Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stefano Caserta, Hull York Medical School, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Mateusz Pospiech, University of Southern California, United States Amrita Basu, Nationwide Children's Hospital, United States Zhao Xiaosu, Peking University People's Hospital, China Likai Tan, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Yangqiu Li yangqiuli@hotmail.com Zhenyi Jin jinzhenyijnu@163.com Xiuli Wu siulier@163.com

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 13 October 2023 ACCEPTED 19 March 2024 PUBLISHED 22 April 2024

CITATION

Hou Q, Wang P, Kong X, Chen J, Yao C, Luo X, Li Y, Jin Z and Wu X (2024) Higher TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T_{CM} cells may predict poor prognosis in younger adult patients with non-acute promyelocytic AML. Front. Immunol. 15:1321126. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Hou, Wang, Kong, Chen, Yao, Luo, Li, Jin and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Higher TIGIT+](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full) $\gamma\delta$ T_{CM} [cells may](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full) [predict poor prognosis in](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full) [younger adult patients with](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full) [non-acute promyelocytic AML](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full)

Qi Hou^{1†}, Penglin Wang^{2†}, Xueting Kong^{1,3†}, Junjie Chen¹, Chao Yao¹, Xiaodan Luo⁴, Yangqiu Li^{1,5*}, Zhenyi Jin^{5,6*} and Xiuli Wu $1.5*$

1 Institute of Hematology, Medical Laboratory Center, School of Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, 2Department of Pathophysiology, School of Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China, 3Department of Hematology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology Union Shenzhen Hospital (Nanshan Hospital), Shenzhen, China, 4Department of Hematology, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵Key Laboratory of Viral Pathogenesis and Infection Prevention and Control (Jinan University), Ministry of Education, Guangzhou, China, 6Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

Introduction: $\gamma\delta$ T cells recognize and exert cytotoxicity against tumor cells. They are also considered potential immune cells for immunotherapy. Our previous study revealed that the altered expression of immune checkpoint Tcell immunoreceptor with immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT) on $\gamma\delta$ T cells may result in immunosuppression and is possibly associated with a poor overall survival in acute myeloid leukemia (AML). However, whether $\gamma\delta$ T-cell memory subsets are predominantly involved and whether they have a relationship with clinical outcomes in patients with AML under the age of 65 remain unclear.

Methods: In this study, we developed a multicolor flow cytometry-based assay to monitor the frequency and distribution of $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets, including central memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells (T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$), effector memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells (T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$), and T_{EM} expressing CD45RA (T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$), in peripheral blood from 30 young (≤65 years old) patients with newly diagnosed non-acute promyelocytic leukemia (also known as M3) AML (AMLy-DN), 14 young patients with AML in complete remission (AMLy-CR), and 30 healthy individuals (HIs).

Results: Compared with HIs, patients with AMLy-DN exhibited a significantly higher differentiation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells, which was characterized by decreased T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells and increased T_{FMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells. A generally higher TIGIT expression was observed in $\gamma\delta$ T cells and relative subsets in patients with AMLy-DN, which was partially recovered in patients with AMLy-CR. Furthermore, 17 paired bone marrow from patients with AMLy-DN contained higher percentages of $\gamma\delta$ and TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells and a lower percentage of T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Multivariate logistic regression analyses revealed the association of high percentage of TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ T cells with an increased risk of poor induction chemotherapy response.

Conclusions: In this study, we investigated the distribution of $\gamma \delta$ T cells and their memory subsets in patients with non-M3 AML and suggested TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma \delta$ T cells as potential predictive markers of induction chemotherapy response.

KEYWORDS

γδ T cells, younger AML, TIGIT, memory, prognosis

1 Introduction

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a prevalent form of leukemia in adults, which is characterized by the disruption of the normal hematopoiesis process. This results in the accumulation of immature myeloid cells in both the bone marrow (BM) and peripheral blood (PB) ([1](#page-11-0)). Among them, the recurrence rate of acute myeloblastic leukemia with maturation (M2) and acute monocytic leukemia (M5) types is high ([2](#page-11-0)). In general, acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL, also known as M3) is a unique subtype of AML, which is a highly curable cancer with long-term survival exceeding 90% [\(3](#page-11-0)). Excluding M3 AML, the complete remission (CR) of AML following chemotherapy induction is estimated to be approximately 70%–80%. However, long-term overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival rates can be discouragingly low [\(4\)](#page-11-0). Furthermore, advanced age represents a crucial adverse prognostic factor of AML ([5\)](#page-11-0). Given their poor outcomes, considerable attention has been focused on elderly patients (over 65 years of age); however, research specifically targeting younger adults (less than 65 years) with non-M3 AML is relatively sparse [\(6](#page-11-0)).

Immune escape is also a crucial factor contributing to disease progression and poor clinical outcomes of AML ([7](#page-11-0)). Immune escape is primarily attributed to the downregulation of immune cell function and exhaustion. It includes the upregulation of immune checkpoint (IC) receptors and a high expression of IC ligands on tumor cells ([8\)](#page-11-0). Our previous work demonstrated that T-cell immune inhibitory receptors, such as program death-1 (PD-1), T-cell immunoreceptor with immunoglobulin and ITIM domain (TIGIT), T-cell immunoglobulin mucin 3 (Tim-3), and T lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3), show increased expression in T cells from patients with newly diagnosed AML (AMLy-DN) and those with relapsed AML. In addition, increased IC expression has been associated with clinical outcomes, which suggests that the dysregulation of these immune inhibitory receptors may contribute to immune escape and poor prognosis of AML ([9,](#page-11-0) [10](#page-11-0)). TIGIT is predominantly expressed on memory T cells, regulatory T cells (Tregs), and natural killer (NK) cells in humans. Studies have shown that the increased expression of TIGIT on immune cells is associated with functional exhaustion ([11\)](#page-11-0). The upregulation of TIGIT on immune cells, particularly T cells, has been implicated in immune escape mechanisms and can contribute to poor clinical outcomes of various diseases, including AML ([10](#page-11-0), [12](#page-11-0)). In addition, T cells within the BM immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment (TME) in AML often exhibit functional exhaustion and a deregulated innate and adaptive immune response [\(13\)](#page-11-0).

As a minor subset of lymphocytes, human $\gamma\delta$ T cells account for approximately 2%–10% of CD3+ T cells in PB and exhibit non-major histocompatibility complex (non-MHC)-restricted recognition of tumor antigens [\(14,](#page-11-0) [15](#page-11-0)). Upon activation in the periphery, $\gamma \delta$ T cells exhibit remarkably diverse effector functions associated with immune response and a potent cytotoxic activity via elevated levels of CD107a expression and interferon (IFN)- γ cytokine production, granzyme B, and perforin secretion ([16,](#page-11-0) [17\)](#page-11-0). Previously, we highlighted $\gamma\delta$ T-cell-based immunotherapy as a highly promising strategy in cancer immunotherapy ([18](#page-11-0)). However, accumulating evidence indicates the diverse structural and functional heterogeneity among $\gamma\delta$ T cells, which is associated with their distinct roles in cancer immunity ([19](#page-11-0)). Importantly, our previous data have demonstrated a potential correlation between the expression profile of coinhibitory and costimulatory receptors on $\gamma\delta$ T cells and distinct clinical outcomes in patients with AML ([20,](#page-11-0) [21](#page-11-0)). Similar to $\alpha\beta$ T cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells comprise various subtypes based on their diverse functions [\(22](#page-11-0)). Effector $\gamma\delta$ T cells exert an antitumor effect through various pathways, and regulatory or inhibitory $\gamma\delta$ T cells play a pivotal role in immune homeostasis and stable immune tolerance ([14](#page-11-0)). In contrast, $\gamma \delta$ T cells in adult PB exhibit various phenotypic markers commonly associated with memory cells; these cells display heterogeneity, which enables the identification of various distinct cell subsets based on their functional markers ([23\)](#page-11-0). Circulating $\gamma\delta$ T cells are classified as naïve type (T_N $\gamma\delta$, antigen inexperienced) and memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells (antigen experienced).

