#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lin Zhou, Sichuan University, China

#### REVIEWED BY

Hongqing Zhuang, Peking University Third Hospital, China Xiaoyan Li, Capital Medical University, China Assaf Moore, Rabin Medical Center, Israel

\*CORRESPONDENCE Anhui Shi Manhuidoctor@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 12 March 2024 ACCEPTED 07 August 2024 PUBLISHED 27 August 2024

#### CITATION

Zhang J, Yu J, Yang D, Jiang L, Dong X, Liu Z, Yu R, Yu H and Shi A (2024) Bevacizumab reduces cerebral radiation necrosis due to stereotactic radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases: an inverse probability of treatment weighting analysis. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1399613. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1399613

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Yu, Yang, Jiang, Dong, Liu, Yu, Yu and Shi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Bevacizumab reduces cerebral radiation necrosis due to stereotactic radiotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer patients with brain metastases: an inverse probability of treatment weighting analysis

Jingwei Zhang<sup>1†</sup>, Jiayi Yu<sup>2†</sup>, Dan Yang<sup>2</sup>, Leilei Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Xin Dong<sup>2</sup>, Zhiyan Liu<sup>2</sup>, Rong Yu<sup>2</sup>, Huiming Yu<sup>2</sup> and Anhui Shi<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Basic Medical Sciences, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Department of Radiation Oncology, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing, China

**Background:** Cerebral radiation necrosis (RN), a severe complication of stereotactic radiotherapy (SRT), has been shown to significantly decrease patient survival time and quality of life. The purpose of this study was to analyze whether bevacizumab can prevent or reduce the occurrence of SRT-induced cerebral RN in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with brain metastases.

**Materials and methods:** We retrospectively reviewed the clinical records of NSCLC patients with brain metastases from March 2013 to June 2023 who were treated with SRT. Patients were divided into two groups: those in the bevacizumab group received SRT with four cycles of bevacizumab, and patients in the control group received SRT only. Inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) was performed based on a multinomial propensity score model to balance the baseline characteristics. The chi-square test was used. A Cox model was used to evaluate overall survival (OS).

**Results:** A total of 80 patients were enrolled, namely, 28 patients in the bevacizumab group and 52 patients in the control group. The possibility of developing cerebral RN and/or symptomatic edema (RN/SE) was significantly decreased in patients treated with bevacizumab compared to those who did not receive bevacizumab before IPTW (p=0.036) and after IPTW (p=0.015) according to chi-square analysis. The IPTW-adjusted median OS was 47.7 months (95% CI

27.4-80.8) for patients in the bevacizumab group and 44.1 months (95% CI 36.7-68.0) (p=0.364) for patients in the control group.

**Conclusion:** The application of bevacizumab concurrent with SRT may prevent or reduce the occurrence of cerebral RN in NSCLC patients with brain metastases.

KEYWORDS

bevacizumab, radiation, radionecrosis, stereotactic, NSCLC, brain metastases

# 1 Introduction

Lung cancer is most often detected in stage IV when it has metastasized via blood and lymphatic vessels (1). Approximately 40% of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients develop brain metastases, with appreciable morbidity and mortality (2). The efficacy of chemotherapy for brain metastasis is mostly poor (3); thus, treating brain metastases in patients with NSCLC is challenging, and a multidisciplinary approach is needed to control intracranial disease effectively.

With advancements in the treatment paradigm of stereotactic radiotherapy (SRT), this technique has become the usual clinical treatment of choice for cerebral metastases (4). Although SRT is an effective and noninvasive strategy for treating cerebral metastases (5), cerebral radiation necrosis (RN) is a common complication in patients after SRT with an occurrence of 5% to 25% and should be given more attention (6-9).

The mechanisms of cerebral RN are still under investigation. One commonly accepted mechanism involves the vascular system. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), usually considered an angiogenic factor plays an important role in abnormal neovascularization, which promotes tumor progression. An abnormal and disordered vessel structure with high capillary permeability leads to the development of brain edema, which ultimately deteriorates into cerebral RN (10, 11).

