Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pei-Hui Wang, Shandong University, China

REVIEWED BY

Henry Puerta-Guardo, Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, Mexico Ravi P. Arya, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India Luzia Maria De-Oliveira-Pinto, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation (Fiocruz), Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE

Otavio Cabral-Marques otavio.cmarques@usp.br Desirée Rodrigues Plaça desiree.placa@usp.br

RECEIVED 24 August 2023 ACCEPTED 31 January 2024 PUBLISHED 20 February 2024

CITATION

Plaça DR, Fonseca DLM, Marques AHC, Zaki Pour S, Usuda JN, Baiocchi GC, Prado CAS, Salgado RC, Filgueiras IS, Freire PP, Rocha V, Camara NOS, Catar R, Moll G, Jurisica I, Calich VLG, Giil LM, Rivino L, Ochs HD, Cabral-Miranda G, Schimke LF and Cabral-Marques O (2024) Immunological signatures unveiled by integrative systems vaccinology characterization of dengue vaccination trials and natural infection. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1282754. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1282754

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Plaça, Fonseca, Marques, Zaki Pour, Usuda, Baiocchi, Prado, Salgado, Filgueiras, Freire, Rocha, Camara, Catar, Moll, Jurisica, Calich, Giil, Rivino, Ochs, Cabral-Miranda, Schimke and Cabral-Marques. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immunological signatures unveiled by integrative systems vaccinology characterization of dengue vaccination trials and natural infection

Desirée Rodrigues Plaça^{1*}, Dennyson Leandro M. Fonseca², Alexandre H. C. Marques³, Shahab Zaki Pour⁴, Júlia Nakanishi Usuda¹, Gabriela Crispim Baiocchi³, Caroline Aliane de Souza Prado¹, Ranieri Coelho Salgado³, Igor Salerno Filgueiras³, Paula Paccielli Freire^{1,3}, Vanderson Rocha^{5,6,7,8}, Niels Olsen Saraiva Camara³, Rusan Catar⁹, Guido Moll^{9,10}, Igor Jurisica^{11,12,13}, Vera Lúcia Garcia Calich³, Lasse M. Giil¹⁴, Laura Rivino^{15,16}, Hans D. Ochs¹⁷, Gustavo Cabral-Miranda³, Lena F. Schimke^{3,18,19} and Otavio Cabral-Margues^{1,2,3,6,18,19*}

¹Department of Clinical and Toxicological Analyses, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, ²Interunit Postgraduate Program on Bioinformatics, Institute of Mathematics and Statistics (IME), University of Sao Paulo (USP), Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil, ³Departament of Immunology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, ⁴Department of Microbiology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ^sLaboratory of Medical Investigation in Pathogenesis and Directed Therapy in Onco-Immuno-Hematology (LIM-31), Department of Hematology and Cell Therapy, Hospital das Clínicas, Faculdade de Medicina, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁶Instituto D'Or de Ensino e Pesquisa, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁷Fundação Pró-Sangue-Hemocentro de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, ⁸Department of Hematology, Churchill Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁹Department of Nephrology and Internal Intensive Care Medicine, Charité University Hospital, Berlin, Germany, ¹⁰Berlin Institute of Health (BIH) Center for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT) and Berlin-Brandenburg School for Regenerative Therapies (BSRT), Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ¹¹Osteoarthritis Research Program, Division of Orthopedic Surgery, Schroeder Arthritis Institute and Data Science Discovery Centre for Chronic Diseases, Krembil Research Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada, ¹²Departments of Medical Biophysics and Computer Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ¹³Institute of Neuroimmunology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia, ¹⁴Department of Internal Medicine, Haraldsplass Deaconess Hospital, Bergen, Norway, ¹⁵School of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom, ¹⁶Emerging Infectious Diseases, Duke-National University of Singapore (NUS) Medical School, Singapore, Singapore, ¹⁷Department of Pediatrics, University of Washington School of Medicine, and Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, United States, ¹⁸Department of Medicine, Division of Molecular Medicine, Laboratory of Medical Investigation 29, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, Berlin, Germany, ¹⁹Network of Immunity in Infection, Malignancy, Autoimmunity (NIIMA), Universal Scientific Education and Research Network (USERN), São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Introduction: Dengue virus infection is a global health problem lacking specific therapy, requiring an improved understanding of DENV immunity and vaccine responses. Considering the recent emerging of new dengue vaccines, here we performed an integrative systems vaccinology characterization of molecular signatures triggered by the natural DENV infection (NDI) and attenuated dengue virus infection models (DVTs).

Methods and results: We analyzed 955 samples of transcriptomic datasets of patients with NDI and attenuated dengue virus infection trials (DVT1, DVT2, and DVT3) using a systems vaccinology approach. Differential expression analysis identified 237 common differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between DVTs and NDI. Among them, 28 and 60 DEGs were up or downregulated by dengue vaccination during DVT2 and DVT3, respectively, with 20 DEGs intersecting across all three DVTs. Enriched biological processes of these genes included type I/II interferon signaling, cytokine regulation, apoptosis, and T-cell differentiation. Principal component analysis based on 20 common DEGs (overlapping between DVTs and our NDI validation dataset) distinguished dengue patients by disease severity, particularly in the late acute phase. Machine learning analysis ranked the ten most critical predictors of disease severity in NDI, crucial for the anti-viral immune response.

Conclusion: This work provides insights into the NDI and vaccine-induced overlapping immune response and suggests molecular markers (e.g., *IFIT5, ISG15,* and *HERC5*) for anti-dengue-specific therapies and effective vaccination development.

KEYWORDS

dengue, vaccine, transcriptional signature, immune response, systems vaccinology

1 Introduction

There is an urgent need for vaccines against neglected tropical diseases, such as helminth infections, Chagas disease, zika, and dengue fever (1-3). Among them, dengue poses a significant global health problem, spreading to new regions, including Europe (4). Several liveattenuated dengue vaccines are currently under research and development (3, 5-7), reflecting the ongoing efforts to combat this widespread infection. However, developing a dengue vaccine is still challenging due to the associated pathophysiology (5, 7, 8). Although some vaccines have been approved (9-11), the complex interaction between dengue serotypes and the human immune system represents a challenge for entirely safe and effective vaccines (12, 13). Hence, it is necessary to better understand the immunological mechanisms triggered by natural infection with DENV and their overlap with the immune response elicited by dengue vaccines, finding specific factors and signatures to monitor vaccine efficacy and guide the development of more potent vaccines (14, 15).

Dengue is among the most prevalent vector-borne diseases caused by the dengue virus (DENV), with an incidence of 100-400 million infections yearly (16, 17). Four dengue virus serotypes (DENV-1–4) have circulated throughout Asia, Africa, and the Americas. However, despite being a major global health problem, neither specific therapy nor a fully efficient vaccination protocol can ameliorate dengue's destructive impact worldwide (3, 7, 10). While most infected individuals are asymptomatic (18), dengue can progress from a mild, self-limited disease called dengue fever (DF) to the more severe dengue hemorrhagic fever (DHF) during the defervescence phase (4, 19). In some individuals, the infection progresses to a life-threatening condition marked by acute vascular permeability, which is named dengue shock syndrome (DSS) (20). Therefore, a deeper understanding of the immunological mechanisms triggered by natural DENV infection is imperative. Moreover, elucidating how these mechanisms intersect with the immune response elicited by dengue vaccines could have farreaching implications for vaccine design, effectiveness, and deployment strategies.

