Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Valentina Pucino, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Johan Brynedal Öckinger, Karolinska University Laboratory, Sweden Micon Garvilles, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE Jong-Hyung Lim Mimhyung@upenn.edu

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 11 December 2023 ACCEPTED 18 July 2024 PUBLISHED 01 August 2024

CITATION

Lim J-H, Neuwirth A, Chung K-J, Grossklaus S, Soehnlein O, Hajishengallis G and Chavakis T (2024) Formyl peptide receptor 2 regulates dendritic cell metabolism and Th17 cell differentiation during neuroinflammation. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1354074. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1354074

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lim, Neuwirth, Chung, Grossklaus, Soehnlein, Hajishengallis and Chavakis. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Formyl peptide receptor 2 regulates dendritic cell metabolism and Th17 cell differentiation during neuroinflammation

Jong-Hyung Lim^{1,2*†}, Ales Neuwirth^{2,3†}, Kyoung-Jin Chung², Sylvia Grossklaus², Oliver Soehnlein⁴, George Hajishengallis^{1‡} and Triantafyllos Chavakis^{2‡}

¹Laboratory of Innate Immunity and Inflammation, Department of Basic and Translational Sciences, Penn Dental Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, ²Institute for Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine, University Hospital and Faculty of Medicine, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany, ³Laboratory of Adaptive Immunity, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czechia, ⁴Institute for Experimental Pathology, Center for Molecular Biology of Inflammation, University of Münster, Münster, Germany

Formyl peptide receptor 2 (FPR2) is a receptor for formylated peptides and specific pro-resolving mediators, and is involved in various inflammatory processes. Here, we aimed to elucidate the role of FPR2 in dendritic cell (DC) function and autoimmunity-related central nervous system (CNS) inflammation by using the experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) model. EAE induction was accompanied by increased Fpr2 mRNA expression in the spinal cord. FPR2-deficient (*Fpr2^{KO}*) mice displayed delayed onset of EAE compared to wild-type (WT) mice, associated with reduced frequencies of Th17 cells in the inflamed spinal cord at the early stage of the disease. However, FPR2 deficiency did not affect EAE severity after the disease reached its peak. FPR2 deficiency in mature DCs resulted in decreased expression of Th17 polarizing cytokines IL6, IL23p19, IL1 β , and thereby diminished the DC-mediated activation of Th17 cell differentiation. LPS-activated FPR2-deficient DCs showed upregulated Nos2 expression and nitric oxide (NO) production, as well as reduced oxygen consumption rate and impaired mitochondrial function, including decreased mitochondrial superoxide levels, lower mitochondrial membrane potential and diminished expression of genes related to the tricarboxylic acid cycle and genes related to the electron transport chain, as compared to WT DCs. Treatment with

Abbreviations: DC, Dendritic cell; FPR2, Formyl peptide receptor 2; TLR, Toll-like receptor; Th17 cell, T helper 17 cell; NO, nitric oxide; EAE, Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; MS, Multiple sclerosis; OCR, Oxygen consumption rate; ECAR, Extracellular Acidification Rate; OXPHOS, Oxidative phosphorylation; LPS, Lipopolysaccharide; dLN, draining lymph node; CNS, Central nervous system; SEITU, S–ethylisothiourea. TMRE, tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester; TCA, tricarboxylic acid; ETC, electron transport chain.

a NO inhibitor reversed the reduced Th17 cell differentiation in the presence of FPR2-deficient DCs. Together, by regulating DC metabolism, FPR2 enhances the production of DC-derived Th17-polarizing cytokines and hence Th17 cell differentiation in the context of neuroinflammation.

KEYWORDS

formyl peptide receptor 2, dendritic cells, Th17 cells, nitric oxide, metabolism experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis

1 Introduction

Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) is a wellestablished animal model for studying multiple sclerosis (MS), a chronic autoimmune disorder of the central nervous system (CNS) (1, 2). In EAE, infiltration of autoreactive T cells into the CNS drives inflammation, demyelination of neurons and neuroaxonal damage. Key effector cells implicated in CNS pathology of EAE and MS include IFN γ -producing T cells (Th1) and IL17-producing T cells (Th17) (3). EAE was initially thought to be driven by Th1-mediated mechanisms; however, Th17 cells, i.e. CD4⁺ T cells expressing IL17, are now considered the primary pathogenic subset of T cells in EAE (4–7).

The initiation of EAE involves the interaction of antigenspecific naïve CD4⁺ T cells with antigen-presenting dendritic cells (DCs) during the priming phase. During the primary immune response, immune cells such as DCs, B cells, and macrophages play a role in EAE development by providing costimulatory signals and secreting inflammatory cytokines (8-10). The functional differentiation of CD4⁺ T cells into Th1 and Th17 cell lineages is influenced by specific cytokines produced by activated antigenpresenting cells (11). In the presence of IFNy and IL12, naïve CD4⁺ T cells differentiate into Th1 cells (12, 13), whereas TGF β , IL6, IL23, and IL1β promote Th17 development (5, 14-16). Th1 and Th17 cell subsets proliferate and migrate from lymph nodes to the CNS, driving CNS inflammation, which involves recruitment of further inflammatory cells (17). Therefore, the interaction between DCs and T cells in lymphoid organs plays a crucial role in determining the character and magnitude of the ensuing T cell response.

Upon encountering signals mostly mediated by patternrecognition receptors, immature DCs upregulate the expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) II and co-stimulatory molecules (CD80, CD86, and CD40), transitioning to a mature state associated with changes in cellular metabolism and the ability to secrete inflammatory cytokines (18). DCs undergo two rounds of metabolic reprogramming upon activation. Within minutes after Toll-like receptor (TLR) stimulation, the glycolytic rate of BMDCs increases rapidly and remains elevated for several hours, independent of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) signaling (19, 20). In the later stage of DC activation, the sustained glycolytic reprogramming of DCs is dependent on nitric oxide (NO), which blocks mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and promotes glycolysis as the energy source (20, 21). This finding indicates that NO production in mature DCs is integral to their metabolic reprogramming. Recent studies have reported an inhibitory role of NO on DC-mediated inflammation. Inhibition of iNOS enhances DC costimulatory molecule expression and inflammatory cytokine production (22, 23). Consistently, administration of a chemical NO-donor significantly reduces costimulatory molecule expression and IL12, IL6, and TNFa cytokine production in human DCs, thereby modulating CD4⁺ T cell polarization (24, 25). Moreover, NO significantly suppresses Th17 cell proliferation and differentiation; mice lacking iNOS (NOS2) develop more severe EAE with increased Th17 cell accumulation in the spinal cord, as compared to wild-type (WT) controls (26, 27).

