (0.94 to 1.05)		0.03/	
			NDE	0.00 (0.04 to 0.92)		< 0.001				MDE	0.99 (0.95 to 1.04)		0.755	
			MDE	0.91 (0.81 to 1.02)	H+4	0.098				MDC MDC	1.00 (0.88 to 1.12)		0.941	
			MR-Egger	1.03 (0.92 to 1.16)	H+H	0.595				wik-Egger	0.93 (0.85 to 1.03)	-++	0.182	

#### FIGURE 2

Causal inference between ADs on HIC using bidirectional Mendelian Randomization (MR) analysis. (A) Causal effect of ADs on HIC. (B) Causal effect of HIC on ADs. AIH, Autoimmune hepatitis; GD, Graves' disease; HT, Hashimoto's thyroiditis; RA, Rheumatoid arthritis; SA, Sarcoidosis; SLE, Systemic lupus erythematosus; T1D, Type 1 diabetes mellitus; UC, Ulcerative colitis; IVW, Inverse-variance weighted method; MBE, mode-based estimate.

level (Figure 4). After excluding pseudogenes and different transcripts, we found that among the shared genes identified through cross-trait meta-analysis, *HLA-DQB1*, a shared gene between HIC and AIH, was upregulated only in AIH, but the difference was not statistically significant in HIC (Supplementary Figure S129). *HLA-DRA* was significantly upregulated in HIC, RA, and T1D. Seven shared genes between HIC and RA trait pairs, including *BTN3A1, C2, HLA-C, HLA-DQA1, PSMB9, MICB*, and *TAPBP*, were significantly upregulated only in HIC, while *HLA-DQB2, HLA-DQB1*, and *HCP5* were upregulated only in RA. Additionally, *ABCF1 and TNXB* were significantly downregulated only in RA.

## 4 Discussion

We conducted, to the best of our knowledge, the first comprehensive assessment of the shared genetics between HIC and ADs in the East Asian population by analyzing large-scale GWAS summary data using multiple statistical genetic approaches. Through bidirectional MR analysis, we investigated the causal relationships between HIC and several ADs. Notably, we observed a significant positive causal effect of AIH and RA on HIC, which is consistent with previous epidemiological findings. A nationwide population-based study conducted in Taiwan also indicated an association between IC/BPS and the development of RA. Furthermore, our study unveiled a robust positive causal effect of HIC on T1D. In contrast, in the MR analysis, we observed a significant negative causal effect of UC on HIC, which diverges from previous epidemiological reports (12).

Moreover, several ADs, including GD, SA, and SLE, demonstrated statistical significance in the bidirectional MR analysis with HIC. However, these results did not pass the multiple corrections. Interestingly, although previous epidemiological reports have suggested a common comorbidity between Sjögren's syndrome and IC, we did not observe a significant correlation in our causal inference. This discrepancy might be due to the relatively small sample size of GWAS studies on Sjögren's syndrome.

We also identified 64 independent loci shared between HIC and AIH, RA, and T1D at genome-wide significant level. We highlighted HLA region (several sentinel SNPs) for its significant role in between HIC and ADs. HLA region harbors more than 200 genes located close to each other on chromosome 6, one of the most extensively studies regions in human genome that contains abundant pleiotropy for many complex diseases, especially involved in the immune-related process (38). The genome-wide association study has identified that three amino acid positions in human leukocyte antigen HLA-DQB1 and one amino acid position in HLA-DPB1 were associated with the increased risk of HIC, which revealed that genetic contributions to HIC risk that may be associated with class II MHC molecule antigen presentation. Notably, there is no significant difference in the transcriptional levels of HLA-DQB1 and HLA-DPB1 between the HIC and control groups, suggesting that the associated risk SNPs may contribute to disease by affecting protein function rather than regulating gene transcription levels. Furthermore, Tseng et al. compared global gene expression profiles in bladder epithelial cells between patients with HIC and normal controls, and observed upregulations of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I(HLAF) and class II (HLA-F, HLA-DQB1, HLA-DRB1, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DOA, HLA-DMA and HLA-DRA) molecules in bladder epithelial from IC and ulcerative IC area.

