Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Harry D. Dawson, United States Department of Agriculture, United States

REVIEWED BY Bin Bao, Boston Children's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Suguru Saito, Cedars Sinai Medical Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Gatikrushna Singh I gsingh@umn.edu Amar Singh Singh423@umn.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 18 February 2024 ACCEPTED 31 July 2024 PUBLISHED 21 August 2024

CITATION

Prasad S, Singh S, Menge S, Mohapatra I, Kim S, Helland L, Singh G and Singh A (2024) Gut redox and microbiome: charting the roadmap to T-cell regulation. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1387903. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1387903

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Prasad, Singh, Menge, Mohapatra, Kim, Helland, Singh and Singh. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Gut redox and microbiome: charting the roadmap to T-cell regulation

Sujata Prasad ¹, Shilpi Singh ², Samuel Menge³, Iteeshree Mohapatra ⁴, Stefan Kim², Logan Helland², Gatikrushna Singh^{2*} and Amar Singh^{3*}

¹Translational Division, MLM Labs, LLC, Oakdale, MN, United States, ²Department of Neurosurgery, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, United States, ³Department of Surgery, Schulze Diabetes Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, United States, ⁴Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, University of Minnesota, Saint Paul, MN, United States

The gastrointestinal (GI) tract redox environment, influenced by commensal microbiota and bacterial-derived metabolites, is crucial in shaping T-cell responses. Specifically, metabolites from gut microbiota (GM) exhibit robust anti-inflammatory effects, fostering the differentiation and regulation of CD8⁺ tissue-resident memory (TRM) cells, mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells, and stabilizing gut-resident Treg cells. Nitric oxide (NO), a pivotal redox mediator, emerges as a central regulator of T-cell functions and gut inflammation. NO impacts the composition of the gut microbiome, driving the differentiation of pro-inflammatory Th17 cells and exacerbating intestinal inflammation, and supports Treg expansion, showcasing its dual role in immune homeostasis. This review delves into the complex interplay between GI redox balance and GM metabolites, elucidating their profound impact on T-cell regulation. Additionally, it comprehensively emphasizes the critical role of GI redox, particularly reactive oxygen species (ROS) and NO, in shaping T-cell phenotype and functions. These insights offer valuable perspectives on disease mechanisms and potential therapeutic strategies for conditions associated with oxidative stress. Understanding the complex cross-talk between GI redox, GM metabolites, and T-cell responses provides valuable insights into potential therapeutic avenues for immune-mediated diseases, underscoring the significance of maintaining GI redox balance for optimal immune health.

KEYWORDS

redox, T-cells, gut microbiome, reactive oxygen species, short-chain fatty acid, Treg cells, TRM cells, MAIT cells

1 Redox activity dynamics in the gastrointestinal tract: from mitochondria to microbes

The exploration of "Gut redox" focuses on the intricate redox equilibrium within the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, encompassing a dynamic interplay of various reactive species and antioxidants. This equilibrium plays a pivotal role in shaping gut health by influencing the composition of the gut microbiota, affecting inflammation, regulating nutrient absorption, ensuring mucosal protection, and contributing to the overall homeostasis of the gut immune system. A disturbance in the intricate equilibrium of gut redox can lead to oxidative stress, marked by an overabundance of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS), potentially causing damage to cellular components. Although the gut is primarily anaerobic due to oxygen consumption by bacteria, certain gut cells like epithelial and immune cells can produce ROS. Immune cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils, activate NADPH oxidase (NOX) enzymes, generating superoxide radicals to combat pathogens. Epithelial cells can also produce ROS in response to inflammation, oxidative stress, or pathogen exposure. Additionally, ROS can result from dietary metabolism and interactions between gut microbes and host cells. Despite limited oxygen, ROS production in the gut plays crucial roles in both normal physiology and gastrointestinal pathologies.

The impact of ROS-associated signaling within GI tract is intricately linked to the localized concentration of ROS, leading to diverse outcomes. The various ROS, including hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , superoxide $(O2^{-})$, hydroxyl radical (OH^{+}) , and singlet oxygen, are generated through diverse intracellular pathways, with changes in oxygen partial pressure playing a significant role in creating distinct redox potentials throughout the GI tract (1, 2). Mitochondria is the primary source of ROS, generate superoxide as a byproduct of electron transport chain (ETC) activity. In this process, leaked electrons partially reduce oxygen (O_2) to produce radicals such as $O2^{+-}$ or H_2O_2 . The accumulation of intracellular H_2O_2 induces the Fenton reaction, leading to the formation of highly reactive hydroxyl radicals (OH⁺) and posing a risk of cell and tissue damage (3–5). Excessive H_2O_2 can initiate uncontrollable chain reactions, triggering inflammatory responses (3, 6, 7).

Apart from mitochondria, membrane-bound NOX are significant ROS generators, specifically crucial for immune cell functions (Figure 1). NOX1 and DUOX2, prevalent along the GI tract, produce substantial ROS quantities, essential for an oxidative burst employed by innate immune cells to eliminate phagocytized pathogens (8, 9). Additional enzymes in various cellular compartments, such as cyclooxygenases, lipoxygenases, and cytochromes P450 contribute to ROS generation (7, 10, 11). Notably, gut bacteria, including potent ROS generators like *lactobacilli*, are crucial in inducing controlled levels of ROS within human epithelial cells. *Lactobacillus* spp. likely regulate oxidative stress by influencing ROS-forming enzymes and modulating transcription factors like Nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2 (NRF-2) and NF- κ B (12). Studies in various models and clinical populations suggest that *Lactobacillus* could alleviate symptoms of conditions such as Inflammatory Bowel Disease (IBD), cancers, and liver damage by targeting inflammation and oxidative stress pathways (13). This highlights the potential therapeutic role of *Lactobacillus* in managing these health conditions.

Commensal gut bacteria can alter the intracellular redox environment, influencing essential cellular processes. Microbial signals activate NOX, inducing localized ROS production that influences redox sensor proteins in cells, thus affecting their activity (6, 12, 13).

Nitric oxide (NO) is a pivotal compound with intricate roles in the functioning of the intestinal microflora and beyond. It contributes to complex signaling processes in both eukaryotic cells and among gut microorganisms (Figure 1). The mammalian cells' ability to produce NO through the enzyme Nitric oxide synthase (NOS) underscores its significance as a critical signaling molecule in the gastrointestinal tract (14). However, the potential accumulation of NO can lead to the formation of highly damaging peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), which, in turn, induces cellular damage (15). Beyond eukaryotic cells, various commensal bacteria contribute to NO production through different pathways, such as bacterial NOS (bNOS) activity and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) (16). The generation of RNS and ROS by pathogenic bacteria contrasts with the actions of commensal gut bacteria, which play a role in reducing harmful RNS, rendering them less reactive. Unlike pathogenic bacteria that produce RNS and ROS, commensal bacteria such as Escherichia coli, Lactobacilli, and Bifidobacteria are involved in scavenging these reactive species rather than producing them. For instance, these commensal bacteria can execute denitrification, converting nitrite (NO_2) to NO and further to nitrous oxide (N2O). Enzymes like periplasmic and cytoplasmic nitrite reductases contribute to NO production through the reduction of nitrite (17). Lactic acid-producing bacteria generate NO through non-enzymatic reduction, while E. coli and Salmonella typhimurium employ biological pathways, utilizing nitrite reductase and nitrate reductase enzymes, respectively (16, 18). These mechanisms highlight the diverse strategies employed by gut commensal bacteria to mitigate the harmful effects of RNS and ROS in the GI tract.

2 Gastrointestinal redox equilibrium: the interplay with gut microbiota (GM) and metabolites

The redox balance within the GI tract, mirroring other physiological systems, is a delicate equilibrium between oxidation and reduction reactions. This balance is regulated by antioxidants and antioxidative enzymes, maintaining a controlled redox equilibrium under normal physiological conditions (5). This essential equilibrium allows for controlled oxidative signaling while preventing oxidative stress and the consequential damage to macromolecules such as proteins, lipids, and DNA. The transition from redox balance to oxidative stress depends on the context, exhibiting variations among tissues and cells due to their unique cellular backgrounds and antioxidant levels (19, 20).



The sources of ROS and RNS within the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. ROS generation within the cell occurs in diverse compartments. (A) Mitochondria generate ROS through various mechanisms involving Complexes I to III (NADH in complex I or via FADH₂ in complex II). Cytoplasmic ROS production predominantly relies on the NADPH oxidase (NOX) family proteins. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) produces H_2O_2 by transferring electrons using a flavin adenine dinucleotide cofactor to oxygen. Additionally, peroxisomes generate H_2O_2 from OO_2^{**} through enzymes like ACOX and d-amino acid oxidase. (B) Commensal microbes significantly impact vital cellular processes by regulating the redox status within the gut. Nitric oxide (NO) is produced via nitrate reduction through bNOS activity found in *Bacillus* and *Streptomyces* spp. Additionally, gut bacteria like *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* produce NO through enzymes such as nitrite reductase and nitrate reductase. Bacteria release formylated peptides recognized by formyl peptide receptors 1 and 2 (FPR1/2) on the apical surface of gut epithelial cells. These receptors activate NADPH oxidases, triggering localized ROS production to transduce microbial signals within the gut environment. (C) NADPH oxidase isoforms are localized in the GI mucosa, with NOX1 and DUOX2 predominantly found in the epithelium. Enzymatic NO generation from L-arginine by NO synthases (NOS-1, NOS-2) all of them expressed in gut endothelial and epithelial cells.

Theseantioxidants (enzymatic and non-enzymatic) play critical roles in the defense system. Glutathione (GSH) and thioredoxins are potent non-enzymatic antioxidants, scavenging reactive compounds and regulating redox-sensitive transcription factors (21, 22). Enzymatic defense involves key players like superoxide dismutases (SOD1/2/3), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and glutathione reductase (GR) (23). CAT and SODs convert reactive species like O2* and H2O2 to less harmful substances (21). On the other hand, GPx plays a vital role in the glutathione-REDOX system by converting GSH to its oxidized form GSSG, effectively reducing harmful substances such as H₂O₂ and lipid hydroperoxides. The coordinated action with glutathione disulphide reductase (GSR) ensures the recycling of oxidized glutathione, highlighting the significance of these enzymes in maintaining cellular redox balance and combating oxidative stress (21). Table 1 summarizes some common ROS, RNS, and cellular antioxidants.

The antioxidant system actively maintains the redox balance, safeguarding the GI tract from oxidative stress-induced damage (21). Maintaining a balance in the GI tract is essential for proper functioning and overall health. Alterations in both the gut GM and gut metabolites can impact the GI redox balance in diverse ways. The diverse bacterial community in the gut exerts a multifaceted role, contributing to antioxidant defense and the preservation of redox balance (Table 2). Notably, specific bacterial strains produce antioxidant enzymes, and their metabolic activities yield crucial elements like hydrogen gas (H_2) and short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs). These substances play pivotal roles in mitigating oxidative stress, fortifying the gut epithelial barrier, and modulating immune responses (55–57). The gut microbiota's

profound impact on immune system development and function, along with its ability to metabolize xenobiotics and produce mucindegrading enzymes, underscores its role in reducing oxidative stress and maintaining a protective mucus layer. Recognizing the complexity and variability of the gut microbiota among individuals is crucial, with dysbiosis potentially contributing to disruptions in redox balance, oxidative stress, and GI diseases.

Essentially, cultivating a well-balanced gut microbiota is crucial for gastrointestinal health, and this can be achieved through thoughtful dietary choices, lifestyle adjustments, and interventions like prebiotics and probiotics. Recognizing the significance of a healthy and diverse gut microbiota in sustaining a harmonious gut redox environment is fundamental for overall well-being. Through these strategies, we can support a resilient gut microbiota, reduce unnecessary inflammation, and protect against disruptions in redox balance, ultimately promoting optimal health.

3 GI redox dynamics: a key player in immune system modulation

Recent discoveries underscore the pivotal regulatory role of cellular redox status within the GI tract in shaping various aspects of immune function. This intricate influence extends to immune cell activation or inhibition, with outcomes often dictated by the concentration of redox factors. Oxidative stress, a consequence of imbalances in redox status, significantly impacts macromolecules, contributing to a spectrum of ailments such as inflammatory diseases, autoimmune disorder, aging, cancer, and neurodegeneration.

TABLE 1 Types of reactive species and cellular antioxidants.

Reactive species	Radical	Non- Radical	References
Reactive oxygen species (ROS)	Superoxide (O ₂ ^{•-}) Singlet oxide (O ₂ ^{•-}) Alkoxyl (RO.) Hydroxyl radicals (*OH) Hydroperoxyl radical (HO ₂) Peroxyl (ROO.)	Hydrogen peroxide (H ₂ O ₂) Hypocholorus acid (HOCl) Ozone (O ₃) Singlet oxygen (O ₂) Oxygen bi- radical (O ₂ .) Aldehydes (HCOR)	(23-27)
Reactive nitrogen species (RNS)	Nitric oxide (NO*) Nitrogen dioxide (NO ₂ .)	Peroxynitrite (ONOO ^{$-$}) Alkyl peroxynitrite (ROONO) Dinitrogen trioxide (N ₂ O ₃) Dinitrogen tetroxide (N ₂ O ₄) Nitrous acid (HNO ₂) Nitronium ion (NO ₂) Nitroxyl anion (NO ₂) Nitroxyl anion (NO ^{$+$}) Nitrosyl cation (NO ^{$+$}) Nitryl chloride (NO ₂ Cl)	(28-31)
Antioxidants	Type of antioxidants	Target reactive species	References
Non-enzymatic	Glutathione (GSH)	Hydrogen peroxide	(32–36)
	Vitamin C	Superoxide, hydroxyl, reactive nitrogen species	
	Vitamin E	Lipid peroxides	
	Bilirubin	Lipid peroxides	
Enzymatic	Superoxide dismutase (SOD)	Superoxide	
	Catalase (CAT)	Hydrogen peroxide	
	Glutathione peroxidase (GPx)	Hydrogen peroxide, lipid peroxides	
	Peroxiredoxins	Hydrogen peroxide	

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Reactive species	Radical	Non- Radical	References
Antioxidants	Type of antioxidants	Target reactive species	References
	Heme oxygenase	Heme	
	Ferritin	Free iron	

The unique contribution of ROS as a third signaling entity, akin to proinflammatory cytokines, is a noteworthy discovery (58). Functioning alongside these cytokines, ROS acts as a crucial third signal that enhances T-cell proliferation, thereby influencing immune outcomes. This third signaling dimension, as observed in studies (59, 60), underscores the intricate orchestration required for a robust immune response. The release of ROS and RNS, whether originating from exogenous sources such as gut bacteria or mucosa-resident cells, or endogenously from chronic stimulated T-cells, plays a critical role in maintaining a delicate balance between T-cell activation and inactivation. This balance is essential for the precise regulation of immune responses, highlighting the nuanced control required for optimal immune function (9, 61) (Figure 2).

The delicate equilibrium of redox status emerges as a critical determinant in orchestrating the complex interplay between the GI epithelial barrier and immune cells. This finely tuned redox balance significantly influences macrophage (M1 and M2) polarization, shaping the ensuing immune response. Elevated oxidative stress tends to promote a pro-inflammatory M1 phenotype, while a more reduced environment facilitates M2 polarization, fostering tissue repair and immune regulation (62). The profound impact of redox balance extends to dendritic cells (DC), neutrophils, natural killer (NK/iNKT) cells, and innate lymphoid cells (ILCs), all pivotal players in the intricate web of mucosal immunity. Redox signaling modulates their functions, impacting processes such as antigen presentation, microbial killing, cytotoxic activity, and maintenance of gut homeostasis. Moreover, the influence of redox balance reaches into the realm of adaptive immunity, shaping the characteristics and functionality of B and T-cells (63). The redox state of the GI environment intricately modulates the equilibrium between pro-inflammatory Th1 and anti-inflammatory Th2 responses. While oxidative stress favors Th1 responses, a more reduced state may promote Th2 responses (63). Additionally, gut redox profoundly influences the generation and function of regulatory T-cells (Tregs), crucial for maintaining immune tolerance (64) (Figure 2).

The unique composition of the gut microbiome, individualized for each person, plays a pivotal role in maintaining a beneficial redox balance that suppresses inflammation. Continuous immune system activation in the intestine through direct contact with the microbiota underscores the intricate balance of pro- and anti-inflammatory mechanisms influenced by these microbial communities (5, 65). Gut commensal bacteria play a role in creating an antioxidative environment, suppressing inflammation, whereas pathogenic

Gut microbiota	Gut microbiota- induced ROS formation	ROS types	Enzymes involved in ROS generation	Organelles associated with ROS	ROS- mediated effects	Antioxidants of the host system involved in ROS regulation	References
Lactobacillus Bifidobacterium strains	Induced by bacterial metabolites and antigens	H ₂ O ₂	Myeloperoxidase/ Flavoproteins	Lysosomes/ Peroxisomes	Trigger Neutrophile Promote pathogen defense Neutralize bacterial pathogens	Catalase Glutathione peroxidase Glutathione reductase	(5, 20, 37–41)
E. coli		0 ₂	NADPH oxidases Mitochondria Complex I & III	Membrane (Plasma and vesicular)/ Mitochondria	Stimulate cellular signaling, inflammation, oxidative burst and host defense	Superoxide dismutase	(5, 42–44)
E. coli Lactobacillus Bifidobacterium	Degradation of purine, bacterial metabolite, and antigens	O₂· [−] / H₂O₂	Xanthine oxidase	Peroxisomes/Cytosol	Stimulate inflammation Neutralize bacterial pathogens,	Catalase Glutathione peroxidase Glutathione reductase Superoxide dismutase	(45–50)
Lactobacilli bifidobacteria Escherichia coli, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron Clostridium difficile	Bacteria- derived lipopolysaccharide	NO	Inducible-nitric oxide synthase	Cytosol	Activate cellular signaling	Glutathione N-acetyl-L cysteine	(51–54)

TABLE 2 Gut microbiota-induced ROS formation, major source, and associated effects.



