Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marzieh Naseri, Tufts University, United States

REVIEWED BY

Mojgan Bandehpour, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Iran Amirhesam Babajani, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuening Jiang 2111110435@stu.pku.edu.cn Wei Yue yuewei@pku.edu.cn

RECEIVED 27 December 2023 ACCEPTED 03 June 2024 PUBLISHED 19 June 2024

CITATION

Li X, Zhang C, Yue W and Jiang Y (2024) Modulatory effects of cancer stem cellderived extracellular vesicles on the tumor immune microenvironment. Front. Immunol. 15:1362120. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Zhang, Yue and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Modulatory effects of cancer](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120/full) [stem cell-derived extracellular](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120/full) [vesicles on the tumor](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120/full) [immune microenvironment](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1362120/full)

Xinyu Li^{1,2}, Cuilian Zhang³, Wei Yue^{4,5,6*} and Yuening Jiang^{4,5,6*}

1 Department of Animal Science, College of Animal Science, Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou, Hebei, China, 2Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Key Laboratory for Major Obstetric Diseases of Guangdong Province, Key Laboratory of Reproduction and Genetics of Guangdong Higher Education Institutes, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China, 3Reproductive Medicine Center, Henan Provincial People's Hospital, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China, 4State Key Laboratory of Female Fertility Promotion, Center for Reproductive Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China, 5National Clinical Research Center for Obstetrics and Gynecology, Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China, 6Key Laboratory of Assisted Reproduction, Peking University, Ministry of Education, Beijing, China

Cancer stem cells (CSCs), accounting for only a minor cell proportion (< 1%) within tumors, have profound implications in tumor initiation, metastasis, recurrence, and treatment resistance due to their inherent ability of selfrenewal, multi-lineage differentiation, and tumor-initiating potential. In recent years, accumulating studies indicate that CSCs and tumor immune microenvironment act reciprocally in driving tumor progression and diminishing the efficacy of cancer therapies. Extracellular vesicles (EVs), pivotal mediators of intercellular communications, build indispensable biological connections between CSCs and immune cells. By transferring bioactive molecules, including proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids, EVs can exert mutual influence on both CSCs and immune cells. This interaction plays a significant role in reshaping the tumor immune microenvironment, creating conditions favorable for the sustenance and propagation of CSCs. Deciphering the intricate interplay between CSCs and immune cells would provide valuable insights into the mechanisms of CSCs being more susceptible to immune escape. This review will highlight the EV-mediated communications between CSCs and each immune cell lineage in the tumor microenvironment and explore potential therapeutic opportunities.

KEYWORDS

cancer stem cells, extracellular vesicles, exosomes, immune cells, tumor microenvironment

Introduction

Cancer stem cells (CSCs), also known as tumor initiating (propagating) cells, despite constituting a relatively small population of the tumor cells, play a pivotal role in fueling tumor growth due to their self-renewal and multi-lineage differentiation ability [\(1](#page-9-0)–[4](#page-9-0)). This concept, introduced several decades ago, has stimulated extensive studies aimed at decoding the clinical observations through CSCs ([5](#page-9-0)–[9\)](#page-9-0). Patients who show initial partial or complete remission through anti-cancer treatments by chemotherapy or radiation may eventually experience tumor relapse or metastasis, conditions that tend to be markedly intractable owing to the increased resistance to therapies [\(10](#page-9-0), [11\)](#page-9-0). This phenomenon is likely attributed to CSCs' resilience against the therapeutic regimens. Besides, CSCs also contribute to intratumoral heterogeneity (ITH) by differentiating to all kinds of cancer cells with different phenotypic features, some of which can disseminate to other parts of the body [\(12](#page-9-0)–[14](#page-9-0)).

CSCs intricately interact with cancer supporting cells especially immune cells in the tumor ecosystem, sculpting a conducive niche and employing mechanisms for immune evasion and immunosuppression, including activating immune escape pathways and suppressing antigen processing and presentation proteins [\(15\)](#page-9-0). CSCs also overexpress programmed cell death 1 ligand 1 (PD-L1) on the cell surface, an incredibly important immune checkpoint protein that counteract the antitumor immune response [\(16,](#page-9-0) [17](#page-9-0)). Unraveling how CSCs engage with cancer-associated immune cells is gaining increasing attention, as these interactions hold considerable potential as immunotherapeutic targets.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are small lipid bilayered membrane vesicles composing of two main subgroups, exosomes and microvesicles (MVs) [\(18](#page-9-0)–[20](#page-9-0)). These vesicles range in size from a few tens of nanometers to multiple micrometers ([21](#page-9-0), [22\)](#page-9-0). Secreted by all cell types, EVs are responsible for the transfer of functional biological cargos including nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids between cells, mediating critical intercellular communication [\(23](#page-9-0), [24](#page-9-0)). EVs are irreplaceably involved in modulating various innate and adaptive immune processes including antigen presentation, activation of T cells and B cells [\(25](#page-9-0)–[27\)](#page-10-0). Within the tumor ecosystem, EV-mediated communication is preferentially characterized by EVs produced by CSCs being internalized by other cells, a process integral for the dissemination of CSC-specific traits, which is essential for shaping the tumor immune microenvironments. For example, pancreatic CSCderived EVs carry agrin protein to increase YAP activation to promote tumor cell proliferation [\(28\)](#page-10-0). EVs from colorectal CSC could transfer metastatic properties to the non-CSCs via miR-200c ([29](#page-10-0)). CSC-derived EVs are likely to interact with immune cells enriched in the CSC niche, including MHC-II expressing macrophages and programmed cell death 1 (PD1) positive T cells, potentially promoting tumor progression through immunosuppression [\(30\)](#page-10-0). Conversely, immune cell-derived EVs enhance CSC stemness and propagation [\(31](#page-10-0)–[33](#page-10-0)). The reciprocal transfer of EVs between CSCs and immune cells operate in concert to create a tumor-supporting niche.

In this review, we will summarize and discuss the recent findings on the biological functions of CSC-derived EVs, with a focus on their immunological role through engagement with various types of tumor-associated immune cells, and how EVmediated interactions between CSCs and immune cells contribute to shaping the tumor immune microenvironments.

Overview of CSCs

ITH is a major obstacle in cancer treatment, leading to aggressive tumor growth and treatment failures ([34](#page-10-0)–[36\)](#page-10-0). Elucidating the sources of ITH is of great significance to overcome therapy resistance. ITH present in two forms, spatial heterogeneity, which involves the unequal distribution of tumor subpopulations across different regions, and temporal heterogeneity, which refers to genetic diversity within a tumor over time ([34](#page-10-0), [37\)](#page-10-0). Technological advances such as multiregional sampling, liquid biopsy, single-cell sequencing, and spatial transcriptome provide insights into decoding the intricate compositions of ITH ([38](#page-10-0)–[40\)](#page-10-0). Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of various types of tumors have uncovered distinct subpopulations of tumor cells, each characterized by varying levels of differentiation and stemness [\(41](#page-10-0)–[44](#page-10-0)). Of note, various driving forces are emerging to be responsible for ITH, encompassing genome instability and clonal evolution ([45,](#page-10-0) [46\)](#page-10-0), metabolic adaptation [\(47\)](#page-10-0), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) ([48\)](#page-10-0) as well as environmental factors such as hypoxia ([49](#page-10-0)) and inflammation [\(50](#page-10-0)). Among these, the existence of CSCs plays a pivotal role in the development and maintenance of ITH ([13,](#page-9-0) [51\)](#page-10-0).

Here comes the concept of CSCs, a subset of tumor cells capable of self-renewal, tumor-initiating, multi-lineage differentiation, therapy-resistance, metastasis, relapse, etc. [\(7,](#page-9-0) [52](#page-10-0)–[54](#page-10-0)) ([Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). The CSC theory posits that tumors are hierarchically structured, with CSCs at the top ([7,](#page-9-0) [55](#page-10-0)–[57\)](#page-10-0). Nevertheless, recent findings [\(2](#page-9-0), [13,](#page-9-0) [58](#page-10-0)) have revealed that CSCs and non-CSCs are dynamic and can transition between states in response to specific stimuli, complicating tumor eradication. Initially identified in hematological malignancies, CSCs are now recognized in various solid cancers [\(59](#page-10-0)–[62\)](#page-10-0). Three hypotheses explain CSC origins ([63,](#page-10-0) [64\)](#page-10-0): transformation of noncancerous stem cells through a series of oncogenic mutations ([65\)](#page-10-0), acquisition of pluripotency by progenitor cells ([66\)](#page-10-0), and dedifferentiation of differentiated cells [\(67](#page-10-0)).

Certain surface markers such as CD133, CD44, epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EPCAM), and intracellular markers such as aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) have been identified for CSCs ([68](#page-10-0)–[72\)](#page-10-0). ALDH is an enzyme mediating aldehyde detoxification, which is assessed by the ALDEFLUOR assay, is instrumental in drug resistance [\(73](#page-10-0)–[75](#page-11-0)). However, these markers are not solely specific to CSCs, and some CSCs don't exhibit these markers at all ([9](#page-9-0), [76](#page-11-0), [77](#page-11-0)). Moreover, CSCs typically constitute less than 1% of the tumor mass, and such scarcity further complicates their isolation and identification [\(77](#page-11-0)).

Besides markers, the characterization of CSCs requires surrogate functional assays [\(78](#page-11-0)–[80\)](#page-11-0). Current well-known surrogate methods include in vitro tumorsphere formation and in vivo limiting-dilution tumorigenicity assays ([81](#page-11-0)–[83\)](#page-11-0). In vitro tumorsphere formation assay evaluates the ability of cells to grow and form spheres in a threedimensional, anchorage-independent culture environment ([84](#page-11-0), [85\)](#page-11-0). In vivo limiting-dilution tumorigenicity assay, which tests the tumorinitiating capacity of cells by transplanting them into

immunocompromised mice and observing tumor formation, is considered the gold standard for CSC research [\(86](#page-11-0), [87](#page-11-0)).

characteristics are critical for understanding tumor behavior and developing targeted therapeutic strategies.

CSCs contribute to therapy resistance through several mechanisms ([88](#page-11-0)–[90\)](#page-11-0), including high levels of multi-drug efflux ATP-binding cassette transporters, slow-cycling state, enhanced DNA repair capacity, apoptosis evasion, immune-privileged property, etc. Furthermore, EMT activation is tightly associated with the formation of CSCs [\(91\)](#page-11-0). Consequently, CSCs consist of heterogenous subtypes occupying different locations and exhibiting varied EMT characteristics within the primary tumor ([92,](#page-11-0) [93\)](#page-11-0), further complicating their therapy resistance.

Additionally, it is important to emphasize that CSCs have been found to actively reshape the tumor microenvironment into immunosuppressive state, facilitating their own growth and proliferation while evading the therapeutic elimination ([15,](#page-9-0) [94,](#page-11-0) [95](#page-11-0)). This interaction is significantly mediated by EVs, powerful cell-cell communicators that plays a crucial role in modulating the immune microenvironment [\(96\)](#page-11-0). Through EVs, CSCs transferring a diverse array of biological cargos to surrounding or distant immune cells, eliciting immunosuppressive responses that protects CSCs and fosters tumor progression [\(28\)](#page-10-0).

The landscape of EVs: classifications and molecular constituents

EVs are lipid-bilayer membrane structures secreted by virtually all living cells, encompassing two main subtypes, exosomes and

MVs, whose sizes ranging from about 50 nm to 5 μ m ([97](#page-11-0), [98\)](#page-11-0) ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)). EVs contain cellular bioactive components like proteins, lipids, metabolites, and nucleic acids, reflecting their cell of origin and functioning as mediators of intercellular communication [\(99,](#page-11-0) [100\)](#page-11-0). EVs perform multifaceted functions including waste disposal, signal cargo delivery to alter recipient cell physiology, and mediating interactions between cells and extracellular matrix ([101](#page-11-0)–[105](#page-11-0)). Furthermore, they can also facilitate long-distance communication via blood or lymph ([106,](#page-11-0) [107\)](#page-11-0). Separating different EV subtypes is challenging due to overlapping properties, with methods like differential centrifugation, sizeexclusion chromatography, and immunoprecipitation used in combination to improve specificity [\(108](#page-11-0)).

