### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Susu M. Zughaier, Qatar University, Qatar

REVIEWED BY Ebony Gary, Wistar Institute, United States Sharon Vijayanand, Mercer University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Jinlin Guo I guo596@cdutcm.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 28 February 2024 ACCEPTED 21 August 2024 PUBLISHED 11 September 2024

#### CITATION

Yang K, Zeng Y, Wu X, Li J and Guo J (2024) Strategies for developing self-assembled nanoparticle vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1392898. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1392898

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yang, Zeng, Wu, Li and Guo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Strategies for developing selfassembled nanoparticle vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 infection

Kaiwen Yang<sup>1,2†</sup>, Youqin Zeng<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xinyu Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Jia Li<sup>1,2</sup> and Jinlin Guo<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>College of Medical Technology, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, <sup>2</sup>Chongqing Key Laboratory of Sichuan-Chongqing Co-construction for Diagnosis and Treatment of Infectious Diseases Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, Chongqing Traditional Chinese Medicine Hospital, Chongqing, China

In the recent history of the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak, vaccines have been a crucial public health tool, playing a significant role in effectively preventing infections. However, improving the efficacy while minimizing side effects remains a major challenge. In recent years, there has been growing interest in nanoparticle-based delivery systems aimed at improving antigen delivery efficiency and immunogenicity. Among these, self-assembled nanoparticles with varying sizes, shapes, and surface properties have garnered considerable attention. This paper reviews the latest advancements in the design and development of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines utilizing self-assembled materials, highlighting their advantages in delivering viral immunogens. In addition, we briefly discuss strategies for designing a broad-spectrum universal vaccine, which provides insights and ideas for dealing with possible future infectious sarbecoviruses.

#### KEYWORDS

self-assembled nanoparticle, VLP, ferritin, SARS-CoV-2, vaccine

## **1** Introduction

Since the emergence of SARS-CoV-2, the rapid expansion and mutation of the virus have led to a significant increase in morbidity and mortality worldwide. According to the World Health Organization, the COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in more than 776 million confirmed cases, including nearly 7 million deaths (https://www.who.int/). During this period, vaccines have played a crucial role as a powerful health tool for preventing viral infection. The approval of multiple COVID-19 vaccines and vaccination campaigns in more than 200 countries have increased herd immunity, reduced disease severity and mortality, and helped curb the spread of pandemics (1). Current COVID-19 vaccines based on traditional vaccine platforms include CoronaVac, BBIBP-CorV, NVX-CoV2373, and Ad26.COV2-S, etc. (2). Studies from various countries indicate that the efficacy of the inactivated vaccines CoronaVac and BBIBP-CorV ranges from 50.7% to 91% (3). The protein subunit vaccine NVX-CoV2373 has shown an efficacy of 95.6% against the SARS-

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1392898

CoV-2 wild-type (WT) (4). Additionally, one dose of the viral vector vaccine Ad26.COV2-S was 67% effective against moderate disease and 77% effective against severe disease (5). Despite their high efficacy, these vaccine platforms have the weakness of limited immunogenicity, insufficient cross-protection, and the induction of anti-vector immunity (6, 7). These challenges have prompted the development and exploration of novel vaccine platforms that offer higher efficacy and fewer side effects.

The ongoing development of nanotechnology presents new opportunities for creating highly effective vaccines. Nanoparticle vaccines can be broadly categorized into two main types based on their strategies for delivering antigens (8). The first category encapsulates the antigen within the carrier, such as liposomal nanoparticles and polymeric nanoparticles (8). Among the approved COVID-19 vaccines, mRNA vaccines are impressive and stand out, as they encapsulate nucleic acid molecules of the antigen in a vector that delivers them to human host cells (9). The efficacy of mRNA vaccines, represented by BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273, can reach as high as 96.7% and 94.1% against severe disease, respectively (10, 11). The rapid development of mRNA vaccines, driven by revolutionary vaccine technologies, has set historic milestones and significantly advanced the clinical translation of nanoparticle vaccines. However, the widespread use of these vaccines is limited by storage stability (12). The second category of nanoparticle vaccines delivers the antigen to the surface of the carrier, which includes protein nanoparticles, virus-like particles (VLPs), and micelles (8, 13). Proteins with self-assembly capabilities are popular in this field. The varying copy numbers and geometries of self-assembling proteins enable diverse vaccine delivery options. Not only can antigens be densely arranged on their surfaces, but multivalent antigen presentation can also be achieved (14, 15). Additionally, cell-penetrating peptides and antigen-presenting cell (APC)-targeting antibodies can be incorporated onto the surface to enhance APC targeting and boost the immune response (16, 17). Furthermore, the strong thermal and chemical stability of certain self-assembled proteins helps overcome the challenges associated with cold chain transportation in underserved areas. Given these advantages, self-assembled nanoparticle (SANP) vaccines appear promising and will be highlighted and summarized in this review.

# 2 SANPs

Generally, nanoparticles are tunable particles of nanoscale size that mimic the structural characteristics of viruses (8). When the attractive/repulsive forces within and between SANPs molecules are in equilibrium, the formation of various non-covalent interactions such as electrostatic, hydrophobic, hydrogen bonding, van der Waals, and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions allow the basic building blocks to assemble autonomously, a process that does not require human intervention (18, 19). Exogenous genes or antigenic proteins can be attached to specific positions in the basic building blocks of SANPs by gene fusion, tag coupling, etc., and antigens are presented to the surface of the particles as the basic building blocks of SANPs selfassemble (20) (Table 1) (Figure 1). Polysaccharides, ferritin, and other natural self-assembling molecules present in various

TABLE 1 Regional pockets of individual nanoparticles to deliver antigens.

Nanoparticle	Protein	Region	Ref.
MS2	Coat protein homodimer	AB loop	(25)
TMV	Coat protein	N-terminus, C-terminus	(81)
PapMV	Coat protein	N-terminus	(82)
Ferritin	Ferritin	N-terminus	(48)
153-50	I53A	N-terminus	(48)
Mi3	Engineered aldolase	N-terminus	(42, 45)

organisms and the engineering self-assembling proteins have been widely used in the development of COVID-19 vaccines (Table 2).

## 2.1 VLPs

VLPs are virus-like man-made nanostructures consisting of all or part of the proteins that make up the viral capsid, but lacking the viral genome (21). These structural proteins can self-assemble when produced in expression organisms such as bacteria, yeast, mammalian, and insect cells (22). However, not all pathogenic proteins can self-assemble into VLPs after overexpression, and 110 viral proteins in 35 virus families can self-assemble (23, 24).

### 2.1.1 Phage

MS2 phage is an icosahedral structure of 180 monomeric coat proteins self-assembling to form 90 homodimers (20) (Figure 1A). The AB loop in the homodimer is exposed on the surface and is a natural site for delivery of antigen (25). Genomic insertion of exogenous antigens into the AB loop tends to cause protein folding failure, a defect that can be ameliorated by constructing single-stranded homodimers, which greatly improves protein stability (25). Based on this research, AviTag was inserted into the single-stranded dimer and Spike (S) for biotinylation, then S was displayed on the surface of MS2 in the presence of high-affinity biotin-streptavidin. The vaccine provided protection against SARS-CoV-2 after a single injection in hamsters (26).

