Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Omar Ramos-Lopez, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California, Tijuana, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Debora Decote-Ricardo, Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Danielle Oliveira Nascimento, Federal Rural University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Min Chen cm@cdutcm.edu.cn

RECEIVED 05 April 2024 ACCEPTED 26 April 2024 PUBLISHED 13 May 2024

CITATION

Tian S and Chen M (2024) Global research progress of gut microbiota and epigenetics: bibliometrics and visualized analysis. Front. Immunol. 15:1412640. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Tian and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Global research progress of gut](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full) [microbiota and epigenetics:](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full) [bibliometrics and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full) [visualized analysis](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full)

Siyu Tian¹ and Min Chen^{2*}

1 School of Clinical Medicine, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), Chengdu, China, 2Hospital of Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China

Background: Gut microbiota is an important factor affecting host health. With the further study of the mechanism of gut microbiota, significant progress has been made in the study of the link between gut microbiota and epigenetics. This study visualizes the body of knowledge and research priorities between the gut microbiota and epigenetics through bibliometrics.

Methods: Publications related to gut microbiota and epigenetics were searched in the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC) database. Vosviewer 1.6.17 and CiteSpace 6.1.R2 were used for bibliometric analysis.

Results: WoSCC includes 460 articles from 71 countries. The number of publications on gut microbiota and epigenetics has increased each year since 2011. The USA, PEOPLES R CHINA, and ITALY are at the center of this field of research. The University of California System, Harvard University, and the University of London are the main research institutions. Li, X, Yu, Q, Zhang, S X are the top authors in this research field. We found that current research hotspots and frontiers include short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) play an important role in gut microbiota and epigenetic mechanisms, gut microbiota and epigenetics play an important role in host obesity, diet, and metabolism. Gut microbiota and epigenetics are closely related to colorectal cancer, breast cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease. At the same time, we found that gut microbiota regulates epigenetics through the gut-brain axis and has an impact on psychiatric diseases. Therefore, probiotics can regulate gut microbiota, improve lifestyle, and reduce the occurrence and development of diseases.

Conclusion: This is the first comprehensive and in-depth bibliometric study of trends and developments in the field of gut microbiota and epigenetics research. This study helps to guide the direction of research scholars in their current field of study.

KEYWORDS

gut microbiota, epigenetics, bibliometrics, mechanism, host diseases, gut-brain axis

Tian and Chen [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640)

1 Introduction

Trillions of species of symbiotic microbes persist in the gastrointestinal tract, collectively known as the gut microbiota, and they are important factors affecting host health and disease ([1](#page-12-0)). The human body and the microbiome are in a state of dynamic balance, and the microorganisms in the gut participate in many physiological functions of the human body, such as fermentationrelated food components, vitamin synthesis, and maintenance of intestinal homeostasis [\(2\)](#page-12-0). In recent years, with the deepening of the study of gut microbiota, it has been found that microbial signals can calibrate the transcriptional program of host cells through epigenetic modification without changing the underlying genetic code. DNA modification, histone modification, and regulation of non-coding RNA are forms of epigenetic changes to which the microbiome is sensitive [\(3](#page-12-0)). Studies have found that epigenetics is a key mechanism to regulate the development of host intestinal homeostasis and metabolic disorders. Epigenetic regulation of microbial communities can be influenced by host diet, antibiotic use, infection, etc [\(4,](#page-12-0) [5\)](#page-12-0). The effects of microbial metabolites on host health can be achieved by inducing epigenetic modifications, altering DNA methylation, and microRNAs expression [\(6](#page-12-0)).

With the in-depth study of the mechanism of gut microbiota, Research on gut microbiota and epigenetics has attracted more and more attention. However, this research area has not been thoroughly dissected using bibliometrics analysis. Bibliometrics analysis allows for quantitative analysis of literature in the field of study, using mathematical and statistical knowledge ([7](#page-12-0)). Bibliometrics analysis can reflect the hot spots, emphases, and frontiers of the research field [\(8](#page-12-0)). In order to better grasp the knowledge of this research field, this study focuses on the hot spots, emphases, and trends of gut microbiota and epigenetics research.

2 Methods

2.1 Literature resources

We searched literature data related to the research field in the Web of Science Core Collection (WoSCC), a multidisciplinary and comprehensive database with a complete citation network ([9](#page-12-0)). The search strategy is presented in [Supplementary Material](#page-12-0), which uses a combination of subject and free words for gut microbiota and epigenetics. The time for a literature search is no limit. The document type is set to Article or Review. The last step is to export and store all the retrieved documents as text files for further bibliometric research. On March 15, 2024, two researchers conducted an independent search of literature data. The complete retrieval process is shown in Figure 1.

2.2 Literature analysis

We used CiteSpace.6.1.R2, Vosviewer1.6.17, and Microsoft Office Excel 2010 for data analysis and management. Microsoft Office Excel 2010 software can manage data, tally annual publications, and create

related tables. In addition, CiteSpace 6.1.R2 creates a visual map that provides a detailed summary analysis of annual publications by number, country, institution, author, keyword, and highly cited article. Vosviewer1.6.17 visualizes highly co-cited literature and cooccurrence of authors. The specific parameter Settings and results of CiteSpace are the same as those of previous Settings ([8\)](#page-12-0). Nodes can represent countries and institutions.

