#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Julien Pottecher, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, France

REVIEWED BY Karen Elizabeth Kempsell, UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), United Kingdom Zhongheng Zhang, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hongsheng Wu Crazywu2007@126.com Keqiang Ma Mkq9928@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 10 November 2023 ACCEPTED 06 March 2024 PUBLISHED 18 March 2024

#### CITATION

Wu H, Cao T, Ji T, Luo Y, Huang J and Ma K (2024) Predictive value of the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio in the prognosis and risk of death for adult sepsis patients: a meta-analysis. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1336456. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1336456

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wu, Cao, Ji, Luo, Huang and Ma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Predictive value of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the prognosis and risk of death for adult sepsis patients: a meta-analysis

Hongsheng Wu\*, Tiansheng Cao, Tengfei Ji, Yumei Luo, Jianbin Huang and Keqiang Ma\*

Department of Hepatobiliary Pancreatic Surgery, Huadu District People's Hospital of Guangzhou, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

**Background:** The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a commonly used biomarker for acute inflammation that often rises during sepsis, making it a valuable diagnostic indicator for clinical practice. However, no consensus has been reached on the prognostic value of NLR for predicting the prognosis and mortality risk in adult sepsis patients. In light of this controversy, we conducted a meta-analysis to clarify the prognostic significance of NLR in adult sepsis patients. The meta-analysis was registered in the PROSPERO database (registration number CRD42023433143).

**Methods:** We performed a comprehensive literature search in PubMed, Cochrane Library, Ovid, and Springer databases, using retrieval terms "*sepsis*" or "*septic shock*" and "*prognosis*" or "*mortality*" for studies published between January 1, 2000, and May 31, 2023. Children and neonates with sepsis were excluded from our research. Two independent researchers conducted the literature search and data extraction. Consensus was reached when discrepancies occurred, and in case of persistent discrepancies, the final decision was made by the research supervisor. The hazard ratio (HR) and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were extracted from each study included in the analysis. A random-effects model was used to synthesize all HRs and their 95% CIs. Sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to identify studies that had a significant impact on the overall results of the meta-analysis. Subgroup analysis and metaregression were performed to explore sources of heterogeneity. Egger's test was also used to investigate publication bias in this meta-analysis.

**Results:** After a comprehensive literature search and screening, we included 12 studies comprising 10,811 patients for the meta-analysis. The pooled results indicated that patients with a higher NLR level were associated with a poor prognosis (Random-effects model, HR: 1.6273, 95% CI: 1.3951-1.8981). Heterogeneity testing showed significant heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 87.2\%$ , 95% CI: 79.5-92, p<0.0001). Sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate the sources of heterogeneity, which revealed that the omission of one highly sensitive study significantly reduced the  $I^2$  value. After removing this study, a strong association was found between a higher NLR level and poor prognosis and risk of death in adult sepsis patients (Random-effects model, HR: 1.6884, 95% CI:

1.4338-1.9882). Both subgroup analysis and meta-regression indicated that the study design and testing time of NLR were sources of heterogeneity. Egger's test showed no obvious publication bias in this meta-analysis.

**Conclusion:** NLR is a reliable and valuable biomarker for predicting prognosis and the risk of death in adult sepsis patients.

**Systematic Review Registration:** [https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/display\_record.php?ID=CRD42023433143] PROSPERO, identifier [CRD42023433143].

KEYWORDS

adult sepsis, NLR, prognosis, meta-analysis, mortality

# 1 Introduction

Sepsis is an infectious disease characterized by high mortality and poor prognosis (1, 2). In 2016, The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock redefined sepsis as an infection accompanied by organ dysfunction (3, 4). Over the years, the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score has been increasingly adopted to diagnose and assess the prognosis of sepsis (5, 6). While the SOFA score is a valuable tool for sepsis evaluation, it involves numerous parameters and indexes, which can be cumbersome during the assessment process. Accordingly, significant efforts have been undertaken to explore new diagnostic techniques and prognostic biomarkers (7, 8).

One such biomarker is the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), which is derived from the ratio of neutrophil count to lymphocyte count and can be obtained from a complete blood count test. It has been established that elevated NLR levels indicate acute infectious inflammation and are commonly considered an inflammatory biomarker (9, 10). There is an increasing consensus suggesting that NLR plays a crucial role in predicting sepsis and can be a valuable marker for sepsis diagnosis (11–13). Besides, a high NLR level has been associated with poor outcomes in adult sepsis patients. However, a recent prospective study by Schupp, T et al. (14) contradicted these findings, stating that NLR was not a reliable parameter to differentiate between patients with sepsis and septic shock, nor could it predict prognosis. Consequently, the utility of NLR as a biomarker for sepsis prediction remains uncertain. To bridge this knowledge gap, we conducted a comprehensive search and analysis of available studies to investigate the value of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in predicting prognosis for adult sepsis patients.