This study is part of the growing research area of systems vaccinology, which has successfully investigated the immune response to several viruses, for instance, influenza (21), yellow fever (22), and COVID-19 (23). So far, individual studies have characterized the immune response of dengue-infected patients or individuals enrolled in recent DENV vaccine trials (DVTs) (24-26). By employing an integrative systems vaccinology approach, our work aims to longitudinally characterize common immunological signatures between attenuated dengue virus infection models (herein called DVT) and their molecular overlap with natural dengue infection (NDI). Furthermore, we assessed this standard immunological signature in patients with different disease outcomes. Thus, through this comprehensive analysis, our work is part of a research field that has provided a global picture of the host response to vaccination, identifying potential immunologic signatures that can predict the immunogenicity of vaccines (27, 28). By understanding the interactions between natural infection and vaccine-induced immunity, we seek to provide new insights into dengue vaccine development.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Curation of global gene expression data in dengue infection

We obtained publicly available transcriptomic data (RNAseq and microarray) from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (29) database. The studies included were selected using the following search terms: RNA sequencing, microArray, transcriptome, dengue, RNA sequencing, immune response, and "Homo sapiens"[porgn: _txid9606]. We included studies published between April 2011 and February 2022. Our inclusion criteria were: (1) studies with adult patients infected with DENV; (2) studies of whole blood (WB) or human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs; (3) studies reporting disease phase and/or severity; (4) a minimum of 10 individuals per group. Our exclusion criteria were data sets that (1) included only children; (2) studies of *in vitro* infection; (3) studies that included additional flavivirus besides the dengue virus.

Additionally, we included one data set of a partially attenuated DENV-2 recombinant virus, which is part of a DENV vaccine challenge arm of a vaccine trial (DVT1, ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02021968) and three data sets for validation: 1 of natural dengue infection with different time points and severity groups (GSE43777) and two attenuated dengue vaccine trials: DENV3 trial (DVT2, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00831012; GSE98053) and tetravalent chimeric DENV2 (DVT3, ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01224639; GSE146658). We obtained 955 transcriptome samples from ten data sets derived from either PBMCs or peripheral WB leukocytes described in detail in Supplementary Table S1, including exposure (primary or secondary infection, timepoint, viral load, location, and serotype). After evaluating the study design, the number of samples, and other relevant information (disease phase and severity), we downloaded the transcriptome data sets and followed the analysis workflow of publicly available RNAsequencing data sets as previously described (30). All R packages and bioinformatics web tools for this study are listed in the Key Resource Table (Supplementary Table S23).

2.2 Processing of RNA-seq data sets

Except for the data set GSE94892, which was available only as raw sequencing data and needed to be preprocessed as described below, all other transcriptomic data were available as nonnormalized processed tables. The quality control of the raw and trimmed reads from the GSE94892 was performed using FastQC v.0.11.8 (31). Trimming of the adapter content and Quality trimming were performed using Trimmomatics v0.36 with the following settings: LEADING:20 TRAILING:20 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:25 MINLEN:31 (32). Kallisto v.46.0 (33) program was used to build the Kallisto index with reference transcriptome GRCh38 (Ensembl) with a k-mer length of 31 and to quantify abundances of the transcripts through the Kallisto pseudo-alignment, which provides estimates of transcript level counts. We used the tximport R package (34) to summarize count estimates at the gene expression level (Supplementary Table S22).

The raw cell counts for dataset GSE152255 were obtained in the original publication (26). Briefly, cell counts were obtained by merging BAM files per sample using SAMtools (35). Immune-cell proportions were analyzed with CIBERSORT (36) against LM22 gene signatures using the full expression matrix (Transcript Per Million, TPM >10). Tissue-specific signatures like mast cells and macrophages were excluded for whole blood application.

2.3 Differential expression analysis

Differential expression analysis (DEA) was applied to each dataset individually, followed by consensus analysis to identify common differentially expressed genes (DEGs) across the studies as previously described (37). We obtained DEGs for the microarray studies (GSE28405, GSE28988, GSE28991, GSE43777, GSE98053, and GSE94892) through GEO2R (38) and RNAseq data sets (GSE51808, GSE152255, and GSE146658) using Network Analyst (39), applying the limma-voom statistical method (40) as recently described (37, 41, 42). We applied the statistical cut-offs of adjusted p-value < 0.05, log2 fold-change (logFC) > 1 (up-regulated), or < -1 (down-regulated) to determine the DEGs. Comparisons established for each data set are available in the Supplementary Table S2. Considering substantial differences in the temporal collection points for each data set, we did not perform DEA by merging all datasets and correcting for batch effects. Instead, we performed a consensus analysis, treating individual studies as distinct and heterogeneous entities and searching for common gene signatures across the different studies.

2.4 Functional annotation and pathways enrichment analysis of overlapping genes

The intersection of (common) DEGs between each infection phase and disease severity was obtained using the web tool Intervene (https://asntech.shinyapps.io/intervene/) (43) and visualized by Upset graphics. We then performed functional enrichment of these overlapping genes across the data sets using Enrichr (https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/) (44). In addition, functional enrichment of the intersection between each phase of natural infection was performed using the ClusterProfilerR package (45). The gene expression patterns of common DEGs were visualized through bubble heat maps with hierarchical clustering (applying the Euclidian distance metric) using the web tool Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/) (46). Circos plots were obtained using the Circos web tool (http:// circos.ca/) (47). An alluvial diagram was built to display the enrichment of the NDI overlap with DVTs of validation using the web tool SankeyMATIC (https://sankeymatic.com/) (48).

2.5 Random forest classification

We used random forest (RF) (49) to rank DEGs as predictors of dengue phases of the GSE43777 dataset using the R package random Forest (version 4.6.14) as previously described (42, 50). We applied the RF algorithm through five thousand trees, and the number of variables resampled was equal to three. Follow-up analysis used the Gini decrease, number of nodes, and mean minimum depth as criteria to determine variable importance. Interaction between pairs of variables was assessed using minimum depth as a criterion. The adequacy of the RF model as a classifier was evaluated by an out-of-bags error rate of less than 30% and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. For cross-validation with bootstrap aggregating, we split the data set into training and testing samples, using 75% of the observations for training and 25% for testing.

2.6 Principal Component Analysis and data visualization of stratifying genes

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed with NDI-DVTs genes (log2 transformed values) that were DEGs in GSE43777, using the R functions prcomp and princomp, singular value decomposition (SVD) and eigenvalue decomposition statistics were applied. At the same time, biplots, clustering, and plotting were obtained through factoextra (51) and FactoMineR package (52). We also visualized DEGs belonging to NDI-DVTs gene sets in GSEs 43777, 51808, 94892, and 152255 through boxplots using ggplot2 package (53), applying the statistical Wilcoxon sum-rank test to compare the distributions of DF, DHF, and DSS pared independently. P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant as previously described (42).

2.7 Interactome analysis

For protein-protein interaction (PPI) analyses, we queried Integrated Interactions Database version 2021-05 (https:// ophid.utoronto.ca/iid) (54) to obtain the network of interacting common DEGs between natural infection and vaccine challenge data sets, as well as the specific DEGs of each. We used all interaction sources. The network was annotated and visualized using NAViGaTOR 3.0.17 (55) and exported in an SVG file. The final figure was prepared with legends in Adobe Illustrator version 26.3.1.

2.8 Statistical analysis

For gene expression data, we applied a base 2 logarithmic function for each gene variable count data, herein called log2 gene expression or log2 intensity values, for bulk RNA and microarray sequencing, respectively. For the differential expression analysis, we applied the limma-voom pipeline, where empirical Bayes analyses are performed to identify differentially expressed genes. Adjusted P values of less than 0.05 were considered significant, and we applied the statistical cut-offs of log FC > 1 or < -1 to determine the upregulated and downregulated DEGs, respectively. We considered terms with adjusted *p*-values less than 0.05 significant for all enrichments.

3 Results

3.1 The longitudinal overlap between the immune responses observed during vaccination trials and natural dengue infections

We performed a comprehensive multi-study analysis of different dengue cohorts (Figure 1) to better understand immunopathogenesis and characterize immunological signatures that can establish the immunogenicity of vaccines. We obtained nine datasets of DENV infection transcriptomes according to our inclusion criteria described above. Concerning the serotypes investigated in the studies, our analyses encompassed all or nearly all serotypes within each dataset. This approach was taken because the NDI studies did not limit their analyses to only one serotype at a time (Supplementary Table S1).