Formyl Peptide Receptor 2 (FPR2) is a transmembrane G protein-coupled receptor primarily expressed in phagocytic leukocytes, including DCs, macrophages, and neutrophils (28, 29). FPR2 displays a broad ligand promiscuity and recognizes formylated peptides and lipid mediators derived from invading pathogens, damaged tissues, or dead cells. FPR2 has been reported to exert both pro-inflammatory and pro-resolution effects, depending on the nature of the ligand (30, 31). The role of FPRs in different inflammatory reactions has been studied (32). However, the role of FPR2 in modulating T cell responses remains less clear. It has been shown that FPR2 ligation inhibits the expansion of effector pathogenic CD4⁺ T cells in two arthritis models, whereby FPR2 signaling enhances IL17 production in CD4⁺ T cells, while leaving IFNγ unchanged (33). Additionally, *Fpr2^{KO}* mice displayed attenuated disease severity in an allergic airway inflammation model, associated with reduced recruitment of CD11c⁺ DCs into airway mucosa and secondary lymphoid organs (34). Other studies have suggested a potential role of FPRs in modulating brain inflammation (32, 35).

Here, we sought to investigate the involvement of FPR2 in the immune response and EAE development by utilizing FPR2-deficient mice and focusing on the role of DCs. Our findings indicate that FPR2 deficiency in DCs leads to elevated NO production and decreased production of Th17 polarizing cytokines by DCs, thereby resulting in reduced Th17 differentiation and delayed EAE disease onset.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Mice and induction of EAE

Fpr2^{KO} mice in C57BL/6 background were previously described (34). All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. Animal experiments were approved by the Landesdirektion Sachsen, Germany and by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania. EAE was induced in eight to twelve-weeks-old female mice, by using the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein 35-55 (MOG₃₅₋₅₅) peptide (American Peptide Company, Sunyvale, CA, USA and Genemed Synthesis Inc., San Antonio, TX, USA) model (36, 37). In the course of EAE, mice were scored daily according to the following scale: 0, no clinical sign; 1, limp tail or hind limb weakness; 2, limp tail and hind limb weakness; 3, limp tail and unilateral hind limb paralysis; 4, limp tail and bilateral hind limb paralysis; 5, four leg paralysis; and 6, moribund or dead. For disease scoring, mice that maintained a clinical score of 1 or higher for at least two consecutive days were included (38).

2.2 Hematoxylin & eosin staining

Spinal cords were collected from euthanized mice after systemic perfusion and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, followed by immersion in 10% and 30% sucrose in PBS stepwise. The tissue was embedded in Optimal Cutting Temperature (OCT) media. Ten μ m sections of the spinal cords were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H & E). Images of the sections were collected using a Nikon Eclipse Ni-E microscope.

2.3 Isolation of leukocytes and flow cytometry analysis

Spinal cord was collected from euthanized mice after systemic perfusion and a single-cell suspension was prepared by passing through a 100 μ m cell strainer (39–41). In order to obtain a leukocyte-enriched spinal cord fraction, myelin was removed using Myelin Removal Beads II (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Spinal cord leukocytes were pre-incubated with anti-mouse CD16/32 (Fc block; BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and stained with the following antibodies using separate panels for myeloid and lymphoid cells: anti-CD45-PerCP (Biolegend, clone 30-F11), anti-CD11b-PeCy7 (Biolegend, clone M1/70), anti-Ly6G-PE (Biolegend, clone 1A8), anti-F4/80-AF488 (Biolegend, clone BM8), anti-CD11c-APC (Biolegend, clone N418), anti-CD4-AF488 (Biolegend, clone GK1.5) and anti-TCR β -Pe-Cy7 (Biolegend, clone H57–597). For the analysis of leukocytes at day 7 post-immunization, single cell suspensions were prepared from inguinal draining lymph nodes (dLN) using a 70 μ m strainer. Following antibodies were used: anti-CD45-Pacific Blue (Biolegend, clone 30-F11), anti-CD4-APC-Cy7 (Biolegend, clone GK1.5), anti-CD8a-PerCP (Biolegend, clone 53–6.7), anti-CD11c-APC (Biolegend, clone N418), anti-F4/80-FITC (Biolegend, clone BM8), anti-CD19-PE (Biolegend, clone 6D5).

2.4 Ex vivo recall assay

Leukocytes isolated from inflamed spinal cords or dLNs from EAE mice at different days post-immunization, as described in the figure legends, were re-stimulated with MOG₃₅₋₅₅ (25 µg/ml) overnight at 37°C, and treated with Brefeldin A for the last 4 h. To detect IL17A or IFNy secretion, culture supernatants were collected prior to Brefeldin A treatment, and stored at -80°C until analysis. The cells were stained with anti-CD4-eFluor 450 (Thermofisher, clone GK1.5) or anti-CD4-PE (Biolegend, clone GK1.5) prior to fixation and permeabilization step using Foxp3 intracellular staining kit (eBioscience, Frankfurt, Germany). APCconjugated antibody to IL17A (Biolegend, Clone TC11-18H10.1) or FITC-conjugated antibody to IL17A (Biolegend, Clone TC11-18H10.1) and AF488-conjugated antibody to IFNy (Biolegend, XMG1.2) or APC-conjugated antibody to IFNy (Biolegend, XMG1.2) were used. Cell suspension from dLNs was stained with Zombie aqua dye (Zombie VioletTM Fixable Viability kit, Biolegend) to distinguish between live and dead cells.

2.5 Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs)

Bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs of mice, and cells were then incubated in complete RPMI1640 medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml GM-CSF (PeproTech, Rock Hill, NJ, USA) and 20 ng/ml IL4 (PeproTech, Rock Hill, NJ, USA). Half of the culture medium was refreshed every 3 days and on day 7-9, the nonadherent cells were harvested and CD11c⁺ cells were isolated by CD11c microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech) and seeded for subsequent experiments. To stimulate BMDCs, 100 ng/ml LPS (Escherichia coli serotype 0111:B4, Sigma-Aldrich) was added and incubated for different time points (3 h, 6 h or overnight). For analysis of BMDC activation, cells were treated with 100 ng/ml LPS for 3 hours, and flow cytometry was conducted using the following antibodies: anti-CD80-eFluor450 (eBioscience, Clone 16-10A1), anti-CD86-Pe-Cy7 (BD Bioscience, Clone GL1), anti-I-A^b-FITC (Biolegend, Clone AF6-120.1), anti-CD11c-APC (Biolegend, Clone N418). To detect mitochondrial superoxide levels, flow cytometry was performed using MitoSOX Red superoxide indicator (Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 5 µM, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The mitochondrial membrane potential was quantified by flow cytometry using tetramethylrhodamine ethyl ester (TMRE, Invitrogen) at a final concentration of 100 nM,

according to the manufacturer's instructions. For studies related to involved signaling pathways, BMDCs were pre-treated for 30 mins with MEK/ERK pathway inhibitor (U0126, 10 μ M, Sigma-Aldrich) or PI3K/Akt inhibitor (LY294002, 10 μ M, EMD Millipore Corporation), or vehicle control (DMSO), followed by LPS treatment for 3 hours and downstream analysis.

