Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Monica Neagu, Victor Babes National Institute of Pathology (INCDVB), Romania

REVIEWED BY Angela Bonura, National Research Council (CNR), Italy Xuejun Gao, Yangtze University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xinghong Ding dxh@zcmu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 11 March 2024 ACCEPTED 31 July 2024 PUBLISHED 19 August 2024

CITATION

Xu X, Jin W, Chang R and Ding X (2024) Research progress of SREBP and its role in the pathogenesis of autoimmune rheumatic diseases. Front. Immunol. 15:1398921. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xu, Jin, Chang and Ding. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Research progress of SREBP and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921/full) [its role in the pathogenesis of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921/full) [autoimmune rheumatic diseases](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921/full)

Xiaofen Xu, Wumeng Jin, Runyu Chang and Xinghong Ding*

Key Laboratory of Chinese Medicine Rheumatology of Zhejiang Province, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical University, Hangzhou, China

Autoimmune rheumatic diseases comprise a group of immune-related disorders characterized by non-organ-specific inflammation. These diseases include systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), ankylosing spondylitis (AS), gout, among others. Typically involving the hematologic system, these diseases may also affect multiple organs and systems. The pathogenesis of autoimmune rheumatic immune diseases is complex, with diverse etiologies, all associated with immune dysfunction. The current treatment options for this type of disease are relatively limited and come with certain side effects. Therefore, the urgent challenge remains to identify novel therapeutic targets for these diseases. Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins (SREBPs) are basic helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper transcription factors that regulate the expression of genes involved in lipid and cholesterol biosynthesis. The expression and transcriptional activity of SREBPs can be modulated by extracellular stimuli such as polyunsaturated fatty acids, amino acids, glucose, and energy pathways including AKT-mTORC and AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). Studies have shown that SREBPs play roles in regulating lipid metabolism, cytokine production, inflammation, and the proliferation of germinal center B (GCB) cells. These functions are significant in the pathogenesis of rheumatic and immune diseases (Graphical abstract). Therefore, this paper reviews the potential mechanisms of SREBPs in the development of SLE, RA, and gout, based on an exploration of their functions.

KEYWORDS

sterol regulatory element binding proteins, cytokine storm, autoantibodies, autoimmune rheumatic diseases, inflammation, immune cells

the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus. After cleavage by S1P and S2P in the Golgi, the complex enters the nucleus. Furthermore, under the influence of amino acids and the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, nuclear SREBP promotes the expression of target genes, thereby facilitating lipid synthesis, cytokine production, and accelerating inflammatory and immune cell responses. These functions are closely associated with the pathogenesis of rheumatic autoimmune diseases.

1 Introduction

Autoimmune rheumatic diseases represent a group of chronic disorders characterized by inflammation and autoimmunity, with the potential to affect any organ or system, leading to systemic damage. Current research indicates the involvement of various signaling pathways, including type I interferon pathways, immune cell pathways, immune metabolic pathways, complement, and coagulation, in the pathogenesis of these diseases. Adaptive immune cells, particularly B lymphocytes, play a significant role in the mechanisms underlying the development of autoimmune rheumatic diseases. B cells emerge as crucial contributors in many autoimmune disorders, such as RA, SLE, gout and multiple sclerosis (MS). In many of these conditions, the production of autoantibodies may constitute a primary pathogenic mechanism, highlighting the potential roles of B cell subsets and terminally differentiated antibody-producing plasma cells in autoimmunity ([1](#page-9-0)). Innate immune cells mainly include macrophages, neutrophils, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, eosinophils, basophils, and other cell types, they circulate in the blood or reside in tissues, serving as the first line of defense against various pathogenic factors [\(2\)](#page-9-0). Under the influence of relevant pathogenic factors, these cells produce cytokines or regulate the dynamic balance of tissue

microenvironments through direct interactions with lymphocytes, participating in tissue damage and repair ([3](#page-9-0)).

Inflammasomes, as crucial components of the innate immune response, play a vital role in the clearance of pathogens or damaged cells, providing a defense mechanism against pathogens and preventing pathological host damage. However, excessive activation of inflammasomes can also lead to autoimmune diseases, including RA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), SLE and others ([4](#page-9-0)–[6](#page-9-0)). Inflammasomes and the interleukin-1 family of cytokines can promote the development of autoimmune diseases through the generation of adaptive immunity by T and B lymphocytes ([4](#page-9-0)).

SREBPs were initially identified by Brown et al. as transcription factors that regulate the promoters of genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis and the sterol regulatory pathway of the LDLR ([7](#page-9-0)–[9\)](#page-9-0). Biological analysis underscores the significance of the SREBP pathway as a pivotal node in cell growth, metabolism, circadian rhythms, cellular stress, inflammation, and homeostasis ([10\)](#page-9-0). Recent research has indicated a correlation between SREBPs and various pathogenic processes, including endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, inflammation, cell apoptosis, and autophagy, with disease severity also being linked to SREBP levels [\(10](#page-9-0)–[13\)](#page-9-0). Although the SCAP-SREBP pathway plays a crucial role in cholesterol metabolism, its role in the immune system remains poorly understood. Recent studies have found that the activation of SREBP leads to inflammasome activation, induces macrophage inflammation, and triggers cytokine storms, thereby participating in related immune and inflammatory responses ([14](#page-9-0), [15](#page-9-0)). Additionally, research indicates a close association between the SREBP pathway-controlled cholesterol metabolism and adaptive immunity, including antibody and T follicular cell responses ([16\)](#page-9-0). Loss of SREBP in T cells severely impairs CD8 T cell activation [\(17\)](#page-9-0). Moreover, the absence of SREBP signaling in B cells results in defective germinal center, memory B cell, and bone marrow plasma cell generation, preventing the generation of effective antibody responses [\(18\)](#page-9-0).

Consequently, this article provides a comprehensive review of the SREBP's upstream regulators, intracellular distribution, and identified biological functions. Furthermore, based on the established biological functions, we hypothesize that this protein may play a crucial role in the development of autoimmune rheumatic diseases such as SLE, RA and gout, and we present a series of prospects for future investigation in this field, to provide a novel target for the treatment of this type of disease.

2 Regulators of SREBPs

SREBPs are considered transcription factors that serve as primary regulators of a series of lipogenesis pathways, comprising three subtypes: SREBP-1a, SREBP-1c, and SREBP-2 ([19\)](#page-9-0). The activity of SREBPs relies on the SCAP ([20](#page-9-0)). SCAP is a polytopic membrane protein residing in the endoplasmic reticulum. It binds to SREBP within the endoplasmic reticulum and transports it to the Golgi apparatus for proteolytic processing. Additionally, two other ER-resident membrane proteins, insulin-induced gene 1 protein (INSIG1) and INSIG2, interact with SCAP, causing the retention of the SREBP-SCAP complex in the ER membrane ([21,](#page-9-0) [22](#page-9-0)). INSIG can enhance its own stability by binding to 25-hydroxycholesterol (produced in the ER). Once SREBPs leave the ER and enter the Golgi apparatus, they undergo a two-step proteolytic processing by two proteases: site-1 protease and site-2 protease [\(23\)](#page-9-0). Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase, an enzyme responsive to type I interferons, increases the production of 25-hydroxycholesterol, which inactivates SREBPs and subsequently exerts anti-inflammatory effects by reducing the secretion of IL-1 β [\(24](#page-9-0), [25](#page-9-0)).

The liver X receptor (LXR) serves as a pivotal transcriptional regulator of cholesterol, fatty acid, and phospholipid metabolism ([26](#page-9-0)). The promoter region of SREBP1c possesses an SRE element that facilitates its self-regulatory activation [\(27](#page-9-0)), LXR α and LXR β are powerful activators of the SREBP1c promoter. When LXR agonists are administered, they stimulate the activation of both SREBP1c and fatty acid synthase ([28](#page-9-0)). Consumption of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) can reduce hepatic SREBP1c activity [\(29\)](#page-9-0). The inhibition of SREBP1c by PUFAs occurs through several mechanisms: reduced transcription, increased mRNA decay, inhibition of proteolytic cleavage, and enhanced proteasomal degradation of nuclear SREBP1c [\(30\)](#page-9-0).

ATF6 is a transcription factor anchored in the ER membrane, overexpression of exogenous, active ATF6 or its activation through glucose depletion has been observed to suppress the expression of SREBP target genes. Specifically, ATF6 is known to interact directly with SREBP2 through its leucine-zipper domain. This interaction recruits histone deacetylase 1, thereby inhibiting the transcriptional activity of SREBP2 [\(31\)](#page-9-0). Amino acid levels play a crucial role in the activation and regulation of SREBP expression. Specifically, amino acids activate the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) within the lysosome. By modulating mTORC1 activity, amino acids ensure that cells can appropriately adjust to metabolic demands, impacting both anabolic and catabolic pathways ([32](#page-9-0), [33](#page-9-0)).

The PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway has been identified as a major upstream signaling pathway regulating SREBP ([34\)](#page-9-0). AKT phosphorylates GSK3 β , inhibiting its activity, which reduces SREBP degradation via the FBXW7-mediated ubiquitin proteasome system ([35\)](#page-9-0). AKT phosphorylates and inhibits INSIG2A, freeing the SREBP–SCAP complex for transport to the Golgi ([36\)](#page-9-0). AKT suppresses TSC, activating mTORC1, which phosphorylates and relocates lipin-1 to the nucleus, activating nuclear SREBP1 ([37](#page-9-0), [38\)](#page-9-0). mTORC1 phosphorylates CREBregulated transcription coactivator 2, promoting SREBP1 translocation from the ER to the Golgi by releasing inhibitory SEC31 and facilitating COPII vesicle formation [\(39](#page-9-0)).