Exosomes stand out as the most well-studied subtype of EVs with a size ranging between 40–160nm, primarily due to their unique biogenesis, small size, and specific molecular content ([109\)](#page-11-0). Exosomes play a pivotal role in cellular communication and cancer research. Originating from endosomal compartments within cells, they carry an array of biomolecules which reflect their cellular origin and can influence recipient cell behavior. This makes them key players in tumor progression and ideal for targeted drug delivery and biomarker discovery in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics [\(110](#page-11-0)–[112](#page-11-0)). Their small size, specific content, and stability in bodily fluids enhance their potential, making them promising candidates in the medical and scientific exploration of cancer.

Contrasting with exosomes, which originate from endosomal pathways, MVs are formed by budding directly off the plasma

membrane and are generally larger, with sizes spanning from 200– 5000 nm [\(97,](#page-11-0) [113](#page-11-0)). MVs refer to a diverse group of membranederived vesicles, including microparticles, oncosomes, or ectosomes, and is less characterized EV subtype whose cargo trafficking mechanisms are still under investigation [\(114](#page-11-0)). Besides functional cargos as RNAs, proteins, lipids, and metabolites, MVs could also mediate the transfer of mitochondria between cells ([115\)](#page-11-0), which boosts ATP production in the recipient cells. Studies have shown that cancer cell-derived MVs participate in tumor progression [\(116](#page-11-0), [117](#page-11-0)), while MVs from radiation treated cancer cells exert antitumor effect through immunogenic death pathway ([118\)](#page-11-0). These findings suggest a promising functional role of MVs in cancer therapeutics.

CSC-derived EVs in oncogenic events

EVs generated from CSCs are instrumental in enhancing tumorigenesis, advancing metastasis, and fostering therapy resistance across various types of cancers by transferring associated vicious traits indicative of donor cell ([29,](#page-10-0) [119](#page-11-0)–[122\)](#page-11-0) ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Colorectal CSCs secrete EVs enriched with glycoprotein CD147 can subsequently trigger signaling pathways associated with tumorigenesis in recipient cancer cells [\(128\)](#page-12-0). Besides, miR-200c in EVs from colorectal CSCs could convey metastatic traits to accelerate tumor progression [\(29\)](#page-10-0). In triple-negative breast cancer, CSCs release EVs that can stimulate specific cancer-associated fibroblasts and remodel endothelial cells, accelerating invasiveness and preparing distant metastatic niches ([129](#page-12-0)). Lung CSCs could transfer their strong metastatic properties to the whole tumor mass through exosomal lncRNA Mir100hg/miR-15a-5p/glycolysis pathway ([130\)](#page-12-0). Gastric CSCs induce tumor cells to gain malignant and metastatic behaviors and stemness features via EVs internalization ([131\)](#page-12-0), possibly due to a gastric CSCs marker gene DCLK1, which could transfer the migratory property to the recipients ([132](#page-12-0)). Melanoma CSCs secrete EVs that enhance metastatic ability of non-stem cancer cells via miRNA-592, which activates the MAPK/ERK signaling pathway [\(125](#page-11-0)). Breast CSC-derived EVs carry ARRDC1-AS1 to promote breast cancer malignancy by modulating miR-4731–5p/ AKT1 axis to foster tumor growth and aggressiveness [\(123\)](#page-11-0). In addition, CSCs secrete certain tumor suppressors out the cells by EVs. Acute myeloid leukemia stem cells secrete more miR-34c-5p out to attenuate senescence through RAB27B-mediated exosome trafficking ([133](#page-12-0)).

EMT and angiogenesis are essential mechanisms of tumor metastasis ([134](#page-12-0), [135](#page-12-0)), with CSC-derived EVs engage in the regulation of these processes. Renal CSC-derived exosomes, carrying miR-19b-3p, trigger EMT in renal tumors and enhance distant metastasis ([136\)](#page-12-0). EVs from glioma CSCs containing vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A) significantly boost angiogenesis and increase vascular permeability in brain endothelial cells, indicating significant contribution of CSCderived EVs to the tumor's vascular development ([137,](#page-12-0) [138\)](#page-12-0).

CSC secretome and immune modulation

The concept of secretome, now updated to extend beyond merely proteins, have led to the recognition of EVs as the nanostructured/microstructured secretome, composing a complex assembly of bioactive molecules with significant implications for intracellular communications and dynamics inside the tumor microenvironment (TME) ([139](#page-12-0)–[141\)](#page-12-0). Furthermore, CSC secretome covers a diverse spectrum of bioactive molecules released out of cells, including various soluble factors like growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, and proteins ([142](#page-12-0)–[144](#page-12-0)). Delineating the roles these secretome compositions play on immune interactions will provide an integrated understanding of crosstalk between CSC and immune cells, setting the stage for the subsequent sections that focus on the specific roles of EVs in this interplay.

CSC secretome has profound impact on tumor growth and TME modulation [\(Table 2](#page-4-0)). Secretome profiles of melanoma CSCs

TABLE 1 CSC-derived EVs in oncogenic events.

Cancer type	EV cargo	Function	Reference
Breast cancer	ARRDC1-AS1	Promote the malignant progressive phenotypes via the miR-4731-5p/ AKT1 axis.	(123)
	$miR-197$	Promote epithelial- mesenchymal transition thus increase BC cells proliferation and metastasis	(124)
HNSCC		EVs have a selective impact on specific immune cells to modulate anti-cancer immune response	(30)
Pancreatic cancer	Agrin protein	Promote YAP activity via LRP-4 to contribute to tumor progression	(28)
Melanoma	m i $R-592$	Increase the metastatic ability of MPCs via miR-592/ PTPN7/MAPK axis	(125)
Colorectal cancer	m i $R-200c$	Enhance invasion. metastasis and stemness associated with PI3K/Akt/ mTOR activation	(29)
Ovarian cancer		Promote the migration ability and pro-tumorigenic phenotype MSCs	(126)
NSCLC	APE1 shRNA	Reverse Erlotinib resistance of NSCLC via inhibiting IL-6/ STAT3 signaling	(127)

HNSCC, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer. Symbol "-" indicates that the corresponding study did not specify the function at the EV cargo level, but rather at the whole EV level.

include proteins enriched with cell proliferation, cell survival and negative regulation of apoptosis ([145\)](#page-12-0). Breast CSCs actively secrete CXCL1, a chemokine that plays a crucial role in stimulating their proliferation and enhancing their capacity for self-renewal, contributing significantly to the progression and aggressiveness of breast cancer [\(146](#page-12-0)). Glioma CSCs generate and release immune cytokines such as soluble colony-stimulating factor (sCSF-1), transforming growth factor (TGF)- β 1, C-C motif chemokine 2 (CCL2), VEGF, macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 (MIC-1), and galectin-3 into TME, contributing to the suppression of innate immunity characterized by the induction of immunosuppressive macrophages and regulatory T cells and effector T cell apoptosis ([147,](#page-12-0) [148](#page-12-0)). CSCs release macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), which binds with C-X-C motif chemokine receptor 2 (CXCR2) presenting on myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSCs), leading to production of arginase 1, thereby TABLE 2 Biological functions of CSC secretome.

suppressing CD8+ T cells ([150\)](#page-12-0). Also, previous studies revealed that the glioma CSCs could trigger B7-H4 expression in both tumor and immune cells through IL6-STAT3 pathway activation and stimulate PD-L1 expression within the TME ([147,](#page-12-0) [149](#page-12-0)). These cytokines, chemokines and immune checkpoint proteins work synergistically to lead to immunosuppression.

Exploring EV-mediated interactions between CSCs and immune cells in the TME: implications for tumor immunity

The TME is a complex and dynamic battlefield consisting of cancer cells, CSCs and cancer supporting cells, serving as a critical zone for the interplay between immune cells and CSCs [\(Figure 3A\)](#page-5-0). This environment is a hub where diverse immune cells from both innate and adaptive immune systems converge ([151,](#page-12-0) [152\)](#page-12-0). Dendritic cells (DCs) are key in antigen presentation and the initiation of immune responses, while macrophages, also involved in antigen presentation, display ambiguous effects on tumors by either fostering or inhibiting their growth. MDSCs predominantly suppress immune activity, facilitating tumor immune evasion. Natural killer (NK) cells, adept at autonomously destroying cancer cells, and neutrophils, whose impact on cancer can vary from hindering to promoting tumor progression. In terms of the T cell population, subsets including cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) are directly responsible for recognizing and eradicating cancer cells, highlighting their critical role in antitumor immunity. T helper 17 (Th17) cells, a subset of CD4+ helper T cells, known for their secretion of interleukin-17 (IL-17), exhibit a dual role in cancer by either promoting inflammation that can support tumor growth or recruiting effector T cells, NK cells and DCs into TME that enhance antitumor responses.

Mounting evidence have shown that EVs released by cancer cells, especially CSCs, are capable in modulating both innate and adaptive immune responses, thereby facilitating to establish protumorigenic and pro-metastatic immune niches through their interactions with various immune cell types within TME [\(153](#page-12-0)–

vessels, all of which are integral to TME. (B) The effect of EVs on CSCs and immune cells. These EVs transport biological signals that prime immune cells to undergo various functional alterations such as immunosuppressive phenotype acquisition, cytotoxicity inhibition and DC activation, and in turn, immune cells exert certain influence on CSCs such as promotion of growth and metastasis. CSC, cancer stem cell. NETs, neutrophil extracellular traps. DC, dendritic cell. MDSC, myeloid-derived suppressor cell. Some elements in [Figures 1](#page-2-0)–3 were created with [BioRender.com.](https://www.Biorender.com)

[156\)](#page-12-0). Understanding the diverse functions and interactions of these immune cells with CSCs through EVs provides insight into the complex nature of cancer and opens avenues for innovative therapeutic strategies (Figure 3B, [Table 3](#page-6-0)).

Macrophage

Tumor-associated macrophages are a diverse group of macrophages usually originating from circulating monocytes, recruited to TME ([170\)](#page-12-0). In some solid tumors, macrophages can

constitute more than 50% of the tumor mass ([171](#page-12-0), [172\)](#page-12-0), and the abundance of infiltrated macrophages usually associated with distinct clinical prognosis ([173\)](#page-13-0). Contrary to the notion of them being a homogenous population, macrophages exhibit a wide range of behaviors and characteristics, influenced by the specific type, stage, and immune context of the tumors they infiltrate ([174\)](#page-13-0). This variability extends to their roles, which are generally categorized into two subpopulations: classically activated (M1 or M1-like) and alternatively activated (M2 or M2-like) macrophages ([175\)](#page-13-0). Macrophages engage in mutual interactions with tumor cells and other cells like platelets, neutrophils, and various T cells, while also

Donor cell	Recipient cell	EV cargo	Function	Reference
Glioblastoma CSCs	Macrophages	STAT3 pathway components	Induce M2 macrophage phenotype	(157)
Oral CSCs	Macrophages	lncRNA UCA1	Induce M2 macrophage phenotype	(158)
HNSCC	M2 macrophage and PD1+ T cells		Promote immune evasion	(30)
Macrophages	Pancreatic CSCs	$miR-21-5p$	Promote CSC stemness	(33)
Macrophages	Ovarian CSCs	$IL-6$, $IL-10$	Promote CSC proliferation	(31)
Colon CSCs	DCs		Activate T cell proliferation	(159)
Renal CSCs	DCs and T cells	HLA-G	Inhibit DC maturation and T cell function	(160)
Colon CSCs	Neutrophils	Tri-phosphate RNAs	Sustain neutrophil survival and recruit them to advance cancer progression	(161)
Melanoma CSCs	Neutrophils		Increase pro-tumor effect of neutrophils	(162)
MDSCs	Ovarian, breast and pancreatic CSCs		Promote CSC stemness and propagation	$(163 - 165)$
MDSCs	Colorectal CSCs	S100A9	Promote CSC stemness and survival	(32)
Esophageal CSCs	T cells	OGT	Increase PD-1 in T cells to be immunosuppressive	(166)
HNSCC CSCs	PD1+ T cells	$\overline{}$	Promote immune escape	(30)
Brain CSCs	T cells	tenascin-C	Inhibit T cell-induced immune response	(167)
Colorectal CSCs	T cells	miRNA-146a-5p	Decrease CD8+ T cell infiltration	(168)
Glioma CSCs	T cells		Inhibit T cell proliferation, activation and Th1 cytokine production	(169)

TABLE 3 EV communications between CSCs and immune cells.