FIGURE 2

The schematic depicts key aspects of gut redox equilibrium and T-cell regulation gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The gut's redox equilibrium and T-cell regulation are influenced by various cellular sources of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). The release ROS, RNS, or H_2S , whether externally by (A) mucosa-resident cells, (B) gut bacteria, (C) pro and anti-inflammatory metabolites generated post-food digestion and bacterial fermentation, or (D) newly recruited innate immune cells (activated granulocytes and macrophages) during inflammation, or internally by chronically stimulated T-cells plays a crucial role. The gut redox is pivotal in maintaining a balance between T-cell subsets, modulating their activation and inactivation, thereby governing gut homeostasis and inflammation. The right panel shows key subsets of T-cell including tissue resident memory T-cells (TRM) and mucosal associated invariant T-cells (MAIT) with their key phenotypic identification marker and transcription factors. These T-cell subsets are profoundly influenced by gut redox dynamics.

microbiota promote inflammation and alter the redox balance toward a pro-oxidative state (66). The anaerobic conditions in the gut are essential for maintaining homeostasis by creating an environment that supports the growth of beneficial anaerobic bacteria while inhibiting the proliferation of harmful aerobic bacteria. Anaerobic bacteria are well-adapted to the low-oxygen environment of the gut and play a vital role in various physiological processes, such as digestion, nutrient absorption, and immune regulation. By fermenting dietary fibers and other complex carbohydrates, anaerobic bacteria produce SCFAs and other metabolites that help nourish the gut epithelium, modulate T-cell responses, and maintain barrier integrity. Additionally, anaerobic bacteria contribute to gut homeostasis by consuming oxygen, which helps maintain the anaerobic conditions necessary for their survival. In contrast, dysbiosis, characterized by an imbalance in the gut microbiota composition, can disrupt this anaerobic environment. Factors such as inflammation, antibiotic use, and dietary changes can lead to dysbiosis, resulting in an overgrowth of aerobic bacteria and a decrease in beneficial anaerobic bacteria. Anaerobic probiotics, such as certain strains of Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium, and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii have been shown to help restore gut homeostasis by replenishing beneficial bacteria and promoting an anaerobic environment (67, 68). These probiotics can scavenge oxygen and produce metabolites that support the growth of anaerobic bacteria while inhibiting the proliferation of harmful aerobic bacteria. By restoring microbial balance and enhancing gut barrier function, anaerobic probiotics can help prevent inflammation and maintain gut health. The ability of these species to augment and extend antigen-specific proliferative responses in T-cells emphasizes their crucial role in fine-tuning immune outcomes. Moreover, the intricate balance of redox status significantly influences the integrity of the epithelial barrier and its interactions with immune cells. The delicate interplay between redox balance and the adaptive immune system further extends to shaping T-cell characteristics, metabolic responses, and functionality, thus impacting the equilibrium between pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory responses.

4 Redox dynamics: impact on T-cell modulation

The influence of ROS on T-cells is intricate, demonstrating a dual role with both positive and negative impacts, contingent on the concentration of ROS and the specific context. Controlled ROS production is pivotal for T-cell activation and immune responses, while excessive or prolonged oxidative stress can contribute to T-cell dysfunction, thereby contributing to immune-related disorders. The maintenance of Redox equilibrium emerges as a critical factor for optimal immune system functionality, with oxidative signals playing a decisive role in initiating or concluding immune responses. The interplay between the gut microbiota and ROS holds significant importance in shaping the immune system. A balanced microbiome plays a key role in preserving a stable Redox balance, while dysbiosis disrupts this equilibrium, directly influencing various facets of T-cell function. The gut

microenvironment, impacted by oxidative stress, influences T-cell recruitment, activation, and effector functions. Moreover, ROS regulation contributes to the delicate balance of Th1/Th2 responses, with elevated ROS levels influencing cell counts and gene expression patterns (60).

4.1 Redox control at the crossroads: antigen presentation and T-cell activation

The intricate interplay between redox signaling and immune modulation is evident in the modulation of T-cell receptor (TCR) and interleukin-2 (IL-2) receptor signaling pathways by ROS. Antigenpresenting cells (APCs), including macrophages and DCs, generate ROS during phagocytosis and antigen processing, influencing the activation and differentiation of T-cells upon antigen presentation (69, 70). Costimulatory molecules on APC surfaces, such as CD80 and CD86, are modulated by ROS, impacting the adaptive immune cell activation (71). The role of NOX2-derived ROS in DCs and their influence on CD8⁺ T-cell activation highlights the complex interplay within the immune response. In the context of viral infections, toll like receptor (TLR) signaling-induced ROS production in monocytes contributes to the upregulation of inhibitory costimulatory molecules, affecting immune escape. Notably, antioxidants like N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) and polyphenols counteract these effects, emphasizing the potential therapeutic implications (72). The generation of ROS in macrophages through FcyR I/FcyR III adds an additional dimension to immune regulation, impacting humoral immune responses during liver graft rejection (73, 74).

The role of ROS in phagosomes is pivotal for pathogen elimination and optimal antigen presentation. NOX2-derived ROS play a crucial role in modulating the redox microenvironment and influencing antigenic peptide stability, ultimately enhancing T-cell activation (69). Conversely, reduced ROS levels in macrophages and DCs compromise CD8⁺ T-cell activation due to impaired antigen processing (75, 76). Furthermore, ROS can directly impact antigen structure, potentially contributing to autoimmune diseases (77). Mitochondrial ROS (mtROS) exhibit complex effects on antigen presentation (69). While increased mtROS in aged DCs hinders cross-presentation, mtROS-driven pH alkalization in plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) is crucial for cross-presentation, demonstrating contextdependent influences (78). Within pDCs, ROS also participates in reacting to damage-associated molecular pattern molecules, influencing their stimulation capacity. The synergy between NOX2derived ROS and mtROS, observed in macrophages, adds another layer of complexity to the modulation of immune responses (79, 80). Low levels of ROS serve as signaling molecules during T-cell activation, contributing to controlled immune responses. However, high levels of ROS can lead to T-cell dysfunction and activationinduced cell death, impacting the delicate balance between immune activation and tolerance (81). Chronic exposure to ROS may induce T-cells hypo-responsiveness, highlighting the potential implications for immune-related disorders (1, 2) (Figure 3). Overall, the intricate relationship between redox signaling and T-cell modulation underscores its multifaceted role in immune regulation.



cytokine production. Conversely, low ROS concentration leads to inadequate signaling, resulting in reduced activation and proliferation. Achievin optimal ROS conditions is essential for the appropriate activation of T-cells. ROS-induced oxidative stress causes cellular damage through DNA damage, protein oxidation, and lipid peroxidation. Furthermore, ROS participate in regulating the pro-inflammatory response mediated by HIF- α , NF- κ B, and Nrf2. Serving as crucial signaling molecules, ROS bridges innate and adaptive immunity, influencing cellular behaviors such as metabolism, differentiation, and apoptosis.

4.2 Redox control of T-cell bioenergetics: insights into immunometabolism

The role of ROS in T-cell biology is multifaceted, extending beyond their traditional association with oxidative stress. TCR signaling intricately orchestrates an array of cellular responses, including the activation of key transcription factors such as NFκB, NFAT1, and AP-1, ultimately leading to an upsurge in ROS production from mitochondria and NOXs (5, 63). This surge in ROS emerges as a critical player in signaling pathways essential for T-cell activation, differentiation, and proliferation demand a significant metabolic reprogramming (63, 82). The physiological functions of ROS extend to acting as intracellular messengers, influencing the activity of signaling proteins like p53, c-Jun, Fos, and NF-kB subunits (5, 83). Long-lived ROS also partakes in intercellular communication, affecting neighboring cells. Immune cell activation, intricately linked with specific metabolic pathways, involves a redox system rearrangement regulated by mammalian target of Rapamycin (mTOR), Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/ Protein kinase B (AKT), Hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF- 1α), and c-Myc (63, 84).

Distinct T-cell subsets exhibit unique metabolic demands. Effector functions and the differentiation of $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T-cells heavily rely on aerobic glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), regulated by HIF-1 α and mTOR (85). In contrast, naive and memory T-cells, as well as Tregs, preferentially utilize oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and fatty acid oxidation (FAO) to meet their modest metabolic needs (86, 87). Notable differences in fatty acid metabolism between effector and memory T-cells underscore the intricacies of T-cell metabolism (63, 88).

TCR signaling also modulates amino acid transporters, impacting glutamine catabolism and amino acid uptake crucial for T-cell survival. The observations presented here strongly suggest that ROS in the GI tract possesses the capacity to influence the metabolic programming of T-cells. This influence may play a pivotal role in shaping various aspects of T-cell function, highlighting the complex and interdependent relationship between ROS and T-cell metabolism in the GI tract (6, 89).

4.3 Redox signaling in T-cell differentiation

The regulation of T-cell differentiation, proliferation, and survival hinges on the intricate interplay between ROS and cellular antioxidant pathways. This dynamic equilibrium is orchestrated by key players such as thioredoxin (TRX) and GSH, under the control of the transcriptional regulator NRF-2 (88). Within the T-cell microenvironment, an optimal redox balance, characterized by low levels of ROS, favors Th1 and Th17 polarizations. Conversely, an excess of ROS promotes a Th2 polarized phenotype (63, 90). Compromised antioxidant defenses leading to elevated ROS levels can have detrimental consequences, impacting mitochondrial membrane polarization and jeopardizing T-cell activation and survival, as illustrated in Figure 3 (91). The chronic elevation of ROS levels poses the risk of T-cell hyperresponsiveness, exhaustion, and energy depletion (92). Safeguards against harmful ROS accumulation are provided by antioxidant defense systems, including glutathione and thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase (TRX/ TRXR) (93). TCR activation triggers GSH synthesis, influencing Tcell survival and function, while chronic nitro-oxidative stress

depletes GSH, compromising metabolic reprogramming and sustaining aerobic glycolysis.

Tregs influence effector T-cells by modulating GSH synthesis, contributing to immune homeostasis. The transcriptional regulator NRF-2 plays a crucial role in fine-tuning the redox balance to modulate T-cell immunity. Studies indicate that overexpression of NRF-2 amplify Treg proliferation, enhancing their immunosuppressive functions. NRF-2 downregulation increases sensitivity to Fasmediated apoptosis by regulating intracellular GSH levels (Figure 4). Tregs employ ROS as a signaling molecule to regulate immune responses, utilizing it to suppress other immune cells and maintain immune tolerance. The TRX system, particularly TRX-1, influences T-cell proliferation and activation, hindering stimulation and promoting Treg generation (88). Upregulated TRX-1 is essential for sustaining the survival and function of T-effector and Treg cells during chronic nitro-oxidative stress, safeguarding membrane protein thiols from oxidation. Elevated TRX-1 activity is also crucial for maintaining IL-2 production.

This intricate web of redox regulation in T-cell biology highlights the multifaceted nature of ROS in shaping immune responses and maintaining immune homeostasis (Figure 4).

4.4 Redox signaling orchestrating T-cell effector functions

The dynamic interplay of redox signaling within T-cells intricately governs their effector functions, ensuring a finely tuned response to immune challenges. The fundamental regulatory role of ROS in cytotoxicity, cytokine production, and T-cell differentiation is paramount for the effective execution of immune responses. Specifically, cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (CTLs) depend on ROS for cytotoxicity, where molecules like butyrate enhance CTL activation and the secretion of critical molecules, including IFN- γ and granzyme B. In the intestinal environment, microbiota-derived



FIGURE 4

Illustration of the interlinked association among ROS, antioxidant characteristics of gut microbiomes, and the control of transcriptional regulators, metabolic reprogramming, and T-cell activation. TCR stimulation triggers mTOR activation and a concurrent increase in ROS levels. Subsequent T-cell activation induces the expression of Gclc, facilitating the production of GSH. The regulation of ROS through GSH buffering activity, as indicated by the GSH to GSSG ratio, preserves the integrity of T-cell energy dynamics and metabolic restructuring upon antigen-induced activation. This ensures the efficient activation of mTOR and Myc, enabling the T-cell to generate a functional response. Chronic ROS stress depletes GSH, compromising mTOR, NFAT, and N-Myc function. High ROS promote Th2, inhibit NFAT5 decrease T-cell proliferation, upregulate PD-L1, trigger activation-induced cell death of T-cells via Fas/FasL pathway. Low ROS weak antigen presentation, favor Th1 and Th17 polarizations. High TRX-1 production influences, fostering the generation of Tregs from naive T-cells and reducing differentiation toward Th1 and Th17 pathways. High levels of HIF-1 α and mTOR enhance OXPHOS, pentose phosphate pathway (PPP), Fatty acid (FA) synthesis and glutaminolysis. The effector functions and differentiation of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells demand elevated OXPHOS activity. Gclc, glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic subunit is involved in OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation; FA synthesis, PPP, GSH, glutathione; GSSG, glutathione disulfide and HIF1 α , hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha.

SCFAs further shape the response of CD8⁺ T-cells. The generation of ROS by cytotoxic T-cells is indispensable during immune responses for targeting infected or transformed cells. Crucially, mitochondrial ROS emerges as a modulator of T-cell activation, influencing the secretion of vital cytokines such as IL-2 and IL-4. NOX-2 derived ROS play a pivotal role in enhancing IFN-y production through intricate mechanisms involving the activation of signaling pathways, including c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT-1), NF-KB, and T-bet. Additionally, mitochondrial ROS exerts regulatory control over the differentiation of specific T-cell subsets, notably Th17 cells and Th1 cells. Low levels of ROS contribute to the induction of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, playing a role in the immunoregulatory functions of Tregs. The NOX/ROS system emerges as a critical upstream component in signaling pathways crucial for the development of cytotoxic CD8⁺ T-cells. In summary, redox signaling stands out as a central orchestrator, shaping T-cell effector functions and influencing cytotoxicity, cytokine production, and the differentiation of T-cell subsets in response to diverse immune challenges (Table 3).

5 GM-mediated redox homeostasis: direct effects on T-cells

The dynamic interplay between the gut microbiome and redox equilibrium within the GI tract constitutes a multifaceted regulatory

FABLE 3 List of oxida	nts and antioxidants	affecting T-cell f	unctions.
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------	-----------

Redox mechanism	T-cell function	References
Reactive oxygen species (ROS)	Antigen presentation, T-cell activation, Regulate Th1/Th2 balance, Favor Th2 polarization, Dysregulate T- cell homeostasis	(60, 69, 70, 90, 92, 94)
Glutathione (GSH)	Reducing oxidative stress, Maintaining T-cell redox balance, Suppresses Th17 differentiation, Encourages the production of Tregs	(7, 95–97)
S-S- Transferase (GST)	Involved in detoxification, modulates intracellular oxidative stress, Protecting T-cells	(3, 98)
Glutamate- cysteine ligase catalytic (GLLC)	Glutathione biosynthesis, Involved in cellular antioxidant defense mechanism, Increased glutathione levels, Support T- cell survival and proliferation	(99–101)
Thioredoxin (TRX)	Serve as an antioxidant protein, Protecting T-cells from oxidative damage, Induces IL-2R expression on T- cells, Overexpression blocks NF- κ B activation by H ₂ O ₂	(22, 102)
N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) and apigenin	Act as Antioxidant, inhibit antigen presentation and PD-L1 expression by reducing ROS levels	(72)
Vitamins (C and E)	Reducing oxidative stress, Improve T-cell health and function.	(60, 103, 104)

system influencing host immune responses. This intricate relationship is characterized by a delicate balance between ROS generation and antioxidant activities orchestrated by the microbiota (63). Commensal and pathogenic bacteria play pivotal roles in modulating ROS production, impacting mitochondrial function, and activating NADPH oxidases. Moreover, the microbiome exerts direct control over T-cell activity by regulating nutrient utilization, influencing host metabolism, and modulating the production of essential vitamins and enzymes.

Diverse metabolites generated by the microbiota, such as SCFAs, ethanol, lactate, phenols, and succinate, along with the transformation of bile acids, contribute to the regulation of redox homeostasis in the gut (105). This microbial influence extends beyond metabolic pathways, encompassing degradation of proteins and carbohydrates. However, the dynamic roles of the gut microbiota are not limited to metabolic processes; they extend to vital immune regulatory functions. The microbiome efficiently limits the growth of harmful bacteria in the GI tract, sustaining a harmonized immune environment (5, 84).

The involvement of pathogenic bacteria, such as E. coli and Salmonella, introduces the complexity of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) generation during the degradation of sulfur-containing amino acids. Excessive H₂S levels impair colonic epithelial cell functions, resulting in epithelial damage, disruption of the mucus barrier, and interference with SCFAs metabolism (106). On the contrary, commensal bacterial communities, particularly probiotics, emerge as key contributors to redox homeostasis (5, 107). Probiotics exhibit antioxidative properties through the production of antioxidizes, such as SOD and CAT, and the generation of antioxidant metabolites like folate and GSH (108, 109). These beneficial microorganisms also induce antioxidative capacities in host cells, while simultaneously dampening the activities of ROS-producing enzymes. These host gut health supporting include lactic acid bacilli, E. coli Nissle 1917, Saccharomyces boulardii, and others (108, 110). The impact of the gut microbiota extends to immune cell function and differentiation, influencing the development of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells. Recent studies highlight the microbiome's role as an antigen, shaping microbiota-specific T-cell development in the thymus (101, 111). The differentiation of T-cells into effector Th1, Th2, Th17, regulatory Treg cells, and cytotoxic CD8⁺ T-cells is modulated by distinct commensal microbiota, contributing to the maintenance of host immune balance (Figure 5).