3 Results

3.1 Analysis of annual publications and trends in publications

Until March 15, 2024, a total of 500 articles have been published in this field, including 164 articles and 296 review articles. Trends in a particular field of research can be measured by annual publications. The analysis shows that the number of papers in this field has increased year by year, from 4 papers in 2011 to a peak in 2022 and 2023 (n=85 papers) [\(Figure 2](#page-2-0)). This indicates that the field is receiving increasing attention from researchers. In addition, the growth trend model shown in [Figure 2](#page-2-0) [coefficient of determination $(R^2) = 0.5203$] shows a positive correlation between publication year and publication, which means that the number of annual publications in the field will continue to rise.

3.2 Analysis of the trend of countries, institutions, and authors

Articles were published in 71 countries/regions. The 71 nodes and 336 links represent countries and cooperation between countries in [Figure 3.](#page-2-0) The more a country has published in that area of study, the larger the nodes shown in the graph. If the centrality is greater than 0.1, the purple circle will appear outside the corresponding node on the network map. [Table 1](#page-3-0) lists the top 10 countries in terms of the number of published papers and their centrality. The United States published the most papers (168 publications, 32.81%), followed by

China (77 publications, 15.04%) and Italy (54 publications, 10.55%), all of which are priority countries for gut microbiota and epigenetics research. Cooperation among countries is positively correlated with centrality. The results show that the United States (0.43), Italy (0.19), the People's Republic of China (0.18), the United Kingdom (0.16) and India (0.14) are the five countries with the highest centrality.

299 institutions contributed to the field of research. [Figure 4](#page-4-0) shows the collaboration between institutions, which includes 299 nodes and 693 connections. From [Table 1](#page-3-0), We found that the top five universities with the highest number of published papers are the University of California System (17 publications, 16.83%), Harvard University (14 publications, 13.86%), the University of London (11 publications, 10.89%), and Baylor College of Science Medicine (10 publications, 9.90%), CIBER-Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red (9 publications, 8.91%). The University of California System (0.27), University of London (0.23), Harvard University (0.18), CIBER - Centre for Biomedical Research (0.15), and Karolinska Institutet (0.11) are the top five institutions with the most centricity, representing the most collaboration. The world's top universities and institutions have made outstanding contributions to the development of the field.

As shown in [Figure 5](#page-4-0), 293 authors have published papers on gut microbiota and epigenetics. [Table 1](#page-3-0) lists the five authors with the highest number of published articles. Four authors, Li, X, Yu, Q, Zhang, S X, He, P F, contributed the most to the number of articles (4 publications per person, 21.05%), followed by Dinan, Timothy G (3 publications, 15.79%). These five authors play important roles in the field of gut microbiota and epigenetics research. The centrality of all authors is 0, indicating that the cooperation between authors still needs to be strengthened.

3.3 Analysis of co-cited references

Co-cited references are those cited collectively by researchers. Through the analysis of co-cited references, VOSviewer visualizes the co-cited references, highlighting common research areas between gut microbiota and epigenetics. According to

Item	Rank	Name	Publications	Name	Centrality
Countries/ Regions	$\mathbf{1}$	USA	168 (32.81%)	USA	0.43
	\overline{c}	PEOPLES R CHINA	77 (15.04%)	ITALY	0.19
	3	ITALY	54 (10.55%)	PEOPLES R CHINA	0.18
	$\overline{4}$	ENGLAND	40 (7.81%)	ENGLAND	0.16
	5	CANADA	33 (6.45%)	INDIA	0.14
	6	FRANCE	32 (6.25%)	AUSTRALIA	0.10
	$\boldsymbol{7}$	SPAIN	31 (6.05%)	SPAIN	0.09
	$\,$ 8 $\,$	AUSTRALIA	30 (5.86%)	SWEDEN	0.09
	9	GERMANY	27 (5.27%)	FRANCE	0.08
	10	NETHERLANDS	20 (3.91%)	NETHERLANDS	0.06
Institutions	$\,1$	University of California System	17 (16.83%)	University of California System	0.27
	$\sqrt{2}$	Harvard University	14 (13.86%)	University of London	0.23
	\mathfrak{Z}	University of London	11 (10.89%)	Harvard University	$0.18\,$
	$\,4$	Baylor College of Medicine	10 (9.90%)	CIBER - Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red	0.15
	5	CIBER - Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red	$9(8.91\%)$	Karolinska Institutet	0.11
	6	Harvard Medical School	8 (7.92%)	Brigham & Women's Hospital	0.10
	$\overline{7}$	University System of Ohio	8 (7.92%)	University of Arizona	0.10
	$\,$ 8 $\,$	Karolinska Institutet	8 (7.92%)	University College Cork	0.09
	9	INRAE	8 (7.92%)	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)	0.08
	10	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)	8 (7.92%)	Helmholtz Association	0.08
Authors	$\mathbf{1}$	Li, X	4(21.05%)	Li, X	0.00
	\overline{c}	Yu, Q	4(21.05%)	Yu, Q	0.00
	\mathfrak{Z}	Zhang, S X	4(21.05%)	Zhang, S X	0.00
	$\overline{4}$	He, PF	4(21.05%)	He, P F	0.00
	5	Dinan, Timothy G	3 (15.79%)	Dinan, Timothy G	0.00

TABLE 1 Countries/regions, institutions, and authors ranked by publications and centrality.

