Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Giacomo Cafaro, University of Perugia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Albert Selva-O'Callaghan, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Spain Yongpeng Ge, China-Japan Friendship Hospital, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Takuya Kotani Matakuya,kotani@ompu.ac.ip

RECEIVED 27 March 2024 ACCEPTED 26 August 2024 PUBLISHED 13 September 2024

CITATION

Matsuda S, Kotani T, Oe K, Okazaki A, Kiboshi T, Suzuka T, Wada Y, Shoda T and Takeuchi T (2024) Poor prognostic factors for relapse of interstitial lung disease with antiaminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies after combination therapy. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1407633. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1407633

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Matsuda, Kotani, Oe, Okazaki, Kiboshi, Suzuka, Wada, Shoda and Takeuchi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Poor prognostic factors for relapse of interstitial lung disease with anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies after combination therapy

Shogo Matsuda, Takuya Kotani*, Katsumasa Oe, Ayana Okazaki, Takao Kiboshi, Takayasu Suzuka, Yumiko Wada, Takeshi Shoda and Tohru Takeuchi

Department of Internal Medicine IV, Division of Rheumatology, Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University, Osaka, Japan

Introduction: This study aimed to identify useful clinical indicators for predicting the relapse of interstitial lung disease (ILD) complicated with anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (ARS) antibodies (anti-ARS-ILD), being treated with prednisolone and calcineurin inhibitors.

Methods: Fifty patients with anti-ARS-ILD were enrolled between October 2014 and August 2022. All patients were treated with prednisolone and calcineurin inhibitors as remission induction therapy and followed up for over a year with these combination therapies. We examined patients who experienced ILD relapse after immunosuppressive treatment. We explored the risk factors for predicting ILD relapse in these patients by comparing demographic, clinical, laboratory, and radiological findings and treatments between the relapsed and non-relapsed groups on admission.

Results: Of the 50 patients, 19 (38%) relapsed during a median follow-up of 4.8 years. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses identified the presence of acute/subacute (A/S)-ILD, higher serum aldolase (ALD) and surfactant protein-D (SP-D) levels, and lower %forced vital capacity (FVC) as risk factors for relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD. Using the receiver operating curve analysis, ALD \geq 6.3 U/L, SP-D \geq 207 ng/mL, and %FVC \leq 76.8% were determined as the cut-off levels for indicating a poor prognosis. The 5-year relapse rate was significantly higher in patients with A/S-ILD, serum ALD \geq 6.3 U/L, serum SP-D \geq 207 ng/mL, or %FVC of \leq 76.8% than in those without these parameters. (*P*=0.009, 0.0005, 0.0007, 0.0004, respectively) Serum ALD levels were significantly correlated with the disease activity indicators of anti-ARS-ILD.

Conclusion: The presence of A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower %FVC are useful indicators for predicting anti-ARS-ILD relapse.

KEYWORDS

interstitial lung disease, forced vital capacity, aldolase, poor prognostic factors, chest CT

Introduction

Polymyositis (PM) and dermatomyositis (DM) are idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIM) of various tissues, including the skin, heart, and lung (1, 2). Clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (CADM) is a subgroup of DM characterized by a typical skin rash, such as heliotrope rash and Gottron's sign, with few or no clinical symptoms of myositis (3, 4). Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is a lifethreatening condition that frequently accompanies PM/DM/ CADM (5). PM and DM/CADM are recognized as common types of inflammatory myopathies, and inflammatory myopathyspecific autoantibodies are recently used to classify subtypes of patients with IIM (6, 7).

Autoantibodies against aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (ARSs), including anti-PL-7, PL-12, anti-OJ, and anti-EJ, anti-KS, anti-Zo, and anti-Ha, are a subset of myositis-specific autoantibodies (8). The clinical manifestations at onset have been reported to differ based on the type of anti-ARS autoantibody (9). The presence of anti-ARS autoantibody is used as a criterion for the diagnosis of the antisynthetase syndrome (ASS), which is characterized by the occurrence of various organ involvements, such as inflammatory myositis, ILD, arthritis, and mechanic's hands (10, 11). ASS is considered to be classified separately from PM and DM due to its specific clinical manifestations, as only about 20% of patients with ASS have muscle involvement, which is often complicated by ILD lesions (9, 12). ILD with a positive anti-ARS antibody (anti-ARS-ILD) typically responds well to immunosuppressive therapy, and has a good response in a short-term but a high relapse rate in a longterm (13, 14). Previous reports showed that high serum Krebs von den Lungen-6 (KL-6) levels, serial KL-6 increases, and the presence of middle lobe traction bronchiectasis on high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) were associated with the deterioration of myositis-associated ILD, including anti-ARS-ILD (15, 16). However, biomarkers for predicting the relapse of anti-ARS-ILD have not been fully elucidated.

In the treatment of anti-ARS-ILD, prednisolone (PSL) monotherapy has been associated with relapse (17). Calcineurin inhibitor (CNI), including cyclosporin-A (CSA) and tacrolimus (TAC), is reported to be effective for treatments of patients with anti-ARS-ILD with corticosteroid-refractory ILD, and combination therapy with PSL and a CNI is recommended as maintenance therapy in anti-ARS-ILD (18–20). Response to combination therapy is heterogeneous, with some patients relapsing after receiving the combination therapy (16, 21). Little is known about the risk factors for relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD following combination therapy.

This study examined relapsed patients undergoing combination therapy with PSL and CNI inhibitors and compared the clinical characteristics on admission between the relapsed and non-relapsed groups. Herein, we showed that the prevalence of acute/subacute (A/S)-ILD and the high serum aldolase (ALD) and surfactant protein-D (SP-D) levels and lower %FVC were useful indicators for predicting relapse in anti-ARS-ILD after combination therapy.