3 Biological functions of SREBPs

3.1 The role of SREBPs in lipogenesis regulation

The SREBP1 gene gives rise to two isoforms through transcription from distinct promoters. SREBP1c is the predominant subtype expressed in most tissues, whereas SREBP1a exhibits high expression only in specific tissues and cells, such as intestinal epithelium, cardiac tissue, macrophages, and bone marrow dendritic cells ([40](#page-9-0)). SREBP1c lacks 24 amino acid residues in the N-terminal transactivation domain of SREBP1a. This domain allows SREBP1a to bind tightly to CREB-binding protein, and as SREBP1c lacks these amino acids, its transcriptional activity is relatively lower [\(41](#page-9-0), [42](#page-10-0)). In most cultured cells, SREBP1a (rather than SREBP1c) is the predominant isoform, possibly because SREBP1a can stimulate the expression of lipogenic and cholesterol-synthetic genes, thus providing components necessary for membrane lipid synthesis ([43](#page-10-0), [44\)](#page-10-0).

A series of animal studies employing transgenic and knockout mice for each SREBP gene and subtype indicate that SREBP1c primarily regulates the expression of fat synthesis genes, while SREBP2 controls genes related to cholesterol metabolism [\(20](#page-9-0), [41,](#page-9-0) [43](#page-10-0)). Physiologically, SREBP1a robustly activates total fat synthesis in rapidly growing cells, while SREBP1c plays a role in the nutritional regulation of fatty acids and triglycerides in fat-producing organs, such as the liver. In contrast, SREBP2 has a regulatory role in all tissues [\(20\)](#page-9-0). This functional specificity is more evident in vivo than in vitro, but isoforms exhibit functional overlap when overexpressed. The partial specificity of SREBP subtypes for different target genes is explained by their unique binding dynamics with cholesterol synthesis genes, mainly SRE elements, as well as SREs and enhancer boxes in fat genes, and auxiliary factors such as SP1 and NFY ([45\)](#page-10-0). SREBP1a and SREBP2, but not SREBP1c, associate as coactivators with CBP and P300 (also known as EP300) to recruit the mediator complex ([42\)](#page-10-0). The SREBP family is also acetylated and stabilized by CBP and P300 [\(42,](#page-10-0) [46](#page-10-0), [47\)](#page-10-0).

3.2 SREBPs can elicit cytokine storms

Cytokine storms refer to the rapid and excessive release of proinflammatory cytokines by immune cells, which can lead to a hyperinflammatory response and contribute to various pathological conditions. The mechanisms through which SREBPs induce cytokine storms and their precise impact on immune regulation are areas of ongoing research. SREBP2 activation induces an inflammatory response and exacerbates inflammatory damage. Firstly, existing research suggests that SREBP2 can regulate the inflammatory phenotype by modulating cholesterol homeostasis. Increased cholesterol synthesis is involved in various immune pathways, such as interferon response, inflammasome activation, and innate immunity ([14,](#page-9-0) [48,](#page-10-0) [49](#page-10-0)). Additionally, perturbation of cellular cholesterol may alter membrane dynamics and impact cell signal transduction [\(50\)](#page-10-0). Secondly, SREBP2 can interact with several pro-inflammatory mediators and promote their transcription, such as IL1 β , IL8, NLRP3, and NOX2 ([51](#page-10-0)-[54\)](#page-10-0).

SREBP-2 serves as a transcription factor for lipid synthesis. However, it has been observed that COVID-19 patients maintain lower cholesterol levels, even though the expression levels of SREBP-2 in their plasma increase. A seminal discovery by Wonhwa [\(15\)](#page-9-0) identified an elevated C-terminal segment of SREBP-2, termed SREBP-2C, in the blood of COVID-19 patients. This heightened level of SREBP-2C correlates closely with the excessive inflammation observed in the lung tissues of COVID-19 patients, inducing an upregulation of inflammatory responses that can lead to cytokine storms. Clinical studies have further revealed that ICU patients with elevated plasma levels of SREBP-2C exhibit more severe lung inflammation in CT images compared to non-ICU patients with lower SREBP-2C levels. Consequently, SREBP-2 can serve as a diagnostic marker for the severity of COVID-19 in critically ill patients and as a therapeutic target for preventing cytokine storms and lung damage. Additionally, in an infectious disease mouse model, the inhibition of SREBP-2 and NF-kB attenuated cytokine storms induced by viral infection and prevented lung injury. These findings underscore the potential clinical significance of SREBP-2 in assessing COVID-19 severity and its role in the prevention of cytokine storms and lung injury, offering novel prospects for the diagnosis and treatment of COVID-19.

3.3 SREBPs can promote macrophage inflammation

The inflammasome is a multiprotein complex formed in the cytoplasm following exposure to various stimuli from pathogenic

sources. Its activation depends on sensor proteins recognizing ligands, subsequently recruiting adaptor protein ASC [\(55](#page-10-0)). This leads to ASC oligomerization and the recruitment and activation of caspase-1, an enzyme responsible for processing Pro-IL-1 β into mature IL-1 β . Studies indicate that conditioned media from BMDMs transduced with Hmgcr or Dhcr24 enhances their ability to stimulate T cells for IL-17A production [\(56\)](#page-10-0). Furthermore, researchers have demonstrated that cholesterol-treated BMDM significantly promote IL-1 β production. Inhibition of SREBP expression can suppress IL-1ß-induced macrophage inflammation [\(14](#page-9-0)).

In macrophages, studies have revealed that the SCAP/SREBP2 shuttle complex directly interacts with the NLRP3 inflammasome, regulating inflammasome activation by translocating from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus ([57](#page-10-0)). Another set of research indicates that SREBP2 is highly activated in macrophages treated with TNF α , and nuclear SREBP2 binds to target genes involved in inflammation and interferon responses, promoting an M1-like inflammatory state [\(51](#page-10-0)). Moreover, several studies suggest that cellular cholesterol levels control immune phenotypes. The type I interferon (IFN) signal in macrophages reduces cholesterol synthesis, allowing the activation of STING on the ER to enhance IFN signaling ([49](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, research has shown that restoring cholesterol biosynthesis in macrophages promotes inflammation [\(14,](#page-9-0) [50](#page-10-0)).

Additionally, inflammatory factors upregulate SCAP expression, facilitating the translocation of the SCAP/SREBP2 complex from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus. This disrupts intracellular cholesterol homeostasis and contributes to atherosclerosis and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [\(58,](#page-10-0) [59](#page-10-0)). We have also discovered that crosstalk between SCAP/SREBP and the TLR4-MyD88-NF-kB inflammation pathway mediates foam cell formation in atherosclerosis [\(60\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, SCAP overexpression promotes the translocation of SCAP and NLRP3 inflammasomes to the Golgi apparatus, increasing the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome pathway and thereby expediting atherosclerosis ([61,](#page-10-0) [62](#page-10-0)). These findings highlight SCAP as a crucial molecular link between lipid metabolism and inflammation [\(63](#page-10-0)). The STING/TBK1 pathway, a classical innate immune signaling pathway, has recently been shown to play a critical role in the inflammatory response of metabolic diseases. SCAPs activation of the STING-NF-kB signaling pathway has been implicated in the pathogenesis of macrophage inflammation and lean non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [\(64](#page-10-0)).

3.4 SREBPs can regulate cellular energy metabolism to promote the proliferation of B cells and the production of autoantibodies

Recent research indicates that the SREBP pathway, governing sterol metabolism, is closely associated with adaptive immunity, including antibody and T follicular cell responses ([16](#page-9-0)). Depletion of SREBP in T cells severely impedes CD8 T cell activation [\(17\)](#page-9-0). In addition, recent research has revealed a connection between B-cell activation and lipid metabolism reprogramming [\(18\)](#page-9-0). Activation of TLR4, CD40, and BCR signaling pathways significantly upregulates Xu et al. [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1398921)

the expression of most genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis in B cells. Notably, the lack of SCAP severely inhibits the regulation of these cholesterol biosynthesis-related genes, including those encoding key enzymes such as Hmgcr, Hmgcs1, Sqle, Dhcr24, and lipid synthesis-related genes Acsl3 and Acsl4. Pathway analysis further indicates that the lipid biosynthesis pathway is one of the highly impacted pathways associated with SCAP deficiency in B cells.

Studies have demonstrated that B cells undergo 2-5 rounds of division after stimulation with different TLRs or CD40, while SCAPdeficient B cells not only fail to undergo division but are also blocked from entering the S phase. This suggests that the SREBP signal is a key factor in mitogen-induced B-cell division and promotes the B-cell cycle process. Furthermore, SCAP deficiency leads to a sharp reduction in CD138 and YFP-positive GC-derived plasma cells, resulting in a significant decrease in antigen-specific IgG titers and reduced affinity maturation. These findings highlight the critical role of cholesterol synthesis-mediated SREBP signaling in maintaining lipid homeostasis, cell cycle progression, and plasma cell differentiation. Loss of SREBP signaling in B cells impairs the generation of germinal center, memory B cells, and bone marrow plasma cells, preventing the effective production of antibody responses [\(18](#page-9-0)).

3.5 SREBPs can facilitates cancer development

Dysregulated cellular lipid metabolism, driven by the SREBP pathway, is a prominent feature of cancer cells [\(65\)](#page-10-0). Oncogenic growth signals render cells reliant on de novo lipogenesis, which encompasses extensive fatty acid synthesis from glucose and glutamine to meet the bioenergetic and biosynthetic demands of rapidly proliferating tumor cells ([66](#page-10-0)). Insulin-mediated signals through the PI3K-AKT-mTORC1-SREBP axis play a pivotal role in regulating lipid synthesis in response to nutritional fluctuations. This signaling pathway also serves as an established survival route, activated structurally in many cancer types, exerting pronounced roles in growth, malignancy, anti-apoptosis, drug resistance, and metastasis ([67](#page-10-0)). Numerous oncogenic signaling molecules, including P53, PTEN, PI3K, and KRAS, converge upon the PI3K-AKT-mTOR pathway to activate protein and lipid biosynthesis in cancer cells, satisfying the demand for lipids in cell growth. Even in conditions of low oxygen and high acidity, SREBP1a mediates the metabolic flux from enhanced glycolysis to lipid synthesis via PI3K-AKT signaling, upregulating the LDLR to facilitate cholesterol uptake. SREBP1a is highly expressed in cancer cells and exhibits robust promoter activity in actively proliferating cancer cells [\(68](#page-10-0)–[71\)](#page-10-0).