Abbreviations: AML, Acute myeloid leukemia; BM, Bone marrow; PB, Peripheral blood; CR, Complete remission; OS, Overall survival; DFS, Diseasefree survival; ICIs, Immune checkpoint inhibitors; PD-1, Program death-1; TIGIT, T cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain; Tim-3, T cell immunoglobulin mucin 3; LAG-3, T lymphocyte activation gene-3; Tregs, Regulatory T cells; TME, Tumor microenvironment; MHC, Major histocompatibility complex; T_N , Naïve T cells; T_{CM} , Central memory T cells; T_{EM} , Effector memory T cells; T_{EMRA} , Terminally differentiated effector T cells; DN, Newly diagnosed; HIs, Healthy individuals; NCR, Non-CR; HR, Hazard ratio; CI, Confidence interval; ICs, Immune checkpoints; $\mathrm{T_{SCM}}$ Stem cell memory T cells; $T_{\rm EF}$ Terminal effector T cells.

Differential coexpressions of CD45RA and CD27 can be utilized to identify distinct subsets of memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells, including central memory T cells ($T_{CM} \gamma \delta$), effector memory T cells ($T_{EM} \gamma \delta$), and T_{EM} expressing CD45RA (T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$), which represent various stages of differentiation. Following antigen stimulation, $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ gain the ability to maintain long-term immune memory and rapidly mediate immune response ([24](#page-11-0)). The T_{EM} and T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ subsets predominantly exist at inflammatory sites and exert immediate effects via the secretion of cytokines and cytotoxicity [\(25\)](#page-11-0). Our laboratory data indicate the dramatic effect of aging on T-cell subsets ([26\)](#page-11-0). Xu et al. in our laboratory observed a considerable decrease in the frequency of $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ in CD8+ T cells with an increase in differentiated T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$, particularly in younger patients with AML. This condition may be associated with suppressed T-cell immunity and diminished antileukemia capacity ([27\)](#page-11-0).

Several ongoing clinical trials are currently investigating the potential of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the adoptive therapy of AML and other hematologic malignancies ([28,](#page-11-0) [29\)](#page-11-0). However, $\gamma\delta$ T-cell approaches exhibit limitations in terms of expansion and lifespan in vivo. Under unfavorable conditions, their relative plasticity can lead to phenotypes that are detrimental to the host. Our previous study demonstrated a correlation between the high frequencies of TIGIT +Foxp3+ and TIGIT+CD226− gd T subsets and poor survival outcomes in patients with AML ([30](#page-12-0)). Nevertheless, no discussion has been conducted on future perspectives regarding the phenotypic and functional characteristics of memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells, particularly those observed in younger patients with AML. For the achievement of this goal, we aimed to define the distinct features of $\gamma\delta$ T-cell memory phenotype in PB and BM from patients with non-M3 AML under 65 years old (referred to as AMLy-DN cells in this study) while exploring associations between the immunosuppression status and clinical outcomes among different patients. This comprehensive study will facilitate the cautious application of $\gamma\delta$ T cells for patients with AML in the future.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Samples

PB was collected from 37 patients with AMLy-DN, including 23 male and 14 female patients, with a median age of 50 years (range: 21–65 years). There were 30 patients with AMLy-DN for FACS analysis and 9 patients for cytokine secretion detection (only two of them were used for both FACS and cytokine secretion). In addition, PB samples were obtained from 14 patients with AML in CR (AMLy-CR), which consisted of 5 male and 9 female patients with a median age of 36 years (range: 24–62 years), 5 of whom are paired to the samples of AMLy-DN. Furthermore, BM samples were collected from 16 patients with AMLy-DN, namely, 12 male and 4 female patients with a median age 49 years (range: 23–65 years). We included 30 healthy individuals (HIs), which comprised 18 male and 12 female patients with a median age of 45 years (range: 19–65 years), as controls. All specimens were collected between October 2020 and March 2022. Three patients voluntarily withdrew from the TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with AML.

AML, acute myeloid leukemia patients under 65 years; SD, standard deviation; WBC, white blood cell; BM, blast cells, bone marrow blast cells; allo-HSCT, allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

hospital, two of whom refused therapies, and the other one was transferred to another hospital due to COVID-19. Consequently, prognosis analysis was performed on 27 patients with AMLy-DN. [Table 1](#page-2-0) presents the corresponding clinical details. All participants provided informed consent. The experimental protocol for all studies was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University. All procedures accorded with the guidelines set forth by the Medical Ethics Committees of the Health Bureau of Guangdong Province in China.

2.2 Flow cytometry

The following monoclonal antibodies were used for cell-surface staining in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions: CD3- APC/Cy7, TCR gd-PE, CD27-PE/Cyanine 7, CD45RA-BV510, and TIGIT-BV421. In brief, 300 µL of PB from each patient was collected in a tube, and 5 µL per antibody was added to each tube for 20 min at room temperature in the dark. RBC Lysis Buffer was used to lyse erythrocytes for 10 min in the dark. The cells were completely washed afterward with phosphate buffer saline (GenXion, China).

For intracellular cytokine expression, cells were stimulated with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA, 0.05 µg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and brefeldin A (BFA, 10 µg/mL, BD Biosciences, USA) at 37°C for 5 h. Cells were stained with CD3 APC/Cy7 (clone SK7, BioLegend, USA), TCR g/d Percp-Cyanine 5.5 (clone B1, BioLegend, USA), and CD107a PE (clone SK7, BD Biosciences, USA), then fixed and permeabilized, followed by intracellular staining with IFN-g-PE-Cy7 (clone 4S.B3, BioLegend, USA), perforin-Alexa-Fluor-647 (clone dG9, BioLegend, USA), and GZMB-Pacific blue (clone GB11, BioLegend, USA). Cells were acquired on a BD FACS VERSE flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, USA) and analyzed by FlowJo 10.5.3 software.

2.3 Statistical analysis

After the Shapiro–Wilk test revealed that the data were not normally distributed, the Mann–Whitney U-test was conducted to analyze statistical differences between the two groups. Paired samples were assessed via Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test statistics. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to determine the correlation between the frequencies of TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T and $\gamma\delta$ T memory cell subsets in each group. Binary logistic regression analysis was employed to investigate associations between the expression proportions of $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets and clinical outcomes of patients with AML, and univariate analysis was used to select significant variables included in a multivariate analysis model. SPSS 25.0 and GraphPad Prism 8.4 were used in statistical analyses, with $p \le 0.05$ considered statistically significant.