Materials and methods

Patients

We examined patients admitted to Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University Hospital between October 2014 and August 2022. The diagnosis of PM, ADM, or CADM was made based on the Bohan and Peter criteria (1, 2) or criteria outlined by Sontheimer and Gerami et al. (3, 4) The diagnosis of interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune-features (IPAF) was made using the criteria of the ERS/ATS task force (22). ACR/EULAR classification criteria were used to check whether they were classified as "definite IIM" or "probable IIM" (6). Patients with malignancies were excluded from this study. The presence of ILD was assessed using HRCT. ILD can be divided into acute/subacute ILD (A/S-ILD) and chronic ILD (C-ILD). A/S-ILD was defined as rapid worsening of the respiratory condition, laboratory pulmonary function tests, arterial blood gas findings, and chest HRCT images within 3 months of onset (23). On the contrary, C-ILD does not fulfil the definition of A/S-ILD. Disease duration was defined as the period between the onset of symptoms associated with anti-ARS-ILD and hospital admission. Clinical data were obtained from the medical records. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments and it was approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University (approval no. 1529). Informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Arterial blood gas analysis and pulmonary function testing

Arterial blood gas analysis, including PaO2, PaCO2, and alveolararterial oxygen difference (AaDO2), was performed at admission. Respiratory function, including forced vital capacity (FVC) and diffusion capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLco), was measured using spirometry (SYSTEM21; Minato Medical Science, Osaka, Japan), as previously described (24). Respiratory function test results are expressed as percentages of the predicted value.

HRCT scoring and HRCT pattern

HRCT was performed using a 64-detector row CT Aquilon multiscanner (Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The slice thickness was 1.0–1.5 mm every 10 mm, and the scan area included the entire lung. All patients underwent chest HRCT before treatment, and the images were reviewed independently by three observers (TK, TSu, and TSh) who were blinded to the patients' clinical information. Inter-observer disagreements were resolved by consensus. Ground-glass opacity (GGO) and fibrosis were scored to assess the HRCT findings as previously described (25). Each patient's lobe was scored by the

same observer, and the average value was used. The scores were then summed to obtain the total CT score.

Nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) and organizing pneumonia (OP) are typical characteristics in anti-ARS-ILD, so we classified the HRCT pattern into NSIP, OP, and NSIP with OP pattern, as previously reported (16, 26). All patients underwent chest HRCT prior to treatment, and images were reviewed independently by 2 pulmonologists (TK, and TS) blinded to the patients' clinical information.

Measurement of laboratory parameters

The levels of creatine kinase (CK), ALD, lactic acid dehydrogenase (LDH), C-reactive protein (CRP), KL-6, SP-D, and ferritin were measured. Anti-ARS antibodies were examined using ELISA (MESACUP; MBL, Nagoya, Japan) and a blot assay (Myositis Profile Euroline Blot Test Kit; EUROIMMUN, Lübeck, Germany). Autoantibodies (antigen including Jo-1, PL-12, PL-7, EJ, Ro-52) were measured by this immunoblotting.

Treatments

PSL (0.5–1.0 mg/kg/day) was administered to all the patients. CSA or TAC were used as a combination therapy at the physician's discretion. CSA was started at 4 mg/kg/day, once daily, before breakfast, and the concentration 2 h after administration was adjusted to 1,000 ng/mL or higher. TAC was started at 0.1 mg/kg/day twice a day before breakfast and dinner, and the trough concentration was adjusted to 5–10 ng/mL. Additional treatments, such as methylprednisolone (MPDN) pulse therapy, intravenous cyclophosphamide pulse therapy (IVCY), intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG), plasma exchange (PE), and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), were administered based on each patient's condition at the physician's discretion.

Definitions of relapse and responders/ non responders

PSL was progressively tapered according to the clinical effectiveness after remission induction therapy. In all cases, clinical and biochemical evaluation was performed every 1-2 months. Relapse of ILD was defined when both of the following conditions were fulfilled after the remission induction therapy: the appearance of a new GGO on chest HRCT and the requirement of intensified treatment (15, 27, 28).. We excluded other conditions which could cause ground glass opacities on HRCT, including cardiac failure, fluid overload, and pulmonary embolism (27). A concrete example of a relapse in chest HRCT is shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Moreover, we defined "responders" as patients who responded to remission induction therapy without disease exacerbation and were discharged, and defined "non responders" as those who did not respond to remission induction therapy with exacerbation of interstitial shadows on HRCT (29).

Statistical analysis

The data are presented as the median (interquartile range). Fisher's exact test was used when appropriate, and the Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare median values. We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis to determine the most suitable cut-off level for predicting anti-ARS-ILD relapse. We used the Kaplan–Meier method to assess survival curves and the log-rank test to evaluate the significance of differences between the two groups. The correlations were evaluated using Spearman's correlation coefficients. A P-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Data were analysed using JMP (version 17.0; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and GraphPad Prism (version 8.0; GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

Results

Clinical characteristics of patients with anti-ARS-ILD

A flowchart illustrating the selection and prognosis of patients with anti-ARS-ILD is shown in Figure 1. Sixty patients with anti-ARS-ILD were admitted to our hospital for the first remission induction therapy between October 2014 and August 2022, and 10 patients were excluded for the following reasons: coexistence of progressive cancer before the diagnosis of anti-ARS-ILD (1 case), not being treated with PSL and CNI (3 cases), discontinuation of IS within 1 year (1 case), and death within 1 year after remission induction therapy (5 cases). The clinical characteristics of 50 patients with anti-ARS-ILD are shown in Table 1. The median patient age was 61 years, and 70% of the patients were women. Eighteen percent of patients were "definite IM" and 44% patients were "probable IIM" using ACR/EULAR criteria (6). Sixty percent (30 patients) had A/S-ILD, and 40% (20 patients) had C-ILD. All patients with C-ILD underwent therapeutic intervention because of the gradual progression of ILD from more than 3 months to several years. The median disease duration was 3.2 months. The median serum levels of various parameters were as follows: CK, 100 U/L (reference ranges: 41-153 U/L); ALD, 6.3 U/L (reference ranges: 2.1-6.1 U/L); CRP, 0.29 mg/dL (reference ranges <0.14 mg/dL); KL-6, 1,022 U/mL (reference ranges: 105-401 U/mL); SP-D, 167 ng/mL (reference ranges: <110 ng/mL); ferritin, 132 ng/mL (reference ranges: 6.2-138 ng/mL). In the PFT findings, the median percentage predicted for FVC and DLco was 81.6% and 51.2%, respectively. The HRCT pattern included NSIP in 54%, NSIP with OP overlap pattern in 42%, and OP in 4%. There were no patients who had classified as usual interstitial pneumonia pattern.