5.1 Impact on CD8⁺ T-cell regulation

CD8⁺ T-cells emerge as pivotal players in the immune defense against intracellular pathogens, underscoring their significance in combatting viral and bacterial infections. Their multifaceted role extends beyond infectious diseases, encompassing the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. The modulation of CD8⁺ T-cell phenotype and function by gastrointestinal commensals adds another layer of complexity to our understanding of immune regulation. Notably, certain probiotic species, such *as Mobilicoccus massiliensis* and *Bifidobacterium*-derived pentanoate, demonstrate the ability to activate CD8⁺ T-cells, enhancing anti-tumor responses



FIGURE 5

Illustration of direct and indirect impact of the gut microbiome on the redox status of the intestine in normal physiological conditions. Pathogenic bacteria like *E. coli* and *Salmonella* produce H_2S , causing damage to epithelial cells by blocking complex IV of the electron transport chain and hindering SCFA metabolism. Conversely, probiotic commensals generate antioxidant metabolites (folate and GSH) and antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT), as well as DUOX and NADPH oxidases, to reduce oxidized molecules and mitigate ROS. These actions are facilitated by MAPK, PKC, and NRF2 signaling pathways. Microbial byproducts, particularly butyrate and propionate, impact CD8⁺ T-cell function. Lactobacillus species promote Th1 cells and inhibit Th2 cells. Commensal A4 inhibits Th2 cells by enhancing TGF- β -secreting APC. *Klebsiella*-induced Th1 cell differentiation occurs via Batf3-dependent dendritic cells and IL-18 signaling. Gram-positive bacteria, such as segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), play a crucial role in inducing Th17 cell differentiation. *Bacteroides fragilis* and *Lactobacillus* species promote the development of IL-10-secreting Treg cells by producing polysaccharides. Probiotics contribute to restoring the equilibrium of immune responses regulated by Th17 and Treg cells.

(101, 112). Commensals like B. fragilis, B. thetaiotaomicron, and Burkholderiales contribute to the effectiveness of cancer immunotherapy, as illustrated by Vétizou et al. (113). The influence of microbial byproducts, specifically butyrate, and propionate, on CD8⁺ T-cell function highlights the intricate balance maintained by the gut microbiota. The dichotomy observed in the effects of butyrate, wherein it can both inhibit and enhance CD8⁺ T-cell activity, exemplifies the nuanced relationship between microbial metabolites and immune responses. Moreover, specific bacterial strain-derived metabolites, such as mevalonate, dimethylglycine, and SCFAs, demonstrate the capacity to systemically activate CD8⁺ T-cells (101). These findings collectively shed light on the intricate interplay between CD8⁺ T-cells and various microbial factors, showcasing their paramount importance in cancer, autoimmunity, and gut health (114, 115). Understanding these interactions provides valuable insights into inflammatory responses and immunopathology, offering potential avenues for therapeutic interventions in diverse clinical contexts. The dynamic relationship between CD8⁺ T-cells and the microbiome underscores the need for further research to unravel the complexities of this interdependent network and harness its therapeutic potential.

5.2 Direct effect on CD4⁺ T-cell regulation

5.2.1 Th1 and Th2 cells

The gut microbiota profoundly influences CD4⁺ T-cell behavior, modulating subsets like Th1, Th2, Th17, and Treg cells. Klebsiella genera trigger Th1 responses by stimulating APCs to secrete IFN- γ and TNF- α , promoting Th1 cell proliferation in GF mice (116). This process involves Batf3-dependent dendritic cells and TLR signaling with IL-18 (116). Klebsiella dominance during dysbiosis may induce Th1 cell differentiation, contributing to gut inflammation observed in IBD patients (116, 117). Conversely, Lactobacillus strains like L. plantarum and L. salivarius can influence Th1 cell activity, enhancing TNF- α and IFN- γ production (118, 119). Lactobacillus isolated from fermented foods also boosts TNF- α secretion via macrophage activation while reducing IL-4 levels (120). Lactobacillus strains and B. fragilis were identified as inhibitors of Th2 activity while promoting Th1 activity (118, 119, 121). Th2 cells, characterized by their secretion of interleukins IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13, play a crucial role in humoral immunity, defense against helminth infections, and contribute to chronic inflammatory diseases. The intricate interplay

between Th2 cells and the microbiota further underscores the complexity of immune regulation. *Lactobacillus* strains and *B. fragilis* have been identified as modulators of Th2 activity, positively influencing Th1 responses (118, 119, 121) (Figure 5). This bidirectional regulation sheds light on the dynamic nature of the host-microbiota interaction. The influence of the microbiota on Th2 activity becomes particularly evident in the context of IBD, as demonstrated in SAMP1/YitFc mouse models of Crohn's disease-like ileitis (122). Commensal bacteria induced Th2 responses during the chronic phase exacerbate symptoms, emphasizing the pivotal role of microbial factors in shaping the immune landscape in chronic inflammatory conditions.

5.2.2 Direct modulation of Th17

Furthermore, commensal bacteria prove to be essential in balancing pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine production by Th17 and Treg cells. The IL-17-producing Th17 cell subset represents a highly proinflammatory component of CD4⁺ T-cells, implicated in tissue damage and recognized as a key player in the pathogenesis of various inflammatory diseases (123). The influence of the gut microbiome on Th17 cell differentiation and function is a critical aspect observed in autoimmune conditions such as multiple sclerosis (MS), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), and IBD (101, 124). Grampositive bacteria, particularly segmented filamentous bacteria (SFB), emerge as crucial contributors to Th17 cell induction. The differentiation of Th17 cells is intricately linked to the activation of lamina propria cells by adenosine triphosphate (ATP) derived from SFB or other commensal bacteria (116, 125). Noteworthy examples include 'Candidatus Arthromitus' (SFB) and Prevotella, both grampositive bacteria, stimulating Th17 cell differentiation and promoting the secretion of IL-17 and IL-22 in the mouse colon. The specificity of certain bacteria in Th17 cell differentiation is highlighted by studies utilizing a gram-positive bacterial antibiotic, vancomycin. Administration of vancomycin to specific pathogen-free (SPF) mice results in a reduction of Th17 cells in the small intestine, emphasizing the targeted impact of particular bacterial strains on this T-cell subset (126). These findings underscore the intricate relationship between the gut microbiome and Th17 cells, shedding light on the role of specific bacteria in shaping the proinflammatory response associated with Th17 cells (127). The modulation of Th17 cell activity by the gut microbiome presents potential therapeutic avenues for managing inflammatory diseases, emphasizing the importance of understanding, and targeting the microbiome-immune axis in the development of novel treatment strategies. As research in this field continues, unraveling the precise mechanisms governing Th17 cell modulation by the microbiota holds promise for advancing our understanding of autoimmune diseases and informing future therapeutic interventions.

5.2.3 Direct modulation of Treg

Foxp3⁺ Treg cells act as crucial regulators, preventing unwarranted immune responses against dietary antigens and commensal bacteria. Their deficiency has been implicated in dysbiosis, emphasizing their significance in shaping, and preserving a healthy gut microbiota. The Treg-directed establishment of a balanced gut microbiota appears to involve various mechanisms. Foxp3⁺ Treg cells, under certain conditions, differentiate into Tfollicular helper (Tfh) cells, which contribute to the production of bacteria-specific IgA in Peyer's patches (128). This process aids in microbiota diversification and further emphasizes the intricate relationship between the immune system and the gut microbiota The symbiotic association between Foxp3⁺ Treg cells and commensal gut microbes is exemplified by specific microorganisms, such as Bacteroides fragilis, Bifidobacterium, and various Lactobacillus strains (101). These microbes have been shown to induce and activate Treg cells, demonstrating a mutualistic relationship. B. fragilis, for instance, stimulates Treg cell populations through the production of polysaccharides (129) (Figure 5). Similarly, Bifidobacterium modulates Treg cells and alleviates inflammation by influencing Th1, Th2, and Th17 cells. The beneficial effects of Lactobacillus strains, such as L. casei, L. reuteri, L. acidophilus strain L-92, and L. murinus, in promoting Foxp3⁺ expression and IL-10 production highlight the diverse impact of commensal bacteria on Treg cell development and function (127, 130, 131). The positive correlation between certain bacterial strains and autoimmune diseases, such as the decreased presence of Clostridia strains in rheumatoid arthritis and the beneficial effects of B. fragilis in IBD, suggests that the colonization of intestinal Treg cells is associated with specific bacteria and may have implications for immune-related disorders (101). While the exact mechanisms of Treg cell differentiation by specific commensal bacteria remain unclear, the evidence presented underscores the critical role of Foxp3⁺ Treg cells in maintaining immune balance within the gut.

Understanding the complex interplay between CD4⁺ helper and regulatory T-cells and the microbiota provides insights into immune responses in health and disease. Restoring Th17 and Treg cell balance through specific bacteria offers a secondary defense against excessive ROS production in the epithelium. This highlights the broader impact of the microbiota on immune homeostasis and suggests potential therapeutic interventions targeting the microbiome-immune axis. These findings pave the way for developing strategies to modulate the gut microbiota, promoting immune tolerance, and mitigating autoimmune and inflammatory conditions.

6 GM-mediated redox homeostasis: indirect effects on T-cells

In addition to directly affecting the production of ROS, antioxidants, and RNS, bacteria employ various strategies to indirectly regulate the redox state and influencing T-cell homeostasis. Metabolites such as formyl-peptides, SCFAs, including butyrate, propionate, and acetate derived from gut microbial fermentation, impact the redox balance. SCFAs play a crucial role in the regulation of T-cell differentiation and function (132). These microbial metabolites impact the activation and function of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cells through mechanisms involving epigenetic modifications and immune cell signaling. CD4⁺ T-cells, enriched in intestinal tissue, play a key role in maintaining homeostatic responses. Germ-free animal

studies indicate an imbalance between Th1 and Th2 immune responses, favoring a Th2 type of immune response. The metabolic reprogramming of naïve CD4⁺ T-cells leads to the development of unique subsets, such as Th17 and Treg cells, which play a role in managing pathologies that can harm the host (133). Formylated peptides derived from commensals bind to G protein receptors (GPR) on immune cells, including macrophages, neutrophils, and epithelial cells, triggering inflammatory processes and increasing ROS generation in the gut through the activation of NADPH oxidases (5, 134) (Figure 6). Additionally, certain bacteria like *Lactobacilli*, *Bifidobacteria, Streptococcus*, and *Bacilli* have the capability to synthesize NO (17, 135). These SCFAs play diverse roles in T-cell regulation in addition to serving as an energy source for epithelial cells influencing neuronal development and various physiological functions of organs through systemic circulation (5).

The regulatory actions of SCFAs rely on specific receptors expressed in various cell types. Research indicates that free fatty acid receptors (FFARs) play a role in regulating metabolic energy and influencing the immune system (136). Butyrate's interaction with the FFAR receptor modifies the AMP/ATP ratio, activating

AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK). This activated AMPK facilitates the translocation of Nrf-2 into the nucleus. Nrf-2, a pivotal transcription factor, controls over 200 genes involved in cellular antioxidant defense (137) (Figure 6). In the nucleus, Nrf-2 binds with antioxidant response element (ARE) to activate the antioxidant defense system. Moreover, SCFAs activate FFARs, initiating the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway, leading to proliferation (136). Additionally, inhibiting Histone deacetylases (HDACs) enhances the synthesis of Nrf-2, thus activating oxidative defense mechanisms. Among the SCFAs, butyrate stands out as the most potent inhibitor of HDAC activities. Mechanistically, butyrate hinders the recruitment of HDACs to the promoter by transcription factors specificity protein 1 and 3 (Sp1/Sp3), resulting in histone hyperacetylation (138). Through HDAC inhibition, butyrate plays a pivotal role in suppressing cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis in T-cells. It also contributes to the downregulation of proinflammatory effectors in lamina propria macrophages and regulates cytokine expression in T-cells (139). Collectively, these studies highlight epigenetic regulation, involving HDACs and/or Nrf-2 nuclear translocation, as the main reported mechanisms of



FIGURE 6

The schematic illustration of the mechanisms underlying the direct regulation of T-cells by microbial metabolites. Formyl peptides trigger the activation of G protein receptors (GPR) on immune cells, leading to ROS generation in the gut. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) bind to free fatty acid receptors (FFARs), activating the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway and enhancing proliferation. The stimulation of the FFAR receptor by butyrate alters the AMP/ATP ratio, activating AMPK, which subsequently induces the translocation of Nrf-2 into the nucleus. Inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDACs) increases Nrf-2 synthesis, leading to Treg generation. In the nucleus, Nrf-2 binds to the antioxidant response element (ARE), activating the antioxidant defense system. SCFA, short- chain fatty acid; FFAR, free fatty acid receptor; GPR109A, G-protein-coupled receptor; H3K9ac, histone H3 acetylated in lysine 9; RAS, rat sarcoma virus protein; RAF, rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma protein; MEK, mitogen activated kinase; ERK, extracellular signal regulated kinase; AMPK, AMP-activated protein kinase; HDAC, histone deacetylase; Nrf-2, Nuclear Factor Erythroid 2-related Factor 2.

12

action for butyrate. By strengthening antioxidant defenses, SCFAs mitigate ROS mediated mitochondrial damage and enhance mitochondrial function. This protective action not only safeguards mitochondrial metabolism but also ensures a more efficient energy supply to the cells.

Butyrate and propionate have been reported to increase colonic FoxP3⁺ Tregs, potentially through their HDAC inhibitor activity (140-142). Clostridia induce colonic peripherally induce Treg (pTreg) cells through butyrate production, and their oral administration to mice imparts resistance to colitis and allergic diarrhea (143-145). Additionally, all major SCFAs, including acetate, propionate, and butyrate, enhance the generation of effector T-cells (Th17 and Th1) as well as IL-10⁺ CD4⁺ T-cells in both in vitro and in vivo settings (146, 147). In a mouse model study, SCFAs, specifically butyrate produced during starch fermentation by commensal microorganisms, were found to facilitate the extrathymic generation of Treg cells. The increased Treg-cell numbers induced by butyrate were attributed to the potentiation of extrathymic differentiation, dependent on the intronic enhancer CNS1 (141). Experimental studies have shown that butyrate enhances extrathymic differentiation of Treg cells. These studies utilized Specific Pathogen-Free (SPF) mice lacking an intronic Foxp3 enhancer CNS1, resulting in selective impairment of extrathymic Treg cell differentiation while maintaining intact thymic differentiation. Findings of this study revealed that butyrate was unable to restore impaired Foxp3 induction in naïve CD4⁺ T cells lacking CNS1. Additionally, extracts of feces from SPF mice facilitated Foxp3 induction when peripheral naïve CD4+ T cells were stimulated with CD3 antibody in the presence of DCs, IL-2, and TGF-β. Furthermore, in vitro experiments demonstrated that butyrate treatment increased the number of Foxp3⁺ cells in DC-free cultures of purified naïve CD4⁺ T cells stimulated by CD3 and CD28 antibody-coated beads and TGFβ. This effect was likely attributed to enhanced Foxp3 protein acetylation induced by butyrate, a known histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor (148, 149). Another SCFA, propionate, with HDAC inhibitory activity, also enhanced de novo Treg-cell generation in the periphery (141). In contrast, acetate, which lacks HDAC-inhibitory activity, did not demonstrate a similar effect (141). These observations underscore the significance of bacterial metabolites in facilitating communication between the commensal microbiota and the immune system, thereby impacting the equilibrium between proand anti-inflammatory mechanisms. Commensal microbe-derived butyrate has been shown to induce the differentiation of colonic regulatory T-cells and inhibit IL-17, generating Tregs to alleviate colorectal colitis in rats (149, 150). Additionally, bile acid metabolites from gut microbes promote Treg cell differentiation through the generation of mitochondrial ROS (151).

Bile acid metabolites derived from gut bacteria exhibit suppressive effects on Th17 cells and promote the activation of CXCR5⁺ CD4⁺ T follicular helper (Tfh) cells in Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum Disorder recurrence (152). Furthermore, bile acid metabolites contribute to the differentiation of Th17 and Treg cells (151). Hang et al. recently identified two derivatives of lithocholic acid (LCA), 3-oxoLCA and isoalloLCA, as T-cell regulators (Figure 6). Simultaneous administration of these derivatives decreased Th17 cell differentiation and elevated Treg cell expression in the ileum. The suppressive impact of 3-oxoLCA on Th17 cells was facilitated by RORyt inhibition, whereas isoalloLCA boosted Treg cells by increasing mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production and enhancing FOXP3⁺ expression through the intronic enhancer conserved noncoding sequence (CNS). Additionally, 3-OxoLCA strengthened the activity of isoalloLCA on Treg cells (151). This data unveils a novel mechanism through which bile acid metabolites directly modulate the balance between Th17 and Treg cells, influencing host immune responses. The primary gut microbiota species responsible for converting lithocholic acid (LCA) to 3-oxoLCA and isoLCA include Bifidobacterium, Enterocloster, Adlercreutzia, Clostridium, Collinsella, Eggerthella, Gordonibacter, Mediterraneibacte, Monoglobus, Peptoniphilus, Phocea, and Raoultibacter (153). Overall, SCFAs significantly impact regulatory T-cells and effector T-cells based on the immunological context, with intestinal T-cells being a major target due to elevated SCFAs levels in colonic tissues and gut-associated lymphoid tissues.

