#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jiri Stulik, University of Defence, Czechia

REVIEWED BY Virginia De Cesare, University of Dundee, United Kingdom Koraljka Husnjak, Goethe-University Frankfurt, Germany

\*CORRESPONDENCE David Vilchez Movilchez@uni-koeln.de

RECEIVED 27 September 2023 ACCEPTED 03 November 2023 PUBLISHED 22 November 2023

#### CITATION

Wehrmann M and Vilchez D (2023) The emerging role and therapeutic implications of bacterial and parasitic deubiquitinating enzymes. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1303072. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1303072

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wehrmann and Vilchez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## The emerging role and therapeutic implications of bacterial and parasitic deubiquitinating enzymes

## Markus Wehrmann<sup>1,2</sup> and David Vilchez<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Cologne Excellence Cluster for Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Institute for Integrated Stress Response Signaling, Faculty of Medicine, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, <sup>4</sup>Institute for Genetics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

Deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) are emerging as key factors for the infection of human cells by pathogens such as bacteria and parasites. In this review, we discuss the most recent studies on the role of deubiquitinase activity in exploiting and manipulating ubiquitin (Ub)-dependent host processes during infection. The studies discussed here highlight the importance of DUB host-pathogen research and underscore the therapeutic potential of inhibiting pathogen-specific DUB activity to prevent infectious diseases.

#### KEYWORDS

ubiquitin, deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs), infection mechanisms, immune responses, autophagy and apoptosis

## Introduction

Ubiquitination is a pivotal cellular process that mediates protein turnover through the ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS) (1). Moreover, the precise regulation of ubiquitination is essential for proper cellular function, as it controls protein localization, activates DNA repair pathways, and enables protein function and protein-protein interactions (2). Ubiquitination is achieved through a sequential mechanism involving E1, E2 and E3 enzymes. This enzymatic cascade forms an isopeptide bond between the epsilon-amino group of a lysine (K) on the target protein and the carboxyl-group of the last residue (G76) in the Ub moiety. The ubiquitination cascade can also link additional Ub molecules to the internal lysine sites of the first Ub, forming a Ub-chain (3, 4). In recent years, the role of unique Ub-signals, such as M1-linked linear ubiquitination, has been increasingly recognized. In contrast to typical Ub-signaling chains, these chains are assembled via the N-terminal methionine by the linear Ub chain assembly complex (LUBAC) (5). M1-linked linear ubiquitination plays a pivotal role in regulating NF- $\kappa$ B activity, cell death, inflammation and immunity against infectious pathogens. Consequently, genetic dysfunction in this pathway can increase susceptibility to infectious diseases (5, 6).

In addition to Ub-ligases, the stringent control of ubiquitination levels relies on the activity of DUBs, which are subsequently crucial for maintaining cellular homeostasis, function and viability (7). DUBs are proteases that hydrolyze peptide or isopeptide bonds between Ub molecules in Ub-chains. They can also catalyze the cleavage of isopeptide bonds between Ub and a modified protein (4, 8, 9). Thus, DUBs have a critical role in cleaving polyUb-chains and removing Ub moieties from proteins once they have fulfilled their designated functions. Consequently, these enzymes also contribute to maintaining a constant pool of intracellular free Ub molecules that can be reused in following signaling events. Eukaryotic DUBs can be classified into seven different subclasses, six of which are cysteine proteases (UCH, USP, OTU, Josephin, MINDY and ZUFSP), while the seventh belongs to the metalloprotease family (JAMM) (10). Each subtype is defined based on their structural and sequence homology, as well as its preference and affinity towards different linkage types of Ub chains and protein substrates, which are discussed in more detail in other reviews (10-13).

Given the direct role that DUBs have in regulating cellular function and homeostasis, it is not surprising that pathogens utilize DUB function to exploit Ub-dependent host pathways to progress infection. Although it has long been known that pathogenic microbes and viruses manipulate the Ub system during infection, the primary focus until recently was on bacterial effectors that mimic and hijack Ub-E3 ligases (14-17). However, a wide range of DUB-dependent mechanisms are now emerging as key targets for exploitation during infection by bacteria and parasites. Interestingly, bacteria do not use the UPS for cellular regulation or encode Ub in their genome (10). However, several species express bacteria-exclusive proteases of the CE-Clan family that exhibit DUB activity towards K63-linked Ub chains and Ub-like proteins (18). The latter suggests an important function of DUBs in the infection process of eukaryotic cells (10). In this review, we summarize and compare different DUB mechanisms used by parasites and bacteria throughout the different steps of the infectious cycle. Furthermore, we highlight the most promising areas of DUB research in terms of future directions and therapeutic potential.

## Maintenance of the replication niche and hijacking of host trafficking pathways

An evolutionary conserved strategy for bacteria and pathogens to survive inside the hostile host cell environment during infection is the formation of replication niches, such as bacteria-containing vacuoles (BCVs). To create these vacuoles, bacteria hijack the vesicles secreted by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and Golgi as well as downstream trafficking pathways, redirecting them to the infection site for fusion with the bacterial phagosome. This process results in the formation of a membrane-bound vacuole that serves as a replication site (19). This infection strategy is used by numerous bacteria, including *Legionella pneumophila*, *Coxiella burnetii*, and representative members of the *Salmonella* family. The replication niche inside vacuoles is critical for pathogen survival and virulence because it provides a protective layer and a mechanism to interact with and hijack host cell organelles. This interaction is often mediated and dependent on pathogenic DUB activity (20, 21) (Figure 1A, Table 1).

