Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wai Po Chong, Hong Kong Baptist University, China

REVIEWED BY

Luciana Cavalheiro Marti, Albert Einstein Israelite Hospital, Brazil Masaki Yasukawa, Ehime Prefectural University of Health Sciences, Japan Jun Li, Nanjing Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhihai Chen Chenzhihai0001@126.com Ling Lin Inling4012@163.com

^tThese authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 30 January 2024 ACCEPTED 22 April 2024 PUBLISHED 15 May 2024

CITATION

Liu Z, Zhao C, Yu H, Zhang R, Xue X, Jiang Z, Ge Z, Xu Y, Zhang W, Lin L and Chen Z (2024) MCP-3 as a prognostic biomarker for severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome: a longitudinal cytokine profile study. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1379114. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1379114

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liu, Zhao, Yu, Zhang, Xue, Jiang, Ge, Xu, Zhang, Lin and Chen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

MCP-3 as a prognostic biomarker for severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome: a longitudinal cytokine profile study

Zishuai Liu^{1†}, Chenxi Zhao^{1†}, Hong Yu^{2†}, Rongling Zhang¹, Xiaoyu Xue¹, Zhouling Jiang¹, Ziruo Ge¹, Yanli Xu², Wei Zhang¹, Ling Lin^{2*} and Zhihai Chen^{1*}

¹National Key Laboratory of Intelligent Tracking and Forecasting for Infectious Diseases, Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Yantai Qishan Hospital, Yantai, Shandong, China

Introduction: Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is characterized by a high mortality rate and is associated with immune dysregulation. Cytokine storms may play an important role in adverse disease regression, this study aimed to assess the validity of MCP-3 in predicting adverse outcomes in SFTS patients and to investigate the longitudinal cytokine profile in SFTS patients.

Methods: The prospective study was conducted at Yantai Qishan Hospital from May to November 2022. We collected clinical data and serial blood samples during hospitalization, patients with SFTS were divided into survival and non-survival groups based on the clinical prognosis.

Results: The levels of serum 48 cytokines were measured using Luminex assays. Compared to healthy controls, SFTS patients exhibited higher levels of most cytokines. The non-survival group had significantly higher levels of 32 cytokines compared to the survival group. Among these cytokines, MCP-3 was ranked as the most significant variable by the random forest (RF) model in predicting the poor prognosis of SFTS patients. Additionally, we validated the predictive effects of MCP-3 through receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis with an AUC of 0.882 (95% CI, 0.787-0.978, P < 0.001), and the clinical applicability of MCP-3 was assessed favorably based on decision curve analysis (DCA). The Spearman correlation analysis indicated that the level of MCP-3 was positively correlated with ALT, AST, LDH, α -HBDH, APTT, D-dimer, and viral load (P < 0.01).

Discussion: For the first time, our study identified and validated that MCP-3 could serve as a meaningful biomarker for predicting the fatal outcome of SFTS patients. The longitudinal cytokine profile analyzed that abnormally increased cytokines were associated with the poor prognosis of SFTS patients. Our study provides new insights into exploring the pathogenesis of cytokines with organ damage and leading to adverse effects.

KEYWORDS

severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, longitudinal cytokine profile, MCP-3, biomarker, predictive



Introduction

Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an acute tick-borne disease resulting from SFTS virus (SFTSV) infection (1). Reports indicate that SFTSV can also be transmitted among family members through close contact (2), involving exposure to blood and aerosols. Some patients were infected through close contact with sick domestic cats infected with SFTSV (3, 4). Since its first report in 2009, SFTSV has been spreading across several countries and regions, including Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, Vietnam, and Thailand (5-9). As of 2019, 13824 laboratory-confirmed cases have been reported across 25 provinces in China (10). Despite a decrease from 30% in the early stages due to improved understanding, the case fatality rate remains high at 6.1%-21.8% (11, 12), several studies showed the efficiency of favipiravir in treating SFTS patients (13, 14). Timely recognition and assessment of patients with severe conditions, providing sufficient and comprehensive supportive measures, are crucial for improving outcomes in individuals with SFTS. Therefore, accurately identifying critically ill patients at an early stage is of utmost importance in clinical practice.

Currently, the mechanism underlying the severity of SFTS is not well understood. Nevertheless, multiple studies have suggested a close association between the poor prognosis of SFTS patients and cytokine storm (15, 16). Numerous studies have explored the risk factors associated with adverse outcomes in SFTS patients, including clinical symptoms, signs, and laboratory parameters (17). However, only a limited number have delved into the role of cytokines in predicting a poor prognosis.

Monocyte chemoattractant protein-3 (MCP-3) is a chemokine encoded by the C-C motif chemokine ligand 7 (CCL7) gene (18). It is mainly expressed in monocytes, fibroblasts, and T cells. MCP-3 functions by attracting monocytes and eosinophils, but not neutrophils (19). Additionally, it enhances the anti-tumor activity of monocytes and binds to CC motif chemokine receptor (CCR) 1, CCR2, and CCR3, thereby influencing immune cell function (20). Previous studies have shown the robust performance of MCP-3 in identifying disease severity and predicting poor prognosis in various infectious and non-infectious diseases. For example, Sun L et al. successfully differentiated between mild and severe asthma patients using MCP-3 (21). Findings by Yang indicated a significant correlation between high serum MCP-3 concentrations and the severity of COVID-19 patients (22). Although the role of MCP-3 in predicting an unfavorable prognosis for SFTS patients remains unexplored, previous research on SFTS has indicated the crucial significance of MCP-3 in prognosticating a fatal outcome in SFTS patients. For instance, studies suggest that the MCP-3 binding protein CCR2 is the receptor for SFTSV to enter the host (23). Other studies have demonstrated that monocytes, one of the primary target cells of SFTSV, are closely associated with severe disease in patients (24). Additionally, eosinophils, one of the central chemotactic cells of MCP-3, have been identified as an independent risk factor for adverse outcomes in patients with

SFTS (25). Similarly, research has shown increased intrathecal expression of CCL7 in patients with tick-borne encephalitis (26).

