Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY José Mordoh, IIBBA-CONICET Leloir Institute Foundation, Argentina

REVIEWED BY Tibor Bakacs, Alfred Renyi Institute of Mathematics, Hungary Margaret Ottaviano, G. Pascale National Cancer Institute Foundation (IRCCS), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Michele Guida m.guida@oncologico.bari.it

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 12 January 2024 ACCEPTED 23 April 2024 PUBLISHED 10 May 2024

CITATION

Squicciarini T, Villani R, Apollonio B, Fucci L, Zambetti M, Rossini M, Pinto R, Tommasi S, De Roma I, Strippoli S and Guida M (2024) Case report: Is severe toxicity the price to pay for high sensitivity to checkpoint inhibitors immunotherapy in desmoplastic melanoma? *Front. Immunol.* 15:1369531. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1369531

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Squicciarini, Villani, Apollonio, Fucci, Zambetti, Rossini, Pinto, Tommasi, De Roma, Strippoli and Guida. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the **Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)**. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Case report: Is severe toxicity the price to pay for high sensitivity to checkpoint inhibitors immunotherapy in desmoplastic melanoma?

Teresa Squicciarini^{1†}, Rossella Villani^{1†}, Benedetta Apollonio¹, Livia Fucci², Milena Zambetti², Michele Rossini³, Rosamaria Pinto⁴, Stefania Tommasi⁴, Ileana De Roma¹, Sabino Strippoli¹ and Michele Guida^{1*}

¹Rare Tumors and Melanoma Unit, IRCCS Istituto Tumori Giovanni Paolo II, Bari, Italy, ²Pathology Unit, IRCCS Istituto Tumori Giovanni Paolo II, Bari, Italy, ³Nephrology, Dialysis and Transplantation, Department of Precision and Regenerative Medicine and Ionian Area, University of Bari, Bari, Italy, ⁴Molecular Diagnostics and Pharmacogenetics Unit, IRCCS Istituto Tumouri "Giovanni Paolo II", Bari, Italy

Background: Desmoplastic melanoma (DM) is a rare subtype of melanoma characterized by high immunogenicity which makes it particularly suitable for immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) treatment.

Case presentation: We report the case of a 53-year-old man with metastatic DM successfully treated with the combination of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 antibodies, who developed serious immune-related adverse events (irAEs). The primary tumor was characterized by absent PD-L1 expression and no-brisk lymphocytes infiltration. NGS showed absence of BRAF mutation, a high tumor mutational burden, and an UV-induced DNA damage signature. Metastatic lesions regressed rapidly after few cycles of ICIs until complete response, however the patient developed serious irAEs including hypothyroidism, adrenal deficiency, and acute interstitial nephritis which led to the definitive suspension of treatment. Currently, the patient has normal renal functionality and no disease relapse after 26 months from starting immunotherapy, and after 9 months from its definitive suspension.

Conclusion: Efficacy and toxicity are two sides of the same coin of high sensitivity to ICIs in DM. For this reason, these patients should be closely monitored during ICIs therapy to promptly identify serious side effects and to correctly manage them.

KEYWORDS

desmoplastic melanoma, checkpoint immunotherapy, renal toxicity, case report, irAE, multi-organ toxicity

Introduction

Systemic therapy for metastatic melanoma (MM) has dramatically changed in the past decades. Specific BRAF/MEK inhibitors for BRAF-mutant MM induce response rates of 70-80%, with progression-free survival (PFS) of 11-15 months and median overall survival (OS) of more than 2 years (1). Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), including monoclonal antibodies against CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4), and PD-1 (programmed death antigen 1) or its ligand PD-L1, have induced durable response rates of about 15% and 40%, respectively (2, 3). Interestingly, when ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4) is given in association with nivolumab (anti-PD-1), response rates rise to 60%. Unfortunately, at the same time, the incidence of immunerelated adverse events (irAEs) increases up to 60%-85%, with the most affected organs being the skin, endocrine glands, gastrointestinal tract, lungs, liver, and kidney (4, 5).