In Legionella pneumophila, a gram-negative bacterium that causes Legionnaires' disease, Lot class DUBs are localized in the vacuolar membrane to establish the replication vacuole during infection. Several well-characterized members of the Lot DUB class (LotA, LotB and LotC) are essential for infection. For instance, LotA localizes to the vacuolar membrane and displays dual catalytic activity specific to two different types of Ub chains by harboring two Ub-binding domains (35). However, unlike other DUBs, one of these domains uniquely shows high specificity for K6-linked polyUb chains. K6-linked polyubiquitination has been associated to parkin-mediated autophagic degradation of mitochondria and intracellular bacteria (37, 47). It will be exciting to understand this so far unexplored mechanism during infection and its potential connection with mitophagy-related processes.

In contrast to LotA, LotB exhibits a highly specialized role in manipulating v-SNARE complexes of the early secretory pathway. LotB activity reverses K63-linked ubiquitination of Sec22b, facilitating dissociation of the t-SNARE syntaxin-3 from Sec22b, which subsequently attaches to the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV) (36). Similarly, LotC shows fine-tuned control over Rab10 ubiquitination in combination with the two bacterial E3-Ub-ligases SidC and SdcA (37). Rab10 is a GTPase involved in membrane trafficking, which is required for maximizing replication potential as well as generating and maintaining the LCV of *L. pneumophila* (48). Generally, the ability of both attaching and removing Ub from the same substrates in Golgi trafficking pathways highlights the precise control that bacterial effectors exert over cellular pathways to establish the replication vacuole.

Another set of DUB enzymes identified in L. pneumophila are DupA and DupB. Generally, effectors of the SidE family of L. pneumophila are responsible for unconventional conjugation of Ub to serine residues of host proteins via phosphoribosyl linker (PRubiquitination) (33). During PR-ubiquitination, instead of the canonical ubiquitination cascade involving E1, E2 and E3 enzymes, SidE effectors harbor different functional domains and can perform the entire process of attaching Ub to serine or tyrosine residues using NAD+ instead of the conventional ATP (49, 50). This process is suggested to be important for establishing the replicative niche for intracellular growth (51). Recently, it was reported that the bacterial DUBs DupA and DupB are important in the regulation of host protein PR-ubiquitination by bacterial effectors (33). Proteomics analysis of  $\Delta dupA$  and  $\Delta dupB$  Legionella mutants revealed that many PR-deubiquitination substrates of DupA and DupB were recruited to the LCV and are involved in vesicle transport and trafficking between the ER and Golgi apparatus. This indicates an important but not yet fully understood role of PR-ubiquitination signaling in hijacking host trafficking pathways and maintaining bacterial vacuoles. Such mechanisms could potentially be manipulated to prevent infection (34).

A less studied pathogen is *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Nevertheless, the two DUB orthologs ChlaDUB1 and ChlaDUB2 are important for



#### FIGURE 1

Classification of different DUB mechanisms during bacterial and parasitic infections. (A) Maintenance of replication niche inside the host by clearance of ubiquitination on the vacuole membrane and hijacking of trafficking pathways. (B) Downregulation of the immune system response such as macrophages and NF- $\kappa$ B signalling due to infectious DUB activity. (C) Protection from degradation by removing K63-polyubiquitination signals that are responsible for regulating autophagy and apoptosis. (D) Hijacking and manipulation of host DUBs upon entrance and infection of the cell that are regulating cellular defence systems such as apoptosis and NF- $\kappa$ B signalling.

#### TABLE 1 Reported DUB enzymes important in infectious diseases.

Protein	Organism	Function	Ub-chain	Reference
TssM	B. pseudomallei	TNFR-associated factor-3 and lipopolysaccharide deubiquitination	K48/63	(22, 23)
EmcB	C. burnetii	blocks RIG-I mediated type I IFN production	K63	(24)
ChlaOTU	C. caviae	NDP52 binding	K48/63	(25)
ChlaDUB1	C. trachomatis	Golgi fragmentation	K63	(26)
ChlaDUB2	C. trachomatis	Golgi fragmentation	K63	(26)
Cdu1	C. trachomatis	Deubiquitination of apoptosis regulator Mcl-1	K48/63	(25)
ElaD	E. coli	Macrophage killing?	K63	(27)
USP48	H. pylori	Reduced apoptotic cell death and NF- $\kappa B$ immune signalling by RelA deubiquitination	K48	(28)
A20	L. donovani	Silencing of TLR2-mediated proinflammatory response	K63	(29)
RavZ	L. longbeachae	Deconjugation of LC3 autophagy related protein	unknown	(30-32)
DupA	L. pneumophila	Vacuole maintenance	PR-Ub.	(33, 34)
DupB	L. pneumophila	Vacuole maintenance	PR-Ub	(33, 34)
LotA	L. pneumophila	Vacuole maintenance	K6	(35)
LotB	L. pneumophila	SNARE complex manipulation	K63	(36)
LotC	L. pneumophila	Rab10 deubiquitination	K6, K11, K48	(37)
MavC	L. pneumophila	Deubiquitination of UBE2N	K63	(38)