7 TRM cell regulation

The GI tract, being the largest mucosal surface, faces threats from pathogens. It boasts various defense mechanisms against pathogen invasion and tumor formation, including a network of innate and adaptive immune cells. This immune response is crucial as the GI tract interacts with the gut microbiome and absorbs nutrients. Immunological memory, particularly in naïve CD8⁺ T-cells, plays a pivotal role. Upon infection, these cells rapidly expand to mount an effective defense, ensuring long-lasting immunity. Generation of memory T-cells are a critical component of the adaptive immune system that provides long-lasting immunity against previously encountered pathogens. These memory T-cells can be broadly categorized into three primary populations: central memory T-cells (TCM), effector memory T-cells (TEM), and tissue-resident memory T-cells (TRM), distinguished by their location, function, and migratory properties (154, 155). TRM cells are an integral part of the immune system's defense strategy, persisting in various locations throughout the body demonstrating their versatility in immune surveillance and response. While they are prominently found in barrier tissues like the GI tract, skin, and lungs, they also reside in non-barrier tissues such as brain (156-158). The GI tract is constantly exposed to various commensals, pathogenic bacteria, viruses, and other potentially toxic agents. Within this environment, the balance of redox reactions, microbiota-derived compounds, and metabolites profoundly affects various aspects of the host's physiological functions, particularly the response of TRM cells. These cells, crucial for immune defense, are in close proximity to the gut microbiota within the mucosal barrier. Environmental factors such as dietary patterns and nutritional status significantly influence the intricate relationship between the host and its gut microbiota, impacting immune responses. The signals generated from this dynamic interaction, along with the production of metabolites, and ROS likely play a pivotal role in shaping the development, maintenance, and functionality of TRM cells within the GI track.

Studies indicate the involvement of the adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK)/nicotinamide

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1387903

adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase signaling pathway in oxidative stress and inflammatory responses (159). There is growing evidence that metabolite produced by gut microbiota plays a role in regulating AMPK activity (160). SCFAs reduced the activating enzymes like NADPH oxidases within the host cells, which are responsible for ROS generation (136) (Figure 7). Accumulating evidence indicates that SCFAs mediate significant anti-inflammatory action by restricting ROS production which may prevent excessive immune response and maintaining gut epithelial barrier (161, 162). The SCFAs not only stabilize gutresident regulatory T-cells and diminish inflammatory innate immune cells but also enhance the survival and function of CD8⁺ memory T-cells (141, 163). Gut microbiota-derived SCFAs direct Tcell metabolism toward OXPHOS and Fatty Acid Oxidation (FAO), benefiting long-term survival (164). Additionally, SCFAs influence the capacity of antigen-activated CD8⁺ T-cells to differentiate into memory CD8⁺ T-cells with enhanced recall response (165). Additionally, it is well established that SCFAs acts as a modulator for cellular redox homeostasis by inducing a signaling pathways, involving Nrf-2 a master regulator of antioxidant defense (136, 137). In normal circumstances, Nrf-2 is confined within the cytoplasm by Keap1, which acts as a substrate adapter protein, aiding in the ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of Nrf-2 through the proteasome. However, under oxidative stress conditions, Nrf2 is dissociated from Keap1 due to the thiol modification of Keap1 cysteine residues. This allows Nrf-2 to translocate into the nucleus, where it forms a heterodimer with small Maf proteins and binds to the ARE in the promoter regions of target genes. This binding activates the transcription of a wide array of genes encoding detoxifying enzymes, antioxidant proteins, and other cytoprotective factors.

Bacterial pathogens like Salmonella and E. coli can generate H₂S while breaking down sulfur-containing amino acids. Elevated levels of H₂S can disrupt cellular functions, particularly in colonic epithelial cells. Increased levels of H₂S can interfere with the proper functioning of colonic epithelial cells. H₂S can interfere with the mitochondrial electron transport chain, specifically blocking complex IV. This interference can impair cellular energy production and overall cellular health. H₂S induced disruption can affect the metabolism of SCFAs, which are crucial for gut health and various metabolic processes in the body including the long-term survival of T-cells. H₂S at heightened levels can compromise the integrity of the mucus barrier in the colon (5). The activation of REDOX-sensitive transcription factors like NF-KB and AP-1 is intricately linked to oxidative signaling pathways. When triggered, these transcription factors respond to changes in the cell's redox environment. It has been implicated that extracellular cues at the time of pathogen challenge are crucial for cell differentiation into either T effector cells (Teff) or Treg cells. Treg cells primarily utilize FAO and OXPHOS as opposed to Teff that use aerobic glycolysis under proinflammatory conditions or increased ROS conditions. Enhanced Treg cell activity has been reported to promote TRM cell maintenance and development via TGF-B production. Additionally, TGF-β-activated kinase 1 (TAK1) is considered a key regulator of ROS in the intestinal epithelium. This study demonstrates that the deficiency of TAK1 led to a decrease in the expression of multiple antioxidant-responsive genes and diminished the protein levels of the pivotal antioxidant transcription factor Nrf-2. Studies using mouse



FIGURE 7

The role of GI redox homeostasis and TRM cell regulation. SCFAs exhibit potent anti-inflammatory effects and serve as modulators for the differentiation of CD8⁺ effector T-cells (Teff) into CD8 Tissue-Resident Memory (TRM) cells *via* P2RX7 signaling mediated OXPHOS. SCFAs also regulate cellular redox homeostasis by activating signaling pathways involving Nrf-2, a master regulator of antioxidant defense mechanisms (SOD, GSH, CAT, and GPx), and directly inhibiting the NADPH oxidase AMPK pathways. Additionally, SCFAs contribute to the stabilization of gut resident Treg cells, thereby promoting the generation of CD8⁺ TRM cells. Nrf-2 exerts antioxidant activity in the presence of ROS. Keap1, Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1; ARE, antioxidant response element; SOD, superoxide dismutase; GSH, glutathione; CAT, catalase; GPX, glutathione peroxidase.

models with genetic ablation of TAK1 have demonstrated its essential role in preventing oxidative tissue injury in the epidermis and intestinal epithelium. Deletion of TAK1 specifically in intestinal epithelial cells leads to a decrease in the protein levels of NRF-2 in the intestine. Furthermore, Tak1 deficiency results in the spontaneous upregulation of ROS in these tissues even without external stimulation, indicating that TAK1 plays a crucial role in regulating cellular antioxidant levels under steady-state conditions (166). Consequently, this deficiency resulted in the accumulation of ROS. Moreover, like Treg cells, the development and survival of TRM cells are majorly dependent on OXPHOS (167). When ROS production exceeds the capacity of the cell's antioxidant systems it impairs OXPHOS complex and further promotes ROS production (168, 169).

A group of transmembrane proteins widely expressed on various immune cells immune cells including CD8⁺ T-cells in the gut (170). Heiss et al. were the first to propose the involvement of eATP/P2X7R in altering intestinal T-cell immunity. P2XR7 plays an important role in purinergic signaling pathway during inflammatory responses (171). At lower ATP concentrations, P2X7R functions as ion channels for Na⁺, K⁺, or Ca2⁺. However, in high ATP environments, P2X7R can create large pores, leading to heightened permeability and triggering cell apoptosis (171). Studies also indicate that when there's a high presence of extracellular NAD⁺, P2RX7 receptors can facilitate cell death of the gut TRM cells (172). P2RX7 is known to mediate overall mitochondrial homeostasis in the event of low intermittent levels of eATP that induces cytosolic Ca2⁺ influx. Furthermore, recent research suggests that the purinergic receptor P2RX7 plays a pivotal role in the differentiation and maintenance of CD8⁺ TRM cells. Parabiosis experiments involving the conjoining of mice that had received co-adoptive transfer of WT and P2RX7^{-/-} P14 cells, followed by LCMV infection, along with non-transferred, infection-matched controls, indicate that P2RX7^{-/-} P14 cells not only exhibited deficiencies in forming TRM cells but also displayed reduced retention in the tissues. Moreover, P2RX7^{-/-} P14 cells in the small intestine demonstrated higher percentages of cell death at late memory time points. P2RX7 stimulates the expression of TGF- β receptors on effector T-cells, increasing their sensitivity to the cytokine TGF- β and facilitating their differentiation (173). TGF- β triggers a transcriptional program in tissue-infiltrating CD8⁺ Tcells, inducing characteristics akin to TRM cells (174). Additionally, P2RX7 enhances CD103 upregulation on CD8⁺ T-cells which is a known residency marker required interacts with E-cadherin and contributes in the establishment and maintenance of intestinal TRM cells (173, 175). Nonetheless, in patient with Crohn's disease over expression of P2X7R in intestinal epithelium and lamina propria were found to be linked with increased proinflammatory cytokine, increased rate of apoptosis and lower levels of IL-10 (176). Interestingly, in another study it was suggested that lamina propria Treg cells showed increased rate of apoptosis suggesting impaired immunosuppressive role of Treg cells in chronic IBD (177). Interestingly, another study suggested an increased rate of apoptosis in lamina propria Treg cells, implying a compromised immunosuppressive role in chronic IBD (177). Moreover, research indicates reduced expression levels of CD8⁺CD103⁺ tissue-resident memory cells in the inflamed mucosa of IBD patients. In contrast, CD8+KLRG-1+ T-cells, which possess enhanced proliferation and cytotoxic potential, are significantly elevated in these patients (178). These findings underscore the diverse functional profiles of TRM cells within the intestinal mucosa, influencing tissue homeostasis and immunoregulation (178). Purinergic signaling influences the functioning of antioxidant enzyme systems, thereby contributing to alterations in the cellular redox potential. The P2X7 receptor plays a role in regulating the redox potential and can serve as an inducer of H_2O_2 production, facilitated by the release of $Ca2^+$ (179). P2X7 inhibitors, such as polyphosphonamides and oxidized ATP, effectively hindered H₂O₂ production. Conversely, P2X7 agonists like BzATP stimulated the generation of ROS by activating NADPH oxidase in murine macrophages (180). These studies suggest a potential scenario in which elevated levels of P2X7 under inflammatory conditions, along with increased ROS, may play a role in establishing TRM cells. Furthermore, future investigations are essential to comprehend the role of P2X7R in situations characterized by imbalanced ROS.

TRM cell development and maintenance are associated with unique set of transcription factors such Bhlhe40, Blimp-1, Ahr, Runx3, Eomes, and Nur77. Bhlhe40 stands as a stress-responsive transcription factor pivotal in various cellular physiological reactions and responses (167, 181). TGF- β promotes induction of Bhlehe40 in alveolar macrophages and cancer cells (182, 183). Additionally, TAK1 serves as a pivotal regulator of ROS (184). TGF- β also plays a key role in TRM cell development and maintenance, it may be possible that TRM development may be modulated by TGF- β expression under appropriate redox level (167). Moreover, previous studies have reported that Bhlehe40 regulates the function of major organelle involved in the cellular oxidation process. The induction of SOD2 by Bhlehe40 indicates that Bhlehe40 selectively activates ROS scavenging enzymes. In simpler terms, Bhlhe40 plays a crucial role in reducing ROS levels through its mediation of ROS-reducing mechanisms, notably through the activation of SOD2 (185). Additional research is necessary to delve deeper into how Bhlhe40 precisely diminishes ROS levels in the gut. Collectively, a growing body of evidence implicates that redox status of the gut microenvironment influences various cellular activities such as cellular signaling, growth, differentiation, apoptosis, and metabolism. A dense population of memory cells in the human intestinal mucosa are resident in nature that display a distinct phenotype and transcriptional profile contributing to intestinal homeostasis and immunoregulation. These specialized cells generate a robust immune response against reinfection. In recent years, studies have demonstrated that TRM cells participate in the pathogenesis of IBD, other chronic inflammatory responses including intestinal cancer. Therefor TRM might be the potential target to enhance immunological protection.

8 MAIT cells regulation

Mucosal-associated invariant T (MAIT) cells are T-cells with an innate-like nature, featuring a semi-invariant T-cell antigen receptor (TCR) that recognizes microbial non-peptide antigens presented by MR1 (186). Restricted by MR1, MAIT cells recognize bacterial and

vitamin B metabolites (187, 188), also activated by cytokines independently of TCR (189). Abundant in mucosal tissues, blood, skin, and liver, constituting 2-5% of human T-cells (190). MAIT cells exhibit potent anti-microbial responses during infections, autoimmunity, and cancers (186, 191). Upon activation, they produce granzyme B, TNF-α, IFN-γ, and IL-17A, demonstrating cytotoxicity and inflammatory responses. Additionally, MAIT cells regulate gut epithelial barrier homeostasis (192). Biologically significant markers on MAIT cells encompass the Va7.2 TCR, costimulatory molecules (CD8⁺/⁻, CD4⁺/⁻, CD28), major activation markers (HLA-DR, CD69, CD39, CD38), the coinhibitory molecule LAG-3, and PD-1. Additionally, MAIT cells express various cytokine receptors (IL-12R, IL-15R, IL-18R, and IL-23R), chemokine receptors (CCR5, CCR6, CCR9, CXCR6), as well as CD161, MDR1, CD26, and the degranulation marker CD107a. The key transcription factors expressed by MAIT cells include Eomesodermin, RORyt, PLZF, and Tbet. Like unconventional NKT and y6 T-cells, MAIT cells exhibit swift cytokine responses upon activation, expressing NK-associated CD161 and NKG2D markers, typically linked to NK cells (193). This section explores the influence of ROS and RNS in the GI tract on the differential regulation, activation, and function of MAIT cells, unraveling their interactions with various immune cells in the GI tract and contemplating the prospective therapeutic applications of MAIT cells. The activation of MAIT cells occurs through TCR ligation by riboflavin intermediates presented on MR1, supported by specific cytokines or toll-like receptors (TLR), as illustrated in Figure 8. Upon activation, these cells undergo significant expansion, triggering a swift innate-like immune response and demonstrating effector functions, including the generation of anti-microbial cytotoxic products, inflammatory chemokines, and cytokines (194). The microbiota plays a crucial role in the development and activation of MAIT cells. Gut bacteria possessing the riboflavin biosynthesis pathway, such as Lactobacillus, Salmonella, E. Coli, Staphylococcus, Mycobacteria, Shigella Flexneri, and Clostridioides species, along with riboflavin-producing fungi like Aspergillus, Candida and Saccharomyces can activate MAIT cells in an MR1-dependent manner (194). Among bacterial phyla, Bacteroidetes and Proteobacteria were high stimulators, while Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were less stimulatory. Among MAIT cells Vb2⁺ MAIT cells exhibits the highest activation profiles (195).

Upon activation, MAIT cells identify bacterial antigens, including 5-OP-RU, presented by the MHC class 1b protein MR1, resulting in the release of cytokines such as TNF- α , IFN- γ , and IL-17A (101). These MAIT cells exhibit antimicrobial functions, and infections with E. coli, Salmonella typhimurium, Francisella tularensis, Mycobacteroides abscessus, and Lactococcus lactis activate and enhance MAIT cell function (101) (Figure 8). The gut relies on the presence of neutrophils to balance pathogen protection and prevent excessive inflammation. Dysregulation of neutrophil effect on MAIT cell activation (CD69, OX40) and cytokine production (TNF- α , IFN- γ) through release of H₂O₂. In an *in vitro* system, the study demonstrated that neutralizing H₂O₂ with catalase reversed neutrophil-induced suppression of MAIT cells (196). This insight highlights the intricate interplay between neutrophils and MAIT cells in gut immunity. In colon adenocarcinoma patients, MAIT cells play a significant role, being activated by various bacteria. A recent study by Li et al. (197) explores the impact of MAIT cells on antitumor immune responses in colon cancer, revealing insights into how the microbiota influences MAIT cell modulation. The research indicates an increase in $CD39^+$ MAIT cells in colorectal cancer, activated and responsive to microbial antigens in a TCR-dependent manner (197). Notably, gut bacteria associated with CRC, particularly *bacteroides* and *fusobacterium* strains, influence MAIT cell functions. *Fusobacterium nucleatum* and its culture supernatants activate MAIT cells, inducing IFN γ production, dependent on TCR engagement.

The future therapeutic potential of MAIT cells, considering their modulation by ROS, holds promise for addressing infections, autoimmune conditions, and other immune-related disorders. In summary, while specific references for the direct influence of ROS on MAIT cell differentiation are not provided due to the evolving nature of research, the existing literature supports the idea that ROS likely contribute to the regulation and function of MAIT cells in the gastrointestinal tract (191). Additional research is necessary to clarify the intricate mechanisms and potential therapeutic applications. Subsequent investigations will unveil the dynamics of vitamin B2-derived metabolites *in vivo*, both under steady-state conditions and throughout the progression of infections and wound healing processes. Enhanced comprehension of MAIT cell triggers, coupled with the creation of stable agonists, will open avenues for harnessing the clinical potential of these plentiful T-cells.

9 NO and T-cell regulation

The dysfunction of the mucosal immune response is implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation of the gastrointestinal tract such as IBD, Crohn's disease (CD), and ulcerative colitis (UC) (198). NO plays a multifaceted and crucial role in the intestine influencing smooth muscle relaxation, blood flow regulation, maintenance of epithelial barrier, microbial defense, and importantly immune regulation (15, 199). NO can be produced in eukaryotic cells by oxidation of L-arginine by NO synthase (15). Moreover, studies provide strong evidence suggesting that colonic epithelial cells serve as the primary source of NO production and NOS activity (Figure 9). This activity has been reported to be elevated in the mucosa of patients with UC (200). iNOS is essential to maintain a protective Th1/Th2 type of immune response via balanced production of proinflammatory and antiinflammatory cytokine. However, dysregulated balance of immune response is often associated with inflammatory events.