2.4 Manuscript writing

The entire paper, including the Introduction and Materials and Methods sections, was polished and grammatically corrected by ShineWrite.com.

3 Results

3.1 γ δ T cells shift toward effector memory and T_{EMRA} phenotype in PB from patients with AML

In this study, we assessed the distribution of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and their subsets in PB from patients with AMLy-DN ($n = 30$), those with AMLy-CR ($n = 14$), and HIs ($n = 30$) via multicolor flow cytometry. The $\gamma\delta$ T cells were further categorized into four subgroups based on the expression patterns of CD27 and CD45RA: T_N $\gamma\delta$ cells (CD27+CD45RA+), T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells (CD27+CD45RA−), T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$ cells (CD27−CD45RA−), and T_{EMRA} γδ cells (CD27−CD45RA+) [\(24\)](#page-11-0). [Figures 1A,](#page-4-0) B illustrate the gating strategy for the identification of these subsets. Heatmap analysis revealed significant differences in the frequencies of TIGIT expression and memory subset distribution among different groups ([Figure 1C\)](#page-4-0). Our findings demonstrated the decreased proportion of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells in PB from the AMLy-DN ($p = 0.001$) and AMLy-CR groups ($p = 0.011$) compared with that in HIs [\(Figure 1D;](#page-4-0) [Table 2\)](#page-4-0). Moreover, the percentage of T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells showed a significant reduction within PB samples from patients with AMLy-DN compared with HIs ($p = 0.024$). Conversely, the T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ subset proportions exhibited a marked increase ($p = 0.010$) ([Figure 1E](#page-4-0); [Table 2\)](#page-4-0). However, no significant difference was detected between HIs and patients with AMLy-DN regarding T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$ ($p = 0.077$) and T_N $\gamma\delta$ $(p = 0.515)$ cells. In contrast to HIs, where the central memory subset predominated over other subsets ($T_{CM} \gamma \delta > T_{EM} \gamma \delta > T_{EMRA}$ $\gamma\delta$; [Table 2\)](#page-4-0), our results indicate that patients with AMLy-DN exhibited an altered pattern characterized by increased proportions of the T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ subset relative to the T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$ population (T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$) $T_{EMRA} \gamma \delta$ > $T_{EM} \gamma \delta$; [Table 2](#page-4-0)). Thus, changes in the $\gamma \delta$ T-cell subsets primarily involved memory subsets. Following chemotherapy of patients with AMLy-CR, we observed a significant increase in the percentage of T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells (p = 0.008), accompanied with a corresponding decrease in T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells ($p = 0.008$), compared with the AMLy-DN group [\(Figure 1E](#page-4-0)). No significant difference was observed between HIs and AMLy-CR groups, and AMLy-CR showed the following pattern: $T_{CM} \gamma \delta > T_{EMR} \gamma \delta > T_{EMR} \gamma \delta$ ([Table 2](#page-4-0)). These findings suggest that the shift from $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ to an elevated proportion of differentiated T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells may be attributed to dysfunctional $\gamma\delta$ T cells in patients with AMLy-DN.

3.2 High TIGIT expression in $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets in patients with AML

The intracellular cytokine secretion by $\gamma\delta$ T cells from PB was also examined in patients with AMLy-DN ($n = 9$) and HIs ($n = 15$). Flow cytometry analysis revealed significant decreases in the proportions of CD107a, IFN- γ , and perforin on $\gamma\delta$ T cells in patients with non-M3 AMLy-DN compared with HIs [CD107a: 59.50% (HIs) versus 32.60% (AMLy-DN), p = 0.008; IFN-g: 27.30% (HIs) versus 10.90% (AMLy-DN), $p = 0.025$; perforin: 20.70% (HIs) versus 2.26% (AMLy-DN), $p < 0.001$]. However, the proportion of granzyme B on $\gamma\delta$ T cells from patients with AMLy-DN was similar

within such γ 8 T+ population, CD45RA and CD27 T subsets were identified (red arrows). γ 8 T cells were differentiated into four memory subsets based on the expression of CD27 and CD45RA: T_N γδ T cells (CD27+CD45RA+), T_{CM} γδ T cells (CD27+CD45RA−), T_{EM} γδ T cells (CD27–CD45RA−), and T_{EMRA} γδ T cells (CD27-CD45RA+). (B) Summary of the distribution of four subpopulations in γδ T cells in patients with AMLy and HIs, as percentages from the averages of each group in pie charts. (C) Heatmap shows the frequency (low to high, respectively, from green to red shades) of TIGIT and memory cell subpopulations of $\gamma \delta$ T cells in patients with AMLy-DN and HIs. (D) The distribution of $\gamma \delta$ T cells in patients with AMLy and HIs (AMLy-DN: n = 30, AMLy-CR: n = 14, HI: n = 30). (E) Frequency of the T_{N} , T_{CM} , T_{EM} , and T_{EMA} γ T-cell subsets in patients with AMLy and HIs (AMLy-DN: $n = 30$, AMLy-CR: $n = 14$, HI: $n = 30$). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

to that observed in HIs [54.50% (HIs) versus 35.90% (AMLy-DN), $p = 0.108$ ([Figures 2A,](#page-5-0) B). Our findings reveal the impaired cytotoxic cytokine production and dysfunctional characteristics of $\gamma\delta$ T cells derived from patients with AML.

We further aimed to investigate whether the increased proportion of TIGIT expression on $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets contributed to the observed dysfunction in patients with AML. Our results demonstrate a significantly increased percentage of TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in patients with AMLy-DN compared with those in HIs $(p < 0.001)$ and AMLy-CR $(p = 0.014)$ [\(Figure 2C;](#page-5-0) [Table 3\)](#page-6-0).

Furthermore, TIGIT showed an elevated expression on all $\gamma\delta$ Tcell memory subsets in patients with AMLy-DN compared with HIs (TIGIT+ T_{CM} γδ: *p* < 0.001; TIGIT+ T_{EM} γδ: *p* = 0.029; TIGIT+ T_{EMRA} $\gamma \delta$: $p = 0.034$) ([Figure 2D](#page-5-0); [Table 3\)](#page-6-0). In patients with AMLy-CR, the expression of TIGIT within $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ cell was significantly lower than that in patients with AMLy-DN ($p = 0.013$). However, no statistically significant difference was detected in the percentages of T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$ (p = 0.420) and T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cell subsets ($p = 0.078$) between patients with AMLy-DN and those with AMLy-CR [\(Figure 2D](#page-5-0) and [Table 3\)](#page-6-0). Notably, only the

DN, patients with newly diagnosed AML under 65 years; CR, patients with AML with complete remission; HIs, healthy individuals.

increase in the frequency of TIGIT-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the AMLy-DN compared with AMLy-CR and HIs. Data from representative AMLy-DN (red) and AMLy-CR (blue) patients, and HI (green), in comparison to the isotype control (HI was stained with isotype control antibody; gray). The distribution of TIGIT+ γ δ T cells in patients with AMLy and HIs (AMLy-DN: $n = 30$, AMLy-CR: $n = 14$, HI: $n = 30$). (D) Frequency of TIGIT in the T_{CM}, T_{EM}, and T_{EMRA} $\gamma \delta$ T-cell populations in patients with AMLy and HIs (AMLy-DN: $n = 30$, AMLy-CR: $n = 14$, HI: $n = 30$). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001.

expression of TIGIT+ T_{CM} was higher in patients with AMLy-CR compared with the HI group ($p = 0.045$), whereas other memory subsets from CR patients showed similar percentages (TIGIT+ T_{EM} γδ: $p = 0.115$; TIGIT+ T_{EMRA} γδ: $p = 0.930$).