# 2 Materials and methods

## 2.1 Literature search strategy

We conducted a comprehensive search for literature on adult patients diagnosed with sepsis or septic shock between January 1, 2000, and May 31, 2023, from the Pubmed, Cochrane Library, Ovid, and Springer databases using the following MeSH search headings: "sepsis" or "sepsis shock" and" neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio" or "NLR" and "prognosis" or "mortality". To expand the search scope, we also utilized the "related articles" function of Pubmed and searched the references of identified articles simultaneously. The retrieved studies included prospective and retrospective study designs.

#### 2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis were as follows: (1) Studies involving hospitalized adult patients with sepsis or septic shock; (2) Definition of sepsis or septic shock and corresponding management according to international guidelines (3, 18); (3) Use of NLR as an evaluating indicator for predicting sepsis or septic shock; (4) Availability of Hazard Ratio (HR) and the corresponding 95% Confidence Interval (95% CI) that could be extracted from the study with Cox model or Kaplan-Meier stratification validation. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Studies involving neonatal or pediatric sepsis; (2) Studies involving animal experiments, systematic reviews, case reports, or letters; (3) Studies providing only odds ratio (OR) from univariate analysis or logistic regression; (4) Studies from which data could not be extracted. The process of inclusion and exclusion criteria for this meta-analysis strictly followed the PRISMA 2000 procedure.

#### 2.3 Data extraction and quality assessment

Data extraction from each included study involved retrieving the name of the first author, publication year, region of the population, study design, total number of patients, number of survival and non-survival patients, time for mortality observation, time of NLR testing, cut-off NLR value, HR, and the 95% CI for prognostic prediction. Two independent reviewers performed data extraction, and disagreements were resolved through discussion and consensus. If further disagreements occurred, they were resolved by senior authors (KQ Ma and H.S. *Wu*). Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS), evaluating the exposed cohort, comparability, outcome of interest, assessment of outcome, and cohort follow-up (15). The maximum score in this assessment system is 9 points, with literature with a score of no less than 6 points considered high quality.

## 2.4 Statistical method

For the preliminary synthesis of HRs and their corresponding 95% CIs, the "*metagen*" function from the "*meta*" package was used. Heterogeneity was tested using I<sup>2</sup>, where I<sup>2</sup> <50% indicated nonsignificant heterogeneity and a fixed-effects model was used for data synthesis. Conversely, when the I<sup>2</sup> value was  $\geq$ 50%, a random-effects model was used. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to investigate highly sensitive literature with the "*metainf*" function, and data re-synthesis was performed after eliminating highly sensitive literature. Subgroup analysis with the "byvar" function and meta-regression with the "metareg" function were used to explore sources of heterogeneity. Publication bias was investigated using an enhanced contour funnel plot and Egger's test. All statistical analyses and figure generation were performed using R software Version 4.1.3.

# **3 Results**

#### 3.1 Identification of relevant studies

464 relevant studies were initially identified from the Pubmed, Cochrane Library, Springer, and Ovid databases. After excluding duplications and ineligible studies, 132 studies remained. Further screening resulted in the exclusion of 49 studies due to the unavailability of the full text. Additionally, 21 studies were removed as they could not be retrieved, leaving 62 studies for eligibility. Finally, reviews (n=12), letters to the editor (n=7), studies about neonatal or children sepsis (n=19), animal experiments (n=4), and unavailable studies (n=8) were excluded. Ultimately, 12 studies with 10,811 patients were included in this meta-analysis (16–27). Among the included patients were 8,389 survivors and 2,422 deaths, with a nonsurvivor-to-survivor ratio of approximately 1:3.5. The flowchart of study selection and screening is depicted in Figure 1.

## 3.2 Study characteristics and quality assessment

Among twelve included studies, ten studies (16, 17, 19–21, 23– 27) defined as sepsis, one defined as sepsis shock (22) and one defined as sepsis or sepsis shock (18). Three studies diagnosed sepsis based on the sepsis-2 definition (16–18) and other nine studies base on sepsis-3 definition (19–27). For the study design, three studies (17, 19, 26) were prospective and nine studies (16, 18, 20–25, 27) were retrospective. The mean age of patients in six studies (16–18, 20, 22, 25) was older than 60 years, while the remaining six studies



involved patients younger than or equal to 60 years (19, 21, 23, 24, 26, 27). The observed outcomes varied among studies: seven studies (18, 20-25) used 28-day mortality as the observed outcome, two studies assessed 30-day mortality (19, 27), another two studies reported in-hospital mortality (17, 26), only one study (16) used 15-day mortality as the observed outcome. NLR was used as a prognostic risk factor for predicting adult sepsis in all 12 studies, and the cut-off values for NLR varied among studies. Six studies (17-20, 26, 27) defined their cut-off values as more than 10, while another six studies (16, 21-25) as less or equal to 10. The testing time for NLR also differed: seven studies (17, 19-21, 24, 26, 27) tested the NLR on day 1 of hospitalization, two studies (16, 18) on day 2, one study (22) on day 3 and the remaining two studies (23, 25) on day 7. The quality assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (15) indicated that all included studies had a total score of more than 6 points, suggesting higher quality and a lower risk of bias. The characteristics and quality assessment of the included studies are presented in Table 1.