According to existing mechanisms, many clinical treatments have been used including bevacizumab treatment. Recent studies have focused mainly on the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab treatment for brain necrosis and have confirmed that bevacizumab remains the most thoroughly characterized and most widely used angiogenesis inhibitor across a range of advanced cancers with poor prognosis (12). In addition, the incidence of cerebral RN is irreversible; thus, treatment with bevacizumab can relieve patients' cerebral RN symptoms and improve their quality of life but not cure cerebral RN (11). There is little research on the use of bevacizumab as a precautionary measure to reduce the toxicity of irradiation in patients with NSCLC to minimize the occurrence of RN. Thus, we designed this study to compare the outcomes of NSCLC patients with brain metastases treated with SRT concurrent with 1 cycle of bevacizumab and followed by 3 continuous cycles of bevacizumab with the outcomes of those treated with SRT only. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore whether bevacizumab can prevent the occurrence of SRT-induced cerebral RN. This study shed a light on the prevention of cerebral RN which may provide patients with an improvement of quality of life with fewer adverse reaction.

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Eligibility criteria

We retrospectively reviewed the clinical records of NSCLC patients with brain metastases from March 2013 to June 2023 treated with SRT. This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute. Informed consent was exempted by the IRB due to the retrospective nature of this research. All methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. Patient records were anonymized and deidentified before analysis of the data.

The inclusion criteria for the study were defined as follows: (1) histologically or cytologically confirmed NSCLC; (2) age 18 years or older; (3) Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) score of  $\geq$ 70; (4) 10 or fewer cerebral metastases confirmed by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); and (5) SRT administered for cerebral metastases.

Eighty patients were divided into two groups according to the application of bevacizumab: 28 patients in the bevacizumab group received SRT and four cycles of bevacizumab, and 52 patients in the control group received SRT only.

#### 2.2 SRT technique

In all patients, SRT was delivered using the volumetricmodulated arc radiotherapy (VMAT) technique. All treatment plans were designed with the Eclipse treatment planning system based on a 6-MV photon beam from a Varian linear accelerator (True Beam or Edge). All patients underwent a simulated computed tomography scan, fusion into thin layers, contrast-enhanced T1weighted MRI. The gross tumor volume (GTV) was determined based on T1-weighted axial-enhanced MRI, occasionally guided by positron emission tomography, and was expanded with an additional 2-mm margin to determine the planning target volume (PTV). Most patients were administered a short-term prophylactic course of dexamethasone after SRT. Based on the specific size and location of the tumor, the PTV was prescribed at a dose of 18-24 Gy in 1 fraction, 27-30 Gy in 3 fractions or 30 Gy in 5 fractions. Organs at risk comprised the brain tissue and brainstem. The maximum dose to the brainstem was less than or equal to 10 Gy, conditionally suggesting that limiting the volume to 12 Gy was less than or equal to10 cm<sup>3</sup> of a single segmentation of brain tissue. A representative example is given in Figure 1.

The organs at risk comprised the brain stem, eyes, hippocampus, lens and optic nerve. The brain stem delineates the entire brain stem within the scanning range and increases it by 3mm to form the PRV. The maximum brain stem dose did not exceed 31Gy. As for eyes, the mean eyes dose did not exceed 20Gy and the maximum eyes dose did not exceed 35Gy. The maximum dose to optic nerve and optic chiasma was less than 22.5Gy for both sides. The volume of the lens is exposed to a dose less than 6Gy.

#### 2.3 Bevacizumab treatment

A total of 4 cycles of bevacizumab were administered: the first cycle involved a dosage of 7.5 mg/kg bevacizumab administered once every 3 weeks, with the first dose on the same day as the first SRT fraction; following SRT, 3 additional cycles of bevacizumab were administered. The time of administration was more than 90 min for the first treatment and more than 60 min for each subsequent treatment. Patients were monitored by electrocardiogram throughout the administration process to closely observe their reactions to the medication.

#### 2.4 Diagnosis of RN

Pathological examination is a highly reliable diagnostic method for distinguishing local tumor recurrence from cerebral RN. However, it is difficult to carry out in clinical practice (13, 14) for several reasons. First, there are many important functional areas or cranial base structures around intracranial tumors that are hard to resect surgically or biopsy stereotactically. In addition, few patients consent to biopsy after SRT. Moreover, the results of pathological examination can only reflect local lesions rather than showing overall pathological changes. Finally, conducting surgical resection or stereotactic biopsy contradict the goals of SRT, which are to increase survival time and improve patient quality of life.