Earlier research has indicated that the disturbance in the balance of cytokines is implicated in the initiation and advancement of IBD, CD and UC (198). iNOS is essential to maintain a balanced and protective Th1 and Th2 type of immune response and production of related cytokines (201). The elevated Th1 type of immune response is involved in the pathological process of CD (202). Accumulating evidence indicates increased production of NO following exposure to a pro-inflammatory stimulus like IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6 and microbial products like lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Figure 9). The NF- κ B a key transcription factor that exerts a crucial influence in



FIGURE 8

Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of MAIT Cell Activation in the Human Gut. MAIT cells are activated by (A) GM metabolites and a riboflavin biosynthetic pathway in an MR1-dependent manner. (B) Activation of MAIT cells occurs through the release of cytokines (IL-12 and IL-18) by inflammatory cells in an MR1-independent manner. These cytokines can be produced by inflammatory macrophages and T-cells. (C) MAIT cell activation can also be induced by bacterial-derived super antigens (SAg) in a TCRβ-dependent manner and/or through a cytokine-mediated pathway. Post-cytokine storms upregulate inhibitory receptors like LAG-3, leading to anergy or exhaustion upon subsequent bacterial challenge. (D) MAIT cell activation is induced by ROS produced by activated neutrophils and (E) Tumor factors, including inflammatory cytokines and ROS, also contribute to their activation.

regulating the survival, activation and differentiation of innate immune cells and inflammatory T- cells. The activation of NF-KB is a complex process that includes its translocation into the nucleus, where it can regulate the expression of various genes involved in proinflammatory process, including iNOS. The translocation of NF- κB is enabled by the phosphorylation of its inhibitor, $I\kappa B\alpha$. The degradation of IKBa is initiated through its site-specific phosphorylation by the IKB kinase (IKK) complex (203, 204). iNOS is highly expressed upon activation of the transcription factor NF-KB in response to pro-inflammatory cytokine. Interestingly, studies also suggests that sulfate and glucuronide metabolites in the intestine and phenolic compounds such as hydroxytyrosol and Tryrosol can also inhibits NF-kB-induced iNOS gene expression by activating Nrf-2 signaling (205, 206). These metabolites and their parent compound prevent the phosphorylation of IKBa, thereby preventing the activation of NF-KB (207). Moreover, the intestinal concentrate of these phenolic compounds also acts as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent contributing to its protective role (207, 208). During mucosal inflammation, the local immune response is primarily skewed toward a Th1 type, characterized by the release of cvtokines TNF-α, IL-12, and IL-18 (209-212). Additionally, experimental murine colitis models demonstrated that neutralizing antibodies against TNF- α , IL-12, or IL-18 prevent the onset of colitis which further indicate that proinflammatory immune responses predominate in inflamed mucosa (210, 213). Transcription factor T-box expressed in T cells (Tbet), a key regulator of Th1 development via induction of IFN- γ and repression of Th2 cytokine. The upregulation of Tbet correlates with the production of IFN-y, leading to the subsequent release of IL-12 and inducing Th1mediated immunopathology in CD (212). In line with this, abnormalities in the levels of IFN- γ and IL-12 indicate a dysregulation of intestinal immunity. Higher levels of IFN- γ and IL-12 strongly correlate with high levels of NO in sera and colonic mucosa (214). IBD involve complex interactions between various cytokines and signaling pathways, contributing to chronic intestinal inflammation. Pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, and IFN-y activate NF-kB and STAT-1 and 3 pathways, leading to the upregulation of Smad-7 expression (215, 216). Elevated Smad-7



FIGURE 9

Cellular and molecular mechanisms of NO-mediated regulation of T cells. NO exerts profound effects on the composition of the gut microbiota and significantly influences various T-cell subsets. Epithelial cells and gut microbiota-produced NO promote the differentiation of inflammatory Th17 cells. Upon exposure to LPS, proinflammatory cytokines (PC: including IL-6, TNF-α, IFN-γ, IL-12, IL-17, and IL-18) secreted by Th1 or Th17 cells bind to their respective receptors, initiating NFkB activation and STAT1/3 phosphorylation, leading to NO production and regulation of Th1 and Th2 cells. High levels of NO can cause mucosal tissue damage, which may be repaired by IL-33-supporting Th2 cells. Phenolic metabolites reduce NO levels by inhibiting NFkB activation. NO also directly supports the expansion of regulatory T cells (Tregs) via the NO-p53-OX40 survivin signaling pathway. Additionally, upregulation of Smad-7 induced by STAT1 phosphorylation inhibits critical TGF-β signaling, a crucial anti-inflammatory pathway.

levels inhibit TGF- β signaling, a critical anti-inflammatory pathway, observed in IBD (217). Additionally, TGF- β production effectively suppresses experimental granulomatous colitis, highlighting its protective role (218). However, TGF- β knockout mice display increased NO production and iNOS expression, suggesting its regulatory function in dampening pro-inflammatory responses, including iNOS expression (Figure 9).

Conversely, an exaggerated Th2 immune response, characterized by anti-inflammatory cytokines dominance, may contribute to intestinal inflammation. Breaches in intestinal integrity trigger Th2 response, releasing IL-33, an alarmin that binds to ST-2 receptors on various immune cells. While IL-33 initially promotes wound healing, dysregulated IL-33/ST2 signaling is implicated in chronic intestinal inflammation (219, 220). Elevated IL-33 levels in IBD patients correlate with disease severity, and aberrant f-IL-33 isoform localization in intestinal epithelial cells further exacerbates inflammation. a substantial elevation of ST2 expression was also reported in the intestinal mucosa and sera from IBD patients (220). Furthermore, NO, a key mediator in mucosal damage and chronic inflammation, is regulated by cysteine oxidation. Cystine, a glutathione substrate, mitigates inflammation by preserving intestinal barrier integrity under oxidative stress. Overall, dysregulated cytokine signaling, particularly involving TGF-B and IL-33, along with NO-mediated oxidative stress, contribute significantly to the pathogenesis of IBD, highlighting potential therapeutic targets for intervention (221, 222) (Figure 9).

Th17 cells, characterized by the RORyt transcription factor and IL-17 cytokines, play a significant role in inflammatory diseases by contributing to NO production. Elevated levels of IL-17A in the inflamed mucosa and sera of IBD patients correlate with Th17associated cytokines like IL-6 and IL-23, as well as with increased NO production (223, 224). Enhanced phosphorylation of STAT3 (p-STAT3) in the colonic mucosa triggers RORyt expression, promoting Th17 cell development (224). The upregulation of nitric oxide synthase 2 (NOS2) and p-STAT3 levels in the gut mucosa correlates with the severity of inflammation in IBD, highlighting their role in disease progression. While NO has both cytotoxic and immunoregulatory functions, its overproduction, often supported by IFN- γ and IL-17, exacerbates chronic inflammation in IBD. Elevated NO levels in the gut mucosa stimulate the production of proinflammatory cytokines like IL-17 by Th17 cells, forming a feedback loop that perpetuates inflammation. This bidirectional interaction between NO and Th17 cells contributes to the pathogenesis and progression of inflammatory disorders in the gut.

Treg cells are predominantly found in the thymus, but evidence suggests the intestine is vital for inducible Treg (iTreg) cell differentiation (225, 226). Treg cells are present throughout the body, including peripheral tissues like the intestine. The majority of Treg cells are indeed generated in the thymus during T-cell development, however, the intestine plays a crucial role in the differentiation and maintenance of iTreg cells, which are generated locally in response to antigens encountered in the gut environment. The gut

microenvironment, with its diverse array of antigens, commensal bacteria, and metabolites, provides stimuli that promote the differentiation of naïve T-cell into iTreg cells. Metabolites derived from dietary components and microbial fermentation, as well as signaling pathways like the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) pathway, contribute to the induction of iTreg cells in the intestine (141, 148, 149). TGF- β , abundant in gut epithelium, promotes Foxp3⁺ Treg development and identified as a master regulator of intestinal microbiota and immune cell interactions (227, 228) (Figure 9). Imbalance between Tregs and Th1/Th17 cells correlates with severe intestinal inflammation (229, 230). NO, in conjunction with TCR activation, promotes the development and prolonged survival of Foxp3⁺ CD4⁺CD25⁺ Tregs from CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells via p53, IL-2, and OX40 surviving signaling pathway (231). Moreover, research indicates that NO plays a pivotal role in FoxP3 regulation. Foxp3 is required for the adequate development and function of Tregs. Interestingly, experimental studies have shown that Foxp3 deficiency leads to a lack of CD4⁺CD25^{hi} Tregs, resulting in an inability to control the expansion of effector T-cells (232, 233). NO reduces FoxP3 expression and promotes Th1 cell differentiation by modulating TGF- β signaling (234). Studies show NO diminishes Foxp3 expression in MBP-primed T cells, while inhibiting iNOS or scavenging NO enhances Foxp3 expression (235). Both IL-6 and NO modulate TGF-B signaling, impacting T-cell differentiation toward Th17 or Th1 (235). Conversely, retinoic acid in the gut suppresses NO and IL-6, favoring Foxp3⁺ Treg over Th1/Th17 cells (234). This suggests a complex interplay between NO, TGF-B, and retinoic acid in regulating T-cell differentiation. Diet-derived metabolites like retinoic acid maintains gut barrier integrity and facilitates T cell homing into the gut (236, 237). Additionally, it enhances TGF-\beta-mediated induction of Foxp3⁺ Tregs by inhibiting Th17 development (238). Thus, NO exhibits dual roles, acting both as a cytotoxic agent and as an immunoregulator. Elevated NO levels are associated with chronic gut inflammation, driven by increased expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines like IFN- γ and IL-17, along with reduced levels of the antiinflammatory cytokine TGF-B. These findings underscore the significant influence of NO on gut microbiota composition function, and T-cell regulation highlighting its potential role in shaping gut health and disease outcomes.

10 Future research directions

Future research in the field of gut redox and T-cell regulation holds significant promise for advancing our understanding of inflammatory and metabolic disorders and developing precision medicine approaches for their management. One key area for investigation is the elucidation of the complex interplay between gut redox balance, microbiota composition, and T-cell function. Understanding how alterations in gut redox homeostasis influence the composition and function of the microbiota, and in turn, impact T-cell responses, could provide insights into the pathogenesis of inflammatory and metabolic disorders. Moreover, identifying specific molecular pathways and signaling networks involved in mediating these interactions could reveal novel therapeutic targets for intervention. Challenges in this area include the need for comprehensive multi-omics approaches to capture the complexity of gut microbial communities and T-cell populations, as well as the integration of large-scale omics data with clinical phenotypes to identify predictive biomarkers of disease progression and treatment response. Cutting-edge technologies such as single-cell genomics and proteomics, coupled with advanced bioinformatic analyses, offer unprecedented opportunities to dissect the key molecular pathways underlying T-cell regulation in gut-related disorders. By leveraging these advanced tools, researchers can uncover novel molecular targets for precision medicine interventions aimed at modulating T-cell responses and restoring gut homeostasis in patients with inflammatory and metabolic disorders.

Author contributions

SP: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. SS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. SM: Writing – original draft. IM: Writing – review & editing. SK: Writing – review & editing. LH: Writing – review & editing. GS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. AS: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

Figures were created with BioRender.com.

Conflict of interest

Author SP is an employee of MLM Medical Labs, LLC.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Hildeman DA, Mitchell T, Kappler J, Marrack P. T cell apoptosis and reactive oxygen species. J Clin Invest. (2003) 111:575-81. doi: 10.1172/JCI18007

2. Yarosz EL, Chang C-H. The role of reactive oxygen species in regulating T cellmediated immunity and disease. *Immune Netw.* (2018) 18:e14. doi: 10.4110/ in.2018.18.e14

3. Murphy MP. How mitochondria produce reactive oxygen species. *Biochem J.* (2009) 417:1–13. doi: 10.1042/BJ20081386

4. Kiessling MK, Klemke CD, Kaminski MM, Galani IE, Krammer PH, Gülow K. Inhibition of constitutively activated nuclear factor-kappaB induces reactive oxygen species- and iron-dependent cell death in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Cancer Res.* (2009) 69:2365–74. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3221

5. Kunst C, Schmid S, Michalski M, Tümen D, Buttenschön J, Müller M, et al. The influence of gut microbiota on oxidative stress and the immune system. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:1388. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11051388

6. Pérez S, Taléns-Visconti R, Rius-Pérez S, Finamor I, Sastre J. Redox signaling in the gastrointestinal tract. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2017) 104:75–103. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.12.048

7. FranChina DG, Dostert C, Brenner D. Reactive oxygen species: involvement in T cell signaling and metabolism. *Trends Immunol.* (2018) 39:489–502. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2018.01.005

8. Lambeth JD, Neish AS. Nox enzymes and new thinking on reactive oxygen: a double-edged sword revisited. *Annu Rev Pathol.* (2014) 9:119-45. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pathol-012513-104651

9. Aviello G, Knaus UG. ROS in gastrointestinal inflammation: Rescue Or Sabotage? Br J Pharmacol. (2017) 174:1704–18. doi: 10.1111/bph.13428

10. Holmström KM, Finkel T. Cellular mechanisms and physiological consequences of redox-dependent signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* (2014) 15:411–21. doi: 10.1038/nrm3801

11. Belikov AV, Schraven B, Simeoni L. T cells and reactive oxygen species. J BioMed Sci. (2015) 22:85. doi: 10.1186/s12929-015-0194-3

12. Kong Y, Olejar KJ, On SLW, Chelikani V. The potential of lactobacillus spp. for modulating oxidative stress in the gastrointestinal tract. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2020) 9:610. doi: 10.3390/antiox9070610

13. Zhao T, Wang H, Liu Z, Liu Y, DeJi null, Li B, et al. Recent perspective of lactobacillus in reducing oxidative stress to prevent disease. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2023) 12:769. doi: 10.3390/antiox12030769

14. Cinelli MA, Do HT, Miley GP, Silverman RB. Inducible nitric oxide synthase: Regulation, structure, and inhibition. *Med Res Rev.* (2020) 40:158–89. doi: 10.1002/med.21599

15. Palmer RM, Rees DD, Ashton DS, Moncada S. L-arginine is the physiological precursor for the formation of nitric oxide in endothelium-dependent relaxation. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (1988) 153:1251–6. doi: 10.1016/s0006-291x(88)81362-7

16. Vermeiren J, Van de Wiele T, Verstraete W, Boeckx P, Boon N. Nitric oxide production by the human intestinal microbiota by dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium. *J BioMed Biotechnol.* (2009) 2009:284718. doi: 10.1155/2009/284718

17. Tiso M, Schechter AN. Nitrate reduction to nitrite, nitric oxide and ammonia by gut bacteria under physiological conditions. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0119712. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119712

18. Gilberthorpe NJ, Poole RK. Nitric oxide homeostasis in Salmonella typhimurium: roles of respiratory nitrate reductase and flavohemoglobin. *J Biol Chem.* (2008) 283:11146-54. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M708019200

19. Flohé L. Looking back at the early stages of redox biology. Antioxid (Basel). (2020) 9:1254. doi: 10.3390/antiox9121254

20. Singh V, Ahlawat S, Mohan H, Gill SS, Sharma KK. Balancing reactive oxygen species generation by rebooting gut microbiota. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2022) 132:4112–29. doi: 10.1111/jam.15504

21. Vona R, Pallotta L, Cappelletti M, Severi C, Matarrese P. The impact of oxidative stress in human pathology: focus on gastrointestinal disorders. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2021) 10:201. doi: 10.3390/antiox10020201

22. Oberacker T, Bajorat J, Ziola S, Schroeder A, Röth D, Kastl L, et al. Enhanced expression of thioredoxin-interacting-protein regulates oxidative DNA damage and aging. *FEBS Lett.* (2018) 592:2297–307. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13156

23. Rahman K. Studies on free radicals, antioxidants, and co-factors. *Clin Interv Aging.* (2007) 2:219–36.

24. Farnese F, Menezes-Silva P, Gusman G, Oliveira J. When bad guys become good ones: the key role of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide in the plant responses to abiotic stress. *Front Plant Sci.* (2016) 7:471. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.00471

25. Dhawan V. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: general considerations. (2014), 27–47. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-0497-6_2

26. Domej W, Oettl K, Renner W. Oxidative stress and free radicals in COPD – implications and relevance for treatment. *Int J Chronic Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* (2014) 9:1207–24. doi: 10.2147/COPD.S51226

27. Smith C, Perfetti T, King J. Indirect oxidative stress from pulmonary inflammation exceeds direct oxidative stress from chemical damage to mitochondria. *Toxicol Res Appl.* (2019) 3:239784731984284. doi: 10.1177/2397847319842845

28. Di Meo S, Reed TT, Venditti P, Victor VM. Role of ROS and RNS sources in physiological and pathological conditions. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2016) 2016:1245049. doi: 10.1155/2016/1245049

29. Phaniendra A, Jestadi DB, Periyasamy L. Free radicals: properties, sources, targets, and their implication in various diseases. *Indian J Clin Biochem*. (2015) 30:11–26. doi: 10.1007/s12291-014-0446-0

30. Weidinger A, Kozlov AV. Biological activities of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: oxidative stress versus signal transduction. *Biomolecules*. (2015) 5:472-84. doi: 10.3390/biom5020472

31. Kaludercic N, Giorgio V. The dual function of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species in bioenergetics and cell death: the role of ATP synthase. *Oxid Med Cell Longevity*. (2016) 2016:1–17. doi: 10.1155/2016/3869610

32. Irato P, Santovito G. Enzymatic and non-enzymatic molecules with antioxidant function. *Antioxid (Basel)*. (2021) 10:579. doi: 10.3390/antiox10040579