It is reasonable to suspect that MCP-3 plays a significant role in the cytokine storm triggered by SFTSV infection. Furthermore, the concentration of MCP-3 closely correlates with the severity of SFTS disease, serving as a reliable indicator for predicting the prognosis of SFTS patients. To validate this hypothesis, we conducted a study using the Luminex technique to assess 48 cytokines in 78 SFTS patients with varying clinical outcomes. We also monitored the dynamic changes in these 48 factors as the disease progressed. Our study provides initial evidence of the valuable predictive and prognostic role of MCP-3 in SFTS disease.

Materials and methods

Study design and patients

This prospective study was performed from May to November 2022 at Yantai Qishan Hospital and included patients diagnosed with SFTS who were admitted to the hospital during this period and met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The diagnostic criteria for SFTS were positive real-time fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for SFTS virus RNA during hospitalization. The exclusion criteria were: (1) Patients with other viral infections; (2) Previous leukemia, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura, and other blood system diseases; (3) Previous autoimmune diseases. In total, 78 patients meeting the enrollment criteria were included in the final analysis, of whom 18 had a fatal outcome. The endpoints observed in this study were defined as discharge or death (the complete study schematic chart is shown in Figure 1). The Ethics Committee of the lead center, Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital



Medical University, approved this study, which strictly adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Patients were informed and signed the informed consent.

Data collection

Patient information, encompassing baseline demographics, clinical characteristics, and laboratory parameters, was extracted from medical records upon admission. Acute phase means within 7 days post-symptom onset (24, 27). We acquired serial peripheral blood samples from 78 SFTS patients 12 hours after admission and every other day during their hospitalization. These serum samples were promptly centrifuged and stored in a freezer at -80°C until further analysis. Besides, six volunteers were selected as healthy controls and matched for gender and age (Supplementary Table 1).

Cytokine assay

The subjects' serum levels of 48 cytokines were quantified with the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine Screening Panel 48-Plex (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, USA; 12007283) following the manufacturer protocol. Plate analysis was conducted using the Luminex 200 platform (Milliplex Analyst, version 5.1). We applied a standard curve with known recombinant human concentrations of cytokine to convert fluorescence units to cytokine concentration units (pg/mL). The assay examined the following cytokines: interleukin (IL)-1a, IL-1b, IL-1ra, IL-2, IL-2Rα, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12(p40), IL-12 (p70), IL-13, IL-15, IL-16, IL-17, IL-18, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), stem cell factor (SCF), stem cell growth factor (SCGF)-β, interferon (IFN)-γ, IFN-α2, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a, TNF-b, tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), basic fibroblast growth factors (FGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), β -nerve growth factor (NGF), CXCL1 (GRO- α), CXCL8 (IL-8), CXCL9 (MIG), CXCL10 (IP-10), CXCL12 (SDF-1a), CCL2 (MCP-1), CCL3 (MIP-1α), CCL4 (MIP-1β), CCL5 (RANTES), CCL7(MCP-3), CCL11 (Eotaxin), CCL27 (CTACK), macrophage migration Inhibitory Factor (MIF). In statistical analyses, cytokines were excluded if over 50% of values fell outside the upper or lower limits of detection, and if the concentration was below the lowest detection limit, 50% of the lowest detection value was used (28, 29).

Definition

Skin change is defined as at least one of the signs: skin color changes, skin eruption, or the development of nodules. Neurological abnormalities encompass changes in consciousness and signs such as involuntary movements, nerve reflexes, and muscle tension.

Statistical analyses

Normal distribution data were presented as mean ± standard deviation and compared between groups using the independentsamples t-test. Abnormally distributed data were presented as median with interquartile range (IQR) and compared between groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as percentage and analyzed using the χ^2 test. The RF algorithm was employed to screen for important variables, and the predictive accuracy of prognostics was assessed using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. Correlations between variables were evaluated using the Spearman correlation test. Linear mixed models were utilized for continuous outcomes and estimated marginal means (EMMs) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software (version 26.0), and figures were generated using the R programming language (version 4.3.1). All tests were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline demographic, laboratory, and clinical characteristics

We enrolled 78 patients with confirmed SFTS in our study. Based on clinical outcomes, they were categorized into a survival group (n=60) and a non-survival group (n=18), resulting in a fatality rate of 23.1% (18/78). Table 1 presents the baseline demographics, clinical characteristics, and laboratory parameters at admission for both survival and non-survival groups. All SFTS patients had an average age of 65.89 \pm 11.19 years. Among these patients, the survivors had an average age of 64.92 ± 11.76 years, while the non-survivors had higher average age of 69.11 ± 8.54 years. Out of the total 78 patients, 37 (47.4%) were male, including 27 (45.0%) in the survival group and 10 (55.6%) in the non-survival group. There was no significant difference in age or gender between the two groups (P > 0.05). In comparison to the survival group (925.5, 404.25-2773.25), the non-survival group (3858, 1746.5-4921) exhibited a higher viral load, demonstrating significant differences (P < 0.05). In terms of laboratory examination, we observed that alanine aminotransaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), direct bilirubin (DBIL), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (CREA), creatine kinase (CK), creatine kinase isoenzyme MB (CK-MB), α-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase (α-HBDH), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), K⁺, Na⁺, procalcitonin (PCT), activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT), thrombin time (TT), and D-dimer levels were significantly higher in the non-survival group compared to the survival group (P < 0.05). All patients showed a decrease in white blood cell (WBC) counts, lymphocytes (LYM), and platelet (PLT) counts, while there was no significant difference between the two groups (P > 0.05).