### 2.1.2 Plant viruses

Most plant viruses are envelope-free and their viral particles are formed by highly repetitive protein subunits assembled around the genome, which are basically divided into two symmetrical types of structure: helical and icosahedral (27). The most common helical plant virus is tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) (Figure 1B). Since WT TMV lacks exposed active lysine, Royal et al. mutated the N-terminal end of TMV with lysine (TMV NtK) to facilitate the chemical coupling of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor-binding domain (RBD)-Fc with it to construct a vaccine that triggered IgG antibody titers more than 10-fold higher than the RBD alone (28). The adjuvanted nanoparticle vaccine triggered more Th1-oriented cellular immunity, while the non-adjuvanted nanoparticle vaccine resulted in a more



balanced Th1 and Th2 response (28). Free monomeric antigens stimulate mainly Th2 responses compared to nanoparticle vaccines (28). Papaya mosaic virus (PapMV) vaccine platforms are rod-like virus-like particles made of PapMV capsid protein self-assembled around single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) (29). PapMV nanoparticles are one of the few vaccine technologies capable of stimulating TLR7/8, other TLR7/8 agonists are not suitable for developing safe vaccines due to their toxicity (30). SRAS-CoV-2 S RBD coupled to PapMV by relying on sortase A, which can trigger the creation of covalent junctions between proteins (30). The sera produced after two immunizations of mice were 54, 111, and 5.2 times more efficient in neutralizing the ancestral SARS-CoV-2 strain, Delta and Omicron variant, respectively, than the uncoupled formulation (30). The RBD-PapMV vaccine can be stored stably at  $4 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C for one month, which is an advantage over mRNA vaccines that require refrigeration (30). The generic T-cell epitopes derived from tetanus toxin was incorporated into the cucumber mosaic virus (CuMV) VLP platform (CuMV<sub>TT</sub>-VLP) for immune optimization to obtain T-cell help (31). The vaccine constructed by chemically coupling the RBD to CuMV<sub>TT</sub>-VLP prevents the binding of the RBD to the ACE2 receptor, and in addition, the antibodies induced in mice are effective in neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 (31). Delivery of oversized or positively charged proteins on the outer surface of plant viruses by genetic fusion can affect the yield of plant viruses (27). To improve yields, genetically fused smaller fragments of receptor-binding motif (RBM) was displayed into  $CuMV_{TT}$  to construct the vaccine candidates (32). Sera from mice vaccinated with the  $CuMV_{TT}$ -RBM vaccine candidate successfully recognized variants E484K, N501Y, K417N/E484K/ N501Y and L452R/E484Q (32).

## 2.2 SANPs based on the ferritin system

Ferritin is one of the oldest molecule that is found in a variety of biological species such as fungi, archaea, bacteria, and viruses. Ferritin has excellent thermal and chemical stability and vaccines made upon it are useful in countries and regions with limited cold chain supply resources (23, 33). Ferritin is an octahedral nanoparticle consisting of eight identical trimeric subunits and therefore can display 24 copies of RBD or 8 copies of stable S-protein trimers on a single particle (8, 34) (Figure 1C). Simultaneously expression of SRAS-CoV-2 S RBD and heptad repeat 1(HR) on a single ferritin nanoparticle induced a 10-100-fold higher neutralizing potency than the monomeric antigens, as well as a safer and more effective Th1-based immune response (35). In mice injected with ferritin nanoparticle vaccines and monomeric antigens, respectively, and lymph nodes (LNs) were isolated for analysis of DCs and macrophages, the nanoparticle vaccine was

#### TABLE 2 Self-assembled nanoparticles in COVID-19 vaccine candidates.

Vaccine platform	Presentation method	Presented antigens	Sequence	Size	Route of administration	Immunization schedule	Animal models	Pseudovirus in neutralization assay	Live virus in neutralization assay	Fold of neu- tralizing potency com- pared to monomeric antigens	Th1 or Th2 ori- ented immune response	Ref.
MS2	Biotin- streptavidin	Spike	1-1208	~50 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	1 dose (60 µg)	Hamster		SARS-CoV-2/UT- NCGM02/ Human/ 2020/Tokyo			(26)
TMV	Chemically conjugated	RBD-Fc	331–632 from the WT	Diameter < 20 nm, average length 300 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	2 doses (45 µg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT	WT	10	Th1 (with adjuvants), balanced Th1 and Th2 response (without adjuvants)	(28)
PapMV	Sortase A	RBD	331–591 from the WT	Average length 80 nm, width 14 nm	Intramuscular injection	2 doses (115 μg of nanoparticles coupled to 4.6 μg of RBD, 21 days apart)	Mice		WT, Delta, and Omicron	54 (WT), 111 (Delta), 5.2 (Omicron)	Th1	(30)
CuMV	Genetic fusion	RBM		94 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	2 doses (20 μg, 28 days apart) 2 doses (100 μg, 28 days apart)	Mice		SARS-CoV-2/ ABS/NL20			(32)
Ferritin	SpyTag/ SpyCatcher	RBD and HR	RBD:319- 541 HR1- HR2:910- 1213		Subcutaneous inoculation	2 doses (10 μg, 28 days apart) Intramuscular injection	Mice 2 doses (50 µg, 28 days apart)	WT, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, HCoV- 229E, HCoV- OC43, RATG13	SARS-CoV-2	10-100	Th1	(35)
Rhesus macaques	Genetic fusion	Spike	12-1158 from the WT		Intramuscular injection	2 doses (50 µg, 28 days apart)	Rhesus macaques	WT	SARS-CoV-2 viruses USA- WA1/2020 (WA1), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta		Th1	(36)
	Protein A tag-Fc	RBD	331-524 from omicron BA.1	37.3 ± 1.3 nm	Intramuscular injection	2 doses (10 µg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Omicron BA.1, BA.2	BA.1		Th1	(37)
	Genetic fusion	Spike	1-1208 from the WT		Intramuscular injection	1 dose (100 µg)	Hamsters	WT, D614G, Alpha, Beta, Delta	SARS-CoV-2 WA1/2020 strain			(38)