Symbol "-" indicates that the corresponding study did not specify the function at the EV cargo level, but rather at the whole EV level.

suppressing NK and CD8+ T cell activation. These interactions present numerous targets for therapies aimed at promoting an antitumor response ([176\)](#page-13-0). Emerging research has unveiled intricate communication pathways involving CSC-derived EVs that orchestrate a complex interplay with macrophages in various cancer types, profoundly supporting the immunosuppressive microenvironment and tumor progression ([30,](#page-10-0) [157](#page-12-0), [158\)](#page-12-0).

CSC-derived EVs promote macrophage to exhibit M2 phenotype. Glioblastoma CSC-generated exosomes (GDEs) preferentially target monocytes to promote their conversion into immunosuppressive M2 macrophages within TME, a process characterized by upregulated PD-L1 expression due to the components of the STAT3 pathway carried by these GDEs [\(157\)](#page-12-0). Oral squamous CSC-derived small EVs transport the lncRNA UCA1 which, by sequestering miR-134, modulates the PI3K/AKT pathway via LAMC2 to drive macrophages toward an immunosuppressive M2 phenotype, thus promoting tumor growth and inhibiting T-cell function ([158](#page-12-0)). CSCderived EVs in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) specifically interact with M2 macrophages and PD1+ T cells, crucial immune constituents enriched in CSC niche, contributing to immunosuppression landscape that impedes effective HNSCC therapy ([30](#page-10-0)).

The EV communication routes can be bidirectional and reciprocal between CSCs and macrophages, with M2 macrophage-derived EVs enhancing the tumorigenic potential of pancreatic CSCs. This enhancement is mediated through the transfer of miR-21–5p, which suppresses KLF3 expression to promote stemness [\(33\)](#page-10-0). Furthermore, activated M2 macrophages promote ovarian CSC propagation through IL-6 and IL-10 cytokine secretion in TME [\(31\)](#page-10-0).

DC

DCs, as professional antigen-presenting cells, play a pivotal role in the immune response within the TME. They are essential in capturing foreign antigens and presenting them to T cells via multiple ways including direct, cross-presentation and crossdressing ([177\)](#page-13-0), thereby activating the adaptive immune system to mount an effective killing against tumors. Specifically, EVs also have the capacity to deliver tumor antigens to DCs, a phenomenon known as cross-dressing, which has garnered significant recent attention in research [\(178](#page-13-0)). DCs can activate T cell proliferation by co-culture with colon CSC-derived exosomes, possibly due to the increased ratio of IL-12 to IL-10 [\(159](#page-12-0)).

However, the cargo of CSC-derived EVs not only transfer tumor antigens for immune activation but deliver a diversity array of functional cargo that actively hinder DC function as well. A study focusing on renal CSC-derived EVs, particularly those expressing CD105, significantly disrupt the maturation of monocyte-derived DCs and the activation of T cells. Notably, this disruption is more pronounced than the situations in non-stem tumor cells. This immune escape effect is largely attributed to the expression of human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-G by the CSCs, which is then packaged and released by EVs ([160\)](#page-12-0).

Neutrophil

Neutrophils are pivotal immune cells within TME that exhibit both tumor-inhibiting and tumor-promoting actions, including the stimulation of tumor growth, angiogenesis, tissue invasion, and metastasis. Neutrophils can also undermine the immune system's response to cancer by recruiting regulatory T cells and suppressing the activity of natural killer cells, highlighting their significance as potential therapeutic targets in the treatment of cancer ([179,](#page-13-0) [180\)](#page-13-0). Colorectal CSC-derived exosomes carry tri-phosphate RNAs which are capable of inducing the expression of IL-1 β in neutrophils, sustaining their prolonged survival through a pattern recognition-NF-kB signaling axis ([161\)](#page-12-0). The primed neutrophils are subsequently attracted to the TME by CXCL1 and CXCL2, advancing the progression of colorectal cancer ([161\)](#page-12-0).

As the hallmarks of protumor N2 neutrophils, neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are networks composed of extracellular DNA fibers decorated with granule proteins that are released by neutrophils, which can trap and kill foreign pathogens [\(181](#page-13-0)–[183\)](#page-13-0). In recent years, it has been acknowledged that NETs play a tumorpromoting role in cancer and facilitate the progression and metastasis by trapping cancer cells ([184](#page-13-0), [185\)](#page-13-0). The secreted factors or EVs of melanoma CSCs increase the formation of NETs, which in turn reinforce the stemness properties of CSCs ([186\)](#page-13-0). NETs are implicated in enhancing CSC-like features and fostering the transition to EMT state in breast cancer ([162\)](#page-12-0).

MDSC

As immunosuppressive cells, MDSCs represent a heterogeneous population of immature cells recognized for their capacity to impede T cell responses and facilitate the advancement of cancer [\(187,](#page-13-0) [188\)](#page-13-0).

MDSCs have the ability of increasing CSC population and promoting stemness properties. MDSCs could induce the formation of CSCs, sustain their survival and propagation, and enhance the metastatic growth of tumors ([189\)](#page-13-0). MDSCs could increase stemness of ovarian CSCs by inducing miRNA101 expression in CSCs [\(163\)](#page-12-0). For breast cancer, elevated stem-like properties were observed with the IL-6-STAT3 pathway activation in MDSCs, and the degree of MDSC infiltration positively correlated with the number of CSCs ([165\)](#page-12-0). In pancreatic cancer, activation of pSTAT3 in MDSC could increase CSC population and promote EMT [\(164](#page-12-0)).

Current studies suggest that EVs originating from MDSCs and CSCs could serve as mutual catalysts, amplifying each other's functional capabilities in a reciprocal manner. In colorectal cancer, MDSCs also sustain the survival and stemness of CSCs due to the exosomal S100A9 released from MDSCs [\(32\)](#page-10-0). Glioma CSC-derived exosomes promote the presence of monocytic MDSCs, by stimulated the expression of arginase-1 and IL-10 in immature CD14+ monocytes [\(169](#page-12-0)).

T cell

In immune defense against cancer, T cells, particularly CTLs, also cytotoxic CD8+ T cells, are critical for cancer detection and eradication. CD4+ T cells support this process by promoting the activation and growth of CD8+ T cells and can sometimes directly target tumor cells themselves, thus also playing a vital role in the efficacy of cancer immunotherapies ([190\)](#page-13-0).

CSC-derived EVs contribute to immune evasion in cancer by suppressing T cell functions. Renal CSC-derived EVs have been proven to significantly hinder T cell activation and proliferation, primarily owing to HLA-G secretion, contrast to EVs from nonstem renal cancer cells [\(160](#page-12-0)). The investigation into the impact of EVs derived from esophageal CSCs on T cell dynamics revealed that EVs overload O-linked β -N-acetylglucosamine transferase (O-GlcNAc transferase, OGT). Upon uptake by neighboring CD8+ T cells, OGT within these EVs leads to an increased expression of PD-1 in the T cells, and shield CSCs from immune-mediated destruction, thereby contributing to the immune evasion [\(166](#page-12-0)). In HNSCC, CSC-derived EVs specifically interact with PD1+ T cell, suggesting a direct involvement in modulating T cell behavior which plays a crucial role in cancer immune evasion mechanism ([30\)](#page-10-0). In addition, extracellular matrix protein tenascin-C in EVs from brain CSCs can inhibit T cell immunity [\(167](#page-12-0)). For colorectal CSCs, miRNA-146a-5p in their exosomes has a notable impact on the distribution of T cells in cancer patients. Specifically, patients with higher levels of serum exosomal miR-146a-5p showed fewer number of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells [\(168](#page-12-0))

CSC-derived exosomes have a selective impact on different T cell subtypes. In glioma, EVs from CSCs suppress activation, proliferation, and Th1 cytokine production in effector T cells, while regulatory T cells remain largely unaffected. Notably, these exosomes enhance the proliferation of CD4+ T cells, illustrating their complex role in modulating immune responses in glioma [\(169\)](#page-12-0). However, the effects of exosomes released by CSCs on other subsets of T helper cells such as Th17 cells have yet to be understood.

Developing EV-based anti-cancer immunotherapeutic strategies

EVs are favored for therapeutic delivery due to their superior biocompatibility and ability to penetrate biological barriers [\(191,](#page-13-0) [192\)](#page-13-0). These years, EVs have been developed as targeted delivery systems to disrupt CSC functions by transporting RNA-based therapeutics into CSCs. EVs can be engineered to carry siRNAs that target key signaling pathways such as Wnt/β -catenin in liver cancer, leading to the suppression of CSC proliferation ([193\)](#page-13-0). In non-small cell lung cancer, EVs delivering APE1 shRNA have demonstrated potential in overcoming drug resistance ([127](#page-12-0)). Furthermore, EVs designed to silence genes can reduce resistance to sorafenib treatments in liver cancer, promising to enhance patients' clinical outcomes [\(194](#page-13-0)).

Notably, researchers have been making persistent efforts to develop engineered EVs as immunotherapeutic strategies to combat immunosuppressive state fueling by cancer cells and immune cells ([159,](#page-12-0) [195](#page-13-0)–[198](#page-13-0)). Xu et al. has developed a bispecific EVs (BsEVs) engineered from DCs that target tumor antigen CD19 on tumor cells and block the PD-1 checkpoint, thus bolstering cancer immunotherapy ([197\)](#page-13-0). These BsEVs show remarkable tumor-homing capabilities and can substantially remodel the tumor's immune landscape, demonstrating their potential in personalized and versatile cancer treatments. Innovatively, chimeric exosomes, produced by M1 macrophage-tumor hybrid cells, naturally targeting to lymph nodes and tumors, enhancing T cell response, and overcoming immunosuppression. This dualaction immunostimulatory exosome strategy can alleviate tumors and enhance survival in animal studies and shows potential in personalized immunotherapy, especially when used with PD-1 inhibitors ([199\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, a dual-functional exosome delivery system that employs bone marrow mesenchymal stem cellderived exosomes loading with galectin-9 siRNA and the immunogenic cell death trigger oxaliplatin, promises to enhance immunotherapy. This system aims to achieve win-win idea of both counteracting the immunosuppressive actions of M2 macrophages and simultaneously improving tumor targeting ([200\)](#page-13-0).

However, the immunotherapeutic approaches using EVs to target CSCs is still in its early stage and requires further in-depth and comprehensive research. Naseri et al. established a DC-based therapeutic strategy using colon CSC-derived exosomes as antigen sources, aiming to increase proliferation and activation of T cells specifically for killing CSCs [\(159](#page-12-0)). Besides leverage EVs for support, disrupting the interactions of CSC-derived EVs with macrophages emerges as a potential therapeutic choice. Colon CSC-derived exosomes, containing molecules like IL-6, p-STAT3, TGF- β 1, and beta-catenin, are known to promote the generation of cancerassociated fibroblasts and M2 macrophages. Ovatodiolide, a bioactive compound, has been found to reduce these harmful components in exosomes, consequently weakening chemotherapy resistance [\(201\)](#page-13-0). This suggest that ovatodiolide could serve as an effective agent against colon cancer through disrupting the exosomal supply CSCs provide to immunosuppressive cells.