#### 3.3 Preliminary studies synthesis

The pooled analysis of HRs and their corresponding 95% CIs extracted from the included studies indicated that higher NLR was associated with a poorer prognosis for adult sepsis patients (HR: 1.6273, 95% CI: 1.3951-1.8981) with significant heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 87.2\%$ , p<0.0001), using a random-effects model (Figure 2).

## 3.4 Sensitivity analysis

The purpose of sensitivity analysis was to examine the stability of results under certain hypothetical conditions and preliminarily investigate sources of heterogeneity in the included literature. High

Author	Year	Country	Disease severity	Study design	Male/ Female	Mean age (yrs)	Patients NO.	Survival/ Death	Outcome Measure	Time of sampling	Cut- off value	Comorbidities	Quanlity score
Terradas, R (16)	2012	Spain	Sepsis	Retrospective	1316/995	67.7	2311	2056/255	15- day mortality	Day2	7.00	NA	8
Akilli, NB (17)	2014	Turkey	Sepsis	Prospective	203/170	74	373	209/164	In hospital mortality	Day1	11.90	Coronary artery disease, Congestive heart failure, Chronic renal failure, COPD, Malignancy	9
Hwang, S.Y ( <mark>18</mark> )	2017	Republic of Korea	Sepsis, Sepsis shock	Retrospective	787/821	65	1608	1397/211	28- day mortality	Day2	31.00	Hypertension, Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease, Chronic lung disease, Chronic renal disease, Liver cirrhosis	8
Lorente, L (19)	2020	Spain	Sepsis	Prospective	140/63	60	203	135/68	30- day mortality	Day1	12.10	Chronic renal disease, COPD, Diabetes, Ischemic heart disease	7
Weiyan, Y (20)	2020	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	1539/1504	67	3043	2433/610	28- day mortality	Day1	20.25	Atrial fibrillation, Coronary heart disease, Congestive heart failure, Diabetes, Malignancy, Chronic renal disease, Liver cirrhosis	8
Li,J.Y (21)	2021	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	168/106	57.68	274	79/195	28- day mortality	Day1	5.51	Hypertension, Coronary heart disease, Diabetes, COPD, Cerebrovascular disease, Chronic renal disease, Malignancy	8
Li,Q (22)	2021	China	Sepsis shock	Retrospective	840/405	69.56	1245	809/436	28- day mortality	Day3	6.56	COPD, Coronary heart disease, Heart failure, Hypertension, Diabetes, Liver cirrhosis, Maglinancy	7
Liu, Shuangqing (23)	2021	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	116/100	54.7	216	144/72	28- day mortality	Day7	4.18	Hypertension, Ischemic heart disease, COPD, Autoimmune disease, Chronic kidney disease	6
Liu,S (24)	2021	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	167/97	52.92	264	186/78	28- day mortality	Day1	4.94	Hypertension, Diabetes, Coronary heart disease, COPD	8
Liu,Y (25)	2021	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	60/31	65	91	71/20	28- day mortality	Day7	8.49	Hypertension, Coronary heart disease, Chronic renal failure, Diabetes, COPD, Malignancy	8
Chebl, R.B (26)	2022	America	Sepsis	Prospective	509/365	53	874	675/199	In hospital mortality	Day1	14.20	Chronic kidney disease, Hypertension, Dyslipidemia, Atrial fibrillation, Coronary artery disease, Congestive heart failure, Malignancy	7
Wei,W (27)	2023	China	Sepsis	Retrospective	217/92	57.8	309	175/134	30- day mortality	Day1	13.16	Hypertension, Diabetes, Coronary heart disease, Chronic kidney disease, Pneumonia, Acute respiratory distress syndrome, Malignancy	6

10.3389/fimmu.2024.1336456

"NA" indicated that Comorbidities were no mentioned in the study.

sensitivity literature meant that after excluding this literature, the heterogeneity of the meta-synthesis had a significant decrease. Given the significant heterogeneity observed in the preliminary synthesis, sensitivity analysis was performed to identify potentially highly sensitive literature. One study by Liu, Shuangqing et al. (23) contributed significantly to the high level of heterogeneity, and its exclusion reduced the  $I^2$  to 77% (Figure 3).