AML is a heterogeneous disease, and its prognosis varies significantly among different subtypes and genetic alterations ([31\)](#page-12-0). Therefore, we assessed and compared the distribution of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and their memory subsets between the AML-M2 ($n = 9$) and AML-

TABLE 3 Comparison of percentages (with IQR) of positivity of TIGIT on γ T cells in patients with AMLy-DN and HIs.

DN, patients with newly diagnosed AML under 65 years; CR, patients with AML with complete remission; HIs, healthy individuals.

M5 ($n = 10$) subtypes. The frequency of $\gamma \delta$ T cells tended to be higher in AML-M2 more than AML-M5 ($p = 0.666$) [\(Supplementary](#page-11-0) [Figure 1A](#page-11-0)). Moreover, the AML-M2 group showed a lower frequency of TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ subsets compared with the M5 group ($p =$ 0.031) ([Supplementary Figure 1B](#page-11-0) and [Supplementary Table 1](#page-11-0)). Most notably, the response rate to induction therapy and CR rate reached 77.78% (7/9) in the M2 group and 40% (4/10) in the M5 group ([Supplementary Figure 1C\)](#page-11-0). Thus, our data reveal distinct distribution patterns of TIGIT on $\gamma\delta$ T cells, correlating with favorable prognosis subtypes AML-M2 and AML-M5.

3.3 High TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ and TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells in the BM of patients with AMLy-DN

The immunosuppressive TME shields malignant hematopoietic stem cells from immune surveillance and potentially contributes to leukemia relapse. To investigate the effect of TME on $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subset distribution in patients with AMLy-DN, we collected 16 pairs of PB and BM samples at diagnosis and compared the distributions of memory $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets (Figures 3A–H and [Supplementary](#page-11-0) [Table 2](#page-11-0)). We observed higher proportions of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells

FIGURE 3

Significantly higher TIGIT expression in $\gamma\delta$ T cells from the bone marrow of patients with AMLy-DN, relative to matched peripheral blood samples. (A–D) Pairwise comparisons of memory $\gamma \delta$ cell subsets between PB and BM in AMLy-DN. (PB: n = 16, BM: n = 16). (E–H) Paired statistical analysis of TIGIT expression in γ 8 T cells and relative memory subsets between PB (red) and BM (violet) derived from AMLy-DN. (I) Heatmap shows the frequency of TIGIT and memory cell subpopulations of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the PB and BM of patients with AMLy-DN. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

($p = 0.002$; [Figure 3A\)](#page-6-0) and TIGIT+ $\gamma \delta$ T cells ($p = 0.004$; [Figure 3E](#page-6-0)) in BM than in PB, which suggests that inhibitory receptor expression may affect the function of these cells in various compartments. In terms of memory subsets, a significantly low percentage of $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ cells was discovered in BM ($p = 0.023$; [Figure 3B](#page-6-0)). However, other subsets showed no significant changes compared with the corresponding PB samples (T_{EM} $\gamma \delta$: $p = 0.438$; T_{EMRA} $\gamma \delta$: $p = 0.255$; respectively, [Figures 3C,](#page-6-0) D). Interestingly, wide variations in the expression levels of inhibitory receptors were observed among different subsets. The results show significantly increased TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ (p = 0.007; [Figure 3F\)](#page-6-0) and TIGIT+ $T_{EM} \gamma \delta$ T cells ($p = 0.003$; [Figure 3G](#page-6-0)) in the BM of patients with AMLy-DN compared with PB. However, no significant difference was observed for TIGIT+ T_{EMRA} $\gamma \delta$ ($p = 0.140$; [Figure 3H\)](#page-6-0). Heatmap analysis suggests that the influence of TME on memory T-cell populations may be more pronounced for the T_{CM} subsets ([Figure 3I;](#page-6-0) note the transition from mainly green to yellow and darker orange/red shadows when comparing PB to BM for TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ total T cells and TIGIT+ $\gamma \delta$ T_{CM}, as examples).

3.4 Increased frequencies of TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ T cells are associated with poor response to chemotherapy

We collected clinical data from 30 patients with AMLy-DN to investigate the potential correlation between the phenotypes of $\gamma \delta$ Tcell subsets and clinical response in patients with AML. After the exclusion of 3 patients who declined therapy and left the hospital voluntarily, we analyzed data from 27 patients who underwent chemotherapy following diagnosis. Based on follow-up after induction chemotherapy, patients with AMLy-DN were categorized into two groups: those who achieved CR (17 cases) and those who

TABLE 4 Univariate and multivariate regression analysis of γ ⁸ T-cell subsets in AMLy-DN.

Variables	Univariate regression		Multivariate regression	
	HR (95% CI)	p -value	HR (95% CI)	p -value
Gender				
Female	Reference			
Male	0.381 (0.073,1.992)	0.253		
Age, years	1.025 (0.969,1.084)	0.387		
Subtype				
M1	Reference	0.563		
M ₂	0.400(0.026, 6.176)	0.512		
M4	0.229(0.029, 1.774)	0.158		
M ₅	$\overline{}$	0.999		
${\rm WBC}, 10^9{\rm/L}$	1.005 (0.995,1.014)	0.354		
BM blast cell, %	1.012 (0.977,1.049)	0.504		
FLT3				
Normal	Reference	0.596		
FLT3_Mut	$\qquad \qquad -$	0.999		
Others	0.286 (0.026,3.196)	0.309		
CD3%	0.986(0.951, 1.021)	0.422		
$\gamma\delta\%$	0.896(0.715, 1.123)	0.343		
T_N γδ%	1.018 (0.916,1.132)	0.738		
$T_{\rm CM}$ γδ%	0.960 (0.915,1.008)	0.099		
$T_{\rm EM}$ γδ%	1.002(0.951, 1.056)	0.933		
$T_{\rm EMRA}$ $\gamma\delta\%$	1.025(0.987, 1.065)	0.199		
TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta\%$	1.044 (1.001,1.089)	0.043		
TIGIT+ T _{CM} $\gamma \delta\%$	1.081 (1.016,1.150)	0.014	1.081 (1.016,1.150)	0.014
TIGIT+ T _{EM} γ 8%	1.028 (0.988,1.069)	0.173		
TIGIT+ Τ _{ΕΜRΑ} γδ%	1.080 (1.006,1.159)	0.033		

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; WBC, white blood cell; BM, bone marrow.