By differential expression analysis, we identified DEGs across dengue infection cohorts of samples collected at different time points (Figure 2A; Supplementary Tables S1–S3). We found a consistently higher number of DEGs in the NDI data sets compared to the attenuated DENV infection studies (Figure 2B). From these DEGs, we performed further integrative systems biology analyses (Figure 1). It is worth noting the number of DEGs identified here differs from what was reported in the original publication of datasets (26, 56, 57) since we used a unique pipeline for our consensus DEG analysis applied to each dataset individually.

The DVT1 study (GSE152255; DENV2; ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02021968), a human dengue vaccine challenge trial using the partially attenuated dengue serotype 2 (rDEN2Δ30) virus, included 11 individuals and provided transcriptome samples from day 0 (before infection), days 8, and 28 after attenuated infection. When comparing the different time points, DVT1 had 413 upregulated (351 on day 8 vs. day 0; 57 on day 28 vs. day 8; 5 on day 28 vs. day 0) and 709 downregulated DEGs (281 on day 8 vs. day 0; 292 on day 28 vs. day 8; 139 on day 28 vs. day 0) (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure S1; Supplementary Table S14). After excluding overlapping genes across different timepoints, we identified 411 upregulated and 623 downregulated DEGS. We found 237 common DEGs during the immune response against the attenuated dengue virus (DVT1 data set, GEO152255) compared to the NDI data sets that lacked severity information (GSE28991, GSE28988, and GSE28405). These overlapping DEGs are highly associated with and enrich mainly in biological processes (BPs) related to the immune system response, metabolic processes, and cellular component organization of biogenesis. We found 739 and 461 DEGs in the NDI and DVT1 data sets, respectively, which enriched mainly



similar BPs (Figure 3B). Overall, there were no striking differences in the distribution of cell numbers along with the DVT1 time points, i.e., there are similar cell frequencies, displayed as raw counts, across time (Figure 3C), underscoring the transcriptional changes during the immune response against the attenuated dengue virus. Of note, during the initial phase (time 1) of sample collection, we found 117 overlapping DEGs (Figure 4A) between the DVT1 (GEO152255) and NDI (GSE28991, GSE28988, and GSE28405) data sets. However, those DEGs were often regulated in the opposite direction (DEGs upregulated in DVT1 are downregulated in NDI and the other way around) (Figure 4C). While this regulation



FIGURE 2

Dynamics of dengue infection and disease progression, and differentially expressed genes across the study cohorts. (A) Schematic overview of dengue phases and progression over time, showing the immunological processes and clinical manifestations^{1–3}. (B) Graphic showing the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) by all data sets included in our study. The data sets are shown according to their Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) IDs. Time points of sample collection and disease severity groups are shown for each data set according to the original studies.



FIGURE 3

General transcriptional overlap between natural dengue infection and dengue vaccine trial. (A) The vaccine challenge design scheme shows the number of patients, days of sample collection, and the number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) found in each comparison; (B) Protein-protein interaction network of common (overlap) DEGs between NDI and DVT1 (largest network) as well as specific DEGs of NDIs (in common across 3 NDI data sets) and the DVT1; (C) Heatmap of cell counts at different times of the DVT1 data set. Each column represents an individual, and rows indicate different cell populations. Bcells, Bcells; pb, plasmablasts; sm_Bcells, switched memory B cells; n_Bcells, naïve B cells; c_mono, classical monocytes; i_mono, intermediate monocytes; nc_mono, non-classic monocytes; NKT, NK T cells; r_NK, resting NK; ea_NK, early activated NK; ADCCNK, ADCC NK; NK4, CD3–CD56intCD16– NK activated; NK5, CD3–CD56–CD16+ NK post-activation; DC, Dendritic Cells; act_DC, Activated DCs; Tcells; Tcells; Tregs, regulatory T cells; CD4Tnaive, naïve CD4 T cells; CD4Tcm, central memory CD4 T cells; CD4Tem, effector memory re-expression CD45RA CD8 T cells; homeo_CD4, homeostatic CD4 T cells; CD4, cytotoxic CD4 T cells; CD8Temra, effector memory re-expression CD45RA CD8 T cells; homeo_CD8, homeostatic CD8 T cells; cyto_CD8, cytotoxic CD8 T cells; CD4_CM, AIM+ CD4 T cells; old_CD4_AIM, old AIM+ CD4 T cells.

variance was prominent in time point 1, we found at time point 2 a more constant common upregulation of DEGs between NDI and DVT1. A possible explanation for this observation consists in the fact that while the DVT1 study compared samples collected during the acute (day 8) infection with those obtained before infection (day 0) and day 8 versus convalescence (day 28) after infection, all NDI data sets have comparisons between late (days 4-7) versus early (days 0-3) as well as acute and late acute versus convalescent (2-5 weeks) phases. Hence, since these studies have different start points of sample collection, future investigations require longitudinal comparisons synchronizing study time points. Nevertheless, these 117 overlapping DEGs enrich 13 most relevant BPs, based on *adjusted P-value*, excepted redundant BPs, including defense

responses to the virus, platelet degranulation, and several interferon (IFN)-related BPs (Figure 4A; Supplementary Table S4).

At the late time point of sample collection (DVT1: day 28 vs. day 8; NDI: 2-5 weeks vs. days 4-7 [time 2] of NDI), we found a transcriptional overlap of 50 DEGs (Figure 4B) between DVT1 (GEO152255) and NDI (GSE28991, GSE28988, and GSE28405). Most common DEGs were longitudinally upregulated in DVT1 and NDI (Figure 4C). Functional enrichment analysis of these 50 DEGs resulted in 12 statistically significant gene ontology BPs (Figure 4B; Supplementary Table S5), ranging from defense response to the virus (the most significantly enriched BP) to negative regulation of CD8-positive/alpha-beta T cell activation. Among these BPs, there are also several interferon-related signaling pathways.



denote up- (red) and downregulation (blue) of DEGs. The complete list of enriched BPs is provided in Supplementary Tables S4A, S5. (C) The graphic provides an overview of whether DEGs are up or downregulated (by relative log FC on the y-axis) during the initial (Time 1) or late (Time 2) days of sample collection. Variables = genes.

3.2 Interferon-associated signature marks the overlap of the acute phase in severe natural dengue infection and the vaccination trial

To further understand the DVT1 and NDI intersection, we characterized the common DEGs, including data sets with acute dengue phases (late x early acute, GSE28991, GSE28988, and GSE28405) and disease severity (GSE51808: DF and DHF) information (Figure 5A). We found 212 common DEGs between the

NDI data sets (Figure 5; Supplementary Table S6). Among them are 164 upregulated DEGs, enriching cell cycle-associated BPs such as DNA replication, DNA conformation change, chromosome segregation, and cell cycle checkpoints (Supplementary Figure S2A; Supplementary Table S7). Furthermore, there were 48 common downregulated DEGs, enriching BPs such as myeloid cell differentiation, negative regulation of phosphorylation, neutrophil degranulation leukocyte chemotaxis, and neutrophil migration (Supplementary Figure S2B; Supplementary Table S8). Consequently, these BPs are upregulated at the beginning of the infection. Figure 5B