The presumption of a symptomatic RN was based on the occurrence of adverse events of at least CTCAE v5.0 grade 2 (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events). The suspicion of RN was based on typical MRI morphological findings on contrast-enhanced (CE) T1 sequences, which included the appearance of a spreading wavefront, spread to the

contralateral hemisphere and/or multiple foci with discrete contrast enhancement only, and/or dynamic 18F-FET PET findings showing increasing time activity curves specifically in cases of RN (15). A stereotactic, usually PET-guided, biopsy was performed in specific cases to eliminate the possibility of tumor progression and to ascertain the suspicion of RN. The identification of necrotic tissue, absence of viable tumor tissue, and low proliferation indices (Ki-67) labeling index within the range of 1%) provided strong evidence supporting the diagnosis of RN. The structural and molecular imaging results and histological findings were examined by relevant experts and presented and dissected during multidisciplinary treatment (MDT) team meetings. A representative example is given in Figure 1. Patients who did not exhibit typical signs of either RN or tumor progression but continued to experience high levels of symptoms, such as severe headache, drowsiness, and paresis, for more than 6 weeks after SRT due to persistent symptomatic edema (SE) despite steroid treatment (as evidenced by T2-weighted follow-up MRI results) were categorized as SE patients. All patients with an SE diagnosis had to exhibit clinical stabilization/improvement over time to exclude tumor progression. The diagnosis of SE was also resolved by an MDT team. Patients with imaging-diagnosed edema or pathologically diagnosed cerebral RN (RN/SE) were included in the study.

In addition, dose diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) as an auxiliary method was used to differentiate RN from tumor recurrence, which present similar characteristics in standard MR images (16). DWI is able to acquire a signal for the movement of water protons in cellular spaces of the body, which can describe normal and histopathological characteristics. The apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value, including qualitative methods that are either restricted or facilitated and quantitative methods, is a sensitive method for detecting cerebral RN (17). Low levels on DWI and high ADC values suggest metastasis and RN, whereas high levels on DWI and low ADC values suggest tumor recurrence.

## 2.5 Outcomes

Patients' cerebral edema index (EI), brain necrosis incidence rate, and difference in overall survival (OS) time were observed, and the toxicity and side effects of bevacizumab were evaluated with further exploration of their mechanism. Local control (LC) rate was defined as the time after SRT treatment until local failure.

## 2.6 Statistical methods

IBM SPSS 20.0 software and R were used to analyze the data. Measurement data are described as the mean ± standard deviation or the median (quartile) and were analyzed with a rank-based nonparametric method. Enumeration data are described as the frequency, relative frequency, and constituent ratio and were tested with the chi-square test and Fisher's precision probability test. We used propensity score matching to select and pair the exposed group with controls according to their basic conditions and symptoms before treatment. Multifactorial



#### FIGURE 1

61 year old, female patient with non-small cell lung cancer treated with SRT without bevacizumab. Representative axial (A) and sagittal (B) plane of a VMAT plan of SRT treatment [PTV, 30 Gy isodose line (yellow)]. Representative axial planes in CE-T1 and T2 MRI sequences before SRT (C, D) and two months after surgical resection (E, F) with symptomatic radiation necrosis resulting in dizziness and headache. (G, H) representative pathological staining images diagnosis of radiation necrosis.

logistic regression analysis and generalized linear models were used for clinical data analysis to control for potential confounding factors. The curves for OS were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method. The logrank method and Cox proportional hazard model were used to conduct single-factor and multivariate analyses. Bonferroni correction was used for multiple comparisons.

To minimize the effects of potential confounding factors between the two treatment groups to ensure the reliability and rigor for different clinical outcomes, inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) based on a multinomial propensity score model was used. We used a logistic regression model to estimate the multinomial propensity including the following potential confounders: sex, age, smoking status, tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage and mutation type. To assess the covariate balance in the IPTW sample, standardized mean differences in covariate values were applied. The covariables were sex classified as male or female; age classified as  $\geq 60$  or age <60 years old; smoking status classified as yes or no; TNM stage classified as stage III or stage IV; and EGFR mutation classified as yes or no. Both IPTW-adjusted and unadjusted Kaplan –Meier estimates of OS rates are presented. For sensitivity analyses, doubly robust IPTW analysis using the Cox model was performed to adjust for potential residual confounding. Significance was set to p=0.05.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 Patient demographics