The mevalonate pathway is upregulated in many cancers, including liver cancer, possibly due to mutations in sterol-related genes, such as SREBP2 and SCAP, resulting from p53 mutations ([71\)](#page-10-0). Additionally, genome-wide expression analysis identified mutations in sterol gene promoters for p53 and SREBP in human breast tumors. These mutations disrupted breast tissue architecture through the mevalonate pathway [\(69](#page-10-0)–[71\)](#page-10-0). The tumor suppressor retinoblastoma protein and GTPase NRAS interact in an anticancer senescence pathway. retinoblastoma protein loss activates SREBPs and enhances geranylgeranylation in a reverse E2F-dependent manner, leading to NRAS activation, subsequent induction of DNA damage response, and p130-dependent cell senescence [\(72\)](#page-10-0), thus promoting retinoblastoma development.

4 Prospects of SREBPs in rheumatic immune diseases

4.1 SREBP and systemic lupus erythematosus

SLE is a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by genetic, endocrine, environmental, and their interaction-induced autoantibody production, immune complex deposition, abnormal activation of various immune cells (such as T and B lymphocytes and granulocytes), and tissue damage in organs like the kidneys, skin, heart, and lungs [\(73](#page-10-0)–[75](#page-10-0)). The exact etiology and pathogenesis of SLE remain incompletely understood [\(76](#page-10-0)). In China, the incidence of SLE is approximately 70 per 100,000, and it shows an increasing trend year by year ([75](#page-10-0)). SLE has a complex pathogenesis closely related to genetics, immune dysregulation, viral infections, and environmental factors ([77,](#page-10-0) [78](#page-10-0)). Recent research on the pathogenesis of SLE has provided a wealth of information ([79](#page-10-0)–[90\)](#page-10-0). Among the multitude of mechanisms contributing to SLE, immune dysregulation stands out as one of the primary drivers of disease. Immune cells play a pivotal role in the initiation and progression of SLE's autoimmune response. In SLE, immune cells exhibit overexpression of autoimmunity, imbalanced cytokine production, and increased apoptosis, all of which have a significant impact on the pathogenesis of SLE ([91](#page-11-0)).

Lipids are crucial constituents of cell membranes, and changes in their composition and content can influence the normal function of cells. For instance, alterations in the composition, distribution, and dynamics of lipid rafts (microdomains primarily composed of cholesterol and sphingolipids) on T cells accelerate their activation in SLE patients, exacerbating the condition ([92\)](#page-11-0). Anomalies in lipid raft expression on T cells in SLE may lead to abnormal activation and signaling pathways, resulting in the production of aberrantly expressed cytokines and assisting in the abnormal response of B lymphocytes, leading to the production of autoantibodies and the development of SLE ([93\)](#page-11-0). Additionally, the cellular microenvironment plays a significant role in influencing cellular lipid metabolism, further contributing to cellular dysfunction [\(94\)](#page-11-0). Research indicates that cholesterol buildup is essential for T cell proliferation and their response to antigen interactions. When cholesterol synthesis is blocked due to SCAP deficiency, T cell proliferation is entirely halted [\(17](#page-9-0), [95](#page-11-0)). On the other hand, if cholesterol cannot be esterified because of Acat1 deficiency, there is an increase in plasma membrane cholesterol accumulation, which enhances T cell proliferation ([96](#page-11-0)). Likewise ([97](#page-11-0)), the lack of Abcg1-mediated cholesterol efflux results in increased plasma membrane cholesterol and further promotes T cell proliferation [\(Figure 1\)](#page-5-0).

Research [\(98](#page-11-0)) has indicated a close relationship between IFN- γ expression and the severity of SLE in both human and murine models

of the disease, the increase in nuclear form of SREBP (nSREBP) leads to cholesterol accumulation, which promotes the expression of IFN-g ([99](#page-11-0)). Spontaneously developed germinal centers containing autoreactive B cells that produce pathogenic autoantibodies play a role in promoting autoimmune responses and driving the development of systemic lupus erythematosus. Studies have demonstrated that IFNg-STAT1 signaling controls the formation of GCs by promoting the expression of T-bet in B cells and the production of IFN- γ [\(100\)](#page-11-0). As previously discussed, the loss of nSREBP signaling in cells can lead to defects in cell cycle progression and metabolic reprogramming, thereby impeding the generation of germinal centers, memory B cells, and plasma cells required for an effective antibody response [\(18](#page-9-0)). We speculate that increased expression of nSREBP in B cells accelerates B cell cycle progression, promotes B cell activation and proliferation, leading to the formation of germinal centers. Subsequently, B cells complete Ig affinity maturation and class switching in germinal centers, resulting in excessive production of pathogenic autoantibodies. The binding of these autoantibodies to antigens forms immune complexes, ultimately contributing to the pathogenesis of SLE (Figure 1).

Macrophages can be polarized into inflammatory (M1) and anti-inflammatory (M2) phenotypes through various stimuli, such as IFN-g and LPS for M1 polarization, or IL-4 for M2 polarization, playing crucial roles in immune regulation [\(101](#page-11-0)). Studies have shown that M1 macrophages in patients with SLE can regulate the activation status of T and B lymphocytes, thereby influencing disease activity [\(102](#page-11-0)). In MRL/lpr mice, transient ischemic renal injury upregulates CSF-1 expression in renal tubular epithelial cells, leading to increased release of CSF-1 and subsequent expansion of M1 macrophages, accelerating the onset of lupus nephritis ([103\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, recent research has revealed that human and murine macrophages, when stimulated by IgG immune complexes, undergo metabolic reprogramming dependent on mTOR and HIF-1 α , resulting in the production of pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-1b, thereby promoting lupus nephritis [\(104\)](#page-11-0). In the presence of cholesterol and oxygenated sterols, including 25/27-HC, the

SREBP-SCAP complex remains sequestered within the endoplasmic reticulum along with INSIGs. Studies have indicated ([15\)](#page-9-0) that overexpression of nSREBP leads to a cytokine storm, while inhibiting nSREBP expression can suppress the production of inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1 β and TNF- α , dampening macrophage inflammatory responses. Consequently, it is hypothesized that when 25/27-HC expression is reduced, preventing INSIGs from inhibiting the translocation of the SREBP-SCAP complex to the Golgi apparatus (Figure 1), excessive SREBP expression ensues, resulting in the overproduction of IL-1 β , IL-6, and CSF-1, which promotes the expansion of M1 macrophages and accelerates the onset of lupus nephritis ([Figure 2\)](#page-6-0).

All of these findings collectively suggest that SREBPs may play a crucial role in the pathogenesis of SLE. Investigating the mechanistic involvement of SREBPs in SLE could offer a novel therapeutic target for the treatment of SLE.

4.2 SREBP and Rheumatoid Arthritis

RA is an autoimmune disease characterized by progressive synovial inflammation in multiple joints, resulting in widespread symmetrical joint swelling, pain, bone destruction, joint deformities, and potential involvement of connective tissues such as the heart, lungs, and eyes. It is considered a severe and challenging-to-treat disease [\(105](#page-11-0)). Epidemiological studies have indicated a global distribution of RA, with an average prevalence ranging from 0.5% to 1.5%. In China, the prevalence of RA in adults is approximately 0.4% and shows an increasing trend [\(106](#page-11-0)). The etiology and pathogenesis of RA remain inconclusive, but it is generally accepted that RA is an inflammatory disease triggered by external factors on a genetic susceptibility background. RA patients exhibit innate immune and adaptive immune response abnormalities, characterized by an imbalance in Th1/Th2

combine with antigens to form immune complexes, and then lead to the pathogenesis of SLE.

responses, an increased number of Th17 cells, and compromised functions of T and B lymphocytes that have immunosuppressive effects. These abnormalities lead to the production of a multitude of pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-17 ([107](#page-11-0)–[109\)](#page-11-0), which participate in a positive feedback loop, promoting the continuous progression of inflammation. Ultimately, this results in the infiltration of inflammatory cells into the synovium, cartilage and bone destruction, joint deformities, and peripheral tissue damage in RA patients. SREBP-2 promotes targets of the methoxyerate pathway and interferon-responsive genes in TNF-activated macrophages [\(51\)](#page-10-0). Recent studies have shown that endotoxin-mediated IL-1 β production is partially dependent on SREBP-1a. Caspase-11 interacts with the S1P-SREBP pathway, activating SREBP-1a, which subsequently drives downstream inflammatory responses ([40,](#page-9-0) [110](#page-11-0)). Given the characteristics of SREBP overexpression promoting the release of inflammatory factors, we speculate that SREBP exacerbates the continuous progression of inflammation, leading to synovial infiltration of inflammatory cells, cartilage and bone destruction, joint deformities, and peripheral tissue damage in RA patients.