Comparison of clinical characteristics and contents of treatment between relapsed group and non-relapsed group

All patients were treated with prednisolone and calcineurin inhibitors as remission induction therapy and followed up for over a year with these combination therapies. (Figure 1) All patients were responders in this study. During a median follow-up of 4.8 years, 19 patients relapsed after remission induction therapy. The median time from initiation of remission induction therapy to relapse of ILD was 2.5 years. The median dose of prednisolone was 6 mg/day, and that of CNI, including TAC and CSA, was 2.5 mg/day and 150 mg/day, respectively. We compared the clinical and laboratory findings between the relapsed (19 patients) and non-relapsed (31 patients) anti-ARS-ILD groups (Table 2). No significant differences were observed in age, sex, or disease duration. The proportion of patients with A/S-ILD was higher in the relapsed group (79.0%) than that in the non-relapsed group (48.4%; P=0.04). Sixty-two percent of patients with anti-Jo-1 antibody, 56% of patients with anti-EJ antibody and 60% of patients with anti-PL-7/PL-12 antibodies were A/S-ILDs. There were no significant differences in the ratio of A/S-ILD for the type of anti-ARS antibody. Additionally, the initial serum levels of ALD and SP-D were higher in the relapsed group (8.6 U/L, 248 ng/mL, respectively) than those in the non-relapsed group (5.0 IU/L, 147 ng/mL) (P=0.004, 0.009, respectively). There were no significant differences in the initial serum levels of CK, CRP, KL-6, ferritin, or AaDO2 between the two groups. There were no significant differences in the ratio of the type of anti-ARS antibody between the two groups. Also, there were no significant differences in the anti-Ro52 positivity between the two groups. In the pulmonary function test results, the %FVC was significantly lower in the relapsed group (70%) than that in the non-relapsed group (84.9%; P=0.02) There were no significant differences in the total GGO and fibrosis score between the relapsed and non-relapsed groups. There were no significances in HRCT pattern between them.

We compared the contents of treatment between relapsed group and non-relapsed group (Supplementary Table 1). The median initial PSL dose was significantly higher in the relapse group than in the nonrelapse group. (P=0.02). Moreover, there were no differences observed in the doses of CSA or TAC or the frequency of MPDN, IVCY, PE, or MMF administration between the two groups.

Cox regression analysis of relapse in anti-ARS-ILD

A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower %FVC were identified as risk factors in the univariate analysis. Next, we performed a Cox regression analysis using these risk factors. Univariate analysis using a Cox regression model showed that A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower %FVC were predictors of ILD relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD (P=0.01, 0.0007, 0.01, and 0.0007, respectively; Table 3).

Previous reports have shown that ageing and disease duration from disease onset to therapeutic intervention are associated with poor prognosis in patients with PM/DM-ILD (30, 31). We performed multivariate analyses using Cox regression analysis to determine whether A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower % FVC were independently associated with ILD relapse after adjusting for age and disease duration. After adjusting for these covariates, multivariate analysis using a Cox regression model also revealed that A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower %FVC were independent risk factors for relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD (*P*=0.0007, 0.0004, 0.01, and 0.0005, respectively; Table 3).

Cut-off values for aldolase, %FVC and survival rates

To estimate the cut-off points for assessing factors related to the poor prognosis of anti-ARS-ILD, ROC curve analysis was

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics with anti-ARS-ILD at baseline.

Characteristics	anti-ARS-ILD (n= 50)				
Age, years	61(51-67)				
Female, n (%)	35(70)				
CADM/DM/PM/IPAF, n (%)	23 (46.0)/5 (10.0)/1 (2.0)/21 (42.0)				
A/SILD, n (%)	30 (60.0)				
Antibody (Jo-1/EJ/PL7/PL12/others)	13/16/12/3				
Antibody (Ro52)	32 (64.0)				
Disease duration, months	3.2 (1.5-4.8)				
Modified MRC scale	1 (0-3)				
Laboratory findings					
CK, U/L	100(61-224)				
ALD, U/L	6.3(3.8-12.5) ^a				
CRP, mg/mL	0.29(0.1-1.9)				
KL-6, U/mL	1022 (564-1755)				
SP-D, ng/mL	167 (111-277) ^b				
Ferritin, ng/mL	132 (79-344) ^c				
AaDO2	19.0 (6.6-28.7) ^d				
PFT findings					
Percent predicted FVC, %	81.6 (65.9-91.1) ^e				
Percent predicted DLco, %	51.2 (44-64.9) ^f				
HRCT pattern, n (%)					
NSIP	27 (54.0)				
NSIP with OP overlap	21 (42.0)				
OP	2 (4.0)				
HRCT score					
Total GGO score	5.8 (4.3-9.5)				
Total Fibrosis score	2 (1.3-3.8)				

The laboratory markers are presented as the median (interquartile range). ARS, AminoacyltRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; CADM, clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis; DM, dermatomyositis; PM, polymyositis; IPAF, interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune-features; MRC, Medical Research Council; CK, creatine kinase; ALD, aldolase; CRP, C-reactive protein; KL-6, Krebs von den Lungen-6; SP-D, Surfactant Protein-D; A-aDO2, alveolar-arterial oxygen difference; FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; NSIP, nonspecific interstitial pneumonia; OP, organizing pneumonia; GGO, ground-glass opacity. "Number of subjects, n= 48. "Number of subjects, n= 39. "Number of subjects, n= 46. dNumber of subjects, n= 48. "Number of subjects, n= 39.

performed using ALD, SP-D, and %FVC. The level that maximised the area under the ROC curve was 6.3 U/L for ALD (area under the curve [AUC]: 0.75, sensitivity: 83.3%, specificity: 66.7%), 207 ng/mL for SP-D (AUC: 0.75, sensitivity: 78.6%, specificity: 80%), and 76.8% for %FVC (AUC: 0.72, sensitivity: 62.5%, specificity: 80%). Thus, ALD \geq 6.3 U/L, SP-D \geq 207 ng/mL, and %FVC \leq 76.8% were the best cut-off levels for indicating a poor prognosis. The ROC curves of ALD, SP-D, and %FVC for differentiating between the relapsed and non-relapsed groups are shown in Supplementary Figure 2.

TABLE 2 Comparison of clinical characteristics, and outcomes of patients between relapsed group and non-relapsed group in anti-ARS-ILD.