Desmoplastic melanoma (DM) accounts for less than 4% of all melanomas. It is characterized by the presence of spindle-shaped melanocytes dispersed within dense collagenous stroma and scattered lymphoid aggregates. DM association with ultraviolet light-induced DNA damage makes it rich in neoantigens and particularly suitable for ICIs treatment. However, strong ICIs-induced anti-tumor immune responses can expose patients to a higher risk of developing irAEs (6–10). Whether the magnitude of irAEs is correlated with better clinical outcomes in melanoma and other neoplasms is still a matter of debate (1, 4, 5, 11, 12).

Here, we report the case of a patient with metastatic DM successfully treated with a combination of anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1, who developed numerous serious immune-related side effects.

Case presentation

Clinical history

In February 2022, a 53-year-old man came to our Unit of Rare Tumors and Melanoma, at Istituto Tumori of Bari, Italy.

The patient's clinical history began in October 2021 when he underwent excision of a left supra-axillary skin nodulation and an incisional biopsy of another larger lesion in the same area. Histopathological diagnosis was suggestive of malignant peripheral nerve sheath neoplasia (MPNST) of superficial soft tissues.

In December 2021, CT staging scan showed increased skin thickness at the left scapular site, with nodulations in the subcutaneous adipose tissue and lung nodules at the left upper lobe (5 mm), left lower lobe (25 mm), and the middle lobe lateral segment (3 mm). PET-FDG performed in January 2022 confirmed cutaneous-subcutaneous lesions at the left suprascapular region (SUV 28) and pulmonary lesions at the upper segment of the left lower lobe (SUV 14).

In February 2022, after histological review at our Institute, the diagnosis of MPNST was updated to desmoplastic melanoma with Breslow thickness of 5 mm, Clark level V, mitosis 1x10Hpf, and absent ulceration (Figure 1A). PD-L1 expression was absent on tumor cells and TIL (Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes) were mostly excluded and localized in the peritumoral area (Figure 1B). Molecular analysis performed using next-generation sequencing (NGS) with a panel of 324 genes and introns of 36 genes involved in rearrangements, showed wild-type BRAF and pathological mutations in different genes (Table 1). The high tumor mutational burden and mutations in NF1, TERT, TP53, and NOTCH3 genes were concordant with the UV signature (10).

Treatment and response

In light of DM clinical aggressiveness and the lack of PD-L1 expression, the patient was treated with ipilimumab 3 mg/kg and nivolumab 1 mg/kg intravenously for 4 cycles, followed by nivolumab alone at the flat dose of 480 mg every 4 weeks

After two cycles, clinical complete remission of the left scapular lesions was observed. CT scan performed in May 2022, after 4 cycles of combined therapy, confirmed the complete regression of the cutaneous and subcutaneous lesions, and a partial response of lung metastases (Figures 1C, D).

At the same time, the patient exhibited hyposthenia G2 and headache G1. Blood tests documented adrenal deficiency with a low value of cortisol 9.7 ng/ml (normal range 57-194) and ACTH of 2.0 pg/ml (normal range 5-63), associated with subclinical hypothyroidism with TSH 0.08 μ UI/ml (normal range 0.25-5.0), fT3 5.18 pg/ml (2.0-5.0), fT4 1.75 ng/dl (0.7-1.7). Brain MRI showed a slight thinning of the pituitary gland (Figure 2A). Therefore, replacement therapy with thyroxine and cortone acetate was started (hydrocortisone, 37.5 mg cps/day), while continuing nivolumab immunotherapy.

CT re-evaluation scans, performed in September 2022, January 2023, and June 2023 confirmed the persistence of a complete response in the left scapular lesion, and a partial response of pulmonary lesions (Figure 1D). In August 2023, after 20 cycles of therapy, the patient complained of slight fever and asthenia with creatinine levels of 3.67 mg/dl (0.67 - 1.17) (Figure 2B). At this stage, immunotherapy was suspended, and patient was referred to a nephrological consultation. Kidney biopsy evidenced an acute interstitial nephritis (Figure 2C). Intravenous corticosteroid therapy with 1 mg/kg methylprednisolone was promptly started, and it induced a rapid improvement of renal function and creatinine normalization after one week. The following week, corticosteroid was switched to the oral formulation and progressively reduced (prednisone 37.5 mg/day for one month, followed by 25 mg/day for another month, followed by 12.5 mg/ day) (Figure 2B). Currently, the patient is in close follow-up with medical examination, blood and urine analysis, and PET-FDG performed every 4 months. He has normal renal functionality and



no disease relapse after 26 months from starting immunotherapy and after 9 months from its definitive suspension.