FIGURE 5

The transcriptional overlap between NDI at acute phase with disease severity and DVT1 information. (A) The upset plot showing the transcriptional intersection among all datasets of the NDI acute phase is as follows. GSE28988 and GSE28405: late acute (4-7 days or d) vs. early acute (0-3d); GSE51808: DF or DHF acute (2-9d) vs. healthy controls; GSE28991: late acute (4-7d) vs early acute (0-3d); GSE152255 (DVT1): first days of infection (8d) vs (day 0). DF, dengue fever; DHF, dengue hemorrhagic fever. The dataset GSE94892 was not included in this comparison because there is no information on time points for the different disease severity states (DF, DHF, and DSS) (Supplementary Table S6). (B) Bubble heatmap showing 48 common genes enriching the top 11 (1 term, 31 genes, from upregulated common DEGs enrichment and 10 terms, 17 genes, from downregulated common DEGs enrichment, based on adjusted p-value and number of genes, Supplementary Tables S7, S8) gene ontology (GO) biological processes (BP) among the NDI datasets. (C) Bubble heatmap of 21 common genes across all datasets. The color of the circles corresponds to log2 fold change (log2FC), and their size is proportional to the -log10 of the adjusted p-value. (D) Circos plot indicating the relationships between 7 of the 21 genes (shown in (C)) and statistically significant BPs (denoted by letters) resulting from enrichment analysis of the 21 genes using Enrich R⁴. The size of the rectangles in the outer circles is proportional to the involvement of each gene in multiple pathways. The size of rectangles forming the inner circle represents genes and pathways with more connections to each other. Colors (chosen randomly to discriminate each variable) on the outer circles denote pleiotropy and gene-pathway associations. A, cellular response to type I interferon; B, type I interferon signaling pathway; C, regulation of nuclease activity; D, negative regulation of viral genome replication; E, negative regulation of viral life cycle; F, regulation of viral genome replication; G, regulation of type I interferon production; H, regulation of cytokine production; I, cytokine-mediated signaling pathway; J, regulation of RNA metabolic process; K, negative regulation of type I interferon production; L, positive regulation of type I interferon production; M, interferon-gamma-mediated signaling pathway. The complete list of enriched BPs is provided in Supplementary Table S9.

displays the gene expression profile of the 48 DEGs associated with the most significantly enriched BPs, either up (the first most enriched) or downregulated (the ten most enriched) (Supplementary Tables S7, S8). These DEGs are expressed in the same direction, i.e., consistently upregulated or downregulated across the NDI data sets.

Using the same data sets across NDI and DVT1 sets (GSES 28405, 28988, 28991, 51808, and 152255), we found a total of 21common DEGs (Figure 5C; Supplementary Table S6). These 21

DEGs enrich BPs such as type I IFN-related BPs (e.g., cellular response to type I IFN, type I IFN signaling pathway, regulation of type I interferon production) as well as regulation of cytokine production, cytokine-mediated signaling pathway, negative regulation of the viral life cycle, and regulation of RNA metabolic processes (Figure 5D; Supplementary Table S9), indicating a consistent interferon-associated signature that marks the overlap of the acute infection of NDI and DVT1.

3.3 The transcriptional response during severe natural infection differs from that of the attenuated phase when the host returns to immune homeostasis

We next evaluated the transcriptional intersection between the DVT1 and NDI at the convalescent phase compared to day 0 in DVT1 and the early acute phase in the NDI data sets. We found 606 common DEGs between the NDI data sets (GSE28405, GSE28988, and GSE28991) (Figure 6A; Supplementary Table S10). During the convalescent phase, upregulated DEGs across all NDI datasets enriched several regulatory mechanisms involved in protein production and RNA expression (Figure 6B; Supplementary Table S11). Meanwhile, functional enrichment analysis of downregulated DEGs suggested the return of the immune system to its homeostatic phase after more than two weeks (Figure 6C; Supplementary Table S12). Figure 6D displays the expression pattern and clusters of common upregulated and downregulated DEGs, enriching the most significantly affected BPs (Supplementary Tables S11, S12).

Of note, only eight DEGs from the DVT1 data set overlapped with all NDI data sets. This small number of overlapped genes is expected once DVT1 compares day 0 as pre-infection, where the immune response had not been initiated yet, while NDI compares early and late acute phases (Figure 6E; Supplementary Table S13).

Despite having a small number of common DEGs, we found several common BPs between the DVT1 and all NDI data sets, enriched by the total number of DEGs from acute phase comparisons of these studies (Supplementary Figure S3A; Supplementary Table S15). For instance, these BPs include cytokine-mediated signaling pathways, type I interferon signaling pathways, interferon-gamma-mediated signaling pathways, and inflammatory responses. These findings suggest that the immune responses to attenuated dengue virus and natural dengue infection elicit similar functional processes during the acute phase. During the convalescent stage, there were less common BPs, e.g., cytokinemediated signaling pathways, cytoplasmic translation, and RNA processing), suggesting different returns to immune homeostasis of DVT1 and NDI. (Supplementary Figure S3B; Supplementary Table S16).

3.4 Different dengue vaccination trials share common DEGs with the natural dengue immune response

To further explore the overlap between the DVT1 and NDI data sets, we assessed the intersection of these DEGs in the other 2 DVT trials, indicated here as DVT2 (GSE98053; DENV3; attenuated dengue vaccine rDEN3 Δ 30/31–7164, TV003; ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT00831012) and DVT3 (GSE146658; DENV-2-1, DENV2, DENV2-3, DENV2-4; attenuated dengue virus serotype-2 strain, DENVax-2; ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT01224639). Supplementary Figure S4 illustrates the design of DVT2 and DVT3 studies. The DVT2 data set presented 26 and 11 common DEGs at acute (Time 1) and convalescent phases (Time 2), respectively, with the NDI/ DVT1 data sets, resulting in 28 unique common DEGs (Figure 7A; Supplementary Table S17). These genes form four expression clusters, which enrich several BPs related to IFN, anti-viral, and cytokine-mediated immune responses (Supplementary Figure S5A; complete enrichment in Supplementary Table S18). The DVT3 dataset shared 45 and 25 common DEGs with NDI/DVT1 at times 1 and 2 (Figure 7B; Supplementary Table S19), respectively. These genes enrich related biological processes compared to the NDI/ DVT1-DVT2 overlap (Supplementary Figure S5B; complete enrichment in Supplementary Table S20).

3.5 DEGs distinguishing dengue severity are consistently regulated across dengue vaccination trials

Further analysis revealed 20 common DEGs across all DVT (DVT1/DVT2/DVT3) and the NDI data sets - DDX60, OAS3, ISG15, IFI27, SPATS2L, CXCL10, GBP1, IFIH1, IFI44L, IFIT3, IFI44, HERC5, HELZ2, LAMP3, IFIT1, IFIT2, IFIT5, and IFIT2 -(Figure 8A). To associate this overlap with disease severity, we used the NDI data set GSE43777. Sixteen (DDX60, OAS3, ISG15, IFI27, SPATS2L, CXCL10, GBP1, IFIH1, IFI44L, IFIT3, IFI44, HERC5, LAMP3, IFIT1, IFIT5, and IFIT2) of these 20 common DEGs were present and downregulated when comparing late acute with early acute phases from the same disease severity group (DF versus DF or DHF versus DHF) while upregulated when comparing DHF versus DF for late, early, and convalescent phases (Figure 8B; Supplementary Table S21). Accordingly, PCA based on these 16 DEGs distinguished dengue patients by disease severity (DHF versus DF) and stratified patients mainly at late acute DHF and late acute DF from the other groups (early acute and convalescent patients) (Figure 8C). Furthermore, we carried out RF modeling, a machine learning method, to rank these 16 genes as predictors of disease severity when comparing DF and DHF patient groups in the data set GSE43777. The model resulted in an OOB error rate of 27.03%, class error rates of 33.33% for group 1 (DF) and 21.05% for group 2 (DHF), respectively (Figure 8D), and a high true positive rate as shown by 95.92% area under the curve of the receiver operating characteristics curve (Figure 8E). Among the ten strongest predictors of dengue severity, IFIT5, ISG15, and HERC5 (Figure 8F) were the three most important variables. These ten genes, mostly downregulated in the late acute phase relative to the early acute phase in GSE43777, enrich biological processes related to interferon and viral immune responses (Figure 8G). Of note, although these ten most predictors of dengue severity in the data set GSE43777 were heterogeneously expressed across other data sets containing dengue patients with different disease severity statuses (Supplementary Figure S6), their expression pattern was constantly increased at late acute time points of the DVT1, DVT2, and DVT3 data sets (Supplementary Figures S6A-C).