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

Protein	Organism	Function	Ub-chain	Reference
MavcA	L. pneumophila	Inhibition of NF-KB immune signalling	K63	(38)
RavD	L. pneumophila	Clearance of M1-linked linear Ub chains	M1 Ub	(39)
SidJ	L. pneumophila	Deubiquitination of Rab33b, regulation of SidE effectors	K63-Di-Ub	(40)
SdeA/B/C	L. pneumophila	Broad base deubiquitination	K63	(41)
OtDUB	O. tsutsugamushi	Inactivation of T-cells and the innate immune response?	K33-Di-Ub	(42)
SpvD	S. Typhimurium	Inhibits nuclear translocation of p65	Unknown	(43, 44)
SseL	S. Typhimurium	Macrophage toxicity	K63	(45)
YopJ	Y. pestis	STING deubiquitination and inhibition transport of IRF3 signalling	K63	(46)

the regulation of its virulence and pathogenesis. Both ChlaDUB1 and 2 have specificity for K63-linked Ub-chains, being necessary and sufficient to induce fragmentation of the Golgi apparatus to hijack trafficking pathways. This highlights their importance in establishing the replicative niche during pathogenesis (26).

Collectively, bacteria utilize DUBs with highly specialized and diversified roles with different Ub-chain specificities that reside on the vacuolar membrane to hijack Golgi trafficking pathways. As such, these DUBs enable replication and survival in a protected niche inside the host cell. Thus, intervening with DUB activity that is indispensable for establishment of the replication niche and bacterial survival could open up a therapeutic avenue to prevent and treat infection.

### Downregulation of immune response

The most prevalent and reported strategy of bacterial and parasitic DUB activity is evasion and downregulation of the immune response. The primary transcription factor NF-kB is a key regulator of the host immune defense system upon infection (52). Thus, NF-kB is a prime target for downregulation through deubiquitination of activating factors (Figure 1B, Table 1).

Recent studies have described how DUBs mediate the downregulation of NF-kB signaling within macrophages during infection. In studies focused on *L. pneumophila*, several DUBs were found to act on different host substrates during the infectious cycle of the bacterium. In particular, the two effector orthologs, MavC and MvcA, possess the ability of both non-canonical ubiquitination and deubiquitination of their target substrates. MavC catalyzes ubiquitination of the host E2 conjugating enzyme UBE2N, inhibiting the formation of K63-linked Ub-chains and thus impeding activation of NF-kB signaling (38).

Another example is the DUB RavD, one of the first bacterial DUBs identified capable of cleaving linear Ub-chains (39). RavD DUB activity prevents accumulation of M1-linked linear Ub-chains on *Legionella* vacuoles inside the host cell. These chains have an important regulatory role in NF-kB activation and subsequent inflammation. Indeed, its dysregulation has been associated with several human pathologies (6). Similarly, the cysteine hydrolase SpvD expressed by *S. Typhimurium*, which exhibits a DUB-like

structural fold, is responsible for inactivation of an NF-kB promoter, thereby inhibiting the proinflammatory response by preventing nuclear translocation of the transcription factor p65 (43, 44).

In other bacterial species, such as the Salmonella family, the well-studied effector protein SseL from *Salmonella enterica* serovar *Typhimurium* was shown to possess hydrolase and DUB activity towards K63-linked Ub-chains. While SseL function is not essential for bacterial replication, it is indispensable for virulence and cytotoxicity in macrophages. This indicates that deubiquitination of SseL substrates contribute to macrophage killing, but not inhibition of NF-kB signaling (45), and is thus an alternate means by which the host immune response can be compromised through DUB activity. An ortholog of SseL, named ElaD, with high Ubbinding affinity and deubiquitination activity, has also been identified in pathogenic *E. coli*. However, its effect on virulence remains to be assessed (27).

Evading the immune response is also adopted by other bacterial species like *Yersinia pestis*. The DUB YopJ is responsible for K63-linked deubiquitination of STING, thereby preventing complex formation with TBK1 and inhibiting multiple immune activators (IRF3 and NF- $\kappa$ B signaling) (46). Similarly, a recently discovered DUB from *Orientia tsutsugamushi* (OtDUB) has strong activity towards K33-linked diUb and polyUb chains of various kinds (42). K33-linked diUb plays a role in activation of T-cells and the innate immune response in general (53). In this way, *O. tsutsugamushi* may downregulate general immune responses upon infection by abolishing Ub-signals.

The effector DUB EmcB expressed by *Coxiella burnetii* employs a different strategy, inhibiting detection by the immune system rather than reducing downstream responses like NF-kB signaling. Usually, type I interferon (IFN) production is induced during infection when nucleic acids are released into the host cytosol, leading to the interaction of RIG- I with the adaptor protein MAVS (54). However, EmcB cleaves the K63-specific activating signal of RIG- I, thus preventing type I interferon production during *C. burnetii* infection. This is an elegant way to evade the immune system at the initial step, allowing the pathogen to persist inside host cells (24).