2.6 In vitro Th17 cell differentiation

Naïve CD4⁺ T cells (CD4⁺CD62L^{high}) were isolated from WT mice using a cell sorter (FACSAria, BD Biosciences). PE-conjugated antibody to CD4 (eBioscience, GK1.5) or PerCP-conjugated antibody to CD62L (Biolegend, MEL-14) were used. For DCmediated Th17 cell differentiation, BMDCs were co-cultured with the naïve CD4⁺ T cells in the presence of purified anti-CD3 antibody (0.3 µg/ml) (145-2C11, Biolegend), human TGFB1 (3 ng/ml) (Biolegend), and LPS (100 ng/ml) (Escherichia coli serotype 0111:B4, Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 days. Cells were re-stimulated with PMA (50 ng/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) and Ionomycin (1 µg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 hours in the presence of Brefeldin A solution (eBioscience). Cells were harvested and blocked with 1 µg/ml of Fcγ block (BD, Heidelberg, Germany) for 10 mins at 4°C in FACS buffer (PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.1% NaN3). The cells were stained with PE-conjugated CD4 for 30 mins at 4°C, washed twice with permeabilization buffer, fixed, permeabilized in a fixation/permeabilization buffer (eBioscience, Frankfurt, Germany) for 40 mins at 4°C, stained with FITC-conjugated IL17A (Biolegend, Clone TC11-18H10.1) for 30 min at 4°C and then analyzed by flow cytometry. For NO inhibition assay, 500 µM S-ethylisothiourea (SEITU) (Cayman Chemical) was added to the co-culture plate.

2.7 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT PCR)

Total RNA was extracted using a RNeasy plus kit (QIAGEN) or Trizol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and cDNA was generated with an oligo(dT) primer and the iScript cDNA kit and then the resulting cDNAs were subjected to real-time PCR amplification with SsoFast EvaGreen Supermix kit (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) by using Bio-Rad CFX 384 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) or the Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System according to the manufacturer's protocol (Life Technologies). Data were analyzed using the comparative ($\Delta\Delta$ Ct) method. 18S or Gapdh was used as an internal control. Primer sequences used are listed as follows; Il23p19 (Forward: 5'-AGCGGGACATATGAATCTACTA AGAGA-3', Reverse: 5'-GTCCTAGTAGGGAGGTGTGAAGTTG-3'), Nos2 (Forward- 5'-CCTGCTTTGTGCGAAGTGTC-3', Reverse: 5'-CCTCCTTTGAGCCCTTTGTG), Il1b (Forward: 5'-ATCCCAAGCAATACCCAAAG-3', Reverse: 5'-GTGCTGA TGTACCAGTTGGG-3'), Il17a (Forward: 5'-CGCAAAAGTG AGCTCCAGA-3', Reverse: 5'-TGAGCTTCCCAGATCACAGA-3'), 18S (Forward: GTTCCGACCATAAACGATGCC-3', Reverse: 5'-TGGTGGTGCCCTTCCGTCAAT-3'), Fpr2 (Forward: 5'-CTGAATGGATCAGAAGTGGTGG-3', Reverse: 5'-CCCAAAT CACTAGTCCATTGCC-3'), *Il6* (Forward: 5'- CCTTCCTA CCCCAATTTCCAAT-3', Reverse: 5'-AACGCACTAGGTTTGCC GAGTA-3'). TaqMan probes and gene-specific primers for detection and quantification of mouse genes investigated in certain experiments were purchased from Thermo-Fisher Scientific and included: *Fpr2* (Mm00484464_s1), *Nos2* (Mm00440502_m1), *Aco2* (Mm00475673_g1), *Idh1* (Mm00516030_m1), *Idh3a* (Mm00499674_m1), *Suclg1* (Mm00451244_m1), *Dlat* (Mm00455160_m1), *Ogdh* (Mm004803119_m1), *Fh1* (Mm01321349_m1), *Ndufa9* (Mm00481216_m1), *Sdhb* (Mm00458272_m1), *Uqcrc2* (Mm00445961_m1), *Atp5b* (Mm01160389_g1), *Cox2* (Mm03294838_g1), *Gapdh* (Mm99999915_g1).

2.8 Seahorse analysis

BMDCs (7x10⁴) were plated in 50 µl XF Seahorse medium (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) (pH 7.4) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 10 mM glucose and 2 mM sodium pyruvate. Plates were centrifuged at 200 g without break and cells were then incubated for 30 min at 37°C without CO₂. Afterwards, 130 µl of the same XF medium was added to each well. Real-time measurements of oxygen consumption rate (OCR) were obtained as indicated by the manufacturer's instructions. OCR was measured at basal conditions and after sequential stimulation of the cells with 1 µM Oligomycin, 1 µM FCCP and 0.5 µM Rotenone/Antimycin (all included in the Mitostress kit, Agilent, Waldbronn, Germany) in a Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer (Agilent) using the Wave Software (Agilent). The measurements were normalized by the DNA content of the cells, assessed by using the CyQUANT cell proliferation kit (Invitrogen).

2.9 Measurement of cytokines by ELISA

Analysis of IL6, IL1 β , IL23, IFN γ , IL17A in culture supernatants was performed with the DuoSet ELISA kit (R&D Systems) or Mouse Uncoated ELISA kit (Invitrogen), according to manufacturer's description.

2.10 Nitric oxide assay

BMDCs were incubated overnight post treatment with LPS at 37° C and 5% CO₂ and total nitrite levels in the cell culture supernatant were determined using Nitric oxide and Nitrate/Nitrite Assay kit (R&D system).

2.11 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism software (Graphpad, San Diego, CA). Unpaired Student's *t* test was used for comparisons between two groups. Two-way ANOVA with Sidal's multiple comparison test was used for clinical score analysis. Oneway ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test or Dunnett's

multiple comparison test was used for comparisons among multiple groups. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

3 Results

3.1 Delayed EAE disease onset in $Fpr2^{KO}$ mice

To investigate the role of FPR2 in CNS inflammation, we initially monitored the expression of *Fpr2* mRNA in the spinal cord during EAE. We observed a significant upregulation of *Fpr2* expression in the spinal cord both at the early stage and the peak of EAE, as compared to healthy mice (Supplementary Figure 1, Figure 1A). We next assessed the role of FPR2 in EAE development. Compared to WT littermate controls, *Fpr2*^{KO} mice exhibited delayed EAE disease onset, as illustrated by the significantly reduced severity at the early stage of the disease (Figure 1B); however, no difference was observed in EAE severity, after the disease reached its peak. Consistent with the reduced disease severity at the early phase of EAE, *Fpr2*^{KO} mice exhibited higher body weight, compared to the WT controls (Figure 1C). Together, these findings suggest that FPR2 plays a role in the initiation phase of EAE.