VOSviewer's results, a total of 49,507 references were cited in this research area. When the number of citations is reduced to 18, 37 references remain. From [Figure 6](#page-5-0), we can find that the co-cited references are divided into four clusters, corresponding to the four colors in the visualization diagram. The red cluster mainly shows the epigenetic regulation of host metabolism by intestinal microbes, including the epigenetic regulation of host obesity by gut microbiota ([10](#page-12-0)), the interaction between diet and intestinal microbes mediates the epigenetic inheritance of host tissues or diseases ([11](#page-12-0), [12](#page-12-0)), and the epigenetic regulation between gut microbiota and host metabolism ([13,](#page-12-0) [14](#page-12-0)). The literature on green clusters mainly introduces the research on the types and functions of gut microbiota and gene sequencing ([15](#page-12-0)–[17](#page-12-0)). Blue clusters of literature mainly focus on the basic studies on the regulation of intestinal inflammation and immune response by gut microbiota through derivative substances such as butyrate and receptor GPR43 ([18](#page-12-0)–[20\)](#page-12-0). The literature in the yellow cluster mainly focuses on the link between diet and gut microbiota, including the key role of short-chain fatty acids (SFCAs) ([21](#page-12-0)–[23\)](#page-12-0).

[Table 2](#page-5-0) lists the top 10 cited literature, most of which are from the world's top journals, such as Nature, Science, etc. Therefore, the research on gut microbiota and epigenetics is the current research hotspot and frontier of the scientific community. "Diet-Microbiota Interactions Mediate Global Epigenetic Programming in Multiple Hosts Tissues "is the most widely cited paper in 2016 published in Molecular Cell [\(12\)](#page-12-0). Among them, Krautkramer et al. proposed that microbial regulation of protein acetylation and methylation in host tissues through diet, as well as short-chain fatty acids fermented by gut microbes, can promote transcriptional responses to host epigenetic programming. In addition, it can be found from the

top 10 most-cited papers that most of the cited papers come from high-quality journals such as Nature and Science, which indicates the cutting-edge and innovative nature of this research field. Secondly, most studies in the cited literature focus on how diet and obesity act on the epigenetic inheritance of multiple tissues

through gut microbiota, and the regulation of inflammatory immunity by gut microbiota derivatives.

The analysis of the top ten cited literature focused on the mechanism between the gut microbiota and epigenetics. In the first ten cited articles, Kimberly A Krautkramer found that short-chain fatty acid (SCFA), a

major derivative of the gut microbiota, is able to influence host-related epigenetic phenotypes and is sensitive to host diet [\(10\)](#page-12-0). Himanshu Kumar's study found that the gut microbiota, as an epigenetic regulator, in the group dominated by Firmicutes, genes with differential methylation promoters are associated with disease risk, mainly associated with cardiovascular disease, especially with lipid metabolism, obesity, and inflammatory response [\(29\)](#page-12-0). Patrick M Smith's study found that shortchain fatty acids, the fermentation products of intestinal microbiota, can regulate Regulatory T cells (Tregs) and thus regulate intestinal inflammation [\(20\)](#page-12-0). Yukihiro Furusawa's study found that differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells is induced by butyrate derived from the gut microbiota to improve intestinal inflammation and immune response [\(19](#page-12-0)).

3.4 Analysis of highly cited literature

[Table 3](#page-6-0) shows the top 10 highly cited literature on gut microbiota and epigenetics, most of them come from the world's top journals and represent the forefront of scientific development. The most cited article is titled "Diet-Microbiota Interactions Mediate Global Epigenetic Programming in Multiple Host Tissues (12) (12) (12) indicates that gut mediates the epigenetic state of host tissues and changes in chromatin status to the host and that SCFA influences host epigenetic programming. At the same time, the mechanism research of gut flora and epigenetics also ranked in the top 10.

TABLE 2 Top 10 highly co-cited references.

TABLE 3 Top 10 highly cited references.

3.5 Analysis of keywords co-occurrence, clustering, burst

Keyword co-occurrence gives us an idea of the topic and scope of the research field (Figure 7). The top 20 keywords in the cooccurrence rate and centrality of gut microbiota and epigenetics from 2011 to 2024 are shown in [Table 4](#page-7-0). "gut microbiota" is the keyword of occurrence frequency, followed by "DNA methylation" and "chain fatty acids". And more importantly, "gut microbiome",

"gene expression", "intestinal microbiota", "expression", "oxidative stress", and "colorectal" Keywords such as "cancer" are used more than 30 times, revealing the current research focus and topics in this field. Centrality is positively correlated with the degree of connection between keywords. In [Table 4](#page-7-0), "gut microbiota" is the main intestinal microbiota, followed by "gut microbiome", "dna methylation", "association", and "intestinal microbiota". These keywords still focus on the link between gut microbiota and epigenetics and the relationship with DNA methylation.