We identified 109 lesions in 80 NSCLC patients with a pathological diagnosis of brain metastasis whose characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were 46 men and 34 women with a median age of 66 years (range, 27-85 years). Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation was observed in 37 (46.25%) patients, which was close to half of the patients; 1 (1.25%) patient had a BRAF mutation, 1 (1.25%) patient had a BRAF mutation,

	Unweighted, number(%)				Weighted, mean(%)			
Characteristic	Overall (n=80)	Bevacizumab- used(n=28)	Bevacizumab- unused(n=52)	Р	Bevacizumab- used	Bevacizumab- unused	Р	test SMD
Age				0.453			0.906	0.030
Median(range)	66(27-85)	65.5(40-85)	66(27-85)					
≥60	23(28.8%)	10(35.7%)	13(25.0%)		72.2	70.9		
<60	57(71.2%)	18(64.3%)	39(75.0%)		27.8	29.1		
Sex				0.029			0.924	0.025
Female	34(42.5%)	17(60.7%)	17(32.7%)		43.5	42.3		
male	46(57.5%)	11(39.3%)	35(67.3%)		56.5	57.7		
Smoking				1.000			0.735	0.091
Yes	30(37.5%)	10(35.7%)	20(38.5%)		41.8	37.3		
No	50(62.5%)	18(64.3%)	32(61.5%)		58.2	62.7		
TNM stage				1.000			0.728	0.096
I	9(11.3%)	3(10.7%)	6(11.5%)					
II	11(13.8%)	3(10.7%)	8(15.4%)					
III	21(23.3%)	3(10.7%)	18(34.6%)		19.7	16.0		
IV	39(48.8%)	19(67.9%)	20(38.5%)		80.3	84.0		
Gene mutation				0.009			0.979	0.007
EGFR	37(46.3%)	19(67.9%)	18(34.6%)		45.3	45.0		
ALK	7(8.8%)	1(3.6%)	6(11.5%)					
BRAF	1(1.3%)	0(0.0%)	1(1.9%)					
KRAS	1(1.3%)	0(0.0%)	1(1.9%)					
Non-non- oncogenic driver	4(5.0%)	3(10.7%)	1(1.9%)					
NA	29(36.3%)	5(17.9%)	24(46.2%)		54.7	55.0		

TABLE 1 Patient demographics and baseline clinical characteristics of patients.

7 (8.75%) patients had an ALK mutation, 4 (5.00%) patients had nononcogenic driver mutations, and 29 (36.25%) patients had no genetic mutation.

Twenty-nine patients had received first-line treatment, and 10 had received second-line chemotherapy. The most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents were carboplatin (n=31), pemetrexed (n=23), etoposide (n=11), and albumin-bound paclitaxel (n=10). Twenty-eight patients were treated with 1 cycle of bevacizumab concurrent with SRT and 3 continuous cycles of bevacizumab after SRT, and 52 patients who were not administered bevacizumab after SRT were included as the control group. The median tumor size is 2.5cm (0.8-14.6 cm). Over all, the median gross tumor volumes (GTV) is 5.6 cm<sup>3</sup> (0.2-38.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the median planning target volumes (PTV) is 14.2 cm<sup>3</sup> (1.0-85.1 cm<sup>3</sup>). The median prescribed does and fraction (BED) is 56Gy (42.9-84.6Gy).

## 3.2 Correlation of RN/SE with the application of bevacizumab before and after IPTW

Bevacizumab was relatively well tolerated by those who received it during and after SRT, and these patients have, to date, exhibited a lower incidence of cerebral RN up to now. Only 1 patient administered bevacizumab as a precautionary measure had biopsy-proven cerebral RN, while 8 patients had symptomatic edema (SE), and 3 patients had pathologically diagnosed cerebral RN. The incidence of RN was significantly decreased in patients treated with bevacizumab compared to those who did not receive this treatment (p=0.036).

The propensity score model consisted of sex, age, smoking status, tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage and EGFR mutation type. After applying IPTW adjustment, the baseline characteristics were found to be balanced between the bevacizumab and control groups, as shown in Table 1. After IPTW, it was found that patients treated with bevacizumab after SRT were significantly less likely (p=0.015) to develop RN/SE.