Characteristics	Relapsed group (n= 19)	Non-Relapsed group (n=31)	P value			
Age, years	56(45-67)	63(54-68)	0.15			
Female, n (%)	12(63)	23(74)	0.53			
CADM/DM/PM/ IPAF, n (%)	7(36.8)/2 (10.5)/1 (5.3)/9 (47.4)	16(51.6)/3(9.7)/0(0)/ 12 (38.7)	0.49			
A/SILD, n (%)	15 (79.0)	15 (48.4)	0.04*			
Antibody(Jo-1/EJ/ PL7/PL12/others)	6/5/4/1	7/11/8/2	0.85			
Antibody (Ro52)	13 (68.4)	19 (61.3)	0.76			
Disease duration, months	2.9 (1.7-4.2)	3.3 (1.0-5.1)	0.94			
Modified MRC scale	2(1-3)	1 (0-2)	0.19			
Laboratory findings						
CK, U/L	132 (65-343)	85 (56-132)	0.08			
ALD, U/L	8.6(6.3-24.8) ^a	5.0(3.7-8.5) ^b	0.004**			
CRP, mg/mL	0.6(0.1-1.5)	0.1 (0.05-2.5)	0.44			
KL-6, U/mL	1376 (772-2480)	924 (495-1399)	0.06			
SP-D, ng/mL	248 (197-455) ^c	147 (108-195) ^d	0.009**			
Ferritin, ng/mL	151(85-499) ^e	124 (48-286) ^f	0.28			
AaDO2	21.1 (15.6-38.5) ^g	13.6 (4.6-26.7) ^h	0.13			
PFT findings						
Percent predicted FVC, %	70 (61.0-84.1) ⁱ	84.9 (78.3-94.1) ^j	0.02*			
Percent predicted DLco, %	45.7 (39.2-51.5) ^k	54.9 (45.3-66.9) ¹	0.05			
HRCT pattern, n (S	%)					
NSIP	9 (47.4)	18 (58.1)				
NSIP with OP overlap	9 (47.4)	12 (38.7)	0.75			
OP	1 (5.3)	1 (3.2)				
HRCT score						
Total GGO score	6 (4.3-10.7)	5.7 (4.0-9.3)	0.65			
Total Fibrosis score	2 (1.7-3.3)	2 (1-4)	0.94			

The laboratory markers are presented as the median (interquartile range). The P-values were estimated using Fisher's exact test or Wilcoxon rank sum test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; CADM, clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis; DM, dermatomyositis; PM, polymyositis; IPAF, interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune-features; MRC, Medical Research Council; CK, creatine kinase; ALD, aldolase; CRP, C-reactive protein; KL-6, Krebs von den Lungen-6; SP-D, Surfactant Protein-D; A-aDO2, alveolar-arterial oxygen difference; FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; NSIP, nonspecific interstitial pneumonia; OP, organizing pneumonia; GGO, ground-glass opacity. "Number of subjects, n= 18. ^bNumber of subjects, n= 30. ^cNumber of subjects, n= 14. ^dNumber of subjects, n= 29. ^sNumber of subjects, n= 29. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 29. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 29. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 24. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25. ^kNumber of subjects, n= 25.

TABLE 3 Cox regression analysis of relapse in anti-ARS ILD.

	Unadjusted			Age, Disease duration-Adjusted		
Risk Factors	Hazard ratio	95% CI	Р	Hazards ratio	95% CI	Р
A/S ILD	3.97	1.31-12.1	0.01*	14.45	3.11-67.10	0.0007***
ALD, U/L (per 1 unit increase)	1.02	1.01-1.03	0.0007***	1.02	1.01-1.03	0.0004***
SP-D, ng/mL (per 1 unit increase)	1.002	1.0003 -1.004	0.01*	1.003	1.0004-1.005	0.01*
%FVC (per 1 unit increase)	0.93	0.89-0.97	0.0007***	0.92	0.88-0.96	0.0005***

The Hazard ratios of relapse were derived from univariate and multivariate analysis with a cox regression model. Covariates: age, disease duration. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; ALD, aldolase; SP-D, Surfactant Protein-D; FVC, forced vital capacity.

The patients were then divided into two groups based on these cut-off levels, and Kaplan–Meier survival curves were plotted (Figures 2A–D). The 5-year relapse rate was significantly higher in patients with A/S-ILD (57.3%) than in those with C-ILD (23.7%; P=0.009) The 5-year relapse rate was significantly higher in patients with ALD \geq 6.3 U/L (70.3%) than in those with ALD <6.3 U/L (11.8%; P=0.0005; Figure 2B). The 5-year relapse rate was significantly higher in patients with SP-D \geq 207 ng/mL (71.3%) than in those with SP-D <207 ng/mL (15.7%; P=0.0007; Figure 2C). The 5-year relapse rate was significantly higher in patients with % FVC of \leq 76.8% (78.2%) than in those with %FVC of \succ 76.8% (28.7%). (P=0.0004; Figure 2D).

Correlation between serum aldolase levels and disease severity indicators of anti-ARS positive ILD

A previous report showed that patients with ASS often present with fasciitis-dominant myopathy with elevated serum ALD levels, and myofascitis was more likely to be observed in patients with DM with rapid progressive ILD (RP-ILD) than in those without RP-ILD (32, 33). Based on these findings, we examined whether serum ALD levels were correlated with disease severity indicators, including CRP, ferritin, KL-6, SP-D, %FVC, %DLco, and HRCT scores, in patients with anti-ARS-ILD on admission, as shown in Table 4 (24).



FIGURE 2

Kaplan-Meier curves of anti-ARS-ILD patients based on their initial clinical characteristics. (A) The relapse rate after 5 years for patients with A/S-ILD (57.3%) was significantly higher than that for patients with C-ILD (23.7%) (P=0.009). Solid line: with A/S-ILD, dashed line: with C-ILD. (B) The relapse rate after 5 years for patients with an initial ALD \geq 6.3 U/L (70.3%) was significantly higher than that for patients with ALD < 6.3 U/L (11.8%) (P=0.0005). Solid line: \geq 6.3 U/L (41.8%) (P=0.0007). Solid line: \geq 207 ng/mL (71.3%) was significantly higher than that for patients with SP-D \leq 207 ng/mL (15.7%) (P=0.0007). Solid line: \geq 207 ng/mL, (D) The relapse rate after 5 years for patients with an initial %FVC of \leq 76.8% (78.2%) was also significantly higher than that for patients with %FVC of >76.8% (28.7%) (P=0.0004). Solid line: %FVC of \leq 76.8% (Ashed line: %FVC of >76.8%. ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; A/S, acute/subacute; C, chronic; ALD, aldolase; SP-D, Surfactant Protein-D; FVC, forced vital capacity.