Discussion

Desmoplastic melanoma (DM) is a rare subtype of melanoma characterized by high immunogenicity due to its association with

ultraviolet light DNA damage, which makes it particularly suitable for immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) treatment. Our patient showed a high tumor mutational burden and mutations in NF1, TERT, TP53, and NOTCH3 genes that confirm the UV-specific signature of DM (6, 10). He had a rapid and deep response to anti-CTLA-4/anti-PD-1 immunotherapy, despite the lack of PD-L1 expression, notoriously associated with a worse response to ICIs (4, 5). However, the patient developed serious immune-related

FABLE 1 Genomic	: profile	of the	primary	DM	tumor.
-----------------	-----------	--------	---------	----	--------

Tumor Mutational Burden	229 Muts/Mb		
Microsatellite status	MS - Stable		
Gene Alterations	Variant allelic frequency (VAF)		
APC (T829fs*13) c.2486_2487del	33.3%		
<i>NF1</i> (R2517*) c.7549C>T	53.6%		
TSC2 (R505*) c.1513C>T	39.9%		
ERBB4 (E563K) c.1687G>A	31.3%		
FLT1 (R281Q) c.842G>A	31.9%		
KDM5A amplification	amplification - equivocal		
KDR (G494E) c.1481G>A	53.5%		
<i>NOTCH3</i> (3327 + 1G>A)	23.5%		
PBRM1 (Q779*)	53.4%		
<i>RAF1</i> (S259F) c.776C>T	35.5%		
<i>TERT</i> (-146C>T)	47.5%		
TP53 (P278F) c.832_833delCCinsTT	58.9%		

adverse events (irAEs) including hypothyroidism, adrenal deficiency, and acute interstitial nephritis that led to the definitive suspension of treatment.

Different reports have shown that the incidence of irAEs in patients receiving ICIs can be as high as 60%–85%, depending on the use of mono- or combination immunotherapy. The most affected organs include skin, endocrine glands, gastrointestinal tract, lungs, and liver. Kidney toxicity is less common, but the incidence is rising as therapy with these agents continues to increase (4, 5).

The close association between tumor immunogenicity (mutational burden, baseline tumor-specific neoantigens, and CD8 T-cell Infiltration) and irAE during ICI therapies has been reported by several authors (13, 14). Originally conceived to selectively stimulate anti-tumor T cells (15), anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibodies have been shown to induce pan-T cell activation in clinical settings, compromising the host's immune tolerance to healthy self-tissues. As a result, autoimmune reactions have emerged as the nemesis of cancer immunotherapy (16).

To mitigate the irAEs arising from an iatrogenic auto-GVHD reaction (17), an ultra-low-dose ICI protocol has been developed. In a retrospective analysis of 131 unselected stage IV solid cancer patients with 23 different histological types who exhausted all conventional treatments, ultra-low-doses of ipilimumab (0.3 mg/kg) plus nivolumab (0.5 mg/kg) combined with hyperthermia and interleukin-2, resulted significantly safer than the registered protocol doses, without compromising efficacy (17). These data suggest that ultra-low doses may be not only safer but also cheaper than registered doses. Patil et al. reported results from a randomized clinical trial showing a significant and clinically meaningful benefit from incorporating ultra-low dose nivolumab (20 mg flat dose once every 3 weeks) into triple metronomic therapy (methotrexate 9 mg/m2 once a week, celecoxib 200 mg twice daily, and erlotinib 150 mg

once daily) to treat patients with advanced head and neck cancer. This treatment regimen dramatically reduced the financial cost of immunotherapy, with the potential to increase access and improve patient outcomes in low- and middle-income countries (18). However, several points remain to be clarified, for example (*i*) whether ultra-low doses of ICIs are equivalent to the currently approved doses when administered as monotherapy, and (*ii*) if the results obtained so far can be extended to all types of cancer and patient populations (19).