Rank	Keyword	Frequency	Keyword	Centrality
$\mathbf{1}$	gut microbiota	247	gut microbiota	0.23
$\mathbf{2}$	dna methylation	148	gut microbiome	0.23
3	chain fatty acids	58	dna methylation	0.21
$\overline{4}$	gut microbiome	55	association	0.14
5	gene expression	51	intestinal microbiota	0.12
6	intestinal microbiota	51	chain fatty acids	0.11
7	expression	48	gene expression	0.11
8	oxidative stress	33	expression	0.10
9	colorectal cancer	30	colorectal cancer	0.08
10	epigenetics	29	inflammatory bowel disease	0.08
11	association	28	obesity	0.08
12	insulin resistance	25	regulatory t cells	0.07
13	risk	23	health	0.06
14	metabolism	22	inflammation	0.06
15	inflammatory bowel disease	22	mechanisms	0.06
16	health	21	bacteria	0.06
17	inflammation	20	epigenetics	0.05
18	mechanisms	19	insulin resistance	0.05
19	obesity	19	risk	0.05
20	body mass index	19	body mass index	0.04

TABLE 4 Top 20 keywords in terms of frequency and centrality.

To understand the research frontiers of gut microbiota and epigenetics since 2011, CiteSpace was used to cluster keywords for gut microbiota and epigenetics. Nine clusters are shown in [Table 5,](#page-8-0) [Figures 8](#page-8-0) and [9](#page-9-0). In general, when Silhouette is greater than 0.5, the clustering effect is reasonable ([8](#page-12-0)). Cluster #0 is labeled "inflammatory bowel disease", followed by Cluster #1 "Precision nutrition", Cluster #2 "Noncommunicable diseases", Cluster #3 "Gut microbiota", Cluster #4 "Allergy development", Cluster #5 "Machine learning", Cluster #6 "Breast cancer", Cluster #7 "Psychiatric disorder", Cluster #8 "Programmable epigenome", representing the forefront of research since 2017.

Keyword bursts sum up the sudden growth of research content over a period of time, which may indicate future trends in research. [Figure 10](#page-9-0) shows the top 25 items with the highest burst intensity in this research subject. The red line in the graph indicates the length of time the keyword bursts. As we observe from the chart, the keyword themes gradually changed from "intestinal microbiota", "long noncoding RNAs", "childhood asthma", "genome-wide association" to the current "breast cancer ", "weight loss", "sodium butyrate", "protein" and "microbiota". This suggests that the correlation between the gut microbiota and epigenetic effects on cancer, metabolism, and mechanisms is the main focus of this research now and in the future.

4 Discussion

4.1 General information discussion

This study collected all WoSCC data related to the research field to identify research hotspots and frontiers. The number of publications each year has been steadily increasing. With 168 publications, the United States produced the most publications, followed by China and Italy. Because of its very strong economic strength and beneficial policy and scientific support, the United States is the largest country in this field of research. At the same time, although China is a developing country, it has an important position in the field of gut microbiota and epigenetics research.

In specific research areas, collaborations between authors, institutions, and countries can be evaluated using bibliometrics ([32\)](#page-12-0). Centrality represents the closeness of cooperation. The top five countries with the highest centrality are the United States, Italy, China, the United Kingdom, and India, meaning that these countries can actively cooperate with different countries. Collaboration between institutions shows that the University of California System, the University of London, Harvard University, CIBER - Centro de Investigacion Biomedica en Red, and Karolinska Institutet cooperate most closely and have the highest central

TABLE 5 Keyword cluster analysis.

position. Li, X, Yu, Q, and Zhang, S X have published the most papers in this field. However, the centrality of all authors is 0, indicating that there is no cooperation among authors, and cooperation among authors in the field needs to be strengthened. Cooperation among authors requires cooperation in related research fields and policy support from governments. We believe that close cooperation between States, institutions, and authors will help to achieve great progress in this area.

Top 25 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts

FIGURE 10

Top 25 keywords with the strongest citation bursts.

4.2 Research focus and hotspot

Bibliometrics analysis can reflect the hot spots and frontiers of this research field. Based on multiple analyses of references and keywords, we found that the hot spots and trends of gut microbiota and epigenetics are related to host metabolism and mechanisms, including obesity, diet, DNA methylation, and the role of SFCAs. In addition, through keyword burst analysis and keyword clustering, it can be seen that scholars have conducted more comprehensive and in-depth research on gut microbiota and epigenetics, and have begun to study the impact of this field on host diseases, such as cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, and mental disorders, as well as research on gut-brain axis theory.

4.3 Regulatory mechanisms between gut microbiota and epigenetics

A growing body of evidence supports the interaction of gut microbiota with epigenetic processes. Epigenetic modifications affect host health and disease development by altering the cell's transcriptional machinery to reprogram the host genome ([33\)](#page-12-0). Through bibliometrics analysis, we can learn that the current mechanism between gut microbiota and epigenetics is mainly related to SCFAs, so we will discuss this in detail. The fermentation of complex carbohydrates or starches involves a number of pathways associated with microorganisms ([34](#page-12-0), [35\)](#page-12-0). After the initial fermentation of carbohydrates in the small intestine, the microbiome ferments it into SFCAs, in which butyrate, propionate, and acetate account for the largest proportion ([31\)](#page-12-0). SCFAs can reduce the activity of deacetylase and play an important role in modifying gene expression ([36](#page-12-0)). In one study, SCFAs revealed microbially relevant chromatin modification states and transcriptional reactions, including the regulation of histone acetylation and methylation ([12](#page-12-0)). In addition, propionate and butyrate can promote adipocyte differentiation, which may partially inhibit the effect of histone deacetylase activity ([37](#page-12-0)). SCFAs produced by Akkermansia muciniphila in the mouse ileum can be involved in the expression of histone deacetylase, transcription factors, cellular lipid metabolism, and satiety genes ([30](#page-12-0)). All the above experiments indicate that SCFAs produced by gut microbiota through fermentation have an important influence on host epigenetics.