The JAK-STAT signaling pathway plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of RA [\(111](#page-11-0)–[114\)](#page-11-0). JAK2 activates downstream genes by phosphorylating STAT3 or STAT5, leading to the release of proinflammatory signals, such as IL-6, IFN-g, IL-12, and other inflammatory factors [\(115](#page-11-0)–[118\)](#page-11-0). Researches have shown that blocking the JAK2-STAT3 pathway by activating AMPK can exert an antiinflammatory effect. AMPK phosphorylates JAK2 at Ser515 and Ser518, inhibiting JAK2-mediated STAT3 activation [\(119](#page-11-0), [120\)](#page-11-0). Furthermore ([121](#page-11-0)), study has shown that the activation of AMPK can suppress the expression of SREBP, while AMPK expression is inhibited in RA. Therefore, we speculate that SREBP may also play a role in the pathogenesis of RA.

NF-kB plays an essential role in joint destruction. When cells are stimulated, IkB are phosphorylated by IkB kinase, leading to the release of NF-kB. NF-kB enters the cell nucleus and, through a series of

reactions, initiates the transcription and expression of downstream inflammatory factors such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-12, IL-17, TNF- α , and others [\(122](#page-11-0)). This, in turn, activates NF-kB, causing an amplification of the initial inflammatory signal, resulting in a cascade reaction that sustains the development of the inflammatory response and structural damage. Clinical studies have found significantly elevated expression levels and activity of NF-kB in the serum and lymphatic endothelial cells of RA patients. Animal experiments have also confirmed that inhibiting the NF-kB pathway can significantly improve the degree of toe swelling and inflammatory responses in a mousemodel of RA [\(123](#page-11-0)). Studies have found that SCAP and SREBP1 form a super complex with IkBa, bringing NF-kB close to the endoplasmic reticulum. Upon endotoxin stimulation, SCAP escorts this complex to the Golgi apparatus, where SREBP1 is cleaved by S1P/S2P, releasing IkB α for phosphorylation and activating subsequent inflammatory responses [\(124,](#page-11-0) [125\)](#page-11-0). Additionally, the SCAP/SREBP/STING/TBK1 pathway can activate NF-kB in metabolic diseases and promote the expression of related inflammatory factors [\(64](#page-10-0)). HSP90 is a new regulatory factor of SREBP and can bind to the SREBP-SCAP complex in the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus, stabilizing it. The inhibition of HSP90 results in the dependence of the complex on proteasomal degradation ([126](#page-11-0)). HSP90 β activates SREBP2, increasing cholesterol biosynthesis and NF-kB signaling to promote osteoclastogenesis ([127\)](#page-11-0). Inhibiting SREBP and subsequently suppressing NF-kB-related inflammatory responses may alleviate inflammation, inhibit bone destruction, reduce disability, and achieve disease remission in RA patients [\(Figure 3\)](#page-7-0). This hypothesis merits further investigation.

4.3 SREBP and gout

Gout is an inflammatory disease characterized by the massive deposition of urate crystals in joints and surrounding tissues, resulting from disturbances in purine metabolism and/or abnormal uric acid excretion [\(128](#page-11-0)). It has become the second

Inflammatory factor storm caused by crosstalk between SREBP and NF-kB promotes the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis. SCAP/SREBP/STING/ TBK1 can activate the transcriptional expression of downstream inflammatory factors such as IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-17, TNF- α by activating NF- κ B phosphorylation, thus reactivating NF-kB, resulting in further amplification of the initial inflammatory signal, the formation of inflammatory factor storm, leading to joint inflammation to accelerate the pathogenesis of RA. HSP90ß promotes osteoclast formation by activating SREBP2 to increase cholesterol biosynthesis and NF-kB signal, which leads to the pathogenesis of RA.

production and the formation of inflammatory bodies, which further leads to macrophage inflammation and abnormal glucose and lipid metabolism, resulting in the pathogenesis of gout.

most prevalent metabolic disease in China, following diabetes ([129\)](#page-11-0). Recurrent gout attacks not only cause severe pain in affected joints but can also lead to joint damage, dislocation, deformities, and even joint disability or amputation. Furthermore, the extensive deposition of urate crystals in the kidneys can lead to renal damage and even acute kidney failure, which can be fatal ([130\)](#page-11-0). Currently, commonly used urate-lowering drugs such as febuxostat, while effective to some extent, have the potential for severe toxic side effects with long-term use, low patient tolerance, and a high disease recurrence rate, significantly impacting patient compliance ([131](#page-11-0)).

Modern medicine recognizes that MSU crystals can strongly stimulate Toll-like receptors and the NLRP3 inflammasome, leading to the activation of the innate immune response, primarily involving macrophages and neutrophils. This eventually results in the release of IL-1 β and other pro-inflammatory factors, triggering gout attacks [\(132](#page-11-0), [133](#page-11-0)). Macrophages play a frontline role in the immune response, with different subtypes classified based on the cytokines they secrete and the cell surface adhesion molecules. Among these subtypes, M1 macrophages, when activated, promote the assembly of the NLRP3 inflammasome, leading to the release of high pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α and IL-1 β , along with promoting the recruitment of neutrophils from peripheral blood to the inflammatory site, subsequently releasing proinflammatory cytokines and causing sustained inflammation. In contrast, M2 macrophages can suppress MSU crystal-induced inflammation and inhibit caspase-1 activation and IL-1 β production ([134](#page-11-0)). In M1 pro-inflammatory macrophages, the TCA cycle is disrupted, whereas M2 macrophages possess a complete TCA cycle and mainly rely on OXPHOS ([135\)](#page-11-0). The overexpression of SREBP1a promotes the repositioning of the NLRP3 inflammasome to the Golgi apparatus, thereby enhancing the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome pathway ([61](#page-10-0), [62\)](#page-10-0). SREBP1a also directly activates the transcription of NLRP genes and caspase-1, mediating the secretion of IL-1 β by macrophages. This indicates an important function of macrophage SREBP1a, associating lipid generation and/or lipid toxicity with innate immune responses [\(40](#page-9-0)). MIR-33 is a microRNA involved in SREBP signaling, and is also involved in the production of proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory genes in M1 and M2 macrophages, respectively [\(136](#page-11-0)). In addition, SREBP and miR-33 inhibit cholesterol efflux through the ATP-binding cassette transporter A1 in macrophages ([137](#page-11-0)). Loss of SCAP caused changes in cholesterol metabolism and induced proinflammatory M1 polarization in adipose tissue macrophages [\(125](#page-11-0), [138\)](#page-11-0).

Macrophage polarization is a complex process influenced by the interaction of various intracellular signaling molecules and pathways. It holds crucial significance in inflammation, metabolic diseases, autoimmune disorders, and other conditions. Among these factors, the regulation of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway plays a central role in controlling macrophage polarization ([139](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, SREBP1 mediates metabolic flux from enhanced glycolysis to lipid generation via the PI3K-AKT pathway and upregulates LDLR, promoting cholesterol uptake ([68](#page-10-0)–[71](#page-10-0)). These factors can lead to macrophage inflammation, glucose and lipid

metabolic abnormalities, ultimately contributing to the pathogenesis of gout [\(Figure 4\)](#page-7-0).

While macrophages and neutrophils are considered the primary immune cells involved in gout pathogenesis [\(140,](#page-12-0) [141](#page-12-0)), recent researches have highlighted the role of T cell subsets in gout ([142](#page-12-0), [143\)](#page-12-0). Targeting pro-inflammatory T cell subsets or their associated cytokines can improve MSU crystal-induced arthritis in mice. The gut microbiota and its metabolites play a significant role in maintaining intestinal homeostasis [\(144](#page-12-0), [145](#page-12-0)) and regulating T cell differentiation [\(146](#page-12-0)), which are crucial in the development of autoimmune disorders and inflammatory diseases. A previous study indicated that the loss of SCAP in T cells severely impairs CD8 T cell activation [\(17](#page-9-0)). Due to the limited research on SREBP in gout, future investigations targeting this pathway may provide new strategies for gout treatment.

5 Conclusions

SREBP has emerged as a significant discovery in medical research in recent years, research on SREBP has primarily focused on the field of obesity and cancer. However, the functions of SREBP in lipid metabolism, cell growth, and inflammation are also closely related to the pathogenesis of rheumatic and immune diseases. Therefore, investigating the expression characteristics and signaling pathways of SREBP in patients with rheumatic immune diseases can provide deeper insights into the pathogenesis of these conditions. This, in turn, holds substantial significance for the identification of early biomarkers and the development of precise personalized treatment approaches.

Author contributions

XD: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XX: Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WJ: Investigation, Resources, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RC: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81973778).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Hofmann K, Clauder AK, Manz RA. Targeting B cells and plasma cells in autoimmune diseases. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:835. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2018.00835](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00835)

2. Stögerer T, Stäger S. Innate immune sensing by cells of the adaptive immune system. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:1081. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.01081](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01081)

3. Hato T, Dagher PC. How the innate immune system senses trouble and causes trouble. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. (2015) 10:1459–69. doi: [10.2215/CJN.04680514](https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.04680514)

4. Sharma D, Kanneganti TD. The cell biology of inflammasomes: Mechanisms of inflammasome activation and regulation. J Cell Biol. (2016) 213:617–29. doi: [10.1083/](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201602089) [jcb.201602089](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201602089)

5. Cordero MD, Alcocer-Gómez E, Ryffel B. Gain of function mutation and inflammasome driven diseases in human and mouse models. J Autoimmun. (2018) 91:13–22. doi: [10.1016/j.jaut.2018.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaut.2018.03.002)

6. Evavold CL, Kagan JC. How inflammasomes inform adaptive immunity. J Mol Biol. (2018) 430:217–37. doi: [10.1016/j.jmb.2017.09.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2017.09.019)

7. Yokoyama C, Wang X, Briggs MR, Admon A, Wu J, Hua X, et al. SREBP-1, a basichelix-loop-helix-leucine zipper protein that controls transcription of the low density lipoprotein receptor gene. Cell. (1993) 75:187–97. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(05\)80095-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(05)80095-9)