Vaccine platform	Presentation method	Presented antigens	Sequence	Size	Route of administration	Immunization schedule	Animal models	Pseudovirus in neutralization assay	Live virus in neutralization assay	Fold of neu- tralizing potency com- pared to monomeric antigens	Th1 or Th2 ori- ented immune response	Ref.
	Genetic fusion	RBD (SARS- CoV, SARS-		17.6 nm	Intramuscular	2 doses (10 μg, 21 days apart)	Mice		SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV		Th1	(41)
	Genetic Tusion	CoV-2, MERS-CoV),		17.0 mm	injection	2 doses (100 μg, 21 days apart)	Cynomolgus monkeys		SARS-CoV-2		1111	(41)
	Genetic fusion	Spike (WT, Beta, Epsilon)		21.4 nm, 20.54 nm, 20.3 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	2 doses (50 μg, 23 days apart)	Mice				Th1	(59)
	ΔN1- SpyCatcher	RBD	319–541 from the WT	32.99 ± 0.04 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	3 doses (9.34 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	8-120	Th2	(48)
	SpyTag/ SpyCatcher	S1	16-685 from the WT	57.71 ± 0.22 nm		3 doses (0.646/ 6.46 µg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Lambda, and Omicron, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	30		(49)
	GvTagOpti/ SdCatcher	D614G_RBD			Subcutaneous inoculation	2 doses (12 μg, 28 days apart)	Mice	D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Omicron	D614G, Beta, Delta			(57)
Mi2	SpyTag/ SpyCatcher003	003 RBD	221 520	20.7	Intramuscular injection	2 doses (0.1/0.5 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	SARS CoV 2	SARS-CoV-2 virus			(45)
MIS			551-529	± 4.2 nm		2 doses (5/50 μg, 28 days apart)	Pigs	- SARS-COV-2	England/02/2020)			(45)
	ΔN1- SpyCatcher	RBD	319–541 from the WT	55.19 ± 0.4	Subcutaneous inoculation	3 doses (9.51 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	8-120	Th2	(48)
	SpyTag/ SpyCatcher	S1	16-685 from the WT	65.88 ± 0.69 nm		3 doses (6.46 µg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Lambda, Omicron, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	8		(49)
152 50	Constin fusion	DRD			Intramuscular	2 doses (0.9/5 μg, 21 days apart)	Mice	SARS-CoV-2,	SARS Cov 2			(47)
153-50	Geneuc rusion	KBD	328-331	37-41 nm	injection	2 doses (250 μg, 28 days apart)	Pigtail macaque	SARS-CoV	5AK3-COV-2			(4/)

(Continued)

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1392898

TABLE 2 Continued

Vaccine platform	Presentation method	Presented antigens	Sequence	Size	Route of administration	Immunization schedule	Animal models	Pseudovirus in neutralization assay	Live virus in neutralization assay	Fold of neu- tralizing potency com- pared to monomeric antigens	Th1 or Th2 ori- ented immune response	Ref.				
	ΔN1- SpyCatcher	RBD	319–541 from the WT	50.67 ± 0.11 nm	Subcutaneous inoculation	3 doses (11.91 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	8-120	Th2	(48)				
	SpyTag/ SpyCatcher	S1	16-685 from the WT	90.00 ± 0.27 nm		3 doses (0.721/ 7.21 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Lambda, Omicron, SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV	SARS-CoV-2 strain 2020XN4276	39		(49)				
		Chemically RBD 319–5.								3 doses (1/3/10 μg, 21 days apart)	Adult mice					
	Chemically			210 521	210 521		9 <b>2</b> 2 mm	Intramuscular	3 doses (1/3 μg, 21 days apart)	Aged mice	WT, D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta,		2.7	Th1 (mice), balanced Th1	(51)	
PP514	conjugated		515-551	8-23 nm	injection	3 doses (20/60 μg, 21 days apart)	Rats	ts Mu, Epsilon, Iota, and Kappa		5.7	(rhesus macaques)	(51)				
					2 doses (10 μg, 21 days apart)	Rhesus macaques										
PRBS	Hydrogen bond	RBD		250 nm	Intramuscular injection	3 doses (10 μg RBD protein and 50 μg PRBS, 14 days apart)	Mice	SARS-CoV-2		5		(52)				
DNA	Plasmids	S-HBsAg	1-1206 from the S6P		Intramuscular immunizations plus electroporation	2 doses (10/2/ 0.4 μg, 28 days apart)	Mice	D614G, Beta, Delta, Omicron BA.1		3-26.5		(54)				
	Plasmids	RBD g5.1 120-mer	331-527		Intramuscular immunizations plus electroporation	1 dose (1 µg)	Mice	Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta	SARS-CoV-2	15		(55)				
RNA	LNP encapsulation	RBD-ferritin		121.9 nm	Intramuscular injection	2 doses (1.5/15 μg, 14 days apart)	Mice	WT, Alpha, Beta	SARS-CoV-2		Th1	(56)				

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1392898

found to be more susceptible to antigen capture and presentation by APCs, which in turn effectively activated CD8 T cells (35). Utilizing gene fusions, the prefusion-stabilized S has been expressed on the surface of ferritin to induce immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 (36). The vaccine triggered high levels of neutralizing antibodies in rhesus monkeys, an order of magnitude higher than human recovered serum, and induced Th1-biased CD4 T-cell helper responses (36). Serum from mice, immunized with Omicron RBD linked to ferritin by Fc interaction with protein A tags, showed strong neutralizing potency against BA.1 and BA.2 and Th1-biased cellular immune responses (37). Attached the WT strain S protein to ferritin by gene fusion and the nanoparticle vaccine demonstrated similar protection against WT, D614G, and Alpha in Syrian golden hamsters (38). A recombinant spike ferritin nanoparticle vaccine for SARS-CoV-2 has entered human clinical trials for the first time, demonstrating the ability to elicit neutralizing antibody titers exceeding 10,000 against the D614G variant in humans. This vaccine primarily causes self-limiting adverse reactions and shows no evidence of long-term toxicity (39). Additionally, conserved epitopes of pre-existing neutralizing antibodies (CePn) were delivered using Helicobacter pylori ferritin to generate nanoparticle vaccines that protect mice against the Delta, WIV04, and Omicron variants (40). Ferritin nanoparticle vaccines that present the RBD of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 simultaneously elicited Th1-biased immune responses in mice, providing protection against all three types of  $\beta$ -coronaviruses (41).

## 2.3 Engineering self-assembling protein

The naturally occurring self-assembling proteins described above are generally chosen as the platform for antigen delivery, however, the number of naturally occurring self-assembling proteins is rather limited (8). With advances in bioengineering, it is currently possible to artificially modify the size of the nanoparticles, the spacing, and the number of antigens presented to further optimize the next generation of vaccines (8). Computationally constructed I53-50 and mi3 (the variant of I3-01) self-assembled nanoparticles have been successfully applied in SARS-CoV-2 vaccine design (42, 43). The mi3 is a dodecahedral one-component nanocage that can deliver 60 monomers on the surface (44) (Figure 1D). Construction of nanoparticle vaccine based on SpyTag/SpyCatcher technology for delivery of RBD on SpyCatcher003-mi3 platform induces stronger immune responses in mice and pigs compared to human recovered serum (45). Patel et al. developed an intranasal nanoparticle vaccine with modified the I3-01 protein into nanoparticles with a flexible SpyCatcher system to display the RBD. On day 5 after infection, the number of virus particles in golden Syrian hamsters vaccinated with the nanoparticle vaccine was 10 times lower than in those vaccinated with the monomer vaccine. Nanoparticle vaccine cleared viral particles from the respiratory tract much faster than the monomer vaccine (46). I53-50 is an icosahedral symmetric protein oligomer composed of 20 trimers (I53-50A) and 12 pentamers (I53-50B) (34, 43) (Figure 1E). The RBDs were linked to I53-50A by genetic fusion, and RBD-I53-50A and I53-50B were expressed independently and then mixed and assembled into nanoparticle vaccine (47). The vaccine induced a 10-fold higher neutralizing activity in mice at lower doses than the pre-fused stable S protein and a robust generating center (GC) B-cell response, favoring a durable humoral response (47). The  $\Delta$ N1-SpyCatcher system was designed to express SARS-CoV-2 RBD on ferritin, mi3, and I53-50 NPs to construct nanoparticle vaccines, and the sera obtained after immunization of mice with the vaccines showed 8 to 120 times higher neutralizing activity against pseudoviruses and real viruses than sera from mice immunized with monomeric RBD (48). Three different sizes of nanoparticle vaccines were constructed by linking WT S1 to ferritin, mi3, and I50-53 via SpyTag/ SpyCatcher system (49). Among them, the I50-53 nanoparticle vaccine induced the highest neutralizing antibody titer in mice, which was 39 times higher than that of the S1 monomeric vaccine, while the mi3 nanoparticle vaccine had the lowest neutralizing potency, which was only 8 times that of the S1 monomeric vaccine (49). The number of antigens on the surface of the particles does not necessarily correlate positively with the neutralizing antibody titer. Overall, all three vaccines produced high levels of neutralizing antibodies against multiple variants of SARS-CoV-2, including the Omicron variant, and protection against SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (49).