3.2 FPR2-deficiency is associated with a decreased Th17 response during EAE development

Since the pathogenesis of EAE involves immune cell infiltration into the CNS (2), we characterized leukocyte populations in the spinal cords at the early effector phase of EAE (day 12 after immunization). No obvious differences in the inflammatory cell infiltrate were observed by H&E staining between the two genotypes (Figure 2A). Consistently, flow cytometry analysis revealed no significant difference in total number of CD45⁺ leukocytes (Figure 2B). Moreover, no difference was found in the frequency (percentage in singlets) of immune cell subpopulations, including CD4⁺ T cells (defined as CD45⁺TCR β ⁺CD4⁺), DCs (CD45⁺CD11c⁺), macrophages (CD45^{hi}CD11b⁺F4/80⁺), microglia (CD45^{int}CD11b⁺F4/80⁺), and neutrophils (CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6G⁺) (42), in the spinal cords of *Fpr2*^{KO} mice and littermate WT controls (Figure 2C).

To further characterize the inflammatory response, we next conducted ex vivo antigen recall assays. To this end, leukocytes isolated from inflamed spinal cords were re-stimulated with MOG_{35,55}, as described in the Methods, and the two cardinal cytokines involved in EAE-related inflammation, IL17A and IFNy, were measured in culture supernatants. The release of both IL17A and IFNy in recall assay cultures from Fpr2KO mice was reduced as compared to cultures from WT mice (Supplementary Figure 2). As IFNy and IL17 can be produced by different leukocytes besides CD4⁺ T cells, we next determined the abundance of Th1 and Th17 cells, the major effector CD4⁺ T cells during EAE development (17, 43), in the antigen recall assay. To this end, we studied whether the presence of IFNy- or IL17A-producing CD4⁺ T cells was altered due to FPR2-deficiency in the antigen recall assay. We found a decrease in the frequency of ex vivo restimulated Th17 cells (IL17⁺ cells in CD4⁺ T cells) deriving from the inflamed spinal cords of Fpr2KO mice, as compared to cells from WT controls (Figure 2C). In contrast, the frequency of ex vivo re-stimulated Th1 cells (IFN γ^+ cells in CD4⁺ T cells) deriving from the spinal cord was not changed between WT and Fpr2^{KO} mice (Figure 2D).

We subsequently studied the potential mechanism underlying the delayed EAE disease onset in $Fpr2^{KO}$ mice by focusing on the pre-onset phase of EAE development. Since the initiation of the T cell-specific immune responses occurs in the draining lymph nodes (dLN) (44, 45), and Fpr2 mRNA expression in dLN is enhanced during EAE (Figure 3A), we examined whether FPR2 deficiency affected the initial immune priming. To this end, we performed flow cytometric analysis to examine different subsets of immune cells,



FIGURE 1

Delayed onset of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in $Fpr2^{KO}$ mice. EAE was induced in mice by MOG₃₅₋₅₅ peptide immunization. (A) Relative Fpr2 mRNA expression in the spinal cord of healthy WT mice or WT mice subjected to EAE (at the peak of disease; day 19 post-immunization), as assessed by qRT-PCR. The mRNA expression was normalized to *18S* and the gene expression of WT mice was set as 1 (n=5 mice per group). (B) Clinical EAE score in WT and $Fpr2^{KO}$ mice (n=11 mice per group); D: day. (C) Body weight of WT and $Fpr2^{KO}$ mice at day 0 (healthy) or upon EAE induction (day 12 post-immunization) (n=5 mice per group); D: day. Data are mean \pm SEM. * p< 0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001; ns, not significant.



from spinal cords of WT and *Fpr2^{KO}* mice at day 12 after immunization and analyzed by flow cytometry. **(B)** The number of CD45⁺ leukocytes in spinal cords is shown. **(C)** The percentage in singlets of CD45^{hi}CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ macrophages, CD45^{int}CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ microglia, CD45⁺CD11b⁺Ly6G⁺ neutrophils, CD45⁺TCRβ⁺CD4⁺ T cells and CD45⁺CD11c⁺ DCs is shown. **(D)** Leukocytes from spinal cords were re-stimulated with MOG₃₅₋₅₅ *in vitro*, and stained for intracellular IL17 and IFN γ , together with staining for CD4, and then analyzed by flow cytometry. The percentage of Th17 cells (IL17⁺ cells in CD4⁺ T cells) and Th1 (IFN γ ⁺ cells in CD4⁺ T cells) is shown on the right; a representative flow cytometry plot is shown on the left. Data are mean \pm SEM (n=9–10 mice per group). * P<0.05; ns, not significant.

including CD4⁺ T cells (CD45⁺CD4⁺CD19⁻CD8⁻), CD8⁺ T cells (CD45⁺CD8⁺CD19⁻CD4⁻), CD45⁺F4/80⁺ macrophages, as well as CD45⁺CD11c⁺ DCs, in the draining lymph nodes at day 7 postimmunization (pre-onset phase). *Fpr2^{KO}* mice had significantly lower absolute numbers but not frequencies of DCs (defined as CD45⁺CD11c⁺ cells) in the dLNs during the pre-onset phase of the disease, whereas numbers and frequencies (as percentage in singlets) of other cell populations, e.g., CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells and macrophages, were not significantly different between the two groups (Figure 3B). By performing an ex vivo antigen recall assay,



cells, CD8⁺ (CD45⁺CD8⁺CD19⁻CD4⁻) T cells, CD45⁺F4/80⁺ macrophages and CD45⁺CD11c⁺ DCs are shown (n=7–8 mice per group). (C) Isolated leukocytes were re-stimulated with MOG₃₅₋₅₅ in vitro, and stained for intracellular IL17 and IFNγ, together with staining for CD4, and then analyzed by flow cytometry. Shown is the number of Th17 or Th1 cells (C, top), and the percentage of Th17 (IL17⁺ cells in live CD4⁺ T cells) or Th1 cells (IFN γ^+ cells in live CD4⁺ T cells) (C, bottom) (n=7-8 mice per group). Data are mean ± SEM. * P<0.05; ns, not significant.

we found a decrease in the number but not percentage (IL17⁺ cells in live CD4⁺ cells) of dLN Th17 cells from Fpr2^{KO} mice, as compared to littermate WT mice (Figure 3C, left panels). In contrast, there was no difference between WT and Fpr2KO mice with regard to the numbers or percentage (IFN γ^+ cells in live CD4⁺ cells) of dLN Th1 cells (Figure 3C, right panels). Together, FPR2 deficiency is associated with a reduction in DCs and Th17 cells in the dLNs during the pre-onset phase of EAE development, which may underlie the reduced abundance of Th17 cells in the inflamed spinal cords and thereby the delayed onset of EAE disease. These findings suggest that FPR2 contributes to Th17 cell differentiation in the course of EAE.