4.4 Effects of interactions between gut microbiota and epigenetic on host metabolism

Based on the results of the bibliometrics analysis, we found that the role of gut microbiota and epigenetics may play an important role in host diet, obesity, and metabolism. The complex interplay between epigenetics, gut microbiota, and diet has important implications for host obesity risk and host metabolic syndrome ([6](#page-12-0)). The study found that the microbial diversity and abundance of obese patients were decreased, the proportion of Bacteroides and Lactobacillus was different, and the methylation levels of FFAR3 gene (FFAR3) and TLR genes TLR4 and TLR2 were decreased. There was a correlation between BMI and methylation of FFAR3 and TLR genes TLR4 and TLR2 ([28](#page-12-0)). In addition, deep sequencing DNA methylation revealed a clear association between gut microbiota and epigenetics [\(29\)](#page-12-0). One study confirmed that fecal micro-RNA (miRNA) is an important component of the gut microbiome ([27](#page-12-0)). miRNA can mediate bidirectional hostmicrobial interaction [\(38](#page-12-0)). These studies provide insights into the relationship between gut microbes and metabolism-related epigenetics. Based on relevant literature data, further discovery of dietary approaches for beneficial bacterial populations and epigenetic changes in energy homeostasis may have important implications for obesity and metabolism-related clinical manifestations.

4.5 Effects of interactions between gut microbiota and epigenetics on host disease

Based on the results of the bibliometrics analysis, we found that the role between gut microbiota and epigenetics may play an important role in inflammatory bowel disease, cancers (colorectal cancer and breast cancer), and psychiatric disorders Research evidence suggests that intestinal microbiota disturbances and alterations in carcinogenic and tumor suppressor genes can cause colorectal cancer [\(39\)](#page-12-0). The gut microbiota ferments dietary residues, providing energy for the microbiota and ultimately releasing short-chain fatty acids, including butyrate. Butyrate inhibits inflammation and cancer by affecting immunity, gene expression, and epigenetic regulation ([40\)](#page-13-0). It was found that microbial fermentation products and activated phytochemicals (such as butyrate and polyphenols) can prevent tumor transformation by inhibiting epigenetic mechanisms such as histone deacetylase [\(26,](#page-12-0) [41,](#page-13-0) [42](#page-13-0)). The ER α gene and BRCA1 gene, which are strongly associated with breast cancer, have been observed in epigenetic programming ([43\)](#page-13-0). The production of butyrate by the gut flora has been shown to activate epigenetic genes in cancer cells such as p21 and BAK ([44](#page-13-0)). However, although gut bacteria can facilitate, epigenetic reprogramming, and contribute to the tumor process, microbiome epigenetic induction of tumor formation has not been proven. Further experiments are needed to confirm this.

Many factors, such as genetic, environmental, intestinal microbiota and immune abnormalities, are related to the occurrence of IBD [\(45\)](#page-13-0). Genome-wide association studies of IBD identified more than 200 genetic risk loci for IBD, providing important evidence for the role of microorganisms in the pathogenesis of IBD ([46\)](#page-13-0). The gut microbiota may regulate epigenetic mechanisms by regulating multiple micronutrients and food components, which may increase the risk of IBD [\(47](#page-13-0)).

Multiple evidence suggests that mental illness is related to gut flora and interacts with each other through the gut-brain axis [\(25,](#page-12-0) [48,](#page-13-0) [49](#page-13-0)). The bidirectional connection between the gut and the brain is called the gut-brain axis. The microbiome is an important part of the triangular conversation ([50](#page-13-0)). Gut microbiota regulates brain

function by stimulating neuronal responses or secreting metabolites associated with nerves ([51](#page-13-0)). The gut-brain axis may be involved in the transmission of vagus nerve and hormone signals [\(52\)](#page-13-0). The gutbrain axis may influence brain functions such as cognition and learning, so targeting a patient's specific gut flora may reduce symptoms of neurodegenerative diseases ([53](#page-13-0)). The modes of epigenetic regulation include DNA methylation, posttranscriptional histone modification, and gene expression regulation of non-coding RNA ([54](#page-13-0)). DNA methylation is closely related to neurological diseases [\(24\)](#page-12-0). Gut microbiota can secrete synthetic folic acid, vitamin B12, and choline to produce methyl donors (6-methyltetrahydrofolate) and to form S-adenosine methionine (SAM), which is the main methyl donor in DNA methylation ([55](#page-13-0)). Choline is not only an important nutrient for the brain but also promotes SAM production and is a key methyl donor for DNA and histone methylation ([56](#page-13-0)). The hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal axis (HPA) is an important communication pathway in the gut-brain axis [\(51\)](#page-13-0). The normal operation of the HPA axis requires the presence of GR (ligand-activated transcription factor). Studies have found that individuals with genetic abnormalities of the GR gene in the brain are associated with bipolar disorder and schizophrenia ([57](#page-13-0)). Epigenetic modifications do not change the DNA sequence, so the DNA sequence is stable for a long time. The microbiome is capable of modifying the host epigenome via the gut-brain axis and causing visible behavioral or phenotypic changes in the host. Although epigenetic modification changes are more lasting, they are not permanent, so it is possible to restore the gut microbiota and make lifestyle changes (such as sleep, diet, exercise, etc.) through supplementation with probiotics and probiotics, which have important implications for the improvement of conditions such as diabetes, obesity, neurodegenerative diseases, and depression ([57](#page-13-0)).