Hou et al. [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126)

were non-CR (NCR) (10 cases). Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the proportions of $\gamma\delta$ T and its subsets and other factors, such as gender, age, white blood cells, and BM blast cells in patients with AMLy-DN. The results of univariate logistic regression analysis reveal that those high frequencies of TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ cells [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.044, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.001,1.089, $p = 0.043$], TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma \delta$ cells (HR = 1.081, 95% CI: 1.016,1.150, $p = 0.014$), and TIGIT+ T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells (HR = 1.079, 95% CI: 1.006,1.159, $p = 0.033$) were independent risk factors against the attainment of CR in patients with AMLy-DN with non-M3 subtype disease. Notably, multivariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells (HR = 1.081, 95% CI: 1.016,1.151, $p = 0.014$) as an independent risk factor for worse prognosis in patients with non-M3 subtype AMLy-DN, and it can potentially serve as a biomarker for risk stratification ([Table 4](#page-7-0)). We further sought to validate the influence of TIGIT expression on $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets by comparing pre- and postinduction chemotherapy percentages among five selected participants in our study. The findings indicate decreases in TIGIT + T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ and TIGIT+ T_{EM} in patients with AMLy who achieved CR status after the first cycle of chemotherapy (TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$: $p =$ 0.043; TIGIT+ $T_{EM}: p = 0.043$, respectively) ([Supplementary](#page-11-0) [Figure 1D](#page-11-0)), suggesting a potential correlation with blast elimination. Whether TIGIT expression on memory subsets can be used to predict the survival of patients with AMLy needs to be confirmed in future studies.

4 Discussion

The in-depth understanding of pathogenesis of M3 heralded the introduction of highly effective therapies targeting the mutant protein $PML-RAR\alpha$ that drives the disease, which led to the chemotherapyfree approach in the treatment of almost all patients ([32](#page-12-0)). Despite the improved genetic understanding of AML, excluding the M3 subtype, some of these studies have overlooked the effect of patient age. Younger patients with non-M3 AML represent a distinct group with specific needs and minimal survival improvement ([33](#page-12-0)). Therefore, our present research primarily focused on discussing non-M3 AML in younger patients.

T-cell immunotherapy has been increasingly investigated in AML ([16](#page-11-0)). Nevertheless, the redirection of pan CD3+ T cells to target leukemia blasts has demonstrated limited efficacy in clinical trials and is often accompanied with severe toxicity in patients with AML due to T-cell immune dysfunction ([17](#page-11-0), [34\)](#page-12-0). In vitro and mouse model studies have shown the cytotoxic effects of $\gamma\delta$ T cells on AML cells ([35](#page-12-0)). Our previous study demonstrated a correlation between reduced levels of circulating $\gamma \delta$ T cells and poor survival outcomes [\(36\)](#page-12-0). In this study, the proportions of total $\gamma\delta$ T cells from PB decreased in patients with AMLy-DN and AMLy-CR relative to HIs. Apart from the effect on proportions, some $\gamma\delta$ T cells that are cytotoxic against tumor cells may be susceptible to immunosuppressive mechanisms. Multiple ICs are commonly expressed on T cells in hematologic malignancies. Consequently, researchers studying the applications of $\gamma\delta$ T cells have shown interest in improving their antitumor potential while addressing the challenges posed by IC expression and its contribution to leukemia development and progression ([37](#page-12-0), [38\)](#page-12-0). Our previous study revealed a correlation between the decreased frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and increased expression of PD-1+Foxp3+ $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets, and this finding was associated with a poor OS ([20\)](#page-11-0). Consistent with these findings, our current study demonstrated the significantly high expression of TIGIT on $\gamma\delta$ T cells and low production of CD107a, IFN- γ , and perforin by $\gamma\delta$ T cells in patients with AMLy-DN, which suggests a diminished antileukemia effect. This result is in line with the observations of Song et al., who reported the upregulated expression of TIGIT on blood T cells from elderly HIs [\(39\)](#page-12-0). Furthermore, the downregulation of TIGIT can potentially restore T-cell function and improve IFN-g production in hematological malignancies [\(40](#page-12-0)). Gournay et al. reported the association of the elevated expression of TIGIT on various subsets of T cells with subsequent AML relapse [\(41](#page-12-0)). As a follow-up to this finding, we assessed the significantly increased expressions of TIGIT +CD226− and TIGIT+Foxp3+ gd T-cell subsets in de novo patients with AML, which indicated the exhaustion and heterogeneity of T cells ([30](#page-12-0)). Despite the considerable efficacy of blocking TIGIT in preclinical research and clinical trials for hematological malignancies, the distribution characteristics of TIGIT in $\gamma\delta$ T cells from AML have not been comprehensively investigated [\(42,](#page-12-0) [43](#page-12-0)). Importantly, our results further suggest the need to explore the characterization of TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells, which may respond to immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) treatment, and identify subpopulations with a stronger response. Therefore, studies should consider checkpoint inhibitor-based immunotherapy aimed at reinvigorating the antitumor activity of T cells and successful treatment strategies involving $\gamma\delta$ T cells to mitigate the aberrant activation of specific $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets.

In addition, T-cell immune surveillance is a crucial host defense mechanism in the suppression of carcinogenesis [\(44\)](#page-12-0). Notably, the establishment of T-cell memory serves as a pivotal process for the long-term protection against tumor elimination by the host's immune system ([45\)](#page-12-0). Less-differentiated subsets of T_{CM} cells exhibit enhanced antitumor efficacy compared with the moredifferentiated T_{EM} and T_{EMRA} cells [\(46](#page-12-0), [47\)](#page-12-0). Our previous study proposed several models for the phenotypic classification of human CD8+ T cells; hence, the classification of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells in terms of CD27 and CD45RA markers is valuable for the identification of naïve and memory cells [\(26](#page-11-0)). Subsets of memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells possess similar developmental potential and can be categorized into $T_{\rm CM}$, $T_{\rm EM}$, and T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells based on phenotypic markers and functional attributes ([24](#page-11-0)). In this study, changes in the subsets of memory $\gamma \delta$ T cells primarily involved a decrease in T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells and an increase in T_{EMRA} $\gamma\delta$ cells from patients with AMLy-DN compared with those from HIs. Upon CR after induction chemotherapy, restored $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ cell frequency and decreased TEMRA $\gamma\delta$ cell frequency were observed in PB. This finding suggests that the capacity for T-cell immune surveillance may depend on the response to chemotherapy ([48](#page-12-0)). We previously reported that the frequencies of stem cell memory T (T_{SCM}) and T_{CM} on CD8+ T cells dramatically decreased together

with increases in T_{EM} and terminal effector T cells in the AMLy-DN; however, these alterations persisted in patients who achieved CR after chemotherapy [\(27\)](#page-11-0). A previous study has positioned CD8+ memory T cells and the T_{CM} cell subset in an intermediate position between naïve and effector cells [\(24](#page-11-0)). T_{SCM} and T_{CM} subsets demonstrate superior performance in adoptive cell immunotherapy despite the improved cytotoxic and cytokine-releasing potential of effector T-cell subsets ([49](#page-12-0)). A research revealed the positive correlation between the infiltration of T_{CM} and T_{EM} with a favorable prognosis in Ewing sarcoma ([50](#page-12-0)). Our results indicate T_{CM} cells as a representative of a predominant population that exhibits superior capabilities as mediators of recall responses to antigen challenges given their prolonged persistence, rapid activation, and migration. Importantly, the classical T_{CM} cell compartment is an ideal source of T cells for immunotherapy against malignancy, which occurs in the form of long-term control effectors and central memory pools.