3.3 Impaired Th17 polarization due to FPR2 deficiency in dendritic cells

Since FPR2 expression in DCs is much higher than in CD4⁺ T cells in the dLNs analyzed at day 7 after immunization (Figure 4A), we conducted mechanistic in vitro experiments to directly assess the contribution of FPR2 to the functional properties of bone marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs). Fpr2 mRNA expression was remarkably increased in mature BMDCs activated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS), compared to immature BMDCs (Figure 4B). We hypothesized that the lower Th17 differentiation potential observed in the dLNs of Fpr2KO mice is connected to the



FIGURE 4

Decreased Th17 differentiation due to FPR2 deficiency in DCs. (A) Relative *Fpr2* mRNA expression in CD45⁺CD4⁺ T cells and CD45⁺CD11c⁺ DCs sorted from the draining lymph nodes of EAE mice on day 7 post-immunization. The mRNA expression was normalized to *18S* and the gene expression of CD45⁺CD4⁺ T cells was set as 1 (n=3–5 mice per group). (B) Relative *Fpr2* mRNA expression of BMDCs after overnight stimulation without (-) or with LPS, as assessed by qRT-PCR. The mRNA expression was normalized to *18S* and the gene expression of BMDCs in the absence of LPS was set as 1 (n=3 cultures). (C) Representative FACS histograms (top) and mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) (bottom) of MHC II (stained with anti-I-A^b), CD86 and CD80 expression in WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} CD11c⁺ BMDCs, activated with LPS for 3 hours, was assessed by flow cytometry (n=3 cultures). (D) Relative *II6*, *II1b*, *II23p19* mRNA expression in WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs activated with LPS for 3 hours, was assessed by qRT-PCR. The mRNA expression in WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs, activated with LPS for 3 hours, was assessed by qRT-PCR. The mRNA expression in WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs, activated with LPS for 3 hours, was assessed by qRT-PCR. The mRNA expression was normalized to *18S* and the gene expression in WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs was set as 1 (n=3 cultures). (E) IL6, IL18, IL23 secretion in culture supernatants of WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs, activated with LPS oros assess by ELISA (n=4–5 cultures). (F, G) Naive CD4⁺ T cells and WT or *Fpr2*^{KO} BMDCs were co-cultured for 4 days in the presence of soluble anti-CD3, TGFβ1 and LPS to assess Th17 cell differentiation, as described in the Methods. The percentage of Th17 cells (IL17⁺ cells in CD4⁺ T cells) was studied by intracellular staining (F) and secreted IL17 production was detected in the culture supernatants by ELISA (G) (n=4 co-cultures). Data are mean ± SEM. Data in (A, B, D, E) are from one experiment; data in C,F, G are from one experiment representative of 2 experiments. *P<0.01, *** P<0.001.

absence of FPR2 from DCs and hence a dysfunction of FPR2-deficient DCs. Indeed, flow cytometry analysis of LPS-activated BMDCs revealed reduced expression of both costimulatory molecules CD80 and CD86 as well as of MHC class II (MHC II) due to FPR2 deficiency (Figure 4C), in accordance to a previous report (46). Notably, moreover, mRNA expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (Il6, Il1b and Il23p19) as well as expression of the respective proteins was reduced in FPR2-deficient mature DCs, as compared to WT mature DCs (Figures 4D, E). To investigate whether these changes in antigen presentation and cytokine production of DCs due to FPR2 deficiency directly affected Th17 differentiation, we employed an in vitro T cell differentiation system (47). Specifically, we co-cultured naïve CD4⁺ T cells with BMDCs in the presence of anti-CD3, human TGFB1, and LPS for Th17 differentiation analysis (47). We compared Th17 cell development in the presence of FPR2-sufficient or -deficient BMDCs. Flow cytometry analysis revealed reduced Th17 differentiation in the presence of FPR2-deficient BMDCs, as compared to co-cultures with FPR2-sufficient BMDCs (Figure 4F). Consistently, we detected diminished IL17A secretion in the co-cultures with FPR2-deficient BMDCs, as determined by ELISA (Figure 4G). Taken together, these results demonstrate that FPR2-deficient BMDCs have impaired capacity to promote Th17 polarization.

3.4 Decreased Th17 differentiation due to FPR2 deficiency in DCs is mediated by altered NO production

Nitric oxide (NO) production modulates DC maturation (22) and suppresses Th17 cell polarization (26, 27). Interestingly, the mRNA levels of nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2), encoding the inducible NO synthase (iNOS), the primary NO-synthesizing enzyme in DCs, were significantly increased in FPR2-deficient mature DCs compared to WT counterparts (Figure 5A). This finding was further confirmed by measuring the total nitrite levels in culture supernatants, which suggested enhanced NO production by FPR2-deficient DCs (Figure 5B). Additionally, we investigated which signaling pathway is involved in the heightened Nos2 expression resulting from FRP2 deficiency in DCs. Since the ERK (48, 49) and PI3K/Akt (50) pathways have been implicated in FPR2 signaling, we employed inhibitors of these pathways in LPS-treated DCs. Intriguingly, inhibiting the ERK but not the PI3K/Akt pathway abolished the increased Nos2 expression due to FPR2-deficiency (Figures 5C, D). Therefore, the augmented Nos2 expression due to FPR2-deficiency is mediated, at least in part, by the ERK pathway.

Next, we explored alterations in cellular metabolism, as NO is known to modulate the metabolic switch during DC maturation (21). Therefore, we performed Seahorse XF extracellular Flux analysis to assess a role of FPR2 in oxygen consumption of DCs. Real-time metabolic analysis revealed a significant reduction in the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) in LPS-stimulated FPR2-deficient DCs, as compared to WT DCs (Figures 5E, F). Although DC maturation in response to LPS typically leads to a robust increase in glycolysis (51), we observed no difference in the extracellular acidification rate (ECAR) or mRNA levels of glycolytic genes including *Hk2*, *Ldha and Pkm2*, between FPR2-deficient and WT DCs (data not shown). Given the

impaired OCR in DCs due to FPR2 deficiency, we further investigated mitochondria activity. Flow cytometry analysis revealed a significant decrease in MitoSox Red staining, indicative of reduced mitochondrial superoxide levels, in LPS-stimulated $Fpr2^{KO}$ DCs, compared to WT DCs (Figure 6A). Furthermore, mitochondrial membrane potential was impaired in LPS-stimulated FPR2-deficient DCs compared to WT counterparts as shown by TMRE fluorescence staining and flow cytometry analysis (Figure 6B). Additionally, the expression of genes related to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and the electron transport chain (ETC) was diminished in LPS-stimulated FPR2-deficient DCs compared to WT DCs (Figures 6C, D). These results suggest that FPR2 plays a role in maintaining mitochondrial function and oxidative metabolism of DCs.

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1354074

Next, to determine whether the diminished Th17 differentiation observed in the presence of $Fpr2^{KO}$ DCs is linked to the higher NO production, we inhibited iNOS using the potent inhibitor SEITU. We found that inhibition of NO production effectively reversed the inhibitory effect of FPR2 deficiency in DCs on Th17 cell differentiation, which was thereby completely restored (Figure 7). These findings suggest a key immunometabolic role of FPR2 signaling in DCs which, through decreased NO production, leads to enhanced Th17 differentiation.

4 Discussion

The Th17 subset of CD4⁺ T cells within the inflamed CNS has been widely implicated in both EAE and MS pathogenesis (52, 53). During the immune priming phase of EAE, Th17 differentiation is regulated by cytokines, primarily released by antigen-presenting cells, such as DCs (10, 17). Consistently, modifying DC function and their cytokine production influences EAE disease (54, 55). In the present study, we demonstrated that FPR2 signaling in DCs, through regulation of DC metabolism and inhibition of DC-derived NO production, promotes Th17 differentiation, thereby influencing the disease onset of EAE. Hence, FPR2 may act as a modifier of the early phase of inflammation in EAE.