8. Brown MS, Goldstein JL. The SREBP pathway: regulation of cholesterol metabolism by proteolysis of a membrane-bound transcription factor. Cell. (1997) 89:331–40. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)80213-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80213-5)

9. Goldstein JL, DeBose-Boyd RA, Brown MS. Protein sensors for membrane sterols. Cell. (2006) 124:35–46. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.022)

10. Shimano H, Sato R. SREBP-regulated lipid metabolism: convergent physiology divergent pathophysiology. Nat Rev Endocrinol. (2017) 13:710–30. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.91) [nrendo.2017.91](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.91)

11. Seo YK, Chong HK, Infante AM, Im SS, Xie X, Osborne TF. Genome-wide analysis of SREBP-1 binding in mouse liver chromatin reveals a preference for promoter proximal binding to a new motif. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2009) 106:13765–9. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0904246106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0904246106)

12. Seo YK, Jeon TI, Chong HK, Biesinger J, Xie X, Osborne TF. Genome-wide localization of SREBP-2 in hepatic chromatin predicts a role in autophagy. Cell Metab. (2011) 13:367–75. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2011.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.03.005)

13. Romani P, Brian I, Santinon G, Pocaterra A, Audano M, Pedretti S, et al. Extracellular matrix mechanical cues regulate lipid metabolism through Lipin-1 and SREBP. Nat Cell Biol. (2019) 21:338–47. doi: [10.1038/s41556-018-0270-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-018-0270-5)

14. Dang EV, McDonald JG, Russell DW, Cyster JG. Oxysterol restraint of cholesterol synthesis prevents AIM2 inflammasome activation. Cell. (2017) 171:1057–71.e11. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.029)

15. Lee W, Ahn JH, Park HH, Kim HN, Kim H, Yoo Y, et al. COVID-19-activated SREBP2 disturbs cholesterol biosynthesis and leads to cytokine storm. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2020) 5:186. doi: [10.1038/s41392-020-00292-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-00292-7)

16. Li S, Sullivan NL, Rouphael N, Yu T, Banton S, Maddur MS, et al. Metabolic phenotypes of response to vaccination in humans. Cell. (2017) 169:862–77.e17. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.04.026)

17. Kidani Y, Elsaesser H, Hock MB, Vergnes L, Williams KJ, Argus JP, et al. Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins are essential for the metabolic programming of effector T cells and adaptive immunity. Nat Immunol. (2013) 14:489–99. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2570) [ni.2570](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2570)

18. Luo W, Adamska JZ, Li C, Verma R, Liu Q, Hagan T, et al. SREBP signaling is essential for effective B cell responses. Nat Immunol. (2023) 24:337–48. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-022-01376-y) [s41590-022-01376-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-022-01376-y)

19. Kawamura S, Matsushita Y, Kurosaki S, Tange M, Fujiwara N, Hayata Y, et al. Inhibiting SCAP/SREBP exacerbates liver injury and carcinogenesis in murine nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. J Clin Invest. (2022) 132:e151895. doi: [10.1172/JCI151895](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI151895)

20. Horton JD, Goldstein JL, Brown MS. SREBPs: activators of the complete program of cholesterol and fatty acid synthesis in the liver. J Clin Invest. (2002) 109:1125–31. doi: [10.1172/JCI0215593](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI0215593)

21. Yang T, Espenshade PJ, Wright ME, Yabe D, Gong Y, Aebersold R, et al. Crucial step in cholesterol homeostasis: sterols promote binding of SCAP to INSIG-1, a membrane protein that facilitates retention of SREBPs in ER. Cell. (2002) 110:489– 500. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(02\)00872-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(02)00872-3)

22. Yabe D, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Insig-2, a second endoplasmic reticulum protein that binds SCAP and blocks export of sterol regulatory element-binding proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2002) 99:12753–8. doi: [10.1073/pnas.162488899](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.162488899)

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

23. Brown MS, Radhakrishnan A, Goldstein JL. Retrospective on cholesterol homeostasis: the central role of scap. Annu Rev Biochem. (2018) 87:783–807. doi: [10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-011852](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-011852)

24. Reboldi A, Dang EV, McDonald JG, Liang G, Russell DW, Cyster JG. Inflammation. 25-Hydroxycholesterol suppresses interleukin-1-driven inflammation downstream of type I interferon. Science. (2014) 345:679-84. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254790) [science.1254790](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254790)

25. Cashikar AG, Toral-Rios D, Timm D, Romero J, Strickland M, Long JM, et al. Regulation of astrocyte lipid metabolism and ApoE secretionby the microglial oxysterol, 25-hydroxycholesterol. J Lipid Res. (2023) 64:100350. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlr.2023.100350) [j.jlr.2023.100350](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlr.2023.100350)

26. Waddington KE, Robinson GA, Rubio-Cuesta B, Chrifi-Alaoui E, Andreone S, Poon KS, et al. LXR directly regulates glycosphingolipid synthesis and affects human CD4+ T cell function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2021) 118(21):e2017394118. doi: [10.1073/pnas.2017394118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2017394118)

27. Amemiya-Kudo M, Shimano H, Yoshikawa T, Yahagi N, Hasty AH, Okazaki H, et al. Promoter analysis of the mouse sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c gene. J Biol Chem. (2000) 275:31078–85. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M005353200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M005353200)

28. Repa JJ, Liang G, Ou J, Bashmakov Y, Lobaccaro JM, Shimomura I, et al. Regulation of mouse sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c gene (SREBP-1c) by oxysterol receptors, LXRalpha and LXRbeta. Genes Dev. (2000) 14:2819–30. doi: [10.1101/gad.844900](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.844900)

29. Su F, Koeberle A. Regulation and targeting of SREBP-1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Metastasis Rev. (2024) 43:673–708. doi: [10.1007/s10555-023-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-023-10156-5) [10156-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10555-023-10156-5)

30. Jump DB. Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and regulation of gene transcription. Curr Opin Lipidol. (2002) 13:155–64. doi: [10.1097/00041433-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00041433-200204000-00007) [200204000-00007](https://doi.org/10.1097/00041433-200204000-00007)

31. Zeng L, Lu M, Mori K, Luo S, Lee AS, Zhu Y, et al. ATF6 modulates SREBP2 mediated lipogenesis. EMBO J. (2004) 23:950–8. doi: [10.1038/sj.emboj.7600106](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600106)

32. Guo F, Cavener DR. The GCN2 eIF2alpha kinase regulates fatty-acid homeostasis in the liver during deprivation of an essential amino acid. Cell Metab. (2007) 5:103–14. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2007.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2007.01.001)

33. Panwar V, Singh A, Bhatt M, Tonk RK, Azizov S, Raza AS, et al. Multifaceted role of mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signaling pathway in human health and disease. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2023) 8:375. doi: [10.1038/s41392-023-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01608-z) [01608-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-023-01608-z)

34. Hagiwara A, Cornu M, Cybulski N, Polak P, Betz C, Trapani F, et al. Hepatic mTORC2 activates glycolysis and lipogenesis through Akt, glucokinase, and SREBP1c. Cell Metab. (2012) 15:725–38. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2012.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2012.03.015)

35. Sundqvist A, Bengoechea-Alonso MT, Ye X, Lukiyanchuk V, Jin J, Harper JW, et al. Control of lipid metabolism by phosphorylation-dependent degradation of the SREBP family of transcription factors by SCF(Fbw7). Cell Metab. (2005) 1:379–91. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2005.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2005.04.010)

36. Yecies JL, Zhang HH, Menon S, Liu S, Yecies D, Lipovsky AI, et al. Akt stimulates hepatic SREBP1c and lipogenesis through parallel mTORC1-dependent and independent pathways. Cell Metab. (2011) 14:21-32. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.06.002) [j.cmet.2011.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.06.002)

37. Porstmann T, Santos CR, Griffiths B, Cully M, Wu M, Leevers S, et al. SREBP activity is regulated by mTORC1 and contributes to Akt-dependent cell growth. Cell Metab. (2008) 8:224–36. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2008.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2008.07.007)

38. Peterson TR, Sengupta SS, Harris TE, Carmack AE, Kang SA, Balderas E, et al. mTOR complex 1 regulates lipin 1 localization to control the SREBP pathway. Cell. (2011) 146:408–20. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.034)

39. Han J, Li E, Chen L, Zhang Y, Wei F, Liu J, et al. The CREB coactivator CRTC2 controls hepatic lipid metabolism by regulating SREBP1. Nature. (2015) 524:243–6. doi: [10.1038/nature14557](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14557)

40. Im SS, Yousef L, Blaschitz C, Liu JZ, Edwards RA, Young SG, et al. Linking lipid metabolism to the innate immune response in macrophages through sterol regulatory element binding protein-1a. Cell Metab. (2011) 13:540–9. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.04.001) [j.cmet.2011.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.04.001)

41. Shimano H, Horton JD, Shimomura I, Hammer RE, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Isoform 1c of sterol regulatory element binding protein is less active than isoform 1a in livers of transgenic mice and in cultured cells. J Clin Invest. (1997) 99:846–54. doi: [10.1172/JCI119248](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119248)

42. Toth JI, Datta S, Athanikar JN, Freedman LP, Osborne TF. Selective coactivator interactions in gene activation by SREBP-1a and -1c. Mol Cell Biol. (2004) 24:8288–300. doi: [10.1128/MCB.24.18.8288-8300.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.24.18.8288-8300.2004)

43. Shimano H, Horton JD, Hammer RE, Shimomura I, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Overproduction of cholesterol and fatty acids causes massive liver enlargement in transgenic mice expressing truncated SREBP-1a. J Clin Invest. (1996) 98:1575–84. doi: [10.1172/JCI118951](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI118951)

