Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Tomasz Piotr Wypych, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Jose L. Subiza ☑ jlsubiza@inmunotek.com Pedro A. Reche ☑ parecheg@med.ucm.es

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 August 2023 ACCEPTED 30 August 2023 PUBLISHED 08 September 2023

CITATION

Bodas-Pinedo A, Lafuente EM, Pelaez-Prestel HF, Ras-Carmona A, Subiza JL and Reche PA (2023) Corrigendum: Combining different bacteria in vaccine formulations enhances the chance for antiviral cross-reactive immunity: a detailed *in silico* analysis for influenza A virus. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1284628. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1284628

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Bodas-Pinedo, Lafuente, Pelaez-Prestel, Ras-Carmona, Subiza and Reche. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Corrigendum: Combining different bacteria in vaccine formulations enhances the chance for antiviral crossreactive immunity: a detailed *in silico* analysis for influenza A virus

Andrés Bodas-Pinedo^{1†}, Esther M. Lafuente^{2†}, Hector F. Pelaez-Prestel², Alvaro Ras-Carmona², Jose L. Subiza^{3*} and Pedro A. Reche^{2*}

¹Children's Digestive Unit, Institute for Children and Adolescents, Hospital Clinico San Carlos, Madrid, Spain, ²Department of Immunology & O2, Faculty of Medicine, University Complutense of Madrid, Ciudad Universitaria, Pza. Ramón y Cajal, Madrid, Spain, ³Inmunotek, Alcalá de Henares, Spain

KEYWORDS

MV130, bacteria, respiratory viruses, cross-reactivity, epitope, influenza A virus

A corrigendum on

Combining different bacteria in vaccine formulations enhances the chance for antiviral cross-reactive immunity: a detailed in silico analysis for influenza A virus

by Bodas-Pinedo A, Lafuente EM, Pelaez-Prestel HF, Ras-Carmona A, Subiza JL and Reche PA (2023) *Front. Immunol.* 14:1235053. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1235053

In the published article, there was an error in Table 1 as published. In row 1, column 2, "Accesion" was misspelled. It should be "Accession". In row 13, column 1, "Klebisella" was misspelled. It should be "Klebsiella". In addition, in row 9 for SARS-CoV2, column 2 was incorrect (the accession number listed was GCF_000009445, but should have been NC_045512) and column 2 was empty but should have been 12. The corrected Table 1 and its caption appear below.

In the published article, there was an error in Table 2 as published. "Klebisella" was misspelled. It should be "Klebisella". The corrected Table 2 and its caption appear below.

In the published article, there was an error in Table 3 as published. "Klebisella" was misspelled. It should be "Klebsiella". In addition, the scientific names of bacteria were not in italic. The corrected Table 3 and its caption appear below.

In the published article, there was an error in the legend for Figure 1 as published. HRVA and HRVB, standing for human rhinovirus A and B, respectively, missed the relevant "A" and "B". The corrected legend appears below.

TABLE 1 Amino acid sequences from pathogens and vaccines considered in this study.

Pathogen	NCBI Accession	Proteins/CDS
Influenza A virus (IAV)	GCF_000865725	12
Influenza B virus (IBV)	GCF_000820495	10
Human rhinovirus A (HRVA)	NC_038311	1
Human rhinovirus B (HRVB)	NC_038312	1
Human rhinovirus C (HRVC)	NC_009996	1
Respiratory syncytial virus A (RSVA)	NC_038235	11
Respiratory syncytial virus A (RSVB)	NC_001781	11
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)	NC_045512	12
Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG)	GCF_000009445	4034
Branhamella catarrhalis (BCA)	GCF_000092265	1607
Haemophilus influenzae (HIN)	GCF_000027305	1597
Klebsiella pneumoniae (KPN)	GCF_000240185	5779
Staphylococcus aureus (SAU)	GCF_000013425	2767
Staphylococcus epidermidis (SEP)	GCF_000007645	2282
Streptococcus pneumoniae (SPN)	GCF_000007045	1861
MV130*		15893

* MV130 includes all bacteria but BCG.