33. Chaudhary P, Janmeda P, Docea AO, Yeskaliyeva B, Abdull Razis AF, Modu B, et al. Oxidative stress, free radicals and antioxidants: potential crosstalk in the pathophysiology of human diseases. *Front Chem.* (2023) 11:1158198. doi: 10.3389/ fchem.2023.1158198

34. Villalpando-Rodriguez GE, Gibson SB. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) regulates different types of cell death by acting as a rheostat. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2021) 2021:9912436. doi: 10.1155/2021/9912436

35. Nimse SB, Pal D. Free radicals, natural antioxidants, and their reaction mechanisms. RSC Adv. (2015) 5:27986–8006. doi: 10.1039/C4RA13315C

36. Mirończuk-Chodakowska I, Witkowska AM, Zujko ME. Endogenous nonenzymatic antioxidants in the human body. *Adv Med Sci.* (2018) 63:68–78. doi: 10.1016/j.advms.2017.05.005

37. Luchan J, Choi C, Carrier RL. Reactive oxygen species limit intestinal mucosabacteria homeostasis. vitro. Sci Rep. (2021) 11:23727. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-02080-x

38. Di Cara F, Bülow MH, Simmonds AJ, Rachubinski RA. Dysfunctional peroxisomes compromise gut structure and host defense by increased cell death and Tor-dependent autophagy. *Mol Biol Cell*. (2018) 29:2766–83. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E18-07-0434

39. Tanaka K, Satoh T, Kitahara J, Uno S, Nomura I, Kano Y, et al. O2-inducible H2O2-forming NADPH oxidase is responsible for the hyper O2 sensitivity of Bifidobacterium longum subsp. *infantis. Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:10750. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-018-29030-4

40. Marty-Teysset C, de la Torre F, Garel J-R. Increased Production of Hydrogen Peroxide by Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus upon Aeration: Involvement of an NADH Oxidase in Oxidative Stress. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2000) 66:262–7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.1.262-267.2000

41. Hertzberger R, Arents J, Dekker HL, Pridmore RD, Gysler C, Kleerebezem M, et al. H2O2 production in species of the Lactobacillus acidophilus group: a central role for a novel NADH-dependent flavin reductase. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2014) 80:2229–39. doi: 10.1128/AEM.04272-13

42. Aviello G, Knaus UG. NADPH oxidases and ROS signaling in the gastrointestinal tract. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2018) 11:1011-23. doi: 10.1038/s41385-018-0021-8

43. Korshunov S, Imlay JA. Detection and quantification of superoxide formed within the periplasm of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* (2006) 188:6326–34. doi: 10.1128/JB.00554-06

44. Neish AS, Jones RM. Redox signaling mediates symbiosis between the gut microbiota and the intestine. *Gut Microbes*. (2014) 5:250–3. doi: 10.4161/gmic.27917

45. Yu Y, Liu Q, Li H, Wen C, He Z. Alterations of the gut microbiome associated with the treatment of hyperuricaemia in male rats. *Front Microbiol.* (2018) 9:2233. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02233

46. Wang Z, Li Y, Liao W, Huang J, Liu Y, Li Z, et al. Gut microbiota remodeling: A promising therapeutic strategy to confront hyperuricemia and gout. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2022) 12:935723. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.935723

47. Pridmore RD, Pittet A-C, Praplan F, Cavadini C. Hydrogen peroxide production by Lactobacillus johnsonii NCC 533 and its role in anti-Salmonella activity. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* (2008) 283:210–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01176.x

48. Brown A, Lo D, Sharpe C, Tong C. Increased intracellular hydrogen peroxide observed in Escherichia coli in the presence of two classes of antibiotics. *JEMI*. (2012).

49. Messner KR, Imlay JA. The identification of primary sites of superoxide and hydrogen peroxide formation in the aerobic respiratory chain and sulfite reductase complex of Escherichia coli. *J Biol Chem.* (1999) 274:10119–28. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.15.10119

50. Jones RM, Neish AS. Redox signaling mediated by the gut microbiota. Free Radical Biol Med. (2017) 105:41-7. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.10.495

51. Sobko T, Reinders CI, Jansson E, Norin E, Midtvedt T, Lundberg JO. Gastrointestinal bacteria generate nitric oxide from nitrate and nitrite. *Nitric Oxide*. (2005) 13:272–8. doi: 10.1016/j.niox.2005.08.002

52. Corker H, Poole RK. Nitric oxide formation by Escherichia coli. J Biol Chem. (2003) 278:31584–92. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M303282200

53. Xu J, Verstraete W. Evaluation of nitric oxide production by lactobacilli. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. (2001) 56:504–7. doi: 10.1007/s002530100616

54. Amedei A, Morbidelli L. Circulating metabolites originating from gut microbiota control endothelial cell function. *Molecules*. (2019) 24:3992. doi: 10.3390/molecules24213992

55. Gombart AF, Pierre A, Maggini S. A Review of micronutrients and the immune system-working in harmony to reduce the risk of infection. *Nutrients*. (2020) 12:236. doi: 10.3390/nu12010236

56. Biesalski HK. Nutrition meets the microbiome: micronutrients and the microbiota. Ann N Y Acad Sci. (2016) 1372:53–64. doi: 10.1111/nyas.13145

57. Clark A, Mach N. Role of vitamin D in the hygiene hypothesis: the interplay between vitamin D, vitamin D receptors, gut microbiota, and immune response. *Front Immunol.* (2016) 7:627. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00627

58. Padgett LE, Tse HM. NADPH oxidase-derived superoxide provides a third signal for CD4 T cell effector responses. *J Immunol.* (2016) 197:1733–42. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1502581

59. Tse HM, Milton MJ, Schreiner S, Profozich JL, Trucco M, Piganelli JD. Disruption of innate-mediated proinflammatory cytokine and reactive oxygen species third signal leads to antigen-specific hyporesponsiveness. *J Immunol.* (2007) 178:908–17. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.2.908

60. Kesarwani P, Murali AK, Al-Khami AA, Mehrotra S. Redox regulation of T-cell function: from molecular mechanisms to significance in human health and disease. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2013) 18:1497–534. doi: 10.1089/ars.2011.4073

61. Fang FC, Vázquez-Torres A. Reactive nitrogen species in host-bacterial interactions. *Curr Opin Immunol.* (2019) 60:96–102. doi: 10.1016/j.coi.2019.05.008

62. Tan H-Y, Wang N, Li S, Hong M, Wang X, Feng Y. The reactive oxygen species in macrophage polarization: reflecting its dual role in progression and treatment of human diseases. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2016) 2016:2795090. doi: 10.1155/2016/ 2795090

63. Morris G, Gevezova M, Sarafian V, Maes M. Redox regulation of the immune response. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2022) 19:1079–101. doi: 10.1038/s41423-022-00902-0

64. Lin L, Zhang J. Role of intestinal microbiota and metabolites on gut homeostasis and human diseases. *BMC Immunol.* (2017) 18:2. doi: 10.1186/s12865-016-0187-3

65. Forbes JD, Van Domselaar G, Bernstein CN. The gut microbiota in immunemediated inflammatory diseases. *Front Microbiol.* (2016) 7:1081. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2016.01081

66. Lazar V, Ditu L-M, Pircalabioru GG, Gheorghe I, Curutiu C, Holban AM, et al. Aspects of gut microbiota and immune system interactions in infectious diseases, immunopathology, and cancer. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1830. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2018.01830

67. Drozdov VN, Astapovskiy AA, Serebrova SY, Lazareva NB, Shikh EV. [Clinical efficacy of probiotic strains of the Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus]. *Vopr Pitan.* (2020) 89:107–15. doi: 10.24411/0042-8833-2020-10021

68. Khan MT, Dwibedi C, Sundh D, Pradhan M, Kraft JD, Caesar R, et al. Synergy and oxygen adaptation for development of next-generation probiotics. *Nature*. (2023) 620:381–5. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06378-w

69. Lin W, Shen P, Song Y, Huang Y, Tu S. Reactive oxygen species in autoimmune cells: function, differentiation, and metabolism. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:635021. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.635021

70. Chatterjee S, Daenthanasanmak A, Chakraborty P, Wyatt MW, Dhar P, Selvam SP, et al. CD38-NAD+Axis regulates immunotherapeutic anti-tumor T cell response. *Cell Metab.* (2018) 27:85–100.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2017.10.006

71. Ma J, Wei K, Zhang H, Tang K, Li F, Zhang T, et al. Mechanisms by which dendritic cells present tumor microparticle antigens to CD8+ T cells. *Cancer Immunol Res.* (2018) 6:1057–68. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-17-0716

72. Gong J, Chen S-S. Polyphenolic antioxidants inhibit peptide presentation by antigen-presenting cells. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2003) 3:1841–52. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2003.08.010

73. Zimmerer JM, Liu XL, Blaszczak A, Avila CL, Pham TA, Warren RT, et al. Critical role of macrophage FcyR signaling and reactive oxygen species in alloantibodymediated hepatocyte rejection. *J Immunol.* (2018) 201:3731–40. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.1800333

74. Cachat J, Deffert C, Alessandrini M, Roux-Lombard P, Le Gouellec A, Stasia M-J, et al. Altered humoral immune responses and IgG subtypes in NOX2-deficient mice and patients: A key role for NOX2 in antigen-presenting cells. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1555. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01555

75. Savina A, Jancic C, Hugues S, Guermonprez P, Vargas P, Moura IC, et al. NOX2 controls phagosomal pH to regulate antigen processing during crosspresentation by dendritic cells. *Cell.* (2006) 126:205–18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.035

76. Rybicka JM, Balce DR, Khan MF, Krohn RM, Yates RM. NADPH oxidase activity controls phagosomal proteolysis in macrophages through modulation of the

lumenal redox environment of phagosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2010) 107:10496-501. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914867107

77. Yang M, Haase C, Viljanen J, Xu B, Ge C, Kihlberg J, et al. Cutting edge: processing of oxidized peptides in macrophages regulates T cell activation and development of autoimmune arthritis. *J Immunol.* (2017) 199:3937–42. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1700774

78. Mouriès J, Moron G, Schlecht G, Escriou N, Dadaglio G, Leclerc C. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells efficiently cross-prime naive T cells in *vivo* after TLR activation. *Blood.* (2008) 112:3713–22. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-03-146290

79. Geng J, Sun X, Wang P, Zhang S, Wang X, Wu H, et al. Kinases Mst1 and Mst2 positively regulate phagocytic induction of reactive oxygen species and bactericidal activity. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:1142–52. doi: 10.1038/ni.3268

80. Abuaita BH, Schultz TL, O'Riordan MX. Mitochondria-derived vesicles deliver antimicrobial reactive oxygen species to control phagosome-localized Staphylococcus aureus. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2018) 24:625–636.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.10.005

81. Kim N-H, Hong B-K, Choi SY, Moo Kwon H, Cho C-S, Yi EC, et al. Reactive oxygen species regulate context-dependent inhibition of NFAT5 target genes. *Exp Mol Med.* (2013) 45:e32. doi: 10.1038/emm.2013.61

82. Pearce EL, Pearce EJ. Metabolic pathways in immune cell activation and quiescence. *Immunity*. (2013) 38:633-43. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.04.005

83. Dröge W. Free radicals in the physiological control of cell function. *Physiol Rev.* (2002) 82:47–95. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00018.2001

84. Gostner JM, Becker K, Fuchs D, Sucher R. Redox regulation of the immune response. Redox Rep. (2013) 18:88–94. doi: 10.1179/1351000213Y.0000000044

85. Rashida Gnanaprakasam JN, Wu R, Wang R. Metabolic reprogramming in modulating T cell reactive oxygen species generation and antioxidant capacity. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:1075. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01075

86. Pearce EL, Walsh MC, Cejas PJ, Harms GM, Shen H, Wang L-S, et al. Enhancing CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. *Nature*. (2009) 460:103–7. doi: 10.1038/nature08097

87. Michalek RD, Gerriets VA, Jacobs SR, Macintyre AN, MacIver NJ, Mason EF, et al. Cutting edge: distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs are essential for effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets. *J Immunol.* (2011) 186:3299–303. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1003613

88. Muri J, Kopf M. Redox regulation of immunometabolism. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2021) 21:363–81. doi: 10.1038/s41577-020-00478-8

89. Sun L, Wang X, Saredy J, Yuan Z, Yang X, Wang H. Innate-adaptive immunity interplay and redox regulation in immune response. *Redox Biol.* (2020) 37:101759. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2020.101759

90. Abimannan T, Peroumal D, Parida JR, Barik PK, Padhan P, Devadas S. Oxidative stress modulates the cytokine response of differentiated Th17 and Th1 cells. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2016) 99:352–63. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.08.026

91. Wan Y, Yang L, Jiang S, Qian D, Duan J. Excessive apoptosis in ulcerative colitis: crosstalk between apoptosis, ROS, ER stress, and intestinal homeostasis. *Inflammation Bowel Dis.* (2022) 28:639–48. doi: 10.1093/ibd/izab277

92. Albuquerque AS, Fernandes SM, Tendeiro R, Cheynier R, Lucas M, Silva SL, et al. Major CD4 T-cell depletion and immune senescence in a patient with chronic granulomatous disease. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:543. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00543

93. Hasan AA, Kalinina E, Tatarskiy V, Shtil A. The thioredoxin system of mammalian cells and its modulators. *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10:1757. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10071757

94. Frossi B, De Carli M, Piemonte M, Pucillo C. Oxidative microenvironment exerts an opposite regulatory effect on cytokine production by Th1 and Th2 cells. *Mol Immunol.* (2008) 45:58–64. doi: 10.1016/j.molimm.2007.05.008

95. Yan Z, Garg SK, Banerjee R. Regulatory T cells interfere with glutathione metabolism in dendritic cells and T cells. *J Biol Chem.* (2010) 285:41525–32. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.189944

96. Morris G, Anderson G, Dean O, Berk M, Galecki P, Martin-Subero M, et al. The glutathione system: a new drug target in neuroimmune disorders. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2014) 50:1059–84. doi: 10.1007/s12035-014-8705-x

97. Kurniawan H, FranChina DG, Guerra L, Bonetti L, Baguet LS, Grusdat M, et al. Glutathione restricts serine metabolism to preserve regulatory T cell function. *Cell Metab.* (2020) 31:920–936.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2020.03.004

98. Pinkus R, Weiner LM, Daniel V. Role of oxidants and antioxidants in the induction of AP-1, NF-kappaB, and glutathione S-transferase gene expression. J Biol Chem. (1996) 271:13422–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.271.23.13422

99. Jones RM, Mercante JW, Neish AS. Reactive oxygen production induced by the gut microbiota: pharmacotherapeutic implications. *Curr Med Chem.* (2012) 19:1519–29. doi: 10.2174/092986712799828283

100. Walsh AC, Michaud SG, Malossi JA, Lawrence DA. Glutathione depletion in human T lymphocytes: analysis of activation-associated gene expression and the stress response. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* (1995) 133:249–61. doi: 10.1006/ taap.1995.1149

101. Shim JA, Ryu JH, Jo Y, Hong C. The role of gut microbiota in T cell immunity and immune mediated disorders. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2023) 19:1178–91. doi: 10.7150/ ijbs.79430

102. Koura T, Gon Y, Hashimoto S, Azuma A, Kudoh S, Fukuda Y, et al. Expression of thioredoxin in granulomas of sarcoidosis: possible role in the development of T lymphocyte activation. *Thorax.* (2000) 55:755–61. doi: 10.1136/thorax.55.9.755

103. Patwardhan RS, Singh B, Pal D, Checker R, Bandekar M, Sharma D, et al. Redox regulation of regulatory T-cell differentiation and functions. *Free Radic Res.* (2020) 54:947–60. doi: 10.1080/10715762.2020.1745202

104. Meryk A, Grasse M, Balasco L, Kapferer W, Grubeck-Loebenstein B, Pangrazzi L. Antioxidants N-acetylcysteine and vitamin C improve T cell commitment to memory and long-term maintenance of immunological memory in old mice. *Antioxid (Basel).* (2020) 9:1152. doi: 10.3390/antiox9111152

105. Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. *Gut Microbes*. (2016) 7:189–200. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1134082

106. Blachier F, Andriamihaja M, Larraufie P, Ahn E, Lan A, Kim E. Production of hydrogen sulfide by the intestinal microbiota and epithelial cells and consequences for the colonic and rectal mucosa. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol.* (2021) 320: G125–35. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00261.2020

107. Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B, Verbeke K. The role of short-chain fatty acids in microbiota-gut-brain communication. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 16:461–78. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0157-3

108. Wang Y, Wu Y, Wang Y, Xu H, Mei X, Yu D, et al. Antioxidant properties of probiotic bacteria. *Nutrients*. (2017) 9:521. doi: 10.3390/nu9050521

109. Feng T, Wang J. Oxidative stress tolerance and antioxidant capacity of lactic acid bacteria as probiotic: a systematic review. *Gut Microbes.* (2020) 12:1801944. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1801944

110. Wieërs G, Belkhir L, Enaud R, Leclercq S, Philippart de Foy J-M, Dequenne I, et al. How probiotics affect the microbiota. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2019) 9:454. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00454

111. Zegarra-Ruiz DF, Kim DV, Norwood K, Kim M, Wu W-JH, Saldana-Morales FB, et al. Thymic development of gut-microbiota-specific T cells. *Nature*. (2021) 594:413–7. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03531-1

112. Yu AI, Zhao L, Eaton KA, Ho S, Chen J, Poe S, et al. Gut microbiota modulate CD8 T cell responses to influence colitis-associated tumorigenesis. *Cell Rep.* (2020) 31:107471. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.03.035

113. Vétizou M, Pitt JM, Daillère R, Lepage P, Waldschmitt N, Flament C, et al. Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. *Science*. (2015) 350:1079–84. doi: 10.1126/science.aad1329