The expression of FPR2 increases in dLNs following immunization, and the absence of FPR2 leads to a delayed onset of EAE. However, the disease attenuation at the onset of EAE caused by FPR2 deficiency is restored at later stages, suggesting that FPR2 primarily acts during the immune priming phase of EAE. Therefore, FPR2 on DCs may predominantly act in the lymph nodes during the initiation of the immune response in EAE. Accordingly, the reduced presence of Th17 cells in dLNs of Fpr2KO mice likely explains their lower frequency in inflamed spinal cords. However, a limitation of our study is that we used whole-body Fpr2KO mice, hence not allowing us to study exclusively the role of FPR2 on DCs in vivo. Previous studies have demonstrated that the adoptive transfer of MOG-loaded DCs before the onset of EAE leads to T regulatory cellmediated protection against disease development (56, 57). Although our data suggest that FPR2 regulates DC function in the early phase of EAE, future investigations utilizing mice with DC-specific deletion of FPR2 would be required to further support this notion.

Recent research has shown that FPR2 is upregulated in the CNS during neuroinflammatory/neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's



(58, 59) and CNS injury (60). However, while our study suggests a pro-inflammatory role for FPR2 at the initiation of EAE, FPR2 activation may also lead to anti-inflammatory and/or neuroprotective effects (60). In the EAE model, we conclude that the impact of FPR2 on disease onset is primarily associated with the function of FPR2 in dLNs, rather than in the inflamed CNS. These findings therefore highlight the diverse and context-dependent (e.g., cell- and tissue-dependent) role of FPR2 signaling on the inflammatory response.

Activation of DCs leads to production of inflammatory cytokines, and the initiation of adaptive immune responses. Deficiency of FPR2 in DCs was previously shown to lead to inadequate expression of maturation markers like CD86 and MHC II, along with reduced IL12 production (46), consistent with our study's findings. In addition to these earlier findings, we have uncovered that absence of FPR2 signaling in DCs decreases the expression of cytokines, such as IL6, IL1 β and IL23, that are critical for the differentiation of Th17 cells during the immune priming phase of EAE. This could be attributed to the observed reduced NO production in FPR2-expressing DCs upon LPS stimulation, compared to FPR2-deficient DCs, given that NO is required to restrain DC maturation and cytokine production (22).



Consistent with this notion is our observation that inhibition of NO production effectively reversed the inhibitory effect of FPR2 deficiency in DCs on Th17 cell differentiation. While DC-derived NO appears to block Th17 differentiation, as shown in our study, NO produced by other cell types has been shown to support the development of Th17 responses in different inflammatory settings (61). This context-

dependent role of NO highlights the complexity of its impact on immune regulation. Together, our study shows that FPR2 signaling in DCs promotes a cytokine environment that is conducive for Th17 cell development.

Activation of DCs by Toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists is associated with a progressive loss of mitochondrial activity (21). We found that



FPR2-deficient DCs displayed decreased oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) upon LPS stimulation. Although the inhibition of OXPHOS may result in higher reliance on glycolysis (21), we did not observe any differences in glycolysis between FPR2-deficient and WT DCs (not shown). Mitochondrial metabolic reprogramming in DCs depends on the specific DC subset and the context of stimulation (18). For instance, human plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) have higher expression of the mitochondrial biogenesis regulator peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1-alpha (PGC1-a) and the mitochondrial fusion promoter mitofusin 2, which support enhanced mitochondrial metabolism upon stimulation with TLR7/8 agonists. Conversely, human myeloid CD1c⁺ DCs decrease OXPHOS upon similar stimulation (62). Furthermore, the production of type I interferon (IFN-I) by human pDCs, following TLR9 activation, relies on glycolysis, while IFN-I production triggered by RIG-I-like receptor activation depends on OXPHOS (63). Based on our present findings, FPR2 appears to regulate mitochondrial metabolism in mouse BMDCs.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that FPR2 signaling in DCs modulates DC metabolism and downregulates NO production, thereby promoting Th17 cell differentiation in the context of neuroinflammation.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Landesdirektion Sachen, Germany and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania, USA. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

J-HL: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. AN: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. K-JC: Writing – review & editing, Validation, Visualization. SG: Resources, Writing – review & editing, Investigation. OS: Writing – review & editing, Resources. GH: Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. TC: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Fritz Thyssen Foundation (2016–00675 to J-HL). OS and TC are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (TRR332, projects A2, Z1 and B4). GH is supported by NIH grant DE029436.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024. 1354074/full#supplementary-material

References

1. t Hart BA, Gran B, Weissert R. EAE: imperfect but useful models of multiple sclerosis. *Trends Mol Med.* (2011) 17:119–25. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2010.11.006

 Constantinescu CS, Farooqi N, O'Brien K, Gran B. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) as a model for multiple sclerosis (MS). *Br J Pharmacol.* (2011) 164:1079–106. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01302.x

3. Dendrou CA, Fugger L, Friese MA. Immunopathology of multiple sclerosis. Nat Rev Immunol. (2015) 15:545–58. doi: 10.1038/nri3871

4. Cua DJ, Sherlock J, Chen Y, Murphy CA, Joyce B, Seymour B, et al. Interleukin-23 rather than interleukin-12 is the critical cytokine for autoimmune inflammation of the brain. *Nature*. (2003) 421:744–8. doi: 10.1038/nature01355

5. Langrish CL, Chen Y, Blumenschein WM, Mattson J, Basham B, Sedgwick JD, et al. IL-23 drives a pathogenic T cell population that induces autoimmune inflammation. *J Exp Med.* (2005) 201:233–40. doi: 10.1084/jem.20041257

6. Park H, Li Z, Yang XO, Chang SH, Nurieva R, Wang YH, et al. A distinct lineage of CD4 T cells regulates tissue inflammation by producing interleukin 17. *Nat Immunol.* (2005) 6:1133-41. doi: 10.1038/ni1261

7. Harrington LE, Hatton RD, Mangan PR, Turner H, Murphy TL, Murphy KM, et al. Interleukin 17-producing CD4+ effector T cells develop via a lineage distinct from the T helper type 1 and 2 lineages. *Nat Immunol.* (2005) 6:1123–32. doi: 10.1038/ni1254

8. Mayo L, Quintana FJ, Weiner HL. The innate immune system in demyelinating disease. *Immunol Rev.* (2012) 248:170-87. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2012.01135.x

9. Giles DA, Duncker PC, Wilkinson NM, Washnock-Schmid JM, Segal BM. CNSresident classical DCs play a critical role in CNS autoimmune disease. *J Clin Invest.* (2018) 128:5322–34. doi: 10.1172/JCI123708