TABLE 4 Correlation between serum myopathy marker and disease activity of anti-ARS positive-ILD.

	CRP	ferritin	KL-6	Sp-D	%FVC	%Dlco	Total GGO	Total Fib
Aldolase	0.51***	0.49***	0.09	-0.1	-0.46**	-0.41**	0.34*	-0.02
СК	0.08	0.2	-0.11	-0.26	-0.22	-0.24	-0.03	-0.1

Statistical analyses were performed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; CRP, Creactive protein; KL-6, Krebs von den Lungen-6; SP-D, Surfactant Protein-D; FVC, forced vital capacity; DLCO, diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide; GGO, ground-glass opacity; Fib, Fibrosis.

Serum ALD levels were significantly and positively correlated with CRP (R=0.51), ferritin (R=0.49), and total GGO scores (R=0.34; P=0.0002, 0.0005, and 0.02, respectively). Moreover, they were significantly negatively correlated with %FVC (R=-0.46) and % DLco (R=-0.41; P=0.003 and 0.009, respectively). In contrast, there were no significant correlations between serum CK levels and these biomarkers.

Discussion

This study showed that 38% of the patients with anti-ARS-ILD relapsed during follow-up. The prevalence of A/S-ILD, serum ALD levels, and SP-D levels were significantly higher, and the %FVC on admission was significantly lower in the relapsed group than in the non-relapsed group. Multivariate analyses revealed that A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD levels, and lower %FVC were independently associated with anti-ARS-ILD relapse. Serum ALD levels were significantly correlated with disease activity indicators, including CRP, ferritin, %FVC, %DLco, and GGO scores on HRCT.

Fujisawa et al. reported that A/S-ILD is a poor prognostic factor in PM/DM/CADM-ILD (34). The prevalence of A/S-ILD varies from 30–50% in previous studies (16, 35, 36), and the association of A/S-ILD with the risk of relapse after treatment has not been elucidated in patients with anti-ARS-ILD. The present study showed that A/S-ILD at disease onset was also a high-risk factor for relapse after remission therapy in patients with anti-ARS-ILD.

Chronic onset is more common in patients with anti-ARS-ILD; however, some patients also present with acute onset (37, 38). Previous reports showed that ILD with anti-Jo-1 antibody, anti-PL7/PL12 antibody, or anti-EJ antibody often presented with acute onset, leading to poor prognosis (39-41). Tillie-Leblond et al. reported that 47% of patients with ILD and anti-Jo-1 antibodies presented with acute onset and were likely to progress to ILD 12 months after treatment (39). Marie et al. also showed that the extent of fibrosis on chest HRCT in the anti-PL7/PL12 positive group was more severe, and acute onset and deterioration were more frequent than in the anti-Jo-1 antibody-positive group (40). Sasano et al. reported that 75% of patients with anti-EJ antibody-related ILD presented with acute onset, and 50% relapsed after immunosuppressive therapy (41). These studies are consistent with those of this study. In our study, anti-Jo-1 antibody, anti-PL7/PL12 antibody, or anti-EJ antibody were positive in almost 90% of the patients, and nearly 40% of the patients with anti-ARS-ILD relapsed despite combination therapy with PSL and CNI. These results suggest that patients with anti-ARS-ILD who develop A/S-ILD may require more intensive remission induction therapy and a slow reduction of immunosuppressive drugs during maintenance therapy.

High serum ALD levels indicate severe muscle and myofascial inflammation in patients with DM (33). Fukamatsu et al. reported that patients with DM with anti-ARS antibodies had a higher rate of ILD complications and a higher percentage of elevated serum ALD levels and were more likely to have fasciitis-dominant myopathy compared to those without anti-ARS antibodies (32). Karino et al. suggested that myofasciitis and RP-ILD may be caused by microvasculopathy of the lungs and muscles in patients with DM because patients with RP-ILD were more likely to have myofasciitis than those without RP-ILD (33). The present study revealed that serum ALD levels were significantly higher in the A/S-ILD group than in the C-ILD group and were significantly correlated with disease severity indicators of PM/DM-ILD. Serum ALD levels can be useful biomarkers for predicting ILD relapse and assessing the severity of myositis.

SP-D is secreted by alveolar type II epithelial cells, and serum SP-D levels increase owing to alveolar-vascular leakage in patients with pulmonary fibrosis (42, 43). Serum SP-D levels reflect the disease activity and were indicators of relapse of ILD (43, 44). Two previous reports showed that high serum levels of SP-D were associated with a poor prognosis in PM/DM-ILD (45, 46).. Ihn et al. previously reported that increases in serum SP-D were accompanied by ILD exacerbations in 75% of patients with PM/ DM-ILD (45). Arai et al. showed that an increase in serum SP-D levels during the first 4 weeks after treatment was a poor prognostic factor for PM/DM-ILD (46). Our study also indicated that high serum SP-D levels on admission were associated with a higher relapse rate after remission induction therapy for anti-ARS-ILD. However, the serum KL-6 level on admission was not a prognostic indicator of relapse in this study. Serum SP-D levels peaked within the first 4 weeks after immunosuppressive therapy, whereas KL-6 levels increased for up to 3 months after treatment in patients with PM/DM-ILD (46). Therefore, serum SP-D levels may be a more sensitive predictor of relapse than serum KL-6 levels in anti-ARS-ILD. However, further investigation is required to confirm this hypothesis.

The association between %VC and the relapse of myositisassociated ILD has been reported in several studies. Takanashi et al. reported that myositis-associated ILD patients with %VC <70.5% had a higher relapse rate than those with %VC \geq 70.5% (15). Nakazawa et al. reported that the %VC at baseline was significantly lower in the early recurrence group than in the nonearly recurrence group (17). Marie et al. reported that patients with ILD deterioration had lower %FVC values than those without PM/ DM-ILD (47). Our study supports these previous studies by showing that %FVC is a useful predictor of anti-ARS-ILD deterioration.