114. Casalegno Garduño R, Däbritz J. New insights on CD8+ T cells in inflammatory bowel disease and therapeutic approaches. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:738762. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.738762

115. Noble A, Durant L, Hoyles L, Mccartney AL, Man R, Segal J, et al. Deficient resident memory T cell and CD8 T cell response to commensals in inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis.* (2020) 14:525–37. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjz175

116. Atarashi K, Suda W, Luo C, Kawaguchi T, Motoo I, Narushima S, et al. Ectopic colonization of oral bacteria in the intestine drives TH1 cell induction and inflammation. *Science*. (2017) 358:359–65. doi: 10.1126/science.aan4526

117. Lloyd-Price J, Arze C, Ananthakrishnan AN, Schirmer M, Avila-Pacheco J, Poon TW, et al. Multi-omics of the gut microbial ecosystem in inflammatory bowel diseases. *Nature*. (2019) 569:655–62. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1237-9

118. Matsusaki T, Takeda S, Takeshita M, Arima Y, Tsend-Ayush C, Oyunsuren T, et al. Augmentation of T helper type 1 immune response through intestinal immunity in murine cutaneous herpes simplex virus type 1 infection by probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum strain 06CC2. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2016) 39:320–7. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2016.08.001

119. Takeda S, Takeshita M, Kikuchi Y, Dashnyam B, Kawahara S, Yoshida H, et al. Efficacy of oral administration of heat-killed probiotics from Mongolian dairy products against influenza infection in mice: alleviation of influenza infection by its immunomodulatory activity through intestinal immunity. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2011) 11:1976–83. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2011.08.007

120. Won TJ, Kim B, Song DS, Lim YT, Oh ES, Lee DI, et al. Modulation of Th1/Th2 balance by Lactobacillus strains isolated from Kimchi via stimulation of macrophage cell line J774A.1 in *vitro. J Food Sci.* (2011) 76:H55–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.02031.x

121. Mazmanian SK, Liu CH, Tzianabos AO, Kasper DL. An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria directs maturation of the host immune system. *Cell.* (2005) 122:107–18. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.05.007

122. Bamias G, Okazawa A, Rivera-Nieves J, Arseneau KO, de la Rue SA, Pizarro TT, et al. Commensal bacteria exacerbate intestinal inflammation but are not essential for the development of murine ileitis. *J Immunol.* (2007) 178:1809–18. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.3.1809

123. Weaver CT, Harrington LE, Mangan PR, Gavrieli M, Murphy KM. Th17: an effector CD4 T cell lineage with regulatory T cell ties. *Immunity*. (2006) 24:677–88. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.06.002

124. Schinocca C, Rizzo C, Fasano S, Grasso G, La Barbera L, Ciccia F, et al. Role of the IL-23/IL-17 pathway in rheumatic diseases: an overview. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:637829. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.637829

125. Cheng H, Guan X, Chen D, Ma W. The Th17/Treg cell balance: A gut microbiota-modulated story. *Microorganisms*. (2019) 7:583. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms7120583

126. Wang Y, Yin Y, Chen X, Zhao Y, Wu Y, Li Y, et al. Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by flagellins from segmented filamentous bacteria. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:2750. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02750

127. Pandiyan P, Bhaskaran N, Zou M, Schneider E, Jayaraman S, Huehn J. Microbiome dependent regulation of Tregs and Th17 cells in mucosa. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:426. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.00426

128. Kawamoto S, Maruya M, Kato LM, Suda W, Atarashi K, Doi Y, et al. Foxp3(+) T cells regulate immunoglobulin a selection and facilitate diversification of bacterial species responsible for immune homeostasis. *Immunity*. (2014) 41:152–65. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.016

129. Koshida K, Ito M, Yakabe K, Takahashi Y, Tai Y, Akasako R, et al. Dysfunction of Foxp3+ Regulatory T cells induces dysbiosis of gut microbiota via aberrant binding of immunoglobulins to microbes in the intestinal lumen. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:8549. doi: 10.3390/ijms24108549

130. Zhang C, Wang H, Chen T. Interactions between intestinal microflora/ probiotics and the immune system. *BioMed Res Int.* (2019) 2019:6764919. doi: 10.1155/2019/6764919

131. Verma R, Lee C, Jeun E-J, Yi J, Kim KS, Ghosh A, et al. Cell surface polysaccharides of Bifidobacterium bifidum induce the generation of Foxp3+ regulatory T cells. *Sci Immunol.* (2018) 3:eaat6975. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aat6975

132. Kim CH, Park J, Kim M. Gut microbiota-derived short-chain Fatty acids, T cells, and inflammation. *Immune Netw.* (2014) 14:277-88. doi: 10.4110/ in.2014.14.6.277

133. Singh A, Prasad S, Singh G. Microbiome-mediated T cell regulation, inflammation, and disease. *Microbiome Ther.* (2023), 443-75. doi: 10.1016/b978-0-323-99336-4.00018-5

134. Shandilya S, Kumar S, Kumar Jha N, Kumar Kesari K, Ruokolainen J. Interplay of gut microbiota and oxidative stress: Perspective on neurodegeneration and neuroprotection. J Adv Res. (2022) 38:223-44. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2021.09.005

135. Silva YP, Bernardi A, Frozza RL. The role of short-chain fatty acids from gut microbiota in gut-brain communication. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2020) 11:25. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00025

136. González-Bosch C, Boorman E, Zunszain PA, Mann GE. Short-chain fatty acids as modulators of redox signaling in health and disease. *Redox Biol.* (2021) 47:102165. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2021.102165

137. Yamamoto M, Kensler TW, Motohashi H. The KEAP1-NRF2 system: a thiolbased sensor-effector apparatus for maintaining redox homeostasis. *Physiol Rev.* (2018) 98:1169–203. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00023.2017

138. Davie JR. Inhibition of histone deacetylase activity by butyrate. J Nutr. (2003) 133:2485S–93S. doi: 10.1093/jn/133.7.2485S

139. Liu H, Wang J, He T, Becker S, Zhang G, Li D, et al. Butyrate: A double-edged sword for health? *Adv Nutr.* (2018) 9:21–9. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmx009

140. Smith PM, Howitt MR, Panikov N, Michaud M, Gallini CA, Bohlooly-Y M, et al. The microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, regulate colonic Treg cell homeostasis. *Science*. (2013) 341:569–73. doi: 10.1126/science.1241165

141. Arpaia N, Campbell C, Fan X, Dikiy S, van der Veeken J, deRoos P, et al. Metabolites produced by commensal bacteria promote peripheral regulatory T-cell generation. *Nature*. (2013) 504:451-5. doi: 10.1038/nature12726

142. Das JK, Guo F, Hunt C, Steinmeyer S, Plocica JA, Kobayashi KS, et al. A metabolically engineered bacterium controls autoimmunity and inflammation by remodeling the pro-inflammatory microenvironment. *Gut Microbes*. (2022) 14:2143222. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2022.2143222

143. Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T, Imaoka A, Kuwahara T, Momose Y, et al. Induction of colonic regulatory T cells by indigenous Clostridium species. *Science*. (2011) 331:337-41. doi: 10.1126/science.1198469

144. Calvo-Barreiro L, Zhang L, Abdel-Rahman SA, Naik SP, Gabr M. Gut microbial-derived metabolites as immune modulators of T helper 17 and regulatory T cells. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:1806. doi: 10.3390/ijms24021806

145. Lammer J, Neumayer K. Biliary drainage endoprostheses: experience with 201 placements. *Radiology*. (1986) 159:625–9. doi: 10.1148/radiology.159.3.2422677

146. Le Poul E, Loison C, Struyf S, Springael J-Y, Lannoy V, Decobecq M-E, et al. Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role in polymorphonuclear cell activation. *J Biol Chem.* (2003) 278:25481–9. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M301403200

147. Kim CH. Immune regulation by microbiome metabolites. *Immunology*. (2018) 154:220–9. doi: 10.1111/imm.12930

148. Tanoue T, Atarashi K, Honda K. Development and maintenance of intestinal regulatory T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2016) 16:295–309. doi: 10.1038/nri.2016.36

149. Furusawa Y, Obata Y, Fukuda S, Endo TA, Nakato G, Takahashi D, et al. Commensal microbe-derived butyrate induces the differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells. *Nature*. (2013) 504:446–50. doi: 10.1038/nature12721

150. Zhang M, Zhou Q, Dorfman RG, Huang X, Fan T, Zhang H, et al. Butyrate inhibits interleukin-17 and generates Tregs to ameliorate colorectal colitis in rats. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2016) 16:84. doi: 10.1186/s12876-016-0500-x

151. Hang S, Paik D, Yao L, Kim E, Trinath J, Lu J, et al. Bile acid metabolites control TH17 and Treg cell differentiation. *Nature*. (2019) 576:143–8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1785-z

152. Cheng X, Zhou L, Li Z, Shen S, Zhao Y, Liu C, et al. Gut microbiome and bile acid metabolism induced the activation of CXCR5+ CD4+ T follicular helper cells to participate in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder recurrence. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:827865. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.827865

153. Paik D, Yao L, Zhang Y, Bae S, D'Agostino GD, Zhang M, et al. Human gut bacteria produce TH17-modulating bile acid metabolites. *Nature*. (2022) 603:907–12. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-04480-z

154. Ratajczak W, Niedźwiedzka-Rystwej P, Tokarz-Deptuła B, Deptuła W. Immunological memory cells. *Cent Eur J Immunol.* (2018) 43:194–203. doi: 10.5114/ ceji.2018.77390

155. Singh S, Barik D, Arukha AP, Prasad S, Mohapatra I, Singh A, et al. Small molecule targeting immune cells: A novel approach for cancer treatment. *Biomedicines*. (2023) 11:2621. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11102621

156. Paap E-M, Müller TM, Sommer K, Neurath MF, Zundler S. Total recall: intestinal TRM cells in health and disease. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:623072. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.623072

157. Yuan R, Yu J, Jiao Z, Li J, Wu F, Yan R, et al. The roles of tissue-resident memory T cells in lung diseases. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:710375. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.710375

158. Prasad S, Lokensgard JR. Brain-resident T cells following viral infection. Viral Immunol. (2019) 32:48–54. doi: 10.1089/vim.2018.0084

159. Yu H, Qin L, Hu H, Wang Z. Alteration of the gut microbiota and its effect on AMPK/NADPH oxidase signaling pathway in 2K1C rats. *BioMed Res Int.* (2019) 2019:8250619. doi: 10.1155/2019/8250619

160. Li G, Yao W, Jiang H. Short-chain fatty acids enhance adipocyte differentiation in the stromal vascular fraction of porcine adipose tissue. *J Nutr.* (2014) 144:1887–95. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.198531

161. Ashique S, Mishra N, Garg A, Sibuh BZ, Taneja P, Rai G, et al. Recent updates on correlation between reactive oxygen species and synbiotics for effective management of ulcerative colitis. *Front Nutr.* (2023) 10:1126579. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1126579

162. Zhang Z, Zhang H, Chen T, Shi I, Wang D, Tang D. Regulatory role of shortchain fatty acids in inflammatory bowel disease. *Cell Commun Signal*. (2022) 20:64. doi: 10.1186/s12964-022-00869-5

163. Bachem A, Makhlouf C, Binger KJ, de Souza DP, Tull D, Hochheiser K, et al. Microbiota-derived short-chain fatty acids promote the memory potential of antigenactivated CD8+ T cells. *Immunity*. (2019) 51:285–297.e5. doi: 10.1016/ j.immuni.2019.06.002

164. Overacre-Delgoffe AE, Hand TW. Regulation of tissue-resident memory T cells by the Microbiota. *Mucosal Immunol.* (2022) 15:408–17. doi: 10.1038/s41385-022-00491-1

165. Corrado M, Pearce EL. Targeting memory T cell metabolism to improve immunity. J Clin Invest. (2022) 132:e148546. doi: 10.1172/JCI148546

166. Hashimoto K, Simmons AN, Kajino-Sakamoto R, Tsuji Y, Ninomiya-Tsuji J. TAK1 regulates the Nrf2 antioxidant system through modulating p62/SQSTM1. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* (2016) 25:953–64. doi: 10.1089/ars.2016.6663

167. Vardam-Kaur T, Sun J, Borges da Silva H. Metabolic regulation of tissueresident memory CD8+ T cells. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* (2021) 57:117–24. doi: 10.1016/ j.coph.2021.02.004

168. Galloway CA, Yoon Y. Perspectives on: SGP symposium on mitochondrial physiology and medicine: what comes first, misshape or dysfunction? The view from metabolic excess. J Gen Physiol. (2012) 139:455–63. doi: 10.1085/jgp.201210771

169. Liemburg-Apers DC, Willems PHGM, Koopman WJH, Grefte S. Interactions between mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and cellular glucose metabolism. *Arch Toxicol.* (2015) 89:1209–26. doi: 10.1007/s00204-015-1520-y

170. Heiss K, Jänner N, Mähnss B, Schumacher V, Koch-Nolte F, Haag F, et al. High sensitivity of intestinal CD8+ T cells to nucleotides indicates P2X7 as a regulator for intestinal T cell responses. *J Immunol.* (2008) 181:3861–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.6.3861

171. Jiang Z-F, Wu W, Hu H-B, Li Z-Y, Zhong M, Zhang L. P2X7 receptor as the regulator of T-cell function in intestinal barrier disruption. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2022) 28:5265–79. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i36.5265

172. Stark R, Wesselink TH, Behr FM, Kragten NAM, Arens R, Koch-Nolte F, et al. T RM maintenance is regulated by tissue damage via P2RX7. *Sci Immunol.* (2018) 3: eaau1022. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.aau1022

173. Borges da Silva H, Peng C, Wang H, Wanhainen KM, Ma C, Lopez S, et al. Sensing of ATP via the purinergic receptor P2RX7 promotes CD8+ Trm cell generation by enhancing their sensitivity to the cytokine TGF- β . *Immunity*. (2020) 53:158–171.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.06.010

174. Nath AP, Braun A, Ritchie SC, Carbone FR, Mackay LK, Gebhardt T, et al. Comparative analysis reveals a role for TGF- β in shaping the residency-related transcriptional signature in tissue-resident memory CD8+ T cells. *PloS One.* (2019) 14:e0210495. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210495

175. Cheng L, Becattini S. Intestinal CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells: From generation to function. *Eur J Immunol.* (2022) 52:1547–60. doi: 10.1002/eji.202149759

176. Neves AR, Castelo-Branco MTL, Figliuolo VR, Bernardazzi C, Buongusto F, Yoshimoto A, et al. Overexpression of ATP-activated P2X7 receptors in the intestinal mucosa is implicated in the pathogenesis of Crohn's disease. *Inflammation Bowel Dis.* (2014) 20:444–57. doi: 10.1097/01.mib.0000441201.10454.06

177. Veltkamp C, Anstaett M, Wahl K, Möller S, Gangl S, Bachmann O, et al. Apoptosis of regulatory T lymphocytes is increased in chronic inflammatory bowel disease and reversed by anti-TNF α treatment. *Gut.* (2011) 60:1345–53. doi: 10.1136/gut.2010.217117

178. Lyu Y, Zhou Y, Shen J. An overview of tissue-resident memory T cells in the intestine: from physiological functions to pathological mechanisms. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:912393. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.912393

179. Zelentsova AS, Deykin AV, Soldatov VO, Ulezko AA, Borisova AY, Belyaeva VS, et al. P2X7 receptor and purinergic signaling: orchestrating mitochondrial dysfunction in neurodegenerative diseases. *eNeuro*. (2022) 9:ENEURO.0092–22.2022. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0092-22.2022

180. Pfeiffer ZA, Guerra AN, Hill LM, Gavala ML, Prabhu U, Aga M, et al. Nucleotide receptor signaling in murine macrophages is linked to reactive oxygen species generation. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2007) 42:1506–16. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2007.02.010

181. Li C, Zhu B, Son YM, Wang Z, Jiang L, Xiang M, et al. The transcription factor Bhlhe40 programs mitochondrial regulation of resident CD8+ T cell fitness and functionality. *Immunity*. (2019) 51:491–507.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.08.013

182. Rauschmeier R, Gustafsson C, Reinhardt A, A-Gonzalez N, Tortola L, Cansever D, et al. Bhlhe40 and Bhlhe41 transcription factors regulate alveolar macrophage self-renewal and identity. *EMBO J.* (2019) 38:e101233. doi: 10.15252/embj.2018101233

183. Kiss Z, Mudryj M, Ghosh PM. Non-circadian aspects of BHLHE40 cellular function in cancer. *Genes Cancer*. (2020) 11:1–19. doi: 10.18632/genesandcancer.201

184. Kajino-Sakamoto R, Omori E, Nighot PK, Blikslager AT, Matsumoto K, Ninomiya-Tsuji J. TGF-beta-activated kinase 1 signaling maintains intestinal integrity by preventing accumulation of reactive oxygen species in the intestinal epithelium. J Immunol. (2010) 185:4729–37. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903587

185. Chang HC, Kao CH, Chung SY, Chen WC, Aninda LP, Chen YH, et al. Bhlhe40 differentially regulates the function and number of peroxisomes and mitochondria in myogenic cells. *Redox Biol.* (2018) 20:321-33. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2018.10.009

186. Legoux F, Salou M, Lantz O. MAIT cell development and functions: the microbial connection. *Immunity*. (2020) 53:710–23. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.09.009

187. Kjer-Nielsen L, Patel O, Corbett AJ, Le Nours J, Meehan B, Liu L, et al. MR1 presents microbial vitamin B metabolites to MAIT cells. *Nature*. (2012) 491:717–23. doi: 10.1038/nature11605