## 3.5 Re-synthesis of the included studies and correlation of the NLR to disease severity

After eliminating highly sensitive studies, a re-synthesis of the included studies showed that a higher level of NLR remained associated with a poorer prognosis for adult sepsis patients (HR: 1.6884, 95% CI: 1.4338-1.9882) with moderate heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> = 77%, p<0.0001), using a random-effects model (Figure 4). And in order to investigate the correlation of the NLR to severity of adult sepsis of this study, we also made a dose-response analysis of this meta-analysis. The doseresponse curve from Supplementary Figure 1 indicated that despite the relationship between the HR of sepsis and the value of NLR was not the linear, nevertheless, in general, the increase in NLR value significantly increases the hazard ratio of mortality in sepsis patients. As for the predictive value of NLR, we made a pooled receiver operating characteristic(ROC) curve, from Supplementary Figure 2, we can find sensitivity and specificity of NLR for predicting mortality of adult sepsis were 0.64(0.54-0.74) and 0.79(0.74-0.84) respectively, and the pooled area under curve(AUC) was 0.80(0.76-0.83) which indicated a moderate predictive capability.

## 3.6 Subgroup analysis and meta-regression

In the process of meta-analysis, adjusting for confounding factors was important because it provided interpretability for the heterogeneity of results. Given that moderate heterogeneity was observed in the data re-synthesis using the random-effects model, we performed subgroup analysis and meta-regression to adjust for confounding factors and

Study	logHR	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI
Terradas,R2012(16)	0.5423	0.1674	8.0%	1.72 [1.24; 2.39]	
Akilli,NB2014(17)	0.4929	0.1983	7.0%	1.64 [1.11; 2.42]	
Hwang, S.Y2017(18)	0.9746	0.2453	5.6%	2.65 [1.64; 4.29]	
Lorente,L2020(19)	1.0647	0.2465	5.6%	2.90 [1.80; 4.73]	
Weiyan, Y2020(20)	0.1989	0.0992	10.4%	1.22 [1.01; 1.49]	
Li, J.Y2021(21)	0.3393	0.0929	10.6%	1.40 [1.17; 1.68]	
Li,Q2021(22)	0.4880	0.0418	12.1%	1.63 [1.51; 1.78]	
Liu,Shuangqing2021(23)	0.2207	0.0198	12.4%	1.25 [1.20; 1.30]	•
Liu,S2021(24)	0.2476	0.0507	11.9%	1.28 [1.16; 1.41]	
Liu, Y2021(25)	1.6054	0.7003	1.1%	4.98 [1.26; 19.65]	
Chebl,R.B2022(26)	0.5596	0.0595	11.7%	1.75 [1.56; 1.97]	
Wei,W2023(27)	1.0852	0.3537	3.5%	2.96 [1.48; 5.92]	<b>∓</b> ∎

#### FIGURE 2

Forest plot of preliminary studies synthesis. HR, Hazard Ratio; SE, Standard Error; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Black diamond represent the summary estimate from the pooled studies with 95%CI.

0.1 0.5 1 2 10 Lower level NLR Higher level NLR



studies with 95% CI base on random effects model.

explore potential sources of heterogeneity. Stratification was conducted based on the confounding factors named average age of patients (>60 or  $\leq 60$  years), study design (prospective or retrospective), cut-off NLR value (>10 or  $\leq$ 10), and the testing time of NLR (on the first day or not on the first day during hospitalization). Figure 5A, B showed the results of the subgroup analysis for mean age and cut-off value, indicating significant heterogeneity in both the subgroup tests and the total test (P<0.05). Nevertheless, for the subgroup analysis of study design, there was a significant difference in heterogeneity between prospective (Tau<sup>2</sup>  $^{=}$  0.0275, I<sup>2</sup>  $^{=}$  52%, P=0.12) and retrospective designs (Tau<sup>2</sup>  $^{=}$  0.0457, I<sup>2</sup> 77%, P<0.01) Figure 6A. Furthermore, during subgroup analysis according to NLR testing time, NLR testing on the first day was associated with higher heterogeneity (Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.0572, I<sup>2</sup> = 81%, P<0.01), while testing not on the first day showed lower heterogeneity (Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.0311,  $I^2 = 53\%$ , P=0.10) Figure 6B, suggesting that study design and NLR testing time were the main sources of heterogeneity in this metaanalysis. To validate the results of the subgroup analysis, we performed meta-regression, and the results presented in Table 2 corroborated our earlier findings. Through the meta-regression analysis, we incorporated the variables of study design and NLR testing time, which revealed a Tau<sup>2</sup> of heterogeneity as 0.0012. This value was 0.0473 lower than the  $Tau^2$  obtained from the previous data synthesis ( $Tau^2 = 0.0485$ , Figure 3). Consequently, it can be inferred that the study design and