10. Chastain EM, Duncan DS, Rodgers JM, Miller SD. The role of antigen presenting cells in multiple sclerosis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (2011) 1812:265–74. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2010.07.008

11. Leung S, Liu X, Fang L, Chen X, Guo T, Zhang J. The cytokine milieu in the interplay of pathogenic Th1/Th17 cells and regulatory T cells in autoimmune disease. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2010) 7:182–9. doi: 10.1038/cmi.2010.22

12. Heufler C, Koch F, Stanzl U, Topar G, Wysocka M, Trinchieri G, et al. Interleukin-12 is produced by dendritic cells and mediates T helper 1 development as well as interferon-gamma production by T helper 1 cells. *Eur J Immunol.* (1996) 26:659–68. doi: 10.1002/eji.1830260323

13. Bradley LM, Dalton DK, Croft M. A direct role for IFN-gamma in regulation of Th1 cell development. *J Immunol.* (1996) 157:1350-8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol. 157.4.1350

14. Yang L, Anderson DE, Baecher-Allan C, Hastings WD, Bettelli E, Oukka M, et al. IL-21 and TGF-beta are required for differentiation of human T(H)17 cells. *Nature*. (2008) 454:350–2. doi: 10.1038/nature07021

15. Aggarwal S, Ghilardi N, Xie MH, de Sauvage FJ, Gurney AL. Interleukin-23 promotes a distinct CD4 T cell activation state characterized by the production of interleukin-17. *J Biol Chem.* (2003) 278:1910–4. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M207577200

16. Acosta-Rodriguez EV, Napolitani G, Lanzavecchia A, Sallusto F. Interleukins 1beta and 6 but not transforming growth factor-beta are essential for the differentiation of interleukin 17-producing human T helper cells. *Nat Immunol.* (2007) 8:942–9. doi: 10.1038/ni1496

17. Fletcher JM, Lalor SJ, Sweeney CM, Tubridy N, Mills KH. T cells in multiple sclerosis and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Clin Exp Immunol.* (2010) 162:1–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2249.2010.04143.x

18. Moller SH, Wang L, Ho PC. Metabolic programming in dendritic cells tailors immune responses and homeostasis. *Cell Mol Immunol.* (2022) 19:370-83. doi: 10.1038/s41423-021-00753-1

19. Everts B, Amiel E, Huang SC, Smith AM, Chang CH, Lam WY, et al. TLR-driven early glycolytic reprogramming via the kinases TBK1-IKKvarepsilon supports the anabolic demands of dendritic cell activation. *Nat Immunol.* (2014) 15:323–32. doi: 10.1038/ni.2833

20. Thwe PM, Amiel E. The role of nitric oxide in metabolic regulation of Dendritic cell immune function. *Cancer Lett.* (2018) 412:236–42. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet. 2017.10.032

21. Everts B, Amiel E, van der Windt GJ, Freitas TC, Chott R, Yarasheski KE, et al. Commitment to glycolysis sustains survival of NO-producing inflammatory dendritic cells. *Blood.* (2012) 120:1422–31. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-03-419747

22. Si C, Zhang R, Wu T, Lu G, Hu Y, Zhang H, et al. Dendritic cell-derived nitric oxide inhibits the differentiation of effector dendritic cells. *Oncotarget*. (2016) 7:74834–45. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.v7i46

23. Lawless SJ, Kedia-Mehta N, Walls JF, McGarrigle R, Convery O, Sinclair LV, et al. Glucose represses dendritic cell-induced T cell responses. *Nat Commun.* (2017) 8:15620. doi: 10.1038/ncomms15620

24. Corinti S, Pastore S, Mascia F, Girolomoni G. Regulatory role of nitric oxide on monocyte-derived dendritic cell functions. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* (2003) 23:423–31. doi: 10.1089/107999003322277838

25. Morita R, Uchiyama T, Hori T. Nitric oxide inhibits IFN-alpha production of human plasmacytoid dendritic cells partly via a guanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphatedependent pathway. *J Immunol.* (2005) 175:806–12. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.2.806

26. Niedbala W, Alves-Filho JC, Fukada SY, Vieira SM, Mitani A, Sonego F, et al. Regulation of type 17 helper T-cell function by nitric oxide during inflammation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2011) 108:9220–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1100667108

27. Jianjun Y, Zhang R, Lu G, Shen Y, Peng L, Zhu C, et al. T cell-derived inducible nitric oxide synthase switches off Th17 cell differentiation. *J Exp Med*. (2013) 210:1447–62. doi: 10.1084/jem.20122494

28. Corminboeuf O, Leroy X. FPR2/ALXR agonists and the resolution of inflammation. J Med Chem. (2015) 58:537–59. doi: 10.1021/jm501051x

29. Chen K, Bao Z, Gong W, Tang P, Yoshimura T, Wang JM. Regulation of inflammation by members of the formyl-peptide receptor family. *J Autoimmun.* (2017) 85:64–77. doi: 10.1016/j.jaut.2017.06.012

30. Dufton N, Hannon R, Brancaleone V, Dalli J, Patel HB, Gray M, et al. Antiinflammatory role of the murine formyl-peptide receptor 2: ligand-specific effects on leukocyte responses and experimental inflammation. *J Immunol.* (2010) 184:2611–9. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903526

31. De Y, Chen Q, Schmidt AP, Anderson GM, Wang JM, Wooters J, et al. LL-37, the neutrophil granule- and epithelial cell-derived cathelicidin, utilizes formyl peptide receptor-like 1 (FPRL1) as a receptor to chemoattract human peripheral blood neutrophils, monocytes, and T cells. *J Exp Med.* (2000) 192:1069–74. doi: 10.1084/ jem.192.7.1069

32. Zhu J, Li L, Ding J, Huang J, Shao A, Tang B. The role of formyl peptide receptors in neurological diseases via regulating inflammation. *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2021) 15:753832. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2021.753832

33. Odobasic D, Jia Y, Kao W, Fan H, Wei X, Gu R, et al. Formyl peptide receptor activation inhibits the expansion of effector T cells and synovial fibroblasts and attenuates joint injury in models of rheumatoid arthritis. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2018) 61:140–9. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2018.05.028

34. Chen K, Le Y, Liu Y, Gong W, Ying G, Huang J, et al. A critical role for the g protein-coupled receptor mFPR2 in airway inflammation and immune responses. *J Immunol.* (2010) 184:3331–5. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0903022

35. Wang X, Zhu M, Hjorth E, Cortes-Toro V, Eyjolfsdottir H, Graff C, et al. Resolution of inflammation is altered in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement.* (2015) 11:40–50 e1-2. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2013.12.024

36. Xie C, Alcaide P, Geisbrecht BV, Schneider D, Herrmann M, Preissner KT, et al. Suppression of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by extracellular adherence protein of Staphylococcus aureus. *J Exp Med.* (2006) 203:985–94. doi: 10.1084/jem.20051681