3.6 Comparative analysis across other viral diseases unveils partially shared interferonassociated signatures

Identifying an interferon-associated signature marking the overlap of the acute phase in NDIs and the DVTs (Section 3.2.) raised important questions about the specificity of these findings compared to other viral infections. To address this issue, we examined the expression of IFN-related genes across different datasets, comparing dengue, COVID-19, and influenza (Figure 9). Several IFN-related genes, such as *SPATS2L, OAS2, ISG15, ZBP1*, and *IFI44L*, exhibited similar patterns of upregulation across both dengue and COVID-19 datasets, while others, such as *AIM2* and *RTP4*, showed distinct regulation.

Moreover, despite the differences in the nature and structure of DENV and influenza virus, patients infected by these two viruses shared the upregulation of several IFN-related genes, such as *ISG15, OAS2, IFI44*, and *IFI44L*. On the other hand, there are notable differences in specific genes, such as *MAP3K7CL* and *MSR1* (Figure 9), which were downregulated in dengue but not in influenza. These observations suggest a partially shared IFN signature among dengue, COVID-19, and influenza.

4 Discussion

As the demand for safe and efficacious dengue vaccination rises, ongoing development efforts (3), and recent approvals in certain countries (58), contribute to the expanding array of available vaccines. In this context, the results of our analysis, characterizing the overlap of several NDI and DVT data sets, will contribute to future investigations into creating a safe and effective attenuated vaccine (59). Using a systems vaccinology approach, we identified genes that are not only regulated by natural dengue infection but are consistently expressed across infections with attenuated DENV. Among them are DEGs that are upregulated at acute time points across DVT1, DVT2, and DVT3 data sets (e.g., IFIT5, ISG15, and HERC5) and downregulated across NDI datasets in the late acute phase relative to the early acute phase, thus are potential predictors of disease severity being essential players of the anti-viral immune responses, including type I and II IFN signaling (60). Of note, mechanistic studies performed by others support our integrative systems vaccinology findings. For instance, these mechanistic studies demonstrate the critical role of IFITs, ISG15, and HERC5 as mediators of IFN-induced inhibition of viral (e.g., DENV) replication and protection of host cells from apoptosis (61-63). Thus, our work reinforces the potential of attenuated DENV vaccines to solve the growing public health problem caused by DENV infections while suggesting disease severity markers to monitor vaccine efficacy (3) and to assist clinicians to early stratify patients for in depth follow-up.

In addition, secondary dengue infection may promote DHF and DSS due to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) by contributing to increased virus entry into host cells (e.g., macrophages), upregulation of IL-10, and inhibition of type 1 IFN production (8, 64, 65). For instance, while the tetravalent attenuated dengue vaccine (Dengvaxia) has shown a high rate of protection for seropositive individuals, i.e., those who already had experienced a previous dengue infection, known as secondary dengue, there was an increased incidence of hospitalization of those who were seronegative when immunized due to Dengvaxiainduced ADE (5). This issue needs to be addressed by future studies and compare immunological signatures of vaccinated individuals who either develop or do not develop severe dengue due to ADE. Such an analysis will contribute to our understanding of this phenomenon and identify biomarkers of ADE for therapeutic exploration.

The NDI/DVT overlap of gene expression involves, among others, the activation of several IFN-associated pathways, which are critical to the anti-viral immune response (60). In addition, we ranked common IFN-associated DEGs by random forest analysis as predictors of dengue severity. IFNs are rapidly induced during viral infections and function as central mediators of the response to DENV (66, 67). The IFN system is essential during the acute phase of DHF in lowering virus production and protecting the host (68). For instance, the binding of IFN- α /IFN- β to their receptors, IFNRA1/IFNRA2, triggers the activation of multiple downstream signaling pathways. For example, the activation of the canonical signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1)-STAT2-IFN-regulatory factors (IRFs) signaling complex, which binds to IFN-stimulated response elements (ISREs) in gene promoters, leads to the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) (69). In agreement with the NDI/DVT overlap, other transcriptome studies of dengue patients not included here have also identified the IFN system as a significant mechanism elicited in response to DENV (56, 68, 70). In line with our findings, the downregulation of ISGs is associated with reduced production of IFN in DHF and DSS patients compared to DF patients during the acute phase, underscoring the role of IFN-associated pathways for the outcomes of dengue (68, 71, 72). Notably, linear and mechanistic studies (63, 73, 74) corroborate these high throughput investigations.

The shared upregulation of IFN-related genes between dengue, COVID-19, and influenza infections presented here implies a commonality in the host immune response against diverse viral pathogens. This observation suggests a fundamental strategy employed by the host to combat viral infections, emphasizing the well-known importance of the interferon system in antiviral defense (75–77). The implications of these findings for vaccine development are substantial. This shared response could be leveraged to develop broad-spectrum antiviral strategies targeting conserved interferon pathway elements. However, the notable differences in the distinct regulation of genes, highlight the nuanced nature of host-virus interactions, promoting the need for specific approaches. Understanding the unique signatures of each viral infection is crucial for the design of vaccines that elicit precise and compelling immune responses.

While the interferon antiviral pathways may represent an early defense, their complex biological functions set the stage for developing robust adaptive immunity. Genes such as OAS2,



ISG15, AIM2, OAS1, SIGLEC1, IFI6, IFI44L, IFIH1, and *IFI44*, identified in our integrative systems study, play pivotal roles in orchestrating various aspects of the adaptive immune response. For instance, *OAS2* and *OAS1* has antiviral functions (78), while

ISG15 restricts virus replication (62, 79). *AIM2* activates the inflammasome (80), initiating pro-inflammatory responses crucial for effective antiviral defense. *SIGLEC1* participates in immune cell interactions, facilitating antigen presentation and



adaptive immune recognition (81, 82). Interferon-inducible, *IFI6*, *IFI44L*, and *IFI44*, are implicated in apoptotic modulation and antiviral defense (83, 84). *IFIH1* is a crucial sensor for viral RNA, shaping adaptive responses to RNA viruses (85). *IFIT5* and *HERC5* are known for their roles in IFN-mediated inhibition of viral replication (86). Therefore, the set of relevant genes identified in our study underscore the intricate link between

initial antiviral pathways and subsequent adaptive immune processes, pointing out their significance as potential molecular markers for monitoring vaccination efficacy and directing the development of targeted anti-dengue therapies, including immunomodulatory drugs.

In conclusion, our work demonstrates gene expression correlations between natural dengue infection and vaccination



model with an area under the curve (AUC) of 95,92% for two groups of severity. **(F)** Variable predictors scores plot for classification of dengue infection according to severity. The variables are shown according to minimal depth and number of trees. The color scale bar ranges from 0 to 6 and represents the minimal and maximum minimal depth. The small dark vertical bars represent the mean of minimal depth for each variable. **(G)** Lollipop graph showing biological process gene ontology (GO) terms enriched by the 10 genes classified as most predictors of dengue severity.

and supports ongoing international efforts to develop protective and safe attenuated vaccines against dengue (9, 26). This is highlighted by including the gene expression dataset of Takeda's live attenuated tetravalent dengue vaccine candidate TAK-003 (Qdenga) that showed efficacy over time against dengue virus of 70% for asymptomatic and mild dengue and lowered the risk of severe cases, including hospitalization and death, by 80–90% (87– 89). Nevertheless, additional studies are needed to demonstrate detailed gene expression correlations with viral load and dengue associated NS1 expression during the infection. Since no NS1 or viral load information was available for all datasets, we could not address this issue.