In this study, we also confirmed that *HLA-DQB1* is the most significant locus in the MTAG analysis of HIC-AIH. Additionally, we have uncovered distinct potential susceptibility loci between HIC and different ADs, with the majority of these loci being located within the HLA region mentioned above. Specifically, we emphasized several genes, including overlapping loci across trait pairs such as *HLA-DRB1*, *HLA-DRA* and two genes that were



FIGURE 3

Circular Manhattan Plot of Multi-Trait Analysis for HIC and ADs GWAS meta-analysis. (A) Manhattan plot for cross-trait meta-analysis between AIH and HIC; (B) Manhattan plot for cross-trait meta-analysis between RA and HIC; (C) Manhattan plot for cross-trait meta-analysis between T1D and HIC; (D) Manhattan plot for cross-trait meta-analysis between UC and HIC; AIH, Autoimmune hepatitis; RA, Rheumatoid arthritis; T1D, Type 1 diabetes mellitus; UC, Ulcerative colitis; HIC, Hunner-type interstitial cystitis.



Boxplots of Shared Genes with Significant Differential Expression Between Control and Disease Groups (A–I) Boxplots of shared genes with significant differential expression between HIC and the control group (*BTN3A1, C2, HLA-C, HLA-DOA, HLA-DOA, HLA-DOA1, PSMB9, MICB, TAPBP*); (J). Boxplot of the shared gene with significant differential expression between AlH and the control group (*HLA-DQB1*); (K–W). Boxplots of shared genes with significant differential expression between RA and the control group (*ABCF1, TNXB, TAPBP, PSMB9, MICB, HLA-DQA2, HLA-DQB2, HLA-DQB1, HLA-BQA1, HLA-C, HCP5, C2, BTN3A1*); (X). Boxplot of the shared gene with significant differential expression between T1D and the control group.

significant in both MTAG and TWAS analysis, namely *HLA-DOA* and *PSMB9*.

HLA-DRA is the most significant loci shared between HIC and T1D, and it also exhibited significance between HIC and RA. HLA-DRA is one of the HLA class II alpha chain paralogues, playing a crucial role in antigen presentation (39). Notably, DRA lacks polymorphisms in the peptide binding region and acts as the sole alpha chain for DRB1, DRB3, DRB4, and DRB5. The HLA-DR4 (DRB1\*0405-DQB1\*0401) and HLA-DR9 (DRB1\*0901-DQB1\*0303) haplotypes were primarily associated with T1D in East Asian populations (40). Genetic variation at the HLA-DRB1 gene is associated with RA, and HLA-DRB1\*0404, \*0405 have also been found to exhibit strong associations with RA in Asians (41).

*HLA-DOA*, a member of the HLA class II alpha chain paralogues, exhibited a significant association between HIC and RA, as well as in TWAS analyses of HIC-RA, HIC-T1D and HIC-UC. *HLA-DOA* forms a heterodimer with *HLA-DOB*. The heterodimer, *HLA-DO*, is localized in lysosomes of B cells and regulates *HLA-DM*-mediated peptide loading on MHC class II molecules (42). Okada et al. found that *HLA-DOA*, a nonclassical HLA gene, was an independent risk factor on ACPApositive RA and demonstrated a cis-eQTL effect of the causal variant in Japanese population (43). Furthermore, *HLA-DOA* has also been confirmed as a susceptibility locus within MHC with a moderate contribution to T1D that is independent of *HLA-DRB1* locus (44). *PSMB9* and *PSMB8* encode beta subunits of the proteasome and are located within the class II region of the MHC. The proteasome is primarily responsible for cleaving class I MHC peptides in an ATP/ ubiquitin-dependent process within a non-lysosomal pathway (45). Li et al. identified *PSMB9* as a diagnostic marker for RA using a machine learning approach (46). Furthermore, we also observed *AL662789.1* and *AL671883.3*, which are human DNA sequences derived from clone XXbac-254F23 on chromosome 6 and clone CH501-248L24 on chromosome 6, respectively. These sequences were found to be shared by more than one pair of HIC and ADs. However, there is currently limited research on these genes.