Anti-Ro52 antibodies positivity is significantly associated with the presence of ILD in patients with ASS, but the clinical relevance of anti-Ro52 antibodies has not been elucidated (48). In this study, we evaluated the association between relapse rate and the anti-Ro52 antibodies positivity, but there were no association between them. There are contrasting results regarding the relationship between anti-Ro52 antibodies positivity and prognosis in ASS, so further studies are needed to prove this (49, 50).

NSIP and OP pattern are frequently observed on HRCT in patients with ASS, as our study also showed. Debray, et al. reported that 38% patients with ASS-ILD progressed fibrosis even after the decrease or disappearance of consolidation on HRCT (21). Therefore, progressive fibrosing ILD (PF-ILD) is a serious clinical phenotype in anti-ARS-ILD, which is determined by rapid deterioration of respiratory symptoms, lung function and progressive fibrosis on HRCT (51). Several reports showed that 10-20% of IIM-ILD, including ASS, met the criteria of PF-ILD or progressive pulmonary fibrosis (52, 53). Patients with PF-ILD have a high-mortality rate, but risk of PPF progression in IIM-ILD has not been elucidated. These predictive indicators in our study may be applicable for progressive fibrosing ILD with anti-ARS antibodies, and further investigations are needed to identify this.

Our study has a few limitations. First, all patients were Japanese, and the study number was limited. Therefore, it may result in selection bias, and it is unclear whether these findings would correspond to other ethnicities. Second, these data might have been affected by indication bias because treatment strategies for ARS-ILD were determined based on the physician's discretion. Third, these data might be affected by tertiary care bias because 60% of patients with anti-ARS-ILD had A/S-ILD. Fourth, the classification criteria for ASS are varied according to studies (54). In our study, anti-ARS-ILD patients were included in this study, so we did not include the ASS patients without ILD but with arthritis. This may cause the selection bias in the study of ASS. It is crucial to investigate whether these three indicators are useful for predicting relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD in a multi-centre study. Finally, we used the appearance of a new GGO on chest HRCT as a criterion for ILD relapse, as previously described (15, 28). However, the definition of ILD relapse on chest HRCT findings varies in studies; thus, our definition of relapse may have affected the results (17, 19, 55). Despite these limitations, the present study highlights useful indicators of anti-ARS-ILD relapse.

Conclusions

We revealed that the presence of A/S-ILD, higher serum ALD and SP-D levels, and lower %FVC were independently associated with anti-ARS-ILD relapse. Further investigations are needed to determine the useful indicators for predicting the relapse of ILD in anti-ARS-ILD patients in a large, prospective, multi-centre study.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its amendments, and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka Medical and Pharmaceutical University (approval no. 1529). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

SM: Investigation, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Validation, Visualization. TKo: Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KO: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. AO: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TKi: Investigation, Software, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TSu: Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YW: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TSh: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TT: Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1407633/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

The representative images of relapse in patients with anti-ARS-ILD. (A), chest HRCT image on admission. (B), chest HRCT image after remission induction

References

1. Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (first of two parts). N Engl J Med. (1975) 292:344-7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197502132920706

2. Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (second of two parts). N Engl J Med. (1975) 292:403–7. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197502202920807

3. Sontheimer RD. Dermatomyositis: an overview of recent progress with emphasis on dermatologic aspects. *Dermatol Clin.* (2002) 20:387–408. doi: 10.1016/S0733-8635 (02)00021-9

4. Gerami P, Schope JM, McDonald L, Walling HW, Sontheimer RD. A systematic review of adult-onset clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis (dermatomyositis is no myositis): a missing link within the spectrum of the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. J Am Acad Dermatol. (2006) 54:597–613. doi: 10.1016/j.jaad.2005.10.041

5. Johnson C, Pinal-Fernandez I, Parikh R, Paik J, Albayda J, Mammen AL, et al. Assessment of mortality in autoimmune myositis with and without associated interstitial lung disease. Lung. (2016) 194:733–7. doi: 10.1007/s00408-016-9896-x

 Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, Werth VP, Pilkington C, Visser M, et al. 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. *Ann Rheum Dis*. (2017) 76:1955–64. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211468

7. Selva-O'Callaghan A, Pinal-Fernandez I, Trallero-Araguás E, Milisenda JC, Grau-Junyent JM, Mammen AL. Classification and management of adult inflammatory myopathies. *Lancet Neurol.* (2018) 17:816–28. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(18)30254-0

8. Opinc AH, Makowska JS. Antisynthetase syndrome - much more than just a myopathy. *Semin Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2021) 51:72-83. doi: 10.1016/j.semarthrit.2020.09.020

9. Cavagna L, Trallero-Araguás E, Meloni F, Cavazzana I, Rojas-Serrano J, Feist E, et al. Influence of antisynthetase antibodies specificities on antisynthetase syndrome clinical spectrum time course. *J Clin Med.* (2019) 8:2013. doi: 10.3390/jcm8112013

10. Mimori T, Imura Y, Nakashima R, Yoshifuji H. Autoantibodies in idiopathic inflammatory myopathy: an update on clinical and pathophysiological significance. *Curr Opin Rheumatol.* (2007) 19:523–9. doi: 10.1097/BOR.0b013e3282f01a8c

11. Hervier B, Devilliers H, Stanciu R, Meyer A, Uzunhan Y, Masseau A, et al. Hierarchical cluster and survival analyses of antisynthetase syndrome: phenotype and outcome are correlated with anti-tRNA synthetase antibody specificity. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2012) 12:210–7. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2012.06.006

12. Zanframundo G, Selva-O'Callaghan A, González-Gay M, Montecucco C, Cavagna L. Issues in the classification of myositis patients: an ongoing process. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2024) 42:225–8. doi: 10.55563/clinexprheumatol/8u8p8x

13. Yoshifuji H, Fujii T, Kobayashi S, Imura Y, Fujita Y, Kawabata D, et al. Antiaminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies in clinical course prediction of interstitial lung disease complicated with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Autoimmunity.* (2006) 39:233–41. doi: 10.1080/08916930600622884