#### 3.3 Survival analyses

The survival data for NSCLC patients who did or did not receive bevacizumab during and after SRT were analyzed. In our study, OS was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis until the date of death from any cause. The median follow-up of the entire cohort was 60.6 months [95% CI 45.1-76.1]. The median OS in patients with NSCLC receiving bevacizumab during and after SRT was 52.0 (95% CI 46.7-57.3) months compared with 44.1 (95% CI 16.5-71.7) months in patients not receiving bevacizumab (p=0.473; Figure 2A). The 1- and 3-year OS rates were 92.6% and 61.2%, respectively, in the group of NSCLC patients receiving bevacizumab and 84.3% and 49.8%, respectively, in the group not receiving bevacizumab (Figure 2A).

Patients with gene mutations had a significantly higher median OS of 87.7 (95% CI 48.9-125.5) months compared with a median

OS of 21.4 (95% CI 15.3-27.5) months for patients without gene mutations (p<0.001). Among gene mutation patients, the median OS of 87.7 months (95% CI 49.9-125.5) in the bevacizumab group was higher than the median OS of 52.0 months (95% CI 44.2-59.8) in the control group, but the difference was not significant (p=0.333).

#### 3.4 IPTW analysis of survival outcomes

The IPTW-adjusted median OS was 47.7 months (95% CI 27.4-80.8) for patients who received bevacizumab during and after SRT and 44.1 months (95% CI 36.7-68.0) (p=0.364) for patients who received SRT only. As shown in Figure 2, the comparison of bevacizumab group or control group shown no clear OS benefit.

#### 3.5 Prognostic model

The influencing factors for RN/SE analyzed with the Cox regression model are presented in Table 2.

Bevacizumab treatment was not considerably associated with prolonged OS (HR, 0.159; 95% CI: 0.020-1.232; p=0.078) but was still a protective factor. Gene mutation (HR, 0.183; 95% CI: 0.086-0.387; p<0.001) and sex (HR, 0.457; 95% CI: 0.221-0.945; p=0.035) were protective factors that were significantly related to prolonged OS. Tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage (HR, 1.629; 95% CI: 1.117-2.376; p=0.011) was a risk factor for prolonged OS.

## 3.6 Response

The median follow-up time was 43.2 months (range, 3.1-127.3 months) for the entire cohort. Overall, 28 patients and 43 lesions in the bevacizumab group and 52 patients and 66 lesions in control group were evaluated for best response. The partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) and progressive disease (PD) rate were 32.5%, 55.0% and 12.5%, respectively. At the end of follow-up, 1 patient in bevacizumab group and 11 patients in control group have experienced RN. The disease control rate is 87.5%. The local control rate for the patients in bevacizumab group and 84.3%, respectively.

## 3.7 Treatment-related toxicities

The use Bevacizumab was well tolerated in this study. The acute toxicities (defined as toxicity occurring between the start of treatment and up to 3 months after the completion of treatment and assessed according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 5.0) were summarized in Table 3 and there was no late toxicity (more than 3 months after the completion of treatment and assessed according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 5.0) end of



follow-up. 40 patients (50.0%) experienced grade 1-2 toxicities and only 4 patients (2.5%) experienced grade 3 toxicities. Overall, Leukopenia (32.5%) was most commonly seen in this cohort. Hypertension (22.5%), Headache (20.0%) and Neutropenia (20.0%) were commonly seen.

# 4 Discussion

The commonly use of SRT in the treatment of brain metastases in NSCLC patients proved to be a usual and effective option (18). However, 5% to 25% patients after SRT will experience cerebral RN which is one of the main limiting toxicities and severely influences patient survival time and quality of life. Bevacizumab now is the most thoroughly characterized and most widely used angiogenesis inhibitor across a range of advanced cancers with poor prognosis. There are many studies focusing on the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab to manage SRT-induced cerebral RN while there is little research focusing on preventing the occurrence of cerebral RN. The purpose of this study was to explore whether bevacizumab can prevent the occurrence of SRT-induced cerebral RN in patients with NSCLC. We note several important findings in this study. First, bevacizumab can decrease the toxicity of irradiation and prevent the development of cerebral RN in NSCLC patients with brain metastases. Second, a clear OS benefit could not be demonstrated between bevacizumab group and control group. Third, the use of bevacizumab as a precautionary measure is safe in clinical application.