## 2.4 Natural polysaccharides

Similar to the protein-based SANPs described above, natural polysaccharides can also be assembled through non-covalent interactions (50). A polysaccharide-protein conjugated nanoparticle vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 was constructed by coupling the SARS-CoV-2 recombinant RBD protein to capsular polysaccharide of streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 14 (PPS14) using a reductive amination method (51). This SANP triggers 3.7fold higher neutralizing antibodies than the monomer RBDs vaccine and induces a Th1-biased immune response in mice while inducing a balanced Th1 and Th2 immune response in rhesus monkeys (51). A new natural polysaccharide was extracted from Bletilla striatal rhizomes (PRBS), which can be used for the development of a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine (52). Compared to the RBD monomeric vaccine, the PRBS-RBD vaccine triggered 5-fold higher neutralizing antibodies in mice and promoted phagocytosis of phagocytes and antigen presentation by B cells (52).

# 3 Nucleic acid-encoded nanoparticle vaccine platforms assembled *in vivo*

The production process of SANPs vaccines is mainly prepared *in vitro*, using protein expression systems and protein purification techniques, which can be costly. The incorporation of components like SpyTag/SpyCatcher necessitates even more expensive purification methods, such as size exclusion chromatography. In the event of an outbreak, these cumbersome preparation steps and

high costs may hinder the rapid development and scaling of vaccines. Therefore, the *in vivo* assembly of self-assembled nanoparticle vaccines could represent a new paradigm in this field (53).

DNA and RNA vaccines are two approaches that enable in vivo self-assembly. Antigens encoded in DNA or RNA can enter host cells through methods like electroporation, jet delivery, or encapsulation in nanoparticles. Once inside, nanoparticle proteins are expressed and successfully assembled in vivo. For instance, Liu et al. designed a DNA plasmid encoding a Spike-HBsAg fusion protein, which was delivered to mice via intramuscular injection combined with electroporation. 2 µg DNA vaccine provided protection for over 7 months and exhibited higher neutralization activity compared to equal doses of soluble protein (54). Similarly, Konrath et al. discovered that DNA vaccines encoding nanoparticle proteins maintained high immunogenicity; a single dose of just 1 µg of a DNA-launched RBD g5.1 120-mer nanovaccine was sufficient to protect mice from SARS-CoV-2 challenges (55). Sun et al. prepared mRNA encoding RBD-ferritin nanoparticles, encapsulated in LNP, to induce a Th1biased immune response in mice (56). These LNPs can simultaneously encapsulate three mRNAs corresponding to different mutant strains (WT, Alpha, Beta) of RBD, resulting in a trivalent vaccine that generates broad-spectrum neutralizing titers against a variety of pseudovirus (56).

# 4 Developing broad-spectrum vaccines with nanoparticle platforms

The RBD in S is a hot region of constant mutation and vaccines made using the WT S have shown varying degrees of reduced protection against multiple variants such as Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Delta, Lambda, and Omicron (38, 49). Thus, one of the biggest hurdles for a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine is the development of a universal vaccine that can overcome the viral immune escape and maintain neutralizing efficacy against multiple variants of concern.

Cocktail nanoparticle vaccines and mosaic nanoparticle vaccines are capable of inducing a wider range of neutralizing antibodies and are commonly used to construct universal vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. Cocktail nanoparticles are combinations of three or more independently assembled nanoparticles expressing only a single type of antigen (Figure 2). For instance, the mixing of three ferritin nanoparticle vaccines linked to D614G, Beta, and Delta RBD in a 1:1:1 ratio forms a cocktail of nanoparticle vaccines (57). There were no pathological changes in the lungs and the viral RNA was almost undetectable in mice injected with this trivalent vaccine after infection with the SARS-CoV-2 variant (57). Co-injection of D614G and Beta ferritin nanoparticle vaccines as booster shots into rhesus monkeys



Design of broad-spectrum vaccines based on nanoparticle platforms. Cocktail or mosaic nanoparticle vaccines are commonly used strategies for broad-spectrum vaccine design, and Mi3 and I53-50 are mostly used for broad-spectrum vaccine design because of the high number of antigens that can be delivered on their surfaces. Mice injected with cocktail or mosaic nanoparticle vaccines developed resistance to infection with multiple SARS-CoV-2 mutant strains. In the figure, the different colored S trimers are from different strains.

effectively induced antibodies to neutralize multiple variants of interest, including D614G, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta, and increased the neutralization potency by 21-110-fold (58). Three different RBD antigens derived from WT, Beta, and Epsilon were used to construct three ferritin nanoparticle vaccines utilizing genetic fusion, respectively (59). In mice immunized with monovalent and polyvalent vaccines, respectively, the number of memory cells in the LN was higher in the mice of the polyvalent vaccine group (59). In addition, mosaic nanoparticle vaccines can bind multiple antigens on the surface of a single nanoparticle, where the nanoparticles were mostly selected from I53-50 or mi3 (Figure 2). A quadrivalent mosaic nanoparticle vaccine was constructed by co-associating S of WT, Alpha, Beta, and Gamma with I50-53, which improved the breadth of neutralizing antibodies and showed 1.6-4 times higher neutralizing antibody titers against several variants of SARS-CoV-2 (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Omicron, Lambda, Eta, and D614G) than the WT monovalent nanoparticle vaccine (60). Bivalent nanoparticle vaccines co-expressing WT and Beta S on I53-50 nanoparticles triggered 6.2, 4.7, 7.3, and 4.6-fold higher neutralizing antibody titers against Beta, Gamma, and Omicron BA.1 and BA.4/5, respectively, in rabbits than WT monovalent nanoparticle vaccines (61).