Study	logHR	SE	Weight	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI	Hazard Ratio IV, Random, 95% CI			
Terradas,R2012(16)	0.5423	0.1674	9.1%	1.72 [1.24; 2.39]	-			
Akilli,NB2014(17)	0.4929	0.1983	7.9%	1.64 [1.11; 2.42]				
Hwang, S. Y2017(18)	0.9746	0.2453	6.4%	2.65 [1.64; 4.29]	- <b>-</b>			
Lorente,L2020(19)	1.0647	0.2465	6.4%	2.90 [1.80; 4.73]	- <mark></mark>			
Weiyan, Y2020(20)	0.1989	0.0992	11.9%	1.22 [1.01; 1.49]				
Li,J.Y2021(21)	0.3393	0.0929	12.2%	1.40 [1.17; 1.68]	<b>2</b>			
Li,Q2021(22)	0.4880	0.0418	13.8%	1.63 [1.51; 1.78]	<b>•</b>			
Liu,S2021(24)	0.2476	0.0507	13.6%	1.28 [1.16; 1.41]	+			
Liu, Y2021(25)	1.6054	0.7003	1.3%	4.98 [1.26; 19.65]				
Chebl,R.B2022(26)	0.5596	0.0595	13.4%	1.75 [1.56; 1.97]				
Wei,W2023(27)	1.0852	0.3537	4.0%	2.96 [1.48; 5.92]				
Total (95% CI)		2	100.0%	1.69 [1.43; 1.99]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Heterogeneity: 'lau"	= 0.0485	; Chi" = -	44.32, df =	10 ( $P < 0.01$ ); $\Gamma = 77\%$				
				U Lowe	r level NLR Higher level NLR			
				20110	- inglier teret right			
IGURE 4								
aract plat of	KO 01	unthor	ic offe	r aliminating an	a study identified by			

sensitivity analysis. HR, Hazard Ratio; SE, Standard Error; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio. Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Black diamond represent the summary estimate from the pooled studies with 95% CI.



#### FIGURE 5

Subgroup analysis sepsis patients' of mean age (A) and cut-off value of the NLR (B). (A).Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study according to mean age subgroup grouping, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Upper black diamond showed the summary estimate from the pooled studies with >60 years old population demographic characteristics, the middle black diamond showed the pooled studies with  $\leq$ 60 years old, and the lower black diamond indicated the total summary estimate of the HR of all studies.(B). Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study according to cut-off value of the NLR subgroup grouping, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Upper black diamond showed the pooled studies with  $\leq$ 60 years old, and the lower black diamond indicated the total summary estimate of the HR of all studies.(B). Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study according to cut-off value of the NLR subgroup grouping, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Upper black diamond showed the pooled studies with cut-off value of the NLR  $\leq$ 10, middle black diamond showed the pooled studies with cut-off value of the NLR  $\leq$ 10, middle black diamond showed the pooled studies with cut-off value of the total summary estimate of the HR of all studies.

NLR testing time accounted for approximately 97.53% of the heterogeneity observed in this meta-analysis, consistent with the findings from the subgroup analysis.

# 4 Discussion

Sepsis is a severe life-threatening condition associated with an infection characterized by multiple mechanisms, including cytokines, cell death, and dynamic expression of cellular biomarkers, which can lead to circulatory abnormalities and multiple organ failure (28, 29). It is now understood that during the early stages of sepsis, the neutrophil and lymphocyte count rapidly increase due to microbial infection stimulation. However, with disease progression, neutrophils migrate to the infection site, while lymphocytes decrease due to immune suppression, providing the rationale for the individual changes in neutrophil or lymphocyte counts and highlighting their limited predictive value for sepsis prognosis (30, 31).

The NLR reflects the balance between neutrophil and lymphocyte levels and has become a readily available biomarker for adult sepsis in clinical practice. It offers convenience in measurement, low technical



FIGURE 6

to study design subgroup grouping, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Upper black diamond showed the summary estimate of the retrospective studies, the middle black diamond showed the pooled studies of prospective studies, and the lower black diamond indicated the total summary estimate of the HR of all studies. (B). Red squares represent the point estimates of the HR of each study according to testing time of NLR subgroup grouping, with 95% CI indicated by horizontal bars. Upper black diamond showed the summary estimate of the studies with testing time of NLR not on the first day of hospitalization, the middle black diamond showed the pooled studies with testing time of NLR on the first day of hospitalization, and the lower black diamond indicated the total summary estimate of the HR of all studies.

requirements, and cost-effectiveness (32, 33). An increasing body of evidence from recently published studies indicates the importance of NLR in predicting the prognosis of adult sepsis (34-36). However, a

recent study by Schupp et al. (14) raised concerns, suggesting that NLR might not be a reliable parameter to differentiate between patients with sepsis and septic shock and predict 30-day survival.

TABLE 2 Meta-regression analysis of study design and NLR testing time in adult sepsis patients.

	Tau <sup>2</sup>	z-value	p-value	HR	Lower 95%Cl	Upper 95%Cl
Intercept	0.0012	9.2776	< 0.0001	0.5855	0.4618	0.7092
Study design		-3.9957	<0.0001	-0.3130	-0.4666	-0.1595
NLR testing time		3.5874	0.0003	0.2464	0.1118	0.3810

This discrepancy in findings has led to the lack of consensus over the predictive value of NLR for adult sepsis prognosis. While a metaanalysis (37) has already investigated the prognostic value of NLR in adult sepsis, its results may be biased due to the data extraction method. Some included studies (38, 39) lacked hazard ratios but provided odds ratios as effect indicators for data synthesis. However, it should be borne in mind that OR does not consider the time factor experienced at the endpoint, which results in the loss of important information compared to HR.