Cerebral RN, a severe complication of SRT, has severe symptoms like headache, epilepsy, cognitive disorder and so on which severely decrease patient quality of life. Previous studies have reported the frequency of RN after radiotherapy. For instance, an RN incidence rate of 10% to 15% in patients who were diagnosed with malignant gliomas and had survived for more than one year after irradiation has been reported (19). A W Lee et al. reported that the incidence of temporal lobe necrosis in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after 9 months to 16 years of radiotherapy ranged from 1.6% to 22.0% (20). Given the widespread use and assessment of SRT, it is now clear that 2% to 5% of SRT patients will develop symptomatic focal cerebral necrosis, which is lower than the incidence rates noted above but remains a limitation of this treatment (21, 22). In our research, the total probability of biopsy-proven cerebral RN was 5% (4 of 80), while the incidence of SE was 10% (8 of 80).

Variables	ables RE/SE-free survival univariate		95%-CI	
BEV treatment	P=0.078	0.159	0.020-1.232	
Sex	P=0.035	0.457	0.221-0.945	
Age	P=0.544	0.816	0.422-1.575	
Smoking	P=0.794	1.101	0.534-2.273	
TNM	P=0.011	1.629	1.117-2.376	
Mutation	P<0.001	0.183	0.086-0.387	

TABLE 2 Multivariate analyses of prognostic factors related to OS before propensity score matching.

At present, most clinical studies are based on bevacizumab treatment of refractory brain edema and cerebral RN after SRT (23–26). To our knowledge, this is the first study confirming that bevacizumab concurrent with SRT may prevent or reduce the occurrence of cerebral RN in NSCLC patients with brain metastases. Patients' quality of life and overall survival are both important. Though our result didn't show clear benefit of prolonging OS, improving NSCLC patients' quality of life was also essential.

The safety of bevacizumab is equally important to its efficacy. In our study, patients experienced few adverse reactions. Puyuan Xing et al. (27) confirmed that a first-line regimen containing bevacizumab in terms of safety assessment showed acceptable adverse reactions and better effectiveness than a non-bevacizumab regimen in patients with NSCLC. Ning Tang et al. (28) also reported that compared to chemotherapy alone, the combination of chemotherapy and bevacizumab as first-line and maintenance treatment resulted in improved curative rates and tolerable adverse events in patients with advanced NSCLC. In our study, decreased RN occurrence and manageable adverse events improved patients' quality of life confirming the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab.

Overall, among all the investigations using bevacizumab as an effective treatment measure for cerebral RN, this study provides a new angle from treatment to prevention. Nevertheless, this study certainly had limitations. First, it was geographically limited to one hospital. Patients from other health care environments may produce different outcomes or confirm our study. Second, the median OS of bevacizumab group and control group was 52.0 months and 44.1 months respectively which is much longer than commonly considered mean median OS of NSCLC patients with brain metastases. The median OS of patients in our study was defined as the time from the date of diagnosis until the date of death from any cause. Thus, the median OS in our study is much longer. Finally, the limited number and the unbalanced proportion of patients assessed may influence our results. To minimize the effects of potential confounding factors between the two treatment groups, inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW) based on a multinomial propensity score model was used to perfectly balance essential factors including, sex, age, smoking status, tumor lymph node metastasis (TNM) stage and mutation type.

Despite the limited number of patients assessed and the preliminary nature of the observations presented here, this study initially confirmed the efficacy of bevacizumab to prevent the occurrence of cerebral RN in patients with NSCLC, which may inform the clinical use of SRT for intracranial tumors. In the future, prospective studies and more clinical data are needed to confirm the

	Bevacizumab group (n=28)			Control group (n=52)				
	Grade 1 No. (%)	Grade 2 No. (%)	Grade 3 No. (%)	Grade 4-5 No. (%)	Grade 1 No. (%)	Grade 2 No. (%)	Grade 3 No. (%)	Grade 4-5 No. (%)
Leukopenia	12(15.0)	7(8.8)	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	4(5.0)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Neutropenia	8(10.0)	2(2.5)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	2(2.5)	2(2.5)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)
Thrombocytopenia	2(2.5)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	3(3,8)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Anemia	6(7.5)	3(3.8)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Elevated AST	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Elevated ALT	4(5.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Headache	8(10.0)	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	4(5.0)	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Fatigue	3(3.8)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	3(3.8)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Nausea	2(2.5)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	3(3.8)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Hypertension	10(12.5)	4(5.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	3(3.8)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Proteinuria	4(5.0)	1(1.3)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Gastrointestinal perforation	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
Thromboembolism	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)

TABLE 3 Treatment-related acute toxicities.