The strategy of the mosaic nanoparticle vaccine is also applicable to the development of a universal vaccine against sarbecovirus. Bivalent mosaic nanoparticle vaccines co-expressing S constructs of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 on the surface of I53-50 nanoparticles produce potent and high-level neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV, SHC014, and WIV1 (61). An 8valent mosaic mi3 nanoparticle vaccine expressing eight different zoonotic coronavirus RBDs simultaneously increased the breadth of antibody recognition of heterologous RBDs compared to homologous SARS-CoV-2 RBD nanoparticle vaccines (62). Similarly, an octavalent mosaic mi3 vaccine co-expressing SARS-CoV-2 Beta and seven animal sarbecoviruses RBDs increased targeting of conserved epitopes and induced antibodies binding mismatched viruses such as WA1, Delta, and Omicron RBDs in non-human primates, offering the possibility of preventing future sarbecoviruses -induced diseases (63). The mosaic-8 nanoparticles are currently undergoing clinical trials. However, the large-scale production of this type of vaccine is constrained by the need to produce nine components (eight different receptor-binding domains, or RBDs, along with SpyCatcher003-mi3). To address this challenge, Hills et al. have introduced an innovative solution by developing multiviral quartet nanocages. In this approach, four viral RBDs (SHC014, Rs4081, RaTG13, and SARS-CoV-2) are linked into a single peptide chain. This chain is then assembled into SpyCatcher003-mi3 using SpyTag, resulting in a nanoparticle vaccine with a branching morphology that elicits a broad immune response against sarbecoviruses (64). The development of broadspectrum vaccines utilizing mosaic nanoparticles should prioritize the spatial arrangement and proportions of heterotypic antigens. Simply increasing the number of antigens without careful consideration can lead to a reduction in neutralization potency and may trigger excessive inflammatory responses (65).

# 5 Nanoparticle vaccine activates immune cells - APCs, B cells, and T cells

Vaccine antigens primarily activate the body's adaptive immune system to fight off the invasion of foreign pathogens. The LNs are the primary site for the initiation of the adaptive immune response and therefore antigens first need to be transported from the injection site into the LN. Nanoparticles of different sizes enter the LN through different pathways after injection into the interstitium (66) (Figure 3A). Particles that are too small (<5nm) enter the capillaries because they diffuse faster than convection and vaccination is ineffective because of clearance effects (66, 67). Particles between 20 and 200 nm are effective in entering the lymphatic system (68), with medium-sized particles (20 to 50 nm) being the best size as they are more likely to be convectively governed to enter the lymphatic vessels (66). Larger particles above 50 nm slow down the rate of entry into the lymph vessels as they increase in size (66). Most protein-based SANPs and VLPs are concentrated in the 20-200 nm size range and are suitable for direct LN drainage. Particles over 200-500 nm become trapped in the interstitial space, increasing the chance of contact with APCs such as DCs in peripheral tissues and transport to lymph vessels via APCs (69).

The nanoparticles entering the lymphatic vessels reach the LN with the lymphatic fluid. The particles are distributed in the subcapsular sinus (SCS) and over time penetrate deeper into the lymphatic parenchyma such as the cortical and paracortical areas (69). The SCS is rich in macrophages and below it is the paracortical area with T cells and resident DCs as well as the cortical area with B cells (70, 71) (Figure 3B). The nanoparticles in turn trigger T and B cell responses upon contact with lymphatically resident APCs. However, the exact pathway of movement of nanoparticles deep into the LN parenchyma is not fully understood.

Deeper transfer of particles from the SCS and around the B-cell follicle to the interior of the B-cell follicle can be observed in the experiments of Manolova et al. (69). This process may be mediated by subcapsular sinus macrophages (SSM) (Figure 3C). SSM transports antigen to naive B cells, which transport it from the SCS to the follicle and the follicular dendritic cells (FDCs) in a complement receptor-dependent manner (72). FDCs endocytose antigen and present it to GC B cells, which are activated and differentiated into antibody-producing plasma cells or memory cells with the help of T cells (72). It is also possible that nanoparticles can penetrate from the lymphatic ducts deep into the T cell zone of the LN (Figure 3C). It is often thought that particles larger than 4-5 nm are excluded from the conduits (73), however, this is not absolute and cowpox and Zika particles have been shown to penetrate deeply into the parenchyma through the lymphatics (74). LN-resident DCs located near the LN conduits capture and present antigen to the T cells (74). In addition, there is a specialized population of DCs residing in the lymphatic endothelium, capable of capturing granular antigens from the lymphatic sinus (75) (Figure 3C).



paracortical region of LNs in response to low to high concentrations of CCL21, presenting the antigen to T cells to trigger an adaptive immune response. **(D)** APC presents antigens to T cells and B cells to trigger a cellular and humoral immune response respectively. DC, dendritic cells. LN, lymph nodes. SSM, subcapsular sinus macrophage. SCS, subcapsular sinus. FDC, follicular dendritic cells. APCs, antigen presenting cells.

Finally, a few particles are possibly captured by peripheral tissueresident DCs (69) (Figure 3C). Lymphatic endothelial cells secrete CCL21 chemokine, and CCRL1 can bind and remove CCL21 from the lumen of the lymphatic sinus, thus creating a gradient of high to low CCL21 concentrations from the LN parenchyma (inside the LN) to the subepithelial lymphatic sinus (LN margin), and peripheral tissue-resident DCs migrate to the paracortical layer by upregulating the chemokine receptor CCR7, which in turn presents antigen to surrounding T cells (76, 77).

Based on all these pathways, nanoparticle vaccines are exposed to various APCs and are phagocytosed into the endosome (66). CD8 +T cells recognize the antigens presented by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I molecules through the T cell receptor (TCR), which are activated in the presence of costimulatory factors and cytokines, and subsequently activated CD8 +T cells induce cytotoxicity to kill the virus-infected cells (78). CD4 helper T cells are activated by TCR recognition of peptides binding to MHC class II molecules on the surface of DCs (78). Activated CD4 helper T cells differentiate into memory T cells that play a role in secondary immunity and follicular T cells that secrete molecules such as IL-21 to aid B cell differentiation (79). B cells with the help of T cells differentiate into memory cells as well as plasma cells, which produce antibodies to bind to the virus (80) (Figure 3D).

# 6 Conclusion and perspective

Nanoparticle vaccines have great potential in vaccine development, where multiple vectors are applied, each with their own characteristics, to address the limitations of current vaccine technology. The diversity of VLP structures and their expression hosts, which are upwards of 170, make them attractive. The strong thermal and chemical stability of ferritin makes it ideal for carrying antigens. Certain modifications of proteins by computational design can further improve the stability or yield of nanoparticles. Engineering SANPs also favored the design of universal vaccines. Bacterial polysaccharides vaccines are well developed and the coupling of viral proteins to polysaccharides can prevent bacterial and viral co-infection. Nucleic acid-emitting nanovaccines offer a promising new approach that enables the *in vivo* synthesis of self-assembled proteins. This method helps to overcome the manufacturing and cost challenges typically associated with *in vitro* 

production. In future, the emergence of new viruses is unpredictable, and accelerating the development of nanoparticle vaccines could shorten the response time to unknown epidemics. Although there is no doubt that nanoparticle vaccines can improve immunogenicity, we should still work on continuous development and optimization of nanoparticle vaccines, such as improving the delivery efficiency of the carriers and optimizing the distribution and quantity of antigens. In addition, the deployment of viral vaccines still varies globally, and vaccine cost and storage are issues that need to be urgently addressed. Therefore, it is necessary to develop more stable and easier-toproduce nanoparticle vaccines. In conclusion, nanoparticle vaccines are an effective way to combat infectious viruses, and it is believed that they can be used to improve the health of all human beings in the near future.