In our meta-analysis, we conducted a rigorous screening process and only included studies that provided hazard ratios and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals. In cases where HR was not directly provided, we utilized Kaplan-Meier curves to indirectly extract the HR using Engauge Digitizer software. A total of 12 studies, comprising 10,811 adult sepsis patients, were included in our analysis. The preliminary synthesis revealed a significant association between higher NLR levels and poor prognosis in adult sepsis patients. To investigate the source of this heterogeneity, we performed a sensitivity analysis, which identified one study (23) as a potential source of high sensitivity. After omitting this study, the heterogeneity was reduced by approximately 10% with the randomeffects model. The re-synthesized results after sensitivity analysis did not substantially alter the preliminary synthesis, but the heterogeneity was greatly reduced. To further explore the heterogeneity, we conducted subgroup analysis and meta-regression. The results from both methods indicated that study design and NLR testing time were potential contributors to the observed heterogeneity. Specifically, the type of study design (prospective or retrospective) and the timing of NLR testing during hospitalization appeared to influence the variability in the results. We also examined the possibility of publication bias using enhanced contour funnel plots and Egger's test. The funnel plot exhibited a symmetric distribution of the included studies, and Egger's test suggested that there was no significant publication bias in our meta-analysis (p > 0.05) (Figure 7).

The clinical value of NLR on the prognosis of septicemia patients is mainly reflected in the following aspects. Firstly, NLR can be used as an important biomarker of the severity sepsis assessing, higher NLR values usually indicate that the patient has a severe infection and inflammatory response (39), from this meta-analysis, the dosereaction analysis also indicated increase in NLR value significantly increases the hazard ratio of mortality in sepsis patients. Secondly, higher NLR values are often associated with poor prognosis, such as death and complications (14, 19). Therefore, by monitoring changes in NLR values, deterioration can be detected in time and appropriate therapeutic measures can be taken. Meanwhile, according to the change of NLR value, we can make a corresponding treatment program which adjusted to ensure the therapeutic effect.

Nevertheless, our meta-analysis has some limitations. Despite removing one highly sensitive study and conducting a re-synthesis, we still observed moderate heterogeneity even with a random-effects model, potentially affecting the robustness of our conclusions. Secondly, one including study e.g. Terradas et al. (16) defined the disease as bacteremia instead of sepsis, despite a former meta-analysis from Zhiwei Huang (37) included it in their analysis similarly, and we had confirmed that it was not responsible for the heterogeneity of our meta-analysis by sensitive analysis. Thirdly, meta-analysis is prone to be influenced by publication bias, this implied that according to the bias of researchers, that cohort studies with hazard ration around 1 are less likely to be published. Thus, these results should be interpreted with caution due to this selection bias. Additionally, in actual clinical practice, NLR is a biomarker of repeated measurements, the poor prognosis of sepsis can be predicted by the high level of NLR, and the appropriate treatment can affect subsequent level of NLR. Therefore, NLR plays the role of confounder in the former situation and the role of mediator in the latter situation, traditional methods for controlling confounding variables are no longer applicable. Based on the above principles, Zhang, Z. et al (40) recommended structural modeling with inverse probability weighting (IPW) to infer causality from observational data, which played an important decision-making role in clinical management. The link between NLR and mortality of sepsis may be affected by many factors and their causal relationship is largely unknown, further studies using advanced statistical approaches to reveal causality between NLR and adult sepsis are looking forward to investigating.



#### FIGURE 7

Enhanced contour funnel plot and Egger test for publication bias. (A) Enhanced contour funnel plot indicated that all of the studies fell into the blue region, which mean that funnel plots was basically symmetrical; (B) Egger test with a result of p=0.1535 illustrated that the publication bias of this meta-analysis was not obvious.

# 5 Conclusion

NLR is a valuable biomarker for predicting the prognosis of adult sepsis, as higher NLR levels indicate poorer outcomes in adult patients with sepsis. However, more research is needed to understand the relationship between NLR and sepsis prognosis. Large-scale, multiple-center, and high-quality randomized controlled trials with long follow-up periods are warranted to validate the findings of this meta-analysis in the future.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## Author contributions

HW: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. TC: Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. TJ: Writing – review & editing. YL: Resources, Writing – review & editing. JH: Writing – review & editing. KM: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – original draft.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the Construction of the Major Subject

# References

1. Cecconi M, Evans L, Levy M, Rhodes A. Sepsis and septic shock. Lancet (London England). (2018) 392:75-87. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30696-2