TIGIT, an inhibitory receptor expressed on lymphocytes, is a crucial IC that can impede every step of cancer immunity [\(51\)](#page-12-0). This study revealed the significantly higher expression of TIGIT on

memory $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subset distributed in the AMLy-DN cohort than in younger HIs, which indicates the preferential expression of TIGIT on memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells and its similar distribution pattern with that detected in older AML groups. Although TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not differ in frequency between AMLy-CR and HIs, the percentage of TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ were intermediate in between the levels found in healthy donors and patients with AMLy-DN. Patients with AMLy-CR and HIs exhibited significant differences in terms of the frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T_{CM} cells and TIGIT expression on the $\gamma\delta$ T_{CM}, which suggests that etiology may affect the generation or treatment response of memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells and thereby influence their immunity and clinical outcome. These findings suggest that TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells may play a role in AMLy surveillance against tumor cells and can be targeted for ICI treatment. Given the unresolved question regarding the mechanisms involved in $\gamma\delta$ T-cell differentiation, we aimed to gain insights into the processes underlying the complexity of differentiated T-cell populations. Our results show that the younger patients with AML with a high frequency of TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \n\gamma \delta$ T cells had a low likelihood of remaining in remission. The frequency of TIGIT+ T_{CM}

FIGURE 4

Overview of TIGIT changes in the $\sqrt{6}$ T-cell memory subsets in patients with AML. (Left) The high expression of TIGIT in $\sqrt{6}$ T cell in BM from patients with AMLy-DN may lead to immunosuppression of TME. (Right) The dendrogram shows the different distribution of $\sqrt{\delta}$ T-cell subsets from AMLy-DN, AMLy-CR, and HIs, and the high expression of TIGIT+ T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ T cell in patients with AMLy-DN may be related to poor outcome

 $\gamma\delta$ and TIGIT+ T_{EM} $\gamma\delta$ predominantly decreased after CR, and changes in other memory $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets varied relatively. Therefore, evaluation of the frequency of TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ T cells before and after treatment can provide important information on their efficacy. The capability of anti-TIGIT reagents to reverse the suppression of TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \n\gamma \delta$ T cells can be used as potential control measures that can enhance the activity of transferred $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Importantly, T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells in PB exhibit a long-term maintenance property, which results in their sustained and durable responses to ICI ([52\)](#page-12-0) treatment, and the enhanced response by T_{CM} is associated with the complete eradication of leukemia cells ([53](#page-12-0)). The efficient physiological responses from T_{CM} $\gamma\delta$ cells may confer advantages to their adoptively transferred effector cell progeny.

Our previous studies primarily focused on $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the PB. However, increasing evidence suggests that an immunosuppressive microenvironment promotes the emergence of phenotypically and functionally impaired $\gamma\delta$ T cells, which leads to immune evasion by leukemia cells. Recognizing the importance of BM as another immunological environment, we compared the distributions of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and their subsets in PB and BM samples from patients with AMLy-DN to investigate the influence of TME. Significant variations were observed in each subset between PB and BM, with a notable abundance of $\gamma\delta$ T cells and TIGIT+ $\gamma\delta$ T-cell subsets in the BM of most patients with AMLy-DN. In addition, the BM contained a lower percentage of $T_{CM} \gamma \delta T$ cells along with a higher percentage of TIGIT+ T_{CM} and TIGIT+ T_{EM} compared with PB. Our results demonstrate the possibly different effects of the AML BM microenvironment on $T_{\rm CM} \gamma \delta$ T-cell homing and their contribution to global $\gamma\delta$ T-cell dysfunction. Our previous study also revealed the enrichment of CD8+ T cells expressing PD-1 and TIM-3 receptors in the BM of patients with AML compared with PB [\(9](#page-11-0)). The high expression of TIGIT is an essential indicator of the effectiveness of ICI treatment, with an adequate presence of tumor-infiltrating T cells in the TME serving as a prerequisite ([54\)](#page-12-0). T cells in normal BM predominantly exhibit a memory phenotype, particularly CD8+ $\rm T_{CM}$ cells, which suggests the varying effects of alterations in the leukemic BM niche on T_{CM} homing among different individuals with AML ([55](#page-12-0)). These dysregulated features of $\gamma\delta$ T cells resemble those observed in elderly individuals with human immunodeficiency, and thus, they potentially represent premature immunologic aging. Therefore, further gaining insights into the phenotype and function of disrupted $\gamma \delta$ T cells in patients with AML can facilitate the development of novel immunotherapeutic strategies. However, our analysis was only based on limited clinical samples, and further investigation is needed to collect and track more samples to confirm the findings.

Recent publications of our team and others have reported the association of a high proportion of $\gamma\delta$ T cells with improved OS ([36\)](#page-12-0). However, further investigation is needed to evaluate the predictive value of OS in the follow-up period. Moreover, cytogenetic abnormalities are a critical prognostic factor for AML. Nevertheless, given the presence of FMS-like tyrosine kinase 3 mutation in nine cases, statistical analysis becomes challenging. Our findings support the notion that $\gamma\delta$ T cells may serve as suitable targets for therapeutic intervention or cell therapy. Given these issues, further research on the expansion of long-lived memory $\gamma\delta$ T cells must be conducted.

5 Conclusions

We initially characterized the distribution of $\gamma\delta$ memory T-cell subsets in patients with non-M3 AML and used it to validate the relationship between AML prognosis and subsequent identification of a robust TIGIT+ $T_{CM} \gamma \delta$ cell signature, which is significantly associated with AML prognosis [\(Figure 4](#page-9-0)). The findings have potential implications for guiding the selection and generation of optimal antileukemic T cells for adoptive immunotherapy, wherein the generated cells should acquire a T_{CM} phenotype with a low expression of TIGIT prior to transfer.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QH: Writing – original draft. PW: Writing – original draft. XK: Writing – original draft. JC: Writing – original draft. CY: Writing – original draft. XL: Writing – original draft. YL: Writing – review & editing. ZJ: Writing – review & editing. XW: Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82170220), the Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (Nos. 2020A1515010817, 2022A1515010313, and 2023A1515030271), the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou City (No. 202201010164), the National Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program for Undergraduate (No.202310559054), and the Guangdong College Students' Scientific and Technological Innovation (Nos. CX22446 and CX23304).

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the Flow Facility of Biological Translational Research Institute of Jinan University for their invaluable support and assistance throughout this project. We are also immensely grateful to the healthy volunteers who generously donated their blood, without whom this research would not have been possible.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. DiNardo CD, Erba HP, Freeman SD, Wei AH. Acute myeloid leukaemia. Lancet. (2023) 401:2073–86. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)00108-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00108-3)

2. He H, Wang Z, Yu H, Zhang G, Wen Y, Cai Z. Prioritizing risk genes as novel stratification biomarkers for acute monocytic leukemia by integrative analysis. Discovery Oncol. (2022) 13:55. doi: [10.1007/s12672-022-00516-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-022-00516-y)

3. Iyer SG, Elias L, StanChina M, Watts J. The treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia in 2023: Paradigm, advances, and future directions. Front Oncol. (2023) 12:1062524. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.1062524](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.1062524)

4. Salhotra A, Mei M. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: Update on risk stratification and treatment practices. Cancer Treat Res. (2021) 181:45–55. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78311-2_3) [78311-2_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78311-2_3)

5. Döhner H, Estey E, Grimwade D, Amadori S, Appelbaum FR, Büchner T, et al. Diagnosis and management of AML in adults: 2017 ELN recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. (2017) 129:424–47. doi: [10.1182/blood-2016-08-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-08-733196) [733196](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-08-733196)