44. Szántó M, Gupte R, Kraus WL, Pacher P, Bai P. PARPs in lipid metabolism and related diseases. Prog Lipid Res. (2021) 84:101117. doi: [10.1016/j.plipres.2021.101117](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2021.101117)

45. Amemiya-Kudo M, Shimano H, Hasty AH, Yahagi N, Yoshikawa T, Matsuzaka T, et al. Transcriptional activities of nuclear SREBP-1a, -1c, and -2 to different target promoters of lipogenic and cholesterogenic genes. J Lipid Res. (2002) 43:1220–35. doi: [10.1194/jlr.M100417-JLR200](https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M100417-JLR200)

46. Oliner JD, Andresen JM, Hansen SK, Zhou S, Tjian R. SREBP transcriptional activity is mediated through an interaction with the CREB-binding protein. Genes Dev. (1996) 10:2903–11. doi: [10.1101/gad.10.22.2903](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.10.22.2903)

47. Yang F, Vought BW, Satterlee JS, Walker AK, Jim Sun ZY, Watts JL, et al. An ARC/Mediator subunit required for SREBP control of cholesterol and lipid homeostasis. Nature. (2006) 442:700–4. doi: [10.1038/nature04942](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04942)

48. Bekkering S, Arts RJW, Novakovic B, Kourtzelis I, van der Heijden C, Li Y, et al. Metabolic induction of trained immunity through the mevalonate pathway. Cell. (2018) 172:135–46.e9. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.025)

49. York AG, Williams KJ, Argus JP, Zhou QD, Brar G, Vergnes L, et al. Limiting cholesterol biosynthetic flux spontaneously engages type I IFN signaling. Cell. (2015) 163:1716–29. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.11.045)

50. Araldi E, Fernández-Fuertes M, Canfrán-Duque A, Tang W, Cline GW, Madrigal-Matute J, et al. Lanosterol modulates TLR4-mediated innate immune responses in macrophages. Cell Rep. (2017) 19:2743–55. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.093) [j.celrep.2017.05.093](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.093)

51. Kusnadi A, Park SH, Yuan R, Pannellini T, Giannopoulou E, Oliver D, et al. The
cytokine TNF promotes transcription factor SREBP activity and binding to
inflammatory genes to activate macrophages and limit tissue repair (2019) 51:241–57.e9. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.06.005)

52. Xiao H, Lu M, Lin TY, Chen Z, Chen G, Wang WC, et al. Sterol regulatory element binding protein 2 activation of NLRP3 inflammasome in endothelium mediates hemodynamic-induced atherosclerosis susceptibility. Circulation. (2013) 128:632–42. doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002714](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.002714)

53. Yeh M, Cole AL, Choi J, Liu Y, Tulchinsky D, Qiao JH, et al. Role for sterol regulatory element-binding protein in activation of endothelial cells by phospholipid oxidation products. Circ Res. (2004) 95:780 – 8. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000146030.53089.18) [01.RES.0000146030.53089.18](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.RES.0000146030.53089.18)

54. Willemsen L, Prange KHM, Neele AE, van Roomen C, Gijbels M, Griffith GR, et al. DOT1L regulates lipid biosynthesis and inflammatory responses in macrophages and promotes atherosclerotic plaque stability. Cell Rep. (2022) $\hat{41:}111703$. doi: $10.1016/$ [j.celrep.2022.111703](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111703)

55. Rathinam VA, Fitzgerald KA. Inflammasome complexes: emerging mechanisms and effector functions. Cell. (2016) 165:792–800. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.046)

56. Robinson LE, Shridar M, Smith P, Murrell-Lagnado RD. Plasma membrane cholesterol as a regulator of human and rodent P2X7 receptor activation and sensitization. J Biol Chem. (2014) 289:31983–94. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M114.574699](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.574699)

57. Guo C, Chi Z, Jiang D, Xu T, Yu W, Wang Z, et al. Cholesterol homeostatic regulator SCAP-SREBP2 integrates NLRP3 inflammasome activation and cholesterol biosynthetic signaling in macrophages. Immunity. (2018) 49:842–56.e7. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.08.021) [j.immuni.2018.08.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.08.021)

58. Chen Y, Ku H, Zhao L, Wheeler DC, Li LC, Li Q, et al. Inflammatory stress induces statin resistance by disrupting 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase feedback regulation. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. (2014) 34:365–76. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.113.301301) [ATVBAHA.113.301301](https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.113.301301)

59. Ye Q, Chen Y, Lei H, Liu Q, Moorhead JF, Varghese Z, et al. Inflammatory stress increases unmodified LDL uptake via LDL receptor: an alternative pathway macrophage foam-cell formation. Inflammation Res. (2009) 58:809–18. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-009-0052-4) [s00011-009-0052-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00011-009-0052-4)

60. Ma KL, Ruan XZ, Powis SH, Chen Y, Moorhead JF, Varghese Z. Inflammatory stress exacerbates lipid accumulation in hepatic cells and fatty livers of apolipoprotein E knockout mice. Hepatology. (2008) 48:770–81. doi: [10.1002/hep.v48:3](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.v48:3)

61. Li LC, Varghese Z, Moorhead JF, Lee CT, Chen JB, Ruan XZ. Cross-talk between TLR4-MyD88-NF-kB and SCAP-SREBP2 pathways mediates macrophage foam cell formation. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. (2013) 304:H874–84. doi: [10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00096.2012) [ajpheart.00096.2012](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00096.2012)

62. Ouyang N, Gan H, He Q, Lei H, Wang SY, Liu Q, et al. Dysfunction of cholesterol sensor SCAP promotes inflammation activation in THP-1 macrophages. Exp Cell Res. (2018) 367:162–9. doi: [10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.03.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yexcr.2018.03.032)

63. Li D, Liu M, Li Z, Zheng G, Chen A, Zhao L, et al. Sterol-resistant SCAP overexpression in vascular smooth muscle cells accelerates atherosclerosis by increasing local vascular inflammation through activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome in mice. Aging Dis. (2021) 12:747–63. doi: [10.14336/AD.2020.1120](https://doi.org/10.14336/AD.2020.1120)

64. Huang X, Yao Y, Hou X, Wei L, Rao Y, Su Y, et al. Macrophage SCAP contributes to metaflammation and lean NAFLD by activating STING-NF-KB signaling pathway. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol. (2022) 14:1–26. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2022.03.006) [j.jcmgh.2022.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2022.03.006)

65. Pan Y, Chen H, Zhang X, Liu W, Ding Y, Huang D, et al. METTL3 drives NAFLD-related hepatocellular carcinoma and is a therapeutic target for boosting immunotherapy. Cell Rep Med. (2023) 4:101144. doi: [10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101144](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101144)

66. Menendez JA, Lupu R. Fatty acid synthase and the lipogenic phenotype in cancer pathogenesis. Nat Rev Cancer. (2007) 7:763–77. doi: [10.1038/nrc2222](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2222)

67. Guo D, Bell EH, Mischel P, Chakravarti A. Targeting SREBP-1-driven lipid metabolism to treat cancer. Curr Pharm Des. (2014) 20:2619–26. doi: [10.2174/](https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113199990486) [13816128113199990486](https://doi.org/10.2174/13816128113199990486)

68. Ricoult SJ, Yecies JL, Ben-Sahra I, Manning BD. Oncogenic PI3K and K-Ras stimulate de novo lipid synthesis through mTORC1 and SREBP. Oncogene. (2016) 35:1250–60. doi: [10.1038/onc.2015.179](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.179)

69. Freed-Pastor WA, Mizuno H, Zhao X, Langerød A, Moon SH, Rodriguez-Barrueco R, et al. Mutant p53 disrupts mammary tissue architecture via the mevalonate pathway. Cell. (2012) 148:244–58. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.12.017)

70. Teresi RE, Planchon SM, Waite KA, Eng C. Regulation of the PTEN promoter by statins and SREBP. Hum Mol Genet. (2008) 17:919–28. doi: [10.1093/hmg/ddm364](https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddm364)

71. Bathaie SZ, Ashrafi M, Azizian M, Tamanoi F. Mevalonate pathway and human cancers. Curr Mol Pharmacol. (2017) 10:77–85. doi: [10.2174/1874467209666160112123205](https://doi.org/10.2174/1874467209666160112123205)

72. Shamma A, Takegami Y, Miki T, Kitajima S, Noda M, Obara T, et al. Rb Regulates DNA damage response and cellular senescence through E2F-dependent suppression of N-ras isoprenylation. Cancer Cell. (2009) 15:255–69. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2009.03.001) [j.ccr.2009.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2009.03.001)

73. Herrada AA, Escobedo N, Iruretagoyena M, Valenzuela RA, Burgos PI, Cuitino L, et al. Innate immune cells' Contribution to systemic lupus erythematosus. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:772. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.00772](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00772)

74. Goulielmos GN, Zervou MI, Vazgiourakis VM, Ghodke-Puranik Y, Garyfallos A, Niewold TB. The genetics and molecular pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) in populations of different ancestry. Gene. (2018) 668:59–72. doi: [10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.041](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.041)

75. Liang Y, Xie SB, Wu CH, Hu Y, Zhang Q, Li S, et al. Coagulation cascade and complement system in systemic lupus erythematosus. Oncotarget. (2018) 9:14862-81. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v9i19](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v9i19)

76. Tsokos GC. Systemic lupus erythematosus. N Engl J Med. (2011) 365:2110–21. doi: [10.1056/NEJMra1100359](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1100359)

77. Gondret F, Ferré P, Dugail I. ADD-1/SREBP-1 is a major determinant of tissu differential lipogenic capacity in mammalian and avian species. J Lipid Res. (2001) 42:106–13. doi: [10.1016/S0022-2275\(20\)32341-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2275(20)32341-5)