## Author contributions

KY: Writing – original draft. YZ: Writing – review & editing. XW: Writing – review & editing. JL: Writing – review & editing. JG: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work

was supported by the COVID-19 Emergency Project of Sichuan Provincial Administration of TCM(2021XYCZ007).

## Acknowledgments

JG conceived ideas and supervised the work. KY, YZ, wrote the initial draft and made figures. Other authors reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the manuscript before submission.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Chavda VP, Ghali E, Balar PC, Chauhan SC, Tiwari N, Shukla S, et al. Protein subunit vaccines: Promising frontiers against COVID-19. *J Control Release*. (2024) 366:761–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2024.01.017

2. Li M, Wang H, Tian L, Pang Z, Yang Q, Huang T, et al. COVID-19 vaccine development: milestones, lessons and prospects. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2022) 7:146. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-00996-y

3. Kim JH, Marks F, Clemens JD. Looking beyond COVID-19 vaccine phase 3 trials. *Nat Med.* (2021) 27:205–11. doi: 10.1038/s41591-021-01230-y

4. Mahase E. Covid-19: Novavax vaccine efficacy is 86% against UK variant and 60% against South African variant. *Bmj.* (2021) 372:n296. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.n296

5. Sadoff J, Gray G, Vandebosch A, Cárdenas V, Shukarev G, Grinsztejn B, et al. Safety and efficacy of single-dose ad26.COV2.S vaccine against covid-19. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:2187–201. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2101544

6. García-Sastre A, Mena I. Novel vaccine strategies against emerging viruses. *Curr Opin Virol.* (2013) 3:210–6. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2013.02.001

7. Fausther-Bovendo H, Kobinger GP. Pre-existing immunity against Ad vectors: humoral, cellular, and innate response, what's important? *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. (2014) 10:2875–84. doi: 10.4161/hv.29594

8. Vu MN, Kelly HG, Kent SJ, Wheatley AK. Current and future nanoparticle vaccines for COVID-19. *EBioMedicine*. (2021) 74:103699. doi: 10.1016/ j.ebiom.2021.103699

9. Fang E, Liu X, Li M, Zhang Z, Song L, Zhu B, et al. Advances in COVID-19 mRNA vaccine development. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2022) 7:94. doi: 10.1038/ s41392-022-00950-y

10. Thomas SJ, Moreira ED Jr., Kitchin N, Absalon J, Gurtman A, Lockhart S, et al. Safety and Efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine through 6 Months. N Engl J Med. (2021) 385:1761–73. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2110345

11. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, Kotloff K, Frey S, Novak R, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-coV-2 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 384:403–16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035389

12. Crommelin DJA, Anchordoquy TJ, Volkin DB, Jiskoot W, Mastrobattista E. Addressing the cold reality of mRNA vaccine stability. *J Pharm Sci.* (2021) 110:997–1001. doi: 10.1016/j.xphs.2020.12.006

13. Nguyen B, Tolia NH. Protein-based antigen presentation platforms for nanoparticle vaccines. *NPJ Vaccines*. (2021) 6:70. doi: 10.1038/s41541-021-00330-7

14. Machhi J, Shahjin F, Das S, Patel M, Abdelmoaty MM, Cohen JD, et al. Nanocarrier vaccines for SARS-coV-2. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev.* (2021) 171:215–39. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2021.01.002

15. Irvine DJ, Read BJ. Shaping humoral immunity to vaccines through antigendisplaying nanoparticles. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2020) 65:1–6. doi: 10.1016/ j.coi.2020.01.007

16. Coolen AL, Lacroix C, Mercier-Gouy P, Delaune E, Monge C, Exposito JY, et al. Poly(lactic acid) nanoparticles and cell-penetrating peptide potentiate mRNA-based vaccine expression in dendritic cells triggering their activation. *Biomaterials.* (2019) 195:23–37. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.12.019

17. Vu MN, Pilkington EH, Lee WS, Tan HX, Davis TP, Truong NP, et al. Engineered ferritin nanoparticle vaccines enable rapid screening of antibody functionalization to boost immune responses. *Adv Healthc Mater.* (2023) 12: e2202595. doi: 10.1002/adhm.202202595

18. Whitesides GM, Grzybowski B. Self-assembly at all scales. Science. (2002) 295:2418-21. doi: 10.1126/science.1070821

19. Negahdaripour M, Golkar N, Hajighahramani N, Kianpour S, Nezafat N, Ghasemi Y. Harnessing self-assembled peptide nanoparticles in epitope vaccine design. *Biotechnol Adv.* (2017) 35:575–96. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2017.05.002

20. Sung HD, Kim N, Lee Y, Lee EJ. Protein-based nanoparticle vaccines for SARScoV-2. Int J Mol Sci. (2021) 22:13445. doi: 10.3390/ijms222413445

21. Fuenmayor J, Gòdia F, Cervera L. Production of virus-like particles for vaccines. N Biotechnol. (2017) 39:174–80. doi: 10.1016/j.nbt.2017.07.010

22. Gupta R, Arora K, Roy SS, Joseph A, Rastogi R, Arora NM, et al. Platforms, advances, and technical challenges in virus-like particles-based vaccines. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1123805. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1123805

23. Kim YI, Kim D, Yu KM, Seo HD, Lee SA, Casel MAB, et al. Development of spike receptor-binding domain nanoparticles as a vaccine candidate against SARS-coV-2 infection in ferrets. *mBio.* (2021) 12:e00230–21. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00230-21

24. Chroboczek J, Szurgot I, Szolajska E. Virus-like particles as vaccine. Acta Biochim Polonica. (2014) 61:531–9. doi: 10.18388/abp.2014\_1875

25. Peabody DS, Manifold-Wheeler B, Medford A, Jordan SK, do Carmo Caldeira J, Chackerian B. Immunogenic display of diverse peptides on virus-like particles of RNA phage MS2. *J Mol Biol.* (2008) 380:252–63. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2008.04.049

26. Chiba S, Frey SJ, Halfmann PJ, Kuroda M, Maemura T, Yang JE, et al. Multivalent nanoparticle-based vaccines protect hamsters against SARS-CoV-2 after a single immunization. *Commun Biol.* (2021) 4:597. doi: 10.1038/s42003-021-02128-8

27. Steele JFC, Peyret H, Saunders K, Castells-Graells R, Marsian J, Meshcheriakova Y, et al. Synthetic plant virology for nanobiotechnology and nanomedicine. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol.* (2017) 9:e1447. doi: 10.1002/wnan.1447

28. Royal JM, Simpson CA, McCormick AA, Phillips A, Hume S, Morton J, et al. Development of a SARS-coV-2 vaccine candidate using plant-based manufacturing and a tobacco mosaic virus-like nano-particle. *Vaccines (Basel)*. (2021) 9:1347. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9111347