4.1 Study limitations

However, this study has limitations, such as the absence of primary and secondary infection information and serotype variations in some datasets included here. Hence, future investigations are required to address the impact of primary versus secondary infections and serotype variations in the overlapping signature between dengue vaccination and natural infection. Furthermore, this study focused on PBMCs, and it would be highly relevant to evaluate the gene signatures in other leukocytes. Notably, DENV activates neutrophils, thereby inducing neutrophil extracellular trap (NET) formation (90, 91). Future studies addressing how the expression of DEGs involved in this process is associated with dengue severity will shedding light on dengue immunopathology. Additionally, while our study suggests a set of DEGs useful to screen potentially efficacious dengue vaccines in early phases of clinical trials, future studies are required to validate at the protein level which DEGs are relevant to monitor anti-dengue vaccines and their capacity to provide long term effective protection, as well as for monitoring different DENV serotypes and individuals with or without pre-existing anti-DENV immunity.

Data availability statement

All data sets used in this study are publicly available in the GEO database. R codes are available on Github: https://github.com/ DesireePlaca/PlacaDR_IntegrativeSystemsVaccinologyDengue.

Ethics statement

Since available data were utilized for this study, ethical approval was not required in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

DP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. DF: Writing - review & editing, Formal analysis, Software, Visualization. AM: Formal analysis, Software, Writing - review & editing. SZ: Formal analysis, Software, Writing - review & editing. JU: Writing - review & editing. GB: Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization. CP: Writing - review & editing. RS: Writing - review & editing, Visualization. IF: Writing - review & editing. PF: Writing review & editing, Methodology. VR: Writing - review & editing. GC-M: Writing - review & editing. NC: Writing - review & editing. RC: Writing - review & editing. GM: Writing - review & editing. IJ: Writing - review & editing, Visualization. VC: Writing - review & editing. LG: Writing - review & editing. LR: Writing review & editing. HO: Writing - review & editing. LS: Writing review & editing, Visualization. OC-M: Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Resources.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) (grants 2018/18886-9, 2020/01688-0, and 2020/07069-0 to OC-M, 2020/ 11710-2 to DP, 2020/09146-1 to PF, 2020/16246-2 to DF, 2020/ 08501-2 to IF, 2020/07972-1 to GB, 2021/03675-5 to JU). We acknowledge the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) Brazil (grants: 309482/2022-4 to OC-M and 102430/2022-5 to LS). IJ was supported in part by funding from the Natural Sciences Research Council (NSERC #203475), Canada

Foundation for Innovation (CFI #225404, #30865), Ontario Research Fund (RDI #34876), IBM, and Ian Lawson van Toch Fund.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

This study received funding from IBM. The funder had the following involvement in the study: production of figures, revision, and manuscript editing.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

References

1. Zhang Q, Liu Z, Moncada-Velez M, Chen J, Ogishi M, Bigio B, et al. Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. *Science* (2020) 370:eabd4570. doi: 10.1126/science.abd4570

2. Diamond MS, Ledgerwood JE, Pierson TC. Zika virus vaccine development: progress in the face of new challenges. *Annu Rev Med* (2019) 70:121–35. doi: 10.1146/ ANNUREV-MED-040717-051127

3. Redoni M, Yacoub S, Rivino L, Giacobbe DR, Luzzati R, Di Bella S. Dengue: Status of current and under-development vaccines. *Rev Med Virol* (2020) 30:e2101. doi: 10.1002/RMV.2101

4. World Health Organization. Dengue and Severe Dengue: WHO (2015), online. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/dengue-and-severe-dengue.

5. Aguiar M, Stollenwerk N. Dengvaxia: age as surrogate for serostatus. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2018) 18:245. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30752-1/ATTACHMENT/0939B4A4-FD49-4C33-9E7E-CD501CCB4274/MMC1.PDF

6. Deng SQ, Yang X, Wei Y, Chen JT, Wang XJ, Peng HJ. A review on dengue vaccine development. *Vaccines (Basel)* (2020) 8:63. doi: 10.3390/VACCINES8010063

7. Aguiar M, Stollenwerk N, Halstead SB. The risks behind Dengvaxia recommendation. *Lancet Infect Dis* (2016) 16:882-3. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(16) 30168-2

8. Guzman MG, Gubler DJ, Izquierdo A, Martinez E, Halstead SB. Dengue infection. Nat Rev Dis Primers (2016) 2:1–25. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.55

9. Nivarthi UK, Swanstrom J, Delacruz MJ, Patel B, Durbin AP, Whitehead SS, et al. A tetravalent live attenuated dengue virus vaccine stimulates balanced immunity to multiple serotypes in humans. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:1–12. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21384-0

10. Hadinegoro SR, Arredondo-García JL, Capeding MR, Deseda C, Chotpitayasunondh T, Dietze R, et al. Efficacy and long-term safety of a dengue vaccine in regions of endemic disease. *N Engl J Med* (2015) 373:1195–206. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMOA1506223/SUPPL_FILE/NEJMOA1506223_DISCLOSURES.PDF

11. Capeding MR, Tran NH, Hadinegoro SRS, Ismail HIHM, Chotpitayasunondh T, Chua MN, et al. Clinical efficacy and safety of a novel tetravalent dengue vaccine in healthy children in Asia: A phase 3, randomised, observer-masked, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* (2014) 384:1358–65. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61060-6

12. Dhanoa A, Hassan SS, Ngim CF, Lau CF, Chan TS, Adnan NAA, et al. Impact of dengue virus (DENV) co-infection on clinical manifestations, disease severity and laboratory parameters. *BMC Infect Dis* (2016) 16:406. doi: 10.1186/S12879-016-1731-8

13. Green AM, Beatty PR, Hadjilaou A, Harris E. Innate immunity to dengue virus infection and subversion of antiviral responses. *J Mol Biol* (2014) 426:1148–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2013.11.023

14. Flipse J, Diosa-Toro MA, Hoornweg TE, Van De Pol DPI, Urcuqui-Inchima S, Smit JM. Antibody-dependent enhancement of dengue virus infection in primary human macrophages; balancing higher fusion against antiviral responses. *Sci Rep* (2016) 6:1–13. doi: 10.1038/srep29201

15. Izmirly AM, Alturki SO, Alturki SO, Connors J, Haddad EK. Challenges in dengue vaccines development: pre-existing infections and cross-reactivity. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:1055/BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2020.01055/BIBTEX

16. Bhatt S, Gething P, Brady O, Messina JP, Farlow AW, Moyes CL, et al. The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature* (2013) 496:504-7. doi: 10.1038/NATURE12060

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1282754/ full#supplementary-material

17. Zeng Z, Zhan J, Chen L, Chen H, Cheng S. Global, regional, and national dengue burden from 1990 to 2017: A systematic analysis based on the global burden of disease study 2017. *EClinicalMedicine* (2021) 32:100712. doi: 10.1016/J.ECLINM.2020.100712

18. Matangkasombut P, Manopwisedjaroen K, Pitabut N, Thaloengsok S, Suraamornkul S, Yingtaweesak T, et al. Dengue viremia kinetics in asymptomatic and symptomatic infection. *Int J Infect Dis* (2020) 101:90–7. doi: 10.1016/J.IJID.2020.09.1446

19. Guzman MG, Halstead SB, Artsob H, Buchy P, Farrar J, Gubler DJ, et al. Dengue: a continuing global threat. *Nat Rev Microbiol* (2010) 8:S7. doi: 10.1038/NRMICRO2460