78. Arai T, Kim HJ, Chiba H, Matsumoto A. Anti-obesity effect of fish oil and fish oil-fenofibrate combination in female KK mice. J Atheroscler Thromb. (2009) 16:674– 83. doi: [10.5551/jat.1313](https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.1313)

79. Vanarsa K, Ye Y, Han J, Xie C, Mohan C, Wu T. Inflammation associated anemia and ferritin as disease markers in SLE. Arthritis Res Ther. (2012) 14:R182. doi: [10.1186/ar4012](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar4012)

80. Urrego T, Ortiz-Reyes B, Vanegas-García AL, Muñoz CH, González LA, Vásquez G, et al. Utility of urinary transferrin and ceruloplasmin in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus for differentiating patients with lupus nephritis. Reumatol Clin (Engl Ed). (2020) 16:17–23. doi: [10.1016/j.reuma.2018.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reuma.2018.02.002)

81. Brown AC. Lupus erythematosus and nutrition: a review of the literature. J Ren Nutr. (2000) 10:170–83. doi: [10.1053/jren.2000.16323](https://doi.org/10.1053/jren.2000.16323)

82. Nankivell BJ, Boadle RA, Harris DC. Iron accumulation in human chronic renal disease. Am J Kidney Dis. (1992) 20:580–4. doi: [10.1016/S0272-6386\(12\)70222-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(12)70222-6)

83. van Raaij S, van Swelm R, Bouman K, Cliteur M, van den Heuvel MC, Pertijs J, et al. Tubular iron deposition and iron handling proteins in human healthy kidney and chronic kidney disease. Sci Rep. (2018) 8:9353. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-27107-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27107-8)

84. Leiter LM, Reuhl KR, Racis SP Jr., Sherman AR. Iron status alters murine systemic lupus erythematosus. J Nutr. (1995) 125:474–84. doi: [10.1093/jn/125.3.474](https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/125.3.474)

85. Marks ES, Bonnemaison ML, Brusnahan SK, Zhang W, Fan W, Garrison JC, et al. Renal iron accumulation occurs in lupus nephritis and iron chelation delays the onset of albuminuria. Sci Rep. (2017) 7:12821. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-13029-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13029-4)

86. ScIndia Y, Wlazlo E, Ghias E, Cechova S, Loi V, Leeds J, et al. Modulation of iron homeostasis with hepcidin ameliorates spontaneous murine lupus nephritis. Kidney Int. (2020) 98:100–15. doi: [10.1016/j.kint.2020.01.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kint.2020.01.025)

87. Wlazlo E, Mehrad B, Morel L, ScIndia Y. Iron metabolism: an under investigated driver of renal pathology in lupus nephritis. Front Med (Lausanne). (2021) 8:643686. doi: [10.3389/fmed.2021.643686](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2021.643686)

88. Chen H, Qi Q, Wu N, Wang Y, Feng Q, Jin R, et al. Aspirin promotes RSL3 induced ferroptosis by suppressing mTOR/SREBP-1/SCD1-mediated lipogenesis in PIK3CA-mutant colorectal cancer. Redox Biol. (2022) 55:102426. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2022.102426) [j.redox.2022.102426](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2022.102426)

89. Kim J, Gupta R, Blanco LP, Yang S, Shteinfer-Kuzmine A, Wang K, et al. VDAC oligomers form mitochondrial pores to release mtDNA fragments and promote lupuslike disease. Science. (2019) 366:1531–6. doi: [10.1126/science.aav4011](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav4011)

90. Zhao L, Hu X, Xiao F, Zhang X, Zhao L, Wang M. Mitochondrial impairment and repair in the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:929520. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.929520](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.929520)

91. Cardiel MH, Tumlin JA, Furie RA, Wallace DJ, Joh T, Linnik MD. Abetimus sodium for renal flare in systemic lupus erythematosus: results of a randomized, controlled phase III trial. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2008) 58:2470–80. doi: [10.1002/art.23673](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.23673)

92. Dong J, Wang QX, Zhou CY, Ma XF, Zhang YC. Activation of the STAT1 signalling pathway in lupus nephritis in MRL/lpr mice. Lupus. (2007) 16:101-9. doi: [10.1177/0961203306075383](https://doi.org/10.1177/0961203306075383)

93. Larrea E, Aldabe R, Molano E, Fernandez-Rodriguez CM, Ametzazurra A, Civeira MP, et al. Altered expression and activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs) in hepatitis C virus infection: in vivo and in vitro studies. Gut. (2006) 55:1188–96. doi: [10.1136/gut.2005.070060](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.2005.070060)

94. Letexier D, Pinteur C, Large V, Fréring V, Beylot M. Comparison of the expression and activity of the lipogenic pathway in human and rat adipose tissue. J Lipid Res. (2003) 44:2127–34. doi: [10.1194/jlr.M300235-JLR200](https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M300235-JLR200)

95. Bazioti V, Halmos B, Westerterp M. T-cell cholesterol accumulation, aging, and atherosclerosis. Curr Atheroscler Rep. (2023) 25:527–34. doi: [10.1007/s11883-023-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-023-01125-y) [01125-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11883-023-01125-y)

96. Yang W, Bai Y, Xiong Y, Zhang J, Chen S, Zheng X, et al. Potentiating the antitumour response of CD8(+) T cells by modulating cholesterol metabolism. Nature. (2016) 531:651–5. doi: [10.1038/nature17412](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17412)

97. Cheng HY, Gaddis DE, Wu R, McSkimming C, Haynes LD, Taylor AM, et al. Loss of ABCG1 influences regulatory T cell differentiation and atherosclerosis. J Clin Invest. (2016) 126:3236–46. doi: [10.1172/JCI83136](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI83136)

98. Pollard KM, Cauvi DM, Toomey CB, Morris KV, Kono DH. Interferon-γ and systemic autoimmunity. Discovery Med. (2013) 16:123–31.

99. Zhang JR, Coleman T, Langmade SJ, Scherrer DE, Lane L, Lanier MH, et al. Niemann-Pick C1 protects against atherosclerosis in mice via regulation of macrophage intracellular cholesterol trafficking. J Clin Invest. (2008) 118:2281–90. doi: [10.1172/](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI32561) [JCI32561](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI32561)

100. Domeier PP, Chodisetti SB, Soni C, Schell SL, Elias MJ, Wong EB, et al. IFN-g receptor and STAT1 signaling in B cells are central to spontaneous germinal center formation and autoimmunity. J Exp Med. (2016) 213:715–32. doi: [10.1084/](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151722) [jem.20151722](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151722)

101. Mantovani A, Sica A, Sozzani S, Allavena P, Vecchi A, Locati M. The chemokine system in diverse forms of macrophage activation and polarization. Trends Immunol. (2004) 25:677–86. doi: [10.1016/j.it.2004.09.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2004.09.015)

102. Labonte AC, Kegerreis B, Geraci NS, Bachali P, Madamanchi S, Robl R, et al. Identification of alterations in macrophage activation associated with disease activity in systemic lupus erythematosus. PloS One. (2018) 13:e0208132. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208132) [journal.pone.0208132](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208132)

103. Iwata Y, Boström EA, Menke J, Rabacal WA, Morel L, Wada T, et al. Aberrant macrophages mediate defective kidney repair that triggers nephritis in lupussusceptible mice. J Immunol. (2012) 188:4568–80. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1102154](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1102154)

104. Jing C, Castro-Dopico T, Richoz N, Tuong ZK, Ferdinand JR, Lok LSC, et al. Macrophage metabolic reprogramming presents a therapeutic target in lupus nephritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. (2020) 117:15160–71. doi: [10.1073/pnas.2000943117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2000943117)

105. Sparks JA. Rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Intern Med. (2019) 170:Itc1–itc16. doi: [10.7326/AITC201901010](https://doi.org/10.7326/AITC201901010)

106. Finckh A, Gilbert B, Hodkinson B, Bae SC, Thomas R, Deane KD, et al. Global epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. (2022) 18:591–602. doi: [10.1038/s41584-022-00827-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-022-00827-y)

107. Metawi SA, Abbas D, Kamal MM, Ibrahim MK. Serum and synovial fluid levels of interleukin-17 in correlation with disease activity in patients with RA. Clin Rheumatol. (2011) 30:1201–7. doi: [10.1007/s10067-011-1737-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-011-1737-y)

108. Koenders MI, Marijnissen RJ, Devesa I, Lubberts E, Joosten LA, Roth J, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-interleukin-17 interplay induces S100A8, interleukin-1 β , and matrix metalloproteinases, and drives irreversible cartilage destruction in murine arthritis: rationale for combination treatment during arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2011) 63:2329–39. doi: [10.1002/art.30418](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.30418)

109. Genovese MC, Van den Bosch F, Roberson SA, Bojin S, Biagini IM, Ryan P, et al. LY2439821, a humanized anti-interleukin-17 monoclonal antibody, in the treatment of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A phase I randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, proof-of-concept study. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2010) 62:929–39. doi: [10.1002/art.27334](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27334)

110. Cheng Y, Manabe I, Hayakawa S, Endo Y, Oishi Y. Caspase-11 contributes to site-1 protease cleavage and SREBP1 activation in the inflammatory response of macrophages. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1009973. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2023.1009973](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1009973)

111. Jadidi-Niaragh F, Mirshafiey A. Th17 cell, the new player of neuroinflammatory process in multiple sclerosis. Scand J Immunol. (2011) 74:1-13.
doi: [10.1111/j.1365-3083.2011.02536.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3083.2011.02536.x)

112. LaBranche TP, Jesson MI, Radi ZA, Storer CE, Guzova JA, Bonar SL, et al. JAK inhibition with tofacitinib suppresses arthritic joint structural damage through decreased RANKL production. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2012) 64:3531–42. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34649) [art.34649](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.34649)