Future directions for targeting CSCs and the tumor immune microenvironment are pointed to be focused on integrating EVs with cutting-edge cancer therapeutic strategies, such as differentiation therapy and synthetic lethality, aiming to provide more effective and precise cancer treatments. Differentiation therapy is an innovative approach that exploits the plasticity of CSCs by inducing them to differentiate into less malignant, more differentiated cells, making them more susceptible to cytotoxic drugs [\(202,](#page-13-0) [203\)](#page-13-0). Acting as biocompatible natural carriers, EVs are promising to be engineered to deliver differentiation-inducing and immune activating molecules to target CSCs and immune microenvironment, thereby synergistically eliminating refractory

CSC pool. Synthetic lethality (SL) is defined as the simultaneous inactivation of two genes lead to cell death, whereas the loss of either gene alone is not lethal [\(204,](#page-13-0) [205\)](#page-13-0). A prime example is the use of PARP inhibitors in combination with BRCA1/2 mutations, which results in the targeted death of cancer cells ([206](#page-13-0)). Multifunctional engineered EVs present a promising delivery mechanism for SLbased therapy, as co-delivering multiple therapeutic agents that target separate pathways essential CSC survival and immune responding within the same EVs can enhance the efficacy of SL approaches. To conclude, EV-based therapies offer a versatile and targeted approach to treating cancer by addressing both CSCs and the tumor immune microenvironment.

Discussion

CSCs have been recognized as crucial targets in oncology due to their irreplaceable roles in tumor growth, metastasis, and the potential for developing more effective cancer therapies by disrupting CSC-specific pathways. The interaction between CSCs and immune cells significantly influences cancer progression, offering therapeutic avenues to modify the immune environment and exploit immune cells for CSC eradication. EVs, as masters of intercellular communication, not only shed light on the complex dynamics of cell interactions but also offer platforms for bioengineering as cutting-edge immunotherapeutic tools, harnessing their natural communication ability to modulate immune responses and precisely combat against CSCs. Specifically, compared with EVs derived from non-malignant stem cell such as mesenchymal stem cells which are known for regenerative and anti-inflammatory properties [\(207](#page-13-0), [208](#page-13-0)), CSC-derived EVs possess superior cancer therapeutic capacities due to their inherent tumor-targeting specificity, tumor immune modulation activity, and higher uptake efficiency by cancer cells ([209](#page-13-0)). These characteristics makes CSCderived EVs exceptionally advantageous as potential mediators for cancer therapeutic payload delivery.

In this review, we have provided current research on EVmediated communications of CSCs with individual subtype of immune cells in a wide spectrum of cancers. In terms of clinical translation, it is noteworthy that preclinical investigations into ovatodiolide have highlighted its potential as a disruptor of the deleterious feedback loop between CSCs and immunosuppressive macrophages, heralding an augmentation in the therapeutic efficacy for patients with colon cancer undergoing chemotherapy.

Despite the advancements discussed in our review, it is critical to emphasize that our understanding of the interactions between CSCs, EVs, and immune cells is still in its infancy. Especially understudied are the roles of NK cells, Th17 cells, and B cells in this tripartite communication, which is surprising given their crucial roles in the immune response to cancer. Take NK cells for example, as cytotoxic lymphocytes in the innate immune system, they possess the ability to eliminate cells infected by viruses or cancer cells [\(210\)](#page-13-0). NK cells are powerful in cancer immunotherapy because of being able to swiftly attack cancer cells, thereby boosting both the immediate and longterm immune defense against tumors [\(211](#page-13-0), [212](#page-13-0)). Previous studies ([213](#page-13-0)–[216\)](#page-13-0) have found that while CSCs with reduced MHC-I expression and certain CSC markers can activate NK cells' cytotoxic functions, leading to their effective elimination in various cancers, CSCs also employ numerous mechanisms to suppress NK cell-mediated immune responses, such as downregulating activation ligands or entering a dormant state to evade detection. This intricate dynamic between NK cells and CSCs suggests a potential role for EVs in mediating their interactions. Recognizing this, we advocate for a concerted effort to deepen the investigation into CSC-EV-immune cell interactions. Furthermore, the field of CSC research necessitates to advance precise isolation techniques that consider the heterogeneity of CSCs, including the development of comprehensive identification strategies including refined cell surface markers. Timely initiation of preclinical and clinical trials, grounded in laboratory findings, is imperative to substantiate the therapeutic efficacy and expedite the translation of research into improving patient survival and quality of life.

Author contributions

YJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CZ: Writing – review & editing. WY: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. XL: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by Science Research Project of Hebei Education Department Fundamental Research Funds for the Provincial Universities (No. JYT2024002), Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Hebei North University (No. BSJJ202401), and GuangDong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Grant No. 2021A1515110820) to XL.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Nassar D, Blanpain C. Cancer stem cells: basic concepts and therapeutic implications. Annu Rev Pathol. (2016) 11:47–76. doi: [10.1146/annurev-pathol-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-012615-044438)[012615-044438](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-012615-044438)

2. Batlle E, Clevers H. Cancer stem cells revisited. Nat Med. (2017) 23:1124–34. doi: [10.1038/nm.4409](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4409)

3. Ohta Y, Fujii M, Takahashi S, Takano A, Nanki K, Matano M, et al. Cell-matrix interface regulates dormancy in human colon cancer stem cells. Nature. (2022) 608:784–94. doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-05043-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05043-y)

4. Paul R, Dorsey JF, Fan Y. Cell plasticity, senescence, and quiescence in cancer stem cells: Biological and therapeutic implications. Pharmacol Ther. (2022) 231:107985. doi: [10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.107985](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.107985)

5. Clevers H. The cancer stem cell: premises, promises and challenges. Nat Med. (2011) 17:313–9. doi: [10.1038/nm.2304](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2304)

6. Beck B, Blanpain C. Unravelling cancer stem cell potential. Nat Rev Cancer. (2013) 13:727–38. doi: [10.1038/nrc3597](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3597)

7. Kreso A, Dick JE. Evolution of the cancer stem cell model. Cell Stem Cell. (2014) 14:275–91. doi: [10.1016/j.stem.2014.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2014.02.006)

8. Marks PW, Witten CM, Califf RM. Clarifying stem-cell therapy's benefits and risks. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:1007–9. doi: [10.1056/NEJMp1613723](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1613723)

9. Clara JA, Monge C, Yang Y, Takebe N. Targeting signalling pathways and the immune microenvironment of cancer stem cells - a clinical update. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2020) 17:204–32. doi: [10.1038/s41571-019-0293-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0293-2)

10. Cojoc M, Mabert K, Muders MH, Dubrovska A. A role for cancer stem cells in therapy resistance: cellular and molecular mechanisms. Semin Cancer Biol. (2015) 31:16–27. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.06.004)

11. Li Y, Rogoff HA, Keates S, Gao Y, Murikipudi S, Mikule K, et al. Suppression of cancer relapse and metastasis by inhibiting cancer stemness. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2015) 112:1839–44. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1424171112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1424171112)

12. Meacham CE, Morrison SJ. Tumour heterogeneity and cancer cell plasticity. Nature. (2013) 501:328–37. doi: [10.1038/nature12624](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12624)

13. Prasetyanti PR, Medema JP. Intra-tumor heterogeneity from a cancer stem cell perspective. Mol Cancer. (2017) 16:41. doi: [10.1186/s12943-017-0600-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-017-0600-4)

14. Steinbichler TB, Savic D, Dudas J, Kvitsaridze I, Skvortsov S, Riechelmann H. Cancer stem cells and their unique role in metastatic spread. Semin Cancer Biol. (2020) 60:148–56. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.09.007)

15. Prager BC, Xie Q, Bao S, Rich JN. Cancer stem cells: the architects of the tumor ecosystem. Cell Stem Cell. (2019) 24:41–53. doi: [10.1016/j.stem.2018.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.12.009)

16. Wu Y, Chen M, Wu P, Chen C, Xu ZP, Gu W. Increased PD-L1 expression in breast and colon cancer stem cells. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. (2017) 44:602–4. doi: [10.1111/1440-1681.12732](https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1681.12732)

17. Hsu JM, Xia W, Hsu YH, Chan LC, Yu WH, Cha JH, et al. STT3-dependent PD-L1 accumulation on cancer stem cells promotes immune evasion. Nat Commun. (2018) 9:1908. doi: [10.1038/s41467-018-04313-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04313-6)

18. Stahl PD, Raposo G. Extracellular vesicles: exosomes and microvesicles, integrators of homeostasis. Physiol (Bethesda). (2019) 34:169–77. doi: [10.1152/](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00045.2018) [physiol.00045.2018](https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00045.2018)

19. Wortzel I, Dror S, Kenific CM, Lyden D. Exosome-mediated metastasis: communication from a distance. Dev Cell. (2019) 49:347–60. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.011)

20. Couch Y, Buzas EI, Di Vizio D, Gho YS, Harrison P, Hill AF, et al. A brief history of nearly EV-erything - The rise and rise of extracellular vesicles. J Extracell Vesicles. (2021) 10:e12144. doi: [10.1002/jev2.12144](https://doi.org/10.1002/jev2.12144)

21. Ratajczak MZ, Ratajczak J. Extracellular microvesicles/exosomes: discovery, disbelief, acceptance, and the future? Leukemia. (2020) 34:3126–35. doi: [10.1038/s41375-020-01041-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-020-01041-z)

22. van Niel G, Carter DRF, Clayton A, Lambert DW, Raposo G, Vader P. Challenges and directions in studying cell-cell communication by extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2022) 23:369–82. doi: [10.1038/s41580-022-00460-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00460-3)

23. Jeppesen DK, Fenix AM, Franklin JL, Higginbotham JN, Zhang Q, Zimmerman LJ, et al. Reassessment of exosome composition. Cell. (2019) 177:428–445 e418. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029)

24. Mashouri L, Yousefi H, Aref AR, Ahadi AM, Molaei F, Alahari SK. Exosomes: composition, biogenesis, and mechanisms in cancer metastasis and drug resistance. Mol Cancer. (2019) 18:75. doi: [10.1186/s12943-019-0991-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-0991-5)

25. Buzas EI, Gyorgy B, Nagy G, Falus A, Gay S. Emerging role of extracellular vesicles in inflammatory diseases. Nat Rev Rheumatol. (2014) 10:356–64. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2014.19) [nrrheum.2014.19](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrrheum.2014.19)

26. Robbins PD, Morelli AE. Regulation of immune responses by extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Immunol. (2014) 14:195–208. doi: [10.1038/nri3622](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3622)

27. Lindenbergh MFS, Stoorvogel W. Antigen presentation by extracellular vesicles from professional antigen-presenting cells. Annu Rev Immunol. (2018) 36:435–59. doi: [10.1146/annurev-immunol-041015-055700](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-041015-055700)

28. Ruivo CF, Bastos N, Adem B, Batista I, Duraes C, Melo CA, et al. Extracellular Vesicles from Pancreatic Cancer Stem Cells Lead an Intratumor Communication Network (EVNet) to fuel tumour progression. Gut. (2022) 71:2043–68. doi: [10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2021-324994) [gutjnl-2021-324994](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2021-324994)

29. Tang D, Xu X, Ying J, Xie T, Cao G. Transfer of metastatic traits via miR-200c in extracellular vesicles derived from colorectal cancer stem cells is inhibited by atractylenolide I. Clin Transl Med. (2020) 10:e139. doi: [10.1002/ctm2.139](https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.139)

30. Gonzalez-Callejo P, Guo Z, Ziglari T, Claudio NM, Nguyen KH, Oshimori N, et al. Cancer stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles preferentially target MHC-IImacrophages and PD1+ T cells in the tumor microenvironment. PloS One. (2023) 18: e0279400. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0279400](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0279400)

31. Raghavan S, Mehta P, Xie Y, Lei YL, Mehta G. Ovarian cancer stem cells and macrophages reciprocally interact through the WNT pathway to promote pro-tumoral and Malignant phenotypes in 3D engineered microenvironments. J Immunother Cancer. (2019) 7:190. doi: [10.1186/s40425-019-0666-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0666-1)

32. Wang Y, Yin K, Tian J, Xia X, Ma J, Tang X, et al. Granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells promote the stemness of colorectal cancer cells through exosomal S100A9. Adv Sci (Weinh). (2019) 6:1901278. doi: [10.1002/advs.201901278](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201901278)

33. Chang J, Li H, Zhu Z, Mei P, Hu W, Xiong X, et al. microRNA-21–5p from M2 macrophage-derived extracellular vesicles promotes the differentiation and activity of pancreatic cancer stem cells by mediating KLF3. Cell Biol Toxicol. (2022) 38:577–90. doi: [10.1007/s10565-021-09597-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10565-021-09597-x)

34. Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2018) 15:81–94. doi: [10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.166](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.166)

35. Lauko A, Lo A, Ahluwalia MS, Lathia JD. Cancer cell heterogeneity & plasticity in glioblastoma and brain tumors. Semin Cancer Biol. (2022) 82:162–75. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.02.014) [j.semcancer.2021.02.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.02.014)

36. Gavish A, Tyler M, Greenwald AC, Hoefflin R, Simkin D, Tschernichovsky R, et al. Hallmarks of transcriptional intratumour heterogeneity across a thousand tumours. Nature. (2023) 618:598–606. doi: [10.1038/s41586-023-06130-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06130-4)

37. Wang J, Cazzato E, Ladewig E, Frattini V, Rosenbloom DI, Zairis S, et al. Clonal evolution of glioblastoma under therapy. Nat Genet. (2016) 48:768–76. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3590) [ng.3590](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3590)

38. Gerlinger M, Rowan AJ, Horswell S, Math M, Larkin J, Endesfelder D, et al. Intratumor heterogeneity and branched evolution revealed by multiregion sequencing. N Engl J Med. (2012) 366:883–92. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1113205](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1113205)

39. Rodriguez-Meira A, Buck G, Clark SA, Povinelli BJ, Alcolea V, Louka E, et al. Unravelling intratumoral heterogeneity through high-sensitivity single-cell mutational analysis and parallel RNA sequencing. Mol Cell. (2019) 73:1292–1305 e1298. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.009)

40. Lewis SM, Asselin-Labat ML, Nguyen Q, Berthelet J, Tan X, Wimmer VC, et al. Spatial omics and multiplexed imaging to explore cancer biology. Nat Methods. (2021) 18:997–1012. doi: [10.1038/s41592-021-01203-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01203-6)

41. Patel AP, Tirosh I, Trombetta JJ, Shalek AK, Gillespie SM, Wakimoto H, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq highlights intratumoral heterogeneity in primary glioblastoma. Science. (2014) 344:1396–401. doi: [10.1126/science.1254257](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1254257)

42. Neftel C, Laffy J, Filbin MG, Hara T, Shore ME, Rahme GJ, et al. An integrative model of cellular states, plasticity, and genetics for glioblastoma. Cell. (2019) 178:835– 849 e821. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.024)

43. Ocasio JK, Babcock B, Malawsky D, Weir SJ, Loo L, Simon JM, et al. scRNA-seq in medulloblastoma shows cellular heterogeneity and lineage expansion support resistance to SHH inhibitor therapy. Nat Commun. (2019) 10:5829. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13657-6) [s41467-019-13657-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13657-6)

44. van Galen P, Hovestadt V, Wadsworth Ii MH, Hughes TK, Griffin GK, Battaglia S, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals AML hierarchies relevant to disease progression and immunity. Cell. (2019) 176:1265–1281 e1224. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.031)

45. Bakhoum SF, Landau DA. Chromosomal instability as a driver of tumor heterogeneity and evolution. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. (2017) 7. doi: [10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029611) [cshperspect.a029611](https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a029611)

46. Raynaud F, Mina M, Tavernari D, Ciriello G. Pan-cancer inference of intratumor heterogeneity reveals associations with different forms of genomic instability. PloS Genet. (2018) 14:e1007669. doi: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1007669](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007669)

47. Badr CE, Silver DJ, Siebzehnrubl FA, Deleyrolle LP. Metabolic heterogeneity and adaptability in brain tumors. Cell Mol Life Sci. (2020) 77:5101–19. doi: [10.1007/s00018-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03569-w) [020-03569-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03569-w)

48. Scheel C, Eaton EN, Li SH, Chaffer CL, Reinhardt F, Kah KJ, et al. Paracrine and autocrine signals induce and maintain mesenchymal and stem cell states in the breast. Cell. (2011) 145:926–40. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.04.029)

49. Terry S, Engelsen AST, Buart S, Elsayed WS, Venkatesh GH, Chouaib S. Hypoxia-driven intratumor heterogeneity and immune evasion. Cancer Lett. (2020) 492:1–10. doi: [10.1016/j.canlet.2020.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2020.07.004)

50. Lujambio A, Akkari L, Simon J, Grace D, Tschaharganeh DF, Bolden JE, et al. Non-cell-autonomous tumor suppression by p53. Cell. (2013) 153:449–60. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.020)

51. Hong D, Fritz AJ, Zaidi SK, Van Wijnen AJ, Nickerson JA, Imbalzano AN, et al. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and cancer stem cells contribute to breast cancer heterogeneity. J Cell Physiol. (2018) 233:9136–44. doi: [10.1002/jcp.26847](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.26847)

52. Plaks V, Kong N, Werb Z. The cancer stem cell niche: how essential is the niche in regulating stemness of tumor cells? Cell Stem Cell. (2015) 16:225–38. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.015) [j.stem.2015.02.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2015.02.015)

53. Vlashi E, Pajonk F. Cancer stem cells, cancer cell plasticity and radiation therapy. Semin Cancer Biol. (2015) 31:28–35. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2014.07.001)

54. Taniguchi S, Elhance A, Van Duzer A, Kumar S, Leitenberger JJ, Oshimori N. Tumor-initiating cells establish an IL-33-TGF-beta niche signaling loop to promote cancer progression. Science. (2020) 369. doi: [10.1126/science.aay1813](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay1813)

55. Clarke MF, Dick JE, Dirks PB, Eaves CJ, Jamieson CH, Jones DL, et al. Cancer stem cells–perspectives on current status and future directions: AACR Workshop on cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. (2006) 66:9339–44. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3126) [3126](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3126)

56. Vermeulen L, Sprick MR, Kemper K, Stassi G, Medema JP. Cancer stem cells– old concepts, new insights. Cell Death Differ. (2008) 15:947–58. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2008.20) [cdd.2008.20](https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2008.20)

57. Shibue T, Weinberg RA. EMT, CSCs, and drug resistance: the mechanistic link and clinical implications. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2017) 14:611-29. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.44) [nrclinonc.2017.44](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.44)

58. Gupta PB, Fillmore CM, Jiang G, Shapira SD, Tao K, Kuperwasser C, et al. Stochastic state transitions give rise to phenotypic equilibrium in populations of cancer cells. Cell. (2011) 146:633–44. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.026)

59. Chen J, Li Y, Yu TS, Mckay RM, Burns DK, Kernie SG, et al. A restricted cell population propagates glioblastoma growth after chemotherapy. Nature. (2012) 488:522–6. doi: [10.1038/nature11287](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11287)

60. Driessens G, Beck B, Caauwe A, Simons BD, Blanpain C. Defining the mode of tumour growth by clonal analysis. Nature. (2012) 488:527–30. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11344) [nature11344](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11344)

61. Schepers AG, Snippert HJ, Stange DE, Van Den Born M, Van Es JH, Van De Wetering M, et al. Lineage tracing reveals Lgr5+ stem cell activity in mouse intestinal adenomas. Science. (2012) 337:730–5. doi: [10.1126/science.1224676](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224676)

62. Jones CL, Inguva A, Jordan CT. Targeting energy metabolism in cancer stem cells: progress and challenges in leukemia and solid tumors. Cell Stem Cell. (2021) 28:378–93. doi: [10.1016/j.stem.2021.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2021.02.013)

63. Bjerkvig R, Tysnes BB, Aboody KS, Najbauer J, Terzis AJ. Opinion: the origin of the cancer stem cell: current controversies and new insights. Nat Rev Cancer. (2005) 5:899–904. doi: [10.1038/nrc1740](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1740)

64. Dang HT, Budhu A, Wang XW. The origin of cancer stem cells. J Hepatol. (2014) 60:1304–5. doi: [10.1016/j.jhep.2014.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2014.03.001)

65. Pattabiraman DR, Weinberg RA. Tackling the cancer stem cells - what challenges do they pose? Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2014) 13:497–512. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4253) [nrd4253](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd4253)

66. Hen O, Barkan D. Dormant disseminated tumor cells and cancer stem/ progenitor-like cells: Similarities and opportunities. Semin Cancer Biol. (2020) 60:157–65. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.09.002)

67. Walcher L, Kistenmacher AK, Suo H, Kitte R, Dluczek S, Strauss A, et al. Cancer stem cells-origins and biomarkers: perspectives for targeted personalized therapies. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:1280. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.01280](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01280)

68. Shigdar S, Qiao L, Zhou SF, Xiang D, Wang T, Li Y, et al. RNA aptamers targeting cancer stem cell marker CD133. Cancer Lett. (2013) 330:84–95. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2012.11.032) [j.canlet.2012.11.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2012.11.032)

69. Nguyen PH, Giraud J, Chambonnier L, Dubus P, Wittkop L, Belleannee G, et al. Characterization of biomarkers of tumorigenic and chemoresistant cancer stem cells in human gastric carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. (2017) 23:1586–97. doi: [10.1158/1078-](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2157) [0432.CCR-15-2157](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2157)

70. Han J, Won M, Kim JH, Jung E, Min K, Jangili P, et al. Cancer stem cell-targeted bio-imaging and chemotherapeutic perspective. Chem Soc Rev. (2020) 49:7856–78. doi: [10.1039/D0CS00379D](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CS00379D)

71. Nimmakayala RK, Leon F, Rachagani S, Rauth S, Nallasamy P, Marimuthu S, et al. Metabolic programming of distinct cancer stem cells promotes metastasis of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Oncogene. (2021) 40:215–31. doi: [10.1038/s41388-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-020-01518-2) [020-01518-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-020-01518-2)

72. Bu J, Zhang Y, Wu S, Li H, Sun L, Liu Y, et al. KK-LC-1 as a therapeutic target to eliminate ALDH(+) stem cells in triple negative breast cancer. Nat Commun. (2023) 14:2602. doi: [10.1038/s41467-023-38097-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38097-1)

73. Lu J, Ye X, Fan F, Xia L, Bhattacharya R, Bellister S, et al. Endothelial cells promote the colorectal cancer stem cell phenotype through a soluble form of Jagged-1. Cancer Cell. (2013) 23:171–85. doi: [10.1016/j.ccr.2012.12.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2012.12.021)

74. Kurani H, Razavipour SF, Harikumar KB, Dunworth M, Ewald AJ, Nasir A, et al. DOT1L is a novel cancer stem cell target for triple-negative breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res. (2022) 28:1948–65. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1299](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-1299)

75. Tang DG. Understanding and targeting prostate cancer cell heterogeneity and plasticity. Semin Cancer Biol. (2022) 82:68–93. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.11.001)

76. Zhou BB, Zhang H, Damelin M, Geles KG, Grindley JC, Dirks PB. Tumourinitiating cells: challenges and opportunities for anticancer drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2009) 8:806–23. doi: [10.1038/nrd2137](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2137)

77. Agliano A, Calvo A, Box C. The challenge of targeting cancer stem cells to halt metastasis. Semin Cancer Biol. (2017) 44:25–42. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.03.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.03.003)

78. Dosch JS, Ziemke EK, Shettigar A, Rehemtulla A, Sebolt-Leopold JS. Cancer stem cell marker phenotypes are reversible and functionally homogeneous in a preclinical model of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res. (2015) 75:4582–92. doi: [10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2793) [0008-5472.CAN-14-2793](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2793)

79. Witt AE, Lee CW, Lee TI, Azzam DJ, Wang B, Caslini C, et al. Identification of a cancer stem cell-specific function for the histone deacetylases, HDAC1 and HDAC7, in breast and ovarian cancer. Oncogene. (2017) 36:1707–20. doi: [10.1038/onc.2016.337](https://doi.org/10.1038/onc.2016.337)

80. Jiao X, Velasco-Velazquez MA, Wang M, Li Z, Rui H, Peck AR, et al. CCR5 governs DNA damage repair and breast cancer stem cell expansion. *Cancer Res*. (2018)
78:1657–71. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0915](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0915)