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

effectiveness of bevacizumab as a precautionary measure and establish a complete system for the application of bevacizumab to prevent the occurrence of cerebral RN.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethic committee of Beijing cancer hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/ next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

## Author contributions

JZ: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. JY: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. DY: Writing – review & editing, Resources. LJ: Writing – review & editing, Resources. XD: Writing – review & editing, Resources. ZL: Writing – review & editing. RY: Writing – review & editing, Resources. HY: Writing – review & editing, Resources.

# References

1. Popper HH. Progression and metastasis of lung cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev. (2016) 35:75-91. doi: 10.1007/s10555-016-9618-0

2. Buriolla S, Pelizzari G, Corvaja C, Alberti M, Targato G, Bortolot M, et al. Immunotherapy in NSCLC patients with brain metastases. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:7068. doi: 10.3390/ijms23137068

3. Ernani V, Stinchcombe TE. Management of brain metastases in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Oncol Pract. (2019) 15:563–70. doi: 10.1200/JOP.19.00357

4. Patel TR, Knisely JP, Chiang VL. Management of brain metastases: surgery, radiation, or both? *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* (2012) 26:933–47. doi: 10.1016/j.hoc.2012.04.008

5. Chen WC, Baal UH, Baal JD, Pai JS, Boreta L, Braunstein SE, et al. Efficacy and safety of stereotactic radiosurgery for brainstem metastases: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol.* (2021) 7:1033–40. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.1262

 Du Four S, Hong A, Chan M, Charakidis M, Duerinck J, Wilgenhof S, et al. Symptomatic histologically proven necrosis of brain following stereotactic radiation and ipilimumab in six lesions in four melanoma patients. *Case Rep Oncol Med.* (2014) 2014:417913. doi: 10.1155/2014/417913

7. Rogers LR. Neurologic complications of radiation. *Continuum (Minneap Minn)*. (2012) 18:343–54. doi: 10.1212/01.CON.0000413662.35174.a8

8. Lacy J, Saadati H, Yu JB. Complications of brain tumors and their treatment. Hematol Oncol Clin North Am. (2012) 26:779-96. doi: 10.1016/j.hoc.2012.04.007 AS: Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Resources, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Funding was provided by the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology–Linghang Cancer Research (grant number Y-2019AZMS-0519), the Wu Jieping Medical Foundation (grant number 320.6750.2022–03–48), the Clinical Research Fund For Distinguished Young Scholars of Peking University Cancer Hospital (grant number QNJJ2022014), and Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei Basic Research Cooperation Special Project (grant number 22JCZXJC00180).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer XL declared a shared parent affiliation with the author JZ to the handling editor at the time of the review.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Lee D, Riestenberg RA, Haskell-Mendoza A, Bloch O. Brain metastasis recurrence versus radiation necrosis: evaluation and treatment. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* (2020) 31:575–87. doi: 10.1016/j.nec.2020.06.007

10. Zhuang H, Shi S, Yuan Z, Chang JY. Bevacizumab treatment for radiation brain necrosis: mechanism, efficacy and issues. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18:21. doi: 10.1186/s12943-019-0950-1

11. Boothe D, Young R, Yamada Y, Prager A, Chan T, Beal K. Bevacizumab as a treatment for radiation necrosis of brain metastases post stereotactic radiosurgery. *Neuro Oncol.* (2013) 15:1257–63. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/not085

12. Garcia J, Hurwitz HI, Sandler AB, Miles D, Coleman RL, Deurloo R, et al. Bevacizumab (Avastin<sup>®</sup>) in cancer treatment: A review of 15 years of clinical experience and future outlook. *Cancer Treat Rev.* (2020) 86:102017. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102017