5 Advantages and limitations of research

Visual analysis of bibliometrics can comprehensively display the key points, hot spots, and frontiers of the current research field, and provide researchers with reference research directions. However, there are some limitations to our study. First, we did not search all the databases, which may have led to the omission of literature. In addition, we failed to ensure that every piece of literature fully met the requirements of the study. Finally, the quality of the retrieved articles cannot be completely guaranteed, which will affect the rigor of the analysis.

6 Conclusion

This study evaluated and visualized relevant publications on gut microbiota and epigenetics using bibliometrics and visualization analysis. The number of research publications in the field of gut microbiota and epigenetics is increasing every year. The country

with the highest number of articles is the United States. The University of California System and Li, X are among the most influential institutions and authors in the field. In addition, our study provides a comprehensive analysis of the research hotspots and research directions of gut microbiota and epigenetics. Based on the bibliometric analysis of gut microbiota and epigenetics, we found that short-chain fatty acids are an important component of the mechanism between gut microbiota and epigenetics. The interaction between gut microbiota and epigenetics play an important role in host obesity, diet, and metabolism. Gut microbiota and epigenetics are closely related to colorectal cancer, breast cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease. At the same time, we found that gut microbiota regulates epigenetics through the gutbrain axis and has an impact on psychiatric diseases. Therefore, probiotics can regulate gut microbiota, improve lifestyle, and reduce the occurrence and development of diseases.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article[/Supplementary Material](#page-12-0). Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

ST: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft. MC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funding was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (number: 82274529) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (number: 2019YFC1709004).

Acknowledgments

Thanks for the fund support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the National Key Research and Development Program.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Marchesi JR, Adams DH, Fava F, Hermes GD, Hirschfield GM, Hold G, et al. The gut microbiota and host health: a new clinical frontier. Gut. (2016) 65:330–9. doi: [10.1136/gutjnl-2015](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015–309990)–309990

2. Qin Y, Wade PA. Crosstalk between the microbiome and epigenome: messages from bugs. J Biochem. (2018) 163:105–12. doi: [10.1093/jb/mvx080](https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvx080)

3. Woo V, Alenghat T. Epigenetic regulation by gut microbiota. Gut Microbes. (2022) 14:2022407. doi: [10.1080/19490976.2021.2022407](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.2022407)

4. Rodiño-Janeiro BK, Vicario M, Alonso-Cotoner C, Pascua-García R, Santos J. A of microbiota and irritable bowel syndrome: future in therapies. $Adv\ There$ (2018) 35:289–310. doi: [10.1007/s12325](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325–018-0673–5)–018-0673–5

5. Mochizuki K, Ishiyama S, Hariya N, Goda T. Regulation of carbohydrateresponsive metabolic genes by histone acetylation and the acetylated histone reader BRD4 in the gene body region. Front Mol Biosci. (2021) 8:682696. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.682696) [fmolb.2021.682696](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2021.682696)

6. Cuevas-Sierra A, Ramos-Lopez O, Riezu-Boj JI, Milagro FI, Martinez JA. Diet, gut microbiota, and obesity: links with host genetics and epigenetics and potential applications. Adv Nutr. (2019) 10:S17–30. doi: [10.1093/advances/nmy078](https://doi.org/10.1093/advances/nmy078)

7. Tian S, Zhang H, Chen S, Wu P, Chen M. Global research progress of visceral hypersensitivity and irritable bowel syndrome: bibliometrics and visualized analysis. Front Pharmacol. (2023) 14:1175057. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2023.1175057](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2023.1175057)

8. Zou X, Sun Y. Bibliometrics analysis of the research status and trends of the association between depression and insulin from 2010 to 2020. Front Psychiatry. (2021) 12:683474. doi: [10.3389/fpsyt.2021.683474](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.683474)

9. Web of Science. (2024). Available online at: <https://www.webofscience.com/wos/> (Accessed April 18, 2024).

10. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. Nature. (2006) 444:1027–31. doi: [10.1038/nature05414](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05414)

11. Paul B, Barnes S, Demark-Wahnefried W, Morrow C, Salvador C, Skibola C, et al. Influences of diet and the gut microbiome on epigenetic modulation in cancer and other diseases. Clin Epigenetics. (2015) 7:112. doi: [10.1186/s13148](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148–015-0144–7)–015-0144–7

12. Krautkramer KA, Kreznar JH, Romano KA, Vivas EI, Barrett-Wilt GA, Rabaglia ME, et al. Diet-microbiota interactions mediate global epigenetic programming in multiple host tissues. Mol Cell. (2016) 64:982–92. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2016.10.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.10.025)

13. Takahashi K, Sugi Y, Nakano K, Tsuda M, Kurihara K, Hosono A, et al. Epigenetic control of the host gene by commensal bacteria in large intestinal epithelial cells. J Biol Chem. (2011) 286:35755–62. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M111.271007](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.271007)

14. Miro-Blanch J, Yanes O. Epigenetic regulation at the interplay between gut microbiota and host metabolism. Front Genet. (2019) 10:638. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00638) [fgene.2019.00638](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00638)

15. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. (2010) 464:59–65. doi: [10.1038/nature08821](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08821)

16. Arumugam M, Raes J, Pelletier E, Le Paslier D, Yamada T, Mende DR, et al. Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. Nature. (2011) 473:174–80. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09944) [nature09944](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09944)

17. Yatsunenko T, Rey FE, Manary MJ, Trehan I, Dominguez-Bello MG, Contreras M, et al. Human gut microbiome viewed across age and geography. Nature. (2012) 486:222–7. doi: [10.1038/nature11053](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11053)

18. Maslowski KM, Vieira AT, Ng A, Kranich J, Sierro F, Yu D, et al. Regulation of inflammatory responses by gut microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. Nature. (2009) 461:1282–6. doi: [10.1038/nature08530](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08530)

19. Furusawa Y, Obata Y, Fukuda S, Endo TA, Nakato G, Takahashi D, et al. Commensal microbe-derived butyrate induces the differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells. Nature. (2013) 504:446–50. doi: [10.1038/nature12721](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12721)

20. Smith PM, Howitt MR, Panikov N, Michaud M, Gallini CA, Bohlooly-Y M, et al. The microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, regulate colonic Treg cell homeostasis. Science. (2013) 341:569–73. doi: [10.1126/science.1241165](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241165)

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full#supplementary-material)fimmu.2024.1412640/ [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1412640/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL Retrieval strategies for gut microbiota and epigenetics.

21. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C, Bittinger K, Chen YY, Keilbaugh SA, et al. Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. Science. (2011) 334:105–8. doi: [10.1126/science.1208344](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208344)

22. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature. (2014) 505:559–63. doi: [10.1038/nature12820](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820)

23. Koh A, De Vadder F, Kovatcheva-Datchary P, Bäckhed F. From dietary fiber to host physiology: short-chain fatty acids as key bacterial metabolites. Cell. (2016) 165:1332–45. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.041)

24. Ladd-Acosta C, Hansen KD, Briem E, Fallin MD, Kaufmann WE, Feinberg AP. Common DNA methylation alterations in multiple brain regions in autism. Mol Psychiatry. (2014) 19:862–71. doi: [10.1038/mp.2013.114](https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2013.114)

25. Toledo ARL, Monroy GR, Salazar FE, Lee JY, Jain S, Yadav H, et al. Gut-brain axis as a pathological and therapeutic target for neurodegenerative disorders. Int J Mol Sci. (2022) 23:1184. doi: [10.3390/ijms23031184](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031184)

26. Beyer-Sehlmeyer G, Glei M, Hartmann E, Hughes R, Persin C, Böhm V, et al. Butyrate is only one of several growth inhibitors produced during gut flora-mediated fermentation of dietary fiber sources. Br J Nutr. (2003) 90:1057–70. doi: [10.1079/](https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn20031003) [bjn20031003](https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn20031003)

27. Liu S, da Cunha AP, Rezende RM, Cialic R, Wei Z, Bry L, et al. The host shapes the gut microbiota via fecal microRNA. Cell Host Microbe. (2016) 19:32–43. doi: [10.1016/j.chom.2015.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.12.005)

28. Remely M, Lovrecic L, de la Garza AL, Migliore L, Peterlin B, Milagro FI, et al. Therapeutic perspectives of epigenetically active nutrients. Br J Pharmacol. (2015) 172:2756–68. doi: [10.1111/bph.12854](https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.12854)

29. Kumar H, Lund R, Laiho A, Lundelin K, Ley RE, Isolauri E, et al. Gut microbiota as an epigenetic regulator: pilot study based on whole-genome methylation analysis. mBio. (2014) 5:e02113–14. doi: [10.1128/mBio.02113](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02113–14)–14

30. Lukovac S, Belzer C, Pellis L, Keijser BJ, de Vos WM, Montijn RC, et al. Differential modulation by Akkermansia muciniphila and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii of host peripheral lipid metabolism and histone acetylation in mouse gut organoids. mBio. (2014) 5:e01438–14. doi: [10.1128/mBio.01438](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01438–14)–14

31. Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP, Louis P. Links between diet, gut microbiota composition and gut metabolism. Proc Nutr Soc. (2015) 74:13–22. doi: [10.1017/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665114001463) [S0029665114001463](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665114001463)

32. Ma C, Su H, Li H. Global research trends on prostate diseases and erectile dysfunction: A bibliometric and visualized study. Front Oncol. (2021) 10:627891. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2020.627891](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.627891)

33. Bhat MI, Kapila R. Dietary metabolites derived from gut microbiota: critical modulators of epigenetic changes in mammals. Nutr Rev. (2017) 75:374–89. doi: [10.1093/nutrit/nux001](https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nux001)

34. Cordain L, Eaton SB, Sebastian A, Mann N, Lindeberg S, Watkins BA, et al. Origins and evolution of the Western diet: health implications for the 21st century. Am J Clin Nutr. (2005) 81:341–54. doi: [10.1093/ajcn.81.2.341](https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn.81.2.341)

35. Balter V, Braga J, Té louk P, Thackeray JF. Evidence for dietary change but not landscape use in South African early hominins. Nature. (2012) 489:558–60. doi: [10.1038/nature11349](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11349)