14. Isoda K, Kotani T, Takeuchi T, Kiboshi T, Hata K, Ishida T, et al. Comparison of long-term prognosis and relapse of dermatomyositis complicated with interstitial pneumonia according to autoantibodies: anti-aminoacyl tRNA synthetase antibodies versus anti-melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 antibody. *Rheumatol Int.* (2017) 37:1335–40. doi: 10.1007/s00296-017-3729-y

15. Takanashi S, Nishina N, Nakazawa M, Kaneko Y, Takeuchi T. Usefulness of serum Krebs von den Lungen-6 for the management of myositis-associated interstitial lung disease. *Rheumatol (Oxford).* (2019) 58:1034–9. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/key420

 Yamakawa H, Hagiwara E, Kitamura H, Iwasawa T, Otoshi R, Aiko N, et al. Predictive factors for the long-term deterioration of pulmonary function in interstitial lung disease associated with anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies. *Respiration*. (2018) 96:210–21. doi: 10.1159/000488358

17. Nakazawa M, Kaneko Y, Takeuchi T. Risk factors for the recurrence of interstitial lung disease in patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Rheumatol.* (2018) 37:765–71. doi: 10.1007/s10067-017-3854-8

therapy. (C), chest HRCT image on relapse. On chest HRCT, GGO was seen in bilateral lower lobes (wide arrow). ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; GGO, Ground-glass opacity.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

The ROC curves of aldolase (A), SP-D (B), %FVC (C) for differentiating patients with relapse from patients without relapse in anti-ARS-ILD. ROC, receiver operating characteristic; SP-D, surfactant protein-D; FVC, forced vital capacity; ARS, Aminoacyl-tRNA Synthetase; ILD, interstitial lung disease; AUC, area under the curve.

18. Kurita T, Yasuda S, Oba K, Odani T, Kono M, Otomo K, et al. The efficacy of tacrolimus in patients with interstitial lung diseases complicated with polymyositis or dermatomyositis. *Rheumatol (Oxford).* (2015) 54:39–44. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/ keu166

19. Cavagna L, Caporali R, Abdi-Ali L, Dore R, Meloni F, Montecucco C. Cyclosporine in anti-Jo1-positive patients with corticosteroid-refractory interstitial lung disease. *J Rheumatol.* (2013) 40:484–92. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.121026

20. Hozumi H, Fujisawa T, Nakashima R, Yasui H, Suzuki Y, Kono M, et al. Efficacy of glucocorticoids and calcineurin inhibitors for anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibody-positive polymyositis/dermatomyositis-associated interstitial lung disease: A propensity score-matched analysis. *J Rheumatol.* (2019) 46:509–17. doi: 10.3899/ jrheum.180778

21. Debray MP, Borie R, Revel MP, Naccache JM, Khalil A, Toper C, et al. Interstitial lung disease in anti-synthetase syndrome: initial and follow-up CT findings. *Eur J Radiol.* (2015) 84:516–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2014.11.026

22. Fischer A, Antoniou KM, Brown KK, Cadranel J, Corte TJ, du Bois RM, et al. An official European Respiratory Society/American Thoracic Society research statement: interstitial pneumonia with autoimmune features. *Eur Respir J.* (2015) 46:976–87. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00150-2015

23. American Thoracic Society; European Respiratory Society. American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society International Multidisciplinary Consensus Classification of the Idiopathic Interstitial Pneumonias. This joint statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS), and the European Respiratory Society (ERS) was adopted by the ATS board of directors, June 2001 and by the ERS Executive Committee, June 2001. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2002) 165:277–304. doi: 10.1164/ ajrccm.165.2.ats01

24. Matsuda S, Kotani T, Ishida T, Fukui K, Fujiki Y, Suzuka T, et al. Exploration of pathomechanism using comprehensive analysis of serum cytokines in polymyositis/ dermatomyositis-interstitial lung disease. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2020) 59:310–8. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kez301

25. Kazerooni EA, Martinez FJ, Flint A, Jamadar DA, Gross BH, Spizarny DL, et al. Thin-section CT obtained at 10-mm increments versus limited three-level thin-section CT for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: correlation with pathologic scoring. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* (1997) 169:977–83. doi: 10.2214/ajr.169.4.9308447

26. Travis WD, Costabel U, Hansell DM, King TE, Lynch DA, Nicholson AG, et al. An official American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society statement: Update of the international multidisciplinary classification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2013) 188:733–48. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201308-1483ST

27. Collard HR, Moore BB, Flaherty KR, Brown KK, Kaner RJ, King TE, et al. Acute exacerbations of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2007) 176:636–43. doi: 10.1164/rccm.200703-463PP

28. Yamaguchi K, Fukushima Y, Yamaguchi A, Itai M, Shin Y, Uno S, et al. Influence of obesity in interstitial lung disease associated with anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies. *Respir Med.* (2022) 193:106741. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2022.106741

29. Kogami M, Abe Y, Ando T, Makiyama A, Yamaji K, Tamura N. Changes in anti-MDA5 antibody titres and serum cytokine levels before and after diagnosis of anti-MDA5 antibody-positive dermatomyositis. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2023) 62:2525–33. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/keac627

30. Lv C, You H, Xu L, Wang L, Yuan F, Li J, et al. Coexistence of anti-Ro52 antibodies in anti-MDA5 antibody-positive dermatomyositis is highly associated with rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease and mortality risk. *J Rheumatol.* (2023) 50:219–26. doi: 10.3899/irheum.220139

31. Sato S, Masui K, Nishina N, Kawaguchi Y, Kawakami A, Tamura M, et al. Initial predictors of poor survival in myositis-associated interstitial lung disease: a multicentre cohort of 497 patients. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2018) 57:1212–21. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/key060

32. Fukamatsu H, Hirai Y, Miyake T, Kaji T, Morizane S, Yokoyama E, et al. Clinical manifestations of skin, lung and muscle diseases in dermatomyositis positive for anti-

aminoacyl tRNA synthetase antibodies. J Dermatol. (2019) 46:886-97. doi: 10.1111/ 1346-8138.15049

33. Karino K, Kono M, Sakamoto K, Fujieda Y, Kato M, Amengual O, et al. Myofascia-dominant involvement on whole-body MRI as a risk factor for rapidly progressive interstitial lung disease in dermatomyositis. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2020) 59:1734–42. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kez642