13. Zhuang H, Yuan X, Zheng Y, Li X, Chang JY, Wang J, et al. A study on the evaluation method and recent clinical efficacy of bevacizumab on the treatment of radiation cerebral necrosis. *Sci Rep.* (2016) 6:24364. doi: 10.1038/srep24364

14. Kickingereder P, Dorn F, Blau T, Schmidt M, Kocher M, Galldiks N, et al. Differentiation of local tumor recurrence from radiation-induced changes after stereotactic radiosurgery for treatment of brain metastasis: case report and review of the literature. *Radiat Oncol.* (2013) 8:52. doi: 10.1186/1748-717X-8-52

15. Fleischmann DF, Jenn J, Corradini S, Ruf V, Herms J, Forbrig R, et al. Bevacizumab reduces toxicity of reirradiation in recurrent high-grade glioma. *Radiother Oncol.* (2019) 138:99–105. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2019.06.009

16. Bobek-Billewicz B, Stasik-Pres G, Majchrzak H, Zarudzki L. Differentiation between brain tumor recurrence and radiation injury using perfusion, diffusion-weighted imaging and MR spectroscopy. *Folia Neuropathol.* (2010) 48:81–92.

17. Roushdy MMM, Elsherif MMR, Kayed EMS, Farghaly S, Sayed AR. Does diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) has role in irradiated laryngeal carcinoma? *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2022) 74:6339–46. doi: 10.1007/s12070-021-03071-0

18. de Azevedo Rosas F, Favareto SL, de Castro DG. Local ablative therapy of brain metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer: benefits and limitations. *J Thorac Dis.* (2021) 13:3289–94. doi: 10.21037/jtd-19-3321

19. Ruben JD, Dally M, Bailey M, Smith R, McLean CA, Fedele P. Cerebral radiation necrosis: incidence, outcomes, and risk factors with emphasis on radiation parameters and chemotherapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2006) 65:499–508. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2005.12.002

20. Lee AW, Ng SH, Ho JH, Tse VK, Poon YF, Tse CC, et al. Clinical diagnosis of late temporal lobe necrosis following radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer*. (1988) 61:1535-42. doi: 10.1002/(ISSN)1097-0142

21. Swinson BM, Friedman WA. Linear accelerator stereotactic radiosurgery for metastatic brain tumors: 17 years of experience at the University of Florida.

Neurosurgery. (2008) 62:1018-31; discussion 1031-2. doi: 10.1227/01.neu. 0000325863.91584.09

22. Giglio P, Gilbert MR. Neurologic complications of cancer and its treatment. *Curr Oncol Rep.* (2010) 12:50–9. doi: 10.1007/s11912-009-0071-x

23. Zhuang H, Zhuang H, Shi S, Wang Y. Ultra-low-dose bevacizumab for cerebral radiation necrosis: A prospective phase II clinical study. *Onco Targets Ther.* (2019) 12:8447–53. doi: 10.2147/OTT.S223258

24. Wang Y, Pan L, Sheng X, Mao Y, Yao Y, Wang E, et al. Reversal of cerebral radiation necrosis with bevacizumab treatment in 17 Chinese patients. *Eur J Med Res.* (2012) 17:25. doi: 10.1186/2047-783X-17-25

25. Levin VA, Bidaut L, Hou P, Kumar AJ, Wefel JS, Bekele BN, et al. Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of bevacizumab therapy for radiation necrosis of the central nervous system. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* (2011) 79:1487–95. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.12.061

26. Delishaj D, Ursino S, Pasqualetti F, Cristaudo A, Cosottini M, Fabrini MG, et al. Bevacizumab for the treatment of radiation-induced cerebral necrosis: A systematic review of the literature. *J Clin Med Res.* (2017) 9:273–80. doi: 10.14740/jocmr2936e

27. Yang X, Ren H, Fu J. Treatment of radiation-induced brain necrosis. Oxid Med Cell Longev. (2021) 2021:4793517. doi: 10.1155/2021/4793517

28. Xing P, Mu Y, Wang Y, Hao X, Zhu Y, Hu X, et al. Real world study of regimen containing bevacizumab as first-line therapy in Chinese patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. *Thorac Cancer*. (2018) 9:805–13. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.12650