This study possesses several notable strengths. Firstly, most of the cross-trait studies now focus on the European population, and this is the first analysis to identify the shared genetic architecture of HIC and ADs using a large-scale observational GWAS dataset consisting exclusively of East Asian samples, after identifying ten GWAS sources (details were shown in Supplementary Table S1). Furthermore, we utilized multi-omics statistical methods such as MTAG and TWAS to identify novel genes and pathways associated with both HIC and ADs. The novel genes we discovered may serve as potential drug targets for the treatment of the disease, although further validation is required. Notably, they could offer new diagnostic and therapeutic avenues for patients with HIC, particularly those with comorbid autoimmune disorders.

We would like to acknowledge several potential limitations in our study. Firstly, the limited sample size of participants with each mental disorder and the restriction to individuals of East Asian ancestry have limited the statistical power of our GWAS analysis, which may limit the generalizability of our findings to other ancestral populations. Secondly, the lack of GWAS data for other subtypes of IC, such as non-ulcerative IC, has hindered our ability to investigate and differentiate the genetic associations between different subtypes of interstitial cystitis and immune-related disorders. Thirdly, it is important to consider common nongenetic risk factors for the occurrence of hypersensitivity HIC and ADs, such as medication and environmental factors. Our current study focused solely on evaluating shared genetic factors between HIC and ADs, and future research should investigate shared environmental factors between these conditions.

## **5** Conclusion

Our study provides evidence of a genetic correlation and causality between HIC and ADs. We identified genetic loci associated with both HIC and autoimmune disorders, as well as potential causal relationships between disease trait pairs, thereby enriching our understanding of HIC and shedding light on the shared genetic etiology of HIC and autoimmune disorders. In addition, we discovered multiple potential common biological mechanisms that can enhance our knowledge of the link between HIC and ADs. These discoveries open up new avenues for future research on functional validation, disease prevention, and clinical treatment strategies.

### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

### Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Sichuan University Medical Ethics Committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from gifted from another research group. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

## Author contributions

XL: Data curation, Project administration, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. LP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Software, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. XX: Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. YF: Formal analysis, Software, Writing – review & editing. YY: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing – review & editing. JC: Visualization, Writing – review & editing. ML: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YC: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Conceptualization, Software, Writing – review & editing. SY: Conceptualization, Software, Writing – review & editing. SS: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Resources, Writing – review & editing. HS: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing, DL: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. YL: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 82270720, 32171301 and 32101206), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2021YFC2009100 and 2021YFC2009102), the Natural Science Foundation of Sichuan Province (Grant No. 2022NSFSC1308), and Key Program of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (Grant No. 2023YFS0102 and 2023YFS0025), and 1.3.5 project for disciplines of excellence-Clinical Research Fund, West China Hospital, Sichuan University (2023HXFH044).

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1417899/ full#supplementary-material

## References

1. Homma Y, Akiyama Y, Tomoe H, Furuta A, Ueda T, Maeda D, et al. Clinical guidelines for interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome. *Int J Urol.* (2020) 27:578–89. doi: 10.1111/iju.14234

2. Patnaik SS, Laganà AS, Vitale SG, Butticè S, Noventa M, Gizzo S, et al. Etiology, pathophysiology and biomarkers of interstitial cystitis/painful bladder syndrome. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. (2017) 295:1341–59. doi: 10.1007/s00404-017-4364-2

3. van de Merwe JP, Nordling J, Bouchelouche P, Bouchelouche K, Cervigni M, Daha LK, et al. Diagnostic criteria, classification, and nomenclature for painful bladder syndrome/interstitial cystitis: an ESSIC proposal. *Eur Urol.* (2008) 53:60–7. doi: 10.1016/j.eururo.2007.09.019