Comparing cytokine levels during the acute phase between the two groups

Due to more than 50% of values being lower limits of detection, 8 cytokines, including IL-2, IL-3, IL-5, IL-7, IL-12 (p70), IL-15,

TABLE 1 Baseline demographics, clinical characteristics, and laboratory parameters of patients with SFTS.

Variables	All patients (N=78)	Survivors (N=60)	Non-Survivors (N=18)	p-value		
Demographics						
Age(years)	65.89 ± 11.19	64.92 ± 11.76	69.11 ± 8.54	0.165		
Male	37(47.4%)	27(45.0%)	10(55.6%)	0.432		
Total disease duration (d)	13.00(11.00-17.25)	14.00(12.25-18.75)	10.50(8.75-13.25)	0.003		
Time from onset to admission (d)	5.00(4.00-6.00)	5.00(4.00-6.00)	5.00(3.75-6.00)	0.991		
Time of hospitalization(d)	9.00(6.75-13.00)	9.00(7.25-13.00)	6.00(3.75-8.25)	0.058		
Highest temperature (°C)	38.71 ± 0.67	38.73 ± 0.71	38.63 ± 0.53	0.570		
Viral load (TCID ₅₀ /ml)	1899.00(420.25-3780.50)	925.50(404.25-2773.25)	3858.00(1746.50-4921.00)	0.005		
Bite by ticks	27(34.6%)	19(31.7%)	8(44.4%)	0.318		
Symptoms						
Weak	56(71.8%)	43(71.7%)	13(72.2%)	0.963		
Shiver	26(33.3%)	21(35.0%)	5(27.8%)	0.569		
Inappetence	30(38.5%)	22(36.7%)	8(44.4%)	0.552		
Nausea	26(33.3%)	18(30.0%)	8(44.4%)	0.254		
Vomiting	17(21.8%)	10(16.7%)	7(38.9%)	0.093		

(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued

Variables	All patients (N=78)	Survivors (N=60)	Non-Survivors (N=18)	<i>p</i> -value			
Symptoms							
Diarrhea	18(23.1%)	16(26.7%)	2(11.1%)	0.291			
Abdominal pain	10(12.8%)	7(11.7%)	3(16.7%)	0.877			
Muscular soreness	22(28.2%)	17(28.3%)	5(27.8%)	0.963			
Signs							
Skin changes	5(6.4%)	2(3.3%)	3(16.7%)	0.140			
Lymphadenectasis	19(24.4%)	17(28.3%)	2(11.1%)	0.238			
Neurological system	12(15.4%)	8(13.3%)	4(22.2%)	0.586			
Laboratory examination							
WBC (3.5-9.5*10 ⁹ /L)	2.90(1.76-4.18)	2.81(1.62-4.19)	3.06(2.25-4.41)	0.334			
Neutrophils (1.8-6.3*10 ⁹ /L)	1.72(0.93-2.98)	1.63(0.87-2.88)	2.19(1.57-3.24)	0.148			
Lymphocytes (1.1-3.2*10 ⁹ /L)	0.64(0.42-0.98)	0.64(0.43-1.00)	0.67(0.38-0.90)	0.695			
Monocytes (0.1-0.6*10 ⁹ /L)	0.19(0.07-0.29)	0.18(0.07-0.26)	0.26(0.08-0.44)	0.196			
HGB (130-175g/L)	140.05 ± 18.24	138.30 ± 17.44	145.89 ± 20.12	0.122			
PLT (125-350*10 ⁹ /L)	59.00(46.75-78.25)	61.00(49.00-82.00)	51.00(42.00-64.75)	0.066			
ALT (9-50U/L)	65.00(38.78-134.63)	52.70(38.30-111.78)	133.25(64.90-248.35)	0.005			
AST (15-40U/L)	151.50(74.20-331.28)	120.25(61.55-205.28)	379.50(170.00-722.53)	<0.001			
GGT (11-49U/L)	27.00(17.75-66.25)	25.50(17.00-63.50)	39.00(23.96-73.75)	0.245			
ALP (40-150U/L)	59.65(51.38-90.78)	58.00(50.38-86.33)	65.30(54.08-108.98)	0.217			
Albumin (35-53g/L)	30.92 ± 4.90	31.24 ± 4.85	29.86 ± 5.06	0.295			
TBil (2.0-20.4µmol/L)	10.27(7.60-13.04)	9.38(7.50-12.49)	10.67(8.78-19.70)	0.081			
DBil (0.1-3.4µmol/L)	3.39(2.36-5.14)	3.22(2.32-4.92)	4.61(2.94-8.26)	0.030			
BUN (1.7-8.3mmol/L)	6.28(4.19-8.15)	5.34(3.87-6.86)	9.60(7.35-13.70)	<0.001			
CREA (40-106µmol/L)	66.00(53.23-86.05)	62.60(51.65-71.50)	103.50(69.28-172.38)	<0.001			
CK (0-190U/L)	497.00(213.25-1347.75)	366.00(183.25-961.75)	1345.00(475.25-2727.50)	0.006			
CK-MB (0-5ng/mL)	4.02(2.32-10.50)	3.55(1.76-9.73)	7.50(3.50-22.47)	0.012			
α-HBDH (72-182U/L)	481.51(263.52-584.00)	322.96(241.84-489.29)	609.02(507.60-936.73)	<0.001			
LDH (80-285U/L)	611.00(370.00-980.25)	522.00(353.25-819.00)	1042.50(714.73-1829.75)	<0.001			
K ⁺ (3.5-5.1mmol/L)	3.68(3.38-4.00)	3.61(3.31-3.87)	4.02(3.49-4.62)	0.019			
Na ⁺ (136-146mmol/L)	134.64 ± 4.69	133.97 ± 4.55	136.90 ± 4.55	0.019			
Hs-CRP (0-5mg/L)	4.07(1.48-12.51)	3.48(1.27-9.46)	10.86(3.12-14.65)	0.081			
PCT (0-0.05ng/ml)	0.16(0.10-0.46)	0.14(0.09-0.25)	0.51(0.30-1.04)	<0.001			
PT (11-14.5s)	12.60(12.00-13.20)	12.60(11.90-13.20)	12.60(12.28-13.30)	0.316			
APTT (28-43.5s)	49.85(43.90-58.65)	47.15(43.38-55.60)	58.70(51.23-66.00)	0.002			
TT (14-21s)	23.85(21.48-27.90)	23.40(21.03-26.20)	29.15(23.38-42.18)	0.006			
D-dimer (0-0.5µg/ml)	2.67(1.63-4.57)	2.45(1.38-3.42)	5.70(2.49-8.52)	0.001			