81. Sun L, Huang C, Zhu M, Guo S, Gao Q, Wang Q, et al. Gastric cancer mesenchymal stem cells regulate PD-L1-CTCF enhancing cancer stem cell-like properties and tumorigenesis. Theranostics. (2020) 10:11950–62. doi: [10.7150/](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.49717) [thno.49717](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.49717)

82. Lai Y, Wang B, Zheng X. Limiting dilution assay to quantify the self-renewal potential of cancer stem cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Methods Cell Biol.* (2022)
171:197–213. doi: [10.1016/bs.mcb.2022.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.2022.04.010)

83. Yu H, Zhou L, Loong JHC, Lam KH, Wong TL, Ng KY, et al. SERPINA12 promotes the tumorigenic capacity of HCC stem cells through hyperactivation of AKT/beta-catenin signaling. Hepatology. (2023) 78:1711–26. doi: [10.1097/HEP.0000000000000269](https://doi.org/10.1097/HEP.0000000000000269)

84. Johnson S, Chen H, Lo PK. In vitro tumorsphere formation assays. Bio Protoc. (2013) 3. doi: [10.21769/BioProtoc.325](https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.325)

85. Gwynne WD, Shakeel MS, Wu J, Hallett RM, Girgis-Gabardo A, Dvorkin-Gheva A, et al. Monoamine oxidase-A activity is required for clonal tumorsphere formation by human breast tumor cells. Cell Mol Biol Lett. (2019) 24:59. doi: [10.1186/s11658-019-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-019-0183-8) [0183-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s11658-019-0183-8)

86. Vaillant F, Lindeman GJ, Visvader JE. Jekyll or Hyde: does Matrigel provide a more or less physiological environment in mammary repopulating assays? Breast Cancer Res. (2011) 13:108. doi: [10.1186/bcr2851](https://doi.org/10.1186/bcr2851)

87. Pinto MT, Ribeiro AS, Conde I, Carvalho R, Paredes J. The chick chorioallantoic membrane model: A new in vivo tool to evaluate breast cancer stem cell activity. Int J Mol Sci. (2020) 22. doi: [10.3390/ijms22010334](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010334)

88. Gottesman MM, Fojo T, Bates SE. Multidrug resistance in cancer: role of ATP-dependent transporters. Nat Rev Cancer. (2002) 2:48–58. doi: [10.1038/nrc706](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc706)

89. Dean M, Fojo T, Bates S. Tumour stem cells and drug resistance. Nat Rev Cancer. (2005) 5:275–84. doi: [10.1038/nrc1590](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1590)

90. Li Y, Wang Z, Ajani JA, Song S. Drug resistance and Cancer stem cells. Cell Commun Signal. (2021) 19:19. doi: [10.1186/s12964-020-00627-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-020-00627-5)

91. Lambert AW, Weinberg RA. Linking EMT programmes to normal and neoplastic epithelial stem cells. Nat Rev Cancer. (2021) 21:325–38. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-021-00332-6) [s41568-021-00332-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-021-00332-6)

92. Bocci F, Gearhart-Serna L, Boareto M, Ribeiro M, Ben-Jacob E, Devi GR, et al. Toward understanding cancer stem cell heterogeneity in the tumor microenvironment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A. (2019) 116:148–57. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1815345116](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1815345116)

93. Zhao Y, Li ZX, Zhu YJ, Fu J, Zhao XF, Zhang YN, et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis uncovers intratumoral heterogeneity and underlying mechanisms for drug resistance in hepatobiliary tumor organoids. Adv Sci (Weinh). (2021) 8:e2003897. doi: [10.1002/advs.202003897](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202003897)

94. Alvarado AG, Thiagarajan PS, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, Silver DJ, Hale JS, Alban TJ, et al. Glioblastoma cancer stem cells evade innate immune suppression of selfrenewal through reduced TLR4 expression. Cell Stem Cell. (2017) 20:450–461 e454. doi: [10.1016/j.stem.2016.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.12.001)

95. He X, Smith SE, Chen S, Li H, Wu D, Meneses-Giles PI, et al. Tumor-initiating stem cell shapes its microenvironment into an immunosuppressive barrier and protumorigenic niche. Cell Rep. (2021) 36:109674. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109674](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109674)

96. Buzas EI. The roles of extracellular vesicles in the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. (2023) 23:236–50. doi: [10.1038/s41577-022-00763-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-022-00763-8)

97. Van Niel G, D'angelo G, Raposo G. Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2018) 19:213–28. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.125) [nrm.2017.125](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.125)

98. Jeppesen DK, Zhang Q, Franklin JL, Coffey RJ. Extracellular vesicles and nanoparticles: emerging complexities. Trends Cell Biol. (2023) 33:667–81. doi: [10.1016/j.tcb.2023.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2023.01.002)

99. Yu W, Hurley J, Roberts D, Chakrabortty SK, Enderle D, Noerholm M, et al. Exosome-based liquid biopsies in cancer: opportunities and challenges. Ann Oncol. (2021) 32:466–77. doi: [10.1016/j.annonc.2021.01.074](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.01.074)

100. Zeng Y, Qiu Y, Jiang W, Shen J, Yao X, He X, et al. Biological features of extracellular vesicles and challenges. Front Cell Dev Biol. (2022) 10:816698. doi: [10.3389/fcell.2022.816698](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.816698)

101. Takahashi A, Okada R, Nagao K, Kawamata Y, Hanyu A, Yoshimoto S, et al. Exosomes maintain cellular homeostasis by excreting harmful DNA from cells. Nat Commun. (2017) 8:15287. doi: [10.1038/ncomms15287](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15287)

102. Pegtel DM, Gould SJ. Exosomes. Annu Rev Biochem. (2019) 88:487–514. doi: [10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111902](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111902)

103. Yokoi A, Villar-Prados A, Oliphint PA, Zhang J, Song X, De Hoff P, et al. Mechanisms of nuclear content loading to exosomes. Sci Adv. (2019) 5:eaax8849. doi: [10.1126/sciadv.aax8849](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax8849)

104. Cheng L, Hill AF. Therapeutically harnessing extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2022) 21:379–99. doi: [10.1038/s41573-022-00410-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-022-00410-w)

105. Dixson AC, Dawson TR, Di Vizio D, Weaver AM. Context-specific regulation of extracellular vesicle biogenesis and cargo selection. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2023) 24:454–76. doi: [10.1038/s41580-023-00576-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-023-00576-0)

106. Cocucci E, Meldolesi J. Ectosomes and exosomes: shedding the confusion between extracellular vesicles. Trends Cell Biol. (2015) 25:364–72. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.01.004) [j.tcb.2015.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2015.01.004)

107. Lenzini S, Bargi R, Chung G, Shin JW. Matrix mechanics and water permeation regulate extracellular vesicle transport. Nat Nanotechnol. (2020) 15:217–23. doi: [10.1038/s41565-020-0636-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-020-0636-2)

108. Cocozza F, Grisard E, Martin-Jaular L, Mathieu M, Thery C. SnapShot: extracellular vesicles. Cell. (2020) 182:262–262 e261. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.054](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.054)

109. Yokoi A, Ochiya T. Exosomes and extracellular vesicles: Rethinking the essential values in cancer biology. Semin Cancer Biol. (2021) 74:79–91. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.03.032) [j.semcancer.2021.03.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.03.032)

110. Andaloussi SEL, Mager I, Breakefield XO, Wood MJ. Extracellular vesicles: biology and emerging therapeutic opportunities. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2013) 12:347–57. doi: [10.1038/nrd3978](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd3978)

111. Han C, Yang J, Sun J, Qin G. Extracellular vesicles in cardiovascular disease: Biological functions and therapeutic implications. Pharmacol Ther. (2022) 233:108025. doi: [10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.108025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2021.108025)

112. Takahashi Y, Takakura Y. Extracellular vesicle-based therapeutics: Extracellular vesicles as therapeutic targets and agents. Pharmacol Ther. (2023) 242:108352. doi: [10.1016/j.pharmthera.2023.108352](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2023.108352)

113. Xu R, Greening DW, Zhu HJ, Takahashi N, Simpson RJ. Extracellular vesicle isolation and characterization: toward clinical application. J Clin Invest. (2016) 126:1152–62. doi: [10.1172/JCI81129](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI81129)

114. Clancy JW, Schmidtmann M, D'souza-Schorey C. The ins and outs of microvesicles. FASEB Bioadv. (2021) 3:399–406. doi: [10.1096/fba.2020-00127](https://doi.org/10.1096/fba.2020-00127)

115. D'Souza A, Burch A, Dave KM, Sreeram A, Reynolds MJ, Dobbins DX, et al. Microvesicles transfer mitochondria and increase mitochondrial function in brain endothelial cells. J Control Release. (2021) 338:505–26. doi: [10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.08.038](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2021.08.038)

116. Buentzel J, Klemp HG, Kraetzner R, Schulz M, Dihazi GH, Streit F, et al. Metabolomic profiling of blood-derived microvesicles in breast cancer patients. Int J Mol Sci. (2021) 22. doi: [10.3390/ijms222413540](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222413540)

117. Risha Y, Susevski V, Huttmann N, Poolsup S, Minic Z, Berezovski MV. Breast cancer-derived microvesicles are the source of functional metabolic enzymes as potential targets for cancer therapy. Biomedicines. (2021) 9. doi: [10.3390/biomedicines9020107](https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines9020107)

118. Wan C, Sun Y, Tian Y, Lu L, Dai X, Meng J, et al. Irradiated tumor cell-derived microparticles mediate tumor eradication via cell killing and immune reprogramming. Sci Adv. (2020) 6:eaay9789. doi: [10.1126/sciadv.aay9789](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay9789)

119. Al-Sowayan BS, Al-Shareeda AT, Alrfaei BM. Cancer stem cell-exosomes, unexposed player in tumorigenicity. Front Pharmacol. (2020) 11:384. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00384) [fphar.2020.00384](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2020.00384)

120. Chang CH, Pauklin S. Extracellular vesicles in pancreatic cancer progression and therapies. Cell Death Dis. (2021) 12:973. doi: [10.1038/s41419-021-04258-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-04258-7)

121. Tsunedomi R, Yoshimura K, Kimura Y, Nishiyama M, Fujiwara N, Matsukuma S, et al. Elevated expression of RAB3B plays important roles in chemoresistance and metastatic potential of hepatoma cells. BMC Cancer. (2022) 22:260. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-022-09370-1) [s12885-022-09370-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-022-09370-1)

122. Naghibi AF, Daneshdoust D, Taha SR, Abedi S, Dehdezi PA, Zadeh MS, et al. Role of cancer stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles in cancer progression and metastasis. Pathol Res Pract. (2023) 247:154558. doi: [10.1016/j.prp.2023.154558](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2023.154558)

123. Li M, Lin C, Cai Z. Breast cancer stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles transfer ARRDC1-AS1 to promote breast carcinogenesis via a miR-4731–5p/AKT1 axis-dependent mechanism. Transl Oncol. (2023) 31:101639. doi: [10.1016/j.tranon.2023.101639](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2023.101639)

124. Li L, Xiong Y, Wang N, Zhu M, Gu Y. Breast cancer stem cells-derived extracellular vesicles affect PPARG expression by delivering microRNA-197 in breast cancer cells. Clin Breast Cancer. (2022) 22:478–90. doi: [10.1016/j.clbc.2022.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2022.02.006)

125. Zhang Y, Chen Y, Shi L, Li J, Wan W, Li B, et al. Extracellular vesicles microRNA-592 of melanoma stem cells promotes metastasis through activation of MAPK/ERK signaling pathway by targeting PTPN7 in non-stemness melanoma cells. Cell Death Discovery. (2022) 8:428. doi: [10.1038/s41420-022-01221-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41420-022-01221-z)

126. Vera N, Acuna-Gallardo S, Grunenwald F, Caceres-Verschae A, Realini O, Acuna R, et al. Small extracellular vesicles released from ovarian cancer spheroids in response to cisplatin promote the pro-tumorigenic activity of mesenchymal stem cells. Int J Mol Sci. (2019) 20. doi: [10.3390/ijms20204972](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20204972)