4. Ochs RL, Stein TW, Peebles CL, Gittes RF, Tan EM. Autoantibodies in interstitial cystitis. J Urol. (1994) 151:587–92. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(17)35023-1

5. Maeda D, Akiyama Y, Morikawa T, Kunita A, Ota Y, Katoh H, et al. Hunner-type (Classic) interstitial cystitis: A distinct inflammatory disorder characterized by pancystitis, with frequent expansion of clonal B-cells and epithelial denudation. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0143316. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143316

6. Gamper M, Viereck V, Geissbühler V, Eberhard J, Binder J, Moll C, et al. Gene expression profile of bladder tissue of patients with ulcerative interstitial cystitis. *BMC Genomics*. (2009) 10:199. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-199

7. Akiyama Y, Maeda D, Katoh H, Morikawa T, Niimi A, Nomiya A, et al. Molecular taxonomy of interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome based on whole transcriptome profiling by next-generation RNA sequencing of bladder mucosal biopsies. *J Urol.* (2019) 202:290–300. doi: 10.1097/JU.00000000000234

8. Gamper M, Viereck V, Eberhard J, Binder J, Moll C, Welter J, et al. Local immune response in bladder pain syndrome/interstitial cystitis ESSIC type 3C. *Int Urogynecol J.* (2013) 24(12):2049–57. doi: 10.1007/s00192-013-2112-0

9. Yueh HZ, Yang MH, Huang JY, Wei JCC. Risk of autoimmune diseases in patients with interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome: A nationwide populationbased study in Taiwan. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2021) 8:747098. doi: 10.3389/ fmed.2021.747098

10. Ueda Y, Tomoe H, Takahashi H, Takahashi Y, Yamashita H, Kaneko H, et al. Interstitial cystitis associated with primary Sjögren's syndrome successfully treated with a combination of tacrolimus and corticosteroid: A case report and literature review. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2016) 26:445–9. doi: 10.3109/14397595.2014.895283

11. Keller JJ, Liu SP, Lin HC. A case-control study on the association between rheumatoid arthritis and bladder pain syndrome/interstitial cystitis. *Neurourol and Urodynamics.* (2013) 32(7):980–5.

12. de Merwe JP, Yamada T, Sakamoto Y. Systemic aspects of interstitial cystitis, immunology and linkage with autoimmune disorders. *Int J Urol.* (2003), 10 Suppl:S35-38. doi: 10.1046/j.1442-2042.10.s1.10.x

13. Akiyama Y, Sonehara K, Maeda D, Katoh H, Naito T, Yamamoto K, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies risk loci within the major histocompatibility complex region for Hunner-type interstitial cystitis. *Cell Rep Med.* (2023) 4:101114. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101114

14. Sakaue S, Kanai M, Tanigawa Y, Karjalainen J, Kurki M, Koshiba S, et al. A crosspopulation atlas of genetic associations for 220 human phenotypes. *Nat Genet.* (2021) 53:1415–24. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00931-x

15. Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2010) 38:e164. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq603

16. Burgess S, Foley CN, Allara E, Staley JR, Howson JMM. A robust and efficient method for Mendelian randomization with hundreds of genetic variants. *Nat Commun.* (2020) 11:376. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14156-4

17. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

18. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

19. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent estimation in mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator. *Genet Epidemiol.* (2016) 40:304–14. doi: 10.1002/gepi.2016.40.issue-4

20. Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J. Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2017) 46:1985–98. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyx102

21. Yuan S, Larsson SC. Assessing causal associations of obesity and diabetes with kidney stones using Mendelian randomization analysis. *Mol Genet Metab.* (2021) 134:212–5. doi: 10.1016/j.ymgme.2021.08.010

22. Benner C, Spencer CC, Havulinna AS, Salomaa V, Ripati S, Pirinen M. FINEMAP: efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies. *Bioinf (Oxford England).* (2016) 32(10):1493–501. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw018

23. Myers TA, Chanock SJ, Machiela MJ. LDlinkR: an R package for rapidly calculating linkage disequilibrium statistics in diverse populations. *Front Genet.* (2020) 11:157. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2020.00157

24. Quan C, Ping J, Lu H, Zou G, Lu Y. 3DSNP 2.0: update and expansion of the noncoding genomic variant annotation database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2022) 50(D1): D950–5.

25. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, SChadt EE, Franke L, Hingorani AD, Wallace C, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. *PloS Genet.* (2014) 10:e1004383. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004383

26. Chen D, Wang X, Jia J, Huang T. Sleep and alzheimer's disease: shared genetic risk factors, drug targets, molecular mechanisms, and causal effects. *Front Genet.* (2022) 13:794202. https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-853181/v1.

27. Turley P, Walters RK, Maghzian O, Okbay A, Lee JJ, Fontana MA, et al. Multitrait analysis of genome-wide association summary statistics using MTAG. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:229–37. doi: 10.1038/s41588-017-0009-4

28. Zhou H, Arapoglou T, Li X, Li Z, Zheng X, Moore J, et al. FAVOR: functional annotation of variants online resource and annotator for variation across the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2023) 51:D1300–11. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac966

29. Watanabe K, Taskesen E, van Bochoven A, Posthuma D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:1826. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-01261-5

30. Schmiedel BJ, Singh D, Madrigal A, Valdovino-Gonzalez AG, White BM, Zapardiel-Gonzalo J, et al. Impact of genetic polymorphisms on human immune cell gene expression. *Cell*. (2018) 175:1701–1715.e16. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.022

31. van der Wijst MGP, Brugge H, de Vries DH, Deelen P, Swertz MA, Franke L. Single-cell RNA sequencing identifies cell type-specific cis-eQTLs and co-expression QTLs. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:493–7. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0089-9

32. Zhou X, Carbonetto P, Stephens M. Polygenic modeling with bayesian sparse linear mixed models. *PloS Genet.* (2013) 9:e1003264. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003264

33. Guan Y, Stephens M. Bayesian variable selection regression for genome-wide association studies and other large-scale problems. *Ann Appl Statistics*. (2011) 5:1780–815, 36. doi: 10.1214/11-AOAS455

34. Yu J, Pressoir G, Briggs WH, Vroh Bi I, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, et al. A unified mixed-model method for association mapping that accounts for multiple levels of relatedness. *Nat Genet*. (2006) 38:203–8. doi: 10.1038/ng1702

35. Woetzel D, Huber R, Kupfer P, Pohlers D, Pfaff M, Driesch D, et al. Identification of rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis patients by transcriptomebased rule set generation. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2014) 16:R84. doi: 10.1186/ar4526

36. Kriegermeier A, Hyon A, LeCuyer B, Hubchak S, Liu X, Green RM. Inositol-requiring enzyme 1 $\alpha$ /X-box protein 1 pathway expression is impaired in pediatric cholestatic liver disease explants. *PloS One.* (2022) 17:e0279016. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0279016

37. Campbell-Thompson M, Butterworth EA, Boatwright JL, Nair MA, Nasif LH, Nasif K, et al. Islet sympathetic innervation and islet neuropathology in patients with type 1 diabetes. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:6562. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-85659-8

38. Sivakumaran S, Agakov F, Theodoratou E, Prendergast JG, Zgaga L, Manolio T, et al. Abundant pleiotropy in human complex diseases and traits. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2011) 89:607–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.10.004

39. Matern BM, Olieslagers TI, Voorter CEM, Groeneweg M, Tilanus MGJ. Insights into the polymorphism in HLA-DRA and its evolutionary relationship with HLA haplotypes. *HLA*. (2020) 95:117–27. doi: 10.1111/tan.13730