It is still debated whether there is a direct correlation between ICIs effectiveness and the degree of treatment-induced toxicity (4, 5, 20). A landmark analysis in patients with advanced melanoma showed that the efficacy of pembrolizumab was not affected by the occurrence of irAEs or systemic corticosteroid use (1). Other reports showed that irAEs are strongly correlated with better survival and higher response rates in patients with melanoma (11), advanced gastric cancer (12) and NSCLC receiving anti-PD-1 therapy (21, 22).

Limited literature exists on the incidence, time of onset, and risk factors for multiorgan systems irAEs, which occurred in about 5% of ICI- treated patients. Combination therapy (anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-PD-1/PD-L1) is associated with an increased risk of multiorgan systems irAEs. Interestingly, severe sequential irAEs involving multiple organs are often associated with a durable complete response despite early therapy discontinuation (23).

No prognostic factors have so far been associated with multiorgan irAEs. A study in patients with non-small cell lung cancer showed a correlation between atezolizumab-induced irAEs and good performance status, lower baseline neutrophillymphocyte ratio, and good or intermediate lung immune prognostic index score (24). Future trials should consider routine reporting of data on multiorgan toxicities in addition to organspecific toxicities.

As mentioned above, a significant percentage of patients treated with ICIs also present endocrine irAEs. Combination therapy (anti-CTLA-4 plus anti-PD-1/PD-L1) is associated with an increased risk and prevalence of endocrine irAEs (25). Hypophysitis and thyroid dysfunctions are the most common endocrine irAEs, while cases of Type 1 diabetes mellitus and adrenal insufficiency are rarer. Most of the patients normally recover from pituitary-thyroid and pituitarygonadal axis dysfunctions, while improvement of the pituitaryadrenal axis has been observed only in a few cases (25).

The incidence of acute kidney damage has been reported in 2% -5% of patients treated with ICIs, and acute interstitial nephritis (AIN) is the predominant pathological sign (26). These numbers could be underestimated as many patients do not undergo kidney biopsy in the presence of mild renal toxicity. In addition, AIN could be masked by the steroid therapy prescribed for other irAEs. AIN is classically described as the triad of fever, rash, and eosinophilia in association with elevated serum creatinine, but these factors are present in 5%-10% of the cases. Classically, the onset of acute kidney injury ranges between 2 to 11 months from the start of ICIs therapy. In our patient AIN arose after more than 18 months of therapy, showing that renal toxicity could occur later. Histologically, AIN is characterized by the presence of inflammatory infiltrates and edema in the kidney interstitium (27). Creatinine, electrolytes, and urinalysis tests before,



and during each cycle of ICIs treatment are crucial for an early identification of kidney toxicity. Nevertheless, a kidney biopsy would be needed to better understand the etiopathogenesis and the degree of damage of renal dysfunction. After adequate corticosteroid treatment, most of the patients recover their kidney function, with about 10% of them progressing to chronic kidney disease (26, 27). For this reason, the prompt administration of high doses of steroids (0.8-1 mg/kg) with a slow taper is recommended. In our patient, the administration of 1 mg/kg methylprednisolone induced a rapid recovery of renal dysfunction after 1 week until complete normalization.

Whether or not immunological therapy should be definitively discontinued in case of severe renal toxicity is still an open question. An eventual ICIs discontinuation would depend on several factors, such as (i) the state of the disease at the time of withdrawal, (ii) the type of response to ICIs, (iii) the duration of therapy already administered, (iv) the availability of other therapeutic options, (v) the patient's will (28, 29). In case of relapse, ICIs rechallenge after recovery from kidney toxicity could be considered, potentially with the concomitant use of low doses of steroids to reduce the risk of kidney toxicity recurrence. Considering the complete response to ICIs treatment and the numerous irAEs, we decided to stop immunotherapy and keep our patient in close follow-up including medical examination, blood, and urine analysis and PET-FDG performed every 4 months. After 26 months from starting ICI immunotherapy and after 9 months from its definitive suspension, the patient has normal renal functionality, and no disease relapse has been documented.