Finally, the *B. pseudomallei* DUB TssM was reported to suppress both NF-kB signaling and type I IFN pathway by cleaving both K48-

and K63-linked Ub-chains. Subsequently, knockout of this DUB led to increased inflammation. Furthermore, increased TssM was found in human samples after bacterial infection (22). Moreover, a recent study revealed a bacterial esterase function of TssM, which is structurally independent of its isopeptidase activity (23). The latter activity is responsible for directly reversing the lipopolysaccharide ubiquitination of RNF213, an important regulator in restricting bacterial growth by attaching polyUb and autophagic receptors to the bacterial replication site (23, 55). Consequently, the esterase activity of TssM is an important regulator of *B. pseudomallei* virulence, as it hinders its detection by the immune system and inhibits its autophagic degradation.

Together, these studies demonstrate that bacterial DUBs have evolved specialized roles in downregulating and evading the immune response in distinct ways that are crucial for the infection process. Furthermore, the ability of pathogens to coordinate both ubiquitination and deubiquitination of host proteins by acting in concert with E3 ligases is complex and tightly regulated. Therefore, potential therapeutic strategies must consider a multifaceted approach.

# Protection from degradation and apoptosis

Autophagy plays a key role in the direct removal of microorganisms via degradation, control of inflammation and activation of the immune system, making it an important host defense mechanism during infection (56). Similarly, apoptotic cell death is a common response to infection, triggered and inhibited by various pathogenic effectors (57). Thus, it is a common strategy for pathogens to inhibit autophagic degradation and apoptotic cell death during infection and replication (Figure 1C, Table 1).

The effector RavZ was identified as a functional and important DUB in various Legionella species and was one of the first examples of bacterial effector proteins that manipulate host autophagy (30-32). RavZ harbors cysteine protease activity and hydrolyzes lipidconjugated LC3, a Ub-like protein incorporated in autophagic processes on autophagosome membranes (58). This hydrolysis reduces the interaction of LC3 with other autophagosome proteins, thereby downregulating autophagy (30). Moreover, further studies revealed the interference of Ub recruitment to the replication vacuoles during Legionella infection upon RavZ activity (31, 32). This raises the question of whether RavZ harbors DUB-like activity of RavZ in addition to its general cysteine protease activity towards lipid-conjugated substrates. Future studies may shed light on RavZ's dual activity towards both lipid conjugated substrates and Ub. This could describe a highly sophisticated system of manipulating several host pathways using, potentially opening the door to therapies for Legionella infection.

Moreover, it was reported that the *Legionella* DUB SidJ regulates the bacterial effector family SidE, which are important for the dynamics of phagosome ubiquitination during infection (41). The SidE family consists of different bacterial effectors such as SidE, SdeA, SdeB and SdeC that mediate both ubiquitination and

removal of PR-ubiquitination (41, 49). Structural analysis of these enzymes revealed unique Ub-binding and contact domains that allow for deubiquitination of three different Ub-chain types with preference for K63 Ub-chains (41). In particular, SidJ was shown to remove ubiquitination from the host protein Rab33b in infected cells (59). Rab33b is involved in autophagy as a modulator of autophagosome formation. Thus, it is possible that DUB activity of SidJ inhibits autophagy and pathogenic degradation during infection (60). Additionally, SidJ activity suppresses the toxicity of SidE effectors by removing them from the bacterial phagosome (40).

Autophagy-inhibiting DUBs are also found in the *Chlamydiae* phylum. The *Chlamydia caviae* effector *Chla*OTU, while dispensable for infectious activity, alleviates detrimental Ub accumulation at the pathogen entry site. *Chla*OTU is capable of binding both Ub and NDP52 with distinct protein domains. NDP52 is an autophagic receptor protein involved in selective autophagy of microorganism during infection (61). Thus, *Chla*OTU may inhibit autophagic degradation through binding and modulating NDP52.

In contrast, the vacuolar membrane-bound DUB Cdu1 expressed by *Chlamydia trachomatis* was shown to interact with the apoptosis regulator Mcl-1. In particular, deubiquitination of Mcl-1 by Cdu1 leads to its stabilization and prevents proteasomal degradation, thus inhibiting cellular apoptosis in favor of bacteria replication (25).

These examples highlight the multiple layers of control that pathogenic DUB activity enables over the host Ub-pathway through interaction and inhibition of host proteins involved in autophagy and apoptosis during infection. Thus, this complexity needs to be considered when designing new therapeutic agents.

## Hijacking of host DUB enzymes

The ubiquitination system is responsible for regulating many different cellular and physiological processes. Here, host DUB activity also contributes to the regulation of processes such as IFN-I signaling and host defense activities in general (62). This offers a potential hijacking mechanism that bacteria can exploit for infection (Figure 1D, Table 1).