The Categorical variables were presented by frequencies and percentages (n, %), and tested with the χ 2 test. Continuous variables were summarized as means and standard deviations (SD) or as medians and interquartile range (IQR) and tested with t-test or Mann–Whitney U test. P values comparing the group of survival and non-survival. WBC, White blood cell; HGB, Hemoglobin; PLT, Platelet; ALT, Alanine aminotransferase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; GGT, γ -glutamyl transferase; ALP, Alkaline phosphatase; TBiL, Total bilirubin; DBil, Direct bilirubin; BUN, Blood urea nitrogen; CREA, Creatinine; CK, Creatine kinase; CK-MB, Creatine kinase isoenzyme MB; α -HBDH, α -hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase; LDH, Lactate dehydrogenase; Hs-CRP, High-sensitivity C-reactive protein; PCT, Procalcitonin; PT, Prothrombin time; APTT, Activated partial thromboplastin time; TT, Thrombin time. Bold values indicate p-values co105 statistically different Bold values indicate p-values <0.05, statistically different.

VEGF, and β -NGF, were excluded from the analysis. The results revealed that in the acute phase of SFTS, non-survival group had significantly higher levels of 32 cytokines, such as IL-1 α , IL-1 β , IL-1ra, IL-2R α , IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12(p40), IL-16, IL-17, L-18, LIF, G-CSF, M-CSF, SCF, IFN- γ , IFN- α 2, TNF- α , TRAIL, basic FGF, HGF, MCP-1, MIP-1 α , MCP-3, Eotaxin, CTACK, IL-8, MIG, IP-10, SDF-1 α , and MIF compared to survival group, and these differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). Simultaneously, these cytokine levels were elevated compared to healthy controls, except for MIF in survivors (Figure 2).

MCP-3 serves as a crucial biomarker in predicting the prognosis of SFTS patients

The 78 patients were randomly divided into a training set and a test set at a 7:3 ratio using random seeds with the RF algorithm model. Supplementary Figure 1A illustrates the relationship between error and the number of decision trees, with 500 trees chosen as the number of RF models, indicating a stable error. Subsequently, we employed ROC curves to evaluate the predictive ability of the model. The area under curve (AUC) of the ROC for the training set and test set was 1.000 (95% CI: 0.935-1.000) and 0.870 (95% CI: 0.664-0.972), respectively (Supplementary Figure 1B). We assessed the importance of 40 cytokines by analyzing the output results of MeanDecreaseAccuracy (Figure 3A) and MeanDecreaseGini (Figure 3B). The top 20 important variables were then presented, with MCP-3 identified as the most crucial predictive cytokine for the critical outcome of SFTS patients in acute phase.

Subsequently, ROC curve analysis was employed to assess the specificity and sensitivity of MCP-3 in predicting SFTS patients' fatal clinical outcomes. MCP-3 had an AUC of 0.882 (95% CI: 0.787-0.978, P < 0.001), with a cutoff value of 10.89 pg/ml (Figure 4A). Based on the cutoff value, all patients were categorized into low-risk groups, characterized by MCP-3 levels less than or equal to the cutoff value, and high-risk groups,



FIGURE 2

Comparison of cytokine levels between survival and non-survival groups in patients with SFTS in the acute phase. Following the logarithmic transformation of cytokine results, compare the acute-phase cytokine levels between the survival and non-survival groups in SFTS patients. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001. NS, not significant. IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon; TNF, tumor necrosis factor alpha; LIF, leukemia inhibitory factor; G-CSF, granulocyte colony stimulating factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor; M-CSF, macrophage colony stimulating factor; SCF, stem cell factor; SCGF-beta, stem cell growth factor beta; TRAIL, TNF related apoptosis-inducing ligand; Basic FGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; beta-NGF, nerve growth factor beta; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; PDGF-BB, platelet derived growth factor-BB; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; CTACK, cutaneous T cell attracting chemokine; Eotaxin, recombinant human eotaxin; GRO-alpha, growth-related oncogene alpha; IP-10, interferon; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; RANTES, regulated upon activation normal T Cell expressed and presumably secreted; SDF-1alpha, stromal cell derived factor 1alpha.