In conclusion, our case has demonstrated that ICIs treatment is highly effective in DM, but ICIs-related toxicity could represent the price to pay to achieve disease remission. Therefore, clinicians should closely monitor DM patients during ICIs therapy for severe irAEs occurrence to properly identify and treat them.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

TS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RV: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BA: Writing – review & editing. LF: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MR: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. RP: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ST:

References

1. Robert C, Grob JJ, Stroyakovskiy D, Karaszewska B, Hauschild A, Levchenko E, et al. Five-year outcomes with dabrafenib plus trametinib in metastatic melanoma. N Engl J Med. (2019) 381:626–36. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1904059

2. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, Weber RW, Sosman JA, Haanen JB, et al. Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2010) 363:711–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1003466

3. Larkin J, Chiarion-Sileni V, Gonzalez R, Grob JJ, Rutkowski P, Lao CD, et al. Fiveyear survival with combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* (2019) 381:1535–46. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1910836

4. Wang DY, Johnson DB, Davis EJ. Toxicities associated with PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. *Cancer J.* (2018) 24:36–40. doi: 10.1097/PPO.00000000000296

5. Nathan P, Ascierto PA, Haanen J, Espinosa E, Demidov L, Garbe C, et al. Safety and efficacy of nivolumab in patients with rare melanoma subtypes who progressed on or after ipilimumab treatment: a single-arm, open-label, phase II study (CheckMate 172). *Eur J Cancer*. (2019) 119:168–78. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.07.010

6. Shain AH, Garrido M, Botton T, Talevich E, Yeh I, Sanborn JZ, et al. Exome sequencing of desmoplastic melanoma identifies recurrent NFKBIE promoter mutations and diverse activating mutations in the MAPK pathway. *Nat Genet.* (2015) 47:1194–9. doi: 10.1038/ng.3382

Methodology, Writing – review & editing. ID: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. SS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Ministry of Health, Italy under the grant: RC 2024.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Author disclaimer

The authors affiliated to the IRCCS Istituto Tumori "Giovanni Paolo II", Bari are responsible for the views expressed in this article, which do not necessarily represent the Institute.

7. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, Bartlett BR, Kemberling H, Eyring AD, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. *N Engl J Med.* (2015) 372:2509–20. doi: 10.1200/jco.2015.33.15_suppl.lba100

8. Hugo W, Zaretsky JM, Sun L, Song C, Moreno BH, Hu-Lieskovan S, et al. Genomic and transcriptomic features of response to anti-PD-1 therapy in metastatic melanoma. *Cell.* (2016) 165:35–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.065

9. Eroglu Z, Zaretsky JM, Hu-Lieskovan S, Kim DW, Algazi A, Johnson DB, et al. High response rate to PD-1 blockade in desmoplastic melanomas. *Nature*. (2018) 553:347–50. doi: 10.1038/nature25187

10. Lindberg M, Bostrom M, Elliott K, Larsson E. Intragenomic variability and extended sequence patterns in the mutational signature of ultraviolet light. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2019) 116:20411–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1909021116

11. Guida M, Strippoli S, Maule M, Quaglino P, Ramondetta A, Chiaron Sileni V, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitor associated vitiligo and its impact on survival in patients with metastatic melanoma: an Italian Melanoma Intergroup study. *ESMO Open.* (2021) 6:100064. doi: 10.1016/j.esmoop.2021.100064

12. Masuda K, Shoji H, Nagashima K, Yamamoto S, Ishikawa M, Imazeki H, et al. Correlation between immune-related adverse events and prognosis in patients with gastric cancer treated with nivolumab. *BMC Cancer*. (2019) 19:974. doi: 10.1186/s12885-019-6150-y

13. Kerepesi C, Bakacs T, Moss RW, Slavin S, Anderson CC. Significant association between tumor mutational burden and immune-related adverse events during immune checkpoint inhibition therapies. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. (2020) 69:683–7. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02543-6