20. Lam PK, Tam DTH, Diet TV, Tam CT, Tien NTH, Kieu NTT, et al. Clinical characteristics of dengue shock syndrome in Vietnamese children: A 10-year prospective study in a single hospital. *Clin Infect Dis* (2013) 57:1577. doi: 10.1093/CID/CIT594

21. Nakaya HI, Hagan T, Duraisingham SS, Lee EK, Kwissa M, Rouphael N, et al. Systems analysis of immunity to influenza vaccination across multiple years and in diverse populations reveals shared molecular signatures. *Immunity* (2015) 43:1186–98. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2015.11.012

22. Querec TD, Akondy RS, Lee EK, Cao W, Nakaya HI, Teuwen D, et al. Systems biology approach predicts immunogenicity of the yellow fever vaccine in humans. *Nat Immunol* (2009) 10:116–25. doi: 10.1038/ni.1688

23. Arunachalam PS, Scott MKD, Hagan T, Li C, Feng Y, Wimmers F, et al. Systems vaccinology of the BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine in humans. *Nature* (2021) 596:410–6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03791-x

24. Sessions OM, Tan Y, Goh KC, Liu Y, Tan P, Rozen S, et al. Host cell transcriptome profile during wild-type and attenuated dengue virus infection. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) 7:e2107. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002107

25. Popper SJ, Strouts FR, Lindow JC, Cheng HK, Montoya M, Balmaseda A, et al. Early transcriptional responses after dengue vaccination mirror the response to natural infection and predict neutralizing antibody titers. *J Infect Dis* (2018) 218:1911–21. doi: 10.1093/INFDIs/JIY434

26. Hanley JP, Tu HA, Dragon JA, Dickson DM, del Rio-Guerra R, Tighe SW, et al. Immunotranscriptomic profiling the acute and clearance phases of a human challenge dengue virus serotype 2 infection model. *Nat Commun* (2021) 12:1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-22930-6

27. Pulendran B. Systems vaccinology: Probing humanity's diverse immune systems with vaccines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2014) 111:12300-6. doi: 10.1073/ PNAS.1400476111/ASSET/8ED70F3F-860A-46E0-A8DE-8F03FDBBEF16/ASSETS/ GRAPHIC/PNAS.1400476111FIG02.JPEG

28. Pulendran B, Li S, Nakaya HI. Systems vaccinology. *Immunity* (2010) 33:516–29. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.006

29. Clough E, Barrett T. The Gene Expression Omnibus database. In: *Methods in Molecular Biology*. Humana Press Inc (2016). p. 93–110. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3578-9_5

30. Sanchis P, Lavignolle R, Abbate M, Lage-Vickers S, Vazquez E, Cotignola J, et al. Analysis workflow of publicly available RNA-sequencing datasets. *STAR Protoc* (2021) 2:100478. doi: 10.1016/J.XPRO.2021.100478

31. Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Babraham Bioinformatics (2010).

32. USADELLAB.org. Trimmomatic: A flexible read trimming tool for Illumina NGS data. USADELLAB.org (2014), Online.

33. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* (2016) 34:525–7. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3519

34. Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Res* (2016) 4:1521. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7563.2

35. Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* (2011) 27:2987–93. doi: 10.1093/BIOINFORMATICS/BTR509

36. Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, Gentles AJ, Feng W, Xu Y, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. *Nat Methods* (2015) 12:453. doi: 10.1038/NMETH.3337

37. Freire PP, Marques AHC, Baiocchi GC, Schimke LF, Fonseca DLM, Salgado RC, et al. The relationship between cytokine and neutrophil gene network distinguishes SARS-CoV-2-infected patients by sex and age. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6:e147535. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.147535

38. Barrett T, Wilhite SE, Ledoux P, Evangelista C, Kim IF, Tomashevsky M, et al. NCBI GEO: archive for functional genomics data sets—update. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2013) 41:D991–5. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKS1193

39. Zhou G, Soufan O, Ewald J, Hancock REW, Basu N, Xia J. NetworkAnalyst 3.0: A visual analytics platform for comprehensive gene expression profiling and metaanalysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2019) 47:W234–41. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz240

40. Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. Voom: Precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol* (2014) 15:R29. doi: 10.1186/gb-2014-15-2-r29

41. Salgado RC, Fonseca DLM, Marques AHC, da Silva Napoleao SM, França TT, Akashi KT, et al. The network interplay of interferon and Toll-like receptor signaling pathways in the anti-Candida immune response. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11:20281. doi: 10.1038/S41598-021-99838-0

42. Schimke LF, Marques AHC, Baiocchi GC, de Souza Prado CA, Fonseca DLM, Freire PP, et al. Severe COVID-19 shares a common neutrophil activation signature with other acute inflammatory states. *Cells* (2022) 11(5):847. doi: 10.3390/ cells11050847

43. Khan A, Mathelier A. Intervene: A tool for intersection and visualization of multiple gene or genomic region sets. *BMC Bioinf* (2017) 18:287. doi: 10.1186/s12859-017-1708-7

44. Kuleshov MV, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, et al. Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2016) 44:W90–7. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw377

45. Yu G, Wang LG, Han Y, He QY. ClusterProfiler: An R package for comparing biological themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* (2012) 16:284–7. doi: 10.1089/ omi.2011.0118

46. Starruß J, de Back W, Brusch L, Deutsch A. Morpheus: a user-friendly modeling environment for multiscale and multicellular systems biology. *Bioinformatics* (2014) 30:1331–2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt772

47. Krzywinski M, Schein J, Birol I, Connors J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, et al. Circos: An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res* (2009) 19:1639–45. doi: 10.1101/gr.092759.109

SankeyMATIC. A Sankey diagram builder for everyone. SankeyMATIC (Online).
Liaw A, Wiener M. Classification and regression by randomForest. R News (2002) 2:18–22.

50. Cabral-Marques O, Halpert G, Schimke LF, Ostrinski Y, Vojdani A, Baiocchi GC, et al. Autoantibodies targeting GPCRs and RAS-related molecules associate with COVID-19 severity. *Nat Commun* (2022) 13:12220. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-28905-5

51. Kassambara A, Mundt F. factoextra: extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses. *R Package Version 1.0.7* (2020).

52. Lê S, Josse J, Husson F. Facto
MineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. JStatSoftw
 (2008) 25:1–18. doi: 10.18637/JSS.V025.101

53. Wickham H. ggplot2 Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer (2016) p. 11-31.

54. Kotlyar M, Pastrello C, Ahmed Z, Chee J, Varyova Z, Jurisica I. IID 2021: towards context-specific protein interaction analyses by increased coverage, enhanced annotation and enrichment analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2022) 50:D640–7. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKAB1034

55. Brown KR, Otasek D, Ali M, McGuffin MJ, Xie W, Devani B, et al. NAViGaTOR: Network analysis, visualization and graphing Toronto. *Bioinformatics* (2009) 25:3327–9. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp595

56. Tolfvenstam T, Lindblom A, Schreiber M, Ling L, Chow A, Ooi EE. Characterization of early host responses in adults with dengue disease. *BMC Infect Dis* (2011) 11:209. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-11-209

57. Kwissa M, Nakaya HI, Onlamoon N, Wrammert J, Villinger F, Perng GC, et al. Dengue virus infection induces expansion of a CD14(+)CD16(+) monocyte population that stimulates plasmablast differentiation. *Cell Host Microbe* (2014) 16:115–27. doi: 10.1016/J.CHOM.2014.06.001

58. Rivera L, Biswal S, Sáez-Llorens X, Reynales H, López-Medina E, Borja-Tabora C, et al. Three-year efficacy and safety of Takeda's dengue vaccine candidate (TAK-003). *Clin Infect Dis* (2022) 75:107–17. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciab864

59. Bifani AM, Choy MM, Tan HC, Ooi EE. Attenuated dengue viruses are genetically more diverse than their respective wild-type parents. *NPJ Vaccines* (2021) 6:1–8. doi: 10.1038/s41541-021-00340-5

60. McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A. Type I interferons in infectious disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2015) 15:87–103. doi: 10.1038/nri3787

61. Chico V, Salvador-Mira ME, Nombela I, Puente-Marin S, Ciordia S, Mena MC, et al. IFIT5 participates in the antiviral mechanisms of rainbow trout red blood cells. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:613/FULL. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2019.00613/FULL

62. Hishiki T, Han Q, Arimoto KI, Shimotohno K, Igarashi T, Vasudevan SG, et al. Interferon-mediated ISG15 conjugation restricts dengue virus 2 replication. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2014) 448:95–100. doi: 10.1016/J.BBRC.2014.04.081

63. Hsu YL, Shi SF, Wu WL, Ho LJ, Lai JH. Protective roles of interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3 (IFIT3) in dengue virus infection of human lung epithelial cells. *PloS One* (2013) 8:e79518. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0079518

64. Narayan R, Tripathi S. Intrinsic ADE: the dark side of antibody dependent enhancement during dengue infection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2020) 10:580096. doi: 10.3389/FCIMB.2020.580096

65. Chareonsirisuthigul T, Kalayanarooj S, Ubol S. Dengue virus (DENV) antibodydependent enhancement of infection upregulates the production of anti-inflammatory cytokines, but suppresses anti-DENV free radical and pro-inflammatory cytokine production, in THP-1 cells. *J Gen Virol* (2007) 88:365–75. doi: 10.1099/VIR.0.82537-0

66. Elong Ngono A, Shresta S. Immune response to dengue and zika. Annu Rev Immunol (2018) 36:279–308. doi: 10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053142

67. Levy DE, Marié IJ, Durbin JE. Induction and function of type I and III interferon in response to viral infection. *Curr Opin Virol* (2011) 1:476–86. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2011.11.001

68. Simmons CP, Popper S, Dolocek C, Chan TNB, Griffiths M, Dung NTP, et al. Patterns of host genome—Wide gene transcript abundance in the peripheral blood of patients with acute dengue hemorrhagic fever. *J Infect Dis* (2007) 195:1097–107. doi: 10.1086/512162

69. Katze MG, He Y, Gale M. Viruses and interferon: a fight for supremacy. *Nat Rev Immunol* (2002) 2:675–87. doi: 10.1038/nri888

70. Sun P, García J, Comach G, Vahey MT, Wang Z, Forshey BM, et al. Sequential waves of gene expression in patients with clinically defined dengue illnesses reveal subtle disease phases and predict disease severity. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) 7:e2298. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002298

71. Ubol S, Masrinoul P, Chaijaruwanich J, Kalayanarooj S, Charoensirisuthikul T, Kasisith J. Differences in global gene expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells indicate a significant role of the innate responses in progression of dengue fever but not dengue hemorrhagic fever. *J Infect Dis* (2008) 197:1459–67. doi: 10.1086/587699

72. Long HT, Hibberd ML, Hien TT, Dung NM, Ngoc TV, Farrar J, et al. Patterns of gene transcript abundance in the blood of children with severe or uncomplicated dengue highlight differences in disease evolution and host response to dengue virus infection. *J Infect Dis* (2009) 199:537–46. doi: 10.1086/596507

73. Perry ST, Buck MD, Lada SM, Schindler C, Shresta S. STAT2 mediates innate immunity to dengue virus in the absence of STAT1 via the type I interferon receptor. *PloS Pathog* (2011) 7:e1001297. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1001297

74. Hoang LT, Lynn DJ, Henn M, Birren BW, Lennon NJ, Le PT, et al. The early whole-blood transcriptional signature of dengue virus and features associated with progression to dengue shock syndrome in Vietnamese children and young adults. *J Virol* (2010) 84:12982–94. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01224-10

75. Schoggins JW, Rice CM. Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. *Curr Opin Virol* (2011) 1:519. doi: 10.1016/J.COVIRO.2011.10.008

76. Samuel CE. Antiviral actions of interferon interferon-regulated cellular proteins and their surprisingly selective antiviral activities. *Virology* (1991) 183:1–11. doi: 10.1016/0042-6822(91)90112-O

77. Usuda JN, Plaça DR, Fonseca DLM, Marques AHC, Filgueiras IS, Chaves VGB, et al. Interferome signature dynamics during the anti-dengue immune response: a systems biology characterization. *Front Immunol* (2023) 14:1243516/BIBTEX. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2023.1243516/BIBTEX

78. Schwartz SL, Conn GL. RNA regulation of the antiviral protein 2'-5'oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS). *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* (2019) 10:e1534. doi: 10.1002/WRNA.1534

79. Perng YC, Lenschow DJ. ISG15 in antiviral immunity and beyond. Nat Rev Microbiol (2018) 16:423–39. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0020-5

80. Rathinam VAK, Jiang Z, Waggoner SN, Sharma S, Cole LE, Waggoner L, et al. The AIM2 inflammasome is essential for host defense against cytosolic bacteria and DNA viruses. *Nat Immunol* (2010) 11:395–402. doi: 10.1038/ni.1864

81. Martinez-Pomares L, Gordon S. CD169+ macrophages at the crossroads of antigen presentation. *Trends Immunol* (2012) 33:66–70. doi: 10.1016/J.IT.2011.11.001

82. Kawasaki N, Vela JL, Nycholat CM, Rademacher C, Khurana A, Van Rooijen N, et al. Targeted delivery of lipid antigen to macrophages via the CD169/sialoadhesin endocytic pathway induces robust invariant natural killer T cell activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2013) 110:7826–31. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1219888110/-/DCSUPPLEMENTAL

83. DeDiego ML, Martinez-Sobrido L, Topham DJ. Novel functions of IFI44L as a feedback regulator of host antiviral responses. *J Virol* (2019) 93:e01159-19. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01159-19

84. Sajid M, Ullah H, Yan K, He M, Feng J, Shereen MA, et al. The functional and antiviral activity of interferon alpha-inducible IFI6 against hepatitis B virus replication and gene expression. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:634937/FULL. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2021.634937/FULL

85. Sampaio NG, Chauveau L, Hertzog J, Bridgeman A, Fowler G, Moonen JP, et al. The RNA sensor MDA5 detects SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Sci Rep* (2021) 11:1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-92940-3

86. Strobl B, Leitner NR, Müller M. Multifaceted antiviral actions of interferonstimulated gene products. Jak-Stat Signaling: From Basics to Dis (2012) 9783709108918:387-423. doi: 10.1007/978-3-7091-0891-8_21/TABLES/2

87. Biswal S, Reynales H, Saez-Llorens X, Lopez P, Borja-Tabora C, Kosalaraksa P, et al. Efficacy of a tetravalent dengue vaccine in healthy children and adolescents. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381:2009–19. doi: 10.1056/NEJMOA1903869

88. López-Medina E, Biswal S, Saez-Llorens X, Borja-Tabora C, Bravo L, Sirivichayakul C, et al. Efficacy of a dengue vaccine candidate (TAK-003) in healthy children and adolescents 2 years after vaccination. *J Infect Dis* (2022) 225:1521–32. doi: 10.1093/INFDIS/JIAA761

89. Alves L. Brazil to start widespread dengue vaccinations. *Lancet* (2024) 403:133. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)00046-1

90. Garishah FM, Rother N, Riswari SF, Alisjahbana B, Overheul GJ, van Rij RP, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps in dengue are mainly generated NOX-independently. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:629167. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2021.629167

91. Opasawatchai A, Amornsupawat P, Jiravejchakul N, Chan-in W, Spoerk NJ, Manopwisedjaroen K, et al. Neutrophil activation and early features of net formation are associated with dengue virus infection in human. *Front Immunol* (2019) 10:3007/FULL. doi: 10.3389/FIMMU.2018.03007/FULL