There have been only a few reported cases in which host cell DUBs were manipulated by bacteria and parasites upon infection. The parasite Leishmania donovani is capable of replicating within macrophages by downregulation of TLR-mediated inflammatory responses of the host cell. Upon L. donovani infection, the ubiquitination of TRAF6 is reduced, which subsequently inhibits the assembly of the TRAF6-TAK1-TAB2 complex (29). This complex is an efficient activator of NF-kB signaling and mediator of immune response in general (63). Further investigations of this mechanism revealed that L. donovani infection induces the host DUB A20, which is responsible for the increased deubiquitination of TRAF6 and thus inhibition of TLR response upon infection (29). This is an interesting example of pathogens exploiting the innate negative feedback systems of host cells to decrease the immune response. It would thus be interesting to assess whether infection can be counteracted by blocking this negative feedback loop exploited by pathogens.

A similar mechanism involving the exploitation of the host DUB A20 is found during the infection with the human pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* (28, 64). Typically, NF-kB activity is regulated by DNA binding of the p50 and RelA heterodimer (52). Termination of the activity is regulated by K48-ubiquitination dependent proteasomal degradation of RelA (65). Thus, during infection with *H. pylori*, the host DUB USP48 stabilizes RelA by removing the K48 degradation signal which in turn increases the transcription of the host DUB A20. Subsequently, increased A20 deubiquitinating activity leads to the inhibition of caspase-8-dependent apoptotic cell death and suppression of NF-κB activation, prolonging survival and colonization of the pathogen (28, 64).

Therefore, the inhibition of apoptotic cell death and immune signaling by hijacking of host DUBs enables successful colonization of macrophages and infection of human cells. It will be interesting to see how our understanding of exploiting host negative feedback loops for inactivation of defense mechanisms, such as apoptotic cell death and NF-kB activity, will be expanded in the future and if this could potentially be exploited to design specific therapeutic strategies.

## Discussion

The manipulation of Ub-dependent host pathways through DUB activity is emerging as a key mechanism employed by bacteria and parasites during infection. It is fascinating to observe the highly sophisticated systems revolving around DUBs that enable successful infection. First, a large variety of biochemical mechanisms regulating preference to distinct Ub-chains as well as bifunctional enzymes are utilized by the pathogens. These complex systems illustrate the competitive co-evolution of pathogens and hosts. In addition, distinct pathogens exhibit a tight control over the removal and attachment of Ub, thereby regulating the activation and inactivation of regulatory components of the host cell. This process often involves cooperation with bacterial E3 ligases.

Besides, DUB activity interferes with a variety of Ub-dependent cellular functions and many different host substrate proteins are targets for deubiquitination by different pathogens (Figure 1). In addition, most pathogens exploit different mechanisms of DUB activity throughout the infectious cycle. Indeed, the same bacteria can target different substrate proteins to manipulate distinct host pathways and ensure successful infection (Table 1). This complexity underscores the challenges that host cells must overcome to prevent infection. Since many parasitic DUBs remain uncharacterized, the focus of this review was mainly on bacterial DUB activity. However, it will be interesting to follow how more examples of parasitic DUBs will be revealed in the future.

Considering the essential role of DUB activity in various infection stages and across different pathogens, the application of DUB inhibitors could present a promising therapeutic strategy for the treatment of infectious diseases (66). For instance, designing DUB inhibitors to specifically inhibit bacterial DUBs could lead to effective therapies for diseases that have eluded targeted treatment so far. Furthermore, the infection-specific interaction between indispensable DUBs and their cellular host substrates can be exploited for structural inhibition of these interactions to prevent infection. In this regard, it will be crucial to consider the complex and tight control that pathogenic DUBs possess over host processes when developing new therapies. The specificity of DUB inhibitors in selectively targeting bacterial DUBs is a crucial factor to consider for therapeutic applications, as inhibiting host DUBs could be detrimental to the cell and potentially exacerbate the infectious process. Interestingly, DUB activity, along with its dysregulation and inhibition is also investigated and proved to be beneficial in the prevention of cancer, neurodegenerative disorders, and aging, which is a risk factor for multiple diseases in general (67–69).

In conclusion, bacterial and parasitic DUB activity during infection can be defined and summarized in different categories that will be further expanded in future research. The importance of these mechanisms highlights the emerging role of DUB activity during infection and opens up the possibility of novel therapeutic to prevent these diseases in the future.

## Author contributions

DV: Writing - review & editing. MW: Writing - original draft.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (VI742/4-1 and Germany's Excellence Strategy-CECAD, EXC 2030-390661388). MW received support by the Cologne Graduate School of Ageing Research.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Nandi D, Tahiliani P, Kumar A, Chandu D. The ubiquitin-proteasome system. J Biosci (2006) 19:137–55. doi: 10.1007/BF02705243

2. Liao Y, Sumara I, Pangou E. Non-proteolytic ubiquitylation in cellular signaling and human disease. *Commun Biol* (2022) 5:114. doi: 10.1038/s42003-022-03060-1

3. Kelsall IR. Non-lysine ubiquitylation: Doing things differently. Front Mol Biosci (2022) 9:1008175. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2022.1008175

4. Yau R, Rape M. The increasing complexity of the ubiquitin code. *Nat Cell Biol* (2016) 18:579–86. doi: 10.1038/ncb3358

5. Fiil BK, Gyrd-Hansen M. The Met1-linked ubiquitin machinery in inflammation and infection. *Cell Death Differ* (2021) 28:557–69. doi: 10.1038/s41418-020-00702-x