127. Tang CH, Qin L, Gao YC, Chen TY, Xu K, Liu T, et al. APE1 shRNA-loaded cancer stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles reverse Erlotinib resistance in non-small cell lung cancer via the IL-6/STAT3 signalling. Clin Transl Med. (2022) 12:e876. doi: [10.1002/ctm2.876](https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.876)

128. Lucchetti D, Colella F, Perelli L, Ricciardi-Tenore C, Calapa F, Fiori ME, et al. CD147 promotes cell small extracellular vesicles release during colon cancer stem cells differentiation and triggers cellular changes in recipient cells. *Cancers* (*Basel*). (2020) 12. doi: [10.3390/cancers12020260](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12020260)

129. Gonzalez-Callejo P, Gener P, Diaz-Riascos ZV, Conti S, Camara-Sanchez P, Riera R, et al. Extracellular vesicles secreted by triple-negative breast cancer stem cells trigger premetastatic niche remodeling and metastatic growth in the lungs. Int J Cancer. (2023) 152:2153–65. doi: [10.1002/ijc.34447](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.34447)

130. Shi L, Li B, Zhang Y, Chen Y, Tan J, Chen Y, et al. Exosomal lncRNA Mir100hg derived from cancer stem cells enhance glycolysis and promote metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma through mircroRNA-15a-5p/31-5p. Cell Commun Signal. (2023) 21:248. doi: [10.1186/s12964-023-01281-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12964-023-01281-3)

131. Zhao H, Jiang R, Zhang C, Feng Z, Wang X. LncRNA H19-rich extracellular vesicles derived from gastric cancer stem cells facilitate tumorigenicity and metastasis via mediating intratumor communication network. J Transl Med. (2023) 21:238. doi: [10.1186/s12967-023-04055-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-023-04055-0)

132. Carli ALE, Afshar-Sterle S, Rai A, Fang H, O'keefe R, Tse J, et al. Cancer stem cell marker DCLK1 reprograms small extracellular vesicles toward migratory phenotype in gastric cancer cells. Proteomics. (2021) 21:e2000098. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.202000098) [pmic.202000098](https://doi.org/10.1002/pmic.202000098)

133. Peng D, Wang H, Li L, Ma X, Chen Y, Zhou H, et al. miR-34c-5p promotes adication of acute myeloid leukemia stem cells by inducing senescence through eradication of acute myeloid leukemia stem cells by inducing senescence selective RAB27B targeting to inhibit exosome shedding. Leukemia. (2018) 32:1180–8. doi: [10.1038/s41375-018-0015-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41375-018-0015-2)

134. Altorki NK, Markowitz GJ, Gao D, Port JL, Saxena A, Stiles B, et al. The lung microenvironment: an important regulator of tumour growth and metastasis. Nat Rev Cancer. (2019) 19:9–31. doi: [10.1038/s41568-018-0081-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-018-0081-9)

135. Lu W, Kang Y. Epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in cancer progression and metastasis. Dev Cell. (2019) 49:361–74. doi: [10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.010)

136. Wang L, Yang G, Zhao D, Wang J, Bai Y, Peng Q, et al. CD103-positive CSC exosome promotes EMT of clear cell renal cell carcinoma: role of remote MiR-19b-3p. Mol Cancer. (2019) 18:86. doi: [10.1186/s12943-019-0997-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-019-0997-z)

137. Bao S, Wu Q, Sathornsumetee S, Hao Y, Li Z, Hjelmeland AB, et al. Stem celllike glioma cells promote tumor angiogenesis through vascular endothelial growth factor. Cancer Res. (2006) 66:7843–8. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1010](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-1010)

138. Treps L, Perret R, Edmond S, Ricard D, Gavard J. Glioblastoma stem-like cells secrete the pro-angiogenic VEGF-A factor in extracellular vesicles. *J Extracell Vesicles*. (2017) 6:1359479. doi: [10.1080/20013078.2017.1359479](https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2017.1359479)

139. Inal JM, Kosgodage U, Azam S, Stratton D, Antwi-Baffour S, Lange S. Blood/ plasma secretome and microvesicles. Biochim Biophys Acta. (2013) 1834:2317–25. doi: [10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2013.04.005)

140. Busatto S, Zendrini A, Radeghieri A, Paolini L, Romano M, Presta M, et al. The nanostructured secretome. Biomater Sci. (2019) 8:39–63. doi: [10.1039/C9BM01007F](https://doi.org/10.1039/C9BM01007F)

141. Madden EC, Gorman AM, Logue SE, Samali A. Tumour cell secretome in chemoresistance and tumour recurrence. Trends Cancer. (2020) 6:489–505. doi: [10.1016/j.trecan.2020.02.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2020.02.020)

142. Ranganath SH, Levy O, Inamdar MS, Karp JM. Harnessing the mesenchymal stem cell secretome for the treatment of cardiovascular disease. Cell Stem Cell. (2012) 10:244–58. doi: [10.1016/j.stem.2012.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2012.02.005)

143. Park SR, Kim JW, Jun HS, Roh JY, Lee HY, Hong IS. Stem cell secretome and its effect on cellular mechanisms relevant to wound healing. Mol Ther. (2018) 26:606–17. doi: [10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.09.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.09.023)

144. Bari E, Ferrarotti I, Torre ML, Corsico AG, Perteghella S. Mesenchymal stem/ stromal cell secretome for lung regeneration: The long way through "pharmaceuticalization" for the best formulation. J Control Release. (2019) 309:11–24. doi: [10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.07.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2019.07.022)

145. Cordella M, Tabolacci C, Senatore C, Rossi S, Mueller S, Lintas C, et al. Theophylline induces differentiation and modulates cytoskeleton dynamics and cytokines secretion in human melanoma-initiating cells. Life Sci. (2019) 230:121–31. doi: [10.1016/j.lfs.2019.05.050](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2019.05.050)

146. Ciummo SL, D'antonio L, Sorrentino C, Fieni C, Lanuti P, Stassi G, et al. The C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 1 sustains breast cancer stem cell self-renewal and promotes tumor progression and immune escape programs. Front Cell Dev Biol. (2021) 9:689286. doi: [10.3389/fcell.2021.689286](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.689286)

147. Wei J, Barr J, Kong LY, Wang Y, Wu A, Sharma AK, et al. Glioma-associated cancer-initiating cells induce immunosuppression. Clin Cancer Res. (2010) 16:461–73. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1983](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1983)

148. Wu A, Wei J, Kong LY, Wang Y, Priebe W, Qiao W, et al. Glioma cancer stem cells induce immunosuppressive macrophages/microglia. Neuro Oncol. (2010) 12:1113–25. doi: [10.1093/neuonc/noq082](https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noq082)

149. Yao Y, Ye H, Qi Z, Mo L, Yue Q, Baral A, et al. B7-H4(B7x)-mediated cross-talk between glioma-initiating cells and macrophages via the IL6/JAK/STAT3 pathway lead to poor prognosis in glioma patients. Clin Cancer Res. (2016) 22:2778–90. doi: [10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0858)
[1078-0432.CCR-15-0858](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-0858)

150. Otvos B, Silver DJ, Mulkearns-Hubert EE, Alvarado AG, Turaga SM, Sorensen MD, et al. Cancer stem cell-secreted macrophage migration inhibitory factor stimulates myeloid derived suppressor cell function and facilitates glioblastoma immune evasion. Stem Cells. (2016) 34:2026–39. doi: [10.1002/stem.2393](https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2393)

151. Cao J, Yan Q. Cancer epigenetics, tumor immunity, and immunotherapy. Trends Cancer. (2020) 6:580–92. doi: [10.1016/j.trecan.2020.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2020.02.003)

152. Mellman I, Chen DS, Powles T, Turley SJ. The cancer-immunity cycle: Indication, genotype, and immunotype. Immunity. (2023) 56:2188–205. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2023.09.011) [j.immuni.2023.09.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2023.09.011)

153. Droste M, Thakur BK, Eliceiri BP. Tumor-derived extracellular vesicles and the immune system-lessons from immune-competent mouse-tumor models. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:606859. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.606859](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.606859)

154. Kalluri R, LeBleu VS. The biology, function, and biomedical applications of exosomes. Science. (2020) 367. doi: [10.1126/science.aau6977](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau6977)

155. Lin CC, Liao TT, Yang MH. Immune adaptation of colorectal cancer stem cells and their interaction with the tumor microenvironment. Front Oncol. (2020) 10:588542. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2020.588542](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.588542)

156. Vergani E, Daveri E, Vallacchi V, Bergamaschi L, Lalli L, Castelli C, et al. Extracellular vesicles in anti-tumor immunity. Semin Cancer Biol. (2022) 86:64–79. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.09.004)

157. Gabrusiewicz K, Li X, Wei J, Hashimoto Y, Marisetty AL, Ott M, et al. Glioblastoma stem cell-derived exosomes induce M2 macrophages and PD-L1 expression on human monocytes. Oncoimmunology. (2018) 7:e1412909. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2017.1412909](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2017.1412909)

158. Wu L, Ye S, Yao Y, Zhang C, Liu W. Oral cancer stem cell-derived small extracellular vesicles promote M2 macrophage polarization and suppress CD4(+) T-cell activity by transferring UCA1 and targeting LAMC2. Stem Cells Int. (2022) 2022:5817684. doi: [10.1155/2022/5817684](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5817684)

159. Naseri M, Zoller M, Hadjati J, Ghods R, Ranaei Pirmardan E, Kiani J, et al. Dendritic cells loaded with exosomes derived from cancer stem cell-enriched spheroids as a potential immunotherapeutic option. J Cell Mol Med. (2021) 25:3312–26. doi: [10.1111/jcmm.16401](https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.16401)

160. Grange C, Tapparo M, Tritta S, Deregibus MC, Battaglia A, Gontero P, et al. Role of HLA-G and extracellular vesicles in renal cancer stem cell-induced inhibition of dendritic cell differentiation. BMC Cancer. (2015) 15:1009. doi: [10.1186/s12885-015-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-2025-z) $2025-z$

161. Hwang WL, Lan HY, Cheng WC, Huang SC, Yang MH. Tumor stem-like cellderived exosomal RNAs prime neutrophils for facilitating tumorigenesis of colon cancer. J Hematol Oncol. (2019) 12:10. doi: [10.1186/s13045-019-0699-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0699-4)

162. Martins-Cardoso K, Almeida VH, Bagri KM, Rossi MID, Mermelstein CS, Konig S, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) promote pro-metastatic phenotype in human breast cancer cells through epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Cancers (Basel). (2020) 12. doi: [10.3390/cancers12061542](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12061542)

163. Cui TX, Kryczek I, Zhao L, Zhao E, Kuick R, Roh MH, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells enhance stemness of cancer cells by inducing microRNA101 and suppressing the corepressor CtBP2. Immunity. (2013) 39:611–21. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.025) [j.immuni.2013.08.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.025)

164. Panni RZ, Sanford DE, Belt BA, Mitchem JB, Worley LA, Goetz BD, et al. Tumor-induced STAT3 activation in monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells enhances stemness and mesenchymal properties in human pancreatic cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. (2014) 63:513–28. doi: [10.1007/s00262-014-1527-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-014-1527-x)

165. Peng D, Tanikawa T, Li W, Zhao L, Vatan L, Szeliga W, et al. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells endow stem-like qualities to breast cancer cells through IL6/STAT3 and NO/NOTCH cross-talk signaling. Cancer Res. (2016) 76:3156–65. doi: [10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2528) [0008-5472.CAN-15-2528](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-15-2528)

166. Yuan Y, Wang L, Ge D, Tan L, Cao B, Fan H, et al. Exosomal O-GlcNAc transferase from esophageal carcinoma stem cell promotes cancer immunosuppression through up-regulation of PD-1 in CD8(+) T cells. Cancer Lett. (2021) 500:98–106. doi: [10.1016/j.canlet.2020.12.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.canlet.2020.12.012)

167. Mirzaei R, Sarkar S, Dzikowski L, Rawji KS, Khan L, Faissner A, et al. Brain tumor-initiating cells export tenascin-C associated with exosomes to suppress T cell activity. Oncoimmunology. (2018) 7:e1478647. doi: [10.1080/2162402X.2018.1478647](https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2018.1478647)