6. O'Dwyer K, Freyer DR, Horan JT. Treatment strategies for adolescent and young adult patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. (2018) 132:362–8. doi: [10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-12-778472) [blood-2017-12-778472](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-12-778472)

7. Vago L, Gojo I. Immune escape and immunotherapy of acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:1552–64. doi: [10.1172/JCI129204](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI129204)

8. Abaza Y, Zeidan AM. Immune checkpoint inhibition in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndromes. Cells. (2022) 11:2249. doi: [10.3390/cells11142249](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11142249)

9. Xu L, Liu L, Yao D, Zeng X, Zhang Y, Lai J, et al. PD-1 and TIGIT are highly coexpressed on CD8+ T cells in AML patient bone marrow. Front Oncol. (2021) 11:686156. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2021.686156](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.686156)

10. Tan J, Tan H, Li Y. Targeting TIM-3 for hematological Malignancy: latest updates from the 2022 ASH annual meeting. Exp Hematol Oncol. (2023) 12:62. doi: [10.1186/s40164-023-00421-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40164-023-00421-2)

11. Wang Y, Zhang H, Liu C, Wang Z, Wu W, Zhang N, et al. Immune checkpoint modulators in cancer immunotherapy: Recent advances and emerging concepts. J Hematol Oncol. (2022) 15:111. doi: [10.1186/s13045-022-01325-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-022-01325-0)

12. Chauvin JM, Zarour HM. TIGIT in cancer immunotherapy. J Immunother Cancer. (2020) 8:e000957. doi: [10.1136/jitc-2020-000957](https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-000957)

13. Yang L, Li A, Lei Q, Zhang Y. Tumor-intrinsic signaling pathways: Key roles in the regulation of the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment. J Hematol Oncol. (2019) 12:125. doi: [10.1186/s13045-019-0804-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-019-0804-8)

14. Sarkar I, Pati S, Dutta A, Basak U, Sa G. T-memory cells against cancer: Remembering the enemy. Cell Immunol. (2019) 338:27–31. doi: [10.1016/j.cellimm.2019.03.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2019.03.002)

15. de Vries NL, van de Haar J, Veninga V, Chalabi M, Ijsselsteijn ME, van der Ploeg M, et al. γδ T cells are effectors of immunotherapy in cancers with HLA class I defects. Nature. (2023) 613:743–50. doi: [10.1038/s41586-022-05593-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05593-1)

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full#supplementary-material)fimmu.2024.1321126/ [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1321126/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Different distribution pattern of TIGIT on γ ^{δ} T cells between the AML-M2 and AML-M5. (A) The distribution of γ ^{δ} T cells and their memory subsets in M2 and M5 subtypes. (M2: $n = 9$, M5: $n = 10$). (B) Frequency of TIGIT in the T_{CM}, T_{EM}, and T_{EMRA} γ ⁸ T-cell populations in M2 and M5 subtypes (M2: $n = 9$, M5: $n = 10$). (C) Distribution of CR or NR in M2 and M5 subtypes (M2: $n = 9$, M5: $n = 10$). (D) Pairwise comparisons of TIGIT in the γ ⁸ T-cell subsets by pre- and postinduction chemotherapy (pre-chemotherapy: $n = 5$, post-chemotherapy: $n = 5$). $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, and $***p < 0.001$.

16. Hao F, Sholy C, Wang C, Cao M, Kang X. The role of T cell immunotherapy in acute myeloid leukemia. Cells. (2021) 10:3376. doi: [10.3390/cells10123376](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10123376)

17. Zhang P, Zhang G, Wan X. Challenges and new technologies in adoptive cell therapy. J Hematol Oncol. (2023) 16:97. doi: [10.1186/s13045-023-01492-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-023-01492-8)

18. Zheng J, Jiang X, Zhao H, Wang W, Wu X, Jin Z. $\Gamma\delta$ T cells: A sparkling star for clinical immunotherapy. Explor Immunol. (2022) 2:540–57. doi: [10.37349/](https://doi.org/10.37349/ei.2022.00066) [ei.2022.00066](https://doi.org/10.37349/ei.2022.00066)

19. Li Y, Li G, Zhang J, Wu X, Chen X. The dual roles of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells: Antitumor or tumor-promoting. Front Immunol. (2021) 11:619954. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.619954) fi[mmu.2020.619954](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.619954)

20. Zheng J, Qiu D, Jiang X, Zhao Y, Zhao H, Wu X, et al. Increased PD-1+Foxp3+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells associate with poor overall survival for patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:1007565. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.1007565](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.1007565)

21. Jin Z, Ye W, Lan T, Zhao Y, Liu X, Chen J, et al. Characteristic of TIGIT and DNAM-1 expression on foxp3+ $\gamma\delta$ T cells in AML patients. BioMed Res Int. (2020) 2020:4612952. doi: [10.1155/2020/4612952](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4612952)

22. Sallusto F, Geginat J, Lanzavecchia A. Central memory and effector memory T cell subsets: Function, generation, and maintenance. Annu Rev Immunol. (2004) 22:745–63. doi: [10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104702](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.immunol.22.012703.104702)

23. Mahnke YD, Brodie TM, Sallusto F, Roederer M, Lugli E. The who's who of Tcell differentiation: Human memory T-cell subsets. Eur J Immunol. (2013) 43:2797– 809. doi: [10.1002/eji.201343751](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201343751)

24. Dieli F, Poccia F, Lipp M, Sireci G, Caccamo N, Di Sano C, et al. Differentiation of effector/memory vdelta2 T cells and migratory routes in lymph nodes or inflammatory sites. J Exp Med. (2003) 198:391–7. doi: [10.1084/jem.20030235](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20030235)

25. Sallusto F, Lenig D, Förster R, Lipp M, Lanzavecchia A. Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. Nature. (1999) 401:708–12. doi: [10.1038/44385](https://doi.org/10.1038/44385)

26. Li M, Yao D, Zeng X, Kasakovski D, Zhang Y, Chen S, et al. Age related human T cell subset evolution and senescence. Immun Ageing. (2019) 16:24. doi: [10.1186/s12979-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12979-019-0165-8) [019-0165-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12979-019-0165-8)

27. Xu L, Yao D, Tan J, He Z, Yu Z, Chen J, et al. Memory T cells skew toward terminal differentiation in the CD8+ T cell population in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. J Hematol Oncol. (2018) 11:93. doi: [10.1186/s13045-018-0636-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0636-y)

28. Vydra J, Cosimo E, Lesný P, Wanless RS, Anderson J, Clark AG, et al. A phase I trial of allogeneic $\gamma\delta$ T lymphocytes from haploidentical donors in patients with refractory or relapsed acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk. (2023) 23:e232–9. doi: [10.1016/j.clml.2023.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clml.2023.02.003)

29. Nishimoto KP, Barca T, Azameera A, Makkouk A, Romero JM, Bai L, et al. Allogeneic CD20-targeted $\gamma\delta$ T cells exhibit innate and adaptive antitumor activities in preclinical B-cell lymphoma models. Clin Transl Immunol. (2022) 11:e1373. doi: [10.1002/cti2.1373](https://doi.org/10.1002/cti2.1373)

30. Jin Z, Lan T, Zhao Y, Du J, Chen J, Lai J, et al. Higher TIGIT+CD226- $\gamma \delta$ T cells in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Immunol Invest. (2022) 51:40–50. doi: [10.1080/08820139.2020.1806868](https://doi.org/10.1080/08820139.2020.1806868)

31. Catovsky D, Tavares de Castro J. The classification of acute leukaemia (AL) and its clinical significance. Schweiz Med Wochenschr. (1983) 113:1434–7.