6. Jahan AS, Elbæk CR, Damgaard RB. Met1-linked ubiquitin signalling in health and disease: inflammation, immunity, cancer, and beyond. *Cell Death Differ* (2021) 28:473–92. doi: 10.1038/s41418-020-00676-w

7. Lange SM, Armstrong LA, Kulathu Y. Deubiquitinases: From mechanisms to their inhibition by small molecules. *Mol Cell* (2022) 82:15–29. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2021.10.027

8. Harrigan JA, Jacq X, Martin NM, Jackson SP. Deubiquitylating enzymes and drug discovery: emerging opportunities. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* (2018) 17:57–78. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2017.152

 De Cesare V, Carbajo Lopez D, Mabbitt PD, Fletcher AJ, Soetens M, Antico O, et al. Deubiquitinating enzyme amino acid profiling reveals a class of ubiquitin esterases. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2021) 118:e2006947118. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.2006947118

10. Hermanns T, Hofmann K. Bacterial DUBs: deubiquitination beyond the seven classes. *Biochem Soc Trans* (2019) 47:1857–66. doi: 10.1042/BST20190526

11. Bishop P, Rocca D, Henley JM. Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCH-L1): structure, distribution and roles in brain function and dysfunction. *Biochem J* (2016) 473:2453–62. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20160082

12. Mevissen TET, Komander D. Mechanisms of deubiquitinase specificity and regulation. *Annu Rev Biochem* (2017) 86:159–92. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044916

13. Komander D, Reyes-Turcu F, Licchesi JDF, Odenwaelder P, Wilkinson KD, Barford D. Molecular discrimination of structurally equivalent Lys 63-linked and linear polyubiquitin chains. *EMBO Rep* (2009) 10:466–73. doi: 10.1038/embor.2009.55

14. Ashida H, Sasakawa C. Bacterial E3 ligase effectors exploit host ubiquitin systems. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2017) 35:16–22. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2016.11.001

15. Kubori T, Nagai H. Bacterial effector-involved temporal and spatial regulation by hijack of the host ubiquitin pathway. *Front Microbio* (2011) 2:145. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2011.00145

16. Lin Y-H, Machner MP. Exploitation of the host cell ubiquitin machinery by microbial effector proteins. J Cell Sci (2017) 16:1985–96. doi: 10.1242/jcs.188482

17. Quezada CM, Hicks SW, Galán JE, Stebbins CE. A family of *Salmonella* virulence factors functions as a distinct class of autoregulated E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2009) 106:4864–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0811058106

18. Pruneda JN, Durkin CH, Geurink PP, Ovaa H, Santhanam B, Holden DW, et al. The molecular basis for ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like specificities in bacterial effector proteases. *Mol Cell* (2016) 63:261–76. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2016.06.015

19. Asrat S, De Jesús DA, Hempstead AD, Ramabhadran V, Isberg RR. Bacterial pathogen manipulation of host membrane trafficking. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* (2014) 30:79–109. doi: 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013439

20. Creasey EA, Isberg RR. Maintenance of vacuole integrity by bacterial pathogens. *Curr Opin Microbiol* (2014) 17:46–52. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2013.11.005

21. Santos JC, Enninga J. At the crossroads: communication of bacteria-containing vacuoles with host organelles. *Cell Microbiol* (2016) 18:330–9. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12567

22. Tan KS, Chen Y, Lim Y-C, Tan G-YG, Liu Y, Lim Y-T, et al. Suppression of Host Innate Immune Response by *Burkholderia pseudomallei* through the Virulence Factor TssM. J Immunol (2010) 184:5160–71. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.0902663

23. Szczesna M, Huang Y, Lacoursiere R, Bonini F, Pol V, Koc F, et al. Dedicated bacterial esterases reverse lipopolysaccharide ubiquitylation to block immune sensing. *In Rev* (2023). doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2986327/v1

24. Duncan-Lowey J, Crabill E, Jarret A, Reed SCO, Roy CR. The *Coxiella burnetii* effector EmcB is a deubiquitinase that inhibits RIG-I signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2023) 120:e2217602120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2217602120

25. Fischer A, Harrison KS, Ramirez Y, Auer D, Chowdhury SR, Prusty BK, et al. *Chlamydia trachomatis*-containing vacuole serves as deubiquitination platform to stabilize Mcl-1 and to interfere with host defense. *eLife* (2017) 6:e21465. doi: 10.7554/eLife.21465

26. Pruneda JN, Bastidas RJ, Bertsoulaki E, Swatek KN, Santhanam B, Clague MJ, et al. A Chlamydia effector combining deubiquitination and acetylation activities induces Golgi fragmentation. *Nat Microbiol* (2018) 3:1377–84. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0271-y

27. Catic A, Misaghi S, Korbel GA, Ploegh HL. ElaD, a deubiquitinating protease expressed by E. coli. *PloS One* (2007) 2:e381. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0000381

28. Jantaree P, Chaithongyot S, Sokolova O, Naumann M. USP48 and A20 synergistically promote cell survival in *Helicobacter pylori* infection. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2022) 79:461. doi: 10.1007/s00018-022-04489-7