40. Kawabata Y, Ikegami H, Kawaguchi Y, Fujisawa T, Shintani M, Ono M, et al. Asian-specific HLA haplotypes reveal heterogeneity of the contribution of HLA-DR and -DQ haplotypes to susceptibility to type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes*. (2002) 51:545–51. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.51.2.545

41. Liu WX, Jiang Y, Hu QX, You XB. HLA-DRB1 shared epitope allele polymorphisms and rheumatoid arthritis: a systemic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Invest Med.* (2016) 39:E182–203. doi: 10.25011/cim.v39i6.27487

42. Petersdorf EW, Stevenson P, Malkki M, Strong RK, Spellman SR, Haagenson MD, et al. Patient HLA germline variation and transplant survivorship. *J Clin Oncol.* (2018) 36:2524–31. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.77.6534

43. Okada Y, Suzuki A, Ikari K, Terao C, Kochi Y, Ohmura K, et al. Contribution of a non-classical HLA gene, HLA-DOA, to the risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Am J Hum Genet.* (2016) 99:366–74. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.06.019

44. Santin I, Castellanos-Rubio A, Aransay AM, Gutierrez G, Gaztambide S, Rica I, et al. Exploring the diabetogenicity of the HLA-B18-DR3 CEH: independent association with T1D genetic risk close to HLA-DOA. *Genes Immun.* (2009) 10:596–600. doi: 10.1038/gene.2009.41

45. Kim M, Serwa RA, Samluk L, Suppanz J, Kodroń A, Stępkowski TM, et al. Immunoproteasome-specific subunit PSMB9 induction is required to regulate cellular proteostasis upon mitochondrial dysfunction. *Nat Commun.* (2023) 14:4092. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-39642-8

46. Li Z, Chen Y, Zulipikaer M, Xu C, Fu J, Deng T, et al. Identification of PSMB9 and CXCL13 as immune-related diagnostic markers for rheumatoid arthritis by machine learning. *Curr Pharm Des.* (2022) 28:2842–54. doi: 10.2174/1381612828666220831085608

# Glossary

HIC	Hunner-type interstitial cystitis	LUTS	Lower urinary tract syndrome
AD	Atopic dermatitis	MBE	Meidan-based method
ADs	Autoimmune disorders	MG	Myasthenia gravis
AIH	Autoimmune hepatitis	MHC	Major histocompatibility complex
AR	Allergic rhinitis	MR	Mendelian randomization
AS	Asthma	MR-PRESSO	Mendelian Randomization Pleiotropy RESidual Sum
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate		and Outlier
BH	Benjamini-Hochberg correction	MTAG	Multi-trait analysis of GWAS
CD	Contact dermatitis	NBDC	National Bioscience Database Center
ConMix	Contamination mixture method	OR	Odds ratio
eQTL	Expression quantitative trait locus	РВМС	Peripheral blood mononuclear cell
FDR	False Discovery Rate correction	PIP	Posterior inclusion probabilities
FUMA	Functional Mapping and Annotation of GWAS	РО	Pollinosis
GD	Graves' disease	PV	Psoriasis vulgaris
GO	Gene Ontology	RA	Rheumatoid arthritis
GTEx	Genome-Tissue Expression project	SA	Sarcoidosis
GWAS	Genome-wide association studies	SLE	Systemic lupus erythematosus
HLA	Human leukocyte antigen	SNP	Single nucleotide polymorphism
HT	Hashimoto's thyroiditis	SS	Sjögren's syndrome
НУ	Hypothyroidism	T1D	Type 1 diabetes mellitus
HYPE	Hyperthyroidism	TWAS	Transcriptome-wide association studies
IC/BPS	Interstitial cystitis/bladder pain syndrome	UC	Ulcerative colitis
IVW	Inverse-variance weighted method	UV	Uveitis
KEGG	Kvoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes	WM	Weighted-medium
LD	Linkage disservitierium		
LD	Linkage disequilibrium		