36. Kasubuchi M, Hasegawa S, Hiramatsu T, Ichimura A, Kimura I. Dietary gut microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, and host metabolic regulation. Nutrients. (2015) 7:2839–49. doi: [10.3390/nu7042839](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7042839)

37. Li G, Yao W, Jiang H. Short-chain fatty acids enhance adipocyte differentiation in the stromal vascular fraction of porcine adipose tissue. J Nutr. (2014) 144:1887–95. doi: [10.3945/jn.114.198531](https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.114.198531)

38. Yuan C, Burns MB, Subramanian S, Blekhman R. Interaction between host microRNAs and the gut microbiota in colorectal cancer. mSystems. (2018) 3:e00205-17. doi: [10.1128/mSystems.00205](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00205–17)–17

39. Tjalsma H, Boleij A, Marchesi JR, Dutilh BE. A bacterial driver-passenger model for colorectal cancer: beyond the usual suspects. Nat Rev Microbiol. (2012) 10:575–82. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro2819](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2819)

40. O'Keefe SJ. Diet, microorganisms and their metabolites, and colon cancer. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2016) 13:691–706. doi: [10.1038/nrgastro.2016.165](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2016.165)

41. Fung KY, Cosgrove L, Lockett T, Head R, Topping DL. A review of the potential mechanisms for the lowering of colorectal oncogenesis by butyrate. Br J Nutr. (2012) 108:820–31. doi: [10.1017/S0007114512001948](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512001948)

42. Verma M. Cancer control and prevention: nutrition and epigenetics. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. (2013) 16:376–84. doi: [10.1097/MCO.0b013e328361dc70](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e328361dc70)

43. Toland A. Aberrant epigenetic regulation in breast cancer. In: Miranovitz J, Niller H, editors. Patho-Epigenetics of Disease. Springer, New York, NY, USA (2012). p. 91–122.

44. Berni Canani R, Di Costanzo M, Leone L. The epigenetic effects of butyrate: potential therapeutic implications for clinical practice. Clin Epigenet. (2012) 4:4. doi: [10.1186/1868](https://doi.org/10.1186/1868–7083-4–4)–7083-4–4

45. Ray G, Longworth MS. Epigenetics, DNA organization, and inflammatory bowel disease. Inflammation Bowel Dis. (2019) 25:235–47. doi: [10.1093/ibd/izy330](https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izy330)

46. Ahmed I, Roy BC, Khan SA, Septer S, Umar S. Microbiome, metabolome and inflammatory bowel disease. Microorganisms. (2016) 4:20. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms4020020) [microorganisms4020020](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms4020020)

47. Rapozo DC, Bernardazzi C, de Souza HS. Diet and microbiota in inflammatory bowel disease: The gut in disharmony. World J Gastroenterol. (2017) 23:2124–40. doi: [10.3748/wjg.v23.i12.2124](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i12.2124)

48. Alharthi A, Alhazmi S, Alburae N, Bahieldin A. The human gut microbiome as a potential factor in autism spectrum disorder. Int J Mol Sci. (2022) 23:1363. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031363) [ijms23031363](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031363)

49. Gong W, Guo P, Li Y, Liu L, Yan R, Liu S, et al. Role of the gut-brain axis in the shared genetic etiology between gastrointestinal tract diseases and psychiatric disorders: A genome-wide pleiotropic analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. (2023) 80:360–70. doi: [10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.4974](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.4974)

50. Agirman G, Yu KB, Hsiao EY. Signaling inflammation across the gut-brain axis. Science. (2021) 374:1087–92. doi: [10.1126/science.abi6087](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi6087)

51. Begum N, Mandhare A, Tryphena KP, Srivastava S, Shaikh MF, Singh SB, et al. Epigenetics in depression and gut-brain axis: A molecular crosstalk. Front Aging Neurosci. (2022) 14:1048333. doi: [10.3389/fnagi.2022.1048333](https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2022.1048333)

52. Quigley EMM. Microbiota-brain-gut axis and neurodegenerative diseases. Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep. (2017) 17:94. doi: [10.1007/s11910](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910–017-0802–6)–017-0802–6

53. Tilocca B, Pieroni L, Soggiu A, Britti D, Bonizzi L, Roncada P, et al. Gut-brain axis and neurodegeneration: state-of-the-art of meta-omics sciences for microbiota characterization. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 21:4045. doi: [10.3390/ijms21114045](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21114045)

54. Sharma M, Li Y, Stoll ML, Tollefsbol TO. The epigenetic connection between the gut microbiome in obesity and diabetes. Front Genet. (2020) 10:1329. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01329) [fgene.2019.01329](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01329)

55. Mahmoud AM, Ali MM. Methyl donor micronutrients that modify DNA methylation and cancer outcome. Nutrients. (2019) 11:608. doi: [10.3390/nu11030608](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11030608)

56. Romano KA, Martinez-Del Campo A, Kasahara K, Chittim CL, Vivas EI, Amador-Noguez D, et al. Metabolic, epigenetic, and transgenerational effects of gut bacterial choline consumption. Cell Host Microbe. (2017) 22:279–290.e7. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.021) [j.chom.2017.07.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.021)

57. Philibert R, Madan A, Andersen A, Cadoret R, Packer H, Sandhu H. Serotonin transporter mRNA levels are associated with the methylation of an upstream CpG island. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. (2007) 144B:101–5. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30414) [ajmg.b.30414](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30414)