29. Srivastav S, Kar S, Chande AG, Mukhopadhyaya R, Das PK. *Leishmania donovani* exploits host deubiquitinating enzyme A20, a negative regulator of TLR signaling, to subvert host immune response. *J Immunol* (2012) 189:924–34. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1102845

30. Choy A, Dancourt J, Mugo B, O'Connor TJ, Isberg RR, Melia TJ, et al. The legionella effector ravZ inhibits host autophagy through irreversible atg8 deconjugation. *Science* (2012) 338:1072–6. doi: 10.1126/science.1227026

31. Shi Y, Liu H, Ma K, Luo Z-Q, Qiu J. Legionella longbeachae effector protein RavZ inhibits autophagy and regulates phagosome ubiquitination during infection. *PloS One* (2023) 18:e0281587. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0281587

32. Kubori T, Bui XT, Hubber A, Nagai H. Legionella ravZ plays a role in preventing ubiquitin recruitment to bacteria-containing vacuoles. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2017) 7:384. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00384

33. Shin D, Mukherjee R, Liu Y, Gonzalez A, Bonn F, Liu Y, et al. Regulation of phosphoribosyl-linked serine ubiquitination by deubiquitinases dupA and dupB. *Mol Cell* (2020) 77:164–179.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2019.10.019

34. Wan M, Sulpizio AG, Akturk A, Beck WHJ, Lanz M, Faça VM, et al. Deubiquitination of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitin conjugates by phosphodiesterasedomain-containing *Legionella* effectors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2019) 116:23518– 26. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1916287116

35. Kubori T, Kitao T, Ando H, Nagai H. LotA, a *Legionella* deubiquitinase, has dual catalytic activity and contributes to intracellular growth. *Cell Microbiol* (2018) 20: e12840. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12840

36. Kitao T, Taguchi K, Seto S, Arasaki K, Ando H, Nagai H, et al. Legionella manipulates non-canonical SNARE pairing using a bacterial deubiquitinase. *Cell Rep* (2020) 32:108107. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108107

37. Liu S, Luo J, Zhen X, Qiu J, Ouyang S, Luo Z-Q. Interplay between bacterial deubiquitinase and ubiquitin E3 ligase regulates ubiquitin dynamics on Legionella phagosomes. *eLife* (2020) 9:e58114. doi: 10.7554/eLife.58114

38. Wang Y, Zhan Q, Wang X, Li P, Liu S, Gao G, et al. Insights into catalysis and regulation of non-canonical ubiquitination and deubiquitination by bacterial deamidase effectors. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11:2751. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16587-w

39. Wan M, Wang X, Huang C, Xu D, Wang Z, Zhou Y, et al. A bacterial effector deubiquitinase specifically hydrolyses linear ubiquitin chains to inhibit host inflammatory signalling. *Nat Microbiol* (2019) 4:1282–93. doi: 10.1038/s41564-019-0454-1

40. Havey JC, Roy CR. Toxicity and sidJ-mediated suppression of toxicity require distinct regions in the sidE family of *legionella pneumophila* effectors. *Infect Immun* (2015) 83:3506–14. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00497-15

41. Sheedlo MJ, Qiu J, Tan Y, Paul LN, Luo Z-Q, Das C. Structural basis of substrate recognition by a bacterial deubiquitinase important for dynamics of phagosome ubiquitination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2015) 112:15090–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1514568112

42. Berk JM, Lim C, Ronau JA, Chaudhuri A, Chen H, Beckmann JF, et al. A deubiquitylase with an unusually high-affinity ubiquitin-binding domain from the scrub typhus pathogen *Orientia tsutsugamushi*. *Nat Commun* (2020) 11:2343. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15985-4

43. Grabe GJ, Zhang Y, Przydacz M, Rolhion N, Yang Y, Pruneda JN, et al. The *salmonella* effector spvD is a cysteine hydrolase with a serovar-specific polymorphism influencing catalytic activity, suppression of immune responses, and bacterial virulence. *J Biol Chem* (2016) 291:25853–63. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.752782

44. Rolhion N, Furniss RCD, Grabe G, Ryan A, Liu M, Matthews SA, et al. Inhibition of nuclear transport of NF- $\kappa$ B p65 by the *salmonella* type III secretion system effector spvD. *PloS Pathog* (2016) 12:e1005653. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005653

45. Rytkönen A, Poh J, Garmendia J, Boyle C, Thompson A, Liu M, et al. SseL, a *Salmonella* deubiquitinase required for macrophage killing and virulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* (2007) 104:3502–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0610095104

46. Cao Y, Guan K, He X, Wei C, Zheng Z, Zhang Y, et al. Yersinia YopJ negatively regulates IRF3-mediated antibacterial response through disruption of STING-mediated cytosolic DNA signaling. *Biochim Biophys Acta (BBA) - Mol Cell Res* (2016) 1863:3148–59. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2016.10.004

47. Manzanillo PS, Ayres JS, Watson RO, Collins AC, Souza G, Rae CS, et al. The ubiquitin ligase parkin mediates resistance to intracellular pathogens. *Nature* (2013) 501:512–6. doi: 10.1038/nature12566