34. Fujisawa T, Hozumi H, Kono M, Enomoto N, Hashimoto D, Nakamura Y, et al. Prognostic factors for myositis-associated interstitial lung disease. *PloS One*. (2014) 9: e98824. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098824

35. Hozumi H, Enomoto N, Kono M, Fujisawa T, Inui N, Nakamura Y, et al. Prognostic significance of anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies in polymyositis/ dermatomyositis-associated interstitial lung disease: a retrospective case control study. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0120313. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120313

36. Takei R, Yamano Y, Kataoka K, Yokoyama T, Matsuda T, Kimura T, et al. Predictive factors for the recurrence of anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodyassociated interstitial lung disease. *Respir Investig.* (2020) 58:83–90. doi: 10.1016/ j.resinv.2019.10.004

37. Hamaguchi Y, Fujimoto M, Matsushita T, Kaji K, Komura K, Hasegawa M, et al. Common and distinct clinical features in adult patients with anti-aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase antibodies: heterogeneity within the syndrome. *PloS One.* (2013) 8:e60442. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060442

38. Friedman AW, Targoff IN, Arnett FC. Interstitial lung disease with autoantibodies against aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases in the absence of clinically apparent myositis. *Semin Arthritis Rheumatol.* (1996) 26:459–67. doi: 10.1016/S0049-0172(96)80026-6

39. Tillie-Leblond I, Wislez M, Valeyre D, Crestani B, Rabbat A, Israel-Biet D, et al. Interstitial lung disease and anti-Jo-1 antibodies: difference between acute and gradual onset. *Thorax.* (2008) 63:53–9. doi: 10.1136/thx.2006.069237

40. Marie I, Josse S, Decaux O, Dominique S, Diot E, Landron C, et al. Comparison of long-term outcome between anti-Jo1- and anti-PL7/PL12 positive patients with antisynthetase syndrome. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2012) 11:739–45. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2012.01.006

41. Sasano H, Hagiwara E, Kitamura H, Enomoto Y, Matsuo N, Baba T, et al. Longterm clinical course of anti-glycyl tRNA synthetase (anti-EJ) antibody-related interstitial lung disease pathologically proven by surgical lung biopsy. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2016) 16:168. doi: 10.1186/s12890-016-0325-y

42. Hermans C, Bernard A. Lung epithelium-specific proteins: characteristics and potential applications as markers. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (1999) 159:646–78. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.159.2.9806064

43. Honda Y, Kuroki Y, Matsuura E, Nagae H, Takahashi H, Akino T, et al. Pulmonary surfactant protein D in sera and bronchoalveolar lavage fluids. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (1995) 152:1860–6. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.152.6.8520747 44. Yamagishi T, Kodaka N, Watanabe K, Nakano C, Oshio T, Niitsuma K, et al. A retrospective clinical research of relapsed organizing pneumonia. *Ann Thorac Med.* (2020) 15:15–20. doi: 10.4103/atm.ATM_311_19

45. Ihn H, Asano Y, Kubo M, Yamane K, Jinnin M, Yazawa N, et al. Clinical significance of serum surfactant protein D (SP-D) in patients with polymyositis/ dermatomyositis: correlation with interstitial lung disease. *Rheumatol (Oxford)*. (2002) 41:1268–72. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/41.11.1268

46. Arai S, Kurasawa K, Maezawa R, Owada T, Okada H, Fukuda T. Marked increase in serum KL-6 and surfactant protein D levels during the first 4weeks after treatment predicts poor prognosis in patients with active interstitial pneumonia associated with polymyositis/ dermatomyositis. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2013) 23:872–83. doi: 10.1007/s10165-012-0756-0

47. Marie I, Hatron PY, Dominique S, Cherin P, Mouthon L, Menard JF. Short-term and long-term outcomes of interstitial lung disease in polymyositis and dermatomyositis: a series of 107 patients. *Arthritis Rheumatol.* (2011) 63:3439–47. doi: 10.1002/art.30513

48. Bozzalla-Cassione E, Zanframundo G, Biglia A, Bellis E, Bozzini S, Codullo V, et al. Anti-Ro52 antibodies positivity in antisynthetase syndrome: a single centre cohort study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2022) 40 Suppl 134:27–31. doi: 10.55563/ clinexprheumatol/bjb2gf

49. La Corte R, Lo Mo Naco A, Locaputo A, Dolzani F, Trotta F. In patients with antisynthetase syndrome the occurrence of anti-Ro/SSA antibodies causes a more severe interstitial lung disease. *Autoimmunity*. (2006) 39:249–53. doi: 10.1080/08916930600623791

50. Váncsa A, Csípo I, Németh J, Dévényi K, Gergely L, Dankó K. Characteristics of interstitial lung disease in SS-A positive/Jo-1 positive inflammatory myopathy patients. *Rheumatol Int.* (2009) 29:989–94. doi: 10.1007/s00296-009-0884-9

51. Cottin V. Treatment of progressive fibrosing interstitial lung diseases: a milestone in the management of interstitial lung diseases. *Eur Respir Rev.* (2019) 28:190109. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0109-2019

52. Zanatta E, Cocconcelli E, Castelli G, Giraudo C, Fraia AS, De Zorzi E, et al. Interstitial lung disease with and without progressive fibrosing phenotype in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: data from a large multicentric cohort. *RMD Open.* (2023) 9:e003121. doi: 10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003121

53. Chiu YH, Koops MFM, Voortman M, van Es HW, Langezaal LCM, Welsing PMJ, et al. Prognostication of progressive pulmonary fibrosis in connective tissue disease-associated interstitial lung diseases: A cohort study. *Front Med (Lausanne).* (2023) 10:1106560. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2023.1106560

54. Zanframundo G, Faghihi-Kashani S, Scirè CA, Bonella F, Corte TJ, Doyle TJ, et al. Defining anti-synthetase syndrome: a systematic literature review. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* (2022) 40:309–19. doi: 10.55563/clinexprheumatol/8xj0b9

55. Liu Y, Liu X, Xie M, Chen Z, He J, Wang Z, et al. Clinical characteristics of patients with anti-EJ antisynthetase syndrome associated interstitial lung disease and literature review. *Respir Med.* (2020) 165:105920. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2020.105920