29. Carignan D, Herblot S, Laliberté-Gagné M-È, Bolduc M, Duval M, Savard P, et al. Activation of innate immunity in primary human cells using a plant virus derived nanoparticle TLR7/8 agonist. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology Biol Med.* (2018) 14:2317–27. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2017.10.015

30. Olivera-Ugarte SM, Bolduc M, Laliberté-Gagné M, Blanchette LJ, Garneau C, Fillion M, et al. A nanoparticle-based COVID-19 vaccine candidate elicits broad neutralizing antibodies and protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nanomedicine*. (2022) 44:102584. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2022.102584

31. Zha L, Chang X, Zhao H, Mohsen MO, Hong L, Zhou Y, et al. Development of a vaccine against SARS-coV-2 based on the receptor-binding domain displayed on virus-like particles. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2021) 9:395. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9040395

32. Mohsen MO, Balke I, Zinkhan S, Zeltina V, Liu X, Chang X, et al. A scalable and highly immunogenic virus-like particle-based vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. *Allergy*. (2022) 77:243–57. doi: 10.1111/all.15080

33. He D, Marles-Wright J. Ferritin family proteins and their use in bionanotechnology. N Biotechnol. (2015) 32:651-7. doi: 10.1016/j.nbt.2014.12.006

34. Olshefsky A, Richardson C, Pun SH, King NP. Engineering self-assembling protein nanoparticles for therapeutic delivery. *Bioconjug Chem.* (2022) 33:2018–34. doi: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.2c00030

35. Ma X, Zou F, Yu F, Li R, Yuan Y, Zhang Y, et al. Nanoparticle vaccines based on the receptor binding domain (RBD) and heptad repeat (HR) of SARS-coV-2 elicit robust protective immune responses. *Immunity.* (2020) 53:1315–30.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.11.015

36. Joyce MG, King HAD, Elakhal-Naouar I, Ahmed A, Peachman KK, Macedo Cincotta C, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 ferritin nanoparticle vaccine elicits protective immune responses in nonhuman primates. *Sci Transl Med.* (2022) 14:eabi5735. doi: 10.1126/ scitranslmed.abi5735

37. Tai W, Chai B, Feng S, Zhuang X, Ma J, Pang M, et al. Development of a ferritinbased nanoparticle vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2022) 7:173. doi: 10.1038/s41392-022-01041-8

38. Gu M, Torres JL, Li Y, Van Ry A, Greenhouse J, Wallace S, et al. One dose of COVID-19 nanoparticle vaccine REVC-128 protects against SARS-CoV-2 challenge at two weeks post-immunization. *Emerg Microbes Infect*. (2021) 10:2016–29. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1994354

39. Ober Shepherd BL, Scott PT, Hutter JN, Lee C, McCauley MD, Guzman I, et al. SARS-CoV-2 recombinant spike ferritin nanoparticle vaccine adjuvanted with Army Liposome Formulation containing monophosphoryl lipid A and QS-21: a phase 1, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, first-in-human clinical trial. *Lancet Microbe*. (2024) 5:e581–e93. doi: 10.1016/S2666-5247(23)00410-X

40. Wu X, Li W, Rong H, Pan J, Zhang X, Hu Q, et al. A nanoparticle vaccine displaying conserved epitopes of the preexisting neutralizing antibody confers broad protection against SARS-coV-2 variants. *ACS Nano*. (2024) 18:17749–63. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.4c03075

41. Zhang Y, Sun J, Zheng J, Li S, Rao H, Dai J, et al. Mosaic RBD nanoparticles elicit protective immunity against multiple human coronaviruses in animal models. *Adv Sci* (*Weinh*). (2024) 11:e2303366. doi: 10.1002/advs.202303366

42. Bruun TUJ, Andersson AC, Draper SJ, Howarth M. Engineering a rugged nanoscaffold to enhance plug-and-display vaccination. *ACS Nano.* (2018) 12:8855–66. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.8b02805

43. Bale JB, Gonen S, Liu Y, Sheffler W, Ellis D, Thomas C, et al. Accurate design of megadalton-scale two-component icosahedral protein complexes. *Science*. (2016) 353:389–94. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf8818

44. Hsia Y, Bale JB, Gonen S, Shi D, Sheffler W, Fong KK, et al. Design of a hyperstable 60-subunit protein dodecahedron. *Nature*. (2016) 535:136–9. doi: 10.1038/ nature18010

45. Tan TK, Rijal P, Rahikainen R, Keeble AH, Schimanski L, Hussain S, et al. A COVID-19 vaccine candidate using SpyCatcher multimerization of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein receptor-binding domain induces potent neutralising antibody responses. *Nat Commun.* (2021) 12:542. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-20654-7

46. Patel DR, Minns AM, Sim DG, Field CJ, Kerr AE, Heinly TA, et al. Intranasal SARS-CoV-2 RBD decorated nanoparticle vaccine enhances viral clearance in the Syrian hamster model. *Microbiol Spectr.* (2024) 12:e0499822. doi: 10.1128/ spectrum.04998-22

47. Walls AC, Fiala B, Schäfer A, Wrenn S, Pham MN, Murphy M, et al. Elicitation of potent neutralizing antibody responses by designed protein nanoparticle vaccines for SARS-coV-2. *Cell.* (2020) 183:1367–82.e17. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.10.043

48. Kang YF, Sun C, Zhuang Z, Yuan RY, Zheng Q, Li JP, et al. Rapid development of SARS-coV-2 spike protein receptor-binding domain self-assembled nanoparticle vaccine candidates. ACS Nano. (2021) 15:2738–52. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.0c08379

49. Sun C, Yuan RY, Xie C, Sun JF, Fang XY, Hu YS, et al. Induction of broadly cross-reactive antibody responses to SARS-coV-2 variants by S1 nanoparticle vaccines. *J Virol.* (2022) 96:e0038322. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00383-22

50. Hu Y, Li Y, Xu FJ. Versatile functionalization of polysaccharides via polymer grafts: from design to biomedical applications. *Acc Chem Res.* (2017) 50:281–92. doi: 10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00477

51. Deng Y, Li J, Sun C, Chi H, Luo D, Wang R, et al. Rational development of a polysaccharide-protein-conjugated nanoparticle vaccine against SARS-coV-2 variants and streptococcus pneumoniae. *Adv Mater*. (2022) 34:e2200443. doi: 10.1002/adma.202200443

52. Chen S, Yang L, Ou X, Li JY, Zi CT, Wang H, et al. A new polysaccharide platform constructs self-adjuvant nanovaccines to enhance immune responses. *J Nanobiotechnology*. (2022) 20:320. doi: 10.1186/s12951-022-01533-3

53. Tursi NJ, Xu Z, Kulp DW, Weiner DB. Gene-encoded nanoparticle vaccine platforms for *in vivo* assembly of multimeric antigen to promote adaptive immunity. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol.* (2023) 15:e1880. doi: 10.1002/wnan.1880