FIGURE 3

The importance of variables ranked by random forest. Variable importance was ranked according to calculating MeanDecreaseAccuracy (A) and MeanDecreaseGini (B). The chart displays the top 20 important variables, with the color bar length indicating the contribution from each variable.



FIGURE 4

Evaluating the ability of MCP-3 to predict poor prognosis in SFTS patients. (A) The MCP-3 had an AUC of 0.882 (P < 0.001, 95%Cl 0.787-0.978); (B) Comparing fatality across different MCP-3 levels. ***P<0.001. (C) Kaplan–Meier survival estimate based on the MCP-3 level cut-off; (D) Decision curve analysis for the MCP-3. The y-axis represents net benefit, and the x-axis represents the threshold probability (High Risk Threshold). MCP-3, monocyte chemoattractant protein-3; AUC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve. characterized by MCP-3 levels higher than the cutoff value. The fatality rate of the high-risk group (65.2%) was significantly higher than that of the low-risk group (5.5%) (P < 0.001) (Figure 4B). We further employed the Kaplan-Meier (KM) analysis method to estimate cumulative survival. The results revealed that SFTS patients in the high-risk group, also known as MCP-3 high group, exhibited lower cumulative survival than those in the low-risk group (P < 0.001) (Figure 4C). Furthermore, the clinical utility of MCP-3 in predicting adverse outcomes in patients with SFTS in the acute phase was evaluated through a decision curve analysis (DCA). As shown in Figure 4D, at threshold probabilities between 0.1 and 0.7, the clinical benefit of MCP-3 was markedly superior to that of complete intervention or no intervention at all.

Correlation analysis of cytokines with laboratory parameters

The correlation analysis of cytokines with laboratory parameters is presented in Figure 5. As depicted in the figure, MCP-3 exhibited positive correlations not only with various organ damage parameters, such as ALT, AST, LDH, α -HBDH, APTT, and D-dimer, but also with viral load. From Figure 5, it is evident that D-dimer exhibited significant positive correlations with various cytokines, particularly M-CSF, MCP-3, and IL-16. Moreover, LDH and α -HBDH, which are two markers of organ damage, showed positive correlations with various cytokines, especially with M-CSF, MCP-3, MIG, IL-16, IL-10, and HGF.



FIGURE 5

Bubble chart illustrating cytokines associated with laboratory tests. The chart depicts correlations between cytokines and laboratory tests using Spearman correlation analysis. Red bubbles indicate positive correlations, blue bubbles indicate negative correlations. The size of the bubbles represents significant differences, and all bubbles in the graphs indicate significant differences (*P* < 0.01). IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon; TNF, tumor necrosis factor alpha; LIF, leukemia Inhibitory factor; G-CSF, granulocyte colony stimulating factor; SCGF-beta, stem cell growth factor beta; TRAIL, TNF related apoptosis-inducing ligand; Basic FGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; beta-NGF, nerve growth factor beta; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; PDGF-BB, platelet derived growth factor-BB; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; CTACK, cutaneous T cell attracting chemokine; Eotaxin, recombinant human eotaxin; GRO-alpha, growth-related oncogene alpha; IP-10, interferon; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; RANTES, regulated upon activation normal T Cell expressed and presumably secreted; SDF-1alpha, stromal cell derived factor 1alpha; ALT, alanine aminotransaminase; AST, Aspartate aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CREA, creatinine; CK, creatine phosphokinase; CK-MB, creatine kinase isoenzyme-MB; HGB, hemoglobin; PCT, procalcitonin; GGT, γ-glutamyl transferase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; TBIL, total bilirubin; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; ALB, albumin; TT, thrombin time; DBIL, direct bilirubin; HSCRP, hypersensitive C-reactive protein.

Longitudinal cytokine profiles of SFTS patients with different clinical outcomes

In this study, MCP-3 levels exhibited a rapid increase, reaching a maximum, followed by a decrease and stabilization at higher levels until death in non-survivors. These levels consistently surpassed those in survivors and healthy controls. A similar trend was observed for IL-1a, IL-1Ra, IL-4, IL-10, IL-17, LIF, IFN-γ, GM-CSF, M-CSF, SCGF-β, basic FGF, HGF, MCP-1, Eotaxin, MIG, and IP-10. The levels of IL-6, IL-16, IL-18, IFNα2, HGF, MCP-1, MCP-3, Eotaxin, CTACK, MIG, and MIF were significantly elevated in non-survivors, whereas they remained relatively stable in survivors, comparable to healthy controls. Cytokines such as IL-6, IL-16, IFN-02, HGF, MCP-1, MCP-3, Eotaxin, MIG, and MIF exhibited similar levels when comparing HCs and survivors. Additionally, we noted lower levels of IL-9, TNF-β, PDGF-BB, and RANTES in non-survivors compared to survivors during hospitalization. Unlike other cytokines, the concentrations of them tended to increase during the late course of the disease in survivors (Figure 6).