188. Corbett AJ, Eckle SBG, Birkinshaw RW, Liu L, Patel O, Mahony J, et al. T-cell activation by transitory neo-antigens derived from distinct microbial pathways. *Nature*. (2014) 509:361–5. doi: 10.1038/nature13160

189. Ussher JE, Bilton M, Attwod E, Shadwell J, Richardson R, de Lara C, et al. CD161++ CD8+ T cells, including the MAIT cell subset, are specifically activated by IL-12+IL-18 in a TCR-independent manner. *Eur J Immunol.* (2014) 44:195–203. doi: 10.1002/eji.201343509

190. Berzins SP, Wallace ME, Kannourakis G, Kelly J. A role for MAIT cells in colorectal cancer. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:949. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.00949

191. Hama I, Tominaga K, Yamagiwa S, Setsu T, Kimura N, Kamimura H, et al. Different distribution of mucosal-associated invariant T cells within the human cecum and colon. *Cent Eur J Immunol.* (2019) 44:75–83. doi: 10.5114/ceji.2019.84020

192. Brown EM, Kenny DJ, Xavier RJ. Gut microbiota regulation of T cells during inflammation and autoimmunity. *Annu Rev Immunol.* (2019) 37:599-624. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-042718-041841

193. Godfrey DI, Uldrich AP, McCluskey J, Rossjohn J, Moody DB. The burgeoning family of unconventional T cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2015) 16:1114–23. doi: 10.1038/ni.3298

194. Hinks TSC, Zhang X-W. MAIT cell activation and functions. *Front Immunol.* (2020) 11:1014. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.01014

195. Jabeen MF, Hinks TSC. MAIT cells and the microbiome. Front Immunol. (2023) 14:1127588. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1127588

196. Schneider M, Hannaway RF, Lamichhane R, de la Harpe SM, Tyndall JDA, Vernall AJ, et al. Neutrophils suppress mucosal-associated invariant T cells in humans. *Eur J Immunol.* (2020) 50:643–55. doi: 10.1002/eji.201948394

197. Li S, Simoni Y, Becht E, Loh CY, Li N, Lachance D, et al. Human tumorinfiltrating MAIT cells display hallmarks of bacterial antigen recognition in colorectal cancer. *Cell Rep Med.* (2020) 1:100039. doi: 10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100039

198. Soufli I, Toumi R, Rafa H, Touil-Boukoffa C. Overview of cytokines and nitric oxide involvement in immuno-pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. *World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther.* (2016) 7:353–60. doi: 10.4292/wjgpt.v7.i3.353

199. Moncada S, Higgs EA. Endogenous nitric oxide: physiology, pathology and clinical relevance. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (1991) 21:361-74. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.1991.tb01383.x

200. Lundberg JO, Hellström PM, Lundberg JM, Alving K. Greatly increased luminal nitric oxide in ulcerative colitis. *Lancet.* (1994) 344:1673–4. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(94)90460-x

201. Shen J, Yu S-F, Peng M, Lai D-H, Hide G, Wu Z-D, et al. iNOS is essential to maintain a protective Th1/Th2 response and the production of cytokines/chemokines against Schistosoma japonicum infection in rats. *PloS Negl Trop Dis.* (2022) 16: e0010403. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010403

202. Parronchi P, Romagnani P, Annunziato F, Sampognaro S, Becchio A, Giannarini L, et al. Type 1 T-helper cell predominance and interleukin-12 expression in the gut of patients with Crohn's disease. *Am J Pathol.* (1997) 150:823–32.

203. Oeckinghaus A, Ghosh S. The NF-kappaB family of transcription factors and its regulation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* (2009) 1:a000034. doi: 10.1101/ cshperspect.a000034

204. Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D, Sun S-C. NF- κB signaling in inflammation. Signal Transduct Target Ther. (2017) 2:17023–. doi: 10.1038/sigtrans.2017.23

205. Lonati E, Carrozzini T, Bruni I, Mena P, Botto L, Cazzaniga E, et al. Coffeederived phenolic compounds activate Nrf2 antioxidant pathway in I/R injury *in vitro* model: A nutritional approach preventing age related-damages. *Molecules*. (2022) 27:1049. doi: 10.3390/molecules27031049

206. Chen J, Huang Z, Cao X, Chen X, Zou T, You J. Plant-derived polyphenols as Nrf2 activators to counteract oxidative stress and intestinal toxicity induced by deoxynivalenol in swine: an emerging research direction. *Antioxid (Basel).* (2022) 11:2379. doi: 10.3390/antiox11122379

207. Serreli G, Incani A, Atzeri A, Angioni A, Campus M, Cauli E, et al. Antioxidant effect of natural table olives phenolic extract against oxidative stress and membrane damage in enterocyte-like cells. *J Food Sci.* (2017) 82:380–5. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.13613

208. Serreli G, Melis MP, Corona G, Deiana M. Modulation of LPS-induced nitric oxide production in intestinal cells by hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol metabolites: Insight into the mechanism of action. *Food Chem Toxicol.* (2019) 125:520–7. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2019.01.039

209. Monteleone G, Trapasso F, Parrello T, Biancone L, Stella A, Iuliano R, et al. Bioactive IL-18 expression is up-regulated in Crohn's disease. J Immunol. (1999) 163:143–7. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.163.1.143

210. Kanai T, Watanabe M, Okazawa A, Nakamaru K, Okamoto M, Naganuma M, et al. Interleukin 18 is a potent proliferative factor for intestinal mucosal lymphocytes in Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2000) 119:1514–23. doi: 10.1053/gast.2000.20260

211. Monteleone I, Vavassori P, Biancone L, Monteleone G, Pallone F. Immunoregulation in the gut: success and failures in human disease. *Gut.* (2002) 50 Suppl 3:III60–64. doi: 10.1136/gut.50.suppl_3.iii60

212. Matsuoka K, Inoue N, Sato T, Okamoto S, Hisamatsu T, Kishi Y, et al. T-bet upregulation and subsequent interleukin 12 stimulation are essential for induction of Th1 mediated immunopathology in Crohn's disease. *Gut.* (2004) 53:1303–8. doi: 10.1136/gut.2003.024190

213. Kanai T, Watanabe M, Okazawa A, Sato T, Yamazaki M, Okamoto S, et al. Macrophage-derived IL-18-mediated intestinal inflammation in the murine model of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. (2001) 121:875–88. doi: 10.1053/gast.2001.28021

214. Rafa H, Amri M, Saoula H, Belkhelfa M, Medjeber O, Boutaleb A, et al. Involvement of interferon- γ in bowel disease pathogenesis by nitric oxide pathway: a study in Algerian patients. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* (2010) 30:691–7. doi: 10.1089/jir.2010.0012

215. Ulloa L, Doody J, Massagué J. Inhibition of transforming growth factor-beta/ SMAD signalling by the interferon-gamma/STAT pathway. *Nature*. (1999) 397:710–3. doi: 10.1038/17826

216. Bitzer M, von Gersdorff G, Liang D, Dominguez-Rosales A, Beg AA, Rojkind M, et al. A mechanism of suppression of TGF-beta/SMAD signaling by NF-kappa B/ RelA. *Genes Dev.* (2000) 14:187–97. doi: 10.1101/gad.14.2.187

217. Monteleone G, Kumberova A, Croft NM, McKenzie C, Steer HW, MacDonald TT. Blocking Smad7 restores TGF-beta1 signaling in chronic inflammatory bowel disease. *J Clin Invest.* (2001) 108:601–9. doi: 10.1172/JCI12821

218. Neurath MF, Fuss I, Kelsall BL, Presky DH, Waegell W, Strober W. Experimental granulomatous colitis in mice is abrogated by induction of TGF-betamediated oral tolerance. J Exp Med. (1996) 183:2605–16. doi: 10.1084/jem.183.6.2605

219. Pastorelli L, De Salvo C, Vecchi M, Pizarro TT. The role of IL-33 in gut mucosal inflammation. *Mediators Inflammation*. (2013) 2013:608187. doi: 10.1155/2013/608187

220. Pastorelli L, Garg RR, Hoang SB, Spina L, Mattioli B, Scarpa M, et al. Epithelialderived IL-33 and its receptor ST2 are dysregulated in ulcerative colitis and in experimental Th1/Th2 driven enteritis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2010) 107:8017-22. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0912678107

221. Cohen ES, Scott IC, Majithiya JB, Rapley L, Kemp BP, England E, et al. Oxidation of the alarmin IL-33 regulates ST2-dependent inflammation. *Nat Commun.* (2015) 6:8327. doi: 10.1038/ncomms9327

222. Hasegawa T, Mizugaki A, Inoue Y, Kato H, Murakami H. Cystine reduces tight junction permeability and intestinal inflammation induced by oxidative stress in Caco-2 cells. *Amino Acids.* (2021) 53:1021–32. doi: 10.1007/s00726-021-03001-y

223. Fujino S, Andoh A, Bamba S, Ogawa A, Hata K, Araki Y, et al. Increased expression of interleukin 17 in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut.* (2003) 52:65–70. doi: 10.1136/gut.52.1.65

224. Rafa H, Saoula H, Belkhelfa M, Medjeber O, Soufli I, Toumi R, et al. IL-23/IL-17A axis correlates with the nitric oxide pathway in inflammatory bowel disease: immunomodulatory effect of retinoic acid. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* (2013) 33:355–68. doi: 10.1089/jir.2012.0063

225. Coombes JL, Siddiqui KRR, Arancibia-Cárcamo CV, Hall J, Sun C-M, Belkaid Y, et al. A functionally specialized population of mucosal CD103+ DCs induces Foxp3+ regulatory T cells via a TGF-beta and retinoic acid-dependent mechanism. *J Exp Med.* (2007) 204:1757–64. doi: 10.1084/jem.20070590

226. Belkaid Y, Oldenhove G. Tuning microenvironments: induction of regulatory T cells by dendritic cells. *Immunity*. (2008) 29:362–71. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.08.005

227. Bauché D, Marie JC. Transforming growth factor β : a master regulator of the gut microbiota and immune cell interactions. *Clin Transl Immunol.* (2017) 6:e136. doi: 10.1038/cti.2017.9

228. Kashiwagi I, Morita R, Schichita T, Komai K, Saeki K, Matsumoto M, et al. Smad2 and Smad3 inversely regulate TGF- β Autoinduction in clostridium butyricum-activated dendritic cells. *Immunity.* (2015) 43:65–79. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.06.010

229. Himmel ME, Yao Y, Orban PC, Steiner TS, Levings MK. Regulatory T-cell therapy for inflammatory bowel disease: more questions than answers. *Immunology.* (2012) 136:115–22. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2567.2012.03572.x

230. Brand S. Crohn's disease: Th1, Th17 or both? The change of a paradigm: new immunological and genetic insights implicate Th17 cells in the pathogenesis of Crohn's disease. *Gut.* (2009) 58:1152–67. doi: 10.1136/gut.2008.163667

231. Niedbala W, Cai B, Liu H, Pitman N, Chang L, Liew FY. Nitric oxide induces CD4+CD25+ Foxp3 regulatory T cells from CD4+CD25 T cells via p53, IL-2, and OX40. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2007) 104:15478–83. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0703725104

232. Bacchetta R, Passerini L, Gambineri E, Dai M, Allan SE, Perroni L, et al. Defective regulatory and effector T cell functions in patients with FOXP3 mutations. *J Clin Invest.* (2006) 116:1713–22. doi: 10.1172/JCI25112

233. Passerini L, Bacchetta R. Forkhead-Box-P3 gene transfer in human CD4+ T conventional cells for the generation of stable and efficient regulatory T cells, suitable for immune modulatory therapy. *Front Immunol.* (2017) 8:1282. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01282

234. Lee S-W, Choi H, Eun S-Y, Fukuyama S, Croft M. Nitric oxide modulates TGFbeta-directive signals to suppress Foxp3+ regulatory T cell differentiation and potentiate Th1 development. *J Immunol.* (2011) 186:6972-80. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.1100485

235. Brahmachari S, Pahan K. Myelin basic protein priming reduces the expression of Foxp3 in T cells via nitric oxide. *J Immunol.* (2010) 184:1799–809. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0804394

236. Hamann A, Andrew DP, Jablonski-Westrich D, Holzmann B, Butcher EC. Role of alpha 4-integrins in lymphocyte homing to mucosal tissues in *vivo. J Immunol.* (1994) 152:3282–93. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.152.7.3282

237. Iwata M, Hirakiyama A, Eshima Y, Kagechika H, Kato C, Song S-Y. Retinoic acid imprints gut-homing specificity on T cells. *Immunity*. (2004) 21:527–38. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2004.08.011

238. Xiao S, Jin H, Korn T, Liu SM, Oukka M, Lim B, et al. Retinoic acid increases Foxp3+ regulatory T cells and inhibits development of Th17 cells by enhancing TGFbeta-driven Smad3 signaling and inhibiting IL-6 and IL-23 receptor expression. *J Immunol.* (2008) 181:2277–84. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.4.2277

Glossary

AMPKAMP-activated protein kinaseAP-1Activator proten-1APCsAntigen-presenting cellsAREAntioxidant response elementATPAdenosine triphosphateBHLHE40Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40Blimp-1B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1EomesEomesoderminEREndoplasmic ReticulumETCElectron transport chain
AP-1Activator proten-1APCsAntigen-presenting cellsAREAntioxidant response elementATPAdenosine triphosphateBHLHE40Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40Blimp-1B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1EomesEomesoderminEREndoplasmic ReticulumETCElectron transport chainEASFac Cell Surface Death Pacenter
APCsAntigen-presenting cellsAREAntioxidant response elementATPAdenosine triphosphateBHLHE40Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40Blimp-1B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1EomesEomesoderminEREndoplasmic ReticulumETCElectron transport chain
AREAntioxidant response elementATPAdenosine triphosphateBHLHE40Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40Blimp-1B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1EomesEomesoderminEREndoplasmic ReticulumETCElectron transport chainEASEas Cell Surface Death Pacenter
ATPAdenosine triphosphateBHLHE40Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40Blimp-1B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1EomesEomesoderminEREndoplasmic ReticulumETCElectron transport chainEASEas Cell Surface Death Pacenter
BHLHE40 Basic Helix-Loop-Helix Family Member E40 Blimp-1 B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1 Eomes Eomesodermin ER Endoplasmic Reticulum ETC Electron transport chain EAS Eas Call Surface Death Pacenter
Blimp-1 B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein-1 Eomes Eomesodermin ER Endoplasmic Reticulum ETC Electron transport chain EAS Eas Cell Surface Death Pacenter
Eomes Eomesodermin ER Endoplasmic Reticulum ETC Electron transport chain EAS Eas Call Surface Death Pacenter
ER Endoplasmic Reticulum ETC Electron transport chain EAS Fas Call Surface Death Percenter
ETC Electron transport chain
EAS Eas Cell Surface Death Pasantar
ras Cen surface Death Receptor
FPR1/2 Formyl peptide receptors 1 and 2
CAT Catalase
CD Crohn's disease
CTLs Cytotoxic T-lymphocytes
DCs Dendritic cells
ER Endoplasmic reticulum
ERK Extracellular signal regulated kinase
ETC Electron transport chain
FAO Fatty acid oxidation
FcyR I/ Fc receptors for IgG I/III FcyR III
FFAR Free fatty acid receptor
GI Gastrointestinal
GLLC Glutamate-cysteine ligase catalytic
GM Gut Microbiota
GPR G-protein receptors
GPR109A G-protein-coupled receptor
GPx Glutathione peroxidase
GR Glutathione reductase
GSH Glutathione
GST Glutathione- S-S-Transferase
H ₂ O ₂ Hydrogen peroxide
H3K9ac Histone H3 acetylated in lysine 9
H ₂ S Hydrogen sulfide
HDAC Histone deacetylase
HIF-1α Hypoxia includible factor-1α
IBD Inflammatory bowel disease

Continued

IL-2	Interleukin-2
ILCs	Innate lymphoid cells
iNKT	Invariant natural killer cells
JNK	c-Jun N-terminal kinase
Keap1	Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1
LCA	Lithocholic acid
MAIT	Mucosal associated invariant T-cells
МАРК	Mitogen activated protein kinase
MEK	Mitogen activated kinase
MF	Myofibroblasts
MS	Multiple sclerosis
mTOR	mammalian Target of Rapamycin
NAC	N-acetyl cysteine
NF-ĸB	Nuclear factor-kappa B
NFAT	Nuclear factor of activated T-cells
NK	Natural killer cells
NO	Nitric oxide
NOS	N ₂ O: Nitrous oxide
NOS	Nitric oxide synthase
NOX	NADPH oxidases
NOX-2	NADPH oxidase 2
NRF-2	Nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2
Nur77	Nuclear receptor subfamily 4 group A member 1
OXPHOS	Oxidative phosphorylation
PD-L1	Programmed death ligand 1
PI3K	Phosphoinositide 3-kinases
РКС	Protein kinase C
РРР	Pentose phosphate pathway
RA	Rheumatoid arthritis
RAS	Rat sarcoma virus protein
RAF	Rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma protein
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
RNS	Reactive nitrogen species
Runx3	RUNX Family Transcription Factor 3
SCAFs	Short-chain fatty acids
SFB	Segmented filamentous bacteria
SMC	Smooth muscle cells
SOD	Superoxide dismutase
Sp1/Sp3	Specificity protein 1 and 3
TAK1	TGFβ-activated kinase 1

(Continued)

(Continued)

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1387903

Continued

ТСМ	Central memory T-cells
TCR	T-cell receptor
TEM	Effector memory T-cells
Tfh	T-follicular helper cells
TRM	Tissue resident memory T-cells
Tregs	Regulatory T-cells
TRX	Thioredoxin
Trx/TrxR	Thioredoxin/thioredoxin reductase
UC	Ulcerative colitis