113. Madan B, Goh KC, Hart S, William AD, Jayaraman R, Ethirajulu K, et al. SB1578, a novel inhibitor of JAK2, FLT3, and c-Fms for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. J Immunol. (2012) 189:4123–34. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1200675](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1200675)

114. Moon YM, Lee J, Lee SY, Her YM, Ryu JG, Kim EK, et al. Gene associated with retinoid-interferon-induced mortality 19 attenuates murine autoimmune arthritis by regulation of th17 and treg cells. Arthritis Rheumatol. (2014) 66:569–78. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.38267) [art.38267](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.38267)

115. Park JS, Lee J, Lim MA, Kim EK, Kim SM, Ryu JG, et al. JAK2-STAT3 blockade by AG490 suppresses autoimmune arthritis in mice via reciprocal regulation of regulatory T Cells and Th17 cells. J Immunol. (2014) 192:4417–24. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300514) [jimmunol.1300514](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1300514)

116. Cho SO, Lim JW, Kim H. Red ginseng extract inhibits the expression of MCP-1 and iNOS in Helicobacter pylori-infected gastric epithelial cells by suppressing the activation of NADPH oxidase and Jak2/Stat3. J Ethnopharmacol. (2013) 150:761–4. doi: [10.1016/j.jep.2013.09.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2013.09.013)

117. Schwarz A, Bonaterra GA, Schwarzbach H, Kinscherf R. Oxidized LDLinduced JAB1 influences NF-kB independent inflammatory signaling in human macrophages during foam cell formation. J BioMed Sci. (2017) 24:12. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-017-0320-5) [s12929-017-0320-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-017-0320-5)

118. Aguirre-Rueda D, Guerra-Ojeda S, Aldasoro M, Iradi A, Obrador E, Ortega A, et al. Astrocytes protect neurons from Aβ1-42 peptide-induced neurotoxicity
increasing TFAM and PGC-1 and decreasing PPAR- γ and SIRT-1. *Int J Med Sci.*
(2015) 12:48–56 doi: 10.7150/iims 10035 (2015) 12:48–56. doi: [10.7150/ijms.10035](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.10035)

119. Rutherford C, Speirs C, Williams JJ, Ewart MA, Mancini SJ, Hawley SA, et al. Phosphorylation of Janus kinase 1 (JAK1) by AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) links energy sensing to anti-inflammatory signaling. Sci Signal. (2016) 9:ra109. doi: [10.1126/scisignal.aaf8566](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aaf8566)

120. Wang DT, He J, Wu M, Li SM, Gao Q, Zeng QP. Artemisinin mimics calorie restriction to trigger mitochondrial biogenesis and compromise telomere shortening in mice. PeerJ. (2015) 3:e822. doi: [10.7717/peerj.822](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.822)

121. Li Y, Xu S, Mihaylova MM, Zheng B, Hou X, Jiang B, et al. AMPK phosphorylates and inhibits SREBP activity to attenuate hepatic steatosis and atherosclerosis in diet-induced insulin-resistant mice. Cell Metab. (2011) 13:376–88. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2011.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2011.03.009)

122. Liang J, Chang B, Huang M, Huang W, Ma W, Liu Y, et al. Oxymatrine prevents synovial inflammation and migration via blocking NF-KB activation in
rheumatoid fibroblast-like synoviocytes. Int Immunopharmacol. (2018) 55:105-11. doi: [10.1016/j.intimp.2017.12.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2017.12.006)

123. Pauley KM, Satoh M, Chan AL, Bubb MR, Reeves WH, Chan EK. Upregulated miR-146a expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Res Ther. (2008) 10:R101. doi: [10.1186/ar2493](https://doi.org/10.1186/ar2493)

124. Fei X, Huang J, Li F, Wang Y, Shao Z, Dong L, et al. The Scap-SREBP1-S1P/S2P lipogenesis signal orchestrates the homeostasis and spatiotemporal activation of NFkB. Cell Rep. (2023) 42:112586. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112586](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112586)

125. Vassiliou E, Farias-Pereira R. Impact of lipid metabolism on macrophage polarization: implications for inflammation and tumor immunity. Int J Mol Sci. (2023) 24(15):12032. doi: [10.3390/ijms241512032](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241512032)

126. Kuan YC, Hashidume T, Shibata T, Uchida K, Shimizu M, Inoue J, et al. Heat shock protein 90 modulates lipid homeostasis by regulating the stability and function of sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP) and SREBP cleavage-activating protein. J Biol Chem. (2017) 292:3016–28. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M116.767277](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.767277)

127. Cheng HM, Xing M, Zhou YP, Zhang W, Liu Z, Li ${\rm L}$, et al. HSP90 β promotes osteoclastogenesis by dual-activation of cholesterol synthesis and NF-kB signaling. Cell Death Differ. (2023) 30:673–86. doi: [10.1038/s41418-022-01071-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-022-01071-3)

128. So AK, Martinon F. Inflammation in gout: mechanisms and therapeutic targets. Nat Rev Rheumatol. (2017) 13:639–47. doi: [10.1038/nrrheum.2017.155](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2017.155)

129. Dalbeth N, Choi HK, Joosten LAB, Khanna PP, Matsuo H, Perez-Ruiz F, et al. Gout. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2019) 5:69. doi: [10.1038/s41572-019-0115-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0115-y)

130. Dalbeth N, Gosling AL, Gaffo A, Abhishek A. Gout. Lancet. (2021) 397:1843– 55. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00569-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00569-9)

131. Stamp LK, Dalbeth N. Prevention and treatment of gout. Nat Rev Rheumatol. (2019) 15:68–70. doi: [10.1038/s41584-018-0149-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-018-0149-7)

132. Franchi L, Eigenbrod T, Muñoz-Planillo R, Nuñez G. The inflammasome: a caspase-1-activation platform that regulates immune responses and disease pathogenesis. Nat Immunol. (2009) 10:241–7. doi: [10.1038/ni.1703](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1703)

133. Dalbeth N, Merriman TR, Stamp LK. Gout. Lancet. (2016) 388:2039–52. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00346-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00346-9)

134. Ruytinx P, Proost P, Van Damme J, Struyf S. Chemokine-induced macrophage polarization in inflammatory conditions. Front Immunol. (2018) 9:1930. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01930) fi[mmu.2018.01930](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01930)

135. Michaudel C, Sokol H. The gut microbiota at the service of immunometabolism. Cell Metab. (2020) 32:514–23. doi: [10.1016/j.cmet.2020.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.09.004)

136. Ahangari F, Price NL, Malik S, Chioccioli M, Bärnthaler T, Adams TS, et al. microRNA-33 deficiency in macrophages enhances autophagy, improves mitochondrial homeostasis, and protects against lung fibrosis. JCI Insight. (2023) 8 (4):e158100. doi: [10.1172/jci.insight.158100](https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.158100)

137. Najafi-Shoushtari SH, Kristo F, Li Y, Shioda T, Cohen DE, Gerszten RE, et al. MicroRNA-33 and the SREBP host genes cooperate to control cholesterol homeostasis. Science. (2010) 328:1566–9. doi: [10.1126/science.1189123](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1189123)

138. Lee JH, Lee SH, Lee EH, Cho JY, Song DK, Lee YJ, et al. SCAP deficiency facilitates obesity and insulin resistance through shifting adipose tissue macrophage polarization. J Adv Res. (2023) 45:1–13. doi: [10.1016/j.jare.2022.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2022.05.013)

139. Beharka AA, Crowther JE, McCormack FX, Denning GM, Lees J, Tibesar E, et al. Pulmonary surfactant protein A activates a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/calcium signal transduction pathway in human macrophages: participation in the up-regulation of mannose receptor activity. J Immunol. (2005) 175:2227–36. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.4.2227) [jimmunol.175.4.2227](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.4.2227)

140. Choulaki C, Papadaki G, Repa A, Kampouraki E, Kambas K, Ritis K, et al. Enhanced activity of NLRP3 inflammasome in peripheral blood cells of patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. (2015) 17:257. doi: [10.1186/s13075-015-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0775-2) [0775-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0775-2)

141. Dunne A, Ross PJ, Pospisilova E, Masin J, Meaney A, Sutton CE, et al. Inflammasome activation by adenylate cyclase toxin directs Th17 responses and protection against Bordetella pertussis. J Immunol. (2010) 185:1711–9. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1000105](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000105)

142. Kool M, Willart MA, van Nimwegen M, Bergen I, Pouliot P, Virchow JC, et al. An unexpected role for uric acid as an inducer of T helper 2 cell immunity to inhaled

antigens and inflammatory mediator of allergic asthma. Immunity. (2011) 34:527–40. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2011.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2011.03.015)

143. Dai XJ, Tao JH, Fang X, Xia Y, Li XM, Wang YP, et al. Changes of treg/th17 ratio in spleen of acute gouty arthritis rat induced by MSU crystals. Inflammation. (2018) 41:1955–64. doi: [10.1007/s10753-018-0839-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-018-0839-y)

144. Maynard CL, Weaver CT. Intestinal effector T cells in health and disease. Immunity. (2009) 31:389–400. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.012)

145. Wen X, Lou Y, Song S, He Z, Chen J, Xie Z, et al. Qu-zhuo-tong-bi decoction alleviates gouty arthritis by regulating butyrate-producing bacteria in mice. Front Pharmacol. (2020) 11:610556. doi: [10.3389/fphar.2020.610556](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.610556)

146. Wang X, Sun G, Feng T, Zhang J, Huang X, Wang T, et al. Sodium oligomannate therapeutically remodels gut microbiota and suppresses gut bacterial amino acids-shaped neuroinflammation to inhibit Alzheimer's disease progression. Cell Res. (2019) 29:787–803. doi: [10.1038/s41422-019-0216-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-019-0216-x)

Glossary