Discussion

SFTS is a newly emerging infectious disease with a high mortality rate. The World Health Organization has recognized SFTSV as one of the top-prioritized pathogens for research and development (30). Compared to healthy controls, the study observed that all SFTS patients showed increased levels of various cytokines, except for individual ones such as IL-9, TNF- β , GRO- α , and RANTES. Further analysis indicated that the non-survival group exhibited significantly higher levels of 32 cytokines than the survival group. This finding aligns with previous research, supporting the notion that the immune dysfunction-cytokine storm closely linked to the unfavorable prognosis of SFTS patients (31, 32).

According to the RF algorithm model, MCP-3 has identified as a crucial factor in predicting adverse clinical outcomes in SFTS patients. In non-survivors of SFTS, MCP-3 levels rapidly increased during the acute phase, reaching the highest level. As the disease progressed, MCP-3 concentrations decreased slightly but remained higher than in non-survivors until death. Moreover, compared to healthy controls, the MCP-3 concentration in the patients in the survival group showed a slight increase, but no significant difference observed.

MCP-3 is a member of the monocyte chemotactic proteins (MCP) subgroup of CC chemokines. It was produced by various cells, including monocytes, T lymphocytes, fibroblasts, and platelets (19). MCP-3 is a highly versatile chemokine, activating a broad spectrum of leukocytes, such as natural killer cells and T lymphocytes (33). It is a chemoattractant for monocytes, eosinophils, macrophages, basophils, lymphocytes, and dendritic cells (20). studies have demonstrated the pivotal role of MCP-3 in distinguishing between infectious and non-infectious diseases.



Dynamic evolution of serum cytokines in SFTS patients. Estimated marginal means (EMMs) and 95%CI with linear mixed-effects models for dynamic analysis of cytokines. Error bars indicate 95%CI. The dotted horizontal line within each cytokine panel represents the average value for healthy controls.

Research by Sun L's team indicates the potential utility of serum MCP-3 levels in distinguishing between patients with mild and severe asthma (21). Yang et al. examined 48 cytokines in the peripheral blood of COVID-19 patients, identifying IP-10, MCP-3, and IL-1ra as biomarkers associated with disease severity and fatal outcomes (34).

Although there is currently no relevant study on the mechanism by which MCP-3 mediates the severity of SFTS, we attempted to analyze it from two perspectives: viral infiltration and inflammatory damage. Our study found that the serum SFTSV level was significantly higher in the non-survival group than in the survival group, and the serum MCP-3 level positively correlated with the SFTSV. CCR2 is recognized as one of the primary receptors of MCP-3 (35). Recent studies have also identified CCR2 as the host receptor of SFTSV. Knocking out CCR2 has been shown to significantly reduce virus binding and infection, while increased expression of CCR2 leads to enhanced infection (23). Hence, it is plausible that MCP-3 assists CCR2 in mediating virus invasion into the host. Additionally, studies have demonstrated that in COVID-19 patients, the increase in viral load corresponds to the upregulation of critical chemokines, including CCL7, CCL2, CXCL8, and others (36, 37).

In terms of inflammation, MCP-3, as an inflammatory cytokine, plays a crucial role in maintaining a balanced level of circulating inflammatory monocytes. A deficiency in MCP-1 or MCP-3 results in approximately 40-50% decrease in monocyte recruitment during infection (38). A study by Girkin J's team demonstrated that CCL7 is the most significantly upregulated gene induced by rhinovirus infection, and inhibiting CCL7 reduces inflammation and airway hyperreactivity (AHR) (39). Additionally, children with naturally occurring viral infections release high concentrations of MCP-3 and MCP-4 into their nasal secretions (40). To examine the relationship between MCP-3 and organ damage, correlation analysis was conducted on laboratory indicators such as ALT, AST, LDH, α-HBDH, APTT, and D-dimer etc. Previous studies identified these indicators as independent risk factors for poor prognosis in SFTS patients. The findings revealed a significant positive correlation between MCP-3 and these indicators.

In this study, we found that the concentrations of TNF- β had increased in the late stage for survivors, and the related studies were rarely reported in SFTS. There was a study found that TNF- β was considerably increased in patients with COVID-19 (41). Previous studies have shown that the concentrations of RANTES in plasma tended to increase during the late course of the disease, which was consistent with our findings in the survival group (42, 43), similar finding was reported in the late stage of survivors with Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) that RANTES marked elevation (44). Our study also suggested that the PLT levels are closely correlated with the levels of RANTES. Another study manifested that RANTES had large fluctuations after onset, and the higher virus loads suppressed the production of RANTES to a large extent (15). RANTES can recruit and activate T cells and they may play a crucial role in recovery from SFTS.

This study has certain limitations. Firstly, the sample size is limited, and the source is singular. Secondly, although we observed the role of MCP-3 in the prognosis of SFTS patients, further experiments are needed to explore its mechanism of action. Lastly, in future research, we aim to expand the sample size and sources, employing sequencing technology to investigate the mechanisms underlying the elevated MCP-3 levels and their impact on patients with severe SFTS.