2. Oczkowski S, Alshamsi F, Belley-Cote E, Centofanti JE, Moller MH, Nunnaly ME, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines 2021: highlights for the practicing clinician. *Polish Arch Internal Med.* (2022) 132:7–8. doi: 10.20452/pamw.16290

3. Singer M, Deutschman C.S, Seymour C.W, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, et al. The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA*. (2016) 315:801–10. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287

4. Gheen N. Sepsis-3 definitions. Ann Emergency Med. (2016) 68:784-5. doi: 10.1016/j.annemergmed.2016.07.008

5. Liu Z, Meng Z, Li Y, Zhao J, Wu S, Gou S, et al. Prognostic accuracy of the serum lactate level, the SOFA score and the qSOFA score for mortality among adults with Sepsis. *Scand J Trauma Resuscitation Emergency Med.* (2019) 27:51. doi: 10.1186/s13049-019-0609-3

 Raith EP, Udy AA, Bailey M, McGloughlin S, MacIsaac C, Bellomo R, et al. Prognostic accuracy of the SOFA score, SIRS criteria, and qSOFA score for in-hospital mortality among adults with suspected infection admitted to the intensive care unit. *JAMA*. (2017) 317:290–300. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.20328

 Pierrakos C, Velissaris D, Bisdorff M, Marshall JC, Vincent JL. Biomarkers of sepsis: time for a reappraisal. Crit Care (London England). (2020) 24:287. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02993-5

8. Catenacci V, Sheikh F, Patel K, Fox-Robichaud AE. The prognostic utility of protein C as a biomarker for adult sepsis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care (London England).* (2022) 26:21. doi: 10.1186/s13054-022-03889-2

9. Beliaev AM, Angelo N, Booth M, Bergin C. Evaluation of neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio as a potential biomarker for acute cholecystitis. *J Surg Res.* (2017) 209:93–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2016.09.034 of People's Hospital of Huadu District (YNZDXK202201) and the Guangzhou Science and Technology Plan Project (202201011725).

# Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support from the Construction of Major Subject of the People's Hospital of Huadu District.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1336456/full#supplementary-material

10. Guo M, Li W, Zou B, Wang B, Meng S, Sun X, et al. Prognostic value of delta inflammatory biomarker-based nomograms in patients with inoperable locally advanced NSCLC. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2019) 72:395–401. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2019.04.032

11. Rehman FU, Khan A, Aziz A, Iqbal M, Mahmood Saad BZ, Ali N, et al. Neutrophils to lymphocyte ratio: earliest and efficacious markers of sepsis. *Cureus*. (2020) 12:e10851. doi: 10.7759/cureus.10851

12. Liang P, Yu F. Predictive value of procalcitonin and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio variations for bloodstream infection with septic shock. *Med Sci Monitor: Int Med J Exp Clin Res.* (2022) 28:e935966. doi: 10.12659/MSM.935966

13. Zhang H-B, Chen J, Lan QF, Ma XJ, Zhang SY. Diagnostic values of red cell distribution width, platelet distribution width and neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio for sepsis. *Exp Ther Med.* (2016) 12:2215–9. doi: 10.3892/etm.2016.3583

14. Schupp T, Weidner K, Rusnak J, Jawhar S, Forner J, Dulatahu F, et al. The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte-ratio as diagnostic and prognostic tool in sepsis and septic shock. *Clin Lab.* (2023) 69:912–24. doi: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2022.220812

15. Lo CK-L, Mertz D, Loeb M. Newcastle-Ottawa Scale: comparing reviewers' to authors' assessments. *BMC Med Res Method.* (2014) 14:45. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-45

16. Terradas R, Santiago G, Jordi B, Marta R, Pere S, Xavier C, et al. Eosinophil count and neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio as prognostic markers in patients with bacteremia: a retrospective cohort study. *PloS One.* (2012) 7:e42860. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042860

17. Akilli NB, Yortanli M, Mutlu H, Günaydin YK, Koylu R, Akca HS, et al. Prognostic importance of neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio in critically ill patients: short- and long-term outcomes. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2014) 32:1476–80. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2014.09.001

18. Hwang SY, Shin TG, Jo IJ, Jeon K, Suh GY, Lee TR, et al. Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio as a prognostic marker in critically-ill septic patients. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2017) 35:234–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2016.10.055

19. Lorente L, Martín MM, Ortiz-López R, Alvarez-Castillo A, Ruiz C, Uribe L, et al. Association between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in the first seven days of sepsis and mortality. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin (Engl Ed)*. (2020), 235–240. doi: 10.1016/ j.eimc.2020.11.004

20. Ye W, Chen X, Huang Y, Li Y, Li Y. The association between neutrophil-tolymphocyte count ratio and mortality in septic patients: a retrospective analysis of the MIMIC-III database. *J Thorac Dis.* (2020) 12:1843–55. doi: 10.21037/jtd-20-1169