54. Liu C, Wang L, Merriam JS, Shi W, Yang ES, Zhang Y, et al. Self-assembling SARS-CoV-2 spike-HBsAg nanoparticles elicit potent and durable neutralizing antibody responses via genetic delivery. *NPJ Vaccines*. (2023) 8:111. doi: 10.1038/s41541-023-00707-w

55. Konrath KM, Liaw K, Wu Y, Zhu X, Walker SN, Xu Z, et al. Nucleic acid delivery of immune-focused SARS-CoV-2 nanoparticles drives rapid and potent immunogenicity capable of single-dose protection. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 38:110318. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110318

56. Sun W, He L, Zhang H, Tian X, Bai Z, Sun L, et al. The self-assembled nanoparticle-based trimeric RBD mRNA vaccine elicits robust and durable protective immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in mice. *Signal Transduct Target Ther.* (2021) 6:340. doi: 10.1038/s41392-021-00750-w

57. Chen R, Zhang X, Yuan Y, Deng X, Wu B, Xi Z, et al. Development of receptor binding domain (RBD)-conjugated nanoparticle vaccines with broad neutralization against SARS-coV-2 delta and other variants. *Adv Sci (Weinh)*. (2022) 9:e2105378. doi: 10.1002/advs.202105378

58. Yuan Y, Zhang X, Chen R, Li Y, Wu B, Li R, et al. A bivalent nanoparticle vaccine exhibits potent cross-protection against the variants of SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 38:110256. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110256

59. Kim SA, Kim S, Kim GB, Goo J, Kim N, Lee Y, et al. A multivalent vaccine based on ferritin nanocage elicits potent protective immune responses against SARS-coV-2 mutations. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:6123. doi: 10.3390/ijms23116123

60. Kang YF, Sun C, Sun J, Xie C, Zhuang Z, Xu HQ, et al. Quadrivalent mosaic HexaPro-bearing nanoparticle vaccine protects against infection of SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Nat Commun.* (2022) 13:2674. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-30222-w

61. Brinkkemper M, Veth TS, Brouwer PJM, Turner H, Poniman M, Burger JA, et al. Co-display of diverse spike proteins on nanoparticles broadens sarbecovirus neutralizing antibody responses. *iScience*. (2022) 25:105649. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105649

62. Cohen AA, Gnanapragasam PNP, Lee YE, Hoffman PR, Ou S, Kakutani LM, et al. Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. *Science*. (2021) 371:735–41. doi: 10.1101/2020.11.17.387092

63. Cohen AA, van Doremalen N, Greaney AJ, Andersen H, Sharma A, Starr TN, et al. Mosaic RBD nanoparticles protect against challenge by diverse sarbecoviruses in animal models. *Science*. (2022) 377:eabq0839. doi: 10.1126/science.abq0839

64. Hills RA, Tan TK, Cohen AA, Keeffe JR, Keeble AH, Gnanapragasam PNP, et al. Proactive vaccination using multiviral Quartet Nanocages to elicit broad anticoronavirus responses. *Nat Nanotechnol.* (2024) 19:1216–23. doi: 10.1038/s41565-024-01655-9

65. Yang Y, Zhang J, Xu Y, Chen M, Wang S, Lin G, et al. Spatial engineering of heterotypic antigens on a DNA framework for the preparation of mosaic nanoparticle vaccines with enhanced immune activation against SARS-coV-2 variants. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* (2024) 18:e202412294. doi: 10.1002/anie.202412294

66. Irvine DJ, Swartz MA, Szeto GL. Engineering synthetic vaccines using cues from natural immunity. Nat Mater. (2013) 12:978–90. doi: 10.1038/nmat3775

67. Ding Y, Li Z, Jaklenec A, Hu Q. Vaccine delivery systems toward lymph nodes. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev.* (2021) 179:113914. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2021.113914

68. Bachmann MF, Jennings GT. Vaccine delivery: a matter of size, geometry, kinetics and molecular patterns. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2010) 10:787–96. doi: 10.1038/ nri2868

69. Manolova V, Flace A, Bauer M, Schwarz K, Saudan P, Bachmann MF. Nanoparticles target distinct dendritic cell populations according to their size. *Eur J Immunol.* (2008) 38:1404–13. doi: 10.1002/eji.200737984

70. Stein JV, FG S. Dynamic intravital imaging of cell-cell interactions in the lymph node. J Allergy Clin Immunol. (2017) 139:12–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2016.11.008

71. Cheng Z, Que H, Chen L, Sun Q, Wei X. Nanomaterial-based drug delivery system targeting lymph nodes. *Pharmaceutics.* (2022) 14:1372. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics14071372

72. Heesters BA, van der Poel CE, Das A, Carroll MC. Antigen presentation to B cells. *Trends Immunol.* (2016) 37:844–54. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2016.10.003

73. Roozendaal R, Mempel TR, Pitcher LA, Gonzalez SF, Verschoor A, Mebius RE, et al. Conduits mediate transport of low-molecular-weight antigen to lymph node follicles. *Immunity*. (2009) 30:264–76. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.12.014

74. Reynoso GV, Weisberg AS, Shannon JP, McManus DT, Shores L, Americo JL, et al. Lymph node conduits transport virions for rapid T cell activation. *Nat Immunol.* (2019) 20:602–12. doi: 10.1038/s41590-019-0342-0

75. Gerner MY, Torabi-Parizi P, Germain RN. Strategically localized dendritic cells promote rapid T cell responses to lymph-borne particulate antigens. *Immunity.* (2015) 42:172–85. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.12.024

76. Tal O, Lim HY, Gurevich I, Milo I, Shipony Z, Ng LG, et al. DC mobilization from the skin requires docking to immobilized CCL21 on lymphatic endothelium and intralymphatic crawling. *J Exp Med.* (2011) 208:2141–53. doi: 10.1084/jem.20102392

77. Ulvmar MH, Werth K, Braun A, Kelay P, Hub E, Eller K, et al. The atypical chemokine receptor CCRL1 shapes functional CCL21 gradients in lymph nodes. *Nat Immunol.* (2014) 15:623–30. doi: 10.1038/ni.2889

78. Zhou X, Jiang X, Qu M, Aninwene GE2nd, Jucaud V, Moon JJ, et al. Engineering antiviral vaccines. ACS Nano. (2020) 14:12370–89. doi: 10.1021/acsnano.0c06109

79. Crotty S. Follicular helper CD4 T cells (TFH). Annu Rev Immunol. (2011) 29:621–63. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-031210-101400

80. Morales-Hernández S, Ugidos-Damboriena N, López-Sagaseta J. Self-assembling protein nanoparticles in the design of vaccines: 2022 update. *Vaccines (Basel).* (2022) 10:1447. doi: 10.3390/vaccines10091447

81. McCormick AA, Palmer KE. Genetically engineered Tobacco mosaic virus as nanoparticle vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. (2008) 7:33-41. doi: 10.1586/14760584.7.1.33

82. Thérien A, Bédard M, Carignan D, Rioux G, Gauthier-Landry L, Laliberté-Gagné M, et al. A versatile papaya mosaic virus (PapMV) vaccine platform based on sortasemediated antigen coupling. *J Nanobiotechnol.* (2017) 15:54. doi: 10.1186/s12951-017-0289-y