Conclusions

In summary, we observed a correlation between abnormally elevated MCP-3 levels and a poor prognosis in SFTS patients. This study is the first to reveal that MCP-3 levels could serve as a meaningful biomarker for predicting the severe and fatal outcome of SFTS patients. These findings contribute to the advancement of our understanding, exploration, and management of SFTS.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Ditan Hospital, Capital Medical University (NO. DTEC-KY2022-022-01) and conducted in accordance with the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All participants provided written informed consent. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ZL: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HY: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. RZ: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. XX: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft. ZJ: Investigation, Resources, Writing – original draft. ZG: Data curation, Writing – original draft. YX: Investigation, Writing – original draft. WZ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. LL: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZC: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 82072295).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all the healthcare workers who contributed to this study. We extend our thanks to the Department of Infectious Diseases at Yantai Qishan Hospital for their valuable support. The graphical abstract was created with BioRender.com and has been obtained for use of copyrighted.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1379114/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Yu XJ, Liang MF, Zhang SY, Liu Y, Li JD, Sun YL, et al. Fever with thrombocytopenia associated with a novel bunyavirus in China. N Engl J Med. (2011) 364:1523–32. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1010095

2. Liu T, Zhang N, Li H, Hou S, Liu X. Analysis of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome cluster in east China. *Virol J.* (2023) 20:199. doi: 10.1186/s12985-023-02155-3

3. Yamanaka A, Kirino Y, Fujimoto S, Ueda N, Himeji D, Miura M, et al. Direct transmission of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus from domestic cat to veterinary personnel. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:2994–8. doi: 10.3201/eid2612.191513

4. Park ES, Shimojima M, Nagata N, Ami Y, Yoshikawa T, Iwata-Yoshikawa N, et al. Severe Fever with Thrombocytopenia Syndrome Phlebovirus causes lethal viral hemorrhagic fever in cats. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:11990. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-48317-8

5. Kobayashi Y, Kato H, Yamagishi T, Shimada T, Matsui T, Yoshikawa T, et al. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Japan, 2013-2017. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:692–9. doi: 10.3201/eid2604.191011

6. Yoo JR, Heo ST, Song SW, Bae SG, Lee S, Choi S, et al. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus in ticks and SFTS incidence in humans, South Korea. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2020) 26:2292-4. doi: 10.3201/eid2609.200065

7. Lin TL, Ou SC, Maeda K, Shimoda H, Chan JP, Tu WC, et al. The first discovery of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus in Taiwan. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* (2020) 9:148–51. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2019.1710436

8. Tran XC, Yun Y, Van An L, Kim SH, Thao NTP, Man PKC, et al. Endemic severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Vietnam. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2019) 25:1029–31. doi: 10.3201/eid2505.181463

9. Rattanakomol P, Khongwichit S, Linsuwanon P, Lee KH, Vongpunsawad S, Poovorawan Y. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus infection, Thailand, 2019-2020. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2022) 28:2572-4. doi: 10.3201/eid2812.221183

10. Huang X, Li J, Li A, Wang S, Li D. Epidemiological characteristics of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome from 2010 to 2019 in mainland China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:3092. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18063092

11. Wang Y, Song Z, Wei X, Yuan H, Xu X, Liang H, et al. Clinical laboratory parameters and fatality of Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS Negl Trop Dis.* (2022) 16:e0010489. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010489

12. Liang S, Xie W, Li Z, Zhang N, Wang X, Qin Y, et al. Analysis of fatal cases of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in Jiangsu province, China, between 2011 and 2022: A retrospective study. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1076226. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1076226

 Suemori K, Saijo M, Yamanaka A, Himeji D, Kawamura M, Haku T, et al. A multicenter non-randomized, uncontrolled single arm trial for evaluation of the efficacy and the safety of the treatment with favipiravir for patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. *PloS Negl Trop Dis.* (2021) 15:e0009103. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pntd.0009103

14. Yuan Y, Lu QB, Yao WS, Zhao J, Zhang XA, Cui N, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety evaluation of favipiravir in treating patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. *EBioMedicine*. (2021) 72:103591. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103591

15. He Z, Wang B, Li Y, Hu K, Yi Z, Ma H, et al. Changes in peripheral blood cytokines in patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. *J Med Virol.* (2021) 93:4704–13. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26877

16. Mendoza CA, Ebihara H, Yamaoka S. Immune modulation and immunemediated pathogenesis of emerging tickborne banyangviruses. *Vaccines*. (2019) 7:125. doi: 10.3390/vaccines7040125

17. He Z, Wang B, Li Y, Du Y, Ma H, Li X, et al. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiology, clinical signs, routine laboratory diagnosis, risk factors, and outcomes. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2020) 20:575. doi: 10.1186/s12879-020-05303-0

18. Dahinden CA, Geiser T, Brunner T, von Tscharner V, Caput D, Ferrara P, et al. Monocyte chemotactic protein 3 is a most effective basophil- and eosinophil-activating chemokine. *J Exp Med.* (1994) 179:751–6. doi: 10.1084/jem.179.2.751

19. Rollins BJ. Chemokines. Blood. (1997) 90:909-28. doi: 10.1182/blood.V90.3.909

20. Power CA, Clemetson JM, Clemetson KJ, Wells TN. Chemokine and chemokine receptor mRNA expression in human platelets. *Cytokine*. (1995) 7:479-82. doi: 10.1006/cyto.1995.0065

21. Sun L, Peng B, Zhou J, Wang P, Mo Y, Xu G, et al. Difference of serum cytokine profile in allergic asthma patients according to disease severity. *J Asthma Allergy*. (2022) 15:315–26. doi: 10.2147/JAA.S345759