21. Li JY, Yao RQ, Liu SQ, Zhang YF, Yao YM, Tian YP. Efficiency of monocyte/ high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio combined with neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio in predicting 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. (2021) 8:741015. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.741015

22. Li Q, Xie J, Huang Y, Liu S, Guo F, Liu L, et al. Leukocyte kinetics during the early stage acts as a prognostic marker in patients with septic shock in intensive care unit. *Med (Baltimore)*. (2021) 100:e26288. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000026288

23. Liu SQ, Li YX, She F, Zhao XD, Yao YM. Predictive value of immune cell counts and neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis caused by intraabdominal infection. *Burns Trauma*. (2021) 9:tkaa040. doi: 10.1093/burnst/tkaa040

24. Liu S, Wang X, She F, Zhao X. Effects of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio combined with interleukin-6 in predicting 28-day mortality in patients with sepsis. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:639735. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.639735

25. Liu Y, Wang RZ, Cheng J, Wu JF, Zhang SH. Ratio of serum procalcitonin to monocytic HLA-DR as a reliable parameter in prognosis prediction of sepsis. *Clin Chim Acta; Int J Clin Chem.* (2021) 519:94–100. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2021.04.011

26. Chebl RB, Assaf M, Kattouf N, Haidar S, Khamis M, Abdeldaem K, et al. The association between the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio and in-hospital mortality among sepsis patients: A prospective study. *Med (Baltimore).* (2022) 101:e29343. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000029343

27. Wei W, Huang XR, Yang LT, Li J, Liu CH, Pu YJ, et al. Neutrophil-to-Lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic marker of mortality and disease severity in septic Acute kidney injury Patients: A retrospective study. *Int Immunopharmacol.* (2023) 116:109778. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2023.109778

28. Torres LK, Pickkers P, van der Poll T. Sepsis-induced immunosuppression. *Annu Rev Physiol*. (2022) 84:157–81. doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-061121-040214

29. Venet F, Monneret G. Advances in the understanding and treatment of sepsis-induced immunosuppression. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2018) 14:121–37. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.165

30. Liu D, Huang SY, Sun JH, Zhang HC, Cai QL, Gao C, et al. Sepsis-induced immunosuppression: mechanisms, diagnosis and current treatment options. *Military Med Res.* (2022) 9:56. doi: 10.1186/s40779-022-00422-y

31. Taneja R, Parodo J, Jia SH, Kapus A, Rotstein OD, Marshall JC. Delayed neutrophil apoptosis in sepsis is associated with maintenance of mitochondrial transmembrane potential and reduced caspase-9 activity. *Crit Care Med.* (2004) 32:1460–9. doi: 10.1097/01.CCM.0000129975.26905.77

32. Zahorec R. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, past, present and future perspectives. *Bratislavske Lekarske Listy*. (2021) 122:474-88. doi: 10.4149/ BLL\_2021\_078

33. Kriplani A, Kriplani A, Pandit S, Choudhary A. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) and lymphocyte-monocyte ratio (LMR) in predicting systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) and sepsis after percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PNL). *Urolithiasis*. (2022) 50:341–8. doi: 10.1007/s00240-022-01319-0

34. Liang P, Yu F. Value of CRP, PCT, and NLR in prediction of severity and prognosis of patients with bloodstream infections and sepsis. *Front In Surg.* (2022) 9:857218. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.857218

35. Kaushik R, Gupta M, Sharma M, Jash D, Jain N, Sinha N, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic role of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in early and late phase of sepsis. *Indian J Crit Care Med.* (2018) 22:660–3. doi: 10.4103/ijccm.IJCCM\_59\_18

36. Spoto S, Lupoi DM, Valeriani E, Fogolari M, Locorriere L, Anguissola GB, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte and platelet-to-lymphocyte ratios in septic patients outside the intensive care unit. *Medicina (Kaunas Lithuania).* (2021) 57:1–11. doi: 10.3390/medicina57080811

37. Huang Z, Fu ZY, Huang WJ, Huang KG. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in sepsis: A meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2020) 38:641–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2019.10.023

38. Salciccioli JD, Marshall DC, Pimentel MA, Santos MD, Shalhoub J. The association between the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and mortality in critical illness: an observational cohort study. *Crit Care (London England).* (2015) 19:13. doi: 10.1186/s13054-014-0731-6

39. Park KS, Lee SH, Yun SJ, Ryu S, Kim K. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio as a feasible prognostic marker for pyogenic liver abscess in the emergency department. *Eur J Trauma Emergency Surg.* (2019) 45:343–51. doi: 10.1007/s00068-018-0925-8

40. Zhang Z, Jin P, Feng M, Yang J, Huang J, Chen L, et al. Causal inference with marginal structural modeling for longitudinal data in laparoscopic surgery: A technical note. *Laparoscopic Endoscopic Robotic Surg.* (2022) 5:146–52. doi: 10.1016/j.lers.2022.10.002