48. Jeng EE, Bhadkamkar V, Ibe NU, Gause H, Jiang L, Chan J, et al. Systematic identification of host cell regulators of *legionella pneumophila* pathogenesis using a genome-wide CRISPR screen. *Cell Host Microbe* (2019) 26:551–563.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2019.08.017

49. Tomaskovic I, Gonzalez A, Dikic I. Ubiquitin and Legionella: From bench to bedside. Semin Cell Dev Biol (2022) 132:230-41. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.02.008

50. Zhang M, McEwen JM, Sjoblom NM, Kotewicz KM, Isberg RR, Scheck RA. Members of the *Legionella pneumophila* Sde family target tyrosine residues for

phosphoribosyl-linked ubiquitination. RSC Chem Biol (2021) 2:1509–19. doi: 10.1039/D1CB00088H

51. Akturk A, Wasilko DJ, Wu X, Liu Y, Zhang Y, Qiu J, et al. Mechanism of phosphoribosyl-ubiquitination mediated by a single Legionella effector. *Nature* (2018) 557:729–33. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0147-6

52. Hayden MS, West AP, Ghosh S. NF-κB and the immune response. Oncogene (2006) 25:6758-80. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209943

53. Yang M, Chen T, Li X, Yu Z, Tang S, Wang C, et al. K33-linked polyubiquitination of Zap70 by Nrdp1 controls CD8+ T cell activation. *Nat Immunol* (2015) 16:1253–62. doi: 10.1038/ni.3258

54. Zevini A, Olagnier D, Hiscott J. Crosstalk between cytoplasmic RIG-I and STING sensing pathways. *Trends Immunol* (2017) 38:194–205. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2016.12.004

55. Otten EG, Werner E, Crespillo-Casado A, Boyle KB, Dharamdasani V, Pathe C, et al. Ubiquitylation of lipopolysaccharide by RNF213 during bacterial infection. *Nature* (2021) 594:111–6. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03566-4

56. Deretic V, Saitoh T, Akira S. Autophagy in infection, inflammation and immunity. Nat Rev Immunol (2013) 13:722-37. doi: 10.1038/nri3532

57. Häcker G. Apoptosis in infection. *Microbes Infect* (2018) 20:552–9. doi: 10.1016/ j.micinf.2017.10.006

58. Lee Y-K, Lee J-A. Role of the mammalian ATG8/LC3 family in autophagy: differential and compensatory roles in the spatiotemporal regulation of autophagy. *BMB Rep* (2016) 49:424–30. doi: 10.5483/BMBRep.2016.49.8.081

59. Qiu J, Yu K, Fei X, Liu Y, Nakayasu ES, Piehowski PD, et al. A unique deubiquitinase that deconjugates phosphoribosyl-linked protein ubiquitination. *Cell Res* (2017) 27:865–81. doi: 10.1038/cr.2017.66

60. Starr T, Sun Y, Wilkins N, Storrie B. Rab33b and rab6 are functionally overlapping regulators of golgi homeostasis and trafficking. *Traffic* (2010) 11:626–36. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2010.01051.x

61. Fan S, Wu K, Zhao M, Zhu E, Ma S, Chen Y, et al. The role of autophagy and autophagy receptor NDP52 in microbial infections. *IJMS* (2020) 21:2008. doi: 10.3390/ijms21062008

62. Qian G, Zhu L, Li G, Liu Y, Zhang Z, Pan J, et al. An integrated view of deubiquitinating enzymes involved in type I interferon signaling, host defense and antiviral activities. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:742542. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021. 742542

63. Morlon A, Munnich A, Smahi A. TAB2, TRAF6 and TAK1 are involved in NFκB activation induced by the TNF-receptor, Edar and its adaptator Edaradd. *Hum Mol Genet* (2005) 14:3751–7. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddi405

64. Lim MCC, Maubach G, Sokolova O, Feige MH, Diezko R, Buchbinder J, et al. Pathogen-induced ubiquitin-editing enzyme A20 bifunctionally shuts off NF- $\kappa$ B and caspase-8-dependent apoptotic cell death. *Cell Death Differ* (2017) 24:1621–31. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2017.89

65. Maine GN, Mao X, Komarck CM, Burstein E. COMMD1 promotes the ubiquitination of NF- $\kappa$ B subunits through a cullin-containing ubiquitin ligase. *EMBO J* (2007) 26:436–47. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601489

66. Nanduri B, Suvarnapunya AE, Venkatesan M, Edelmann MJ. Deubiquitinating enzymes as promising drug targets for infectious diseases. *CPD* (2013) 19:3234–47. doi: 10.2174/1381612811319180008

67. Koyuncu S, Loureiro R, Lee HJ, Wagle P, Krueger M, Vilchez D. Rewiring of the ubiquitinated proteome determines ageing in C. elegans. *Nature* (2021) 596:285–90. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03781-z

68. Kaushal K, Antao AM, Kim K-S, Ramakrishna S. Deubiquitinating enzymes in cancer stem cells: functions and targeted inhibition for cancer therapy. *Drug Discovery Today* (2018) 23:1974–82. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2018.05.035

69. Bello AI, Goswami R, Brown SL, Costanzo K, Shores T, Allan S, et al. Deubiquitinases in neurodegeneration. *Cells* (2022) 11:556. doi: 10.3390/ cells11030556