22. Yang Y, Shen C, Li J, Yuan J, Wei J, Huang F, et al. Plasma IP-10 and MCP-3 levels are highly associated with disease severity and predict the progression of COVID-19. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* (2020) 146:119–127.e4. doi: 10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.027

23. Zhang L, Peng X, Wang Q, Li J, Lv S, Han S, et al. CCR2 is a host entry receptor for severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus. *Sci Adv.* 9:eadg6856. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.adg6856

24. Li H, Li X, Lv S, Peng X, Cui N, Yang T, et al. Single-cell landscape of peripheral immune responses to fatal SFTS. *Cell Rep.* (2021) 37:110039. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110039

25. Liu Z, Zhang R, Liu Y, Ma R, Zhang L, Zhao Z, et al. Eosinophils and basophils in severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome patients: Risk factors for predicting the prognosis on admission. *PloS Negl Trop Dis.* (2022) 16:e0010967. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0010967

26. Grygorczuk S, Czupryna P, Pancewicz S, Świerzbińska R, Dunaj J, Siemieniako A, et al. The increased intrathecal expression of the monocyte-attracting chemokines CCL7 and CXCL12 in tick-borne encephalitis. *J Neurovirol.* (2021) 27:452–62. doi: 10.1007/s13365-021-00975-z

27. Liu Q, He B, Huang SY, Wei F, Zhu XQ. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, an emerging tick-borne zoonosis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2014) 14:763–72. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70718-2

 Maher TM, Oballa E, Simpson JK, Porte J, Habgood A, Fahy WA, et al. An epithelial biomarker signature for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: an analysis from the multicentre PROFILE cohort study. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2017) 5:946–55. doi: 10.1016/ S2213-2600(17)30430-7

29. Lubin JH, Colt JS, Camann D, Davis S, Cerhan JR, Severson RK, et al. Epidemiologic evaluation of measurement data in the presence of detection limits. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2004) 112:1691–6. doi: 10.1289/ehp.7199

30. Mehand MS, Millett P, Al-Shorbaji F, Roth C, Kieny MP, Murgue B. World health organization methodology to prioritize emerging infectious diseases in need of research and development. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2018) 24:e171427. doi: 10.3201/eid2409.171427

31. Fajgenbaum DC, June CH. Cytokine storm. N Engl J Med. (2020) 383:2255-73. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra2026131

32. Kang SY, Yoo JR, Park Y, Kim SH, Heo ST, Park SH, et al. Fatal outcome of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) and severe and critical COVID-

19 is associated with the hyperproduction of IL-10 and IL-6 and the low production of TGF- β . J Med Virol. (2023) 95:e28894. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28894

33. Menten P, Wuyts A, Van Damme J. Monocyte chemotactic protein-3. *Eur Cytokine Netw.* (2001) 12:554–60.

34. Yang Y, Shen C, Li J, Yuan J, Yang M, Wang F, et al. Exuberant elevation of IP-10, MCP-3 and IL-1ra during SARS-CoV-2 infection is associated with disease severity and fatal outcome. *medRxiv*. (2020). doi: 10.1101/2020.03.02.20029975

35. Huma ZE, Sanchez J, Lim HD, Bridgford JL, Huang C, Parker BJ, et al. Key determinants of selective binding and activation by the monocyte chemoattractant proteins at the chemokine receptor CCR2. *Sci Signal.* (2017) 10:eaai8529. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aai8529

36. Lucas C, Wong P, Klein J, Castro TBR, Silva J, Sundaram M, et al. Longitudinal analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. *Nature*. (2020) 584:463–9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2588-y

37. Blanco-Melo D, Nilsson-Payant BE, Liu WC, Uhl S, Hoagland D, Møller R, et al. Imbalanced host response to SARS-coV-2 drives development of COVID-19. *Cell.* (2020) 181:1036–45. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.026

38. Shi C, Pamer EG. Monocyte recruitment during infection and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2011) 11:762–74. doi: 10.1038/nri3070

39. Girkin J, Hatchwell L, Foster P, Johnston SL, Bartlett N, Collison A, et al. CCL7 and IRF7 mediate hallmark inflammatory and interferon responses following

rhinovirus 1B infection. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950. (2015) 194:4924–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401362

40. Santiago J, Hernández-Cruz JL, Manjarrez-Zavala ME, Montes-Vizuet R, Rosete-Olvera DP, Tapia-Díaz AM, et al. Role of monocyte chemotactic protein-3 and -4 in children with virus exacerbation of asthma. *Eur Respir J.* (2008) 32:1243–9. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00085107

41. Wang YC, Tsai CH, Wang YC, Yen LC, Chang YW, Sun JR, et al. SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein, rather than spike protein, triggers a cytokine storm originating from lung epithelial cells in patients with COVID-19. *Infection*. (2023). doi: 10.1007/s15010-023-02142-4

42. Xu DL, Zhang XM, Tian XY, Wang XJ, Zhao L, Gao MY, et al. Changes in cytokine levels in patients with severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus. *J Inflammation Res.* (2024) 17:211–22. doi: 10.2147/JIR.S444398

43. Hu LF, Wu T, Wang B, Wei YY, Kong QX, Ye Y, et al. The regulation of seventeen inflammatory mediators are associated with patient outcomes in severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome. *Sci Rep.* (2018) 8:159. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-18616-z

44. Hong KH, Choi JP, Hong SH, Lee J, Kwon JS, Kim SM, et al. Predictors of mortality in Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS). *Thorax.* (2018) 73(3):286–9. doi: 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209313