14. Kerepesi C, Abushukair HM, Ricciuti B, Nassar AH, Adib E, Alessi JV, et al. Association of baseline tumor-specific neoantigens and CD8(+) T-cell infiltration with immune-related adverse events secondary to immune checkpoint inhibitors. *JCO Precis Oncol.* (2024) 8:e2300439. doi: 10.1200/PO.23.00439

15. Curran MA, Callahan MK, Subudhi SK, Allison JP. Response to "Ipilimumab (Yervoy) and the TGN1412 catastrophe". *Immunobiology*. (2012) 217:590-2. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2011.11.001

16. June CH, Warshauer JT, Bluestone JA. Is autoimmunity the Achilles' heel of cancer immunotherapy? *Nat Med.* (2017) 23:540–7. doi: 10.1038/nm.4321

17. Kleef R, Nagy R, Baierl A, Bacher V, Bojar H, McKee DL, et al. Low-dose ipilimumab plus nivolumab combined with IL-2 and hyperthermia in cancer patients with advanced disease: exploratory findings of a case series of 131 stage IV cancers - a retrospective study of a single institution. *Cancer Immunol Immunother.* (2021) 70:1393–403. doi: 10.1007/s00262-020-02751-0

18. Patil VM, Noronha V, Menon N, Rai R, Bhattacharjee A, Singh A, et al. Lowdose immunotherapy in head and neck cancer: A randomized study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:222–32. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01015

19. Mitchell AP, Goldstein DA. Cost savings and increased access with ultra-lowdose immunotherapy. J Clin Oncol. (2023) 41:170-2. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01711

20. Okada N, Kawazoe H, Takechi K, Matsudate Y, Utsunomiya R, Zamami Y, et al. Association between immune-related adverse events and clinical efficacy in patients with melanoma treated with nivolumab: A multicenter retrospective study. *Clin Ther.* (2019) 41:59–67. doi: 10.1016/j.clinthera.2018.11.004

21. Haratani K, Hayashi H, Chiba Y, Kudo K, Yonesaka K, Kato R, et al. Association of immune-related adverse events with nivolumab efficacy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* (2018) 4:374–8. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.2925

22. Zhao Z, Wang X, Qu J, Zuo W, Tang Y, Zhu H, et al. Immune-related adverse events associated with outcomes in patients with NSCLC treated with anti-PD-1 inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Oncol.* (2021) 11:708195. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.708195

23. Matull J, Livingstone E, Wetter A, Zimmer L, Zaremba A, Lahner H, et al. Durable complete response in a melanoma patient with unknown primary, associated with sequential and severe multi-organ toxicity after a single dose of CTLA-4 plus PD-1 blockade: A case report. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:592609. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.592609

24. Kichenadasse G, Miners JO, Mangoni AA, Rowland A, Hopkins AM, Sorich MJ. Multiorgan immune-related adverse events during treatment with atezolizumab. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* (2020) 18:1191–9. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2020.7567

25. Elia G, Ferrari SM, Galdiero MR, Ragusa F, Paparo SR, Ruffilli I, et al. New insight in endocrine-related adverse events associated to immune checkpoint blockade. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2020) 34:101370. doi: 10.1016/j.beem.2019.101370

26. Seethapathy H, Zhao S, Chute DF, Zubiri L, Oppong Y, Strohbehn I, et al. The incidence, causes, and risk factors of acute kidney injury in patients receiving immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2019) 14:1692–700. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00990119

27. Oleas D, Bolufer M, Agraz I, Felip E, Munoz E, Gabaldon A, et al. Acute interstitial nephritis associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: a single-center experience. *Clin Kidney J.* (2021) 14:1364–70. doi: 10.1093/ckj/sfaa008

28. De Risi I, Sciacovelli AM, Guida M. Checkpoint inhibitors immunotherapy in metastatic melanoma: when to stop treatment? *Biomedicines*. (2022) 10:2424. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10102424

29. Rubatto M, Fava P, Stanganelli I, Ribero S, Pigozzo J, Di Giacomo AM, et al. Discontinuation of anti-PD1 in advanced melanoma: an observational retrospective study from the Italian Melanoma Intergroup. *Eur J Cancer.* (2023) 187:25–35. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2023.03.020