32. Liquori A, Ibañez M, Sargas C, Sanz MÁ, Barragán E, Cervera J. Acute promyelocytic leukemia: A constellation of molecular events around a single PML-RARA fusion gene. Cancers (Basel). (2020) 12:624. doi: [10.3390/cancers12030624](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12030624)

33. Visani G, Chiarucci M, Paolasini S, Loscocco F, Isidori A. Treatment options for acute myeloid leukemia patients aged <60 years. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:897220. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.897220](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.897220)

34. Tang L, Wu J, Li CG, Jiang HW, Xu M, Du M, et al. Characterization of immune dysfunction and identification of prognostic immune-related risk factors in acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. (2020) 26:1763–72. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3003](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3003)

35. Choi H, Lee Y, Hur G, Lee SE, Cho HI, Sohn HJ, et al. $\gamma \delta$ T cells cultured with artificial antigen-presenting cells and IL-2 show long-term proliferation and enhanced effector functions compared with $\gamma\delta$ T cells cultured with only IL-2 after stimulation with zoledronic acid. Cytotherapy. (2021) 23:908–17. doi: [10.1016/j.jcyt.2021.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcyt.2021.06.002)

36. Kong X, Zheng J, Liu X, Wang W, Jiang X, Chen J, et al. High TRGV 9 subfamily expression marks an improved overall survival in patients with acute myeloid leukemia. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:823352. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.823352](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.823352)

37. Chen D, Guo Y, Jiang J, Wu P, Zhang T, Wei Q, et al. $\gamma\delta$ T cell exhaustion: opportunities for intervention. J Leukoc Biol. (2022) 112:1669–76. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.5MR0722-777R) [JLB.5MR0722-777R](https://doi.org/10.1002/JLB.5MR0722-777R)

38. Davies D, Kamdar S, Woolf R, Zlatareva I, Iannitto ML, Morton C, et al. PD-1 defines a distinct, functional, tissue-adapted state in $V\delta1+T$ cells with implications for cancer immunotherapy. Nat Cancer. (2024) 5(3):420–32. doi: [10.1038/s43018-023-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00690-0) [00690-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00690-0)

39. Song Y, Wang B, Song R, Hao Y, Wang D, Li Y, et al. T-cell immunoglobulin and ITIM domain contributes to CD8+ T-cell immunosenescence. Aging Cell. (2018) 17: e12716. doi: [10.1111/acel.12716](https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12716)

40. Minnie SA, Kuns RD, Gartlan KH, Zhang P, Wilkinson AN, Samson L, et al. Myeloma escape after stem cell transplantation is a consequence of T-cell exhaustion and is prevented by TIGIT blockade. Blood. (2018) 132:1675–88. doi: [10.1182/blood-](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-01-825240)[2018-01-825240](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-01-825240)

41. Gournay V, Vallet N, Peux V, Vera K, Bordenave J, Lambert M, et al. Immune landscape after allo-HSCT: TIGIT- and CD161-expressing CD4 T cells are associated with subsequent leukemia relapse. Blood. (2022) 140:1305–21. doi: [10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2022015522) [blood.2022015522](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2022015522)

42. Qiu D, Liu X, Wang W, Jiang X, Wu X, Zheng J, et al. TIGIT axis: novel immune checkpoints in anti-leukemia immunity. Clin Exp Med. (2023) 23:165–74. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-022-00817-0) [s10238-022-00817-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10238-022-00817-0)

43. Jin S, Zhang Y, Zhou F, Chen X, Sheng J. TIGIT: A promising target to overcome the barrier of immunotherapy in hematological Malignancies. Front Oncol. (2022) 12:1091782. doi: [10.3389/fonc.2022.1091782](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.1091782)

44. Ribot JC, Lopes N, Silva-Santos B. $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tissue physiology and surveillance. Nat Rev Immunol. (2021) 21:221–32. doi: [10.1038/s41577-020-00452-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-020-00452-4)

45. Tough DF, Rioja I, Modis LK, Prinjha RK. Epigenetic regulation of T cell memory: recalling therapeutic implications. Trends Immunol. (2020) 41:29–45. doi: [10.1016/j.it.2019.11.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2019.11.008)

46. Zhou J, Shen X, Huang J, Hodes RJ, Rosenberg SA, Robbins PF. Telomere length of transferred lymphocytes correlates with in vivo persistence and tumor regression in melanoma patients receiving cell transfer therapy. J Immunol. (2005) 175:7046–52. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.175.10.7046](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.10.7046)

47. Rosenberg SA, Yang JC, Sherry RM, Kammula US, Hughes MS, Phan GQ, et al. Durable complete responses in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic melanoma using T-cell transfer immunotherapy. Clin Cancer Res. (2011) 17:4550–7. doi: [10.1158/](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0116) [1078-0432.CCR-11-0116](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0116)

48. Yao D, Xu L, Tan J, Zhang Y, Lu S, Li M, et al. Re-balance of memory T cell subsets in peripheral blood from patients with CML after TKI treatment. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:81852–9. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.20965](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.20965)

49. Gattinoni L, Klebanoff CA, Restifo NP. Paths to stemness: building the ultimate antitumour T cell. Nat Rev Cancer. (2012) 12:671–84. doi: [10.1038/nrc3322](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3322)

50. Ren EH, Deng YJ, Yuan WH, Wu ZL, Zhang GZ, Xie QQ. An immune-related gene signature for determining ewing sarcoma prognosis based on machine learning. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. (2021) 147:153–65. doi: [10.1007/s00432-020-03396-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-020-03396-3)

51. Manieri NA, Chiang EY, Grogan JL. TIGIT: A key inhibitor of the cancer immunity cycle. Trends Immunol. (2017) 38:20–8. doi: [10.1016/j.it.2016.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2016.10.002)

52. Berger C, Jensen MC, Lansdorp PM, Gough M, Elliott C, Riddell SR. Adoptive transfer of effector CD8+ T cells derived from central memory cells establishes persistent T cell memory in primates. J Clin Invest. (2008) 118:294–305. doi: [10.1172/JCI32103](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI32103)

53. Kang S, Wang L, Xu L, Wang R, Kang Q, Gao X, et al. Decitabine enhances targeting of AML cells by NY-ESO-1-specific TCR-T cells and promotes the maintenance of effector function and the memory phenotype. Oncogene. (2022) 41:4696–708. doi: [10.1038/s41388-022-02455-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-022-02455-y)

54. Hargadon KM. Tumor microenvironmental influences on dendritic cell and T cell function: A focus on clinically relevant immunologic and metabolic checkpoints. Clin Transl Med. (2020) 10:374–411. doi: [10.1002/ctm2.37](https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.37)

55. Mazo IB, Honczarenko M, Leung H, Cavanagh LL. Bone marrow is a major reservoir and site of recruitment for central memory CD8+ T cells. Immunity. (2005) 22:259–70. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2005.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2005.01.008)