In the published article, there was an error in the Abstract. "Klebisella" was misspelled. It should be "Klebsiella"

A correction has been made to the **Abstract**. The corrected sentence appears below.

"The bacteria selected in this work were Bacillus Calmette Guerin and those included in the poly-bacterial preparation MV130: Streptococcus pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Branhamella catarrhalis and Haemophilus influenzae."

In the published article, there was an error in the **Methods** section. HLA, standing for human leukocyte antigen, was used twice in Methods instead of MHC (major histocompatibility complex). MHCs are known as HLAs in humans, as indicated later in the Results section.

A correction has been made to the **Methods** section, subsection 2.3 *Prediction of T and B cell epitopes*. This sentence previously stated:

"Binding of a peptide to a given HLA I molecule was considered to occur at a 2% Rank cutoff given by both RANKPEP and NetMHCpan, which allows selecting weak and strong binders."

The corrected sentence appears below.

"Binding of a peptide to a given MHC I molecule was considered to occur at a 2% Rank cutoff given by both RANKPEP and NetMHCpan, which allows selecting weak and strong binders."

Likewise, a correction has also been made to the **Methods** section, subsection *2.5 Other procedures*. This sentence previously stated:

"The percentage of the world population that could respond to CD8 and CD4 T cell epitopes (population coverage) was computed after their HLA binding profiles using a command line version of EPISOPT (44) and the IEDB PPC tool at http://tools.iedb.org/tools/ population/iedb_input (45), respectively, considering HLA allele expression for the entire world population."

The corrected sentence appears below.

"The percentage of the world population that could respond to CD8 and CD4 T cell epitopes (population coverage) was computed after their MHC binding profiles using a command line version of EPISOPT (44) and the IEDB PPC tool at http://tools.iedb.org/tools/ population/iedb_input (45), respectively, considering the relevant allele expression for the entire world population."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

02

TABLE 2 Size of the shared peptidome between bacteria in MV130 and respiratory viruses.

	ORF	IAV	IBV	HRVA	HRVB	HRVC	RSVA	RSVB	SARS
Streptococcus pneumoniae (SPN)	1861	12	17	8	13	17	25	31	52
Staphylococcus aureus (SAU)	2767	23	36	8	12	10	39	46	68
Staphylococcus epidermidis (SEP)	2282	27	30	11	14	10	27	30	53
Klebsiella pneumoniae (KPN)	5770	48	57	19	32	21	53	53	138
Branhamella catarrhalis (BCA)	1607	15	18	11	10	9	27	20	38
Haemophilus influenzae (HIN)	1597	25	18	4	9	8	31	20	50
Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG)	4045	46	41	13	27	25	32	32	102
MV130	15884	139	163	54	79	72	185	183	360

ORF, Open Reading Frame; IAV, Influenza A virus; IBV, Influenza B virus; HRVA, human rhinovirus A; HRVB, human rhinovirus B; HRVC, human rhinovirus C; RSVA, Respiratory Syncytial virus A, RSVB: Respiratory Syncytial virus B; SARS, SARS-CoV-2. Whole dataset available in Supplementary Dataset 1.

TABLE 3 Potential cross-reactive epitopes between MV130 and IAV.

	IAV (1)	B ⁽²⁾	CD8 T ^(H)	CD4 T ^(H)	CD8 T ^(M)	CD4 T ^(M)
Streptococcus pneumoniae (SPN)	12	2	4	1	1	0
Staphylococcus aureus (SAU)	25	5	2	0	3	0
Staphylococcus epidermidis (SEP)	27	6	7	1	7	1
Klebsiella pneumoniae (KPN)	48	10	13	1	4	1
Branhamella catarrhalis (BCA)	15	7	4	1	1	0
Haemophilus influenzae (HIN)	25	4	7	1	5	1
MV130	139	34	37	5	21	3

¹ Number of shared peptides between IAV (Puerto Rico 8 Strain) and bacteria, ² number of cross-reactive b cell epitopes, ^H number of T cell epitopes restricted by human MHC molecules, ^M number of T cell epitopes restricted by mouse MHC molecules.



Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.