168. Cheng WC, Liao TT, Lin CC, Yuan LE, Lan HY, Lin HH, et al. RAB27Bactivated secretion of stem-like tumor exosomes delivers the biomarker microRNA-146a-5p, which promotes tumorigenesis and associates with an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment in colorectal cancer. Int J Cancer. (2019) 145:2209–24. doi: [10.1002/ijc.32338](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32338)

169. Domenis R, Cesselli D, Toffoletto B, Bourkoula E, Caponnetto F, Manini I, et al. Systemic T cells immunosuppression of glioma stem cell-derived exosomes is mediated by monocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells. PloS One. (2017) 12:e0169932. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0169932](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169932)

170. Noy R, Pollard JW. Tumor-associated macrophages: from mechanisms to therapy. Immunity. (2014) 41:49–61. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.06.010)

171. Franklin RA, Liao W, Sarkar A, Kim MV, Bivona MR, Liu K, et al. The cellular and molecular origin of tumor-associated macrophages. Science. (2014) 344:921–5. doi: [10.1126/science.1252510](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1252510)

172. Malfitano AM, Pisanti S, Napolitano F, Di Somma S, Martinelli R, Portella G. Tumor-associated macrophage status in cancer treatment. Cancers (Basel). (2020) 12. doi: [10.3390/cancers12071987](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12071987)

173. Pittet MJ, Michielin O, Migliorini D. Clinical relevance of tumour-associated macrophages. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2022) 19:402–21. doi: [10.1038/s41571-022-00620-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-022-00620-6)

174. Mantovani A, Marchesi F, Malesci A, Laghi L, Allavena P. Tumour-associated macrophages as treatment targets in oncology. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2017) 14:399–416. doi: [10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.217](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2016.217)

175. Han S, Bao X, Zou Y, Wang L, Li Y, Yang L, et al. d-lactate modulates M2 tumor-associated macrophages and remodels immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment for hepatocellular carcinoma. Sci Adv. (2023) 9:eadg2697. doi: [10.1126/sciadv.adg2697](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adg2697)

176. Cassetta L, Pollard JW. A timeline of tumour-associated macrophage biology. Nat Rev Cancer. (2023) 23:238–57. doi: [10.1038/s41568-022-00547-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-022-00547-1)

177. Martinez-Usatorre A, De Palma M. Dendritic cell cross-dressing and tumor immunity. EMBO Mol Med. (2022) 14:e16523. doi: [10.15252/emmm.202216523](https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.202216523)

178. Squadrito ML, Cianciaruso C, Hansen SK, De Palma M. EVIR: chimeric receptors that enhance dendritic cell cross-dressing with tumor antigens. Nat Methods. (2018) 15:183–6. doi: [10.1038/nmeth.4579](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4579)

179. Shaul ME, Fridlender ZG. Tumour-associated neutrophils in patients with cancer. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2019) 16:601–20. doi: [10.1038/s41571-019-0222-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-019-0222-4)

180. Hedrick CC, Malanchi I. Neutrophils in cancer: heterogeneous and multifaceted. Nat Rev Immunol. (2022) 22:173–87. doi: [10.1038/s41577-021-00571-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00571-6)

181. Yang L, Liu Q, Zhang X, Liu X, Zhou B, Chen J, et al. DNA of neutrophil extracellular traps promotes cancer metastasis via CCDC25. Nature. (2020) 583:133–8. doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2394-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2394-6)

182. Cristinziano L, Modestino L, Antonelli A, Marone G, Simon HU, Varricchi G, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol. (2022) 79:91–104. doi: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2021.07.011)

183. Adrover JM, Mcdowell S, He XY, Quail DF, Egeblad M. NETworking with cancer: The bidirectional interplay between cancer and neutrophil extracellular traps. Cancer Cell. (2023) 41:505–26. doi: [10.1016/j.ccell.2023.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.02.001)

184. De Meo ML, Spicer JD. The role of neutrophil extracellular traps in cancer progression and metastasis. Semin Immunol. (2021) 57:101595. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2022.101595) [j.smim.2022.101595](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2022.101595)

185. Zheng Z, Li YN, Jia S, Zhu M, Cao L, Tao M, et al. Lung mesenchymal stromal cells influenced by Th2 cytokines mobilize neutrophils and facilitate metastasis by producing complement C3. Nat Commun. (2021) 12:6202. doi: [10.1038/s41467-021-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26460-z) $26460-z$

186. Anselmi M, Fontana F, Marzagalli M, Gagliano N, Sommariva M, Limonta P. Melanoma stem cells educate neutrophils to support cancer progression. Cancers (Basel). (2022) 14. doi: [10.3390/cancers14143391](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14143391)

187. Kumar V, Patel S, Tcyganov E, Gabrilovich DI. The nature of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Trends Immunol*. (2016) 37:208–20.
doi: [10.1016/j.it.2016.01.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2016.01.004)

188. Veglia F, Perego M, Gabrilovich D. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells coming of age. Nat Immunol. (2018) 19:108–19. doi: [10.1038/s41590-017-0022-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-017-0022-x)

189. Ouzounova M, Lee E, Piranlioglu R, El Andaloussi A, Kolhe R, Demirci MF, et al. Monocytic and granulocytic myeloid derived suppressor cells differentially regulate spatiotemporal tumour plasticity during metastatic cascade. Nat Commun. (2017) 8:14979. doi: [10.1038/ncomms14979](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14979)

190. Borst J, Ahrends T, Babala N, Melief CJM, Kastenmuller W. CD4(+) T cell help in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. Nat Rev Immunol. (2018) 18:635–47. doi: [10.1038/s41577-018-0044-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-018-0044-0)

191. Wu P, Zhang B, Ocansey DKW, Xu W, Qian H. Extracellular vesicles: A bright star of nanomedicine. Biomaterials. (2021) 269:120467. doi: [10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120467](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120467)

192. Zhang X, Zhang H, Gu J, Zhang J, Shi H, Qian H, et al. Engineered extracellular vesicles for cancer therapy. Adv Mater. (2021) 33:e2005709. doi: [10.1002/adma.202005709](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202005709)

193. Ishiguro K, Yan IK, Lewis-Tuffin L, Patel T. Targeting liver cancer stem cells using engineered biological nanoparticles for the treatment of hepatocellular cancer. Hepatol Commun. (2020) 4:298–313. doi: [10.1002/hep4.1462](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep4.1462)

194. He C, Jaffar Ali D, Qi Y, Li Y, Sun B, Liu R, et al. Engineered extracellular vesicles mediated CRISPR-induced deficiency of IQGAP1/FOXM1 reverses sorafenib resistance in HCC by suppressing cancer stem cells. J Nanobiotechnol. (2023) 21:154. doi: [10.1186/s12951-023-01902-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-023-01902-6)

195. Zhang B, Yin Y, Lai RC, Lim SK. Immunotherapeutic potential of extracellular vesicles. Front Immunol. (2014) 5:518. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2014.00518](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00518)

196. Taghikhani A, Farzaneh F, Sharifzad F, Mardpour S, Ebrahimi M, Hassan ZM. Engineered tumor-derived extracellular vesicles: potentials in cancer immunotherapy. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:221. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.00221](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00221)

197. Xu F, Jiang D, Xu J, Dai H, Fan Q, Fei Z, et al. Engineering of dendritic cell bispecific extracellular vesicles for tumor-targeting immunotherapy. Cell Rep. (2023) 42:113138. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113138](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.113138)

198. Yang J, Teng Y. Harnessing cancer stem cell-derived exosomes to improve cancer therapy. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. (2023) 42:131. doi: [10.1186/s13046-023-02717-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-023-02717-x)

199. Wang S, Li F, Ye T, Wang J, Lyu C, Qing S, et al. Macrophage-tumor chimeric exosomes accumulate in lymph node and tumor to activate the immune response and the tumor microenvironment. Sci Transl Med. (2021) 13:eabb6981. doi: [10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abb6981) [scitranslmed.abb6981](https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abb6981)

200. Zhou W, Zhou Y, Chen X, Ning T, Chen H, Guo Q, et al. Pancreatic cancertargeting exosomes for enhancing immunotherapy and reprogramming tumor microenvironment. Biomaterials. (2021) 268:120546. doi: [10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120546](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120546)

201. Huang YJ, Huang TH, Yadav VK, Sumitra MR, Tzeng DT, Wei PL, et al. Preclinical investigation of ovatodiolide as a potential inhibitor of colon cancer stem cells via downregulating sphere-derived exosomal beta-catenin/STAT3/miR-1246 cargoes. Am J Cancer Res. (2020) 10:2337–54.

202. Arima Y, Nobusue H, Saya H. Targeting of cancer stem cells by differentiation therapy. Cancer Sci. (2020) 111:2689–95. doi: [10.1111/cas.14504](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.14504)

203. Lewis AC, Kats LM. Non-genetic heterogeneity, altered cell fate and differentiation therapy. EMBO Mol Med. (2021) 13:e12670. doi: [10.15252/emmm.202012670](https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.202012670)

204. Huang A, Garraway LA, Ashworth A, Weber B. Synthetic lethality as an engine for cancer drug target discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2020) 19:23–38. doi: [10.1038/s41573-019-0046-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0046-z)

205. Setton J, Zinda M, Riaz N, Durocher D, Zimmermann M, Koehler M, et al. Synthetic lethality in cancer therapeutics: the next generation. Cancer Discovery. (2021) 11:1626–35. doi: [10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1503](https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-1503)

206. Lord CJ, Ashworth A. PARP inhibitors: Synthetic lethality in the clinic. Science. (2017) 355:1152–8. doi: [10.1126/science.aam7344](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7344)

207. Liu H, Li R, Liu T, Yang L, Yin G, Xie Q. Immunomodulatory effects of mesenchymal stem cells and mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles in rheumatoid arthritis. Front Immunol. (2020) 11:1912. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2020.01912](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.01912)

208. Varderidou-Minasian S, Lorenowicz MJ. Mesenchymal stromal/stem cellderived extracellular vesicles in tissue repair: challenges and opportunities. Theranostics. (2020) 10:5979–97. doi: [10.7150/thno.40122](https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.40122)

209. Thakur A, Parra DC, Motallebnejad P, Brocchi M, Chen HJ. Exosomes: Small vesicles with big roles in cancer, vaccine development, and therapeutics. Bioact Mater. (2022) 10:281–94. doi: [10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.08.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.08.029)

210. Bald T, Krummel MF, Smyth MJ, Barry KC. The NK cell-cancer cycle: advances and new challenges in NK cell-based immunotherapies. Nat Immunol. (2020) 21:835– 47. doi: [10.1038/s41590-020-0728-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-020-0728-z)

211. Shimasaki N, Jain A, Campana D. NK cells for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Drug Discovery. (2020) 19:200–18. doi: [10.1038/s41573-019-0052-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-019-0052-1)

212. Myers JA, Miller JS. Exploring the NK cell platform for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. (2021) 18:85–100. doi: [10.1038/s41571-020-0426-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-0426-7)

213. Pietra G, Manzini C, Vitale M, Balsamo M, Ognio E, Boitano M, et al. Natural killer cells kill human melanoma cells with characteristics of cancer stem cells. Int Immunol. (2009) 21:793–801. doi: [10.1093/intimm/dxp047](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxp047)

214. Wang B, Wang Q, Wang Z, Jiang J, Yu SC, Ping YF, et al. Metastatic consequences of immune escape from NK cell cytotoxicity by human breast cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. (2014) 74:5746–57. doi: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2563](https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-13-2563)

215. Ames E, Canter RJ, Grossenbacher SK, Mac S, Chen M, Smith RC, et al. NK cells preferentially target tumor cells with a cancer stem cell phenotype. J Immunol. (2015) 195:4010–9. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1500447](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1500447)

216. Luna JI, Grossenbacher SK, Murphy WJ, Canter RJ. Targeting cancer stem cells with natural killer cell immunotherapy. Expert Opin Biol Ther. (2017) 17:313–24. doi: [10.1080/14712598.2017.1271874](https://doi.org/10.1080/14712598.2017.1271874)