37. Choi EY, Lim JH, Neuwirth A, Economopoulou M, Chatzigeorgiou A, Chung KJ, et al. Developmental endothelial locus-1 is a homeostatic factor in the central nervous system limiting neuroinflammation and demyelination. *Mol Psychiatry*. (2015) 20:880–8. doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.146

38. Bernardes D, Brambilla R, Bracchi-Ricard V, Karmally S, Dellarole A, Carvalho-Tavares J, et al. Prior regular exercise improves clinical outcome and reduces demyelination and axonal injury in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neurochem.* (2016) 136 Suppl 1:63–73. doi: 10.1111/jnc.13354

39. Huntemann N, Vogelsang A, Groeneweg L, Willison A, Herrmann AM, Meuth SG, et al. An optimized and validated protocol for inducing chronic experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis in C57BL/6J mice. J Neurosci Methods. (2022) 367:109443. doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2021.109443

40. Pierson ER, Goverman JM. GM-CSF is not essential for experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis but promotes brain-targeted disease. *JCI Insight*. (2017) 2:e92362. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.92362

41. Saligrama N, Zhao F, Sikora MJ, Serratelli WS, Fernandes RA, Louis DM, et al. Opposing T cell responses in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *Nature*. (2019) 572:481–7. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1467-x

42. Savarin C, Hinton DR, Valentin-Torres A, Chen Z, Trapp BD, Bergmann CC, et al. Astrocyte response to IFN-gamma limits IL-6-mediated microglia activation and progressive autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J neuroinflamm*. (2015) 12:79. doi: 10.1186/s12974-015-0293-9

43. El-behi M, Rostami A, Ciric B. Current views on the roles of Th1 and Th17 cells in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* (2010) 5:189–97. doi: 10.1007/s11481-009-9188-9

44. Steinman RM. Lasker Basic Medical Research Award. Dendritic cells: versatile controllers of the immune system. *Nat Med.* (2007) 13:1155–9. doi: 10.1038/nm1643

45. Bousso P. T-cell activation by dendritic cells in the lymph node: lessons from the movies. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2008) 8:675–84. doi: 10.1038/nri2379

46. Chen K, Xiang Y, Huang J, Gong W, Yoshimura T, Jiang Q, et al. The formylpeptide receptor 2 (Fpr2) and its endogenous ligand cathelin-related antimicrobial peptide (CRAMP) promote dendritic cell maturation. *J Biol Chem.* (2014) 289:17553–63. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.535674

47. Jeon YT, Na H, Ryu H, Chung Y. Modulation of dendritic cell activation and subsequent th1 cell polarization by lidocaine. *PloS One.* (2015) 10:e0139845. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139845

48. Iribarren P, Chen K, Hu J, Zhang X, Gong W, Wang JM. IL-4 inhibits the expression of mouse formyl peptide receptor 2, a receptor for amyloid beta1-42, in TNF-alpha-activated microglia. *J Immunol.* (2005) 175:6100–6. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.175.9.6100

49. Tcherniuk S, Cenac N, Comte M, Frouard J, Errazuriz-Cerda E, Galabov A, et al. Formyl peptide receptor 2 plays a deleterious role during influenza A virus infections. *J Infect Dis.* (2016) 214:237–47. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw127

50. Zhang L, Wang G, Chen X, Xue X, Guo Q, Liu M, et al. Formyl peptide receptors promotes neural differentiation in mouse neural stem cells by ROS generation and regulation of PI3K-AKT signaling. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:206. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-00314-5

51. Krawczyk CM, Holowka T, Sun J, Blagih J, Amiel E, DeBerardinis RJ, et al. Tolllike receptor-induced changes in glycolytic metabolism regulate dendritic cell activation. *Blood.* (2010) 115:4742–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-10-249540

52. Hirota K, Duarte JH, Veldhoen M, Hornsby E, Li Y, Cua DJ, et al. Fate mapping of IL-17-producing T cells in inflammatory responses. *Nat Immunol.* (2011) 12:255–63. doi: 10.1038/ni.1993

53. Komiyama Y, Nakae S, Matsuki T, Nambu A, Ishigame H, Kakuta S, et al. IL-17 plays an important role in the development of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Immunol.* (2006) 177:566–73. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.1.566

54. Clarkson BD, Walker A, Harris MG, Rayasam A, Sandor M, Fabry Z. CCR2dependent dendritic cell accumulation in the central nervous system during early effector experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis is essential for effector T cell restimulation in *situ* and disease progression. *J Immunol.* (2015) 194:531–41. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1401320

55. Paterka M, Siffrin V, Voss JO, Werr J, Hoppmann N, Gollan R, et al. Gatekeeper role of brain antigen-presenting CD11c+ cells in neuroinflammation. *EMBO J.* (2016) 35:89–101. doi: 10.15252/embj.201591488 56. Vogel A, Kerndl M, Schabbauer G, Sharif O. Protocol to assess the tolerogenic properties of adoptively transferred dendritic cells during murine experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *STAR Protoc.* (2022) 3:101653. doi: 10.1016/j.xpro.2022.101653

57. Vogel A, Martin K, Soukup K, Halfmann A, Kerndl M, Brunner JS, et al. JAK1 signaling in dendritic cells promotes peripheral tolerance in autoimmunity through PD-L1-mediated regulatory T cell induction. *Cell Rep.* (2022) 38:110420. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110420

58. Pourbadie HG, Sayyah M, Khoshkholgh-Sima B, Choopani S, Nategh M, Motamedi F, et al. Early minor stimulation of microglial TLR2 and TLR4 receptors attenuates Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive deficit in rats: behavioral, molecular, and electrophysiological evidence. *Neurobiol Aging.* (2018) 70:203–16. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2018.06.020

59. Cui YH, Le Y, Zhang X, Gong W, Abe K, Sun R, et al. Up-regulation of FPR2, a chemotactic receptor for amyloid beta 1-42 (A beta 42), in murine microglial cells by TNF alpha. *Neurobiol Dis.* (2002) 10:366–77. doi: 10.1006/nbdi.2002.0517

60. Bisicchia E, Sasso V, Catanzaro G, Leuti A, Besharat ZM, Chiacchiarini M, et al. Resolvin D1 halts remote neuroinflammation and improves functional recovery after focal brain damage via ALX/FPR2 receptor-regulated microRNAs. *Mol Neurobiol.* (2018) 55:6894–905. doi: 10.1007/s12035-018-0889-z

61. Obermajer N, Wong JL, Edwards RP, Chen K, Scott M, Khader S, et al. Induction and stability of human Th17 cells require endogenous NOS2 and cGMP-dependent NO signaling. *J Exp Med.* (2013) 210:1433–445. doi: 10.1084/jem.20121277

62. Basit F, Mathan T, Sancho D, de Vries IJM. Human dendritic cell subsets undergo distinct metabolic reprogramming for immune response. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:2489. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.02489

63. Fekete T, Suto MI, Bencze D, Mazlo A, Szabo A, Biro T, et al. Human plasmacytoid and monocyte-derived dendritic cells display distinct metabolic profile upon RIG-I activation. *Front Immunol.* (2018) 9:3070. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.03070